# BMI/OWTD-5 Distribution Category UC-802

BMI/OWTD--5

DE91 000089

# FRACFLO: Analytical Solutions for Two-Dimensional Transport of a Decaying Species in a Discrete Planar Fracture and Equidistant Multiple Parallel Fractures With Rock Matrix Diffusion

**Technical Report** 

July 1990

A. Berge Gureghian

Office of Waste Technology Development Battelle Energy Systems Group 7000 South Adams Street Willowbrook, IL 60521

The content of this report was effective as of March 1989. This report was prepared by Battelle Energy Systems Group, Willowbrook, IL, under Contract No. DE-AC02-83CH10139 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

MASTER NO

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Several people assisted in the preparation of this report. The authors would like to express their appreciation here for those efforts, which greatly helped the document reach its final form.

In particular, we would like to thank Dr. J. N. Ahn of the Department of Nuclear Ergineering at the University of California at Berkeley, and Dr. G. Jansen, Jr., Westinghouse Hanford Company, for their review comments. L. Loebel, now with the Fermi Laboratory in Batavia, Illinois, systematically checked the data and enhanced the computer code. Finally, M. Steve assisted as Battelle Columbus Division technical editor and coordinated production of the various parts of the document with W. Young in Technical Illustrations and P. Dean and W. Veasey in Text Processing.

#### ABSTRACT

Analytical solutions based on the Laplace and Fourier transformation techniques are derived for the transient advective-dispersive transport of a single radionuclide through fractures (twodimensional analysis) and rock (one-dimensional analysis). The longitudinal dispersion-free solution is also reported. The geometry considered consists of either a single planar fracture (infinite diffusion in the rock) or a system of equidistant parallel fracture planes with uniform aperture (finite diffusion in the rock). The solution assumes that the ground-water flow regime is under steady-state and isothermal conditions, and the streamlines along the direction of flow are parallel. The rock matrix is assumed to be homogeneous, isotropic, and saturated with stagnant water. The flow field is assumed to be semi-infinite in the horizontal direction normal to the source, and of either finite or infinite extent in the vertical direction orthogonal to the source. The initial concentration in both the fracture and the rock is assumed to be zero. The upstream boundary conditions exclusive to the fracture correspond to either a finite patch (single or multiple) source or a Gaussian distributed source, subject to a band or step release mode. In addition to the spatial and temporal concentration of the radionuclide in both fracture and rock, this solution also provides the mass flux and cumulative mass flux into the fracture.

The solution related to the single fracture case was verified by comparing its performance with available results from other works. Two sets of solutions were derived for the multiple parallel fracture case; the first, based on a series approximation, and the second, based on contour integration, were designed to cope efficiently with small and large Fourier numbers, respectively. Of interest in the case of the rock matrix, the solution based on contour integration is considerably simplified compared to previously reported ones. This is achieved by eliminating the infinite series summation incumbent to a convolution-based approach, which on the one hand limits its range of applicability and on the other hand undermines its computational viability. Numerical tests covering a wide range of Fourier numbers show excellent agreement between the proposed solutions. For the longitudinal dispersion-free solution, criteria are also reported for the applicability of the solution for a single fracture to the case of a multiple parallel fracture system.

The general solution requires, in both cases, the evaluation of a single integral, except in the case of the solution based on contour integration, where an additional one is required. This is performed using a Gauss-Legendre quadrature scheme.

iii/i√

#### FOREWORD

Following the passage of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, the Civilian Radioactive Waste Management Program was organized in September 1983. The purpose of this program, managed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), is to develop technology and provide facilities for safe, environmentally acceptable, permanent disposal of high-level waste (HLW). HLW includes wastes from both commercial and defense sources, such as spent (used) fuel from nuclear power reactors, accumulations of wastes from production of nuclear weapons, and solidified wastes from fuel reprocessing.

The information in this report pertains to analytical solutions based on Laplace and Fourier transformation techniques used in studying ground-water flow with the FRACFLO code for the Repository Technology Program. This work was sponsored by the DOE Repository Technology and Transportation Division.

FEDERAL IN	FORMATION PROCESSIN	G STANDARD SOFTW	ARE SUMMARY
01. Summery date 02. Summery p	repared by (Name and Shane)		03. Summery action
Yr.         Mo.         Day         A. B.           9         0         0         7         1         0         05.         Bertwere Mit           04.         Softwere dete         Dimensiona           Yr.         Mo.         Day         crete         Plan	Gureghian (708) 655-86 FRACFLO: Analytical I Transport of a Decayi ar Fracture and Equidis	38 Solutions for Two- ng Species in a Dis- tant Multiple Para-	New Replacement Deletion Proviews Internal Software ID Version 1
9 0 0 7 1 0 11e1 Fract	ures with Rock Matrix D	iffusion	07. Internel Settuere ID Version 1.1
06. Software type     09. Proc       Automated Data     Intel       System     Intel       Computer Program     X Beb       Subroutine/Module     Can	Resing Mode 10. Computer System Support/Utility A Scientific/Engines billiographic/Test	Application on teneral Management/ Business ring Process Centrel tual Other	Specific Nuclear Waste Isolation Analysis
11. Submitting organization and odd BATTELLE Office of W 7000 South Willowbrook	aste Technology Developm Adams Street IL 60521	nent Code Custoc (708) 655-8	(e) and phone lian 3622
<b>13. Memotive</b> The FRACFL lispersive transport of fracture system with co into the rock matrix, a fracture. Steady-state in the fracture, and the fhe model can handle a source subject to a ste aplace and Fourier tra	O code computes the two a single radionuclide nstant aperture. It ha s well as the mass flux isothermal ground-wate e rock matrix is assume single or multiple fini p or band release mode. nsforms and a Gauss-Leg	-dimensional, space- in unbounded single ( ndles the one-dimens and cumulative mass r flow and parallel d to be fully satura te patch source or a The differential e( endre integration scl	time-dependent, convective or multiple parallel ional diffusive transport flux at any point in the streamlines are assumed ted with immobile water. gaussian distributed quations are solved by heme.
14. Kower Laplace and F Analytical Solution, Co and Two-Dimensions, Cor Cumulative Mass, Isothe Gaussian Distribution S	ourier Transforms, Gaus nvection-Dispersion, Di centration, Single Frac rmal, Parallel Streamli ource.Laplace & Fourier	s-Legendre Integratio ffusion, Radjoactive ture, Multiple Fract nes, Step and Band Ri transforms, Gauss-Le	on, Radionuclide Transport Decay, Retardation, One ure, Rock Matrix, Mass Flu elease Mode, Patch or egendre integration scheme
VAX 8700	VAX/VMS Version 4.7	FORTRAN 77	3528
19. Computer memory requirements Actual Words	20. Tape drives	21. Diek/Drum units	22. Terminela
23. Other operational requirements			
24. Softwore evaluability Available Limit	od In-Acuse anly	25. Decumentation evolvebility Available EU	nodequate tri-house enty
National Energy Argonne, IL 60	<b>N USE</b> Documentation and Software Center, Argon 439	d code are available ne National Laborator	from the y, 9800 S. Cass Avenue,
84-101			Skanderst Form 185

vii/vIii

U.E. Dost. of Commerce (FIPS. Pub. 30)

...

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Part 1

				Page
1.0	INT	RODU	CTION	1
	1.1 1.2 1.3	BACI PURI APPI	KGROUND POSE JICATIONS	1 2 3
2.0	MAS	SS TRA	ANSPORT EQUATIONS FOR FRACTURE AND ROCK MATRIX	5
	2.1	İNITI	AL AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	8
		2.1.1 2.1.2 2.1.3	Fracture Rock Matrix Concentration at the Source and Band Release	8 11 11
3.0	SIN	GLE F	RACTURE CASE WITH INFINITE DIFFUSION FIELD	13
	3.1	NON	ZERO LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION	13
		3.1.1 3.1.2	Rock Matrix	13 14
	3.2	NO L	ONGITUDINAL DISPERSION	22
	3.3	MASS	SFLUX	23
	3.4	CUM	ULATIVE MASS FLUX	27
		3.4.1 3.4.2	Unidimensional Flow	27 30
	3.5	RESU	JLTS AND DISCUSSIONS	31
	ı	3.5.1	Case 1: Concentration of Np-237 in a One-Dimensional Flow Domain	31
		3.5.2	Case 2: Spatial and Time-Dependent Concentrations, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux Subject to a Band Release Mode in the Absence of Longitudinal Dispersion	59
		3.5.3	Case 3: Multiple Patch Source Subject to Step Release and Band Release	75
		3.5.4	Case 4: Two-Dimensional Transport of Tc-99 in Fracture and Rock Matrix	76

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Part 2

4.0	MU	LTIPLE PARALLEL FRACTURE CASE WITH FINITE DIFFUSION	Page
	FIE	LD: SERIES SOLUTION	111
	4.1	NON-ZERO LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION	111
		<ul> <li>4.1.1 Fracture</li> <li>4.1.2 Rock Matrix</li> <li>4.1.3 Mass Flux</li> <li>4.1.4 Cumulative Mass Flux</li> </ul>	111 119 122 125
	4.2	NO LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION	127
	4.3	SOLUTION FOR BAND RELEASE	128
	4.4	CONVERGENCE OF SERIES	129
	4.5	REMARKS	129
		4.5.1         Fracture           4.5.2         Rock	130 131
5.0	MU FIE	LTIPLE PARALLEL FRACTURE CASE WITH FINITE DIFFUSION LD: CONTOUR INTEGRATION	133
	5.1	FRACTURE	133
÷.	5.2	ROCK MATRIX	134
	5.3	MASSFLUX	137
	5.4	CUMULATIVE MASS FLUX	138
	5.5	NO LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION	140
6.0	DIS CAS	CUSSION AND RESULTS FOR MULTIPLE PARALLEL FRACTURE SE WITH FINITE DIFFUSION	143
	6.1	DISCUSSION OF CASE 5: SPATIAL VARIATION OF CONCENTRATION OF Np-237	143
		6.1.1       Results for Case 5A: Step Release Mode         6.1.2       Results for Case 5B: Band Release Mode	143 154

Ē

164 41814

1

-

-

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

		,		
	6.2	DISC CON LON	USSION OF CASE 6: TEMPORAL VARIATION OF CENTRATION OF Np-237, INFLUENCE OF GITUDINAL DISPERSION	154
	6.3	DISC OF T	USSION OF CASE 7: TWO-DIMENSIONAL TRANSPORT 99 IN FRACTURE AND ROCK MATRIX	182
7.0	SUN	MAR	Y AND CONCLUSIONS	199
8.0	REF	EREN	CES	201
APP	ENDI	XA.	THEOREMS, LAPLACE TRANSFORMS, AND DERIVATIVES	205
APP	ENDI	XB.	QUADRATURE	211
APP	ENDI	XC.	Nth DERIVATIVE OF A PRODUCT OF TWO FUNCTIONS	223
APP	ENDI	XD.	A COMPLEX DEFINITE INTEGRAL	225
APP	ENDI	XE.	NOTATIONS	229
APPI	ENDI	XF.	MODEL PARAMETERS	235

# LIST OF TABLES

<ul> <li>3-1. Input Parameters for Case 1</li> <li>3-2a. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Step Relcuse Mode)</li> <li>3-2b. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Step Release Mode)</li> <li>3-2c. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Step Release Mode)</li> <li>3-2c. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Step Release Mode)</li> <li>3-2d. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration in Rock Matrix (B/A°) for Np-237 at x = 100 m (Step Release Mode)</li> </ul>	Page
<ul> <li>3-2a. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Step Release Mode)</li> <li>3-2b. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Step Release Mode)</li> <li>3-2c. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Step Release Mode)</li> <li>3-2c. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Step Release Mode)</li> <li>3-2d. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration in Rock Matrix (B/A°) for Np-237 at x = 100 m (Step Release Mode)</li> </ul>	32
<ul> <li>3-2b. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Step Release Mode)</li> <li>3-2c. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Step Release Mode)</li> <li>3-2d. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration in Rock Matrix (B/A°) for Np-237 at x = 100 m (Step Release Mode)</li> </ul>	35
<ul> <li>3-2c. Case 1A Results' Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Step Release Mode)</li> <li>3-2d. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration in Rock Matrix (B/A°) for Np-237 at x = 100 m (Step Release Mode)</li> </ul>	36
3-2d. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration in Rock Matrix (B/A°) for Np-237 at x = 100 m (Step Release Mode)	36
	37
3-2e. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Step Release Mode)	44
3-2f. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor $R' = 100$ (Step Release Mode)	45
3-2g. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor $R' = 10,000$ (Step Release Mode)	46
3-3a. Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time $t = 10^4$ yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor $R' = 1$ (Step Release Mode)	51
3-3b. Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time $t = 104$ yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor $R' = 100$ (Step Release Mode)	52
3-3c. Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time $t = 10^4$ yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor $R' = 10,000$ (Step Release Mode)	52

Table	Title	Page
3-3d.	Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration in Rock Matrix (B/A°) for Np-237 at $x = 100$ m and $D_{xx} = 0$ (Step Release Mode)	53
3-3e.	Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor $R' = 1$ (Step Release Mode)	57
3-3f.	Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' == 100 (Step Release Mode)	58
3-3g.	Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor $R' = 10,000$ (Step Release Mode)	58
3-4.	Input Parameters for Case 2	60
3-5a.	Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 with Infinite Diffusion at Time $t = 10^4$ yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Band Release Mode)	65
3-5b.	Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time $t = 10^4$ yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Band Release Mode)	66
3-5c.	Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time $t = 10^4$ yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Band Release Mode)	66
<b>3-6a</b> .	Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 at Time $t = 104$ yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Band Release Mode)	67
3-6b.	Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 at Time $t = 1 \times 10^4$ yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Band Release Mode)	67
<b>3-6c</b> .	Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 at Time $t = 104$ yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Band Release Mode)	68
<b>3-7a</b> .	Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time with Rock Matrix Recordation Factor $R' = 1$ (Band Release Mode)	72

t

<u>Table</u>	Title	Page
3-7b.	Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor $R' = 100$ (Band Release Mode)	73
3-7c.	Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time with Rock Matrix Retardation Factor $R' = 10,000$ (Band Release Mode)	74
3-8.	Input Parameters for Case 3	75
3-9.	Case 3 Results: Relative Concentration in Fracture (A/A°) for Cm-245	76
3-10.	Input Parameters Used in Simulation of Tc-99 in Fracture and Rock Matrix for Case 4	77
3-11a.	Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr and $z = 0$ m (Finite Patch Source, Step Release Mode)	84
3-11b.	Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 2.3 \times 10^4$ yr and $z = 0$ m (Finite Patch Source, Band Release Mode)	84
3-11c.	Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr and $z = 1$ m (Finite Patch Source, Step Release Mode)	85
3-11d.	Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix ( $B/A^{\circ}$ ) of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 2.5 x 10 <sup>4</sup> yr and z = 1 m (Finite Patch Source, Band Release Mode)	85
3-11e.	Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr and $z = 5$ m (Finite Patch Source, Step Release Mode)	86
3-11f.	Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$ yr and $z = 5$ m (Finite Patch Source, Band Release Mode)	86
3-12a.	Case 4B Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Multiple Patch Source, Step Release Mode)	92
3-1 <b>2</b> b.	Case 4B Results: X-Component of Relative Mass Flux in the Fracture $(F_x/A^\circ)$ (m/yr) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 104$ yr (Multiple Patch Source, Step Release Mode)	93

÷

: :

<u>Table</u>	Title		Page
3-12c.	Case 4B Results: Y-Component of Relative Mass Flux in the F $(F_y/A^\circ)$ (m/yr) of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 5 x 10 <sup>4</sup> yr (Multiple Source, Step Release Mode)	'racture ∋ Patch	. 94
3-12d.	Case 4B Results: Relative Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fract $(M/A^\circ)$ of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Multiple Patch Step Release Mode)	ure Source,	
3-12e.	Case 4B Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix () of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr and $z = 1$ m (Multiple P Source, Step Release Mode)	B/A°) Patch	. 99
3-12f.	Case 4B Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (I of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr and $z = 5$ m (Multiple P Source, Step Release Mode)	B/A°) Patch	. 100
3-12g.	Case 4B Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (I of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr and $z = 10$ m (Multiple Source, Step Release Mode)	B/A°) Patch	101
3-13a.	Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr and $z = 0$ m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Step Release Mode)	°)	. 108
3-13b.	Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture $(A/A^\circ)$ of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$ yr and $z = 0$ m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Band Release Mode)		. 108
3-13c.	Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix $(B/A^{\circ})$ of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr and $z = 1$ m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Step Release Mode)		. 109
3-13d.	Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (I of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 2.5 \times 104$ yr and $z = 1$ m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Band Release Mode)	B/A°) n	. 109
3-13e.	Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (I of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr and $z = 5$ m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Step Release Mode)	B/A°)	110
3-13f.	Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (I of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 2.5 \times 104$ yr and $z = 5$ m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Band Release Mode)	B/A°) n	. 110
4-1.	Sets of Values for Equations 4-30a Through 4-30e	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 117
6-1.	Input Parameters for Case 5		. 144

<u>Table</u>	Title	Page
6-2a.	Case 5A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time $t = 10^4$ yr (Step Release Mode)	149
6-2b.	Case 5A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time $t = 6 \times 10^4$ yr	
	(Step Release Mode)	150
6-2c.	Case 5A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in Fracture for Np-237 at Time $t = 2 \times 10^5$ yr (Stan Palaese Mede)	151
		101
6-2d.	Case 5A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time $t = 10^6$ yr	
	(Step Relcase Mode)	152
6-2e.	Case 5A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 for Different Times at Longitudinal Distance $x = 100 \text{ m}$	•
	(Step Release Mode)	153
6-3a.	Case 5B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time $t = 6 \times 10^4$ yr (Band Balagan Made)	150
		109
6-3b.	Case 5B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time $t = 2 \times 10^5$ yr (Band Balasse Mode)	160
		100
6-3c.	Case 5B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time $t = 10^6$ yr	
	(Band Release Mode)	161
<b>6-</b> 24	Case 5B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 for Different Times at Longitudinal Distance $x = 100$ m	
	(Band Release Mode)	162
6-4.	Input Parameters for Case 6	163
6-5a.	Case 6A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at $x = 500$ m, $D_{xx} = 0$ (Step Release Mode)	179
		1 ( 4
6-5b.	Case 6A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at $x = 500$ m.	
	$D_{xx} = 10 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ (Step Release Mode)	173

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	Page
6-5c.	Case 6A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at $x = 500$ m, $D_{xx} = 100 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ (Step Release Mode)	174
6-5d.	Case 6A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at $x = 500$ m, $D_{xx} = 1000 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ (Step Release Mode)	175
6-5e.	Case 6A Results: Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 for Different $D_{xx}$ at Longitudinal Distance x = 500 m (Step Release Mode)	176
6-6a.	Case 6B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at $x = 500$ m, $D_{xx} = 0$ (Band Release Mode)	177
6-6b.	Case 6B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at $x = 500$ m, $D_{xx} = 10 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ (Band Release Mode)	178
6-6c.	Case 6B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at $x = 500$ m, $D_{xx} = 100 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ (Band Kelease Mode)	179
6-6d.	Case 6B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at $x = 500$ m, $D_{xx} = 1,000$ m <sup>2</sup> /yr (Band Release Mode)	180
6-6e.	Case 6B Results: Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 for Different $D_{xx}$ at Longitudinal Distance $x = 500$ m (Band Release Mode)	181
6-7.	Input Parameters Used in Simulation of Tc-99 in Fracture and Rock Matrix for Case 7	183
6-8a.	Case 7 Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Multiple Patch Source)	188
6-8b.	Case 7 Results: X-Component of Relative Mass Flux in the Fracture $(F_x/A^\circ)$ (m/yr) of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 5 x 10 <sup>4</sup> yr (Multiple Patch Source)	189
6-8c.	Case 7 Results: Y-Component of Relative Mass Flux in the Fracture ( $F_y/A^\circ$ ) (m/yr) of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 5 x 10 <sup>4</sup> (Multiple Patch Source)	190
6-8d.	Case 7 Results: Relative Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture (M/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Multiple Patch Source)	191

<u>Table</u>	Title	Page
6-8e.	Case 7 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr and $z = 0.1$ m (Multiple Patch Source)	195
6-8f.	Case 7 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix $(B/A^\circ)$ of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 5 x 10 <sup>4</sup> yr and z = 0.5 m (Multiple Patch Source)	196
6-8g.	Case 7 Results: Re'ative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (')/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr and $z = 1.0$ m (Multiple Patch Source)	197
B-1.	Parameters Used to Estimate $G(\eta),g_1(\eta),andg_2(\eta,t)$	217
B-2.	Quadrature Points Required for Selected Range of Values of $F_0$ and $\epsilon/2 \sqrt{t-R_{\chi}} (D_{\chi\nu} = 0)$	220

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	Title	Page
2-1.	Schematic of a Single Fracture Rock System	9
2-2.	Schematic of Multiple Parallel Fracture Rock System	10
3-1a.	Relative Concentrations of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Longitudinal Distance x at $y = 0$ m and $t = 10^4$ yr for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $\mathbf{R}' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 1A: Step Release Mode,	33
3-1b.	Relative Concentrations of Np-237 in the Rock Matrix Versus Distance in the Rock Matrix z at $x = 100$ m, $y = 0$ m, and $t = 104$ yr for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $R' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 1A: Step Release Mode)	34
3-1c.	Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Distance x at $y = 0$ m and $t = 10^4$ yr for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors (R' = 1, 10 <sup>2</sup> , 10 <sup>4</sup> ) (Case 1A: Step Release Mode)	39
3-1d.	Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Longitudinal Distance x at $y = 0$ m and $t = 10^4$ yr for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $\mathbf{R}' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 1A: Step Release Mode)	40
3-1e.	Breakthrough Curves Showing Relative Concentrations of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Time at $x = 100$ m and y = 0 m for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors (R' = 1, 10 <sup>2</sup> , 10 <sup>4</sup> ) (Case 1A: Step Release Mode)	41
3-1f.	Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Time at $x = 100$ m and $y = 0$ m for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $R' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 1A: Step Release Mode)	42
3-1g.	Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Time at $x = 100$ m and $y = 0$ m for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $R' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 1A: Step Release Mode)	43
3-2a.	Relative Concentrations of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Longitudinal Distance x at $y = 0$ m, $t = 104$ yr, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors (R' = 1, 10 <sup>2</sup> , 10 <sup>4</sup> ) (Case 1B: Step Release Mode)	47
3-2b.	Relative Concentrations of Np-237 in the Rock Matrix Versus Distance in the Rock Matrix at $x = 100$ m, $y = 0$ m, $t = 10^4$ yr, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $R' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 1B: Step Release Mode)	48

<u>Figure</u>	Title	Page
3-2c.	Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Distance x at $y = 0$ m, $t = 104$ yr, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $R' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 1B: Step Release Mode)	49
3-2d.	Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Longitudinal Distance x at $y = 0$ m, $t = 10^4$ yr, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors (R' = 1, 10 <sup>2</sup> , 10 <sup>4</sup> ) (Case 1B: Step Release Mode)	50
3-2e.	Breakthrough Curves Showing the Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Time at $x = 100$ m, $y = 0$ m, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $\mathbf{R}' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 1B: Step Release Mode)	54
3-2f.	Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Time at $x = 100 \text{ m}, y = 0 \text{ m}, \text{ and } D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $\mathbf{R}' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 1B: Step Release Mode)	55
3-2g.	Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Time at $x = 100 \text{ m}$ , $y = 0 \text{ m}$ , and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $\mathbf{R}' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 1B: Step Release Mode)	56
3-3a.	Relative Concentrations of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Longitudinal Distance x at $y = 0$ m, $t = 10^4$ yr, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $\mathbf{R}' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 2: Band Release Mode)	61
3-3b.	Relative Mass Flux in the Fracture Versus Distance x at $y = 0$ m, $t = 10^4$ yr, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $\mathbf{R}' = 1$ , 10 <sup>2</sup> , 10 <sup>4</sup> ) (Case 2: Band Release Mode)	62
3-3c.	Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Longitudinal Distance x at $y = 0$ m, $t = 104$ yr, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Retardation Matrix Factors ( $R' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 2: Band Release Mode)	63
3-3d.	Relative Concentrations of Np-237 in the Rock Matrix Versus Distance in the Rock Matrix at $x = 100$ m, $y = 0$ m, $t = 10^4$ yr, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $R' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 2: Band Release Mode)	64
3-4a.	Breakthrough Curves Showing the Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Time at $x = 100$ m, $y = 0$ m, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $R' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 2: Band Release Mode)	69

y. . . .

<b>Figure</b>	Title	Page
3-4b.	Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Time at $x = 100 \text{ m}, y = 0 \text{ m}, \text{ and } D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors (R' = 1, 10 <sup>2</sup> , 10 <sup>4</sup> ) (Case 2: Band Release Mode)	70
3-4c.	Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus Time at $x = 100$ m, $y = 0$ m, and $D_{xx} = 0$ for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $R' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) (Case 2: Band Release Mode)	71
3-5a.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Fracture at $z = 0$ m and $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr, Step Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)	78
3-5b	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Fracture at $z = 0$ m and $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$ yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)	79
3-5c.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 1$ m and $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr, Step Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)	80
3-5d.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 1$ m and $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$ yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)	81
3-5e.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 5$ m and $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr, Step Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)	82
3-5f.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 5$ m and $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$ yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)	83
3-6a.	Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Fracture at $z = 0$ m and $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)	88
3-6b.	Relative Mass Flux Profiles for Tc-99 in the Fracture at $z = 0$ m and $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)	89
3-6c.	Mass Flux Vector of Tc-99 at Discrete Points in the Fracture at $z = 0$ m and $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)	90
3-6d.	Relative Cumulative Mass Flux Profiles for Tc-99 in the Fracture at $z = 0$ m and $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)	91
3-6e.	Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 1 m$ and $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)	96
3-6f.	Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 5 m$ and $t = 5 \times 10^4 yr$ (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)	97
3-6g.	Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 10$ m and $t = 5 \times 104$ yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)	98

Figure	Title	Page
3-7a.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Fracture at $z = 0$ m and $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)	102
3-7b.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Fracture at $z = 0$ m and $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$ yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)	103
3-7c.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 1$ m and $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr, Step Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)	104
3-7d.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 1$ m and $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$ yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)	105
3-7e.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 5$ m and $t = 5 \times 10^3$ yr, Step Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)	106
3-7f.	Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 5$ m and $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$ yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)	107
6-1a.	Comparison of Spatial Variation of Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Fracture for Different Times (Case 5A: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Step Release Mode, No Longitudinal Dispersion)	145
<u>6-1b</u> .	Comparison of Spatial Variation of Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture for Different Times (Case 5A: Multiple Parailel Fractures, Step Release Mode, No Longitudinal Dispersion)	146
6-1c.	Comparison of Spatial Variation of Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture for Different Times (Case 5A: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Step Release Mode, No Longitudinal Dispersion)	147
6-1d.	Comparison of Spatial Variation of Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Rock Matrix for Different Times (Case 5A: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Step Release Mode, No Longitudinal Dispersion)	148
6-2a.	Comparison of Spatial Variation of Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Fracture for Different Times (Case 5B: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Band Release Mode, No Longitudinal Dispersion)	155

÷

# LIST OF FIGURES

### (Continued)

Figure	Title	Page
6-2b.	Comparison of Spatial Variation of Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture for Different Times (Case 5B: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Band Kelease Mode; No Longitudinal Dispersion)	156
6-2c.	Comparison of Spatial Variation of Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture for Different Times (Case 5B: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Band Release Mode, No Longitudinal Dispersion)	157
6-2d.	Comparison of Spatial Variation of Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Rock Matrix for Different Times (Case 5B: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Band Release Mode, No Longitudinal Dispersion)	158
6-3a.	Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Fracture for $D_{xx} = 0, 10, 100, 1000 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ (Case 6A: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Step Release Mode)	164
6-3b.	Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture for $D_{xx} = 0, 10, 100, 1000 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ (Case 6A: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Step Release Mode)	165
6-3c.	Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture for $D_{xx} = 0, 10, 100, 1000 m^2/yr$ (Case 6A: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Step Release Mode)	166
6-3d.	Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Rock Matrix at Elevation $z = 0.3$ m for $D_{xx} = 0, 10, 100, 1000$ m <sup>2</sup> /yr (Case 6A: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Step Release Mode)	167
6-4a.	Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Fracture for $D_{xx} = 0, 10, 100, 1000 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ (Case 6B: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Band Release Mode)	168
6-4b.	Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture for $D_{xx} = 0, 10, 100, 1000 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ (Case 6B: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Band Release Mode)	169
6-4c.	Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture for $D_{xx} = 0$ , 10, 100, 1000 m <sup>2</sup> /yr (Case 6B: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Band Release Mode)	170
6-4d.	Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Rock Matrix at Elevation $z = 0.3$ m for $D_{xx} = 0, 10, 100, 1000 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ " (Case 6B: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Band Release Mode)	171

#### Figure Title Page 6-5a. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and $t = 5 \times 104$ yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source) 184 6-5b. Relative Mass Flux Profiles for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source) 185 6-5c. Mass Flux Vector of Tc-99 at Discrete Points in the Fracture at z = 0 m and $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source) ..... 186 6-5d, Relative Cumulative Mass Flux Profiles for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source) ..... 187 6-5e. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at $z = 0.1 \text{ m and } t = 5 \times 104 \text{ yr}$ (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source) 192 6-5f. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 0.5 m and $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 193 6-5g. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 1.0 m and $t = 5 \times 10^4$ yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source) 194 B-1, Variations of Function $G(\eta)$ , $g_1(\eta)$ , and $g_2(\eta, t)$ for x = 1 m214 B-2. Variations of Function $G(\eta)$ , $g_1(\eta)$ , and $g_2(\eta, t)$ for x = 100 m215 B-3. Variations of Function $G(\eta)$ , $g_1(\eta)$ , and $g_2(\eta, t)$ for x = 10,000 m216

#### PART 1

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 BACKGROUND**

In recent years increased interest has been registered in the U.S. and Western Europe for considering rock that may contain fractures as a viable candidate geologic medium for permanent disposal of high-level nuclear waste. The key elements prompting investigations in this area are the low porosity of hard rock coupled with its diffusive (Neretnieks, 1980) and adsorptive properties (Rickert et al., 1979).

Analytical solutions for solute transport in planar fractures reported to date are for the most part unidimensional; they neglect in some cases the dispersion phenomena as well as decay reaction at the source, and they are based on the Laplace transformation technique. The first recursive onedimensional solution for dispersion-free transport of a decay chain of arbitrary length is a single fracture with diffusion into the rock matrix was presented by Kanki et al. (1981) (see also Chambré et al., 1982). Subsequently a nonrecursive solution for a three-member decay chain neglecting dispersion in the fracture and radioactive decay in the rock matrix was reported by the same authors (see Chambré et al., 1982). Neretnieks (1980) reported a solution for the nondispersive transport of a decaying species along a discrete fracture and rock matrix of infinite thickness, and demonstrated the overall impact of the matrix diffusion mechanism on the transport process. Rasmuson and Neretnieks (1980) presented a solution for the radial diffusion problem and longitudinal dispersion in spherical porous particles; their work is an extension of the Rosen (1952) solution, which neglected the dispersion effects, and apparently an improvement of the Babcock et al. (1966) solution of the same problem. Grisak and Pickens (1981), discounting the dispersive effects, presented a solution for a nondecaying solute. Tang et al. (1981) extended the solution presented by Neretnieks (1980) after considering the dispersive component in the governing equation; however in their case the concentration at the source is assumed constant. Chambré et al. (1982) presented a set of analytical solutions r<sup>4</sup> 'ated to radionuclide decay chain transport in fractured media; these solutions neglect for the most part the effects of longitudinal dispersion. Sudicky and Frind (1982) presented the transient and steady-state solution for the dispersive and nondispersive transport of a single decaying solute in a system of parallel fractures where the concentration at the source is held constant. This solution was first revised by Davis and Johnston (1984) and the correct form was subsequently given by Ahn et al. (1986). Barker (1982) and Hodgkinson and Maul (1985), utilizing a numerical inversion of the Laplace transform, presented solutions for unidirectional and radial transport of a single decaying species in a system of parallel fractures where the initial concentration was assumed to be a function of position. Van Genuchten

1

et al. (1984) presented some exact solutions for transport of a single conservative species through large cylindrical macropores with simultaneous radial diffusion into the rock matrix. Rasmuson (1984) presented a solution for the transport of a radionuclide diffusing into a rock matrix simulated by spheres where the inlet concentration is kept constant; this work is an extension of an earlier paper by Rasmuson and Neretnieks (1981).

Sudicky and Frind (1984) presented a solution for the nondispersive transport of a two-member decay chain in a single fracture subjected to a nondecaying boundary condition at the source. An improved form of this solution approach was recently reported by Ahn et al. (1986). Moreno and Rasmuson (1986) examined the impact of two types of inlet boundary conditions on the concentration in the rock matrix for a conservative solute.

Chen (1986) presented solutions for a number of cases dealing with radionuclide transport from an injection well into a fractured porous formation of infinite extent; this approach includes a decaying and a nondecaying source and accounts for the diffusion process in the rock matrix.

#### **1.2 PURPOSE**

This report presents a set of analytical solutions based on the Laplace and Fourier transforms for the two-dimensional isothermal transport of a radionuclide in an idealized discrete planar fracture of constant aperture and a system of parallel fractures of infinite extent. The approach considers simultaneous infinite or finite diffusion in the rock matrix. The transport phenomena in the fracture include processes such as advection, hydrodynamic dispersion, linear equilibrium adsorption, and radioactive decay (the last two processes and rock matrix diffusion are also applicable to the rock matrix). The rock matrix is assumed to be homogeneous, isotropic, and fully saturated with stagnant water; the flowing water in the fractures, assumed to be under steady-state conditions, has a parallel streamline configuration. The solutions for the mass flux and the cumulative mass flux at any given point in the fracture as well as the longitudinal dispersion-free solution are also presented.

This report is divided into two parts. Part 1 (through Chapter 3) focuses on the derivation and verification of the two-dimensional solution for the single fracture case. Part 2 (Chapter 4 to the end) covers a similar endeavor focused on the multiple parallel fracture case where two solutions are reported: the first based on a series approximation and the second on contour integration.

The verification of the associated computer code (i.e., FRACFLO) is performed in the single fracture case by means of available one- and two-dimensional analytical solutions. The onedimensional solution of Ahn et al. (1985) will be used for benchmarking the performance of the reported solutions, restricted however to unidimensional flow. The two-dimensional solution of Gureghian (1987) will enable a verification of the solution pertaining to the fracture in the absence of rock matrix diffusion.

2

In the parallel fracture case the verification will be undertaken by way of a comparison of the performance of one solution against the other.

#### **1.3 APPLICATIONS**

These solutions are designed to cope with a realistic number of idealized fracture patterns, concentration distributions (i.e., single or multiple patch, or a Gaussian distributed source) decaying with time, and release modes (i.e., step and band release) associated with the geometry of a high-level nuclear waste repository in deep geologic rock media with fractures. Applications of these solutions are also reported. The reader should note the limitations of the solutions for the cumulative mass flux, which is restricted to the one-dimensional form of this code, and for the case where the velocity vector is orthogonal to the inlet or source.

The model FRACFLO was written in VAX FORTRAN Version 4.8 using the G floating point option (REAL \* 16). Auxiliary graphics programs were written using DISSPLA Version 10.5. The computation was executed on a VAX 8700 under VMS Version 4.7.

#### 2.0 MASS TRANSPORT EQUATIONS FOR FRACTURE AND ROCK MATRIX

From the mass conservation requirement, the concentration of a nuclide flowing in a discrete fracture plane with uniform aperture while undergoing sorption and diffusion in the surrounding rock matrix under isothermal and laminar flow conditions is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(A + \frac{S}{b}\right) - \nabla \cdot (\overline{D} \cdot \nabla A - AV) - Q + \frac{J}{b} = 0$$
(2-1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi \mathbf{B} + \phi_r \rho_r \mathbf{S}') + \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} - \mathbf{Q}' = 0$$
(2-2)

where

is the divergence operator  $\partial/\partial x + \partial/\partial y L^{-1}$ 

 $\nabla$  is the gradient operator  $\partial/\partial x$ ,  $\partial/\partial y$  (L-1)

x and y

V٠

z is the vertical cartesian coordinate (L)

A is the concentration in the fracture  $(ML^{-3})$ 

**B** is the concentration in the rock matrix  $(ML^{-3})$ 

are the horizontal cartesian coordinates (L)

V is the average fluid velocity vector (components  $v_x, v_y$ ) in the fracture (LT - 1)

 $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$  is the hydrodynamic dispersion tensor (L<sup>2</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>)

**S** is the concentration of sorbed contaminant on fracture surface  $(ML^{-2})$ 

S' is the concentration in the adsorbed phase in the rock matrix (MM - 1)

 $\rho_r$  is the rock density (ML-3)

 $\phi_r$  is the volumetric fraction of the solid phase in the rock matrix  $(1 - \phi)$ 

**φ** is the rock porosity

**2b** is the fracture aperture (L)

J is the diffusive rate of the nuclide at the surface of the fracture per unit area of fracture surface  $(ML^{-2}T^{-1})$ 

are the rate of production or removal of solute due to radioactive decay in the

 $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}'$ 

fracture and rock matrix, respectively (ML-3T-1)

t is time (T).

The diffusive rate of a nuclide into the rock matrix is assumed to obey Fick's law of diffusion written as

$$\mathbf{J} = -\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \Big|_{\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b}}$$
(2-3)

where  $D_e$  is the effective diffusivity in the rock matrix (Neretnieks, 1980) defined as

$$D_{e} = \phi D_{p}$$
(2-4a)

$$D_{p} = D_{d} \frac{\delta_{d}}{\tau^{2}}$$
(2-4b)

where

 $D_p$  is the pore diffusivity (L<sup>2</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>)

 $D_d$  is the molecular diffusion of nuclide in water (L<sup>2</sup>T<sup>-1</sup>)

 $\delta_d$  is the constrictivity for diffusion (L<sup>o</sup>)

 $\tau$  is the tortuosity (L<sup>0</sup>).

The hydrodynamic dispersion tensor (Bear, 1972) may be written as

$$\mathbf{D}_{ij} = \mathbf{a}_{T} \mathbf{V} \, \delta_{ij} + (\mathbf{a}_{L} - \mathbf{a}_{T}) \frac{\mathbf{v}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{j}}{\mathbf{V}} + \tau \mathbf{D}_{d}, \quad i,j = \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$$
(2-5)

where

 $a_L$  and  $a_T$ are the dispersivities in the longitudinal and transverse direction of the flow (L) $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta (L°).

When one of the axes of the cartesian coordinate system, say x, coincides with the direction of the average velocity vector V, the hydrodynamic dispersion tensor reduces to

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{\tau}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{d}} \tag{2-6a}$$

 $D_{yy} = a_T V + \tau D_d$  (2-6b)

The following assumptions are made in this work:

- (i) Fluid movement in the fracture is assumed under steady-state conditions where the streamlines are parallel.
- (ii) The fracture is assumed to be analogous to an isotropic porous medium.
- (iii) The rock matrix is assumed to be homogeneous, isotropic, and saturated with stagnant water.

With the assumption that the dissolved radionuclides are in adsorption equilibrium with the fissure wall and the filling material where the prevailing concentration is A, the mechanism of adsorption may be adequately described by a linear equilibrium isotherm (Neretnieks, 1982):

$$S = K_{r} A \tag{2-7a}$$

where  $K_f$  is the surface distribution coefficient (L) in the fracture. Similarly, in the rock the adsorption isotherm is given by

$$\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{B} \tag{2-7b}$$

where  $K_r$  is the distribution coefficient in the rock matrix  $(L^3M^{-1})$ .

The instantaneous rate of removal of a nuclide by radioactive decay in the fracture (Q) and the rock matrix (Q') may then be written as

$$\mathbf{Q} = -\lambda \left[ \mathbf{A} + \frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mathbf{b}} \right] \tag{2-8}$$

$$\mathbf{Q}' = -\lambda \left[ \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{\Phi}_r \mathbf{\rho}_r \mathbf{S}' \right]$$
(2-9)

where  $\lambda$  is the first-order rate constant for decay (T-1) (i.e.,  $\lambda = \ln 2/T_{1/2}$ ).

With the above considerations, the set of differential equations describing the movement of a typical nuclide in the fracture and rock matrix, respectively, is given by the following equation in which the parameters u and v replace previously used symbols  $v_x$  and  $v_y$ .

$$R \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - D_{xx} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2} - D_{yy} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y^2} - 2D_{yx} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y \partial x} + u \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} + \lambda RA + \frac{J}{b} = 0$$
(2-10)

$$\mathbf{R}' \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} - \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^2} + \lambda \, \mathbf{R}' \mathbf{B} = 0 \tag{2-11}$$

where

$$R = 1 + K_{f}/b$$
 (2-12)

and

$$R' = 1 + [(1 - \phi)/\phi]\rho_r K_r$$
(2-13)

are the retardation factors of a typical nuclide embodying the overall effect of the sorption and desorption reactions in the fissure and rock matrix, respectively.

Two models of idealized fractured rock systems are investigated, namely the single fracture and the multiple parallel fracture cases, where the diffusion field of the rock matrix is assumed infinite in the former case, and finite in the latter case (see Figures 2-1 and 2-2).

#### 2.1 INITIAL AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The set of differential equations, Equations 2-10 and 2-11, are subject to the initial conditions:

$$A(x,y,0) = 0, x > 0, -\infty < y < +\infty$$
(2-14)

$$B(x, y, z, 0) = 0, x > 0, -\infty < y < +\infty, |z| \ge b$$
(2-15)

and boundary conditions as follows.

#### 2.1.1 Fracture

Finite Patch Source

$$\widetilde{A}(0,y,t) = A(t) U(t) \left[ U\left( y - y_1 + \frac{d}{2} \right) - U\left( y - y_1 - \frac{d}{2} \right) \right], t > 0, -\infty < y < +\infty$$
(2-16a)

$$A(\infty, y, t) = 0$$
,  $t > 0$ ,  $-\infty < y < +\infty$  (2-16b)

$$\frac{\partial A(\mathbf{x}, \pm \infty, \mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = 0, \mathbf{t} > 0, \mathbf{x} > 0$$
(2-16c)

#### where

у<sub>1</sub>

is the location of the source center along the Y-axis

 $\dot{A}(t)$  is the concentration at the source

d is the width of the finite patch source at the origin along the Y-axis.

#### Gaussian Source

In a high-level nuclear waste repository, the concentration at the source depends to a large extent on the release rate of the waste from its canister into the ground water. A boundary condition such as a finite patch source, which implies a uniform concentration across its area (2b x d), may not adequately duplicate in situ conditions. Consequently, to circumvent the uncertainty inherent in the





**(**b)







· (@)



**(b**)





source term, a probabilistic interpretation of the concentration distribution at the source may be deemed appropriate. In this instance, a source model based on Gaussian distribution is adopted.

The boundary condition for the Gaussian source located at  $y_0$  with standard deviation  $\sigma_y$  may be written as

$$A(0,y,t) = \widetilde{A}(t) U(t) e^{-(y-y_0)^2/2\sigma_y^2}, t > 0, -\infty < y < +\infty$$
(2-17a)

A 
$$(\infty, y, t) = 0$$
,  $t > 0$ ,  $-\infty < y < +\infty$  (2-17b)

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda (\mathbf{x}, \pm \infty, \mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = 0, \mathbf{t} > 0, \mathbf{x} > 0$$
(2-17c)

#### 2.1.2 Rock Matrix

$$B(x,y,b,t) = A(x,y,t) , x > 0 , -\infty < y < +\infty$$
(2-18a)

Infinite Diffusion Field

$$B(x,y, \pm \infty, t) = 0, x > 0, -\infty < y < +\infty, t > 0$$
(2-18b)

Finite Diffusion Field

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial z}(x,y,L,t) = 0 \qquad (2-18c)$$

where 2L is the fracture spacing.

2.1.3 Concentration at the Source and Band Release

Step Release (Continuous Decaying Source)

The concentration at the source for a typical nuclide may be written as

$$\hat{A}(t) = A^{0}e^{-\lambda t}$$
 (2-19)

where A° is the concentration of the species at time equals zero.

#### Band Release

The boundary condition for the band release may be written as

$$A(0,y,t) = A(t)[U(t) - U(t-T)]\phi(y), t > 0, -\infty < y < +\infty$$
(2-20)

where

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\mathbf{y}) &= U(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_1 + d/2) - U(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_1 - d/2) \text{ for a finite line source} \\ \varphi(\mathbf{y}) &= \exp[-(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_0)^2/2\sigma_y^{-2}] \text{ for a Gaussian source} \\ \text{T is the leaching time.} \end{split}$$

U(t-T) is the Heaviside unit function defined as

$$U(t - T) = \begin{cases} 1, t > T \\ \frac{1}{2}, t = T \\ 0, t < T \end{cases}$$

The general form of the solution for the band release mode based on a boundary condition given by Equation 2-20 and which uses the superposition technique (see Foglia et al., 1979) may be written as

(2-21)

where T corresponds to the leach duration and superscript b indicates the band release solution.

#### 3.0 SINGLE FRACTURE CASE WITH INFINITE DIFFUSION FIELD

#### 3.1 NON-ZERO LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION

#### 3.1.1 Rock Matrix

The Laplace transformation of Equation 2-11 with its associated boundary condition Equation 2-18a may be written as

$$\frac{d^2 \overline{B}}{dz^2} - \frac{R'}{D_p} (s + \lambda) \overline{B} = 0$$
(3-1)

$$\overline{B}(x,y,b,s) = \overline{A}(x,y,s)$$
(3-2)

where

$$\overline{B} = \int_0^\infty B e^{-st} dt . \qquad (3-3)$$

The solution of Equation 3-1 subject to its initial and boundary conditions Equations 2-15, 2-18b, and 3-2 is given by

$$\overline{B}(x,y,z,s) = \overline{A} e^{r_b(z-b)}$$
(3-4)

with

$$r_{\rm b} = -c_{\rm r} (s+\lambda)^{1/2} \tag{3-5a}$$

and

$$\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{r}} = \left(\mathbf{R}'/\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}}\right)^{1/2} \tag{3-5b}$$

Note that the inverse Laplace transform of  $\overline{B}$  might be sought once  $\overline{A}$  is identified as shown in the subsequent section.

The transformed diffusive flux (Equation 2-3) at the interface of the fracture and rock matrix is given by

$$\overline{\mathbf{J}} = -\phi \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{s}) = -\phi \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{b}} \overline{\mathbf{A}} (\cdot \cdot, \mathbf{s}) .$$
(3-6)

Note that  $r_{b}$  in the above equation is given by Equation 3-5a.

#### 3.1.2 Fracture

After proper substitution of the transform of the diffusive flux given by Equation 3-6 into the Laplace transformation of Equation 2-10,

$$D_{xx}\frac{\partial^{2}\overline{A}}{\partial x^{2}} + D_{yy}\frac{\partial^{2}\overline{A}}{\partial y^{2}} + 2D_{yx}\frac{\partial^{2}\overline{A}}{\partial y\partial x} - u\frac{\partial\overline{A}}{\partial x} - v\frac{\partial\overline{A}}{\partial y} - \left[R\left(s+\lambda\right) + c_{f}\left(s+\lambda\right)^{1/2}\right]\overline{A} = 0 \qquad (3-7)$$

with

$$c_{f} = \frac{\Phi}{b} \left( R' D_{p} \right)^{1/2}$$
 (3-8)

The Laplace transforms of the boundary conditions (Equations 2-16 or 2-17 and 2-19) associated with Equation 2-10 are given by:

Finite Patch Source

$$\overline{A} (0,y,s) = A^{\circ}\theta(s) \left[ U\left(y - y_1 + \frac{d}{2}\right) - U\left(y - y_1 - \frac{d}{2}\right) \right], \quad -\infty < y < \infty$$
(3-9a)

Gaussian Source

$$\overline{A} (0, y, s) = A^{0} \theta(s) e^{-(y - y_{0})^{2}/2\sigma_{y}^{2}}$$
(3-9b)

where

$$\theta(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{s} + \lambda} \tag{3-9c}$$

Applying the following Fourier integral transform and inversion formula for an infinite region ( $-\infty < y < +\infty$ ) (Sneddon, 1951) written as

#### Integral Transform

$$\overline{\overline{A}}(x,\beta,s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\beta y'} \overline{\overline{A}}(x,y',s) dy'$$
(3-10a)

Inversion Formula

$$\overline{A}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\beta \mathbf{y}} \overline{\overline{A}}(\mathbf{x},\beta,\mathbf{s}) d\beta$$
(3-10b)

the y-variation of Equation 3-7 is removed as a result of an integration by parts using Equation 3-10a to get

$$D_{xx} \frac{d^2 \overline{A}}{dx^2} - \left(u + 2D_{yx} i\beta\right) \frac{d \overline{A}}{dx} + \left[iv\beta - D_{yy}\beta^2 - R(s+\lambda) - c_f(s+\lambda)^{1/2}\right] \overline{A} = 0 \quad . \tag{3-11}$$

The solution of the above equation subject to its initial and boundary conditions given by Equations 2-14 and 2-16 or 2-17 may be written as

$$\overline{\overline{A}}(\mathbf{x},\beta,\mathbf{s}) = \overline{\overline{F}}(\beta,\mathbf{s})e^{a_{n}\mathbf{x}}$$
(3-12)

where

$$a_{n}x = \frac{1}{2D_{xx}} \left[ (u + 2D_{yx}i\beta) - \left( (u + 2D_{yx}i\beta)^{2} - 4D_{xx} \left[ iv\beta - D_{yy}\beta^{2} - R(s+\lambda) - c_{f}(s+\lambda)^{1/2} \right] \right)^{1/2} \right] x \quad (3-13)$$

and  $\overline{\overline{F}}(\beta,s)$  is the Fourier transform corresponding to either type of boundary condition considered herein:

$$\overline{\overline{F}}(\beta,s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{\overline{A}}(0,y',s)e^{i\beta y'} dy'$$
(3-14)

Finite Patch Source

Using Equation 3-9a, we have

$$\overline{\overline{F}}(\beta,s) = A^{o}\theta(s) \frac{e^{i\beta y'}}{i\beta} \Big|_{y_{1} - \frac{d}{2}}^{y_{1} + \frac{d}{2}} = A^{o}\theta(s) \frac{e^{i\beta Y'}}{\beta} 2\sin\left(\beta\frac{d}{2}\right)$$
(3-15a)

Gaussian Source

Using Equation 3-9b, we have

$$\overline{\overline{F}} (\beta, s) = A^{0}\theta(s) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{(y'-y_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma_{y}^{2}} + i\beta y'\right] dy' \qquad (3-15b)$$

Putting

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{y}_0 \tag{3-16}$$

we have

$$\overline{\overline{F}} (\beta, s) = e^{i\beta y_0} A^0 \theta(s) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} exp\left(\frac{-\gamma^2}{2\sigma_y^2} + i\beta\gamma\right) d\gamma$$
(3-17)

and recognizing the following integral (see Gradshteyn and Ryzhik, 1980, p. 307)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left(-p^2 \gamma^2 + iq\gamma\right) d\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{p} \exp\left(-q^2/4p^2\right)$$
(3-18)

Equation 3-14 becomes

$$\overline{\overline{F}} (\beta, s) = A^{0} \theta(s) \left( 2\pi \sigma_{y}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left[ -\beta^{2} \frac{\sigma_{y}^{2}}{2} + i\beta y_{0} \right]$$
(3-19)
Recognizing the following integral (see Appendix D)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\sigma^{2} - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right) d\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \exp(-2\gamma)$$
(3-20)

Equation 3-12 may now be written in the form

$$\overline{\overline{A}}(\mathbf{x},\beta,\mathbf{s}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(\mathbf{x},\sigma) \ \overline{\overline{F}}(\beta,\mathbf{s}) \ \overline{\overline{G}}(\beta,\chi) e^{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{X}} d\sigma$$
(3-21)

where

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},\sigma) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left[-\left(\sigma - \frac{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}}{4\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}\sigma}\right)^2\right]$$
(3-22a)

$$\overline{\overline{G}}(\beta, \mathbf{x}, \chi) = \exp\left[-(D_{yy} - \frac{D_{yx}^2}{D_{xx}})\chi\beta^2 + \left((\mathbf{v} - u\frac{D_{yx}}{D_{xx}})\chi + \frac{D_{yx}}{D_{xx}}\chi\right)i\beta\right]$$
(3-22b)

$$r_a = -R(s+\lambda) - c_f(s+\lambda)^{1/2}$$
 (3-22c)

and

$$x = \frac{x^2}{4 D_{xx} \sigma^2}$$
 (3-22d)

Note that  $c_f$  in Equation 3-22c is given by Equation 3-8. Whence by the inversion formula (Equation 3-10b), we have

$$\overline{F}(y,s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\beta y} \overline{\overline{F}}(\beta,s) d\beta \quad .$$
(3-23)

Finite Patch Source

Referring to Equation 3-15a, this becomes

$$\overline{F}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{s}) = \Lambda^{0}\theta(\mathbf{s}) \left[ U\left(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{1} + \frac{d}{2}\right) - U\left(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{1} - \frac{d}{2}\right) \right]$$
(3-24a)

## Gaussian Source

Referring to Equation 3-19, this becomes

$$\overline{F}(y,s) = \Lambda^{0}\theta(s) \left(\frac{\sigma_{y}^{2}}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{\sigma_{y}^{2}}{2}\beta^{2} - i\left(y - y_{0}\right)\beta\right] d\beta \qquad (3-24b)$$

Hence making use of Equation 3-18, we have

$$\overline{F}(y,s) = \Lambda^{0}\theta(s) \exp\left[-\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{2}}{2\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right] \qquad (3-25)$$

Similarly, applying Equation 3-18 to the inverse transform of Equation 3-22b, we have

$$\overline{G}(\beta, \mathbf{x}, \chi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\beta \mathbf{y}} \overline{\overline{G}}(\beta, \mathbf{x}, \chi) d\beta = \frac{1}{2p\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left[\frac{-\left(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{g}\right)^2}{4p^2}\right]$$
(3-26)

where

$$g = \left(v - u \frac{D_{yx}}{D_{xx}}\right) \chi + \left(\frac{D_{yx}}{D_{xx}}\right) x$$
(3-27a)

$$p = \left( \left( D_{yy} - \frac{D_{yx}^2}{D_{xx}} \right) \chi \right)^{1/2}$$
(3-27b)

If we define

$$\overline{\overline{H}}(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{s}) = \overline{\overline{F}}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{s}) \ \overline{\overline{G}}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\chi})$$
(3-28)

then the convolution theorem applied to this equation will yield its inverse Fourier transform, which may be written as

$$\overline{H}(x,y,s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{\overline{G}}(\beta,x,\chi) + \overline{\overline{F}}(\beta,s)e^{-i\beta y}d\beta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{F}(\eta,s) + \overline{G}(y-\eta,x,\chi)d\eta$$
(3-29)

and with proper substitution, we obtain appropriate equations for the finite source and Gaussian source.

Finite Patch Source

From Equations 3-24a, 3-26, and 3-29, we obtain

$$\overline{H} = \frac{A^{0}\theta(s)}{2p\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left[\frac{-\left(y-\eta-g\right)^{2}}{4p^{2}}\right] \left[U\left(\eta-y_{1}+\frac{d}{2}\right)-U\left(\eta-y_{1}-\frac{d}{2}\right)\right] d\eta \quad (3-30)$$

Putting

$$\Gamma = \frac{y - \eta - g}{2p} \tag{3-31}$$

Equation 3-30 becomes

$$\overline{H} = A^{0}\theta(s) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\Gamma^{2}} \left[ U\left(y - y_{1} + \frac{d}{2} - g - 2p\Gamma\right) - U\left(y - y_{1} - \frac{d}{2} - g - 2p\Gamma\right) \right] d\Gamma$$
(3-32)

Hence,

$$\overline{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{0}} \boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{s}) \ \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{f}} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2} \pm \left( \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{1} - \mathbf{g} \right), \mathbf{p} \right]$$
(3-33)

where

$$E_{f}\left[\frac{d}{2} + \left(y - y_{1} - g\right), p\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left[erf\left(\frac{\frac{d}{2} + y - y_{1} - g}{2p}\right) + erf\left(\frac{\frac{d}{2} - y + y_{1} + g}{2p}\right)\right]$$
(3-34)

where "erf" represents the error function.

## Multiple Patch Source

Note that when an array of plane sources is encountered at x = 0 (see Figure 2-1b), radionuclides released from one source will influence the concentration from the remaining ones. In this case,  $E_{\Omega}$  may be written as:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{\Omega}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}_{f} \left[ \frac{d}{2} + \left( \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{g} \right), \mathbf{p} \right]$$
(3-35)

where n is the number of finite sources. The concentration in this case is said to be obtained after superimposing the solution of all such sources assumed to have the same width and initial concentration.

# Gaussian Source

From Equations 3-25 and 3-26, we obtain

$$\overline{H} = A^{0}\theta(s) \frac{1}{2p\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left[\frac{-\left(y-\eta-g\right)^{2}}{4p^{2}}\right] \exp\left[\frac{-\left(\eta-y_{0}\right)^{2}}{2\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right] d\eta \qquad (3-36)$$

The above equation may also be written as

$$\overline{H} = A^{0}\theta(s) \frac{1}{2p\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left[-\left(a_{1}\eta^{2} + 2a_{2}\eta + a_{3}\right)\right] d\eta$$
(3-37)

where

$$a_{1} = \frac{\sigma_{y}^{2} + 2p^{2}}{4p^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2}}$$
(3-38a)

$$a_{2} = -\frac{(y-g)\sigma_{y}^{2} + 2y_{0}p^{2}}{4p^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2}}$$
 (3-38b)

$$a_{3} = \frac{\left(y-g\right)^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2} + 2y_{o}^{2}p^{2}}{4p^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2}} \qquad (3-38c)$$

Note that parameters g and p in Equations 3-36 and 3-38 are given by Equations 3-27a and 3-27b, respectively. Recognizing the following integral (see Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\left(at^{2}+2bt+c\right)\right] dt = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \exp\left[\frac{b^{2}-ac}{a}\right] \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{a}}\right)$$
(3-39)

Equation 3-37 becomes

$$\overline{H} = A^{0}\theta(s)E_{g}\left[g,\left(y-y_{0}\right),\sigma_{y},p\right]$$
(3-40)

where, after substitution,

$$E_{g} = \frac{\sigma_{y}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{y}^{2} + 2p^{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(y - y_{o} - g\right)^{2}}{2\left(\sigma_{y}^{2} + 2p^{2}\right)}\right]$$
(3-41)

With the above results, the inverse Fourier transform of Equation 3-21 may now be written as

$$\overline{A}(x,y,s) = A^{o} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} \theta(s) e^{r_{a}X} d\sigma$$
(3-42)

where subscript  $\Omega$  set to f would refer to the finite or multiple patch source boundary condition, and when set to g to the Gaussian source. Substitution from Equations 3-9c and 3-22c into the above equation and using the Laplace inversion formulae (Appendix A), the final solution of the concentration in the fracture may be written as

$$A(x,y,t) = A^{o}e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma)E_{\Omega} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{c_{f}X}{2(t-R_{X})^{1/2}}\right] U(t-R_{X})d\sigma \qquad (3-43)$$

Substitution of Equation 3-42 in Equation 3-4 gives

$$\overline{B}(x,y,z,s) = A^{o} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} \theta(s) \exp\left[r_{b}(z-b) + r_{a}X\right] d\sigma$$
(3-44)

and the final solution of the concentration in the rock matrix may be written as

$$B(x,y,z,t) = A^{o}e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{c_{f}\chi + c_{r}(z-b)}{2(t-R\chi)^{1/2}}\right] U(t-R\chi) d\sigma$$
(3-45)

Note that  $c_r$ ,  $c_f$ ,  $\psi$  (x, $\sigma$ ), and  $\chi$  in Equations 3-43 and 3-45 are given by Equations 3-5b, 3-8, 3-22a, and 3-22d, respectively.

After setting appropriat: finite integration limits (see Appendix B), the integration of Equations 3-43 and 3-45 is then performed using a Gauss-Legendre quadrature scheme.

# 3.2 NO LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION (Case where v = 0)

When the direction of the flow is normal to the source (i.e., v = 0) and the longitudinal dispersion effects are neglected, Equation 3-11 becomes

$$\int \frac{d\overline{A}}{dx} + \left[ D_{yy}\beta^2 + R(s+\lambda) + c_f(s+\lambda)^{1/2} \right] \overline{\overline{A}} = 0 \quad . \tag{3-46}$$

The solution of the above equation subject to its initial and boundary conditions given by Equations 2-14 and 2-16 or 2-17 may be written as

$$\overline{\overline{A}}(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{s}) = \overline{\overline{F}}(\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{s})\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{a}_{n}\mathbf{X}'}$$
(3-47)

where

$$a_n = -D_{yy}\beta^2 - R(s+\lambda) - c_f(s+\lambda)^{1/2}$$
 (3-48a)

and

$$\mathbf{x}' = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{u}} \tag{3-48b}$$

The Fourier transforms corresponding to either type of boundary conditions are obtained from Equations 3-14 to 3-21. Hence, Equation 3-21 becomes

$$\overline{\overline{A}}(x,\beta,s) = \overline{\overline{F}}(\beta,s) \overline{\overline{G}}(\beta,x) e^{r_a X'}$$
(3-49)

where  $\overline{\overline{F}}(\beta,s)$  is given either by Equation 3-15a or 3-19 and  $\overline{\overline{G}}(\beta,x)$  is given by Equation 3-22 with  $\chi'$  substituting for  $\chi$ . Note that Equation 3-49 is equivalent to Equation 3-21 after setting

$$\int_0^\infty \psi(\mathbf{x},\sigma) d\sigma = 1 \quad . \tag{3-50}$$

The inverse Fourier transforms of  $\overline{A}(x,\beta,s)$  may be obtained in the same fashion as outlined by Equations 3-24 through 3-42. Subsequently, the inverse Laplace transforms of the resulting equations yielding the solution in the fracture and the rock matrix are given by

$$A(x,y,t) = A^{o}E_{\Omega}e^{-\lambda t} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{c_{f}X'}{2(t-R_{X}')^{1/2}}\right] U(t-R_{X}')$$
(3-51)

and

$$B(x,y,z,t) = A^{0}E_{\Omega}e^{-\lambda t} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{c_{f}X' + c_{r}(z-b)}{2(t-R_{X}')^{1/2}}\right] U(t-R_{X}')$$
(3-52)

respectively. Note that p and g in  $E_f$  (Equation 3-34) or  $E_g$  (Equation 3-41) should be replaced by  $(D_{yy}\chi')^{1/2}$  and zero;  $c_r$  and  $c_f$  are given by Equations 3-5b and 3-8, respectively.

#### 3.3 MASS FLUX

The mass flux at any point in the fracture may be written as

$$F(t) = \left[F_{x}^{2} + F_{y}^{2}\right]^{1/2}$$
(3-53)

where  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  refer to the mass fluxes in the x and y directions, respectively, given by

$$F_x = uA - D_{xx} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} - D_{xy} \frac{\partial A}{\partial y}$$
 (3-54a)

$$F_y = vA - D_{yx} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} - D_{yy} \frac{\partial A}{\partial y}$$
 (3-54b)

The evaluation of  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  is carried out after using the inverse Fourier transform of A (that is, in the Laplace domain) to estimate the spatial derivatives for the two types of source geometry considered here (i.e., finite line source and Gaussian distributed source, respectively).

Referring to Equation 3-42 the derivatives of  $\overline{A}$  (x,y,s) with respect to x and y may be obtained after applying Leibniz's differentiation rule. Using the notation  $E_{\Omega}^{x} = \partial E_{\Omega} / \partial x$ ,  $E_{\Omega}^{y} = \partial E_{\Omega} / \partial y$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \overline{A}}{\partial x} = A^{o} \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta(s) \left\{ \left[ \psi'(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} + \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega}^{x} \right] e^{r_{a} \chi} + \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( e^{r_{a} \chi} \right) \right\} d\sigma$$
(3-55)

$$\frac{\partial \overline{A}}{\partial y} = A^{o} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma) E_{\Omega}^{y} \theta(\mathbf{s}) e^{r_{a} X} d\sigma \quad . \tag{3-56}$$

Using Equation 3-22a, we have

$$\psi'(\mathbf{x},\sigma) = \psi(\mathbf{x},\sigma) 2\alpha_1(\sigma - \alpha_1 \mathbf{x}) \tag{3-57a}$$

with

$$a_1 = \frac{u}{4D_{xx}\sigma}$$
 (3-57b)

Using Equations 3-22c and 3-22d, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left( \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{X}} \right) = -\overline{\beta} \left[ \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{s} + \lambda) + \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{f}} (\mathbf{s} + \lambda)^{1/2} \right] \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{X}}$$
(3-58)

with

$$\overline{\beta} = \frac{x}{2D_{yy}\sigma^2}$$
(3-59a)

and in the absence of longitudinal dispersion

$$\overline{\beta} = \frac{1}{u} \qquad (3-59b)$$

With the error function defined either as

$$\operatorname{erf}(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\alpha(\mathbf{x})} e^{-\tau^{2}} d\tau \qquad (3-60a)$$

or the integrand expanded in a power series convergent everywhere and integrated term by term to yield

$$\operatorname{erf}(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \alpha - \frac{\alpha^3}{3} + \frac{\alpha^5}{5.2!} - \frac{\alpha^7}{7.3!} + \dots \right]$$
(3-60b)

its derivative with respect to the variable x may be then obtained after making use of Leibnitz's differentiation rule. This is written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\operatorname{erf}(\alpha)) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-\alpha^2) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} \qquad (3-61)$$

Hence, for the two types of geometry of the source considered here,  $E_{\Omega}^{x}$  and  $E_{\Omega}^{y}$  will be handled as follows:

Finite Patch Source

Applying the above equation to Equation 3-34, we obtain

$$E_{f}^{x} = \frac{1}{2px\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ exp\left( -\left[ \frac{\frac{d}{2} + y - y_{1} - g}{2p} \right]^{2} \right) \left( -\frac{d}{2} - y + y_{1} - \left( v - u \frac{D_{yx}}{D_{xx}} \right) x \right) \right] + exp\left( -\left[ \frac{\frac{d}{2} - y + y_{1} + g}{2p} \right]^{2} \right) \left( -\frac{d}{2} + y - y_{1} + \left( v - u \frac{D_{yx}}{D_{xx}} \right) x \right) \right]$$

$$E_{f}^{y} = \frac{1}{2p\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ exp\left( -\left[ \frac{\frac{d}{2} + y - y_{1} - g}{2p} \right]^{2} \right) - exp\left( -\left[ \frac{\frac{d}{2} - y + y_{1} + g}{2p} \right]^{2} \right) \right]$$
(3-62)
$$(3-63)$$

Gaussian Source

Using Equation 3-41, we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}_{g}^{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{E}_{g} \left[ \frac{2}{\mathbf{x} \left( \sigma_{y}^{2} + 2\mathbf{p}^{2} \right)} \right] \left\{ (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{0} - \mathbf{g}) \left( \mathbf{g} - \left( \frac{\mathbf{D}_{yx}}{\mathbf{D}_{xx}} \right) \frac{\mathbf{x}}{2} \right) - \mathbf{p}^{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{0} - \mathbf{g})^{2}}{\left( \sigma_{y}^{2} + 2\mathbf{p}^{2} \right)^{2}} \right] \right\}$$
(3-64)

$$E_{g}^{y} = E_{g} \left[ -\frac{(y - y_{0} - g)}{(\sigma_{y}^{2} + 2p^{2})} \right]$$
(3-65)

Equation 3-55 may now be written as

$$\frac{\partial \overline{A}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}^{\circ} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma) \left[ \left[ \frac{2\alpha_{1}(\sigma - \alpha_{1}\mathbf{x})}{s + \lambda} - \overline{\beta} \left( \mathbf{R} + \frac{c_{f}}{(s + \lambda)^{1/2}} \right) \right] \mathbf{E}_{\Omega} + \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\Omega}^{\mathbf{x}}}{s + \lambda} \right] e^{\mathbf{r}_{a}\mathbf{X}} d\sigma \qquad (3-66)$$

Definitions are given now,

$${}_{x}F_{1} = u E_{\Omega} - D_{xx} \left[ 2\alpha_{1}(\sigma - \alpha_{1}x)E_{\Omega} + E_{\Omega}^{x} \right] - D_{xy} E_{\Omega}^{y}$$
(3-67a)

$$_{i}F_{2} = \frac{\beta c_{f}D_{ix}}{\sqrt{\pi}} E_{\Omega}$$
, (i = x,y) (3-67b)

$${}_{y}F_{1} = v E_{\Omega} - D_{yx} \left[ 2\alpha_{1}(\sigma - \alpha_{1}x)E_{\Omega} + E_{\Omega}^{x} \right] - D_{yy} E_{\Omega}^{y}$$
(3-67c)

$$F_1^* = u E_\Omega$$
 (3-67d)

$$\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{c_f \chi}{2} \tag{3-67e}$$

$$= = \frac{c_{f}\chi'}{2} = \frac{c_{f}x}{2u}$$
 (3-67f)

Substitution of Equation 3-43 and the inverse Laplace transform of Equations 3-56 and 3-66 into Equations 3-54a and 3-54b gives

$$F_{i} = A^{o}e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) \left[ {}_{i}F_{1} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\overline{\epsilon}}{(t-R_{\chi})^{1/2}}\right) + {}_{i}F_{2}\left[\frac{R_{\chi}}{2(t-R_{\chi})^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{(t-R_{\chi})^{1/2}}\right] \exp\left[\frac{-\overline{\epsilon}}{(t-R_{\chi})}\right] U(t-R_{\chi}) d\sigma , (i = x,y)$$

$$(3-68)$$

Note that when the streamlines are normal to the source (i.e., v = 0) and with  $D_{xx} = D_{xy} = 0$ , and in view of Equation 3-50, Equation 3-68 becomes

$$F_{x} = A^{0}e^{-\lambda t}F_{1}^{*}erfc \left| \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{(t - R\chi')^{1/2}} \right| U(t - R\chi')$$
(3-69a)

$$\vec{e}_{y} = -A^{0}e^{-\lambda t} D_{yy} E_{\Omega}^{y} \operatorname{erfc} \left[ \frac{z}{(t-R_{X}')^{1/2}} \right] U(t-R_{X}')$$
(3-69b)

#### 3.4 CUMULATIVE MASS FLUX

The cumulative mass flux is given by

$$M(t) = \int_0^t F'(\iota) d\iota \qquad (3-70a)$$

where the integrand given by Equation 3-53 may be written as

$$F(t) = F_{x}(t) \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{F_{y}(t)}{F_{y}(t)} \right)^{2} \right]^{1/2}$$
(3-70b)

Because of the complex nature of Equation 3-70a, a closed form solution of this may be obtained only at the expense of some simplifications of the hydrodynamic dispersion phenomena inherent to the transport process. Two solutions dealing with the case of streamlines parallel to the x axis will be reported. The first will assume that the source is of uniform strength and extends to infinity (i.e., unidimensional flow,  $F_y = 0$ ). The second will assume the type of source considered in this work where the streamlines are normal to the source (i.e., v = 0), which accounts for both  $F_x$  and  $F_y$ , but which ignores the longitudinal dispersion effects (i.e.,  $D_{xx} = D_{xy} = 0$ ).

3.4.1 <u>Unidimensional Flow</u> ( $F_v = 0$ )

In the case of unidimensional flow, the y-component of velocity and transverse dispersion in the fracture are both zero (i.e., v = 0;  $D_{xy} = D_{yy} = 0$ ), p in Equation 3-27 becomes zero also. In such a situation, the width of the patch source is said to extend to infinity; hence, functions  $E_f$  and  $E_f$  given by Equations 3-34 and 3-62 will take the value of one and zero, respectively.

With  $F_y(t)$  in Equation 3-70b set to zero, Equation 3-70a becomes

$$M(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \left[ u A(t) - D_{xx} \frac{\partial A(t)}{\partial x} \right] dt \qquad (3-71)$$

н.

In the presence of longitudinal dispersion, this integral is of the form

$$M(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^\infty g(\sigma, t) U(t - R\chi) d\sigma dt \qquad (3-72a)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{f(t)}^{\infty} g(\sigma, t) \, d\sigma \, dt \qquad (3-72b)$$

where

$$f(t) = \frac{x}{2} \left(\frac{R}{D_{xx}t}\right)^{1/2}$$
 (3-72c)

We may interchange the order of integration to yield

$$M(t) = \int_{f(t)}^{\infty} \int_{f(\sigma)}^{t} g(\sigma, \iota) d\iota d\sigma \qquad (3-72d)$$

where

$$f(\tau) = \frac{x}{2} \left( \frac{R}{D_{xx}\tau} \right)^{1/2} , f(\sigma) = R\chi$$
 (3-72e)

In the absence of longitudinal dispersion,

$$M(t) = \int_{R_{\chi}}^{t} g(t) dt \qquad (3-73)$$

Referring to Equations 3-67a, 3-67b, and 3-67d, these become

$$_{x}\overline{F}_{1} = u - 2D_{xx}\alpha_{1}(\sigma - \alpha_{1}x)$$
(3-74a)

$$_{x}\overline{F}_{2} = \frac{\beta c_{f} D_{xx}}{\sqrt{\pi}}$$
(3-74b)

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*} = \mathbf{u} \tag{3-74c}$$

using the definitions

$$I_{1} = \int_{R_{X}}^{t} e^{-\lambda t} \operatorname{erfc} \left| \frac{\overline{e}}{\sqrt{t - R_{X}}} \right| dt \qquad (3-75a)$$

$$I_{2} = \int_{R_{X}}^{t} e^{-\lambda \tau - \frac{\tau^{2}}{\tau - R_{X}}} \left| \frac{R_{X}}{2\sqrt{(\tau - R_{X})^{3}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau - R_{X}}} \right| d\tau$$
(3.75b)

where  $\bar{\epsilon}$  is given by Equation 3-67e, we may then express the cumulative mass flux as

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{o}} \int_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t})}^{\infty} \psi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma) \left[ \frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{1}} + \frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{\mathbf{F}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{I}_{2}}{2} \right] d\sigma$$
(3-76)

in the presence of longitudinal dispersion, and as

$$M(t) = \Lambda^{0} (F_{1}^{*} + I_{1})$$
(3-77)

when  $D_x = 0$ . Integrating Equation 3-75a by parts gives

$$I_{1} = -\frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{\overline{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{t-R_{X}}}\right] + \frac{\overline{\varepsilon} e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{\lambda \sqrt{t1}} \int_{0}^{t-R_{X}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^{3}}} \exp\left[-\lambda \tau - \frac{\overline{\varepsilon}}{\tau}\right] d\tau \quad (3-78)$$

We further define

$$K_{0}(\alpha) = -\frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{t - R_{X}}}\right]$$
(3-79a)

$$K_{1}(\alpha) = \int_{0}^{t-R_{\chi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left[-\lambda t - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{t}\right] dt \qquad (3-79b)$$

$$K_{2}(\alpha) = \int_{0}^{t-R\chi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\iota^{3}}} \exp\left[-\lambda \iota - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\iota}\right] d\iota$$
 (3-79c)

Recognizing the following integral (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972, p. 304)

$$\int e^{-p^2 \gamma^2 - q^2 / \gamma^2} d\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4p} \left[ e^{2pq} \operatorname{erf}\left(p\gamma + \frac{q}{\gamma}\right) + e^{-2pq} \operatorname{erf}\left(p\gamma - \frac{q}{\gamma}\right) \right]$$
(3-80)

we may evaluate  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  after substituting  $\gamma = \sqrt{\tau}$  (for  $K_1$ ) and  $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{\tau}$  (for  $K_2$ ). This yields

$$K_{1}(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{\lambda} \left\{ e^{2\alpha\sqrt{\lambda}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{t-R_{\chi}}} + \sqrt{\lambda(t-R_{\chi})}\right) - e^{-2\alpha\sqrt{\lambda}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{t-R_{\chi}}} - \sqrt{\lambda(t-R_{\chi})}\right) \right\}$$
(3-81)

$$K_{2}(\alpha) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\alpha} \left\{ e^{2\alpha\sqrt{\lambda}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{t - R_{\chi}}} + \sqrt{\lambda(t - R_{\chi})}\right) + e^{-2\alpha\sqrt{\lambda}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{t - R_{\chi}}} - \sqrt{\lambda(t - R_{\chi})}\right) \right\}$$
(3-82)

so that we may express  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  (see Equations 3-75a and 3-75b) as

$$I_1 = K_0(\bar{\epsilon}) + \frac{\bar{\epsilon} e^{-\lambda R_X}}{\lambda \sqrt{n}} K_2(\bar{\epsilon})$$
(3-83)

$$I_{2} = e^{-\lambda R\chi} \left[ \frac{R\chi}{2} K_{2}(\bar{\epsilon}) + K_{1}(\bar{\epsilon}) \right] . \qquad (3-84)$$

We may then express the cumulative mass flux given by Equation 3-76 as

$$M(t) = A^{0} \int_{f(t)}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) \left\{ x \overline{F}_{1} \cdot \left( K_{0}(\bar{\epsilon}) + \frac{\bar{\epsilon} e^{-\lambda R \chi}}{\lambda \sqrt{\pi}} K_{2}(\bar{\epsilon}) \right) + e^{-\lambda R \chi} x \overline{F}_{2} \cdot \left( \frac{R \chi}{2} K_{2}(\bar{\epsilon}) + K_{1}(\bar{\epsilon}) \right) \right\} d\sigma^{(3-85)}$$

in the presence of longitudinal dispersion, and as

$$M(t) = \Lambda^{o} F_{1}^{*} \left( K_{0}(\bar{\epsilon}) + \frac{\bar{\epsilon} e^{-\lambda R_{X}'}}{\lambda \sqrt{n}} K_{2}(\bar{\epsilon}) \right) U(t - R_{X}')$$
(3-86)

when  $D_{xx} = 0$ , where  $\vec{\epsilon}$  is given by Equation 3-67f.

Note that Equation 3-85 is equivalent to

$$M(t) = A^{\sigma} \int_{0}^{\omega} \psi(x,\sigma) \left\{ x \overline{F}_{1} + I_{1} + e^{-\lambda R \chi} x \overline{F}_{2} + I_{2} \right\} U(t - R\chi) d\sigma$$
(3-87)

where  $_{x}\overline{F}_{1, x}\overline{F}_{2}$ , K<sub>0</sub>, K<sub>1</sub>, and K<sub>2</sub> are given by Equations 3-74a, 3-74b, 3-79a, 3-81, and 3-82.

## 3.4.2 No Longitudinal Dispersion (Case where v = 0)

After proper substitution of Equations 3-69a and 3-69b in Equations 3-54a and 3-54b and using the following definition

$$F_{3} = \left[ (u E_{\Omega})^{2} + (D_{yy} E_{\Omega}^{y})^{2} \right]^{1/2}$$
(3-88)

Equation 3-70a becomes

$$M(t) = \frac{F_3}{E_{\Omega}} \int_0^t \Lambda(x,y,t) dt \quad . \tag{3-89}$$

Based on the derivations presented in the previous section, it may be shown that the cumulative mass flux in this case is given by an expression identical to Equation 3-86 with  $F_3$  substituting for  $F_1^*$ .

### 3.5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The analytical solutions presented in this section of the report were verified by comparison with the available one- and two-dimensional analytical solutions. The one-dimensional solution of Ahn et al. (1985) enabled a check of the performance of the reported solutions related to the concentrations in the fracture and rock matrix, as well as the mass and cumulative mass fluxes in the fracture; the two-dimensional solution of Gureghian (1987) enabled a check of the predictive capability of the model with reference to the various boundary conditions at the source and in the absence of rock matrix diffusion.

Note that the adequacy of the transformation technique of the integral (see Appendix B) as well as the quadrature scheme (Gauss-Legendre) adopted in this work have been thoroughly examined in a previous report (see Gureghian, 1987). It might be of interest to the reader to note that in practically all the test cases reported in this investigation, 60 quadrature points proved to yield a converging quadrature.

## 3.5.1 <u>Case 1: Concentration of Np-237 in a</u> <u>One-Dimensional Flow Domain</u>

This test case deals with the migration of Np-237 in a one-dimensional flow domain, where the concentration at the source is subjected to a step release mode. The influence of the retardation factor of the rock matrix on the concentration in the fracture and rock matrix was investigated. To this effect the flow field was assumed unidimensional (i.e., v = 0,  $D_{yy} = 0$ ), and the concentration at the source was simulated by means of a plane source of infinite width. The input data pertaining to this test case are presented in Table 3-1. Results reported for Cases 1A and 1B are obtained through the general solution and the nondispersive form, respectively.

#### Case 1A - Influence of Retardation Factor in the Rock Matrix

Figures 3-1a and 3-1b show the relative concentrations of Np-237 in the fracture and rock matrix (i.e., at a distance of 100 m downstream from the source) corresponding to three values of rock matrix retardation factor ( $\mathbf{R}' = 1, 10^2$ , and 104). Results reported in Tables 3-2a through 3-2c and 3-2d) are in excellent agreement with those reported by Ahn et al. (1985). The influence of the retardation factor of the rock matrix on the movement of the solute front in both fracture and rock is quite noticeable. In both cases increasing values of R' seem to retard the movement of the solute front in both media. The explanation for these results is that the retardation factor of the rock matrix is reducing the magnitude of the apparent diffusion coefficient; the key parameter gearing the migration process of Np-237 in this medium exerts in turn a marked influence on the mass transfer process between the rock matrix and the fracture respectively (see Equation 2-10). For example, a large value of the retardation factor in the rock will increase the magnitude of the diffusive flux which may be interpreted as a sink in the equation governing solute transport in the fracture and, conversely, as a source. Referring to Figure 3-1a it may be observed that the concentration at a given point (say 100 m) decreases with increasing values of  $\mathbf{R}'$ . To gain further insight into the diffusion processes in the rock matrix and the importance of the retardation phenomenon the reader is referred to the work of Neretnieks (1980).

Species	Np-237
Initial Concentration A <sup>e</sup>	
(arbitrary units of activity/L <sup>3</sup> )	1
Type of Release Mode	Step
Boundary Condition	Infinite Plane Source
x	100.0 m
У	0.0 m
d	a)
u	10.0 m/yr
V	0.0 m/yr
D <sub>xx</sub>	Case 1A: 1.0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
	Case 1B: 0.0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
$D_{yy}$	0.0 m²/yr
D <sub>yx</sub>	0.0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
D <sub>p</sub>	0.01 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
$T_{1/2}$	2.14 x 106 yr
b	0.005 m
ф	10.2
R	1
R'	1, 10 <sup>2</sup> , 10 <sup>4</sup>

Table 3-1, Input Parameters for Case 1	Table 3-1.	<b>Input Parameter</b>	s for Case 1
--	------------	------------------------	--------------









Table 3-2a.Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With<br/>Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix<br/>Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Step Release Mode)

Longitudina) Distance × (m)	Concentration o A/A	Mass Flux o F/A (m/yr)	Cumulative Mass Flux O M/A (m)
1.0(+01	9.956E-01	9.957E+00	9.961E+04
1.0C_2+02	9.855E-01	9.855E+00	9.751E+04
1.200E+02	9.833E-01	9.833E+00	9.705E+04
1.500E+02	9.799E-01	9.799E+00	9.636E+04
2.000E+02	9.743E-01	9.743E+00	9.522E+04
2.500E+02	9.686E-01	9.686E+00	9.409E+04
3.000E+02	9.630E-01	9.630E+00	9.297E+04
4.000E+02	9.517E-01	9.517E+00	9.076E+04
5.000E+02	9.404E-01	9.404E+00	8.859E+04
7.000E+02	9.179E-01	9.179E+00	8.437E+04
1.000E+03	8.841E-01	8.841E+00	7.834E+04
1.250E+03	8.560E-01	8.560E+00	7.357E+04
1.500E+03	8.281E-01	8.281E+00	6.903E+04
1.750E+03	8.002E-01	8.002E+00	6.471E+04
2.000E+03	7.726E-01	7.726E+00	6.060E+04
2.250E+03	7.452E-01	7.452E+00	5.670E+04
2.500E+03	7.180E-01	7.180E+00	5.301E+04
3.000E+03	6.645E-01	6.645E+00	4.619E+04
4.000E+03	5.619E-01	5.619E+00	3.465E+04
5.000E+03	4.667E-01	4.667E+00	2.556E+04
7.000E+03	3.037E-01	3.037E+00	1.318E+04
1.000E+04	1.356E-01	1.356E+00	4.202E+03
1.500E+04	2.133E-02	2.133E-01	3.894E+02
2.000E+04	1.561E-03	1.561E-02	1.746E+01
3.000E+04	3.959E-07	3.960E-06	1.827E-03

Longitudinal Distance	Concentration	Mass Flux	Cumulative Mass Flux
× (m)	A/A *	F/A (m/yr)	M/A (m)
1.000E+01	8.8552-01	9.856E+00	9.762E+04
1,000E+02	8.846E-01	8.847E+00	7.915E+04
1,200E+02	8.6246-01	8.625E+00	7.545E+04
1.500E+02	8.292E-01	8.293E+00	7.017E+04
2.000E+02	7.746E-01	7.747E+00	6.202E+04
2.500E+02	7.210E-01	7.211E+00	5.465E+04
3.000E+02	6.688E-01	6.689E+00	4.801E+04
4.000E+02	5,690E-01	5.691E+00	3.671E+04
5.000E+02	4,769E-01	4.770E+00	2.771E+04
7.000E+02	3,195E-01	3.196E+00	1.516E+04
1.000E+03	1.548E-01	1.548E+00	5.517E+03
1,250E+03	7.508E-02	7.511E-01	2.142E+03
1.500E+03	3.251E-02	3.252E-01	7.531E+02
1 750E+03	1.252E-02	1.253E-01	2.385E+02
2,000E+03	4.277E-03	4.279E-02	6.781E+01
2.250E+03	1.292E-03	1.292E-02	1.724E+01
2,500E+03	3.442E-04	3.444E-03	3.905E+00
3.000E+03	1.664E-05	1.665E-04	1.402E-01

Table 3-2b.Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With<br/>Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix<br/>Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Step Release Mode)

Table 3-2c.Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With<br/>Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix<br/>Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Step Release Mode)

Longitudinal Distance x (m)	Concentration o A/A	Mass Flux o F/A (m/yr)	Cumulative Mass Flux o M/A (m)
1.000E+00	9.855E-01	9.865E+00	9.783E+04
1.000E+01	8.847E-01	8.858E+00	7.943E+04
2.000E+01	7.748E-01	7.759E+00	6.235E+04
3.000E+01	6.693E-01	6.704E+00	4.838E+04
4.000E+01	5.700E-01	5.709E+00	3.708E+04
5.000E+01	4.783E-01	4.792E+00	2.808E+04
5.000E+01	3.953E-01	3.961E+00	2.099E+04
7.000E+01	3.217E-01	3.224E+00	1.549E+04
8.000E+01	2.576E-01	2.582E+00	1.127E+04
9.000E+01	2.030E-01	2.035E+00	8.095E+03
1.000E+02	1.574E-01	1 . 578E+00	5.732E+03
1.200E+02	8.997E-02	9 . 024E - 01	2.753E+03
1.500E+02	3.418E-02	3 . 430E - 01	8.192E+02
2.000E+02	4.786E-03	4 . 807E - 02	7.956E+01
2.500E+02	4.262E-04	4 . 284E - 03	5.139E+00
3.000E+02	2,393E-05	2.408E-04	2.173E-01
4.000E+02	1.863E-08	1.878E-07	1.047E-04
5.000E+02	2,218E-12	2.240E-11	8.409E-09

		والمراجع المراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	والمتحدث والمحاولة والمحاجب والم	المتاج المحمد أشعاكا فالكري مستلك كماشي ويتبادك أأكرت المتحد ويتباد والأرا
	Elevation z (m)	R' = 1	R/ = 100	R′ = 10,000
	5.000E-03 7.500E-03 1.000E-02 2.000E-02 3.000E-02	9.855E-01 9.854E-01 9.852E-01 9.847E-01 9.847E-01	8,846E-01 8,832E-01 8,818E-01 8,763E-01 8,707E-01	1.574E-01 1.523E-01 1.473E-01 1.286E-01 1.118E-01
	5.000E-02 6.000E-02 7.000E-02 7.500E-02 8.000E-02	9.830E-01 9.824E-01 9.819E-01 9.816E-01 9.813E-01	8.596E-01 8.541E-01 8.485E-01 8.458E-01 8.458E-01 8.430E-01	8.339E-02 7.156E-02 6.114E-02 5.642E-02 5.201E-02
	9.000E-02 1.000E-01 1.200E-01 1.400E-01 1.600E-01	9.807E-01 9.802E-01 9.790E-01 9.779E-01 9.768E-01	8.375E-01 8.320E-01 8.210E-01 8.100E-01 7.991E-01	4.405E-02 3.714E-02 2.806E-02 1.796E-02 1.215E-02
	1.800E-01 2.000E-01 2.200E-01 2.400E-01 2.600E-01	9.757E-01 9.745E-01 9.734E-01 9.723E-01 9.723E-01 9.712E-01	7.882E-01 7.774E-01 7.668E-01 7.558E-01 7.451E-01	8.078E-03 5.271E-03 3.377E-03 2.124E-03 1.311E-03
	3.000E-01 3.500E-01 4.000E-01 4.500E-01 5.000E-01	9.689E-01 9.661E-01 9.633E-01 9.605E-01 9.577E-01	7.239E-01 6.976E-01 6.716E-01 6.461E-01 6.209E-01	4.726E-04 1.187E-04 2.648E-05 5.244E-06 9.212E-07
•	7.000E-01 1.000E+00 2.000E+00 3.000E+00 4.000E+00	9.464E-01 9.296E-01 8.738E-01 8.185E-01 7.642E-01	5.249E-01 3.965E-01 1.201E-01 2.373E-02 2.990E-03	
	5.000E+00 6.000E+00 7.000E+00 1.000E+01 2.000E+01	7.109E-01 6.591E-01 6.088E-01 4.692E-01 1.526E-01	2.369E-04 1.169E-05 3.568E-07 5.479E-13	
	3.000E+01 3.500E+01 3.600E+01 3.700E+01 3.800E+01	3.256E-02 1.274E-02 1.041E-02 8.476E-03 6.867E-03		
	3.900E+01 4.000E+01 5.000E+01 6.000E+01 7.000E+01	5,538E-03 4,446E-03 3,824E-04 2,051E-05 6,812E-07		
	8.000E+01	1.395E-08		

Table 3-2d. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration in Rock Matrix  $(B/A^{\circ})$  for Np-237 at x = 100 m (Step Release Mode)

Ξ

Figures 3-1c and 3-1d show the relative mass flux and cumulative mass flux in the fracture as a function of distance for a leaching time of 10<sup>4</sup> years for the three values of the retardation factor. Results reported in Tables 3-2a through 3-2c agree very well with those reported by Ahn et al. (1985). In both cases the influence of an increasing retardation factor of the rock matrix seems to manifest itself by a decreasing mass accumulation at a given point, a consequence of the decreasing concentrations registered in such a situation.

Case 1A - Time-Dependent Concentrations, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux

Figures 3-1e, 3-1f, and 3-1g show the breakthrough curves of Np-237 in the fracture, the temporal variations of the relative mass flux, and cumulative mass flux at an observation point located 100 m downstream from the source. These results were obtained through the solution of the general form of the transport equation. Tabulated results given in Tables 3-2e, 3-2f, and 3-2g indicate excellent agreement with those of Ahn et al. (1985).

Case 1B - Influence of Retardation in the Rock Matrix (No Longitudinal Dispersion)

Using the same set of data as for Case 1A (see Table 3-1), a similar investigation was subsequently carried out, ignoring the longitudinal dispersion effects (i.e.,  $D_{xx} = 0$ ) in order to verify this particular solution method (see Section 3.2).

Figures 3-2a, 3-2b, 3-2c, and 3-2d show the concentration in the fracture, the concentration in the rock matrix, the relative mass flux, and cumulative mass flux (at a distance 100 m downstream from the source). Tabulated results indicate excellent agreement with those of Ahn et al. (1985) (see also Tables 3-3a, 3-3b, 3-3c, and 3-3d). Note that because of the small magnitude in the value of the longitudinal dispersion considered in the former case (i.e.,  $D_{xx} = 1.0 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ ), the results yielded by their respective solutions are practically undistinguishable.

Case 1B - Time-Dependent Concentrations, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux

Figures 3-2e, 3-2f, and 3-2g show the breakthrough curves of Np-237 in the fracture, the variations of the relative mass flux, and cumulative mass flux at 100 m downstream from the source, respectively. Tabulated results given in Tables 3-3e, 3-3f, and 3-3g, indicate excellent agreement with those of Ahn et al. (1985).





















-

Table 3-2c. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Step Rolease Mode)

	Concentration	Mass Flux	Cumulative Mass Flux
 Time (yr)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	M/A (m)
1.000E+01	1.9816-02	2.0846-01	4,921E-02
1,100E+01	1.5878-01	1,8092+00	8,8135-01
1.3006+01	4.109F-01	3,137E+00 4 122E+00	3,283E+00 8 948F+00
1.400E+01	4.774E-01	4.784E+00	1.142E+01
1.5002+01	B.257E-01	5,285E+OC	1,6486+01
2.000E+01	8.543E-01	8,548E+00	4.849E+01
2.300E+01	6.946E-01	8,950E+00	B.677E+01
2.8005+01	7.1486-01	7,1521+00	8,0885+01 1 0370+03
210002101		1,0001,000	TIGATETOR
3.000E+01	7.517E-01	7,520E+00	1.1785+02
B.000E+01	8.414E-01	8,418E+00	3.598E+02
1.000E+02	8.815E-01	8,818E+00	7.0545+02
B 000E+02	8,3376-01	9 5385+00	2,0302+03
		0,0041,00	0,0726400
1.000E+03	9.638E-01	9.639E+00	9,209E+03
3.000E+03	9.784E-01	9.784E+00	2.867E+04
4,5006+03	9,8176-01	9,8176+00	4.338E+04
8.000E+03	9.848E-01	9,848E+00	7.780E+04
		0.0402.00	1,1001104
1.000E+04	9.855E-01	9.855E+00	9.751E+04
3.000E+04	9,839E-01	9.839E+00	2.948E+05
1.0005+05	9.7525-01	9,7622+00	5,885+05
3.000E+05	9,0555-01	9.055E+00	2.847E+06
		· · · · · · ·	
B.060E+05	8.2228-01	8,222E+00	5.437E+06
1.000E+08	7.2286-01	7,228E+00 3,782E+00	5.522E+08
6.000E+06	1.4316-01	1.4316+00	2.642E+07
1.000E+07	3,919E-02	3,919E-01	2.963E+07
3.000E+07	6,024E-05	6.024E-04	3.084E+07

Biritika pernata di kala generana di <sup>Bi</sup> ritika	Concentration	Mass Flux	Cumulative Mass Flux
	0	Ö	0
Time (yr)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	M/A (m)
2,5002+01	3,5010-04	3.8622-03	6,6552-03
2,800E+01	1,0422-03	1,0575-02	2.6555-02
3,000E+01	1,824E-03	1,8488-02	5,5122-02
B,000E+01	4,8492-02	4.8738-01	8,035E+00
1.000E+02	1.370E-01	1,374E+00	4,2918+01
3,000E+02	4.0665-01	4,070E+00	8,3256+02
<b>8</b> ,000E+02	5,604E-01	5 JO8E+00	2,118E+O3
1,000E+03	6,5296-01	(,533E+00	4,568E+03
3,000E+03	7.9525-01	7,954E+00	1,9428+04
4,500E+03	8.318E-01	8,318E+00	3,1862+04
6,000E+03	8.53 E-01	8,5355+00	4,431E+04
8,000E+03	8,720E-01	8,722E+00	6,158E+O4
1,000E+04	8,846E-01	8,847E+00	7,915E+O4
3,000E+04	9.259E-01	9,259E+00	2,815E+05
B,000E+04	9,356E-01	9,3566+00	5,412E+05
1,000E+05	9,338E-01	9,336E+00	8.153E+OS
3,000E+05	8,887E-01	8.887E+00	2.7412+08
6,000E+05	8.114E-01	8,114E+00	5,2916+06
1.00QE+08	7,152E-01	7.152E+00	8,341E+06
3,000E+06	3.760E-01	3.7802+00	1,890E+07
5,000E+06	1.4266-01	1.426E+00	2,612E+07
1.000E+07	3 , 906E - 02	3,906E-01	2,9326+07
3,000E+07	<b>8</b> ,013E-05	6.013E-04	3.052E+07

Table 3-2f. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Step Release Mode)

.

	Concentration o	Mass Flux	Cumulative Mass Flux
Time (yr)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	M/A (m)
3,0000+03	1.0186-02	1,0266-01	5.797E+01
4 . BOOE+03	3.887E-02	3.575E-01	3.8835+02
B.000E+03	8,844E-02	6.872E-01	1.1872+03
8,000E+03	1,1428-01	1,148E+00	3,0026+03
1.000E+04	1.8748-01	1.578E+00	5,732E+03
3.000E+04	4.104E-01	4.1098+00	6,687E+04
8.000E+04	5.5295-01	5,533E+00	2.148E+05
1,0008+05	8.339E-01	B, 342E+00	4.5446+05
3,000E+05	7.22BE-01	7.227E+00	1.8488+06
6,000E+05	7.041E-01	7.042E+00	4,002E+08
1.000E+08	8 . 420E ~01	8.421E+00	6.6995+06
3,000E+08	3,8385-01	3.538E+00	1.8448+07
6,000E+08	1.3662-01	1.3861+00	2.3305+07
1,000E+07	3.780E-02	3.781E-01	2.6386+07
3,000E+07	5.9016-05	5.901E-04	2.7555+07

Table 3-2g. Case 1A Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Step Release Mode)

......

.

11 **u** 

.

۰.



Longitudinal Distance x at y = 0 m,  $t = 10^4$  yr, and  $D_{xx} = 0$  for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors (R' = 1, 10<sup>2</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>) Relative Concentrations of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus (Case 1B: Step Release Mode) Figure 3-2a.



Figure 3-2b. Relative Concentrations of Np-237 in the Rock Matrix Versus Distance in the Rock Matrix at x = 100 m, y = 0 m,  $t = 10^4$  yr, and  $D_{xx} = 0$  for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors (R' = 1, 10<sup>2</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>) (Case 1B: Step Release Mode)



Figure 3-2d. Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Versus for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors ( $R' = 1, 10^2, 10^4$ ) Longitudinal Distance x at y = 0 m,  $t = 10^4$  yr, and  $D_{xx} = 0$ (Case 1B: Step Release Mode)



Longitudinal Distance	Concentration o	Mass Flux O	Cumulative Mass Flux O
~ (m)			
1.000E+01	9,958E-01	9.956E+00	9,960E+04
1.000E+02	9,855E-01	9.855E+00	9,750E+04
1.200E+02	9,833E-01	9.833E+00	9,704E+04
1.500E+02	9,799E-01	9.799E+00	9,735E+04
2.000E+02	9,743E-01	9.743E+00	9,521E+04
2.500E+02	9.686E-01	9.686E+00	9.408E+04
3.000E+02	9.630E-01	9.630E+00	9.296E+04
4.000E+02	9.517E-01	9.517E+00	9.075E+04
5.000E+02	9.404E-01	9.404E+00	8.859E+04
7.000E+02	9.179E-01	9.179E+00	8.437E+04
1.000E+03	8.841E-01	8.841E+00	7.833E+04
1.250E+03	8.560E-01	8.560E+00	7.356E+04
1.500E+03	8.281E-01	8.281E+00	6.902E+04
1.750E+03	8.002E-01	8.002E+00	6.470E+04
2.000E+03	7.726E-01	7.725E+00	6.060E+04
2.250E+03	7.452E-01	7.452E+00	5.670E+04
2.500E+03	7.180E-01	7.180E+00	5.301E+04
3.000E+03	6.645E-01	6.645E+00	4.619E+04
4.000E+03	5.619E-01	5.619E+00	3.465E+04
5.000E+03	4.666E-01	4.666E+00	2.556E+04
7.000E+03	3.037E-01	3.037E+00	1.318E+04
1.000E+04	1.356E-01	1.356E+00	4.201E+03
1.500E+04	2.133E-02	2.133E-01	3.892E+02
2.000E+04	1.560E-03	1.560E-02	1.744E+01
3.000E+04	3.946E-07	3.946E-06	1.820E-03

Table 3-3a.Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With<br/>Infinite Diffusion at Time  $t = 10^4$  yr and Rock Matrix<br/>Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Step Release Mode)

Longitudina1 Distance	Concentration	Mass Flux	Cumulative Mass Flux
× (m)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	M/A (m)
1.000E+01	9.855E-01	9.8556+00	9.759E+04
1.000E+02	8,846E-01	8.846E+00	7,913E+04
1.200E+02	8.624E-01	8.624E+00	7.543E+04
1.500E+02	8.2926-01	8.292E+00	7.014E+04
2,000E+02	7.746E-01	7.746E+00	6,200E+04
2.500E+02	7.210E-01	7.2102+00	5.463E+04
3.000E+02	6.687E-01	6.687E+00	4.799E+04
4.000E+02	5.690E-01	5,690E+00	3.669E+04
5.000E+02	4.769E-01	4.769E+00	2.769E+04
7.000E+02	3.195E-01	3.195E+00	1.515E+04
1,000E+03	1.5478-01	1.547E+00	5,509E+03
1.250E+03	7.501E-02	7.501E-01	2.138E+03
1.500E+03	3.246E-02	3.246E-01	7.508E+02
1.750E+03	1.249E-02	1.249E-01	2.375E+02
2.000E+03	4.261E-03	4.261E-02	6.742E+01
2.250E+03	1.2855-03	1.2856-02	1.711E+01
2,500E+03	3.417E-04	3.417E-03	3.867E+00
3.000E+03	1.644E-05	1.644E-04	1,381E-01

Table 3-3b. Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With Infinite Diffusion at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Step Release Mode)

Table 3-3c.Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 With<br/>Infinite Diffusion at Time  $t = 10^4$  yr and Rock Matrix<br/>Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Step Release Mode)

				Ì
Longitudinal			Cumulative	
Distance	Concentration	Mass Flux	Mass Flux	
	0	0	0	
× (m)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	M/A (m)	
 				•
1.000F+00	9.8555-01	9.855F+00	9 760E+04	
1.000E+01	8 847F-01	8.847F+00	7.921E+04	
2.000E+01	7.748E-01	7.748E+00	6.214E+04	
3.000E+01	6.6925-01	6.6925+00	4.817E+04	
4.000E+01	5.697E-01	5.697E+00	3.6895+04	
			0.0001.04	
5.000E+01	4.778E-01	4.778E+00	2.790E+04	
6.000E+01	3.947E-01	3.947E+00	2.083E+04	
7.000E+01	3.2105-01	3.210E+00	1.535E+04	
8.000E+01	2.569E-01	2.569E+00	1,116E+04	
9.000E+01	2,022E-01	2.022E+00	7.995E+03	
1.000E+02	1.566E-01	1.566E+00	5.649E+03	
1.200E+02	8.920E-02	8.920E-01	2.699E+03	
1.500E+02	3.3655-02	3.365E-01	7.954E+02	
2.000E+02	4.622E-03	4.622E-02	7.542E+01	
2.500E+02	3.9895-04	3.989E-03	4.700E+00	
3.000E+02	2.140E-05	2.140E-04	1.889E-01	
4.000E+02	1.438E-08	1.438E-07	7.780E-05	
5.000E+02	1.348E-12	1.348E-11	4.8755-09	
0			н. -	
Elevation z (m)	R′ = 1	R′ ≌ 100	R' = 10,000	
---	--	---	---	
5.000E-03 7.500E-03 1.000E-02 2.000E-02 3.000E-02	9.855E-01 9.854E-01 9.852E-01 9.847E-01 9.841E-01	8.846E-01 8.832E-01 8.818E-01 8.763E-01 8.707E-01	1.566E-01 1.515E-01 1.465E-01 1.278E-01 1.111E-01	
5.000E-02 6.000E-02 7.000E-02 7.500E-02 8.000E-02	9.830E-01 9.824E-01 9.819E-01 9.818E-01 9.818E-01 9.813E-01	8,596E-01 8,541E-01 8,485E-01 8,458E-01 8,430E-01	8.278E-02 7.100E-02 6.063E-02 5.593E-02 5.155E-02	
9.000E-02 1.000E-01 1.200E-01 1.400E-01 1.B00E-01	9.807E-01 9.802E-01 9.790E-01 9.779E-01 9.768E-01	8.375E-01 8.320E-01 8.210E-01 8.100E-01 7.991E-01	4.363E-02 3.677E-02 2.576E-02 1.773E-02 1.198E-02	
1.800E-01 2.000E-01 2.200E-01 2.400E-01 2.600E-01	9.757E-01 9.745E-01 9.734E-01 9.723E-01 9.712E-01	7.882E-01 7.774E-01 7.666E-01 7.558E-01 7.451E-01	7.953E-03 5.182E-03 3.314E-03 2.081E-03 1.282E-03	
3.000E-01 3.500E-01 4.000E-01 4.500E-01 5.000E-01	9.689E-01 9.661E-01 9.633E-01 9.605E-01 9.577E-01	7.238E-01 6.976E-01 6.716E-01 6.481E-01 6.209E-01	4.604E-04 1.150E-04 2.552E-05 5.024E-06 8.766E-07	
7.000E-01 1.000E+00 2.000E+00 3.000E+00 4.000E+00	9.464E-01 9.296E-01 8.738E-01 8.185E-01 7.642E-01	5.249E-01 3.966E-01 1.201E-01 2.372E-02 2.990E-03		
5.000E+00 6.000E+00 7.000E+00 1.000E+01 2.000E+01	7.109E-01 6.591E-01 6.088E-01 4.692E-01 1.526E-01	2.368E-04 1.168E-05 3.566E-07 5.473E-13		
3.000E+01 3.500E+01 3.600E+01 3.700E+01 3.800E+01	3.256E-02 1.274E-02 1.041E-02 8.476E-03 6.867E-03			
3.900E+01 4.000E+01 5.000E+01 6.000E+01 7.000E+01	5.538E-03 4.446E-03 3.824E-04 2.051E-05 6.812E-07			
8.000E+01	1.395E-08			

Table 3-3d. Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration in Rock Matrix (B/A°) for Np-237 at x = 100 m and  $D_{xx} = 0$  (Step Release Mode)









(m/yr) Relative Mass Flux in Fracture, F/A° (m/yr)





Time (yr)	Concentration o A/A	Mass Flux O F/A (m/yr)	Cumulative Mass Flux M/A (m)
1.000E+01 1.100E+01 1.200E+01 1.300E+01 1.400E+01	0.000E+00 1.573E-01 3.173E-01 4.142E-01 4.795E-01	0.000E+00 1.573E+00 3.173E+00 4.142E+00 4.795E+00	0.000E+00 5.079E-01 3.014E+00 5.707E+00 1.119E+01
1.500E+01 2.000E+01 2.300E+01 2.500E+01 2.800E+01 2.800E+01	5.271E-01 6.547E-01 6.949E-01 7.150E-01 7.389E-01	5.271E+00 6.547E+00 6.949E+00 7.150E+00 7.389E+00	1.624E+01 4.628E+01 6.656E+01 8.067E+01 1.025E+02
3.000E+01 8.000E+01 1.000E+02 3.000E+02 8.000E+02	7.518E-01 8.415E-01 8.815E-01 9.337E-01 9.534E-01	7.518E+00 8.415E+00 8.815E+00 9.337E+00 9.534E+00	1,174E+02 3,594E+02 7,051E+02 2,535E+03 5,371E+03
1.000E+03 3.000E+03 4.500E+03 8.000E+03 8.000E+03 8.000E+03	9.638E-01 9.784E-01 9.817E-01 9.835E-01 9.848E-01	9.638E+00 9.784E+00 9.817E+00 9.835E+00 9.848E+00	9.208E+03 2.867E+04 4.338E+04 5.812E+04 7.780E+04
1.000E+04 3.000E+04 5.000E+04 1.000E+05 3.000E+05 5.000E+05 1.000E+06 3.000E+06 5.000E+06 5.000E+06 1.000E+07	9.855E-01 9.839E-01 9.647E-01 9.055E-01 8.222E-01 7.225E-01 3.782E-01 1.431E-01 3.919E-02	9.855E+00 9.762E+00 9.762E+00 9.055E+00 8.222E+00 7.225E+00 3.782E+00 1.401E+00 3.919E-01	9.750E+04 2.946E+05 5.886E+05 9.768E+05 2.847E+06 5.436E+06 8.522E+06 1.916E+07 2.642E+07 2.963E+07
3.000E+07	8.024E-05	6.024E-04	3.084E+07

Table 3-3e.Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time<br/>With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Step Release<br/>Mode)

	Concentration	Mass Flux	Cumulative Mass Flux
ime (yr)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	0 ₩/Α (m)
2.5002+01	2.8078-04	2,607E-03	4,403E-03
2,800E+01	8.581E-04	8,5812-03	1,997E-02
3,000E+01	1.5655-03	1,5655-02	4.374E-02
8,000E+01	4 . 550E - 02	4, BBOE-01	5,789E+00
1 . OOQE+02	1.380E-01	1.380E+00	4,211E+01
3.000E+02	4,0828-01	4.0828+00	6,296E+02
8.000E+02	5.603E-01	5,803E+00	2.114E+03
1.000E+03	6.529E-01	6,529E+00	4,562E+03
3,000E+03	7,9516-01	7,9512+00	1,941E+04
4.500E+03	8.316E-01	8,316E+00	3,184E+04
5,000E+03	8.5346-01	8,534E+00	4,429E+04
8,000E+03	8.7208-01	8,720E+00	6.156E+04
1.000E+04	8.846E-01	8,846E+00	7,913E+04
3,000E+04	9,259E-01	9,259E+00	2.814E+05
6.000E+04	9,350E+01	9,358E+00	5,412E+0B
1.000E+05	9,336E-01	9,336E+00	9.153E+05
3.000E+05	8.8876-01	8.887E+00	2.741E+06
8.000E+05	8.114E-01	8.114E+00	5,291E+08
1.000E+08	7.152E-01	7,152E+00	8.340E+08
3,000E+08	3.7605-01	3,7802+00	1.890E+07
6.000E+06	1.426E-01	1,426E+00	2.612E+07
1,000E+07	3.906E-02	3,906E-01	2.932E+07
3.000E+07	6,013E-05	B.013E-04	3,052E+07

Table 3-3f. Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Step Release Mode)

Table 3-3g. Case 1B Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Step Release Mode)

Time (yr)	Concentration o A/A	Mass Flux o F/A (m/yr)	Cumulative Mass Flux O M/A (m)
3 000F+03	9.6925-03	9.8925-02	5.354E+01
4.5005+03	3.476E-02	3.478E-01	3.719E+02
B. 000E+03	6.753E-02	6.753E-01	1.134E+03
8.000E+03	1.133E-01	1.133E+00	2.944E+03
1,000E+04	1.566E-01	1.586E+00	5,6492+03
3. DODE+04	4.101E-01	4.101E+00	6.659E+04
B. 000E+04	5.528E-01	5,528E+00	2.143E+05
1.000E+05	6,338E-01	8.338E+00	4.538E+05
3,000E+05	7,2255-01	7.225E+00	1.847E+06
8.000E+05	7.041E-01	7.041E+00	4.000E+0B
1 000F+06	B.420E-01	6.420E+00	6,097E+06
3 000F+06	3.538E-01	3.538E+00	1.844E+07
6,000E+06	1.366E-01	1,3666+00	2.330E+07
1.000E+07	3.780E-02	3.780E-01	2.638E+07
3,000E+07	5.901E-05	5.901E-04	2.755E+07

,

#### 3.5.2 <u>Case 2: Spatial and Time-Dependent Concentrations, Mass Flux, and</u> <u>Cumulative Mass Flux Subject to a Band Release Mode in the Absence</u> of Longitudinal Dispersion

This test case deals with the migration of Np-237 in a one-dimensional flow domain in the absence of longitudinal dispersion. The concentration at the source is subjected to a band release mode with a leach time corresponding to 5,000 years, and the retardation factor of the fracture is taken as unity. Similar to the previous case, the influence of the rock matrix retardation factor on the concentration in the fracture and rock matrix was investigated. The input data pertaining to this case are presented in Table 3-4. The reported results are obtained through the nondispersive form of the general solution.

Figures 3-3a, 3-3b, 3-3c show the relative concentration, the mass flux, and cumulative mass flux of Np-237 in the fracture; Figure 3-3d shows the relative concentration in the rock matrix at a distance of 100 m downstream from the source. Three values of rock matrix retardation factor ( $\mathbf{R}' = 1$ , 100, and 10,000) are examined. Tabulated results in Tables 3-5 and 3-6 show excellent agreement with those reported by Ahn et al. (1985).

Figures 3-4a, 3-4b, and 3-4c show the time-dependent relative concentration, mass flux, and cumulative mass flux in the fracture at a distance of 100 m from the source. Again, three values of rock matrix retardation are examined. The peak of the curves shown in Figures 3-4a and 3-4b denotes the marked influence of the rock matrix retardation factor. The rate of concentration decrease of Np-237 at this monitoring station past the leaching time is influenced to a large extent by the prevailing concentration gradient at the fracture wall, which goars the (reversed) diffusion process (i.e., rock matrix to fracture; the higher the retardation factor the higher the gradient). The time lapse for the concentration to reach a zero value at this point will depend on the mass of Np-237 accumulated in the rock matrix; the lower the retardation factor the longer the time lapse. Tabulated results in Table 3-7 show excellent agreement with those reported by Ahn et al. (1985) for R' = 1.

Species	Np-237
Initial Concentration A <sup>e</sup> (arbitrary units of activity/L <sup>3</sup> )	1
Type of Release Mode	Band
Boundary Condition	Infinite Plane Source
x	100,0 m
У	0.0 m
d ·	œ
u	10.0 m/yr
v	0.0 m/yr
D <sub>xx</sub>	0.0 m²/yr
D <sub>yy</sub>	0.0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
Dyx	$0.0 m^2/yr$
D <sub>p</sub>	0.01 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
T <sub>1/2</sub>	2.14 x 106 yr
Т	5 x 10 <sup>3</sup> yr
b	0.005 m
φ	10-2
R	1
R'	1, 102, 104

Table 3-4. Input Parameters for Case 2









1 N

 $\mathbf{62}$ 









-

Table 3-5a.Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 with<br/>Infinite Diffusion at Time  $t = 10^4$  yr and Rock Matrix<br/>Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Band Release Mode)

	Longitudinal			Cumulative	
	Distance	Concentration	Mass Flux	Mass Flux	
	· · · · ·	0	0	0	
	× (m)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	M/A (m)	
,	1.000E+00	4.659E-05	4.659E-04	4.995E+04	
	3.000E+00	1.398E-04	1.398E-03	4.994E+04	,
	1.000E+01	4.660E-04	4.660E-03	4.989E+04	
	3.000E+01	1.399E-03	1.399E-02	4.976E+04	
	1.000E+02	4.668E-03	4.668E-02	4.930E+04	
	3.000E+02	1.405E-02	1 405E-01	4.797E+04	
	1,000E+03	4.693E-02	4.6935-01	4.333E+04	
	3.000E+03	1.302E-01	1.302E+00	3.055E+04	
	6.000E+03	1.801E-01	1.801E+00	1.506E+04	
	8.000E+03	1.5686-01	1.568E+00	8.198E+03	
	1.000E+04	1.103E-01	1.103E+00	3.976E+03	
	1.500E+04	2.099E-02	2.099E-01	3.879E+02	
	1.800E+04	4.914E-03	4.914E-02	6.661E+01	
	2.000E+04	1.560E-03	1.560E-02	1.744E+01	
	2.300E+04	2.092E-04	2.092E-03	1.772E+00	
	2.400E+04	9.856E-05	9.856E-04	7.631E-01	
	2,500E+04	4.441E-05	4,441E-04	3.146E-01	
	2.600E+04	1.911E-05	1.911E-04	1.240E-01	
	2.700E+04	7.831E-08	7.831E-05	4.659E-02	
	2.800E+04	3.051E-06	3.051E-05	1.666E-02	
	3.000E+04	3.946E-07	3.946E-06	1.820E-03	
	4.000E+04	2.80EE-13	2.806E-12	5.792E-10	

	Longitudinal Distance	Concentration	Mass Flux	Cumulative Mass Flux o	
t.	× (m)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	М́∕А, (m.)	
	1,000E+00	4.6595-04	4.6595-03	4.989E+04	
	3.000E+00	1.398E-03	1.398E-02	4.976E+04	
	1.000E+01	4.6595-03	4.6595-02	4.930E+04	
	3,000E+01	1.397E-02	1.397E-01	4.797E+04	
	1.000E+02	4.601E-02	4.601E-01	4.337E+04	
	2 000F+02	8 8235-02	8.8235-01	3.6965+04	
	3.000E+02	1.232E-01	1.232E+00	3.089E+04	
	4.000E+02	1.485E-01	1.485E+00	2.532E+04	
	5,000E+02	1.630E-01	1.630E+00	2.033E+04	
	6.000E+02	1.669E-01	1.669E+00	1.599E+04	
	7.000E+02	1.614E-01	1.614E+00	1.232E+04	
	8.000E+02	1.488E-01	1,488E+00	9.301E+03	
	9.000E+02	1.313E-01	1.313E+00	6.875E+03	
	1.000E+03	1.115E-01	1.115E+00	4,978E+03	
	1.500E+03	3.015E-02	3.015E-01	7.342E+02	
	2.000E+03	4.216E-03	4.216E-02	6.722E+01	
	3.000E+03	1.844E-05	1.644E-04	1.381E-01	
	4.000E+03	7.739E-09	7.739E-08	3.906E-05	

Table 3-5b.Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time t =<br/>104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Band<br/>Release Mode)

Table 3-5c. Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time t = 10<sup>4</sup> yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Band Release Mode)

أحربت ومترجع مناجعين والمنت وتنافيت ومعتي ووجا ويروا فالمركب المرجع البادي والمادي والمادي والمادي والمادي				
Longitudinal Distance	Concentration	Mass Flux	Cumulative Mass Flux	
× (m)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	о М/А (m)	
1.000E+00 3.000E+00 1.000E+01 2.000E+01	4.658E-03 1.396E-02 4.592E-02 8.789E-02	4.658E-02 1.396E-01 4.592E-01 8.789E-01	4.930E+04 4.797E+04 4.337E+04 3.697E+04	
4.000E+01 5.000E+01 6.000E+01 7.000E+01 8.000E+01	1.475E-01 1.618E-01 1.656E-01 1.603E-01 1.479E-01	1.475E+00 1.618E+00 1.656E+00 1.603E+00 1.479E+00	2.537E+04 2.041E+04 1.608E+04 1.243E+04 9.408E+03	
9.000E+01 1.000E+02 1.500E+02 2.000E+02 3.000E+02	1.309E-01 1.114E-01 3.100E-02 4.560E-03 2.140E-05	1.309E+00 1.114E+00 3.100E-01 4.560E-02 2.140E-04	6.981E+03 5.079E+03 7.755E+02 7.512E+01 1.889E-01	
4.000E+02	1.4385-08	1.438E-07	7.780E-05	

66

ų,

Ł	Distance	Concentration		
	<b>z</b> (m)	B/A		
	1.000E-02	4.785E-03	 	
	3.000E+02	5.252E-03	•.	
	1.000E-01	6.885E-03		
	3.000E-01	1.1556-02		
	1,000E+00	2.775E-02		
	3.000E+00	7.184E-02		
	1.000E+01	1.627E-01		
	1.500E+01	1.536E-01		
	2.000E+01	1.095E-01		
	2.500E+01	6.280E-02		
	3.000E+01	3,006E-02		
	4.000E+01	4,389E-03		
	5,000E+01	3.819E-04		
	6.000E+01	2.051E-05		

Table 3-6a. Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 at Time t = 104 yr and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Band Release Mode)

Table 3-6b. Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 at Time t =  $1 \; x \; 10^4 \; yr$  and Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Band Release Mode)

Vertical Distance z (m)	Concentration o B/A	
 1.000E-02 3.000E-02 1.000E-01 3.000E-01 1.000E+00	4.712E-02 5.156E-02 6.670E-02 1.056E-01 1.657E-01	 
1.200E+00 1.500E+00 2.000E+00 3.000E+00 4.000E+00	1.606E-01 1.403E-01 9.215E-02 2.234E-02 2.963E-03	
5.000E+00 6.000E+00 7.000E+00 8.000E+00 9.000E+00	2.366E-04 1.168E-05 3.566E-07 6.704E-09 7.739E-11	
1.000E+01	5.473E-13	0.12

# Table 3-6c.

3-60.	- Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix is	or
	Np-237 at Time $t = 104$ yr and Rock Matrix Retardation	
	Factor $\mathbf{R}' = 10,000$ (Band Release Mode)	

· · ·	Vertical Distance	Concentration		ĩ
3.4	<b>z</b> , (m)	B/A		
	1,000E-02 3,000E-02 6,000E-02 1,000E-01 2,000E-01	1.065E-01 8.684E-02 6.034E-02 3.363E-02 5.105E-03		
• • • <u>.</u>	3.000E-01 4.000E-01 5.000E-01 6.000E-01 1.000E+00	4.597E-04 2.552E-05 8.766E-07 1.856E-08 2.802E-17		



the Fracture Versus Time at  $\vec{x} = 100$  m, y = 0 m, and  $D_{xx} = 0$  for Different Rock Matrix Retardation Factors (R' = 1, 10<sup>2</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>) (Case 2: Band Breakthrough Curves Showing the Relative Concentration of Np-237 in Release Mode) Figure 3-4a.









		فتجيبه بمرعوش والمراكرين فليجت بمرحاناتها والأكريماء المعادة بقاد التكاف الم		_
	Concentration		Cumulative Mass Flux	
			MAAA PIGA	
Timel (yr)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	M/A (m)	
1.0002+01	0.000E+00	0.0000+00	0,0005+00	
1,010E+01	7.744E-08	7.7448-05	9.303E-07	
1.020E+01	1.5655-03	1.5655-02	4.374E-04	
1.030E+01	9.823E-03	9.8235-02	5.456F-03	
1,040E+01	2.5356-02	2.5356-01	2.254E-02	
1.0502+01	4.5508-02	4.5508-01	5.769E-02	
1.100E+01	1.5738-01	1.573E+00	5.679E-01	
1.200E+01	3.173E-01	3.173E+00	3.0148+00	
1.300E+01	4.1428-01	4.142E+00	6.707E+00	
1.400E+01	4.795E-01	4.795E+00	1.1195+01	
1.500E+01	5.2718-01	5,271E+00	1.6246+01	
2.000E+01	8.5475-01	6.547E+00	4.628E+01	
2.300E+01	8.949E-01	8.949E+00	6.656E+01	
2.800E+01	7.389E-01	7.389E+00	1.0255+02	
3.000E+01	7.5185-01	7.5185+00	1.174E+02	
8.000E+01	8.415E-01	8.415E+00	3.5945+02	
1.000E+02	8.815E-01	8.815E+00	7.051E+02	
3.000E+02	9.337E-01	9,337E+00	2.535E+03	
6.000E+02	9.534E-01	9.534E+00	5.371E+03	
1.000E+03	9,638E-01	9.838E+00	9,208E+03	
			1	
3,000E+03	9.784E-01	9.784E+00	2.8672+04	
8.000E+03	2.1236-02	2.123E-01	4.892E+04	
1.000E+04	4.668E-03	4.668E-02	4.930E+04	
3.000E+04	8.161E-04	8.161E-03	4.9822+04	
8.000E+04	2.0098-04	2.009E-03	4.972E+04	
1.000E+05	8.976E-05	8.976E-04	4.977E+04	
3.000E+05	1.5788-05	1.578E-04	4.9855+04	
6.000E+05	5.029E-08	5.029E-05	4 987E+04	
1.000E+08	2.048E-06	2.048E-05	4 989E+04	
3,000E+08	2.057E-07	2.0575-06	4.990E+04	
6.000E+06	2.751E-08	2.751E-07	4.990E+04	

Table 3-7a. Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time with Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 1 (Band Release Mode)

Time (yr)	Concentration	Mass Flux	Cumulative
	o	o	Mass Flux
	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	M/A (m)
1.000E+01	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	0,000E+00
1.500E+01	2.540E-10	2.540E-09	5,675E-10
2.000E+01	7.744E-05	7.744E-05	6,303E-05
2.100E+01	2.008E-05	2.008E-04	1,944E-04
2.230E+01	5.521E-05	5.521E-04	6,541E-04
2.500E+01	2,007E-04	2.607E-03	4,403E-03
3.000E+01	1,565E-03	1.565E+02	4,374E-02
6.000E+01	4,550E-02	4.550E-01	5,769E+00
1.000E+02	1,360E-01	1.360E+00	4,211E+01
3.000E+02	4,062E-01	4.062E+00	6,296E+02
6.000E+02	5,603E-01	5.803E+00	2.114E+03
1.000E+03	6,529E-01	6.529E+00	4.562E+03
3.000E+03	7,9B1E-01	7.951E+00	1.941E+04
6.000E+03	2,015E-01	2.015E+00	3.974E+04
1.000E+04	4,601E-02	4.801E-01	4.337E+04
3.000E+04	6.139E-03	6.139E-02	4.653E+04
6.000E+04	2.006E-03	2.006E-02	4.757E+04
1.000E+05	8.966E-04	8.966E-03	4.810E+04
3.000E+05	1.577E-04	1.577E-03	4.883E+04
6.000E+05	5.028E-05	5.028E-04	4.910E+04
1 . 000E+06	2 . 048E - 05	2.048E-04	4,923E+04
3 . 000E+06	2 . 057E - 05	2.057E-05	4,938E+04
6 . 000E+06	2 . 751E - 07	2.751E-05	4,939E+04

'Table 3-7b. Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time With Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 100 (Band Release Mode)

	Concentration	Masis Flux	Cumulative Mass Flux	
Time (yr)	A/A	F/A (m/yr)	M/A (m)	
1.000E+02	2,9625-50	2.9822-49	2.347E-49	
8.000E+02	4,884E-07	4.864E-08	2.5855-04	
9.000E+02	2.1326-06	2.1328-05	1.401E-03	
1.0008+03	6,966E-06	6 . 966E - 05	5.5678-03	
1.500E+03	2.4855-04	2.485E-03	4.147E-01	
2.000E+03	1.5226-03	1.5228-02	4,217E+00	
3.000E+03	9.6922-03	9.6922-02	5,354E+01	
6.000E+03	6.7522-02	6.752E-01	1,134E+03	
1,000E+04	1.114E-01	1,114E+00	5.079E+03	
3.000E+04	4.2728-02	4.272E-01	1.957E+04	
B.000E+04	1.6886-02	1.888E-01	2.7555+04	
1.000E+05	8.100E-03	8.100E-02	3.220E+04	
3.000E+05	1.525E-03	1.5256-04	3,905E+04	
6.000E+05	4 . 948E-04	4.946E-03	4.184E+04	
1.000E+08	2.028E-04	2.028E-03	4.291E+04	
000E+08	2.050E-05	2.050E-04	4.428E+04	
6.000E+08	2.7485-08	2.746E-05	4.453E+04	

Table 3-7c. Case 2 Results: Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 Over Time with Rock Matrix Retardation Factor R' = 10,000 (Band Release Mode)

#### 3.5.3 <u>Case 3: Multiple Patch Source Subject to Step</u> <u>Release and Band Release</u>

The two-dimensional solution restricted to the fracture plane was verified by means of a test example dealing with the transport of Cm-245 subject to a step and band release mode. The geometry of the source corresponds to an array of patch sources (11 in total) with an individual width of 5 meters and spaced at intervals of 5 meters. The list of parameters related to this case is given in Table 3-8. Test runs for four different times reported in Table 3-9 are in exact agreement with results predicted by MASCOT (see Gureghian, 1987) for the same input parameters. Note that the two-dimensional model in MASCOT simulates transport of a radionuclide decay chain in a fracture of unit thickness, whereby diffusion into the rock matrix is ignored.

Species	Cm-245
Initial Concentration A <sup>o</sup> (arbitrary unit of activity/L <sup>3</sup> )	1
Type of Release Mode	Step and Band
Boundary Condition	Array of finite patch sources (total of 11)
Location	100.0 m downstream
У1	100 m
d .	5.0 m
Spacing Between Sources	5.0 m
u	10.0 m/yr
v	0 m/yr
D <sub>xx</sub>	1,000 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
D <sub>yy</sub>	200 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
D <sub>yx</sub>	0.0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
D <sub>p</sub>	0.0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
T <sub>1/2</sub>	8,500 yr
$T_{L}$	10 <sup>5</sup> yr
b	5 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> m yr
R	5,000
R'	1.0

## Table 3-8. Input Parameters for Case 3

Lateral Distance		Т	ime	
(m)	1.0 x 104 yr	5.0 x 104 yr	1.5 x 10 <sup>5</sup> yr	2.0 x 10 <sup>5</sup> yr
0,0	0.3004 x 10 <sup>.5</sup>	0.8548 x 10 <sup>.4</sup>	0.5897 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.5568 x 10 <sup>.9</sup>
50,0	$0.1083 \times 10^{-2}$	0.6852 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.1358 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.9476 x 10 <sup>.9</sup>
75.0	$0.7469 \ge 10^{-2}$	0.1687 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.1835 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.1443 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>
100.0	0.0237	$0.3327 \times 10^{-2}$	0.2280 x 10 <sup>.6</sup>	0.1308 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>
125.0	0.0364	0.4678 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.2600 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.1419 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>
150.0	0.0393	0.5119 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.2717 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0,1458 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>

Table 3-9. Case 3 Results: Relative Concentration in Fracture  $(A/A^{\circ})$  for Cm-245

Note: Values determined for this restricted case correspond exactly to values predicted by MASCOT (Gureghian, 1987) for the same input parameters.

## 3.5.4 <u>Case 4: Two-Dimensional Transport of Tc-99</u> in Fracture and Rock Matrix

The results reported here illustrate the full application of the solutions dealing with the three types of concentration distribution at inlet, namely finite patch, multiple patch, and Gaussian distributed source, subject to a step and band release mode. The input parameters pertinent to these problems are reported in Table 3-10. Graphical interpretation of the numerical results yielded by the finite line and a Gaussian distributed source are reported in the form of concentration isopleths; these isopleths were generated using a bilinear interpolation scheme. The simulation times for all three test cases reported in the subsequent sections range between 2.5 x 10<sup>4</sup> and 5 x 10<sup>4</sup> years.

#### Case 4A - Finite Patch Source

Figures 3-5a (Step Release) and 3-5b (Band Release) show the relative concentration isopleths for Tc-99 in the fracture. Figures 3-5c and 3-5d give corresponding data at 1 m in the rock matrix, and Figures 3-5e and 3-5f at 5 m. The finite patch source is 50 m wide and centered at a distance of 350 m on the y-axis. Results indicate that the axis of symmetry of the plume follows to a reasonable approximation the same prescribed direction (northwest) of ground-water flow in the fracture plane. The area of the plume defined here by the relative concentration isopleth of 0.001 seems to decrease with increasing height. Tabulated results are given in Tables 3-11a, 3-11b, 3-11c, 3-11d, 3-11e, and 3-11f.

÷

Boundary Type	Case 4A (FPS)	Case 4B (AFPS)	Case 4C (GDS)
u (m/yr)	2.	2.	2.
v (m/yr)	1.	0.	1.
a <sub>L</sub> (m)	5	5	5
a <sub>r</sub> (m)	2	2	2
$D_p(m^2/yr)$	10-2	10-2	10-2
$D_d (m^2/yr)$	$1.2 \times 10^{-2}$	1.2 x 10-2	1.2 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>
τ	0.5	0.5	0.5
φ	10-2	10-2	10-2
b (m)	5 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5 x 10-3	5 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
R	10	10	10
R'	100	100	100
T (yr)	104	*	104
T <sub>1/2</sub> (yr)	$2.13 \times 10^5$	$2.13 \times 10^5$	2.13 x 10 <sup>5</sup>
y <sub>0</sub> (m)		. , ,	350
σ (m)			20
d (m)	50	10	
y <sub>1</sub> (m)	350	100	
y <sub>2</sub> (m)	·	200	'
y <sub>3</sub> (m)		300	

Table 3-10.Input Parameters Used in Simulation of Tc-99in Fracture and Rock Matrix for Case 4

Note: Initial concentration at the source  $A^{\circ}$  (arbitrary unit of activity/L<sup>3</sup>) = 1.

Key: FPS = Finite Patch Source

AFPS = Array of Finite Patch Sources

GDS = Gaussian Distributed Source



Figure 3-5a. Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^3$  yr, Step Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)



Figure 3-5b. Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and  $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$  yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)



Figure 3-5c. Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 1 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^3$  yr, Step Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)



Figure 3-5d. Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 1 m and  $t = 2.5 = 10^4$  yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)







Figure 3-5f. Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 5 m and  $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$  yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4A: Finite Patch Source)

Table 3-11a. Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^3$  yr and z = 0 m (Finite Patch Source, Step Release Mode)

		Lateral UI	stance y (m)				
250	300	350	400	450	500	550	600
000F+00	O DODOFLOD	0 693065100	0.00000	0.00000			
	0. 200 PC	0.3630011400	0. 0000E+00	0. 0000E+00	0.00000E+00	0,0000000+000	0.00000E+00
70-38/92	0.44683E-03	-0.33514E+00	0.26954E+00	0.18355E-02	0 28088F-05	0 263585-08	0 165675-11
7525E-08	0.98135E-04	0.46890E-01	0 23414F+00	0 304285-01	0 267215-03		0.000471.00
5042E-08	0.12385E-04	0 51147F-02	0 730755-01		0.264115 00	0.022315-00	0.0824/E-09
14 16C 00	0 110061 05				0.20411E-02	U. 21/81E-04	03878cc.0
	0.1300511.0	0.45222E-03	U.12619E-01	0.25862E-01	0.53857E-02	0.16003E-03	0 110365-05
0234E-10	0.781255-07	0.31980E-04	0.14215E-02	0.65049F-02	0 377485-02	0 341085-03	
3351E-11	0.41312E-08	0 17851E-05	0 112955-03	0.96138E-03	0 100775-00		
931F-13	0 167435-00	0 779626-07				0. 20 120E-U3	0.13333E-04
		0-1007E-0	CO-JEtoco' O	0.918156-04	0.22550E-C3	0.10940E-03	0.11761E-04
	250 0000E+00 25795-07 7525E-08 5042E-08 55425-08 534E-10 3351E-11 9331E-13	250   300     0000E+00   0.0000E+00     25795-07   0.446835-03     7525E-08   0.98135E-04     5042E-08   0.12385E-04     534E-10   0.1306E-05     3351E-11   0.461312E-08     931E-13   0.16743E-08	250 300 350   350 350 350   0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.9838EE+00   25795-07 0.44683E-03 0.33514E+00   7525E-08 0.98135E-04 0.45890E-01   5042E-08 0.12385E-04 0.51147E-02   5146-10 0.12385E-04 0.51147E-02   55355-03 0.13355E-04 0.51147E-02   533555-03 0.13355E-04 0.51147E-02   533555-03 0.13355E-04 0.71855E-03   533516-11 0.167435-02 0.77852E-07   93315-13 0.167435-02 0.77852E-07	250   300   350   400     0000E+00   0.0000E+00   0.9838EE+00   0.00000E+00     25795-07   0.44683E-03   0.33514E+00   0.26954E+00     7525E-08   0.98135E-04   0.46890E-01   0.23414E+00     5042E-08   0.98135E-04   0.51147E-02   0.73075E-01     5146E-09   0.11306E-05   0.45222E-03   0.12619E-01     1234E=10   0.78125E-07   0.31980E-04   0.14215E-02     3351E=11   0.41312E-08   0.17851E-05   0.11295E-03     9331E=13   0.16743E-02   0.77862E-07   0.65645E-05	250   300   350   400   450     0000E+00   0.00000E+00   0.9338EE+00   0.00000E+00   0.00000E+00     25795-07   0.44683E-03   0.33514E+00   0.26954E+00   0.18355E-02     7525E-08   0.98135E-04   0.51147E-02   0.73075E-01   0.50186E-01     5042E-08   0.11306E-05   0.45222E-03   0.12619E-01   0.50186E-01     1234E=10   0.73075E-01   0.50186E-01   0.25862E-01   0.560496E-02     1234E=10   0.78125E-07   0.31980E-04   0.14215E-02   0.65049E-02   0.65049E-02     1235E=11   0.41312E-08   0.17851E-05   0.11295E-03   0.96128E-02   0.96128E-02     1335E=11   0.43122E-07   0.77862E-07   0.78645E-05   0.91815E-04	250   300   350   400   450   500     0000E+00   0.00000E+00   0.98386E+00   0.00000E+00   0.00000E+00   0.00000E+00     25795-07   0.44683E-03   0.33514E+00   0.26954E+00   0.00000E+00   0.26721E-03     7525E-08   0.98135E-04   0.51147E-02   0.23414E+00   0.30428E-01   0.26721E-03     5042E-08   0.98135E-04   0.51147E-02   0.73075E-01   0.26721E-03   0.26721E-03     5346-10   0.12619E-01   0.25862E-01   0.26741E-02   0.30428E-01   0.26741E-02     234E-10   0.12619E-01   0.25862E-01   0.37748E-02   0.37748E-02   0.37748E-02     234E-11   0.41312E-08   0.17851E-05   0.11295E-03   0.12377E-02   0.37748E-02     3351E-11   0.41312E-08   0.17851E-05   0.11295E-03   0.25560E-02   0.32766E-02     3351E-13   0.16743E-02   0.65645E-05   0.65645E-05   0.23560E-02   0.25560E-02	250   300   350   400   450   500   550     0000E+00   0.00000E+00   0.98386E+00   0.00000E+00   0.00000E+00   0.00000E+00     25795-07   0.44683E-03   0.33514E+00   0.26954E+00   0.18355E-02   0.28088E-05   0.26358E-08     7525E-08   0.98135E-04   0.51147E-02   0.23414E+00   0.30428E-01   0.26721E-03   0.62231E-06     7525E-08   0.98135E-04   0.51147E-02   0.73075E-01   0.26728E-01   0.26721E-03   0.62231E-06     5042E-08   0.12385E-04   0.51147E-02   0.73075E-01   0.26728E-01   0.267216-03   0.62231E-06     5345E-10   0.12385E-04   0.51147E-02   0.73075E-01   0.25862E-01   0.267216-03   0.62031E-06     5345E-11   0.41305E-05   0.45215E-03   0.12619E-01   0.25862E-01   0.53857E-02   0.34198E-03     3254E-11   0.41312E-08   0.17851E-05   0.11295E-03   0.65048E-02   0.34198E-03     3351E-11   0.41312E-06   0.177852E-07   0.565045E-05   0.12377E-02   0.28126E-03     3351E-13   0.16743E-02   0.717852E-07   0.65645E-05

Extracted data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$  yr and z = 0 m (Finite Patch Source, Band Release Mode) Table 3-11b.

Lateral Distance y (m)	250 340 430 520 E10 700 750 880 1000	0.0000E+00     0.0000E+01     0.3216E-21     0.3216E-21     0.3218E-21     0.31182E-12     0.13183E-12     0.13183E-12     0.13200E-07     0.33457E-12     0.13183E-12     0.131832E-12     0.131832E-12     0.131832E-12 </th
	250	0.0000E+00 0.12162E-07 0.473776-08 0.39347E-09 0.20760E-10 0.20760E-10 0.18441E-13 0.35711E-15 0.35711E-15 0.54225E-17 0.65528E-19 0.65528E-19
Dístance Along x-Axis	( <b>f</b> .)	0.0 100.0 200.0 500.0 500.0 500.0 800.0 900.0 900.0

Extracted deta; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

Table 3-11c. Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 x 10^3$  yr and z = 1 m (Finite Patch Source, Step Release Mode)

ļ

Distance			Lateral Dis	stance y (m)				
(m) (m)	250	300	350	400	450	500	550	600
0 0	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.31458E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00
50.0	0.13977E-08	0.81458E-04	0.75550E-01	0.56706E-01	0.26329E-03	0.23440E-06	0.12132E-09	0.40865E-13
100.0	0.76290E-09	0.11877E-04	0.70738E-02	0.34394E-01	0.36552E-02	0.21137E-04	0.28992E-07	0.17722E-10
150.0	0.13004E-09	0.95871E-06	0.49666E-03	0.72388E-02	0.43991E-02	0.17267E-03	0.91379E-06	0.13856E-08
200.0	0.11464E-10	0.54775E-07	0.27616E-04	0.81839E-03	0.715529E-02	0.26336E-03	0.55379E-05	0.24306E-07
250.0	0.63203E-12	0.23345E-08	0.12089E-05	0.58835E-04	0.25883E-03	0.12955E-03	0.89756E-05	0.11596E-06
300.0	0.23716E-13	0.75332E-i0	0.41290E-07	0.29271E-05	0.24757E-04	0.28653E-04	0.52900E-05	.0.18439E-06
350.0	0.63173E-15	0.18483E-11	0.10925E-08	0.10504E-06	0.15022E-05	0.34308E-05	0.14150E-05	0.11786E-06
								-

Extracted data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99  $\,$ at Time  $t = 2.5 x 10^4$  yr and z = 1 m (Finite Patch Source, Band Release Mode) Table 3-11d.

	880 1000	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00	0.25834E-22 0.51887E-29	0.24520E-17 0.92749E-24	0.21406E-13 0.22330E-19	0.20908E-10 0.83353E-16	0.28216E-08 0.53393E-13	0.68452E-07 0.67378E-11	0.39301E-06 0.19838E-09	0.68564E-06 0.16313E-08	0.44750E-06 0.44499E-08	0 12878F-06 0 46928F-08
	790	000000E+00	0. 19041E-17	0.91408E-13	0.26894E-09	0.66567E-07	0.20265E-05	0.11391E-04	0.16927E-04	0.88198E-05	0.19858E-05	0 22476F-06
	200	0.00000E+00	0.93269E-13	0.17074E-08	0.10584E-05	0.43229E-04	0.22474E-03	0.25862E-03	0.97944E-04	0.15949E-04	0.13352E-05	0 64817F-07
(	610	0.00000E+00	0.31723E-08	0.10257E-04	0.64793E-03	0.28114E-02	0.21675E-02	0.52785E-03	0.56806E-04	0.33072E-05	0.11813E-06	O JRUASE-OR
Distance y (m	520	0.00000E+00	0.44768E-04	0.65775E-02	0.19019E-01	0.77889E-02	0.10466E-02	0.68175E-04	0.26435E-05	0.68467E-07	0.12664E-08	0 174015-10
Lateral	430	0.00000E+00	0.43012E-01	0.45447E-01	0.69140E-02	0.44309E-03	0.16993E-04	0.45145E-06	0.89276E-08	0.13650E-09	0.16461E-11	0 150115-12
	340	0.00000E+00	0.67304E-02	0.33253E-03	0.12651E-04	0.37221E-06	0.86031E-08	0.15881E-09	0.23699E-11	0.28783E-13	0.28503E-15	0 2200EE-17
	250	0.00000E+00	0.11002E-07	0.25376E-08	0 18096E-09	0.72791E-11	0.19967E-12	0.40575E-14	0.63689E-15	0.78896E-18	0.77940E-20	0 010010 0
Distance Along x-Axis	(E)	0.0	100.0	200.0	300 0	400.0	500.0	600.0	700.0	800.0	0.006	0.000

Extracted data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \ge 10^3 Jr$  and z = 5 m (Finite Patch Source, Step Release Mode) Table 3-11e.

00000E+00 0.22851E-10 0.54480E-10 0.68955E-10 0.54418E-10 0.30330E-1C 0.26916E-12 0.42240E-11 150 o 0.38552E-09 0.3327E-09 0.97816E-09 0.60145E-09 0.26538E-09 0.93575E-10 0.42301E-10 00000E+00 425 ö 0.15439E-08 0.44823E-09 0.11406E-09 0.00000E+00 0.10491E-07 0.45305E-08 0.62845E-08 0.15087E-07 8 0.57886E-06 0.17304E-06 0.13431E-08 0.19826E-07 0.55327E-08 55755E-10 0.62159E-07 0.28812E-09 375 o Lateral Distance y (m) 0.57622E-10 0.57886E-06 0.19452E-06 0.10976E-07 0.20439E-08 0.35290E-09 0.51896E-07 0.89673E-11 350 0.18574E-10 0.28376E-11 0.11788E-09 57886E-06 0.72979E-09 0.41881E-12 0.27753E-07 0.44602E-08 325 o 00000E+00 0.39253E-13 0.33048E-10 0.17438E-10 0.22272E-12 0.64054E-14 0.50788E-11 0.11440E-11 800 o 0.10969E-13 0.36502E-14 0.95304E-15 0.21001E-15 0.40724E-16 00000E+00 0.22878E-13 0.22503E-13 275 ò Along x-Axis Distance 0.0 20.0 80.0 80.0 120.0 E 0.001

data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report. Extracted

Case 4A Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time t =  $2.5 \text{ x} 10^4 \text{ yr}$  and z = 5 m (Finite Fatch Source, Band Release Mode) Table 3-11f.

Distance			1 -+				-		
Along x-Axis	10		rateral	DISTANCE Y (I	(8				
( <b>m</b> )	250	298	346	394	442	490	538	586	650
0.0 50.0 150.0 250.0 250.0 350.0 350.0 450.0 500.0	0.00006+00 0.27986E-09 0.27039E-09 0.27039E-09 0.10098E-09 0.24046E-10 0.44211E-11 0.44211E-11 0.44211E-11 0.43515E-12 0.33521E-13 0.115569E-13 0.13124E-14	0.00000E+00 0.58028E-05 0.15229E-05 0.25872E-06 0.37367E-07 0.37367E-07 0.37367E-07 0.37367E-07 0.49024E-08 0.59811E-09 0.59811E-09 0.74193E-11 0.74193E-11	0.00000E+00 0.51103E-02 0.67305E-03 0.85627E-04 0.16332E-04 0.12772E-05 0.14760E-06 0.16353E-07 0.17335E-09 0.17335E-09 0.17335E-09	0.00000E+00 0.69345E-02 0.47028E-02 0.14870E-02 0.31564E-03 0.53435E-04 0.78328E-05 0.10347E-05 0.10347E-05 0.12578E-06 0.12578E-06 0.12578E-06	0.00000E+00 0.94709E-04 0.11116E-02 0.16073E-02 0.31789E-03 0.31789E-04 0.31789E-04 0.78609E-04 0.15432E-04 0.25543E-05 0.37003E-06	0.00000E+00 0.26464E-06 0.20386E-04 0.17217E-03 0.31334E-03 0.31334E-03 0.31334E-03 0.15856E-03 0.14265E-04 0.14265E-04 0.29939E-05 0.52875E-06	0.00000E+00 0.57627E-09 0.11431E-06 0.35230E-05 0.35512E-04 0.636592E-04 0.74647E-04 0.50849E-04 0.23175E-04 0.23175E-04	0.00000E+00 0.11437E-11 0.38879E-09 0.27905E-07 0.5558E-05 0.35558E-05 0.14083E-05 0.12023E-04 0.12023E-04 0.68685E-05 0.28466E-05	0.00000E+00 0.26113E-15 0.13561E-12 0.19888E-10 0.97498E-09 0.17795E-06 0.13796E-06 0.51333E-06 0.12496E-06 0.12496E-06 0.12797E-05 0.10426E-05

Extracted data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

#### Case 4B - Multiple Patch Source

Figures 3-6a, 3-6b, 3-6c, and 3-6d show the relative concentration, relative mass flux, magnitude and direction of mass flux, and cumulative mass flux profiles of Tc-99 at various distances x in the fracture. The figures depict stepwise release from three identical finite line sources 10 m wide and centered at 100 m, 200 m, and 300 m along the y-axis. The wave-like pattern, particularly at close distances from the source (denoting a relatively high concentration gradient in the transverse direction of the fracture), seems to be damped out at greater distances x. The impact of the lateral hydrodynamic dispersion mechanism on the migration process seems to manifest itself only at large distances from the source. Tabulated results are given in Tables 3-12a, 3-12b, 3-12c, and 3-12d.

Figures 3-6e, 3-6f, and 3-6g show the relative concentration profiles at different distances in the rock matrix. The shape of these curves is intimately related to the concentration profile in the fracture. This denotes that concentrations of Tc-99 (decreasing with the elevation z) are an immediate consequence of the diffusion mechanism, the sole process gearing the migration of the solute in the rock matrix. Results are given in Tables 3-12e, 3-12f, and 3-12g. Note that the superposition of the solution of the solution for a single line source has been adopted in this instance (see Equation 3-35).

Case 4C - Gaussian Distributed Source

Figures 3-7a (Step Release) and 3-7b (Band Release) show the relative concentration isopleths for Tc-99 in the fracture. Figures 3-7c and 3-7d give corresponding data at 1 m in the rock matrix, and Figures 3-7e and 3-7f at 5 m. The Gaussian source with a standard deviation of 20 is centered at a distance of 350 m on the y-axis. Conclusions very similar to the finite line source case may be drawn here, except the area of the plume is much larger; this is a consequence of the assumed concentration distribution at the source. Results are given in Tables 3-13a, 3-13b, 3-13c, 3-13d, 3-13e, and 3-13f.

Note: The maximum concentration in the fracture (Amax) and in the rock (Bmax) is:

	<i>a</i> (m)	Cas	e 4A	Cas	e 4C
	Z (111)	Step Release	Band Release	Step Release	Band Release
Amax	0.0	9.83681E-01	6.60038E-02	9.83861E-01	6.13422E-02
Bmax	1.0	3.14576E-01	3.14576E-01 9.36598E-02		8.34140E-02
Bmax	5.0	5.78862E-07	1.77803E-02	5.78862E-07	1.69540E-02



Figure 3-6a. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and t = 5  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)






Figure 3-6c. Mass Flux Vector of Tc-99 at Discrete Points in the Fracture at z = 0 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)



Figure 3-6d. Relative Cumulative Mass Flux of Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)

Case 4B Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Multiple Patch Source, Step Release Mode) Table 3-12a.

Distance Along x-Axi	S		Lateral	Distance y (n	(8				
(w)	0	50	75	100	125	150	175	200	225
0.0 100.0 500.0	0.00000E+00 0.22589E-08 0.69658E-05 0.62969E-05 0.52969E-03 0.22405E-04	0.00000E+00 0.22322E-04 0.56983E-02 0.45772E-02 0.66408E-04	0.00000E+00 0.36164E-02 0.55705E-01 0.76927E-02 0.91350E-04	0.84984£+00 0.54745E+00 0.13233E+00 0.95670E-02 0.11092E-03	0.00000E+00 0.36166E-02 0.55954E-01 0.95265E-02 0.12283E-03	0.00000E+00 0.44644E-04 0.11397E-01 0.91276E-01 0.12882E-03	0.00000E+00 0.36166E-02 0.55954E-01 0.96717E-02 0.13167E-03	0.84984E+00 0.54745E+00 0.13234E+00 0.10196E-01 0.13258E-03	0.00000E+00 0.36166E-02 0.55954E-01 0.96717E-02 0.13167E-03

	400	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
(	350	0.00000E+00 0.22322E-04 0.56983E-02 0.45772E-02 0.66408E-04
Distance y (m	325	0.00000E+00 0.36164E-02 0.55705E-01 0.76927E-02 0.91350E-04
Lateral	300	0.84984E+00 0.54745E+00 0.13233E+00 0.13233E+00 0.95670E-02 0.11092E-03
	275	0.00000E+00 0.36166E-02 0.55954E-01 0.95265E-02 0.12283E-03
	250	0.00000E+00 0.44644E-04 0.11397E-01 0.91276E-02 0.12882E-03
Distance Along x-Axis	(E)	0 0 10.0 500.0 1000.0

Table 3-12b. Case 4B Results: X-Component of Relative Mass Flux in the Fracture ( $F_x/A^\circ$ ) (m/yr) of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 5 x 10<sup>4</sup> yr (Multiple Patch Source, Step Release Mode)

		Lateral	Distance y (m	. (1	•			÷
	50	75	100	125	150	175	200	225
0.0 0.000E+00	0 0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.16397E+01	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+C0	0.00000E+00	0 16997E+01	0_00000E+00
10.0 0.16273E-09	9 0.35515E-05	0.13841E-02	0.13279E+01	0.13841E-02	0.71030E-05	0.13841E-02	0.13279E+01	0.13841E-02
100.0 0.10477E-04	4 0.10326E-01	0.11116E+00	0.27572E+00	0.11156E+00	0.20651E-01	0.11156E+00	0.27573E+00	0.11156E+0C
500.0 0.12741E-02	2 0.94271E-02	0.15913E-01	0.19803E-01	0.19668E-01	0.18801E-01	0.19958E-01	0.21076E-01	0.19958E-01
1000.0 0.47049E-04	4 0.14002E-03	0.19277E-03	0.23411E-03	0.25917E-03	0.27172E-03	0.27769E-03	0.27962E-03	0.27769E-03
					1.			

	400	0.00000E+00 0.16273E-09 0.10477E-04 0.12741E-02 0.47049E-04
	350	0.00000E+00 0.35515E-05 0.10326E-01 0.94271E-02 0.14002E-03
Distance y (m	325	0.00000E+00 0.13841E-02 0.11116E+00 0.15913E-01 0.19277E-03
Lateral	300	0.16997E+01 0.13279E+01 0.27572E+00 0.19803E-01 0.23411E-03
	275	0.00000E+00 0.13841E-02 0.11156E+00 0.19668E-01 0.25917E-03
	250	0.00000E+00 0.71030E-05 0.20651E-01 0.18801E-01 0.27172E-03
Distance Along x-Axis	( <b>m</b> )	0.0 100.0 500.0 1000.0

Case 4B Results: Y-Component of Relative Mass Flux in the Fracture  $(F_y/A^\circ)$  (m/yr) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Multiple Patch Source, Step Release Mode) Table 3-12c.

	225	0.00000E+00 0.31870E-02 0.14750E-01 0.13242E-03 0.29289E-06
- - -	200	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00
	175	0.00000E+00 -0.31870E-02 -0.14750E-01 -0.13242E-03 -0.29289E-06
	150	0.00000E+00 -0.23525E-12 -0.18757E-08 -0.79211E-05 -0.64885E-06
	125	0.000005:+00 0.31870E-02 0.14750E-01 0.93412E-04 -0.13525E-05
Distance y (m	100	0.00000E+00 -0.16164E-08 -0.41551E-05 -0.13090E-03 -0.25209E-05
Lateral D	75	0.00000E+00 -0.31871E-02 -0.14886E-01 -0.44698E-03 -6.36888E-05
	50	0.00000E+00 -0.17239E-04 -0.25476E-02 -0.49718E-03 -0.41419E-05
	0	0.00000E+00 -0.15164E-08 -0.41551E-05 -0.13090E-03 -0.25210E-05
Distance Vlong x-Axis	(W)	0.0 10.0 500.0 1000.0

Distance			Lateral	Distance y (m	(1	
(B)	250	275	300	325	350	400
0.0 10.0 500.0 500.0	0.00000E+00 0.23525E-12 0.18757E-08 0.79211E-05 0.64885E-06	0.00000E+00 -0.31870E-02 -0.14750E-01 -0.33412E-04 0.13525E-05	0.00000E+00 0.16164E-08 0.41551E-05 0.13090E-03 0.25209E-05	0.00000E+00 0.31871E-02 0.14886E-01 0.44698E-03 0.36888E-05	0.00000E+00 0.17229E-04 0.25476E-02 0.49715E-03 0.41419E-05	0.00000E+00 0.16164E-08 0.41551E-05 0.13090E-03 0.25210E-05

Table 3-12d. Case 4B Results: Relative Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture (M/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Multiple Patch Source, Step Release Mode)

Distance	ſ		Lateral	Distance y (m	2				
	0	50	75	100	125	150	175	200	225
0.0	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.84984E+05	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.84984E+05	0.00000E+00
10.0	0.17748E-03	0.21667E+01	0.33886E+03	0.58232E+05	0.39887E+03	0.40058E+01	0.39887E+03	0.58232E+05	0.39887E+03
100.0	0.433556+00	0.453555+03	0.40/08E+04	0.11201E+05	0.4034/E+04	0.90234E+03	0.4034/E+04	0. 345465403	0.4034/E+04
0.000	0.31547E+00	0.94562E+00	0.13037E+01	0.15834E+01	0.17518E+01	0.18353E+01	0.18752E+01	0.18883E+01	0.18752E+01

	400	0.00000E+00	0.17748E-03	0.49956E+00	0.20545E+02	0.31547E+00
	350	0.00000E+00	0.21667E+01	0.46355E+03	0.15410E+03	0.94562E+00
Distance y (m	325	0.00000E+00	0.39886E+03	0.46768E+04	0.26090E+03	0.13037E+01
Lateral	300	0.84984E+05	0.58232E+05	0.11201E+05	0.32476E+03	0.15834E+01
	275	0.00000E+00	0.39887E+03	0.46947E+04	0.32175E+03	0 17518E+01
	250	0.00000E+00	0.40058E+01	0.90234E+03	0.30691E+03	0.18353E+01
Distance Nonq x-Axis	(E)	0.0	10.0	100.0	500.0	1000.0



Figure 3-6e. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 1 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)



Figure 3-6f. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 5 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)



Figure 3-6g. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 10 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 4B: Multiple Patch Source)

Case 4B Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr and z = 1 m (Multiple Patch Source, Step Release Mode) Table 3-12e.

÷,

Distance			Lateral	Distance y (m	(				•
Along x-Axis (m)	0	ŝ	75	100	125	150	175	200	225
0.0 10.0 500.0 1000.0	0.00000E+00 0.15277E-08 0.46080E-05 0.31534E-03 0.72023E-05	0.00000E+00 0.15988E-04 0.39390E-02 0.23278E-02 0.21516E-04	0.00000E+00 0.26612E-02 0.39052E-01 0.33265E-02 0.39265E-02	0.63955E+00 0.41011E+00 0.93251E-01 0.48858E-02 0.36004E-04	0.00000E+00 0.25613E-02 0.39221E-01 0.48546E-02 0.39848E-04	0.00000E+00 0.31975E-04 0.78780E-02 0.46425E-02 0.41765E-04	0.00000E+00 0.26613E-02 0.39221E-01 0.49265E-02 0.42678E-04	0.63995E+00 0.41011E+00 0.93256E-01 0.52008E-02 0.42975E-04	3.00000E+00 0.26613E-02 0.39221E-01 0.49265E-02 0.42678E-04

	400	0.00000E+00 0.15277E-08 0.46080E-05 0.31534E-03 0.72023E-05
	350	0.00000E+00 0.15988E-04 0.39390E-02 0.23278E-02 0.21516E-04
Distance y (m	325	0.00000E+00 0.26612E-02 0.39052E-01 0.39265E-02 0.29643E-04
Lateral [	300	0.63995E+00 0.41011E+00 0.93251E-01 0.48858E-02 0.36004E-04
	275	0.00000E+00 0.26613E-02 0.39221E-01 0.48546E-02 0.39848E-04
	250	0.00000E+00 0.31976E-04 0.78780E-02 0.46425E-02 0.41765E-04
Distance	Along X-AXIS (m)	0.0 10.0 500.0 1000.0

Case 4B Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix ( $\overline{D}/A^{\circ}$ ) of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 5 x 104 yr and z = 5 m (Multiple Patch Source, Step Release Mode) Table 3-12f.

Distance Nong x-Axi:	S		Lateral	Distance y (a	(				
	0	20	75	<b>\$</b>					
				3	C71	150	175	200	225
0.0	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0. 00000F+00	0 070585-01	0.00000				
10.0	0.14128E-09	0.19188E-05	0 36208F-02	O ENEREE 01	0.00010E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.97058E-01	0.00000E+00
100.0	0.38599E-06	0.40198E-03	0.42483F-02	0.103865-01	0.35210E-03	0.38375E-05	0.36210E-03	0.60685E-01	0.36210E-03
500.0	0.79661E-05	0.62754E-04	0.10749E-03	0 13408E-01	0.42040E-02	0.80396E-03	0.42640E-02	0.10387E-01	0.42640E-02
1000.0	0.29117E-07	0.89822E-07	0.12456E-06	0.15144E-06	0. 16721E-06	0.17481E-06	0.13374E-03 0.17848E-06	0.14204E-03	0.13374E-03
								0.1/3/4E-00	U. 17848E-06

	400	0.00000E+00 0.14128E-09 0.38599E-06 0.79661E-05 0.29117E-07
(	350	0.00000E+00 0.19188E-05 0.40198E-03 0.62754E-04 0.89822E-07
Distance y (m	325	0.00000E+00 0.36208E-03 0.42483E-02 0.10749E-03 0.12456E-06
Lateral	300	0.97058E-01 0.60685E-01 0.10386E-01 0.13408E-01 0.15144E-06
	275	0.00000E+00 0.36210E-03 0.42640E-03 0.13200E-03 0.16721E-06
	250	0.00000E+00 0.38376E-05 0.80396E-03 0.12521E-03 0.17481E-06
Distance Along x-Axis	( <b>m</b> )	0.0 10.0 500.0 1000.0

Case 4B Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix  $(B/A^{\circ})$  of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 5 x 10<sup>4</sup> yr and z = 10 m (Multiple Patch Source, Step Release Mode) Table 3-12g.

	100	C77	O DODOFTOO	0.42752E-05 0.35767E-04 0.18000E-06 0.21662E-10
		200	- 1000C	0.133/bE-02 0.80714E-03 0.92604E-04 0.21819E-10 0.21819E-10
		175		0.000005+00 0.42752E-05 0.36767E-05 0.18000E-06 0.21662E-10
		150		0.00000E+00 0.37982E-07 0.63503E-05 0.16699E-06 0.21234E-10
1	•	125		0.00000E+00 0.42752E-05 0.36767E-04 0.17800E-06 0.20377E-10
	Distance y (m	100		0.13376E-02 0.80714E-03 0.92602E-04 0.18303E-06 0.18514E-10
	Lateral	75	2	0.00000E+00 0.42751E-05 0.36657E-04 0.14622E-06 0.15211E-10
			00	0.00000E+00 0.18991E-07 0.31752E-05 0.83654E-07 0.10878E-10
			0	0.00000E+00 0.97461E-12 0.23254E-08 0.97542E-08 0.33840E-11
	Dictance	Along x-Axis		0.0 10.0 500.0 1000.0

	400	0.00000E+0 C.97451E-1: 0.23254E-0 0.97542E-0 0.33840E-1
	350	0.00000E+00 0.18991E-07 0.31752E-05 0.83654E-07 0.10878E-10
distance y 📾	325	0.00000E+00 0.42751E-05 0.36657E-04 0.14622E-06 0.15211E-10
Lateral [	300	0.13376E-02 0.80714E-03 0.92602E-04 0.18303E-06 0.18514E-10
	275	0.00000E+00 0.42752E-05 0.36767E-04 0.17800E-06 0.20377E-10
	250	0.00000E+00 0.37982E-07 0.63503E-05 0.16699E-05 0.21234E-10
Distance	Along x-Axis (m)	0.0 100.0 500.0 1000.0

00

IO N 0



Figure 3-7a. Relative Concentration isopleths for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^3$  yr, Step Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)



Figure 3-7b. Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and  $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$  yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)



Figure 3-7c. Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 1 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^3$  yr, Step Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)



Figure 3-7d. Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 1 m and  $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$  yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)



Figure 3-7e. Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 5 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^3$  yr, Step Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)



Figure 3-7f. Relative Concentration Isopleths for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 5 m and  $t = 2.5 \times 10^4$  yr, Band Release Mode (Case 4C: Gaussian Distributed Source)

Table 3-13a. Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^3 \text{ yr}$ and z = 0 m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Step Release Mode)

÷

	600	0.11579E-33 0.23837E-10 0.64758E-08 0.30709E-06 0.36709E-06 0.3849E-04 0.13849E-04 0.21171E-04 0.15132E-04
	550	0.18976E-21 0.27837E-07 0.36297E-05 0.66610E-04 0.29784E-03 0.46337E-03 0.31732E-03 0.11182E-03
	500	0.60035E-12 0.21059E-04 0.81717E-03 0.42540E-02 0.62616E-02 0.38068E-02 0.38068E-02 0.11836E-02 0.21390E-03
(	450	0.36665E-05 0.69006E-02 0.41263E-01 0.50224E-01 0.50224E-01 0.24181E-01 0.51244E-02 0.94295E-03 0.95602E-04
Distance y (m	400	0.43228E-01 0.27250E+00 0.21125E+00 0.68650E-01 0.12998E-01 0.12998E-01 0.14567E-03 0.94489E-05
Lateral	350	0.98386E+00 0.31396E+00 0.59213E-01 0.81032E-02 0.84691E-03 0.68457E-04 0.42848E-05 0.20721E-06
	300	0.43228E-01 0.61531E-02 0.77511E-03 0.81675E-04 0.69885E-05 0.47654E-06 0.25589E-07 0.10716E-08
	250	0.36655E-05 0.30034E-05 0.57072E-06 0.92504E-07 0.9237E-08 0.65229E-08 0.55229E-08 0.35403E-10 0.14540E-11
Distance Along x-Axis	<b>(E</b> )	0.0 50.0 150.0 250.0 350.0 350.0

Extracted data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

Table 3-13b. Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 2.5 \times 10^4 \text{ yr}$ 

108

and z = 0 m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Band Release Mode)

	790 880 100	00000E+00         0.0000E+00         0.0000E+00           1.33466E-16         0.67105E-21         0.21704E-27           1.11885E-11         0.50223E-16         0.32153E-22           24741E-08         0.32716E-12         0.61195E-18           1.1885E-06         0.32716E-12         0.61195E-18           247341E-08         0.32716E-12         0.61195E-18           1.11841E-04         0.25827E-07         0.89438E-12           63106E-04         0.25827E-07         0.89438E-12           63106E-04         0.25827E-07         0.89438E-12           97135E-04         0.29708E-05         0.24062E-08           97135E-04         0.29708E-05         0.18527E-07           19221E-05         0.11970F-05         0.50195E-07
	700	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000
(	610	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
Distance y (n	520	0.00000E+00 0.12536E-03 0.11146E-01 0.34310E-01 0.17971E-01 0.32386E-02 0.32386E-02 0.28465E-03 0.14773E-04 0.12307E-06 0.12307E-07 0.22077E-09
Lateral	430	0.00000E+00 0.33872E-01 0.53014E-01 0.12921E-01 0.12839E-02 0.72645E-04 0.27237E-05 0.73447E-07 0.14953E-08 0.23653E-10
	340	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
	250	0.00000E+00 0.32184E-06 0.47591E-07 0.32853E-08 0.14532E-09 0.4532E-09 0.4532E-11 0.4532E-14 0.32855E-14 0.30175E-16 0.35965E-18 0.35965E-18
Distance Along x-Axis	( <b>E</b>	0.0 100.0 200.0 500.0 500.0 700.0 1000.0 1000.0

Extracted data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix  $(B/A^{\circ})$  of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^3$  yr and z = 1 m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Step Release Mode) Table 3-13c.

		П 	
	600	0.37023 0.99184 0.26108 0.10729 0.10108 0.29167 0.32245 0.16135	
	550	60674E-22 20397E-08 23873E-06 35112E-06 11754E-06 13081E-04 61980E-05 14727E-05	
e	500	0.19195E-12 0 0.25750E-05 0 0.79988E-04 0 0.30763E-03 0 0.31964E-03 0 0.13277E-03 0 0.27497E-04 0 0.32437E-05 0	
	450	0.11723E-05 0.12285E-02 0.52780E-02 0.44673E-02 0.14524E-02 0.2433E-03 0.24103E-04 0.15531E-05	
Distance y (m	400	0.13822E-01 0.58019E-01 0.30969E-01 0.67550E-02 0.83688E-03 0.67520E-04 0.37877E-05 0.15288E-06	
Lateral	350	0.31458E+00 0.70251E-01 0.89437E-02 0.80018E-03 0.53376E-04 0.53376E-05 0.10478E-05 0.31045E-06	
	300	0.13822E-01 0.13301E-02 0.10941E-03 0.73459E-05 0.39338E-05 0.39338E-05 0.16573E-07 0.54416E-09 0.13834E-10	
	250	0.11723E-05 0.55807E-06 0.78802E-07 0.68197E-08 0.41321E-09 0.18330E-10 0.66636E-12 0.15082E-13	
Distance	ATONG X-AXIS (m)	0.0 50.0 100.0 150.0 250.0 350.0 350.0	

Extracted data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 2.5 \times 10^4 \text{ yr}$ and z = 1 m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Band Release Mode) Table 3-13d.

Distance Alonq x-Axis	ß		Lateral	Distance y (m	•		•	
) (E)	250	340	430	520	610	700	790	850
0.0	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E500	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00
100.0	0.36372E-06	0.10627E-01	0.43651E-01	0.11383E-03	0.12561E-07	0.48001E-12	0.10753E-16	0.68856E-20
200.0	0.33242E-07	0.68658E-03	0.43003E-01	0.78487E-02	0.21326E-04	0.54145E-08	0.38337E-12	0.42031E-15
300.0	0.16256E-08	0.29898E-04	0.74371E-02	0.18516E-01	0.84152E-03	0.20778E-05	0.75861E-09	0.18907E-11
400.0	0.53920E-10	0.95937E-06	0.55238E-03	0.75352E-02	0.29453E-02	0.59615E-04	0.12867E-06	0.85046E-09
500.0	0.13229E-11	0.23643E-07	0.24146E-04	0.10725E-02	0.21174E-02	0.25270E-03	0.29346E-05	0.52918E-07
600.0	0.25092E-13	0.45950E-09	0.71658E-06	0.75628E-04	0.51519E-03	0.26330E-03	0.13638E-04	0.63714E-06
700.0	0.37713E-15	0.71649E-11	0.15562E-07	0.31892E-05	0.57284E-04	0.96109E-04	0.18113E-04	0.20073E-05
800.0	0.45490E-17	0.90497E-13	0.25789E-09	0.89629E-07	0.35030E-05	0.15640E-04	0.88975E-05	0.21215E-05

Extracted data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^3 \text{ yr}$ and z = 5 m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Step Release Mode) Table 3-13e.

	275	300	Lateral 325	Distance y (n	1) 275	000		
	0 51515-00	0 051005 02			<b>D</b> /0	60 <del>4</del>	425	450
	0. 15976E-09	0.25433E-07 0.72513E-08	0.26502E-06 0 83421E-07	0.57886E-06	0.26502E-06	0.25433E-07	0.51161E-09	0.21572E-11
~	0.47971E-10	0.20351E-C8	0.25367E-07	0.23/30E-00	0.10/10E-06	0.29953E-07	0.14707E-08	0.23521E-10
~	0.13924E-10	0.56127E-09	0.74792E-08	0.31513E-07	0.41602F-07	0.25629E-07 0 17628E-07	0.23090E-08	0.74963E-10
	0.39188E-11 0.10716E-11	0.15193E-09	0.21433E-08	0.10498E-07	0.17610E-07	0.10294E-07	0 22067E-08	0.14147E-09
-	0. 28503E-12	0.40321E-10 0.10484F-10	0.59783E-09 0 16247E_00	0.33322E-08	0.68626E-08	0.52811E-08	0.15814E-08	0.19804E-09
-	0.73820E-13	0.26692E-11	0.43048E-10	0.10133E-00	0.24938E-08	0.24363E-08	0.97508E-09	0.16943E-09
-	0.18625E-13	0.66504E-12	0.11125E-10	0.83639F-10	0 276505-09	0.102/bE-08	0.53088E-09	0.12325E-09
-	0.45799E-14	0.16209E-12	0.28048E-11	0.22822F-10	0 854065-10	0.40116E-09	0.26006E-09	0.78227E-10
-	0.10979E-14	0.38631E-13	0.69004E-12	0.60318E-11	0.25240E-10	0.50226E-13	0.11626E-09 0.47956E-10	0.44163E-10 0.22511E-10

Extracted data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

Case 4C Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 2.5 \times 10^4 \text{ yr}$ and z = 5 m (Gaussian Distributed Source, Band Release Mode) Table 3-13f.

	650	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
	586	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
	538	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
	490	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
	442	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
Distance y (m	394	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
Lateral	346	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
	298	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
	250	0.00000E+00 0.57630E-07 0.14673E-07 0.27246E-08 0.62832E-09 0.62832E-09 0.62832E-11 0.92694E-11 0.92694E-12 0.10375E-12 0.10375E-12 0.10830E-14
Distance Along x-Axis	( <b>u</b> )	0.0 50.0 150.0 250.0 250.0 250.0 250.0 250.0 500.0 500.0

Extracted data; complete data run is provided in microfiche form at the back of this report.

### PART 2

## 4.0 MULTIPLE PARALLEL FRACTURE CASE WITH FINITE DIFFUSION FIELD: SERIES SOLUTION

#### 4.1 NON-ZERO LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION

The Laplace transformation of Equation 2-11 with its associated boundary condition Equations 3-1 and 3-2 subject to its initial and boundary conditions Equations 2-15, 2-18c, and 3-45 has a solution of the form given by

$$\overline{B}(x,y,z,s) = \cosh[r_b(z-L)] \operatorname{sech}[r_b(b-L)] \overline{A}(x,y,s)$$
(4-1)

where  $r_b$  is given by Equation 3-5a. The transformed diffusive flux at the interface of the fracture and rock matrix is given by

$$\overline{\mathbf{J}} = -\phi \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{s}) = -\phi \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{b}} \tanh[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{L})] \overline{\mathbf{A}} (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) .$$
(4-2)

# 4.1.1 Fracture

After proper substitution of the transform of the diffusive flux given by Equation 4-2 into the Laplace transformation of Equation 2-10 and applying subsequently the Fourier integral transform (see Equations 3-7 to 3-21), we then obtain an expression identical to Equation 3-21 in which the term corresponding to  $r_a$  given by Equation 3-22c will now take the following form

$$\mathbf{r}_{a} = -\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{s}+\lambda) - \mathbf{c}_{f}(\mathbf{s}+\lambda)^{1/2} \tanh\left[\mathbf{c}_{r}(\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{b})(\mathbf{s}+\lambda)^{1/2}\right]$$
(4-3)

whereas the remaining components of Equation 3-21 given by Equations 3-22a, 3-22b, and 3-22d will remain unaltered. Following the same procedure as outlined before (see Equations 3-24 to 3-42), the inverse Fourier integral transform will then have the same form as Equation 3-42. Rewriting the latter we have

$$\overline{A} (x,y,s) = A^{\circ} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} \theta(s) e^{r_{a}X} d\sigma . \qquad (4-4)$$

To find the inverse Laplace transform of the above equation, we write

$$L^{-1}\theta(s)e^{r_{a}\chi} = e^{-\lambda t}L^{-1}\exp(-R\chi s)G_{F}(s)$$
(4-5)

where

$$G_{F}(s) = \frac{\exp[-\varepsilon\sqrt{s}\tanh\beta\sqrt{s}]}{s}$$
(4-6)

with

$$\varepsilon = c_f \chi = \frac{\Phi}{b} \left( R' D_p \right)^{1/2} \chi \tag{4-7a}$$

$$\beta = c_r(L-b) = \left(\frac{R'}{D_p}\right)^{1/2}(L-b)$$
 (4-7b)

Expanding the numerator of Equation 4-6 in power series we find that

$$G_{F}(s) = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{s}} \tanh \beta \sqrt{s} + \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2!} \tanh^{2} \beta \sqrt{s} - \frac{\varepsilon^{3}}{3!} \sqrt{s} \tanh^{3} \beta \sqrt{s} + \dots$$
(4-8)

which may also be written as

$$G_{\rm F}(s) = \frac{1}{s} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\varepsilon^n}{n!} g_n(s)$$
 (4-9)

where

$$g_{n}(s) = \begin{cases} s^{(n-1)/2} \frac{\tanh^{n} \beta \sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{s}}, n = 1, 3, 5, ... \\ s^{(n-2)/2} \tanh^{n} \beta \sqrt{s}, n = 2, 4, 6, ... \end{cases}$$
(4-10)

The exponential series expansion for  $\tanh \beta \sqrt{s}$  may be written as

$$\tanh \beta \sqrt{s} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k e^{-2k\beta \sqrt{s}}$$
(4-11)

•

r E

: 1

where

and the corresponding series for  $\tanh\beta\sqrt{s}$  raised to the n<sup>th</sup> power (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik, 1980, p. 14) is given by

$$\tanh^{n}\beta\sqrt{s} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {}_{n}\overline{b}_{k}e^{-2k\beta\sqrt{s}}$$
(4-13)

where

$$\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{\bar{b}}}\mathbf{k} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & , & \mathbf{k} = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{k}} (i(n+1) - \mathbf{k}) \mathbf{a}_{i} & \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{\bar{b}}} \mathbf{k} - i &, & \mathbf{k} \ge 1 \\ (4-14\mathbf{a}) & (4-14\mathbf{b}) \end{vmatrix}$$

Substitution of Equation 4-13 in Equation 4-10 yields

$$g_{n}(s) = \begin{vmatrix} s^{(n-1)/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n^{\vec{b}}_{k} \frac{e^{-2k\beta\sqrt{s}}}{\sqrt{s}}, n = 1, 3, 5, ... \\ s^{(n-2)/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n^{\vec{b}}_{k} e^{-2k\beta\sqrt{s}}, n = 2, 4, 6, ... \end{vmatrix}$$
(4-15)

Using the inverse transforms of  $e^{-a\sqrt{s}}/\sqrt{s}$ ,  $e^{-a\sqrt{s}}$ ,  $1/\sqrt{s}$ , and  $s^{n+1/2}$  (see Appendix A, Eqs. A.2-2 through A.2-4 and A.3-10) and applying the differentiation theorem (Eq. A.1-3), the inverse Laplace transform of  $G_F(s)$  (see Eq. 4-6) may then be written as

$$G_{F}(t) = L^{-1} \{G_{F}(s)\} = g(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{n!} \overline{g}_{n}(t)$$
(4-16)

where

$$g(t) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\varepsilon^n}{n!} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t^n}} \prod_{i=0}^{(n-3)/2} \left( \frac{1+2i}{2} \right) \right] \quad n = 1,3,5,...$$
(4-17)

and

$$\overline{g}_{n}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega} n^{\overline{b}_{k}} \frac{d^{(n-1)/2}}{dt^{(n-1)/2}} \left[ \frac{e^{-(k\beta)^{2}/t}}{\sqrt{t}} \right] & n = 1, 3, 5, \dots \end{cases}$$
(4-18a)

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{o}} n^{\tilde{b}}_{k} (2k\beta) \frac{d^{(n-2)/2}}{dt^{(n-2)/2}} \left[ \frac{e^{-(k\beta)^{2}/t}}{\sqrt{t^{3}}} \right] n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$$
(4-18b)

Expansion of the first member of Equation 4-17 in series form yields

$$g(t) = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}} - \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}} \right)^3 + \frac{1}{10} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}} \right)^5 - \dots + \dots \right]$$
(4-19)

Noting that the second member of the above equation corresponds to the exponential series form of the error function (see Eq. 3-60b) with argument  $\varepsilon = c_r \chi$ , Equation 4-18a becomes

$$g(t) = 1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) = \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{c_{f}\chi}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)$$
(4-20)

and Equation 4-16 may now be written as

$$G_{\rm F}(t) = {\rm erfc}\left(\frac{c_{\rm f}\chi}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\epsilon^n}{n!} \overline{g}_n(t) \qquad (4-21)$$

The derivative terms in Equations 4-18a and 4-18b are obtained after using Leibnitz's theorem for differentiation of a product (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972) (see also Appendix C):

$$\frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}}(t^{p}e^{a/t}) = t^{(p-n)}e^{a/t}\sum_{r=0}^{n} {n \choose r}\prod_{i=1}^{n-r} (p-i+1) \cdot (-1)^{r}\sum_{m=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (r-j){r \choose m-1}(\frac{a}{t})^{r-m+1} \quad (4-22)$$

where

$$\mathbf{a} := - \left(\mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^2 \tag{4-23a}$$

and

$$\mathbf{p} = \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & , n = 1, 3, 5, \dots \\ -\frac{3}{2} & , n = 2, 4, 6, \dots \end{cases}$$
(4-23b)

Because of the slow convergence exhibited by such an approach, particularly when the value of  $c_r \chi$  becomes large, an alternative form of the second member of Equation 4-21 was sought. Writing

$$\alpha_{k} = \frac{k\beta}{\sqrt{t}} \tag{4-24a}$$

$$h = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}$$
(4-24b)

expansion of the second member of Equation 4-21 using Equations 4-18, 4-22, and 4-24a yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{n!} \overline{g}_{n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\alpha_{k}^{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{1} \overline{b}_{k} \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{b}_{k} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2!} \frac{\alpha_{k}}{t} + \frac{1}{3} \overline{b}_{k} \frac{\varepsilon^{3}}{3!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{3}}} \left( \alpha_{k}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \overline{b}_{k} \frac{\varepsilon^{4}}{4!} \frac{\alpha_{k}}{t^{2}} \left( \alpha_{k}^{2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{5} \overline{b}_{k} \frac{\varepsilon^{5}}{5!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{5}}} \left( \alpha_{k}^{4} - 3\alpha_{k}^{2} + \frac{3}{4} \right) - \dots \right]$$
(4-25)

With the n<sup>th</sup> derivative of the error function (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972, p. 298) defined as

$$\frac{d^{n+1}}{dz^{n+1}} \operatorname{erf}(z) = (-1)^n \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} H_n(z) e^{-z^2}, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
(4-26)

where  $H_n$  are the Hermite polynomials (see Appendix A.4) and using Taylor's theorem, we may write

$$\operatorname{erf}(\alpha_{k} + h) = \operatorname{erf}(\alpha_{k}) + h \frac{d}{d\alpha_{k}} \left( \operatorname{erf}(\alpha_{k}) \right) + \frac{h^{2}}{2!} \frac{d^{2}}{d\alpha_{k}^{2}} \left( \operatorname{erf}(\alpha_{k}) \right) + \frac{h^{3}}{3!} \frac{d^{3}}{d\alpha_{k}^{3}} \left( \operatorname{erf}(\alpha_{k}) \right) + \dots \quad (4-27)$$

Expanding the right-hand side using Equation 4-26, the above equation becomes

$$\operatorname{erf}(\alpha_{k} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}) = \operatorname{erf}(\alpha_{k}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-\alpha_{k}^{2}} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2!} \frac{\alpha_{k}}{t} + \frac{\varepsilon^{3}}{3!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{3}}} \left( \alpha_{k}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{\varepsilon^{4}}{4!} \frac{\alpha_{k}}{t^{2}} \left( \alpha_{k}^{2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon^{5}}{5!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{5}}} \left( \alpha_{k}^{4} - 3\alpha_{k}^{2} + \frac{3}{4} \right) - \dots \right] .$$
(4-28)

Comparing Equations 4-25 and 4-28, we find

$$H_{1}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{n!} g_{n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{0}} \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{km} \varepsilon^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{d\varepsilon^{m}} \left[ \operatorname{erf} \left( \alpha_{k} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}} \right) \right]$$
(4-29)

with

$$a_{ii} = 0$$
 ,  $j > i$  (4-30a)

$$a_{i1} = -\frac{1^{b_{i}}}{c_{11}} = (-1)^{i+1}(2)$$
 (4-30b)

$$a_{ij} = \left( (-1)^{j} {}_{j} \overline{b}_{i} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} c_{kj} \cdot a_{ik} / c_{jj}, j > 1$$
(4-30c)

where

$$c_{ij} = (-1)^{j+1} \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} (j-k) , j \ge i$$
 (4-30d)

$$c_{ij} = 0$$
 ,  $j < i$  . (4-30e)

A set of values for  $_i\overline{b}_j,a_{ij},$  and  $c_{ij}$  is reported in Tables 4-1a, 4-1b, and 4-1c.

Equation 4-21 now may be written as

$$G_{F}(t) = \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{c_{f}\chi}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{o}} \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{km} (c_{f}\chi)^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{d\epsilon^{m}} \left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{2k\beta + \epsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)\right]$$
(4-31a)

where

$$\frac{d^{n+1}}{d\varepsilon^{n+1}} \left[ erf(z) \right] = (-1)^n \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} H_n(z) e^{-z^2} \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} \right)^{n+1}, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
(4-31b)

and

$$z = \frac{2k\beta + \varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}$$
(4-31c)

Substituting Equation 4-31 into Equation 4-5 and applying subsequently the translation theorem (Eq. A.1-1), the inverse Laplace transform of Equation 4-4 yielding the solution of the concentration in the fracture may be written as

$$A(x,y,t) = A^{o}e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma)E_{\Omega}G_{F}(t-R_{X})U(t-R_{X})d\sigma \qquad (4-32)$$

Note that when the contribution of the second member of Equation 4-31a becomes negligible, the above equation will be identical to Equation 3-43. Discussions pertaining to the magnitude of  $\Omega_0$  will be deferred to Section 4.5.

Table 4-1. Sets of Values for Equations 4-30a Through 4-30e

a. Listing of Coefficients **b** i j

10	2	40	402	2720	14002	53728	209762	658048	1854882	4780008	11414898	25534368
6	-2	-36	-328	- 1992	-9290	35438	-115598	-332688	-864146	-2060980	-4573910	-9545560
8	8	32	258	1408	5890	20256	59906	157184	374274	822560	1690370	3281280
7	<b>2</b> -	-28	- 198	-952	-3530	- 10836	-28814	-68464	-148626	-299660	-568150	- 1022760
ß	6	24	146	608	1970	5336	12642	27008	53154	97880	170610	284000
CU.	e. 1	-20	- 102	-360	- 1002	-2364	-4942	-9424	- 16722	-28004	-44726	-68664
4	2	16	<b>9</b> 8	192	450	912	1666	2816	4482	6800	9922	14016
m	-2	- 12	-38	-88	- 170	-292	-452	-688	-978	- 1340	-1782	-2312
2	5	80	18	32	50	72	<b>9</b> 8	128	162	200	242	288
-	-2	4	9,	- <b>co</b> 1	- 10	- 12	- 14	- 16	- 18	-20	-22	-24
0	-		•	•		-	•	•	*	-	-	-
2	-	~	1 64	4	n.	9	7	- 00	ŋ	10	11	12

(Continued) Table 4-1.

ċ ţ Listing Ď.

	1	1						1		
		12		н - с с. - с с.		551£-06		12	-12 -132 -1320 -11880	
		4	12 P		131E-05	B44E-04 -8.		<b>7-</b>	11 110 990 7920 55440	332640 1663200 6652800 19958400 39916800
, 		2	r 		822E-04 822E-03 5.			10	- 10 - 90 - 720 - 5040 - 30240	- 151200 -604800 -1814400 -3628800 -3628800
	σ	•		411E-03 270E-03	349E-02 2.1			σ	9 72 504 3024 15120	60480 181440 362880 362880 0
	. ∞			349E-03 079E-02 286E-01 - 1	619E-01 6.			∞,	- 8 - 56 - 336 - 1680 - 1680	-20160 -40320 -40320 0
	2			540E-02 778E-01 -6. 111E-01 -5. 133E+00 -2.	333E+00 7.( 173E+01 -2.(			2	7 42 210 840 2520	5040 5040 0 0
r Function)	ω		•	889E-02 333E-01 2. 867E+00 -1. 978E+00 7. 120E+01 -2.	240E+01 5. 107E+01 -1.			ω	-6 -30 -120 -360 -720	-720 0 0
ves of Erro	- CU		667E-01	333E+00 -8. 000E+00 5. 333E+00 -1. 867E+01 4.	500E+01 2. 800E+01 -4.			n	5 50 120 120	00000
(Derivati j	4		667E-01 667E+00 2.	667E+00 -1. 333E+01 4. 333E+01 -9. 733E+01 -9. 733E+01 -3.	000E+01 5. 100E+02 -8.		4			00000
ficients a	e .		333E+00 000E+00 -6. 000E+00 2.	332E+01 -6. 000E+01 1. 800E+01 2. 733E+01 3. 800E+01 -5.	000E+01 8.	cients c	- m		ώφαος ς	00000
ng of coet	5	0 - 2 0	8	-10.0 -1. 12.0 2. 14.0 -2. 16.0 3.	20.0 6.0 -22.0 -7.3	g of Coeffi	7	C	19000 0	0000 0
	1 [/]	- 5 - 2	0 4 0 0 7 0	10 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0	11 2.0	Listin	i \j 1	-	0000 00000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

332640 -665280 1663200 -3991680 6652800 -19958400 19958400 -79833600 39916800 -239500800

39916800 -479001600 0 -479001600

00

00

00

00

00

00

00

00

00

00

51

2

-

Ξ

11

4.1.2 Rock Matrix

Substitution of Equation 4-4 into Equation 4-1 gives the transformation of the concentration in the rock as

$$\overline{B}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{A}^{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Psi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma) \mathbf{E}_{\Omega} \theta(\mathbf{s}) e^{r_{a} \mathbf{X}} \cosh[r_{b}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{L})] \operatorname{sech}[r_{b}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{L})] d\sigma$$
(4-33)

To find the inverse Laplace transform of the above equation, we write

$$L^{-1}\theta(s)e^{r_{a}X}\cosh[r_{b}(z-L)]sech[r_{b}(b-L)] = e^{-\lambda t}L^{-1}\exp(-R\chi s) + G_{R}(s)$$
(4-34)

where

$$G_{R}(s) = G_{F}(s) \frac{\cosh \mu \sqrt{s}}{\cosh \beta \sqrt{s}} , \quad (\beta > \mu)$$
(4-35)

with

$$\mu = c_{\rm r}(L-z) = \left(\frac{{\rm R}'}{{\rm D}_{\rm e}}\right)^{1/2}(L-z) \tag{4-36}$$

Writing

$$H(s) = \frac{\cosh \mu \sqrt{s}}{\cosh \beta \sqrt{s}} = \frac{e^{\mu \sqrt{s}} + e^{-\mu \sqrt{s}}}{e^{\beta \sqrt{s}} + e^{-\beta \sqrt{s}}} = \left[ e^{(\mu - \beta)\sqrt{s}} + e^{-(\mu + \beta)\sqrt{s}} \right] \left( 1 + e^{-2\beta \sqrt{s}} \right)^{-1}$$
(4-37)

expanding the second term on the right-hand side into a binomial series, we find that

$$H(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+1} \left[ e^{-[(2i-1)\beta - \mu]\sqrt{s}} + e^{-[(2i-1)\beta + \mu]\sqrt{s}} \right] .$$
(4-38)

Substituting Equation 4-9 and Equation 4-38 into Equation 4-35, we obtain after some algebraic manipulation the equation

$$G_{R}(s) = \widetilde{g}(s) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{n!} \widetilde{\widetilde{g}}_{n}(s)$$
(4-39)

where

$$\widetilde{g}(s) = \frac{H(s)}{s}$$
(4-40)

and

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{g}}_{n}(s) = \left| \begin{array}{c} s^{(n-1)/2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n^{\overline{b}}_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{e^{-k} Y_{ij} \sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{s}} & n = 1, 3, 5, \dots \end{array} \right|$$
(4-41a)

$$s^{(n-2)/2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n^{i} \overline{b}_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} e^{-k} \gamma_{ij} \sqrt{s} \qquad n = 2, 4, 6, ...$$
 (4-41b)

with

$${}^{1}Y_{ij} = [2(i+j) - 1]\beta - \mu$$
(4-42a)

$${}^{2}Y_{ij} = [2(i+j) - 1]\beta + \mu$$
 (4-42b)

Using the inverse transforms of  $e^{-a\sqrt{s}/s}$ ,  $e^{-a\sqrt{s}/\sqrt{s}}$ , and  $e^{-a\sqrt{s}}$  (see Appendix A, Eqs. A.2-1 through A.2-3) and applying as before the multiplication theorem strictly to the second member on the right-hand side of Equation 4-39, the inverse Laplace transform of  $G_R(s)$  may then be written as

$$G_{R}(t) = L^{-1} \{G_{R}(s)\} = \widetilde{g}(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{n!} \widetilde{\widetilde{g}}_{n}(t)$$

$$(4-43)$$

where

$$\widetilde{g}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega'} (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \left( \operatorname{erfc} \left[ \frac{{}^{k} Y_{0i}}{2\sqrt{t}} \right] \right)$$
(4-44)

$$\mathbf{g}_{n}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbf{\bar{b}}_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{d^{(n-1)/2}}{dt^{(n-1)/2}} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{e}^{-\binom{k}{\gamma_{ij}}^{2}}}{\sqrt{t}} \right] & n = 1, 3, 5, \dots \end{cases}$$
(4-45a)

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{i=0}^{\Omega_1} {}_n \bar{b}_i \sum_{j=1}^{\Omega_2} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^2 {\binom{k}{\gamma_{ij}} \frac{d^{(n-2)/2}}{dt^{(n-2)/2}} \left[ \frac{e^{-\binom{k}{\gamma_{ij}}}/4t}{\sqrt{t^3}} \right]} n = 2, 4, 6, \dots$$
(4-45b)

By taking an approach similar to the one outlined in the previous section (see Eqs. 4-24 through 4-29) with  $k_{Yij}/2\sqrt{t}$  substituting for  $\alpha_k$ , it may be shown that the second member of Equation 4-43 is written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\varepsilon^n}{n!} \widetilde{\widetilde{g}}_n(t) = \widetilde{\widetilde{g}}_1(t) + \widetilde{\widetilde{g}}_2(t)$$
(4-46)

$$g_{1}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega_{1}} (-1)^{i} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \operatorname{erf}\left[\frac{{}^{k}Y_{0i} + \varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}\right] - \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega'} (-1)^{i} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \operatorname{erf}\left[\frac{{}^{k}Y_{0i}}{2\sqrt{t}}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega'_{0}} (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{{}^{k}Y_{0i} + \varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}\right] - \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega'} (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{{}^{k}Y_{0i}}{2\sqrt{t}}\right]$$
(4-47)

and

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{g}}_{2}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{i} a_{im} \varepsilon^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{d\varepsilon^{m}} \left[ \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{{}^{k} Y_{ij} + \varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}} \right) \right]$$
(4-48)

Note that Equation 4-47 corresponds to the terms in the summation series given by Equations 4-45a and 4-45b with i set to zero, in which case  $_{n}b_{0}$  is equal to unity for all values of n (see Eq. 4-41a). The result for this set of conditions is a correspondence between the left-hand side of Equation 4-46 and  $g_{1}(t)$  given by Equation 4-47 which becomes exact after premultiplying the latter by minus one.

and

Combining Equations 4-44 and 4-47, we have.

$$\widetilde{g}(t) + \widetilde{\widetilde{g}}_{1}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega_{0}^{''}} (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{{}^{k}\gamma_{0i} + \varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}\right]$$
(4-49)

Hence Equation 4-43 becomes

$$G_{R}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega_{0}} (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{{}^{k} \gamma_{i0} + c_{f} \chi}{2\sqrt{t}}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{\Omega_{2}} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{i} a_{im} (c_{f} \chi)^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{d\epsilon^{m}} \left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{{}^{k} \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)\right]^{(4-50)}$$

Substituting Equation 4-31 into Equation 4-34 and applying subsequently the translation theorem (Eq. A.1-1), the inverse Laplace transform of Equation 4-25 yielding the solution of the concentration in the rock then may be written as

$$B(x,y,z,t) = A^{0}e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma)E_{\Omega} G_{R}(t-R_{X}) U(t-R_{X})d\sigma \quad .$$
 (4-51)

It may be worthwhile noting that under some circumstances Equation 4-51 will become identical to Equation 3-45 (see Section 4.5 for discussion). Details regarding the integration of Equations 4-32 and 4-51 may be found in Appendix B.

#### 4.1.3 Mass Flux

The general equation for the mass flux in the fracture is given by Equation 3-53 in which the Laplace transforms of the derivative terms  $\partial \overline{A}/\partial x$  and  $\partial \overline{A}/\partial y$  have the same form as Equations 3-55 and 3-56, with the noted difference that the coefficient of  $\chi$  in the exponential argument is given in this instance by Equation 4-3.

The evaluation of  $\partial \overline{\Lambda} / \partial x$  requires a new estimate of the x-derivative of  $e^{r_{A} \chi}$  based on Equation 4-3 and in this case Equation 3-55 becomes

$$\frac{\partial \overline{A}}{\partial x} = A^{\circ} \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta(s) e^{r_{a} \chi} \psi(x, \sigma) \left[ 2\alpha_{1} (\sigma - \alpha_{1} x) E_{\Omega} + E_{\Omega}^{x} - E_{\Omega} \overline{\beta} f_{1} (s + \lambda) \right] d\sigma$$
(4-52)

where

$$f_1(s) = Rs + c_f \sqrt{s} \tanh \beta \sqrt{s}$$
(4-53)

and  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\overline{\beta}$  are given by Equations 3-57b and 3-59, and  $E_{\Omega}^{x}$  by either Equation 3-62 or Equation 3-64, all depending upon the type of boundary condition imposed at the source. Writing

$$L^{-1} \theta(s) e^{r_{a} \chi} f_{1}(s+\lambda) = e^{-\lambda t} L^{-1} \exp(-R\chi s) G_{F}^{*}(s)$$
(4-54)

where

$$G_{F}^{*}(s) = G_{F}(s) \cdot f_{1}(s)$$

$$(4-55a)$$

$$= \operatorname{RsG}_{F}(s) - c_{f} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left[ G_{F}(s) \right]$$
(4-55b)

with  $G_F(s)$  given by Equation 4-9. Using Equation 4-31 and applying Theorem A.1.3a to  $sG_F(s)$  in Equation 4-55b, and subsequently Theorem A.1-1, the inverse Laplace transform of Equation 4-52 becomes

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} = A^{o}e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) \left[ (2\alpha_{1}(\sigma - \alpha_{1}x)E_{\Omega} + E_{\Omega}^{x}) G_{F}(t - R_{X}) - E_{\Omega}\overline{\beta} \right] R\left( \frac{\overline{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\overline{\epsilon}^{2}/(t - R_{X})}}{\sqrt{(t - R_{X})^{3}}} + (4-56)\right]$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{n!} \overline{g}_{n}(t-R\chi) + c_{f} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\overline{\varepsilon}^{2}/(t-R\chi)}}{\sqrt{(t-R\chi)}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{\varepsilon^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \overline{g}_{n}(t-R\chi) \right) \right| \left| U(t-R\chi) d\sigma - U(t-R\chi) \right| = 0$$

where  $\overline{g'}_{n}(t)$  corresponds to the time derivative of  $g_{n}(t)$  given by Equations 4-18.

The inverse Laplace transform of the y-derivative of A which has a form identical to Equation 3-68b may be written as

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial y} = A^{0}e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega}^{y} G_{F}(t-R\chi) U(t-R\chi) d\sigma$$
(4-57)

where  $E_{\Omega}^{x}$ ,  $E_{\Omega}^{y}$ ,  $\overline{e}$ , and  $G_{F}(t)$  are given by Equations 3-63, 3-65, 3-67d, and 4-31a, respectively.

Referring to Equation 4-25 we have

$$H_{2}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{n!} \overline{g}_{n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} e^{-\alpha_{k}^{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{16} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t^{3}}} (\alpha_{k}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{26} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{k} \frac{\alpha_{k}}{2!} \frac{\alpha_{k}}{t^{2}} (\alpha_{k}^{2} - \frac{3}{2}) + \frac{1}{36} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \frac{\varepsilon^{3}}{3!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{5}}} \left( \alpha_{k}^{4} - 3\alpha_{k}^{2} + \frac{3}{4} \right) - \dots \right]$$

$$(4-58)$$

Comparing Equations 4-58 and 4-28 we find

$$H_{2}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{0}} \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{km} \varepsilon^{m} \frac{d^{m+2}}{d\varepsilon^{m+2}} \left[ \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{2k\beta + \varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) \right]$$
(4-59)

Referring to Equation 4-29 and differentiating both sides with respect to a yields

$$H_{3}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{\varepsilon^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} g_{n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{0}} \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{km} \left[ m \varepsilon^{m-1} \frac{d^{m}}{d\varepsilon^{m}} \left[ \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{2k\beta + \varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) \right] + \varepsilon^{m} \frac{d^{m+1}}{d\varepsilon^{m+1}} \left[ \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{2k\beta + \varepsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) \right] \right]$$

$$(4.60)$$

The components of the mass flux given by Equations 3-54 may now be written as

$$F_{i} = \Lambda^{o} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) \left[ {}_{i}F_{1} + G_{F}(t-R_{X}) + {}_{i}F_{2}^{*} \right] R\left(\frac{\bar{v}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\bar{v}^{2}}{v}/(t-R_{X})}}{\sqrt{(t-R_{X})^{3}}} + H_{2}(t-R_{X})\right) + c_{f}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\bar{v}^{2}}{v}/(t-R_{X})}}{\sqrt{(t-R_{X})}} - H_{3}(t-R_{X})\right) \right] U(t-R_{X}) d\sigma , (i = x, y)$$
(4.61)

with

$$_{i}F_{2}^{*} = D_{ix}E_{\Omega}\overline{\beta}$$
,  $(i = x, y)$  (4-62)

-

where  $_{x}F_{1, y}F_{1}$ ,  $G_{F}(t)$ ,  $H_{2}(t)$ , and  $H_{3}(t)$  are given by Equations 3-67a, 3-67c, 4-31, 4-59, and 4-60, respectively.
#### 4.1.4 Cumulative Mass Flux

The cumulative mass flux may be written as

$$M(t) = \int_{R_X}^{t} F(t) dt \qquad (4-63)$$

where F(t) is given by Equation 3-70b.

## $Unidimensional Flow(F_y = 0)$

Substituting for F(t) given by Equation 4-61a and interchanging the order of integration (see Eqs. 3-71 through 3-73), the above equation may now be written as

$$M(t) = \Lambda^{0} \int_{f(t)}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) \left[ \frac{1}{xF_{1}} J_{0} + \frac{1}{xF_{2}} [R(I_{2} + J_{2}) + c_{f}(I_{3} - J_{3})] \right] U(t - R\chi) d\sigma$$
(4-64)

where  $x \overline{F}_1$  and  $x \overline{F}_2^*$  are given by Equations 3-74a and 4-62, and

$$J_{0} = \int_{R_{X}}^{t} e^{-\lambda t} G_{F}(t) dt = I_{1} + J_{1}$$
 (4-65)

$$I_{1} = \int_{R_{X}}^{t} e^{-\lambda t} \operatorname{erfc} \left[ \frac{\overline{e}}{\sqrt{t - R_{X}}} \right] dt = K_{0}(\overline{e}) + \frac{\overline{e} e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{\lambda \sqrt{u}} K_{2}(\overline{e})$$
(4-66)

$$J_{1} = \int_{R_{X}}^{t} H_{1}(\iota) e^{-\lambda \iota} d\iota$$
(4-67)

$$I_{2} = \frac{\overline{e} e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{t-R_{X}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t - \frac{\overline{e}}{t}}}{\sqrt{t^{3}}} dt = \frac{\overline{e} e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} K_{2}(\overline{e})$$
(4-68)

$$J_2 = \int_{R_X}^t H_2(\iota) e^{-\lambda \iota} d\iota$$
 (4-69)

$$I_{3} = \frac{e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{R_{X}}^{t} \frac{e^{-\lambda t} - \frac{\overline{e}^{2}}{t}}{\sqrt{t}} dt = \frac{e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} K_{1}(\overline{e})$$
(4-70)

$$J_{3} = \int_{R_{X}}^{t} H_{3}(t) e^{-\lambda t} dt \qquad (4-71)$$

where  $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ , and  $K_2$  are given by Equations 3-79a, 3-81, and 3-82, and  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $H_3$  are given by Equations 4-29, 4-59, and 4-60, respectively. Writing

$$\bar{\xi}_{k} = k\beta + \bar{v} \qquad (4-72)$$

and while noting that

$$\int_{R_{X}}^{t} e^{-\lambda \iota} \frac{d^{n}}{d\epsilon^{n}} \left[ \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\overline{\xi}_{k}}{\sqrt{\iota - R_{X}}}\right) \right] d\iota = \frac{d^{n}}{d\epsilon^{n}} \left[ L\left(\overline{\xi}_{k}\right) \right]$$
(4-73)

where

, ,

$$I\left(\left|\overline{\xi}_{k}\right|\right) = e^{-\lambda R_{X}} \int_{0}^{t-R_{X}} e^{-\lambda t} \operatorname{orf}\left(\left|\frac{\overline{\xi}_{k}}{\sqrt{t}}\right|\right) dt \quad .$$
(4-74)

integration by parts yields

$$L(\bar{\xi}_{k}) = -\frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\bar{\xi}_{k}}{\sqrt{t-R_{X}}}\right) + \frac{e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{\lambda} - \frac{\bar{\xi}_{k}e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{\lambda\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{t-R_{X}} \frac{e^{-\lambda t} - \frac{\bar{\xi}_{k}^{2}}{t}}{\sqrt{t^{3}}} d\tau$$
$$= -\frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\bar{\xi}_{k}}{\sqrt{t-R_{X}}}\right) + \frac{e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{\lambda} - \frac{\bar{\xi}_{k}e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{\lambda\sqrt{\pi}} K_{2}(\bar{\xi}_{k}) \qquad (4-75)$$

Substituting for  $K_2(\overline{\xi}_k)$  (Eq. 3-82) in the last term of the above equation, it may then be shown that

$$\frac{d^{n}}{d\epsilon^{n}} \left[ L(\bar{\xi}_{k}) \right] = -\frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \frac{d^{n}}{d\epsilon^{n}} \left[ erf\left(\frac{\bar{\xi}_{k}}{\sqrt{t-R_{X}}}\right) \right] - \frac{e^{-\lambda R_{X}}}{2\lambda} \left[ \lambda^{n/2} e^{2\bar{\xi}_{k}} \sqrt{\lambda} + (-1)^{n} \lambda^{n/2} e^{-2\bar{\xi}_{k}} \sqrt{\lambda} - \frac{d^{n}}{d\epsilon^{n}} \left[ e^{2\bar{\xi}_{k}} \sqrt{\lambda} erf\left(\frac{\bar{\xi}_{k}}{\sqrt{t-R_{X}}} + \sqrt{\lambda(t-R_{X})}\right) + e^{-2\bar{\xi}_{k}} \sqrt{\lambda} erf\left(\frac{\bar{\xi}_{k}}{\sqrt{t-R_{X}}} - \sqrt{\lambda(t-R_{X})}\right) \right] \right]$$
(4-76)

The last member of the above equation may be evaluated using Leibnitz's theorem for differentiation (Eq. C-6 along with Eq. C-3) and Equation 4-31b. Using the above notations, Equations 4-67, 4-69, and 4-71 may now be written as

$$J_{1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{0}} \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{km} e^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{de^{m}} [L(\vec{\xi}_{k})]$$
(4-77)

$$J_{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{0}} \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{km} \varepsilon^{m} \frac{d^{m+2}}{d\varepsilon^{m+2}} [L(\bar{\xi}_{k})] . \qquad (4-78)$$

$$J_{3} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{km} \left[ m \epsilon^{m-1} \frac{d^{m}}{d\epsilon^{m}} \left[ L(\overline{\xi}_{k}) \right] + \epsilon^{m} \frac{d^{m+1}}{d\epsilon^{m+1}} \left[ L(\overline{\xi}_{k}) \right] \right]$$
(4-79)

Reverting to Equation 4-64 and using the above notations, this becomes

$$M(t) = A^{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(\chi, \sigma) \left[ \frac{1}{x} \overline{F}_{1} \left[ K_{0}(\overline{e}) + \frac{\overline{e} e^{-\lambda R_{\chi}}}{\lambda \sqrt{\pi}} K_{2}(\overline{e}) + J_{1} \right] + \frac{1}{x} F_{2}^{*} \left[ R \left( \frac{\overline{e} e^{-\lambda R_{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} K_{2}(\overline{e}) + J_{2} \right) + c_{f} \left( \frac{e^{-\lambda R_{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} K_{1}(\overline{e}) - J_{3} \right) \right] U(t - R_{\chi})$$

$$(4-80)$$

#### 4.2 NO LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION

With the streamlines assumed normal to the source (i.e., v = 0) and neglecting the longitudinal dispersion effects (i.e.,  $D_{xx} = D_{xy} = 0$ ), Equations 4-1 to 4-3 are still satisfied. In this case, the solution in the fracture and rock matrix may be obtained from Equations 4-32 and 4-51 after implementing on the one hand the relation given by Equation 3-50 and proper substitution for the correspondence of the variables  $\varepsilon$  (i.e.,  $\varepsilon'$ ) and  $\chi$  (i.e.,  $\chi'$ ) on the other hand, to yield

$$A(x,y,t) = A^{0} e^{-\lambda t} E_{\Omega} G'_{F} (t - RX') U(t - RX')$$
(4-81)

$$B(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},t) = \Lambda^{0} e^{-\lambda t} E_{\Omega} G'_{R}(t - R\chi') U(t - R\chi')$$
(4.82)

where

$$G_{F}(t) = \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{c_{f}\chi'}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{0}} \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{km}(c_{f}\chi')^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{d\epsilon'^{m}} \left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{2k\beta + \epsilon'}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)\right]$$
(4.83)

$$G'_{R}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega''_{0}} (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \operatorname{orfc} \left[ \frac{^{k} \gamma_{10} + c_{f} \chi'}{2\sqrt{t}} \right]$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\Omega''_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{\Omega''_{2}} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{i} a_{im}(c_{f} \chi') \frac{d^{m}}{d\epsilon'^{m}} \left[ \operatorname{orf} \left( \frac{^{k} \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon'}{2\sqrt{t}} \right) \right]$$
(4-84)

Note that  $\chi^\prime$  corresponds to x/u,

Based on Equations 3-50 and 3-70b, the mass flux and cumulative mass flux in the fracture may be obtained from Equations 4-61 and 4-80.

$$F(t) = \Lambda^{0} e^{-\lambda t} F_{3} G'_{F}(t - R\chi') U(t - R\chi')$$
(4-85)

$$M(t) = A^{0} F_{3} \left( K_{0}^{\dagger} \left( \tilde{\epsilon} \right) + \frac{\tilde{\epsilon} e^{-\lambda R_{X}'}}{\lambda \sqrt{\mu}} K_{2}^{\dagger} \left( \tilde{\epsilon} \right) + J_{1}^{\prime} \right) U(t - R_{X}')$$
(4-86)

where

$$J'_{1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega_{0}} \sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{km}(\epsilon')^{m} \frac{d^{m}}{d(\epsilon')^{m}} [L(\vec{\xi}_{k})]$$
(4-87)

$$\vec{\bar{\xi}}_{k} = k\beta + \vec{\bar{e}}$$
 (4-88a)

$$\frac{\overline{\epsilon}}{\overline{\epsilon}} = \frac{\epsilon'}{2} = \frac{c_{f}\chi'}{2}$$
 (4-88b)

and functions  $K_0$ ,  $K_2$ , and  $F_3$  are given by Equations 3-79a, 3-82, and 3-88, respectively.

## 4.3 SOLUTION FOR BAND RELEASE

The general form of the solution for the finite-duration band release mode based on the boundary condition given by Equation 2-20 and which uses the superposition technique (see Foglia et al., 1979) may be written as

$$^{\mathrm{b}}\mathsf{A}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{t}) = \mathsf{A}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{t};\mathsf{A}^{\mathrm{o}}) - \mathsf{A}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{t}-\mathrm{T};\mathsf{A}^{\mathrm{o}}\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\mathrm{T}}) \, \mathsf{U}(\mathbf{t}-\mathrm{T}) \tag{4-89}$$

where T corresponds to the leach duration and superscript b indicates the band release solution.

#### 4.4 CONVERGENCE OF SERIES

The solutions presented in this section include for the most part components in the form of series. Although tentative limits of their upper bound for the longitudinal dispersion-free solutions have been proposed in Section 4.5, these might converge prematurely, particularly when the ratio of  $\epsilon/2\sqrt{t}$  becomes excessively smaller than unity.

Convergence of these series is assumed to be reached when the following criteria are simultaneously met, i.e., for a given series the absolute percentage relative error in two consecutive steps of the summation process is less than or equal to 0.1 and the absolute difference at these steps is less than or equal to 10<sup>-6</sup>.

With a series at the nth step of its evaluation defined as

$$\mathbf{S}_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{i} \tag{4-91}$$

the first convergence criterion corresponds to

$$\left|\frac{S_{m} - S_{m-1}}{S_{m}}\right| \leq 10^{-3}; m = n-1, n \text{ (relative error)}$$
(4-92a)

whereas the second corresponds to

$$\left| S_n - S_{n-1} \right| \le 10^{-6}$$
 (absolute error) (4-92b)

#### 4.5 REMARKS

The series solution presented in this section includes a combination of complementary error function and multiple derivatives of the error function. The number of significant terms in the series summation is dependent to a large extent upon the argument of the error functions. Past a certain threshold the argument will render subsequent terms in the series negligibly small and, therefore, these terms may be neglected. For example, an argument for the error function corresponding to 5.5 will render both complementary error function and its derivatives negligibly small. Since the argument of the exponential term in the derivative expression of the error function emerges as the square of its argument, the argument of the exponential and error function are accordingly related. In the related computer code, the error function is evaluated internally (Cody, 1969) and assigned its maximum value of one when its argument (i.e., ARG') becomes greater or equal to the square root of the maximum permissible value of the exponential argument (i.e., ARG) as allowed by the computer.

An estimate of some tentative limits for the values of  $\Omega_0^{''}$ ,  $\Omega_1^{''}$ , and  $\Omega_2^{''}$  (see Eqs. 4-31a and 4-50) may be obtained after equating the argument of the complementary error function and exponential argument appearing in the derivative terms of the error functions to ARG' and ARG, respectively. Moreover, it may also be shown that the reported solutions are dependent on some dimensionless numbers, such as the Fourier number defined as

$$F_{0} = \frac{D_{p}(t - R_{X})}{R'(L - b)^{2}}, \qquad (4-93)$$

a dimensionless number determining the penetration of the solute front into the rock matrix over a given period of time, which is analogous to the theory of heat conduction in solids. Thus, the larger the Fourier number, the greater the elapsed time for the diffusion process.

#### 4.5.1 Fracture

In order to determine a limiting value for  $\Omega_0$  in Equation 4-31a, we write

$$\frac{(2\Omega_0\beta + c_f\chi)^2}{4(t - R\chi)} \le \Lambda RG$$
(4-94)

Substituting for  $\beta$  (see Eq. 4-7b) and using Equation 4-94, we find that

$$\Omega_0 \le \left| \left( \text{ARG Fo} \right)^{1/2} - P_0 \right| \tag{4-95}$$

where  $P_0$  is a dimensionless number defined as

$$P_0 = \frac{\Phi D_p \chi}{2b(L-b)}$$
(4-96)

Note that in this case the second member on the right-hand side of Equation 4-31a would contribute to the solution in the fracture only when

$$F_0 \ge \frac{1}{ARG} \left[ 1 + P_0 \right]^2$$
(4-97)

as otherwise Equation 4-31a now reduces to

$$G_{\rm F}(t) = {\rm erfc}\left(\frac{c_{\rm f}\chi}{2\sqrt{t}}\right), {\rm Fo} < \frac{1}{{\rm ARG}} \left[1 + {\rm P}_0\right]^2$$
(4-98)

which indicates that for this specific range of values of Fo based on a value for ARG greater than 30, the solutions of the concentration in the fracture for infinite and finite diffusion into the rock matrix become practically identica

#### 4.5.2 Rock

Referring to the argument of the complementary error function term in Equation 4-50, which is obtained after setting k = 1 (see Eq. 4-42a), the limiting value of  $\Omega_0$  may be found after writing

$$\frac{(2\Omega_0' - 1)\beta - \mu + c_f \chi}{2\sqrt{t - R_\chi}} \le \Lambda RG'$$
(4-99)

Substituting for  $\beta$  and  $\mu,$  we find that

$$\Omega_0'' \le ARG' F o^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{L-z}{L-b} \right) + \frac{1}{2} - P_0$$
(4-100)

where  $\mu$  is given by Equation 4-36. It may be shown that  $\Omega_0$  will take its lowest value corresponding to unity when Fo satisfies the following condition

$$Fo \ge \frac{1}{ARG} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{z-b}{L-b} \right) + P_0 \right]^2$$
(4.101)

as otherwise Equation 4-50 now reduces to

$$G_{r}(t) = \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{c_{r}(z-b) + c_{f}\chi}{2\sqrt{t}}\right), F_{0} < \frac{1}{\operatorname{ARG}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z-b}{L-b}\right) + P_{0}\right]^{2}$$
(4-102)

Since in this case the second member of Equation 4-50 cannot be evaluated, the solution of the concentration in the rock matrix becomes identical to the single fracture case.

Referring to the second term in Equation 4-50 and selecting the smallest argument (i.e.,  ${}^{1}Y_{ij}/4t$ where  ${}^{1}Y_{ij}$  is given by Equation 4-42a), the value for  $\Omega_{1}^{''}$  may be found after writing

$$\frac{\left[(2m-1)\beta - \mu c_{f} \chi\right]^{2}}{4(t-R\chi)} \le ARG$$
(4-103)

where  $m = \Omega_1^{a''} = \Omega_2^{''}$ . Substituting for  $\beta$  and  $\mu$ , we have

$$m \le (ARGF_0)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{L-z}{L-b}\right) + \frac{1}{2} - P_0$$
 (4-104)

and in this case the number of summations corresponds to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} i$$

Note that in the evaluation of  $\chi$ , the value of the variable  $\sigma$  is taken to correspond to  $\sigma_{UL}$  given by Equation B.1-11b (see Appendix B). When the longitudinal dispersion effects are ignored, then  $\chi$  is substituted by  $\chi'$ . The reader may easily observe that for a given value of the dimensionless number  $c_f$  $\chi/2\sqrt{t}$ , this particular solution method loses its viability with increasing values of the Fourier number. However, of interest are values of  $c_f \chi/2\sqrt{t} \leq 0.1$  which render the terms associated to the innermost series summation in Equations 4-31a, 4-50, 4-60, 4-61a, 4-77, 4-78, 4-79, 4-84, and 4-87 negligibly small (i.e., <10-6) past the sixth term of the summation. Consequently, in such instances the series solution may conveniently substitute the contour integration solution (see Chapter 5), in which convergence characteristics are adversely affected by decreasing values of the dimensionless number.

# 5.0 MULTIPLE PARALLEL FRACTURE CASE WITH FINITE DIFFUSION FIELD: CONTOUR INTEGRATION

#### 5.1 FRACTURE

**Rewriting Equation 4-4**:

$$\overline{A}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{s}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \Psi(\mathbf{x},\sigma) E_{\Omega} A^{0} \Theta(\mathbf{s}) e^{r_{a} \chi} d\sigma$$
(5-1)

The inverse Laplace transform of the above equation may be sought after using the Bromwich complex . inversion formula (Carslaw and Jaeger, 1958) and applying the Translation, Linear Transformation, and Convolution Theorems to the last two terms of Equation 5-1.

$$L^{-1}\left[\theta(s)e^{r_{a}X}\right] = L^{-1}\left[\frac{\exp[-R\chi(s+\lambda)]}{(s+\lambda)}\exp\left[-c_{f}\chi(s+\lambda)^{1/2}\tanh(c_{r}(L-b)(s+\lambda)^{1/2})\right]\right]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda t}\int_{0}^{t-R\chi}G_{F}(t,\varepsilon,\beta)d\tau$$
(5-2)

where

$$G_{F}(\tau,\varepsilon,\beta) = L^{-1} \left[ \exp[-\varepsilon(s)^{1/2} \tanh(\beta(s)^{1/2})] \right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta \exp(\beta_{r}) \cos(\beta_{\ell}) d\eta$$
(5-3)

with

$$\varepsilon = c_{\rm f} \chi \tag{5-4a}$$

$$\beta = c_{p}(L-b) \tag{5-4b}$$

$$\beta_{\rm r} = -\frac{\epsilon \eta}{2} \left[ \frac{\sinh(\beta \eta) - \sin(\beta \eta)}{\cosh(\beta \eta) + \cos(\beta \eta)} \right]$$
(5-4c)

$$\beta_e = \frac{\eta^2 \varepsilon}{2} - \gamma_e \tag{5-4d}$$

$$Y_{\ell} = \frac{\epsilon \eta}{2} \left[ \frac{\sinh(\beta \eta) + \sin(\beta \eta)}{\cosh(\beta \eta) + \cos(\beta \eta)} \right]$$
(5-4e)

Note that Equation 5-3 was derived by Skopp and Warrick (1974).

Writing the last term under the integral sign in Equation 5-3 (i.e.,  $\cos\beta_{\ell}$ ) in a more explicit form using Equation 5-4d, we have

$$\cos(\beta_{\ell}) = \cos\left(\frac{\eta^2}{2}\tau\right)\cos(\gamma_{\ell}) + \sin\left(\frac{\eta^2}{2}\tau\right)\sin(\gamma_{\ell}) \qquad (5-5)$$

lite -

. . . . .

Integration of Equation 5-3 with respect to t using Equation 5-5, we obtain

$$G_{F}(t-R_{X},\varepsilon,\beta) = \int_{0}^{t-R_{X}} G_{F}(\tau,\varepsilon,\beta)d\tau = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(\beta_{r})}{\eta} [\sin(v)\cos(\gamma_{\ell}) + [1-\cos(v)]\sin(\gamma_{\ell})]d\eta \qquad (5-6)$$

where

$$v = \frac{\eta^2 (t - R\chi)}{2} = \frac{\eta^2}{2} \left( t - \frac{R\kappa^2}{4D_{xx}\sigma^2} \right) .$$
 (5-7)

Using the formula

$$\sin(a+b) = \sin(a)\cos(b) + \cos(a)\sin(b)$$
(5-8)

the inverse Laplace transform of Equation 5-3 yielding the final solution of the concentration in a system of parallel fractures may be written as

$$A(x,y,t) = \frac{2}{\pi} A^{o} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(\beta_{r})}{\eta} \cdot [\sin(\gamma_{\ell}) + \sin(\nu - \gamma_{\ell})] U(t - R\chi) d\eta d\sigma \qquad (5-9)$$

where  $\chi$ ,  $\gamma_{\ell}$ , and v are given by Equations 3-22d, 5-4e, and 5-7, respectively.

#### **5.2 ROCK MATRIX**

Substitution of Equation 5-1 in Equation 4-1 gives

$$\overline{B}(x,y,z,s) = A^{o} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} \theta(s) e^{r_{a} \chi} \cosh[r_{b}(z-L)] \operatorname{sech}[r_{b}(b-L)] d\sigma$$
(5-10)

The inverse Laplace transform of Equation 5-10 after substituting for  $r_b$  (see Equation 3-5a) may be obtained (see Appendix A, Equation A.2-5) from

$$L^{-1} \frac{\cosh\left[\mu(s)^{1/2}\right] \operatorname{sech}\left[\beta(s)^{1/2}\right]}{s} = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\delta} \exp(-\delta^{2} t)$$
(5-11)

where

$$\mu = c_r (L-z) \tag{5-12a}$$

$$\delta = \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2\beta} \tag{5-12b}$$

$$\Gamma_{\delta} = (-1)^{n} \frac{4}{(2n+1)\pi} \cos(\delta\mu) .$$
 (5-12c)

Using Equations 5-3 and 5-11, the inverse Laplace transform of Equation 5-10 is obtained after applying the Translation, Linear Transformation, and Convolution Theorems, respectively. This may be written as

$$B(x,y,z,t) = \frac{A^{0}}{\pi} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta \exp(\beta_{r}) \cdot \int_{0}^{t-R\chi} \cos(\beta_{\ell}) \cdot [1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\delta} \exp[-\delta^{2}(t-\tau)]]$$
$$U(t - R\chi) d\tau d\eta d\sigma \qquad (5-13)$$

Note that  $\cos(\beta_{\ell})$  is given by Equation 5-5. Integration of Equation 5-13 with respect to  $\tau$  while making use of the following formulae

$$\int e^{az} \sin bz dz = \frac{e^{az}}{a^2 + b^2} (a \sin bz - b \cos bz)$$
(5-14a)

$$\int e^{az} \cos bz dz = \frac{e^{az}}{a^2 + b^2} (a \cos bz + b \sin bz)$$
(5-14a)

$$\cos(a+b) = \cos(a)\cos(b) - \sin(a)\sin(b)$$
(5-14c)

will then yield a tentative solution of the concentration in the rock matrix. This may be written as

$$B(x,y,z,t) = \frac{A^{\circ}}{\pi} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta \exp(\beta_{r}) \left\{ \frac{2}{\eta^{2}} [\sin(\gamma_{\ell}) + \sin(\nu - \gamma_{\ell})] - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{\delta}}{\delta^{4} + \eta^{4}/4} [\exp(-\delta^{2}R\chi) + \left[\delta^{2}\cos(\nu - \gamma_{\ell}) + \frac{\eta^{2}}{2}\sin(\nu - \gamma_{\ell})\right] - \exp(-\delta^{2}t) [\delta^{2}\cos(\gamma_{\ell}) - \frac{\eta^{2}}{2}\sin(\gamma_{\ell})] U(t - R\chi) \right\} d\eta d\sigma$$
(5-15)

where  $\chi, \gamma_{\ell}, \nu, \delta,$  and  $\Gamma_{\delta}$  are given by Equations 3-22d, 5-4e, 5-7, 5-12b, and 5-12c, respectively.

Because of the slow convergence registered by the solution given by Equation 5-15 for small Fourier numbers and its deficiency to cope adequately with large Fourier numbers (i.e., >20) since Equation 5-11 reduces to one in such a case, an alternative solution based on a contour integration along the imaginary axis was sought.

Referring to Equation 5-10 and applying to this the Convolution Theorem yields

$$B(x,y,z,t) = A^{o}e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma)E_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} G_{R}(\tau,\varepsilon,\beta,\mu) d\tau d\sigma U(t-R\chi)$$
(5-16)

where

$$G_{R}(\tau,\epsilon,\beta,\mu) = L^{-1} \exp\left[-\epsilon(s)^{1/2} \tanh\beta(s)^{1/2}\right] \frac{\cosh\mu(s)^{1/2}}{\cosh\beta(s)^{1/2}}$$
(5-17)

Substituting  $s = i\eta^2/2$  and and  $s = -i\eta^2/2$  on the positive and negative parts of the imaginary axis in the above equation and using the following relations

$$\cosh(z) = \cosh x \cos y + i \sinh x \sin y$$
 (5-18)

$$tanh(z) = \frac{sinh2x + isin2y}{cosh2x + cos2y}$$
(5-19)

and multiplying both numerator and denominator of Equation 5-17 by the conjugate of Equation 5-18 yields

$$G_{R}(\tau,\epsilon,\beta,\mu) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{2} \frac{\eta}{\delta_{1}} \exp\left[\beta_{r} + (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\beta\eta}{2} + (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\mu\eta}{2}\right]$$
$$\exp\left[i(-1)^{p+1} \left(\frac{\eta^{2}\tau}{2} - \gamma_{\ell} + (-1)^{m} \frac{\beta\eta}{2} - (-1)^{n} \frac{\mu\eta}{2}\right)\right] d\eta$$
(5-20)

where

$$\delta_{1} = \cosh^{2}\left(\frac{\beta\eta}{2}\right)\cos^{2}\left(\frac{\beta\eta}{2}\right) + \sinh^{2}\left(\frac{\beta\eta}{2}\right)\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\beta\eta}{2}\right)$$
(5-21)

and  $\beta_r$  is given by Equation 5-4c.

Recognizing the following relation

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos\theta + i\sin\theta \qquad (5-22)$$

2)

Equation 5-20 may now be written as

$$G_{R}(\tau,\varepsilon,\beta,\mu) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{2} \frac{\eta}{\delta_{1}} \exp\left[\overline{\beta}_{r} \left| \cos\left[\overline{\beta}_{e}\right] d\eta\right]$$
(5-23)

where

$$\overline{\beta}_{r} = \beta_{r} + (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\beta \eta}{2} + (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\mu \eta}{2}$$
(5-24a)

$$\overline{\beta}_{e} = \frac{\eta^{2} t}{2} - \overline{\gamma}_{e}$$
(5-24b)

$$\overline{Y}_{\ell} = Y_{\ell} + (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\beta \eta}{2} - (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\mu \eta}{2}$$
(5-24c)

Noting that Equations 5-23 and 5-24b have forms similar to Equations 5-3 and 5-4d, integration of  $\cos[\beta_{\ell}]$  with respect to  $\tau$ , following identical steps given by Equations 5-5 through 5-8, will then yield the final solution of the concentration in the rock matrix which may be written as

$$B(x,y,z,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} A^{o} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{2} \frac{\exp(\overline{\beta}_{r})}{\delta_{1} \eta} \{\sin(\overline{\gamma}_{\ell}) + \sin(v - \overline{\gamma}_{\ell})\} U(t - R\chi) d\eta d\delta$$
(5-25)

where v is given by Equation 5-7.

#### 5.3 MASS FLUX

The general form of the equation for the mass flux in the fracture is given by Equation 3-53. This requires the evaluation of the derivatives terms  $\partial A/\partial x$  and  $\partial A/\partial y$ . Referring to Equation 5-9, one obtains

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} = A^{0}e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) \left[ (2\alpha_{1}(\sigma - \alpha_{1}x)E_{\Omega} + E_{\Omega}^{x})G_{F}(t - R\chi, \epsilon, \beta) + E_{\Omega}G_{F}^{x}(t - R\chi, \epsilon, \beta) \right] U(t - R\chi) d\sigma$$

$$(5-26)$$

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial y} = A^{\circ} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(x,\sigma) E_{\Omega}^{y} G_{F}(t-R_{X},\varepsilon,\beta) U(t-R_{X}) d\sigma$$
(5-27)

with

$$G_{F}^{x}(t - R_{X}, \varepsilon, \beta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(\beta_{r})}{\eta} \overline{\beta} \left( c_{f}\zeta_{r} \left( \sin(\gamma_{\ell}) \right) + \sin(\gamma - \gamma_{\ell}) + c_{f}\zeta_{\ell} \cos(\gamma_{\ell}) - \left( \frac{R\eta^{2}}{2} + c_{f}\zeta_{\ell} \right) \cos(\gamma - \gamma_{\ell}) \right) d\eta$$

$$(5-28a)$$

$$\zeta_{\ell} = \gamma_{\ell} / \varepsilon = \frac{\eta}{2} \left[ \frac{\sinh(\beta\eta) + \sin(\beta\eta)}{\cosh(\beta\eta) + \cos(\beta\eta)} \right]$$
(5-28b)

$$\zeta_{\rm r} = \beta_{\rm r}/\epsilon = -\frac{\eta}{2} \left[ \frac{\sinh(\beta\eta) - \sin(\beta\eta)}{\cosh(\beta\eta) + \cos(\beta\eta)} \right]$$
(5.28c)

and terms given earlier as follows:  $\alpha_1$  by Equation 3-57b,  $\beta$  by Equations 3-59, E $\tilde{\alpha}$  by Equation 3-62 or 3-64, and E $\tilde{\alpha}$  by Equation 3-63 or 3-65.

With the proper substitution of Equations 5-9 and 5-26 into Equations 3-54 we find the solution for the components of the mass flux in the fracture written as

$$\mathbf{F}_{i} = \frac{2}{\pi} \mathbf{A}^{0} \mathbf{e}^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(\beta_{r})}{\eta} \left[ \left( \sin(\gamma_{\ell}) + \sin(\gamma_{\ell} - \gamma_{\ell}) \right)_{i} \mathbf{F}_{1} \right]$$

$$- {}_{i}F_{2}^{*}\left(c_{f}\zeta_{r}(\sin(\gamma_{\ell}) + \sin(\gamma_{\ell})) + \cos(\gamma_{\ell})c_{f}\zeta_{\ell} - \cos(\gamma_{\ell})\left(\frac{R\eta^{2}}{2} + c_{f}\zeta_{\ell}\right)\right) \left|U(t - R\chi)d\eta d\sigma \right|, (i = x, y)$$

$$(5-29)$$

where  $_{i}F_{1}$  and  $_{i}F_{2}^{*}$  are given by Equations 3-67a and 4-62.

## 5.4 CUMULATIVE MASS FLUX

The general form of the equation describing the cumulative mass flux in the fracture is given by Equation 4-63.

Unidimensional Flow ( $F_y = 0$ )

Defining

$$I_{1}(t, \eta, v, Y_{\ell}, \chi) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda t} \sin(Y_{\ell}) U(t - R\chi) d\tau$$

(5-30a)

=

•

-

lu .

$$= \int_{R_X}^{t} e^{-\lambda t} \sin(\gamma_{\ell}) dt$$
 (5-30b)

$$= \frac{\sin(\gamma_{\ell})}{\lambda} \left(e^{-\lambda R_{X}} - e^{-\lambda t}\right)$$
 (5-30c)

and

$$I_{2}(t,\eta,\nu,\gamma_{\ell},\chi) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda t} \sin\left(\frac{\eta^{2}(t-R\chi)}{2} - \gamma_{\ell}\right) U(t-R\chi) dt$$
(5-31a)

нĥ

$$= e^{-\lambda R\chi} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda t} \sin\left(\frac{\eta^2 t}{2} - \gamma_\ell\right) dt \qquad (5-31b)$$

Recognizing the following integral (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972),

$$\int e^{ax} \sin(bx + c) dx = \frac{e^{ax}}{a^2 + b^2} [a \sin(bx + c) - b \cos(bx + c)]$$
(5-32)

we may evaluate  $I_2$  as

$$I_{2}(t,\eta,\nu,\gamma_{\ell},\chi) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2} + \eta^{4}/4} \left[ e^{-\lambda R_{\chi}} \left( \frac{\eta^{2}}{2} \cos(\gamma_{\ell}) - \lambda \sin(\gamma_{\ell}) - e^{-\lambda t} \left( \frac{\eta^{2}}{2} \cos(\nu - \gamma_{\ell}) + \lambda \sin(\nu - \gamma_{\ell}) \right) \right) \right].$$
(5-33)

$$J_{1}(t, \eta, \nu, \gamma_{\ell}, \chi) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda t} \cos(\gamma_{\ell}) U(t - R\chi) dt$$
(5-34a)

$$= e^{-\lambda R_X} \int_0^{t-R_X} e^{-\lambda t} \cos(\gamma_{\ell}) dt = \frac{\cos(\gamma_{\ell})}{\lambda} (e^{-\lambda R_X} - e^{-\lambda t})$$
(5-34b)

$$J_{2}(t, \eta, \nu, \gamma_{\ell}, \chi) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda t} \cos(\nu - \gamma_{\ell}) U(t - R\chi) d\tau = e^{-\lambda R\chi} \int_{0}^{t - R\chi} e^{-\lambda t} \cos\left(\frac{\eta^{2}}{2} \tau - \gamma_{\ell}\right) d\tau \quad (5.35)$$

Recognizing the following integral (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972)

$$\int e^{ax} \cos(bx + c) \, dx = \frac{e^{ax}}{a^2 + b^2} \left[ a \cos(bx + c) + b \sin(bx + c) \right]$$
(5.36)

we may evaluate J<sub>2</sub> as

$$J_{2}(t,\eta,\nu,\gamma_{\ell},\chi) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2} + \eta^{4}/4} \left[ e^{-\lambda R \chi} \left( \frac{\eta^{2}}{2} \sin(\gamma_{\ell}) + \lambda \cos(\gamma_{\ell}) \right) + e^{-\lambda t} \left( \frac{\eta^{2}}{2} \sin(\nu - \gamma_{\ell}) - \lambda \cos(\nu - \gamma_{\ell}) \right) \right]^{(5-37)}$$

- illi

i illa

11.01 I.O.

- E

The cumulative mass flux is then given by

$$M(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \Lambda^{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma) \frac{\sigma \mathbf{x} \mathbf{p}(\beta_{r})}{\eta} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}} \overline{F}_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{k}(t, \eta, \mathbf{v}, \gamma_{\ell}, \chi)$$

$$+ \frac{\kappa^{*}}{s} \frac{e_{r}}{2} \Big( \mathbf{e}_{r} \zeta_{r} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{k}(t, \eta, \mathbf{v}, \gamma_{\ell}, \chi) + \mathbf{e}_{r} \zeta_{\ell} \mathbf{J}_{1}(t, \eta, \mathbf{v}, \gamma_{\ell}, \chi) \Big) - \Big( \frac{R\eta^{2}}{2} + \mathbf{e}_{r} \zeta_{\ell} \Big) \mathbf{J}_{2}(t, \eta, \mathbf{v}, \gamma_{\ell}, \chi) \Big| U(t - R\chi) d\eta d\sigma$$

$$(5-38)$$

where  $_{x}\overline{F}_{1}$  and  $_{x}F_{2}^{*}$  are given by Equations 3-74a and 4-62. Details regarding the integration of Equations 5-9, 5-25, 5-29, and 5-38 may be found in Appendix B.

#### 5.5 NO LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION

With the streamlines assumed normal to the source (i.e., v = 0) and neglecting the longitudinal dispersion effects (i.e.,  $D_{xx} = D_{xy} = 0$ ), Equation 5-1 is still satisfied. In this case, the solutions in the fracture and the rock matrix are given by Equations 5-9 and 5-25 after implementing the relation given by Equation 3-50 to yield

$$A(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{t}) = \frac{2}{\pi} A^{0} e^{-\lambda t} E_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(\beta_{r})}{\eta} [\sin(\gamma_{\ell}) + \sin(\gamma' - \gamma_{\ell})] U(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}') d\eta$$
(5-39)

$$B(x,y,z,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} A^0 e^{-\lambda t} E_{\Omega} \int_0^\infty \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{n=1}^2 \frac{\exp(\overline{\beta}_n)}{\delta_1 \eta} \left[ \sin(\overline{\gamma}_e) + \sin(v' - \overline{\gamma}_e) \right] U(t - R\chi') d\eta$$
(5.40)

where

$$\varepsilon' = c_f \chi' = c_f \frac{x}{u}$$
(5-41a)

 $\overline{Y}_{e} = Y_{e}(e') \tag{5-41b}$ 

$$v' = v(\chi') = \frac{\eta^2}{2} (t - R\chi') = \frac{\eta^2}{2} (t - R\frac{\chi}{u})$$
 (5.41c)

$$\beta'_{\mathbf{r}} = \beta_{\mathbf{r}} \left( \mathbf{\epsilon}' \right) \tag{5-41d}$$

$$\overline{\beta}'_{r} = \overline{\beta}_{r}(\varepsilon') \tag{5-41e}$$

and  $\delta_1$  is given by Equation 5-21.

The mass flux at any point in the fracture may be obtained after substitution of Equation 5-29a (with  $F_2^* = 0$ ) and Equation 5-29b into Equation 3-70b to yield

$$F(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \Lambda^{0} e^{-\lambda t} F_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(\beta_{r})}{\eta} \left[ \sin(\gamma_{e}) - \sin(\gamma' - \gamma_{e}) \right] U(t - R\chi') d\eta$$
(5.42)

where  $F_3$  is given by Equation 3-88.

Similarly the cumulative mass flux is obtained after substitution of Equation 5-42 into Equation 4-63 and written as

$$M(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \Lambda^{0} F_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(\beta_{r})}{\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{2} I_{k}(t,\eta,v',\gamma_{\ell},\chi') U(t - R\chi') d\eta \quad .$$
(5-43)

### 6.0 DISCUSSION AND RESULTS FOR MULTIPLE PARALLEL FRACTURE CASE WITH FINITE DIFFUSION

The solutions for the multiple parallel fracture case using series and the contour integration presented in Chapters 4 and 5 were verified by comparing their individual performance against each other. The reported test cases included one- and two-dimensional simulations of the general form of the mass transport equation, as well as to the longitudinal dispersion-free form. Two types of radionuclide release modes were considered, step and band.

#### 6.1 DISCUSSION OF CASE 5: SPATIAL VARIATION OF CONCENTRATION OF Np-237

This test case deals with the migration of Np-237 in a one-dimensional flow domain, where the concentration at the source is subjected to a step and a band release mode. To this effect, the flow field is assumed unidimensional (i.e., v = 0,  $D_{yy} = D_{yx} = 0$ ). The concentration at the source was simulated by means of a plane source of infinite width, whereas the parallel set of fractures with an aperture of 0.005 m were assumed to be 20 m apart. The input data for this test case are presented in Table 6-1. Results reported for Cases 5A and 5B are obtained through the longitudinal dispersion-free form of the general solution. Note that in the case of the rock matrix, results were obtained for a point in space located 100 m downstream from the source. It may be added that the Fourier numbers encountered in these simulations ranged between approximately 0.01 and 1.0.

#### 6.1.1 <u>Results for Case 5A</u>: <u>Step Release Mode</u>

Figures 6-1a, 6-1b, 6-1c, and 6-1d show a comparison of the relative concentration, mass flux, and cumulative mass flux in the fracture and the rock matrix for several points in time (i.e.,  $t = 10^4$ ,  $6 \times 10^4$ ,  $2 \times 10^5$ , and  $10^6$  years). Results reported in Tables 6-2a through 6-2e indicate that the two solution methods reported in Chapters 4 and 5 yield identical results, with the exception of points located close to the source, where the contour integration solution in the case of the fracture seems to display a poor converging characteristic, particularly with increasing times. Upon examination of the values of the dimensionless number  $c/2 \sqrt{t \cdot R\chi}$  at those points which exhibit these undesirable features, it was noted these were in all cases less than or equal to 0.1. This supports the independent investigations reported also in Appendix B. As indicated in Appendix B, the solution denotes slow convergence properties in this critical region of the flow domain. Note that in the case of the rock matrix, the concentration profile corresponding to  $t = 10^4$  years is identical to one which might have been obtained using the single fracture solution, since in this instance the Fourier number is about 0.01 with  $P_0 = 0.01$ , which satisfies the criterion proposed in Equation 4-98.

Species	Np-237
Initial Concontration A <sup>e</sup> (arbitrary unit of activity/L <sup>3</sup> )	1
Type of Release Mode	Case 5A: Step Case 5B: Band
Boundary Condition	Infinite Plane Sourco
x	100.0 m (Case 5B)
у	0.0 m
d	00
u	10.0 m/yr
v	0.0 m/yr
D <sub>xx</sub>	0.0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
D <sub>yy</sub>	0.0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
Dyx	0.0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
D <sub>p</sub>	0.01 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
L	10.0 m
$T_{1/2}$	2.14 x 10 <sup>6</sup> yr
$T_L$	3 x 104 yr
b	0.005 m
φ	10-2
R	1
R'	100

Table 6-1. Input Parameters for Case 5

in.

jN

r Herr Milling on

. . . . .

E: T

.

a in i







for Different Times (Case 5A: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Step Release Mode, No Comparison of Spatial Variation of Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture Longitudinal Dispersion) Figure 6-1b.









Case 5A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time t =  $10^4$  yr (Step Release Mode) Table 6-2a.

\_

	Concent	ration .	Mass	Flux	Cumulative	Mass Flux
-ongitudinal Distance	<b>V</b>	0 / A	o F/A	(m/vr)	0 M/A	(E)
× (B)	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS
1 000E+00	9 955F-01		9 956E+00		9.961E+04	·
2 000E+00	9 945F-01		9 945E+00		9.939E+04	
5.000E+00	9.911E-01		9.911E+00		9.871E+04	
1.000E+01	9.855E-01	9.886E-01	9.855E+00	9.886E+00	9.759E+04	9_693E+04
2.000E+01	9.743E-01		9.743E+00		9.539E+04	
5 0005+01	9 406F-01		9.406E+00		8.901E+04	
1 000E+02	8 846E-01	8 846E-01	8.846E+00	8.846E+00	7.913E+04	7.913E+04
1.500E+02	8.292E-01		8.292E+00		7.014E+04	
2.000E+02	7.746E-01		7.745E+00		6.200E+04	
2.500E+02	7.210E-01		7.210E+00		5.463E+04	
3 000E+02	6.687E-01		6.687E+00	· .	4.799E+04	
3.500E+02	6 180E-01		6.180E+00		4.203E+04	
4.000E+02	5.690E-01		5.690E+00		3.669E +04	
4 500E+02	5.219E-01		5.219E+00		3.192E+04	
5.000E+02	4.769E-01	4.769E-01	4.769E+00	4.769E+00	2.769E+04	2.759E+04
6.000E+02	3.934E-01	3.934E-01	3.934E+00	3.934E+00	2.062E+04	2.062E+04
7.000E+02	3.195E-01	3.195E-01	3.195E+00	3.195E+00	1.515E+04	1.515E+04
8.000E+02	2.552E-01	2.552E-01	2.552E+00	2.552E+00	1.097E+04	1.097E+04
8.500E+02	2.266E-01	2.266E-01	2.266E+00	2.266E+00	9.287E+03	9.287E+03
1.000E+03	1.547E-01	1.547E-01	1.547E+00	1.547E+00	5.509E+03	5.509E+03
1.200E+03	8.748E-02	8.748E-02	8.748E-01	8.748E-01	2.604E+03	2.604E+03
1.500E+03	3.246E-02	3.246E-02	3.246E-01	3.246E-01	7.508E+02	7.508E+02
1.800E+03	1.017E-02	1.017E-02	1017E-01	1.017E-01	1.863E+02	1.863E+02
2.500E+03	3.417E-04	3.417E-04	3.417E-03	3.417E-03	3.867E+00	3.867E+00
3 . 000E +03	1.644E-05	1.645E-05	1,644E-04	1.645E-04	1.381E-01	1.381E-01
CC . Contor	Colution					

SS : Series Solution CIS : Contour Integration Solution

Case 5A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time t =  $6 \times 10^4$  yr (Step Release Mode) Table 6-2b.

. . . .

1

Mass Flux	(B)	CIS				5.805E+05			5.411E+05								3.653E+05	3.295E+05	2.967E+05	2.665E+05	2.524E+05	2.138E+05	1.701E+05	1.187E+05	8.119E+04	3.084E+04	1.435E+04	6.261E+03	2.557E+03	2.657E+00		
Cumulative	M/A	SS	5.937E+05	5.931E+05	5.915E+05	5.887E+05	5.833E+05	5.672E+05	5.412E+05	5.161E+05	4.919E+05	4.687E+05	4.463E+05	4.248E+05	4.042E+05	3.844E+05	3.653E+05	3,295E+05	2.967E+05	2.665E+05	2.524E+05	2.138E+05	1.701E+05	1.187E+05	8.119E+04	3.084E+04	1.435E+04	6.261E+03	2.557E+03	2.658E+00		
Flux	(m/vr)	cís				9.792E+00			9.357E+00								7.579E+00	7.149E+00	6.727E+00	6.316E+00	6.114E+00	5.525E+00	4.786E+00	3.785E+00	2.922E+00	1.452E+00	8,091E-01	4.188E-01	2.010E-01	4.706E-04		
Mass	6 F/A	SS	9.803E+00	9.799E+00	9.785E+00	9.762E+00	9.717E+00	9.582E+00	9.356E+00	9.131E+00	8.906E+00	8.682E+00	8.459E+00	8.237E+00	8.016E+00	7.796E+00	7.579E+00	7.149E+00	6.727E+00	6.316E+00	6.114E+00	5.525E+00	4.786E+00	3,785E+00	2.922E+00	1.452E+00	8.091E-01	4.188E-01	2.010E-01	4.706E-04		
ration	ه A	CIS				9.792E-01			9.357E-01								7.579E-01	7.149E-01	6.727E-01	6.316E-01	6.114E-01	5.5256-01	4.786E-01	3.785E-01	2.922E-01	1.452E-01	8.091E-02	<b>4</b> .188E-02	2.010E-02	4.706E-05		solution
Concentr	Ä	SS	9.803E-01	9.799E-01	9.785E-01	9.762E-01	9.717E-01	9.582E-01	9.356E-01	9.131E-01	8.906E-01	8.682E-01	8.459E-01	8,237E-01	8.016E-01	7.796E-01	7.579E-01	7.149E-01	6.727E-01	6.316E-01	6.114E-01	5.525E-01	<b>4</b> .786E-01	3.785E-01	2.922E-01	1.452E-01	8.091£-02	4.188E-02	2.010E-02	4.706E-05	olution	Integration 5
	Distance	(m) ×	1.000E+00	2.000E+00	5.000E+00	1.000E+01	2.000E+01	5.000E+01	1.000E+02	1.500E+02	2.000E+02	2.500E+02	3.000E+02	3.500E+02	4,000E+02	4.500E+02	5.000E+02	6.000E+02	7.000E+02	8.000E+02	8.500E+02	1.000E+03	1.200E+03	1.500E+03	1.800E+03	2.500E+03	3.000E+03	3.500E+03	4.000E+03	7.000E+03	SS : Series S	CIS : Contour
			1																													

Case 5A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in Fracture for Np-237 at Time t =  $2 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$  (Step Release Mode) Table 6-2c.

2

	Concent	ration	Mass	Flux	Cumulative	Mass Flux
ongi tudinal		0	0		•	_
Distance	₫,	/A	F/A	(m/yr)	M/A	(W)
(m) ×	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS
1.000E+00	9.370E-01		9.370E+00		1.936E+06	
1.000E+01	9.349E-01	9.373E-01	9.349E+00	9.373E+00	1.927E+06	1.900E+06
5.000E+01	9.256E-01	9.262E-01	9.256E+00	9.262E+00	1.888E+06	1.888E+06
1,000E+02	9.139E-01	9.140E-01	9.139E+00	9.140E+00	1.840E+06	1.840E+06
5.000E+02	8.205E-01	8.205E-01	8.205E+00	8.205E+00	1.490E+06	1.490E+06
1.000E+03	7.057E-01	7.057E-01	7.057E+00	7.057E+00	1.131E+06	1.131E+06
1.500E+03	5.962E-01	5.962E-01	5.962E+00	5.962E+00	8.453E+05	8.453E+05
2.000E+03	4.945E-01	4.945E-01	4.945E+00	4.945E+00	6.223E+05	6.223E+05
3.000E+03	3.212E-01	3.212E-01	3.212E+00	3.212E+00	3.215E+05	3.215E+05
5.000E+03	1.063E-01	1.063E-01	1.063E+00	1.063E+00	6.987E+04	6.987E+04
7.000E+03	2.492E-02	2.492E-02	2.492E-01	2.492E-01	1.128E+04	1.128E+04
1.000E+04	1.428E-03	1.428E-03	1.428E-02	1.428E-02	3.984E+02	3.984E+02
1.300E+04	3.484E-05	3.484E-05	3.484E-04	3.484E-04	6.464E+00	6.464E+00
SS : Series	Solution					
CIS : Contou	r Integration	Solution				

Case 5A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time  $t = 10^6$  yr (Step Release Mode) Table 6-2d.

|            |  |  | 1.   |  |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |  
  |  
   
   |   
   |  |  |   
  |   |  |   |   |   |
|------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---
--
---
--
--
--
---	--	--
---	---	---
Mass Flux		(m) CIS
  | 3 3445406  
   
   | 2.539E+06   
   |  | 1.009E+06  | 5.042E+05   
  | 6.761E+04   | 6.104E+03  | 3.663E+02   | 1 436F+01   |   |
| Cumulative | 0  | M/A<br>SS  |  | 8.540E+06  | 8.525E+06   | 8 456E+06   | 8.371E+06  | 7.707E+06   | 0000  |   | 5.523E+06   | 4.333E+06  
  | 3 344F+06  
   
   | 2.539E+06   
   |  | 1.009E+06  | 5.042E+05   
  | 6.761E+04   | 6.104E+03  | 3.663E+02   | 1.436E+01   |   |
| Flux       |  |  |  | 7 3401.00  | 7 222E-00   | 7 0001 00   | 00+3607-1  | 7.087E+00   | E ROFFLOOD  |   | G. 383E+00  | 5.770E+00  
  | 5.073E+00  
   
   | 4.352E+00   
   | 2 2025-20  | z.3335+00  | 1.445E+00   
  | Z. 523E-01  | 3.756E-02  | 3.086E-03   | 1 . 606E - 04   |   |
| Mass       | 0  | SS - 7   | 7 933E400  | 7 2315400  | 7 2215400   | 7 2005100   | 7 0071.00  | / - U8 / E+UU   | 6.895F+00   | 6 3025100   |   | 5.//UE+00  
  | 5.073E+00  
   
   | 4.352E+00   
   | 2 303ETUU  | 1 AAEE.00  | 2 002E 01   
  | 2 7555 00   | 3./305-02  | 3.086E-03   | 1.606E-04   |   |
| tration    | 0<br>V/A                                     | CIS  |  | 7.240F-01  | 7.233E-01   | 7 209E-01   | 7 087E-01  |   | 6.895E-01   | 6 393F-01   | E 220F 01   |  
  | 5.073E-01  
   
   | 4.352E-01   
   | 2.393F-01  | 1 445F-01  | 2 923E-03   
  | 2 7565_02   |  | 3.U86E-04   | 1.606E-05   |   |
| Concent    | 4  | SS   | 7.233E-01  | 7.231E-01  | 7.221E-01   | 7.208E-01   | 7 087F-01  |   | 6.895E-01   | 6.393E-01   | 5 770F-01   |  
  | 3.0/3E-01  
   
   | 4.352E-01   
   | 2.393E-01  | 1.445E-01  | 2.923E-02   
  | 3 756F-03   | 2 OBEE-04  | 0- 1000 - C   | 1.606E-05   |   |
|            | Distance                                     | ( <b>m</b> ) ×   | 1 . 000E+00  | 1.000E+01  | 5.000E+01   | 1.000E+02   | 5.000E+02  |   | 1.000E+03   | 2.000E+03   | 3.000E+03   | 4 000E+03  
  |  
   
   | 3 . UUUE + U3   
   | 8.000E+03  | 1.000E+04  | 1.500E+04   
  | 2.000E+04   | 2 500E+04  |   | 3.000E+04   | CC · Coninc   |
|            | Concentration Mass Flux Cumulative Mass Flux | Longitudinal Concentration Mass Flux Cumulative Mass Flux<br>Distance A/A E/A Distance 0 | Longitudinal Concentration Mass Flux Cumulative Mass Flux Distance A/A F/A (m/yr) M/A (m) × (m) SS CIS SS CIS SS CIS | Longitudinal Concentration Mass Flux Cumulative Mass Flux<br>Distance A/A F/A (m/yr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | LongitudinalConcentrationMass FluxCumulative Mass FluxDistanceA/AF/A (m/yr)0x (m)SSA/AF/A (m/yr)0x (m)SSCISSSCIS1.000E+007.233E-017.233E+008.540E+061.000E+017.231E-017.240E-017.231E+008.540E+06 | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         A/A         Mass Flux         0           N/A         S         A/A         F/A         M/Yr)         M/A         M           x (m)         SS         CIS         SS         CIS         SS         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.231E+00         7.240E+00         8.525E+06         8.407E+06 | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         A/A         M/Yr         0           N/A         SS         A/A         M/Yr         M/A         M/A           x (m)         SS         CIS         SS         CIS         SS         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.456E+06         8.456E+06 | Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         0 | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         F/A         M/Yr)         A/A           X (m)         SS         A/A         P/A         A/A           X (m)         SS         CIS         SS         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.233E+01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.555E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.233E+01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.555E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.233E+01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.555E+06         8.455E+06           1.000E+02         7.201E+01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.371E+06         8.371E+06           1.000E+02         7.008F+01         7.008F+00         7.007E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06 | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         A/A         A/A         A/A         A/A         CIS         S         A/A         CIS         S         Cumulative Mass Flux         A/A         A         A/A         B/A         A/A         B/A         A/A         B/A         B/A | Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         F/A         M/Yr)         M/A         0           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         M/Yr)         M/A         0           X (m)         SS         CIS         SS         F/A         (m/Yr)         M/A         (m)           X (m)         SS         CIS         SS         CIS         SS         CIS           X (m)         SS         CIS         SS         CIS         SS         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.456E+06         8.456E+06           5.000E+01         7.231E+01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.525E+06         8.456E+06         8.456E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E-01         7.208E+00         7.208E+00         7.007E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+02         7.007E+00         7.007E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           2.000E+03         6.395E-01         6.395E+00         6.395E+00         6.395E+00         6.325E+06         6.926E+06         7.707E+06 | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           0         Distance         A/A         N/A         N/A <td>Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         A/A         A/A         A/A         Concentration         A/A         Concentration         A/A         Cumulative Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux         C<!--</td--><td>Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         F/A         m/yr         0           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         0           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         0         0           Distance         A/A         S         CIS         S         M/A         m           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.231E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.456E+06           5.000E+02         7.208E+01         7.208E+00         7.203E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+03         6.895E-01         6.895E+00         6.895E+00         8.335E+00         8.335E+06         8.371E+06           2.000E+03         6.393E+01         7.087E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           3.0000E+03         <td< td=""><td>Longitudinal<br/>Distance         Concentration<br/>A/A         Mass Flux<br/>F/A         Cumulative Mass Flux<br/>M/A         Cumulative Mass Flux<br/>CIS         Cumulative Mass Flux<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         0           Distance         A/A         SS         CIS         SS         0         0           Distance         A/A         SS         CIS         SS         0         0           1:000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.456E+06         8.456E+06           1:000E+01         7.231E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.456E+06         8.456E+06           5:000E+02         7.201E+01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         7.203E+00         8.371E+06         7.707E+06           1:000E+02         7.087E+01         7.203E+00         7.203E+00         7.203E+00         8.371E+06         8.371E+06           1:000E+02         7.087E+01         7.087E+00         7.203E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1:000E+03         6.393E+01         6.393E+00         6.393E+00         6.392E+06         6.3232E+06         5.770E+06         7</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         S         M/A         M</td></td<><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         o         o           Distance         A/A         S         CIS         S         M/A         m           X (m)         S         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         o         o           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS         CIS           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         M/A         (m)           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS         S           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.231E+01         7.231E+00         7.231E+00         7.707E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E+01         7.208E+00         7.208E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00         7.208E+00         8.371E+06         8.371E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00<!--</td--><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         O         O           Distance         S         CIS         S         F/A         m/yr         S         CIS         CIS</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         o         o           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           x (m)         SS         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         n/A         (m)           x (m)         SS         CIS         S         Y         (m)         S         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.555E+06         8.407E+06         8.371E+06         8.332E+06         8.332E+06         8.332E+06         8.3</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         o           Distance         S         CIS         SS         F/A         m/yr         o         O           Distance         S         CIS         SS         F/A         m/yr         SS         A/A         (m)           S         CIS         SS         CIS         SS         A/A         (m)         O           1.0006+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.240E+06         8.540E+06         8.407E+06         0.1707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         8.371E+06         8.371E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         8.371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.371E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.332E+06         8.337E+06</td><td>Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         SS         F/A         M/Yr         S         A/A         CIIS         SS         A/A         M/A         M/A</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         (m/yr)         S         A/A         (m)           Distance         S         A/A         CIS         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         S         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         S         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           1         000E+01         7.233E+01         7.233E+00         7.240E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06</td></td></td></td> | Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         A/A         A/A         A/A         Concentration         A/A         Concentration         A/A         Cumulative Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux         C </td <td>Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         F/A         m/yr         0           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         0           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         0         0           Distance         A/A         S         CIS         S         M/A         m           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.231E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.456E+06           5.000E+02         7.208E+01         7.208E+00         7.203E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+03         6.895E-01         6.895E+00         6.895E+00         8.335E+00         8.335E+06         8.371E+06           2.000E+03         6.393E+01         7.087E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           3.0000E+03         <td< td=""><td>Longitudinal<br/>Distance         Concentration<br/>A/A         Mass Flux<br/>F/A         Cumulative Mass Flux<br/>M/A         Cumulative Mass Flux<br/>CIS         Cumulative Mass Flux<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         0           Distance         A/A         SS         CIS         SS         0         0           Distance         A/A         SS         CIS         SS         0         0           1:000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.456E+06         8.456E+06           1:000E+01         7.231E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.456E+06         8.456E+06           5:000E+02         7.201E+01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         7.203E+00         8.371E+06         7.707E+06           1:000E+02         7.087E+01         7.203E+00         7.203E+00         7.203E+00         8.371E+06         8.371E+06           1:000E+02         7.087E+01         7.087E+00         7.203E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1:000E+03         6.393E+01         6.393E+00         6.393E+00         6.392E+06         6.3232E+06         5.770E+06         7</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         S         M/A         M</td></td<><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         o         o           Distance         A/A         S         CIS         S         M/A         m           X (m)         S         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         o         o           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS         CIS           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         M/A         (m)           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS         S           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.231E+01         7.231E+00         7.231E+00         7.707E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E+01         7.208E+00         7.208E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00         7.208E+00         8.371E+06         8.371E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00<!--</td--><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         O         O           Distance         S         CIS         S         F/A         m/yr         S         CIS         CIS</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         o         o           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           x (m)         SS         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         n/A         (m)           x (m)         SS         CIS         S         Y         (m)         S         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.555E+06         8.407E+06         8.371E+06         8.332E+06         8.332E+06         8.332E+06         8.3</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         o           Distance         S         CIS         SS         F/A         m/yr         o         O           Distance         S         CIS         SS         F/A         m/yr         SS         A/A         (m)           S         CIS         SS         CIS         SS         A/A         (m)         O           1.0006+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.240E+06         8.540E+06         8.407E+06         0.1707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         8.371E+06         8.371E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         8.371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.371E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.332E+06         8.337E+06</td><td>Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         SS         F/A         M/Yr         S         A/A         CIIS         SS         A/A         M/A         M/A</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         (m/yr)         S         A/A         (m)           Distance         S         A/A         CIS         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         S         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         S         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           1         000E+01         7.233E+01         7.233E+00         7.240E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06</td></td></td> | Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         F/A         m/yr         0           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         0           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         0         0           Distance         A/A         S         CIS         S         M/A         m           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.231E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.456E+06           5.000E+02         7.208E+01         7.208E+00         7.203E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+03         6.895E-01         6.895E+00         6.895E+00         8.335E+00         8.335E+06         8.371E+06           2.000E+03         6.393E+01         7.087E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           3.0000E+03 <td< td=""><td>Longitudinal<br/>Distance         Concentration<br/>A/A         Mass Flux<br/>F/A         Cumulative Mass Flux<br/>M/A         Cumulative Mass Flux<br/>CIS         Cumulative Mass Flux<br/>Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         0           Distance         A/A         SS         CIS         SS         0         0           Distance         A/A         SS         CIS         SS         0         0           1:000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.456E+06         8.456E+06           1:000E+01         7.231E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.456E+06         8.456E+06           5:000E+02         7.201E+01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         7.203E+00         8.371E+06         7.707E+06           1:000E+02         7.087E+01         7.203E+00         7.203E+00         7.203E+00         8.371E+06         8.371E+06           1:000E+02         7.087E+01         7.087E+00         7.203E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1:000E+03         6.393E+01         6.393E+00         6.393E+00         6.392E+06         6.3232E+06         5.770E+06         7</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         S         M/A         M</td></td<> <td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         o         o           Distance         A/A         S         CIS         S         M/A         m           X (m)         S         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         o         o           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS         CIS           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         M/A         (m)           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS         S           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.231E+01         7.231E+00         7.231E+00         7.707E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E+01         7.208E+00         7.208E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00         7.208E+00         8.371E+06         8.371E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00<!--</td--><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         O         O           Distance         S         CIS         S         F/A         m/yr         S         CIS         CIS</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         o         o           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           x (m)         SS         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         n/A         (m)           x (m)         SS         CIS         S         Y         (m)         S         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.555E+06         8.407E+06         8.371E+06         8.332E+06         8.332E+06         8.332E+06         8.3</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         o           Distance         S         CIS         SS         F/A         m/yr         o         O           Distance         S         CIS         SS         F/A         m/yr         SS         A/A         (m)           S         CIS         SS         CIS         SS         A/A         (m)         O           1.0006+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.240E+06         8.540E+06         8.407E+06         0.1707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         8.371E+06         8.371E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         8.371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.371E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.332E+06         8.337E+06</td><td>Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         SS         F/A         M/Yr         S         A/A         CIIS         SS         A/A         M/A         M/A</td><td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         (m/yr)         S         A/A         (m)           Distance         S         A/A         CIS         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         S         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         S         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           1         000E+01         7.233E+01         7.233E+00         7.240E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06</td></td> | Longitudinal<br>Distance         Concentration<br>A/A         Mass Flux<br>F/A         Cumulative Mass Flux<br>M/A         Cumulative Mass Flux<br>CIS         Cumulative Mass Flux<br>Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         0           Distance         A/A         SS         CIS         SS         0         0           Distance         A/A         SS         CIS         SS         0         0           1:000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.456E+06         8.456E+06           1:000E+01         7.231E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.552E+06         8.456E+06         8.456E+06           5:000E+02         7.201E+01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         7.203E+00         8.371E+06         7.707E+06           1:000E+02         7.087E+01         7.203E+00         7.203E+00         7.203E+00         8.371E+06         8.371E+06           1:000E+02         7.087E+01         7.087E+00         7.203E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1:000E+03         6.393E+01         6.393E+00         6.393E+00         6.392E+06         6.3232E+06         5.770E+06         7 | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         S         M/A         M | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         o         o           Distance         A/A         S         CIS         S         M/A         m           X (m)         S         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         o         o           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS         CIS           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         M/A         (m)           X (m)         S         CIS         S         CIS         S         CIS         S           1.000E+01         7.231E-01         7.231E+01         7.231E+00         7.231E+00         7.707E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E+01         7.208E+00         7.208E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00         7.208E+00         8.371E+06         8.371E+06           1.000E+02         7.087E+00         7.208E+00 </td <td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         O         O           Distance         S         CIS         S         F/A         m/yr         S         CIS         CIS</td> <td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         o         o           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           x (m)         SS         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         n/A         (m)           x (m)         SS         CIS         S         Y         (m)         S         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.555E+06         8.407E+06         8.371E+06         8.332E+06         8.332E+06         8.332E+06         8.3</td> <td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         o           Distance         S         CIS         SS         F/A         m/yr         o         O           Distance         S         CIS         SS         F/A         m/yr         SS         A/A         (m)           S         CIS         SS         CIS         SS         A/A         (m)         O           1.0006+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.240E+06         8.540E+06         8.407E+06         0.1707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         8.371E+06         8.371E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         8.371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.371E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.332E+06         8.337E+06</td> <td>Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         SS         F/A         M/Yr         S         A/A         CIIS         SS         A/A         M/A         M/A</td> <td>Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         (m/yr)         S         A/A         (m)           Distance         S         A/A         CIS         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         S         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         S         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           1         000E+01         7.233E+01         7.233E+00         7.240E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06</td> | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         O         O           Distance         S         CIS         S         F/A         m/yr         S         CIS         CIS | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         o         o           Distance         A/A         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           x (m)         SS         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         n/A         (m)           x (m)         SS         CIS         S         Y         (m)         S         CIS           1.000E+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.540E+06         8.407E+06         8.540E+06         8.407E+06           1.000E+02         7.208E-01         7.233E+00         7.233E+00         8.555E+06         8.407E+06         8.371E+06         8.332E+06         8.332E+06         8.332E+06         8.3 | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         S         F/A         m/yr         o           Distance         S         CIS         SS         F/A         m/yr         o         O           Distance         S         CIS         SS         F/A         m/yr         SS         A/A         (m)           S         CIS         SS         CIS         SS         A/A         (m)         O           1.0006+00         7.233E-01         7.233E+00         7.240E+06         8.540E+06         8.407E+06         0.1707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         8.371E+06         8.371E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         8.371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.3371E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.455E+06         8.371E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06         7.332E+06         8.337E+06 | Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         A/A         SS         F/A         M/Yr         S         A/A         CIIS         SS         A/A         M/A         M/A | Longitudinal         Concentration         Mass Flux         Cumulative Mass Flux           Distance         S         A/A         (m/yr)         S         A/A         (m)           Distance         S         A/A         CIS         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         CIS         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         S         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           S         A/A         S         S         F/A         (m/yr)         S         M/A         (m)           1         000E+01         7.233E+01         7.233E+00         7.240E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         8.407E+06         7.707E+06         7.707E+06 |

SS : Series Solution CIS : Contour Integration Solution

Case 5A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 for Different Times at Longitudinal Distance x = 100 m (Step Release Mode) T ıble 6-2e.

. . . .

,

I:real         B/A         CIS         S         B/A         CIS         CIS <thcis< th="">         CIS         <thcis< th=""></thcis<></thcis<>	<u>y</u>	10 yr		60	4 × 10 yr	2	5 (10 yr	. ~	6 yr
SS         CIS         CIS<	ical		0 8/A		0 B/A		0 B/A		0 B/A
Be-03         8 44E-01         9.356E-01         9.134E-01         9.134E-01         7.204E-01           FE-02         8 16E 61         9.346E-01         9.346E-01         9.346E-01         9.346E-01         9.346E-01           FE-02         8 165E 61         9.346E-01         9.346E-01         9.346E-01         9.346E-01         7.206E-01         7.206E-01           FF-02         8 456E 61         9.346E-01         9.147E-01         9.036E-01         7.198E-01         7.198E-01           FF-03         1.7776-01         9.036E-01         7.166E-01         7.166E-01         7.198E-01         7.198E-01           FF-03         1.5776-01         9.142E-01         9.142E-01         9.356E-01         7.198E-01         7.198E-01           FF-03         1.5776-01         7.166E-01         7.166E-01         7.336E-01         7.195E-01         6.347E-01           FF-03         2.396E-01         7.166E-01         7.337E-02         7.337E-01         6.3656-01           FF+03         1.506E-01         7.357E-01         2.335E-01         7.357E-01         6.3656-01           FF+03         2.396E-01         7.366E-01         2.357E-01         6.3656-01         6.3656-01           FF+03         2.336E-01         2.366E-01	)	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS
ZE-02     8.188E-01     9.346E-01     9.132E-01     7.207E-01       ZE-02     8.552E-01     9.277E-01     9.142E-01     9.142E-01     7.206E-01       ZE-02     8.552E-01     9.222E-01     9.142E-01     9.142E-01     7.195E-01     7.195E-01       ZE-01     5.776E-01     9.142E-01     9.142E-01     9.142E-01     9.026E-01     7.195E-01     7.195E-01       ZE-01     5.726E-01     9.142E-01     9.142E-01     9.142E-01     9.026E-01     7.195E-01     7.195E-01       ZE-01     5.266E-01     3.966E-01     7.166E-01     7.162E-01     7.132E-01     7.172E-01       ZE+00     2.372E-02     2.395E-01     2.215E-01     4.552E-01     4.562E-01     6.235E-01     7.132E-01       ZE+00     2.372E-03     2.395E-03     2.395E-01     2.215E-01     4.552E-01     6.365E-01     6.365E-01       ZE+00     7.733E-01     7.135E-01     2.215E-01     2.235E-01     2.355E-01     6.365E-01     6.356E-01       ZE+01     7.335E-11     1.506E-02     2.335E-01     2.355E-01     6.377E-01     6.377E-01       ZE+01     2.356E-01     2.356E-01     2.355E-01     2.355E-01     6.377E-01     6.377E-01       ZE+01     2.356E-01     2.356E-01     2.356E-01     2.3	0E-03	8 , 846E-01		9.356E-01		9.139E-01		7.208E-01	
E-02         8.755E-01         9.122E-01         9.122E-01         7.205E-01         7.135E-01           E-02         8.430E-01         9.127E-01         9.127E-01         9.052E-01         7.135E-01         7.135E-01           E-01         8.326E-01         9.127E-01         9.142E-01         9.052E-01         7.135E-01         7.135E-01           E-01         7.205E-01         9.127E-01         9.056E-01         7.135E-01         7.135E-01           E-01         5.205E-01         5.365E-01         7.365E-01         7.135E-01         7.135E-01           E+00         1.201E-01         5.365E-01         7.365E-01         7.355E-01         7.145E-01           E+00         2.372E-02         2.390E-03         2.455E-01         7.355E-01         5.365E-01         6.378E-01           E+00         1.201E-01         5.365E-01         7.355E-01         2.355E-01         6.3674E-01         6.378E-01           E+00         7.201E-02         2.390E-03         2.215E-01         2.355E-01         6.378E-01         6.378E-01           E+00         7.722E-02         3.300E-01         2.355E-01         2.355E-01         6.378E-01         6.378E-01           E+00         7.722E-02         3.000E-01         2.355E-01         <	<b>JE-02</b>	8.818E-01		9.345E-01		9.133E-01		7.207E-01	
$\mathbb{R}^{-0.2}$ $\mathbb{R}$ <th< td=""><td><b>JE-02</b></td><td>8.763E-01</td><td></td><td>9.322E-01</td><td></td><td>9.122E-01</td><td></td><td>7.206E-01</td><td></td></th<>	<b>JE-02</b>	8.763E-01		9.322E-01		9.122E-01		7.206E-01	
DE-00.       8.328E-01       9.147E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       7.195E-01         DE-01       8.336E-01       8.374E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         DE-00       1.201E-01       8.145E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         DE+00       1.201E-01       1.201E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       6.8534E-01       7.195E-01         DE+00       1.201E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       6.8534E-01       6.3206-01         DE+00       1.201E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       6.8534E-01       6.320E-01         DE+00       1.739E-11       1.201E-01       2.1352E-01       2.3352E-01       6.337E-01       6.377E-01         DE+01       1.739E-11       1.051E-12       1.305E-01       2.337E-01       6.377E-01       6.377E-01         DE+01       7.739E-11       2.337E-01       6.377E-01       6.377E-01       6.377E-01       6.377E-01         DE+01       1.239E-01       2.337E-01       2.337E-01       6.377E-01       6.3	0E-02	8.652E-01		9.277E-01		9.098E-01		7.203E-01	
0E-01         8.320E-01         8.142E-01         9.142E-01         9.142E-01         7.195E-01         7.107E-01         6.387E-01         6.377E-01         6.	0E02	8.430E-01		9.187E-01		9.05ZE-01		/.138E-U1	
6-01         7.774E-01         8.911E-01         7.143E-01         7.143E-01           06:+00         3.966E-01         7.160E-01         7.160E-01         7.160E-01         7.077E-01           06:+00         3.966E-01         3.945E-01         7.143E-01         6.3526-01         7.077E-01           06:+00         3.966E-01         3.485E-01         7.143E-01         6.3526-01         7.077E-01           06:+00         2.972E-02         3.435E-01         5.162E-01         4.850E-01         4.850E-01         6.3256-01           06:+00         2.992E-03         3.435E-01         2.143E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01           06:+00         7.732E-11         5.162E-01         2.345E-01         3.245E-01         6.337E-01           06:+00         7.732E-11         1.065E-02         7.232E-02         3.300E-01         8.397E-01         6.377E-01           06:+01         7.732E-11         0.955E-01         2.337E-01         2.377E-01         6.417E-01           06:+01         7.732E-12         1.506E-02         2.305E-01         2.337E-01         6.377E-01         6.417E-01           06:+01         7.732E-02         7.332E-01         2.337E-01         6.377E-01         6.417E-01           06:+01	0E-01	8.320E-01	8.320E-01	9.142E-01	9.142E-01	9.028E-01	5.028E-01	7.195E-01	7 . 195E-01
0.0         5.36E-01         3.46E-01         7.160E-01         7.160E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01         6.556C-01         6.566C-01         6.566C	0E-01	7.774E-01		8.917E-01		8.911E-01		7.182E-01	
06:00         1.201E-01         5.162E-01         5.163E-01         5.	0E-01	6.209E-01	2 0000 -01	8.248E-01	1 4605-04	8.562E-01	7 00EE_01	7.143E-01	7 077E-04
ØE+00         2.372E-02         3.495E-01         5.805E-01         4.850E-01         6.718E-01         6.717E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.718E-01         6.738E-01         6.738E-01         6.738E-01         6.738E-01         6.737E-01         6.737E-01         6.737E-01         6.737E-01         6.737E-01         6.737E-01         6.737E-01         6.778E-01         6.777E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.778E-01         6.738E-01         6.778E-01         6.	0E+00	3. 3005-01 1. 201E-01	5.300E-01	5. 162E-01	5.162E-01	6.863E-01	6.863E-01	6.950E-01	6.950E-01
06+00         2:996E-03         2:990E-03         2:15E-01         2:332E-01         2:332E-01         6:377E-01	NF+00	2 372E-02		3 495F-01		5 804F-01		6 829E-01	
06+00         1 168E-05         7.232E-02         7.232E-02         2.300E-01         8.534E-01         6.534E-01         6.534E-01         6.534E-01         6.534E-01         6.534E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.417E-01         6.	0E+00	2.990E-03	2.990E-03	2.215E-01	2.215E-01	4.850E-01	4.850E-01	6.718E-01	<b>6</b> .718E-01
06+00         6.704E-09         6.704E-09         1.806E-02         1.506E-02         2.333E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01           06+01         1.095E-12         6.370E-03         6.370E-03         2.0087E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01           06+01         7.739E-11         1.095E-12         6.370E-03         6.370E-03         2.005F-01         6.377E-01         6.376E-01         6.325E-01         6.325E-01         6.325E-01         6.325E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01 <t< td=""><td>0E+00</td><td>1.168E-05</td><td>1.168E-05</td><td>7.232E-02</td><td>7.232E-02</td><td>3.300E-01</td><td>3.300E-01</td><td>6.534E-01</td><td>6.534E-01</td></t<>	0E+00	1.168E-05	1.168E-05	7.232E-02	7.232E-02	3.300E-01	3.300E-01	6.534E-01	6.534E-01
06+00         7.738E-11         8.933E-03         2.087E-01         6.337F-01         6.337F-01         6.337F-01         6.337F-01         6.377E-01         6.337F-01         6.377F-01         6.337F-01         6.332F-01         6.332F-01         6.328F-01         6.	0E+00	6.704E-09	6.704E-09	1.806E-02	1. 606E-02	2.333E-01	2.333E-01	6.417E-01	6.417E-01
0E+01         1.095E-12         1.095E-01         5.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.377E-01         6.417E-01         7.	0E+00	7.739E-11		8.993E-03		2.087E-01		6.387E-01	
0E+01         7.738E-11         8.993E-03         2.087E-01         6.387E-01           0E+01         1.738E-11         8.993E-03         1.806E-02         1.806E-02         2.333E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01           0E+01         1.168E-05         1.168E-05         7.232E-02         7.232E-02         2.333E-01         5.34E-01         6.534E-01           0E+01         2.990E-03         2.990E-03         2.990E-01         2.215E-01         4.850E-01         6.417E-01         6.417E-01           0E+01         2.372E-02         7.232E-02         7.232E-01         4.850E-01         6.534E-01         6.718E-01           0E+01         2.372E-02         7.232E-01         7.850E-01         6.828E-01         6.828E-01         6.718E-01           0E+01         3.966E-01         7.160E-01         7.160E-01         7.143E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01           0E+01         3.966E-01         7.160E-01         7.160E-01         7.182E-01         7.077E-01         7.077E-01           0E+01         8.205E-01         7.985E-01         7.985E-01         7.143E-01         7.077E-01           0E+01         8.316E-01         9.142E-01         9.028E-01         7.143E-01         7.143E-01           0E+01	0E+01	1.095E-12	1.095E-12	G.370E-03	G.370E-03	2.005E-01	2.005E-01	6.377E-01	6.377E-01
0E+01       6.704E-09       6.704E-09       6.704E-09       6.417E-01       6.417E-01         0E+01       1.168E-05       1.806E-02       2.333E-01       2.333E-01       6.417E-01       6.417E-01         0E+01       2.3900E-03       2.215E-01       2.215E-01       4.850E-01       4.850E-01       6.718E-01       6.718E-01         0E+01       2.372E-02       3.300E-01       4.850E-01       4.850E-01       6.718E-01       6.718E-01         0E+01       2.372E-02       3.495E-01       5.162E-01       5.809E-01       4.850E-01       6.718E-01       6.718E-01         0E+01       3.966E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.077E-01       7.718E-01         0E+01       3.966E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.955E-01       6.534E-01       6.578E-01         0E+01       3.966E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.955E-01       7.775E-01         0E+01       8.217E-01       8.917E-01       7.160E-01       7.182E-01       7.135E-01       7.135E-01         0E+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       7.143E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         0E+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01	0E+01	7.739E-11		8.993E-03		2.087E-01		6.387E-01	
0E+01       1.168E-05       7.232E-02       7.232E-02       3.300E-01       5.534E-01       6.534E-01       6.534E-01       6.534E-01         0E+01       2.372E-02       3.495E-01       2.215E-01       4.850E-01       6.718E-01       6.718E-01       6.718E-01         0E+01       2.372E-02       3.495E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       6.853E-01       6.718E-01       6.718E-01         0E+01       1.201E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.077E-01       7.077E-01       7.077E-01         0E+01       5.296E-01       3.966E-01       7.160E-01       7.985E-01       7.985E-01       7.077E-01       7.077E-01         0E+01       7.774E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.143E-01       7.077E-01         0E+01       5.300E-01       8.317E-01       7.143E-01       7.143E-01       7.077E-01         0E+01       8.320E-01       8.311E-01       7.143E-01       7.143E-01       7.143E-01         0E+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       7.143E-01       7.195E-01         01       8.430E-01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.052E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         01       8.436E-01	0E+01	6.704E-09	6.704E-09	1.806E-02	1.806E-02	2.333E-01	2.333E-01	6.417E-01	6.417E-01
0E+01       2.390E-03       2.215E-01       2.215E-01       4.850E-01       6.718E-01       6.718E-01       6.718E-01         0E+01       2.372E-02       3.495E-01       5.809E-01       6.853E-01       6.829E-01       6.718E-01       6.718E-01         0E+01       1.201E-01       1.201E-01       5.162E-01       5.809E-01       7.985E-01       7.077E-01       7.077E-01         0E+01       3.966E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.182E-01       7.077E-01       7.077E-01         0E+01       3.966E-01       3.966E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.077E-01       7.077E-01         0E+01       5.091E-01       8.248E-01       8.911E-01       7.985E-01       7.143E-01       7.077E-01         0E+01       8.248E-01       8.917E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       7.143E-01       7.143E-01         0E+01       8.320E-01       8.917E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.198E-01       7.195E-01         0F+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         0F+01       8.430E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01	0E+01	1.168E-05	1.168E-05	7.232E-02	7.232E-02	3.300E-01	3.300E-01	6.534E-01	6.534E-01
0E+01       2.372E-02       3.495E-01       5.462E-01       5.462E-01       5.462E-01       5.462E-01       5.462E-01       6.863E-01       7.077E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01       7.205E-01       7.205E-01       7.205E-01	0E+01	2.990E-C3	2.990E-03	2.215E-01	2.215E-01	4.850E-01	4.850E-01	6.718E-01	6.718E-01
DE+01       1.201E-01       5.162E-01       5.162E-01       6.863E-01       7.077E-01       7.077E-01         DE+01       3.966E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.985E-01       7.077E-01       7.077E-01         DE+01       3.966E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.985E-01       7.143E-01       7.077E-01         DE+01       7.774E-01       8.917E-01       7.160E-01       7.182E-01       7.143E-01         DE+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.182E-01       7.195E-01         DE+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         DE+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         DE+01       8.430E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         DE+01       8.430E-01       9.227E-01       9.028E-01       7.208E-01       7.205E-01         DE+01       8.818E-01       9.335E-01       9.133E-01       7.206E-01       7.206E-01         DE+01       8.816E-01       9.335E-01       9.133E-01       7.208E-01       7.208E-01         DE+01       8.846E-01       9.33	0E+01	2.372E-02		3.495E-01		5.809E-01		6.829E-01	ı
0E+01       3.966E-01       7.160E-01       7.160E-01       7.07/E-01       7.07/E-01       7.07/E-01         0E+01       7.774E-01       8.917E-01       8.917E-01       7.160E-01       7.143E-01       7.143E-01         0E+01       7.774E-01       8.917E-01       8.917E-01       8.917E-01       7.143E-01       7.143E-01         0E+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.182E-01       7.195E-01         0E+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         2E+01       8.430E-01       9.142E-01       9.048E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         2E+01       8.430E-01       9.142E-01       9.052E-01       7.203E-01       7.203E-01         8.610       8.752E-01       9.133E-01       9.133E-01       7.206E-01       7.206E-01         9.135E-01       8.816E-01       9.133E-01       9.133E-01       7.206E-01       7.208E-01         9.135E-01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.133E-01       7.208E-01       7.208E-01	0E+01	1.201E-01	1.201E-01	5.162E-01	5.162E-01	6.863E-01	6.863E-01	6.950E-01	6.950E-01
DE+01       0.203E-01       9.246E-01       9.142E-01       7.182E-01       7.182E-01         0E+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.182E-01       7.195E-01         0E+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         2E+01       8.430E-01       9.142E-01       9.042E-01       9.028E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         2E+01       8.430E-01       9.142E-01       9.052E-01       7.203E-01       7.195E-01         2E+01       8.652E-01       9.132E-01       9.038E-01       7.203E-01       7.205E-01         9.135E-01       8.818E-01       9.133E-01       9.133E-01       7.206E-01       7.206E-01         9.135E-01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.133E-01       7.208E-01       7.208E-01         9.135E-01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.139E-01       7.208E-01       7.208E-01	0E+01	3.956E-01	3. YODE-01	/.150E-01	/. 160E-01	10-3685./	10-3685.1	7 442E-01	1.01/E-01
0E+01       8.320E-01       9.142E-01       9.142E-01       9.028E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         2E+01       8.430E-01       9.187E-01       9.042E-01       9.028E-01       7.195E-01       7.195E-01         6E+01       8.652E-01       9.187E-01       9.052E-01       7.198E-01       7.195E-01         6E+01       8.652E-01       9.232E-01       9.038E-01       7.203E-01       7.195E-01         8E+01       8.652E-01       9.232E-01       9.038E-01       7.203E-01       7.205E-01         9E+01       8.818E-01       9.332E-01       9.133E-01       7.206E-01       7.206E-01         95E+01       8.816E-01       9.356E-01       9.133E-01       7.206E-01       7.206E-01         95E+01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.139E-01       7.208E-01       7.208E-01         75E+01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.139E-01       7.208E-01       7.208E-01	0E+01	7.774E-01		8.917E-01		8.911E-01		7.182E-01	
2E+01       8.430E-01       9.187E-01       9.052E-01       7.198E-01         6E+01       8.652E-01       9.277E-01       9.098E-01       7.203E-01         8E+01       8.753E-01       9.322E-01       9.132E-01       7.206E-01         9.132E-01       8.818E-01       9.345E-01       9.133E-01       7.206E-01         95E+01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.133E-01       7.208E-01         7.201       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.133E-01       7.208E-01         7.203E-01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.133E-01       7.208E-01         7.203E-01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.139E-01       7.208E-01	0E+01	8.320E-01	8.320E-01	9.142E-01	9.142E-01	9.028E-01	9.028E-01	7.195E-01	7.195E-01
6E+01       8.652E-01       9.277E-01       9.098E-01       7.203E-01         8E+01       8.763E-01       9.322E-01       9.122E-01       7.206E-01         9E+01       8.818E-01       9.345E-01       9.133E-01       7.206E-01         95E+01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.133E-01       7.208E-01         5E+01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.139E-01       7.208E-01         5E+01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.139E-01       7.208E-01	2E+01	8.430E-01		9.187E-01		9.052E-01		7.198E-01	
BE+01       8.753E-01       9.322E-01       7.206E-01         9E+01       8.818E-01       9.345E-01       9.133E-01       7.207E-01         95E+01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.139E-01       7.208E-01         95E+01       8.846E-01       9.356E-01       9.139E-01       7.208E-01	6E+01	8.652E-01		9.277E-01		9.098E-01		7.203E-01	
9E+01 8.818E-01 9.345E-01 9.133E-01 7.207E-01 95E+01 8.846E-01 9.356E-01 9.139E-01 7.208E-01 Series Solution	8E+01	8.763E-01.		9.322E-01		<b>9.122E-01</b>		7.206E-01	
95E+01 8.846E-01 9.356E-01 9.139E-01 7.208E-01 Series Solution	9E+01	8.818E-01		9.345E-01		9.133E-01	•	7.207E-01	
Series Solution	95E+01	8.846E-01		9.356E-01		9.139E-01		7.208E-01	
	Series	Solution							

#### 6.1.2 Results for Case 5B: Band Release Mode

Figures 6-2a, 6-2b, 6-2c, and 6-2d show the relative concentration, mass flux, and cumulative mass flux in the fracture and the rock matrix for several points in time (i.e., t = 104,  $6 \times 10^4$ ,  $2 \times 10^5$ , and  $10^6$  years). Results reported in Tables 6-3a through 6-3d suggest similar conclusions as in the former case.

# 6.2 DISCUSSION OF CASE 6: TEMPORAL VARIATION OF CONCENTRATION OF Np-237, INFLUENCE OF LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION

This test case deals with the time-dependent migration of Np-237 in a one-dimensional flow domain, where longitudinal dispersion effects are considered and the concentration at the source is subjected to a step and band release mode. The observation point where the breakthrough curves are monitored is located at a distance of 500 m down gradient. The input data reported in Table 6-4 are essentially similar to those of Case 5, except for the fracture spacing, which in this instance is assigned a value of 0.6 m; i.. addition, three values for D<sub>x</sub> corresponding to 10, 100, and 1000 m<sup>2</sup>/yr were used with the main intent of verifying the two solution methods pertaining to the general form of the transport equation.

The Fourier numbers encountered in these simulations ranged approximately between 0.1 and 23, whereas the Peclet number Pe in the fracture (i.e.,  $Pe = uL/D_x$ , where L = 500 m) ranged between 5 and ∞. Figures 6-3a through 6-3d present a comparison of the relative concentration, mass flux, cumulative mass flux in the fracture, and the concentration at a distance z = 0.3 m in the rock matrix for the step release mode; Figures 6-4a through 6-4d show corresponding plots for the band release mode. Results reported in Tables 6-5 and 6-6 show excellent agreement between the two solutions, except for very small times where the slight discrepancy displayed by the contour integration solution seems to be virtually eliminated with increasing values of the longitudinal dispersion. The impact of this parameter on the solution is reflected in the value of **x**, the magnitude of which is inversely proportional to  $D_x$ ; hence, for a given time t and position x the smaller  $\chi$ , the higher the value of the Fourier number Fo. Results also indicate that the influence of the longitudinal dispersion effects become important for values of this parameter greater than 10 m<sup>2</sup>/yr throughout the leaching period, beyond which the dispersive effects become less accentuated except for the case of the largest selected hypothetical value of 1000  $m^2/yr$ . Note that in all cases reported for the rock matrix, the new contour integration based solution derived in Chapter 5 displayed a high degree of accuracy and acceptable convergence properties.



Comparison of Spatial Variation of Relative Concentration of Np-237 in the Fracture for Different Times (Case 5B: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Band Release Mode, No Longitudinal Dispersion) Figure 6-2a.

1.115













Case 5B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time  $t = 6 \times 10^4$  yr (Band Release Mode) Table 6-3a.

а .

-	factor		Mass	Flux	Cumulative	Mass Flux	
ongi tudinal		0	6 V 0		M/A	(B)	
Distance x (m)	SS	V A CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	
1 000F+00	1.871E-04		1.871E-03		2.984E+05		
1 000F+01	1 871E-03	1.867E-03	1.871E-02	1.867E-02	2.969E+05	2.928E+05	
5 000F+01	9 353E-03	9.352E-03	9.353E-02	9.352E-02	2.904E+05	2.904E+05	
1 000F+02	1.867E-02	1.868E-02	1.857E-01	1.868E-01	2.822E+05	2.822E+05	
2.000E+02	3.709E-02		3.709E-01		2 . 660E+05		
* 000E+02	7 JURE-02		7_208E-01		2.342E+05	· .	
F 000F+07	8 817F-02	8.817E-02	8.817E-01	8.817E-01	2.188E+05	2.188E+05	
J. UCUE 101	1 030E-01		1.030E+00		2.037E+05		
7 000F+02	1.165E-01		1.165E+00		1.891E+05		
8.000E+02	1.284E-01		1.284E+00		1.743E+05		
0 FOOF 03	1 227E-01		1 337E+00		1.680E+05		
0. 2005-02		1 A71E-01	1 471F+00	1 471F+00	1.482E+05	1.482E+05	
. 000E+03			1 E87E+00	1 587F+00	1 240F+C5	1.240E+05	
1.200E+03	10-3/8C.1	1,00/07-01	1. JC/LTOO	1 637F+00	9 244E+04	9.244E+04	
1.500E+03	1. b32E-U!	1.632E-UI				E EBBE+04	
1.800E+03	1.545E-01	1.545E-01	1.545E+00	1.543E+UU		0 . U00F . 01	
2 500F+03	1 056F-01	1.056E-01	1.056E+00	1.056E+00	2.793E+04	2.793E+04	
2 000F+03	6 735F-02	6.735E-02	6.735E-01	6.735E-01	1.355E+04	1.355E+04	
3.000E+03	3 791F-07	3 791E-02	3.791E-01	3.791E-01	6.072E+03	6.072E+03	
	1 011E-07	1 911F-02	1 911E-01	1.911E-01	2.518E+03	2.518E+03	
				A 705F-04	2 657F+00	2.657E+00	
7.000E+03	4.705E-05	CU-3CU/ . 4	+0-3007 · +				
	Colution						
CIS : Contou	r Integration	LOLIDIOS L			i		

Case 5B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time  $t = 2 \times 10^5$  yr (Band Release Mode) Table 6-3b.

-

Longi tudi na l	Concen	tration o	Mass	Flux	Cumulative	Mass Flux	
Distance		A/A	F/A	(m/vr)			
(m) ×	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS A/A	CIS	
1 . 000E + 00	2 178F-05		2 170F 04				1
1.000E+01	2 177F-04	1 4155-04	2 177F 00		2.985E+05		
5.000E+01	1 0856-03	1 0855-03	4 00EE 00	1.415E-03	2.977E+05	2.936E+05	
1 0005+02	7 163E-03	2 1625-02	1.0025-02	1.0856-02	2.944E+05	2.944E+05	
		2.403E-U3	2.163E-02	2.163E-02	2.903E+05	2.903E+05	
3 . VUULTUE	1.044E-UZ	1.044E-02	1.044E-01	1.044E-01	2.578E+05	2.578E+05	
1.000E+03	1 968F-02	1 9685-00	1 0000 01				
1 500F, 3			10-3006.1	1. 308E-01	2.188E+05	2.188E+05	
2 000E 00	2.23E-C	2./23E-02	2.723E-01	2.723E-01	1.822E+05	1.822E+05	
2.000E+U3	3.2/5E-02	3.275E-02	3.275E-01	3.275E-01	1.489E+05	1 489F+05	
3 000E+02	3.712E-02	3.712E-02	3.712E-01	3.712E-01	9 361F+04	0 2615-04	
5.000E+03	2.581E-02	2.581E-02	2.581E-01	2.581E-01	2.857E+04	2.857E+04	
7.000E+03	9.805E-03	9.805E-03	9 8055-03	0 SAFE ON			
8.000E+03	4.965E-03	4 965F-03	4 9655-00	3.000ET 00	0.038E+03	6. U38E+03	
1.000E+04	8 827F-04	8 877E-04		4. 3035-UZ	Z.404E+03	2.404E+03	
1 300E+04	0 777E 0E		0.02/E-U3	8.82/E-03	2.842E+02	2.842E+02	
	CO-37/7.7	CO-31//.7	2.772E-04	2.771E-04	5.484E+00	5.484E+00	
SS : Series	Solution						
CIS : Contour	Integration	Solution				•	

Case 5B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at Time  $t = 10^6$  yr (Band Release Mode) Table 6-3c.

2.436E+05 2.131E+05 1.825E+05 1.530E+05 1.101E+03 4.287E+00 4.684E+04 8.644E+01 2.974E+05 2.961E+05 2.860E+05 2.725E+05 7.976E+04 8.971E+03 Cumulative Mass Flux CIS M/A (m) 0 1.825E+05 1.530E+05 2.983E+05 2.973E+05 2.961E+05 2.436E+05 4.287E+00 2.985E+05 2.860E+05 2.725E+05 2.131E+05 7.976E+04 4.684E+04 8.971E+03 . 101E+03 8.644E+01 SS 2.154E-02 4.538E-02 6.756E-02 8.527E-02 9.703E-02 9.013E-04 1.918E-03 1.019E-02 2.710E-02 5.236E-03 6.019E-04 9.715E-02 7.819E-02 4.159E-05 (m/yr) CIS Mass Flux ٥ F/A 9.715E-02 7.819E-02 2.710E-02 5.236E-03 6.019E-04 1.887E-04 9.504E-04 1.918E-03 1.019E-03 2.154E-02 4.538E-02 6.756E-02 8.527E-02 8.527E-02 9.703E-02 .884E-05 4.159E-05 SS 2.154E-03 4.538E-03 6.756E-03 8.527E-03 8.527E-03 9.703E-03 9.715E-03 7.819E-03 2.710E-03 5.236E-04 9.013E-05 1.918E-04 1.019E-03 4.159E-06 .019E-J5 CIS Concentration 0 ю. A/A 9.504E-05 1.918E-04 1.019E-03 2.154E-03 4.538E-03 6.756E-03 8.527E-03 9.703E-03 9.715E-03 7.819E-03 2.710E-03 5.236E-04 .887E-05 4.159E-06 I.884E-06 6.019E-05 SS Longi tudi na l 3.000E+03 4.000E+03 1.000E+01 5.000E+01 ,000E+02 .000E+03 4.000E+03 5.000E+03 1.000E+04 1.500E+04 3.000E+04 Dĭstance × (m) . 000E+00 8.000E+03 000E+04 500E+04 ທີ 2 N

SS : Series Solution CIS : Contour Integration Solution
Case 5B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 for Different Times at Longitudinal Distance  $x=100\ m$  (Band Release Mode) Table 6-3d.

6 10 yr	ss B/A CIS	8E-04 8E-04 6EE-03 1.15EE-03 22E-03 8E-03 22	3E-03 4. 543E-03   66E-03 5. 667E-03   32E-03 5. 667E-03   66E-03 6. 033E-03   66E-03 6. 033E-03   67E-03 6. 033E-03   66E-03 6. 033E-03   67E-03 6. 033E-03   666-03 6. 033E-03   67E-03 6. 033E-03   76E-03 7. 543E-03   76E-03 3. 412E-03   77E-03 2. 817E-03	2E-03 2E-03 8E-03 2E-03 1.15EE-03 1.15EE-03
5 Yr	o (A CIS	1.266E-02 1.15 6.73 1.15 1.15 2.09 2.09 2.28 2.55 2.55 3.41 3.448E-02 2.81 3.448E-02 2.81	4.268E-02 4.54   4.813E-02 5.16   4.921E-02 5.03   4.921E-02 6.25   4.982E-02 6.25   4.982E-02 6.25   4.9813E-02 6.25   4.982E-02 6.33   4.982E-02 6.33   4.921E-02 6.33   4.921E-02 6.33   4.921E-02 5.16   3.48E-02 3.81   3.48E-02 3.81   3.48E-02 3.81	2.55 2.28 2.28 2.09 1.63 1.63 1.15 1.15 6.73
2 × 10	B SS	2.163E-03 7.445E-03 1.266E-02 1.768E-02 2.243E-02 2.43E-02 2.684E-02 3.448E-02 3.765E-02 3.765E-02	4.268E-02 4.607E-02 4.813E-02 4.921E-02 4.959E-02 4.969E-02 4.969E-02 4.9613E-02 4.607E-02 3.765E-02 3.765E-02 3.765E-02 3.765E-02 3.948E-02	2.684E-02 2.423E-02 2.243E-02 1.768E-02 1.768E-02 7.445E-03
4 c 10 yr	о В/А СIS	2 2 1.025E-01 1 1.627E-01 1.517E-01	9.785E-02 2.3.395E-02 3.395E-02 3.1.726E-02 3.6.308E-03 3.395E-02 3.395E-02 2.3.395E-02 2.3.395E-02 2.3.395E-02 2.3.395E-02	1 025E-01
x و	SS	3 1.867E-02 1 6.316E-02 0 1.025E-01 0 1.331E-01 0 1.532E-01 1.532E-01 0 1.627E-01 0 1.627E-01 0 1.517E-01 0 1.517E-01	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 1.622E-01 1 1.532E-01 1 1.532E-01 1 1.331E-01 1 1.025E-01 5 316E-02
Time :	Vertical Distance z (m)	5.000 -0 5.000 -0 1.000 -0 1.500 -0 2.500 -0 2.500 -0 2.500 -0 2.500 -0 2.500 -0 2.500 -0 3.500 -0 4,000 -0 2.500 -0 2.5	55.00000000000000000000000000000000000	1.750E+0 1.750E+0 1.800E+0 1.850E+0 1.950E+0

162

SS : Series Solution CIS : Contour Integration Solution

Species	Np-237
Initial Concentration A° (arbitrary unit of activity/L <sup>3</sup> )	1
Type of Release Mode	Case 6A: Step Case 6B: Band
Boundary Condition	Infinite Plane Source
x	500.0 m
у	0.0 m
Z	0.3 m
d	ά
u	10.0 m/yr
v	0.0 m/yr
D <sub>xx</sub>	0, 10, 100, 1000 m²/yr
D <sub>yy</sub>	$0.0 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$
D <sub>yx</sub>	0.0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
D <sub>p</sub>	0.01 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
L	0.3 m
T <sub>1/2</sub>	2.14 x 106 yr
$T_L$	1 x 104 yr
b	0.005 m
φ	10-2
R	1
R'	100

Table 6-4. Input Parameters for Case 6



Fracture for  $D_{xx} = 0$ , 10, 100, 1000 m<sup>2</sup>/yr (Case 6A: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Step Release Mode)



















Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Mass Flux of Np-237 in the Fracture for  $D_{xx} = 0$ , 10, 100, 1000 m<sup>2</sup>/yr (Case 6B: Multiple Parallel Fractures, Band Release Mode) Figure 6-4b.









Case 6A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at x = 500 m,  $D_{xx} = 0$  (Step Release Mode) Table 6-5a.

t (yr) SS 1.000E+02 1.524E 2.000E+02 1.524E 3.000E+02 7.764E 3.000E+02 7.744E 4.000E+02 1.571E 6.000E+02 1.391E 8.500E+03 2.664E	A/	A		2		0
t (yr) SS 1.000E+02 1.524E 2.000E+02 7.764E 3.000E+02 7.764E 4.000E+02 7.744E 6.000E+02 1.571E 6.000E+02 1.391E 1.000E+03 2.664E	-23					
1.000E+02 1.524E 2.000E+02 7.764E 3.000E+02 7.744E 4.000E+02 1.571E 6.000E+02 1.571E 8.500E+02 1.391E 1.000E+03 2.664E	-23	CIS	SS SS	CIS	M/A SS	(III) CIS
2.000E+02 7.764E 3.000E+02 7.744E 4.000E+02 1.571E 6.000E+02 1.571E 8.500E+02 1.391E 8.500E+03 2.664E	60-	7.679E-09	1 524E-22	7 5705-00		
3.000E+02 7.744E 4.000E+02 1.5714 6.000E+02 1.5714 8.500E+02 2.618E 1.000E+03 2.664E	5			1.0/35-00	1.4536-22	-5.481E-08
4.000000000000000000000000000000000000	2	1.310C-10	1 . /04E-G8	1.916E-07	6.122E-07	4.868E-07
4.000E+02   1.5/1E     6.000E+02   2.618E     8.500E+02   1.391E     1.000E+03   2.664E	5	1./35E-06	7.744E-05	7.735E-05	1.576E-03	1 576F-03
B. 500E+02 2. 518E 8. 500E+02 1. 391E 1. 000E+03 2. 564E	40-	1.571E-04	1.571E-03	1.571E-03	5 SEGE-03	
8.500E+02 1.391E 1.000E+03 2.664E	-03	2.617E-03	2.618E-02	2.617E-02	2.135E+00	2.135E+00
1.000E+03 2.664E	-02	1 3015-03	1 2011 01			
	4 6	1.33 IC-UZ	1.391E-01	1.391E-01	2.047E+01	2.047E+01
	70-	2.004E-02	2.664E-01	2.664E-01	5.027E+01	5 0275+01
1.300E+U3 1.06/E	10-	1.067E-01	1.067E+00	1.067E+00	3 593F+02	3 593F103
2.000E+U3 2.383E	10-	2.383E-01	2.383E+00	2.383E+00	1 205F+G3	1 205E±02
Z. 200E+03 3.965E	-01	3.965E-01	3.965E+00	3.965E+00	2.787E+03	2.787E+03
3.000E+03 5.531E-	-01	5.531E-01	5 531F+00	E E21E100	100	
3.500E+03 6.884E-	-01	E RRAF-01			3. 100E+U3	5. 166E+03
4.000E+03 7 938F-		7 0205-04	0.004E+W	D.884E+00	8.281E+03	8.281E+03
5 000E+03 0 20EE		0 20ET 01	7.338E+00	7.938E+00	1.200E+04	i.200E+04
6 000E+03 0 20CL	50	10-3CN7 - 6	9.205E+00	9.205E+00	2.065E+04	2.065E+04
0.000LTU3 3.120E-	5-	9.726E-91	9.726E+00	9.726E+00	3.016E+04	3.016E+04
7.000E+03 9.903E-	-01	9.903E-01	9 903E+00	0 0025.00		
8. COOE+03 9. 955E-	-01	9.955E-01	9 955F+00		0, 333F+04	3.999E+04
9.000E+03 9.966E-	-01	9 966F-01	0 0555100		4.335+04	4.993E+04
1.000E+04 9.967F-		9 9675-01	9.300ETUU	9. 200E+00	5.939E+04	5.989E+04
1.100E+04 9 964E-			9-30/E+00	9.36/E+00	6.986E+04	6.986E+04
	5	10-3406 -2	9.364E+00	9.964E+00	7.982E+04	7.982E+04
1.200E+04 9.961E-	-01	9.961E-01	9.961E+00	9.961E+00	R G78F+04	0,0705,04
1.300E+04 9.958E-	-01	9.958E-01	9.958E+00	9 9585400	6 07AELOA	
1.500E+04 9.952E-	-01	9.952E-01	9.952F+00	0 0232700		
1.600E+04 9.948E-	6	9.948F-01	9 948FLOO			1.19/E+05
1.800E+04 9.942E-	-01	9 947F-01			1.296E+05	1.296E+05
			D' JAKETON	a. 342E+00	1.495E+05	1.495E+05
2.000E+04 9.935E-	0	9.935E-01	9.935E+00	9.935E+00	1.694E+05	1.694E+05

Case 6A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at x=500 m,  $D_{xx}=10$  m<sup>2</sup>/yr (Step Release Mode) Table 6-5b.

-

	Concent	ration	Mass	Flux	Cumulative	Mass Flux
Time		0	0		0	
	A	/A	F/A	(m/yr)	M/A	(B)
t (yr)	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	ß	CIS
1.000E+02	6.075E-16	6.210E-09	6.971E-15	5.719E-08	1.632E-14	-5.634E-08
2_000E+02	7.686E-08		8.175E-07		8.626E-06	
3.000E+02	1.807E-05	1.807E-05	1.880E-04	1.880E-04	4.515E-03	4.515E-03
4.000E+02	2.438E-04		2.511E-03		1.050E-01	
6.000E+02	3.152E-03	3.152E-03	3.215E-02	3.215E-02	2.805E+00	2.805E+00
8 500F+03	1 531E-02		1.553E-01		2.385E+01	
1 000F+03	2 R59F-02	2 859E-02	2.895E-01	2.895E-01	5.659E+01	5.659E+01
1 500F+03	1 100F-01	1 100E-01	1.110E+00	1, 110E+00	3.824E+02	3.824E+02
2 000E+03	2 414E-01	2.414E-01	2.429E+00	2.429E+00	1.251E+03	1.251E+03
2.500E+03	3.983E-01	3.983E-01	4.001E+00	4.001E+C0	2.854E+03	2.854E+03
					10101	C 240C 102
3.000E+03	5.533E-01	5.533E-01	5.551E+00	5.551E+00	5.Z48E+U3	2.2466403
3.500E+03	6.872E-01		6.888E+00		8.3b9E+03	
4. COOE+03	7.520E-01		7.932E+00	1	1.209E+04	
5.000E+03	9.188E-01	9.188E-01	9.194E+00	9.194E+00	2.073E+04	2.073E+04
E.000E+03	9.716E-01		9.718E+00		3.023E+04	
7_000E+03	9.899E-01	9.839E-01	9.900E+00	9, 900E+00	4.006E+04	4.006E+04
8.000E+03	9.953E-01		9.953E+00		4.999E+04	
9 000E+03	9.966E-01		9.966E+00		5.995E+04	
1.000E+04	9.966E-01	9.966E-01	9.966E+00	9.966E+00	6.992E+04	6.992E+04
1.100E+04	9.964E-01		9.964E+00		7.988E+04	
1 . 200E + 04	9.961E-01		9.961E+00		8.984E+04	
1 300F+04	9.958E-01		9.958E+00		3 .980E+04	
1.500E+04	9.952E-01	9.952E-01	9.952E+00	9.952E+00	1.197E+05	1.197E+05
1.600E+04	9.948E-01		9.948E+00		1.297E+05	
1,800E+04	9.942E-01		9.942E+00		1.496E+05	
2 0005404	a 9355-01	9 935F-01	9 935F+00	9 935E+00	1.694E+05	1.694E+05
2 - 000E104	9.900F 01					
Series	Solution					

CIS : Contour Integration Solution

Case 6A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at x = 500 m,  $D_{xx} = 100 \text{ m}^{2}/\text{yr}$  (Step Release Mode) Table 6-5c.

	Concen	tration	Mass	Flux	Cumulative	Mass Flux
Time		0	0		, 0	
		A/A	F/A	(m/yr)	M/A	( <b>E</b> )
t (yr)	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS
1.000E+02	7.101E-08	7.284E-08	1.082E-06	1.048E-06	8 641F-06	8 586F-DG
2.000E+02	3.515E-05		4.648E-04		1.103E-02	00
3.000E+02	4.314E-04	4.314E-04	5.354E-03	5.354E-03	2.371E-01	2.371E-01
4 . 000E+02	1.771E-03	1.771E-03	2.118E-02	2.118E-02	1.449E+00	1.449E+00
6.000E+02	8.850E-03	8.850E-03	1.015E-01	1.015E-01	1.253E+01	1.253E+01
8.500E+02	2.789E-02		3.110E-01		6 140F+01	
1.000E+03	4.541E-02	4.541E-02	5.004E-01	5.004E-01	1.216E+02	1.216E+02
1.500E+03	1.366E-01	1.366E-01	1.466E+00	1.466E+00	5.931E+02	5.931E+02
2.000E+03	2.670E-01	2.670E-01	2.815E+00	2.815E+00	1.F52E+03	1.652E+03
2.500E+03	4.141E-01	4.141E-01	4.309E+00	4.309E+00	3.432E+03	3.432E+03
3 000E+03	5.564E-01	5.564E-01	5.732E+00	5.732E+00	5.949E+03	5 9495+03
3.500E+03	6.799E-01		6.949E+00		9 129E+03	
4.000E+03	7.785E-01		7.907E+00		1.285E+04	
5.000E+03	9.042E-01	9.042E-01	9.110E+00	9.110E+00	2.143E+04	2 143E+04
6.000E+03	9.623E-01		9.654E+00	· · ·	3.085E+04	
7.000E+03	9.854E-01	9.854E-01	9.866E+00	9 866E+00	4 063F+04	4 0635404
8 . 000E+03	9.935E-01		9.939E+00		5.054E+04	
9 . 000E+03	9.959E-01		9.961E+00		6.049E+04	
1.000E+04	9.964E-01	9.964E-01	9.965E+00	9.965E+00	7.046E+04	7.046E+04
1.100E+04	9.964E-01		9.964E+00		8.042E+04	
1.200E+04	9.961E-01		9.961E+00		9.038E+04	
1.300E+04	9.958E-01		9.953E+00		1.003E+05	
1.500E+04	9.952E-01	9.952E-01	9.952E+00	9.952E+00	1.203E+05	1.203E+05
1.600E+04	9.948E-01		9.948E+00		1 302E+05	
1.800E+04	9.942E-01		9.942E+00		1.501E+05	
2.000E+04	9.935E-01	9.935E-01	9.935E+00	9.935E+00	1.700E+05	1.700£+05
SS : Series CIS : Contour	Solution r Integration	Solution				

Case 6A Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at x = 500 m,  $D_{xx}$  = 1000 m<sup>2</sup>/yr (Step Release Mode) Table 6-5d.

	Concent	cration	Mass	Flux	Cumulative	Mass Flux	1
Time		0	E / A	(17/8)	0 W/W	( <b>m</b> )	
t (yr)	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	
1.000E+02	2.974E-03	2.974E-03	7.435E-02	7.435E-02	2 089E+00	2_089E+00	1
2.000E+02	1.356E-02	1.356E-02	2.839E-01	2.839E-01	1.947E+01	1.947E+01	4
3.000E+02	2.761E-02	2.761E-02	5.271E-01	5.271E-01	5.989E+01	5.989E+01	
4.000E+02	4.347E-02	4.347E-02	7.816E-01	7.816E-01	1.252E+02	1.252E+02	
6 : 00CE +02	7.951E-02	7.951E-02	1.321E+00	1.321E+00	3.349E+02	3.349E+02	
8.500E+02	1.315E-01		2.043E+00		7.546E+02		
1.000E+03	1.654E-01	1.654E-01	2.488E+00	2.488E+00	1.094E+03	1.094E+03	
1.50CE+03	2.850E-01	2.850E-01	3.938E+00	3.938E+00	2.705E+03	2.705E+03	
2.000E+03	4.032E-01	4.032E-01	5.230E+00	5.230E+00	5,006E+03	5.006E+03	
2.500E+03	5.109E-01		6.304E+00		7.898E+03		
3,000E+03	6.041E-01		7.1626+00		1.127E+04		
3.500E+03	6.823E-01		7.830E+00		1.503E+04		
4.000E+03	7.465E-01	7.465E-01	8.344E+00	8.344E+00	1.908E+04	1.908E+04	
5.000E+03	8.399E-01		9.031E+00		2.780E+04		
6,000E+03	8.991E-01	8.991E-01	9.424E+00	9.424E+00	3.704E+04	3.704E+04	
7.000E+03	9.360E-01		9.649E+00		4.659E+04	s	
8.000E+03	9.588E-01	9.588E-01	9.778E+00	9.779E+00	5.631E+04	5.631E+04	
9.000E+03	9.728E-01		9.853E+00		6.613E+04		
1.000E+04	9.815E-01	9.815E-01	9.896E+00	9.836E∻00	7.600E+04	7.600E+04	
1.100E+04	9.868E-01		9.920E+00	k	8.591E+04		
1 200E+04	9.900E-01		9.934E+00		₿.584E+04		
1.300E+04	9.919E-01		9.941E+00		1.058E+05		
1.500E+04	9.936E-01	9.936E-01	9.945E+00	9.945E+00	1.257E+05	1.257E+05	
1.600E+04	9.938E-01		9.944E+00		1.356E+05		
1.800E+04	9.938E-01		9.940E+00		1.555E+05		
2.000E+04	9.934E-01	9.933E-01	9.935E+00	9.934E+00	1.754E+05	1.754E+05	
SS : Series CIS : Contou	Solution r Integration	n Solution					1

Table 6-5e.Case 6A Results: Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237<br/>for Different  $D_{xx}$  at Longitudinal Distance x = 500 m (Step Release Mode)

Re
(Step
ш
50(
11
Distance x
ngitudinal
Ę
for Different D <sub>xx</sub> at

2

D (m /yr)	0 	0	10	0	100	0	1000.0	
Time		0 B/A		0 /A	8	o A		0 / 8
t (yr)	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS
1_000E+02	0.000E+00	3.766E-09	6. 157E-25	3.905E-09	9.750E-13	4.272E-09	7.493E-0E	7.497E-06
2.000E+02	1.524E-13	1.052E-09	7.697E-12	1.278E-09	9.503E-08	9.818E-08	5.347E-04	5.347E-04
3.000E+02	1.396E-08	2.134E-08	5.839E-08	6.426E-08	7.818E-06	7.822E-06	3.000E-03	3.000E-03
4 . 000E+02	1.970E-06	1.969E-06	4.104E-06	4.105E-06	8.733E-05	8.734E-05	8.054E-03	8.054E-03
6.000E+02	1.897E-04	1.897E-04	2.564E-04	2.564E-04	1.227E-03	1.227E-03	2.551E-02	2.551E-02
8.500E+02	2.527E-03	2.527E-03	2.930E-03	2.930E-03	7.096E-03	7.096E-03	5.887E-02	5.887E-02
1.000E+03	6.550E-03	6.550E-03	7.294E-03	7.294E-03	1.436E-02	1.436E-02	8.392E-02	8.392E-02
1 500E+03	4.540E-02	4.540E-02	4.759E-02	4.759E-02	6.595E-02	6.595E-02	1.861E-01	1.861E-01
2.000E+03	1.341E-01	1.341E-01	1.372E-01	1.372E-01	1.621E-01	1.621E-01	3.017E-01	3.017E-01
2.500E+03	2.662E-01	2.662E-01	2.689E-01	2.689E-01	2.914E-01	2.914E-01	4.161E-01	4.161E-01
3.000E+03	4.191E-01	4.191E-01	4.206E-01	4.206E-01	4.337E-01	4.337E-01	5,206E-01	5.206E-01
3 500E+03	5,684E-01	5.684E-01	5.684E-01	5.684E-01	5.702E-01	5.702E-01	6.114E-01	6.114E-01
4.000E+03	6.971E-01	6.971E-01	6.959E-01	6.959E-01	6.884E-01	6.884E-01	6.877E-01	6.877E-01
5.000E+03	8.708E-01	8.708E-01	8.689E-01	8.689E-01	8.542E-01	8.542E-01	8.014E-01	8.014E-01
6.000E+03	9.523E-01	9.523E-01	9.509E-01	9.509E-01	9.393E-01	9.393E-01	8.747E-01	8.747E-01
7.000E+03	9.833E-01	9.333E-01	9.827E-01	9.827E-01	9.762E-01	9.762E-01	9.207E-01	9.207E-01
8.000E+03	9.933E-01	9.933E-01	9.931E-01	9.931E-01	9.902E-01	9.902E-01	9.493E-01	9.493E-01
9.000E+03	9.960E-01	9,960E-01	9.960E-01	9.960E-01	9.948E-01	9.948E-01	9.669E-01	9.669E-01
1.000E+04	9.965E-01	9.965E-01	9 , 965E - 01	9.965E-01	9.961E-01	9.961E-01	9.778E-01	9.778E-01
1 100E+04	9.964E-01	9.964E-01	9.964E-01	9.964E-01	9.963E-01	9.963E-01	9.845E-01	9.845E-01
1.200E+04	9.961E-01	9.961E-01	9.961E-01	9.961E-01	9 961E-01	9.961E-01	9.885E-01	9.885E-01
1 300E+04	9.958E-01	9.958E-01	9.958E-01	9.958E-01	9.958E-01	9.958E-01	9.910E-01	9.91JE-01
1.500E+04	9.952E-01	9.952E-01	9.952E-01	9,952E-01	9.952E-01	9.952E-01	9.932E-01	9.932E-01
1.600E+04	9.948E-01	9.948E-01	9.948E-01	9.948E-01	9.948E-01	9.948E-01	9.936E-01	9.936E-01
1.800E+04	9.942E-01	9.942E-01	9.942E-01	9.942E-01	9.942E-01	9.942E-01	9.937E-01	9.937E-01
2.000E+04	9.935E-01	9.935E-01	9.935E-01	9.935E-01	9,935E-01	9.935E-01	9 933E-01	9.933E-01

SS Series Solution CIS Contour Integration Solution

. •

Case 6B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at x = 500 m,  $D_{xx} = 0$  (Band Release Mode) Table 6-6a.

- I me	1	0	0			
	æ	VA	F/A	(m/yr)	M/A	( <b>m</b> )
t (yr)	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS
1.000E+02	1.524E-23	7.679E-09	1.524E-22	7.679E-08	1.453E-22	-5.481E-08
2.000E+02	7.764E-09	1.916E-08	7.764E-08	1.916E-07	6.122E-07	4.868E-07
3.000E+02	7.744E-06	7.735E-06	7.744E-G5	7.735E-05	1.5765-03	1.576E-03
4.000E+02	1.571E-04	1.571E-04	1.571E-03	1.571E-03	5.866E-02	5.866E-02
6.000E+02	2.618E-03	2.617E-03	2.618E-02	2.617E-02	2.135E+00	2.135E+00
8 500E+02	1.391E-02	1.391E-02	1.391E-01	1.391E-01	2.047E+01	2.047E+01
1.000E+03	2.664E-02	2.664E-02	2.664E-01	2.664E-01	5.027E+01	5.027E+01
1.500E+03	1.067E-01	1.067E-01	1:067E+00	1.067E+00	3.593E+02	3.593E+02
2.000E+03	2.383E-01	2.383E-01	2.383E+00	2.383E+00	1.205E+03	1.205E+03
2.500E+03	3.965E-01	3.965E-01	3.965E+00	3.965E+00	2.787E+03	2.787E+03
3.000E+03	5.5316-01	5.531E-01	5.531E+00	5.531E+00	5.166E+03	5. 166E+03
3.500E+03	6.884E-01	6.884E-01	6.884E+00	6.884E+00	8.281E+03	8.281E+03
4.000E+03	7.938E-01	7.938E-01	7.938E+00	7.938E+00	1.200E+04	1.200E+04
5.000E+03	9.205E-01	9.205E-01	9.205E+00	9.205E+00	2.065E+04	2.065E+04
6.000E+03	9.726E-01	9.726E-01	9.726E+00	9.726E+00	3.016E+04	3.016E+04
7.000E+03	9.903E-01	9_903E-01	9.903E+00	9 903E+00	3.999E+04	3.999E+04
8.000E+03	9.955E-01	9.955E-01	9.955E+00	9.955E+00	4.993E+04	4.993E+04
9.000E+03	9.966E-01	9.966E-01	9.966E+00	9.966E+00	5.989E+04	5.989E+04
1.000E+04	9.967E-01	9.967E-01	9.967E+00	9.967E+00	6.986E+04	6.986E+04
1.100E+04	9.699E~01	9.699£-01	9.699E+00	9 699E+00	7.977E+04	7.977E+04
1.200E+04	7.586E-01	7.586E-01	7.586E+00	7.586E+00	8.858E+04	8.858E+04
1.300E+04	4.445E-01	4.445E-01	4.445E+00	4.445E+00	9.459E+04	9.459E+04
1.500E+04	7.763E-02	7.763E-02	7.763E-01	7.763E-01	9.907E+04	9.907E+04
1.600E+04	2.541E-02	2.541E-02	2.541E-01	2.541E-01	9.954E+04	9.954E+04
1.800E+04	1.940E-03	1.939E-03	1.940E-02	1.939E-02	9.973E+04	9.973E+04
2.000E+04	1.060E-04	1.059E-04	1.060E-03	1.059E-03	9.974E+04	9.974E+04

Case 6B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration Mass Flux and Cumulative	Mace Flux in the Prosting for Manager 1, 200 - 1	1 $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$
lable 6-6b.		

t (yr) 1.000E+02 5.0		G	Mass	Flux	Cumulative	Mass Flux
t (yr) 1.000E+02 E.O	A	/A /	, A , F , A	( <b>m</b> /m)		0
1.000E+02 E.0	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	(m) CIS
	75E - 16	6.210E-09	6 971F-15	5 7105-00		
2.000E+02 7.6	86E-08		8 175F-07	0. 13C-00	1.032E-14	-0.53
3.000E+02 1.8(	07E-05	1.807E-05	1 RROF-OA	1 0005-01	8.5255-06 7.777 00	
4.000E+02 2.43	38E-04		9 511F-03	1 . 00UE - U4	4.515E-03	4.515E-U.
6.000E+02 3.15	52E03	3.152E-03	3.215E-02	3.215E-02	1.0305E+00	2.805E+0C
8.500E+02 1.53	31E-02		1 5525-01	•		
1.000E+03 2.85	53E-02	2.859F-02	2 8955-01	2 00EF 01	Z. 385E+01	
1.500E+03 1.10	00E-01		1 110ELOI	10-3660.2	5 5555+01	5.659E+01
2.000E+03 2.41	14E-01		2 429E100		3.824E+02	
2.500E+03 3.98	33E-01		4.001E+00		2.854E+03	
3.000E+03 5.53	33E-01	5 533F-01	5515400			
3.500E+03 6.87	72E-01		6 RARFTOD	a. 33 15100	D. 248E+U3	5.248E+03
4.000E+03 7.92	0E-01		7 937F100		8.309E+03	
5.000E+03 9.48	18E-01		9 194F100		1.2035+04	
5.000E+03 9.71	6E-01	9.716E-01	9.718E+00	9.718E+00	3.023E+04	3 023F+04
			,			
			9.900E+00		4.006E+04	
0.000E+U3 9.95	3E-01		9.953E+00		4.999E+04	
9.000E+03 9.96	EE-01		9.966E+00		5.995E+04	
. ('')0E+04 9.96	6E-01	9.966E-01	9.966E+00	9.966E+00	6.992E+04	6 997F+04
1.1.X0E+04 9.67	9E-01	9.673E-01	9.676E+00	9 . 676E+00	7.983E+04	7.983E+04
. 200E+04 7.55	5E-01	7.555E-01	7.540E+00	7.540E+00	8 860F+04	8 RECELOA
.300E+04 4.44	3E-01	4.443E-01	4.425E+00	4.425E+00	9 457F+04	0 4575404
.500E+04 7.93	6E-02	7.936E-02	7.871E-01	7 871F-01	O OUEETOV	
.600E+04 2.64	0E-02	2.640E-02	2 613F-01	2 612E-01		
.800E+04 2.09	4E-03	2 094F-03	2 0665-00		40+3955 n	9. 353E+64
	} !	2.001F 00	70-3000.7	Z. UbbE -02	9.973E+04	9.973E+04
.000E+04 1.19	5E-04	1.195E04	1.174E-03	1.176E-03	9.974E+04	9.974E+04

Timo	Concent	ration	Mass	Flux	Cumulative	Mass Flux	
		VA.	F/A	(m/yr)	M/A	(E)	
ר נאבו	0	CID	0		n n	<b>CID</b>	
1.000E+02	7.101E-08	7.284E-08	1.082E-06	1.048E-06	8.641E-06	8.586E-06	ļ
2.000E+02	3.515E-05		4.548E-04		1.103E-02		
3.000E+02	4.314E~04	4.314E-04	5.354E-03	5.354E-03	2.371E-01-	2.371E-01	
4.00GE+02	1.771E-03		2.118E-02		. 1,449E+00		1.5
5.000E+02	8 850E-03	8.850E-03	1.015E-01	1.015E-01	1.253E+01	1.253E+01	
8.500E+02	2.789£-02		3.110E-01		6.140E+01		
1.000E+C3	4.541E-02	4.541E-02	5.004E-01	5.004E-01	1.216E+02	1.216E+02	
1.500E+03	1 366E-01		1.466€+00		5.931E+02		
2.000E+03	2.670E-01		2.815E+00		1.652E+03		
2.500E+03	4.141E-01		4 309E+00		3.432E+03		
3.0005+03	5.564E-01	5,564E-01	5.732E+00	5.732E+00	5.949E+03	5.949E+03	
3.5COE+03	6.799E-01		6.949E+00		9.129E+03		
4.000E+03	7.785E-01		7.907E+00		1.285E+04		
5.000E+03	9.042E-01		9.110E+00		2.143E+04		ar.
6.000E+03	9.623E-01	S.623E-01	3,654E+00	5 654E+00	3.085E+04	3.085E+04	.1
7.000E+03	5.854E-01		9.866E+C0		4.053E+04		
8.000E+03	9.935E-01		9.939E+00		5.054E+04		
9,000E+03	9.359E-01		9.961E+00		6.C49E+04	•	
1.000E+04	9.964E-01	9.364E-01	9.365E+00	9.965E+00	7.046E+04	7.046E+04	
1.10GE+C4	9.511E-01	9.511E-01	9.465E+00	9 465E+00	8.030E+04	8.030E+04	
1.200E+04	7.300E-01	7.300E-01	7.1556+00	7.155E+00	8.874E+04	8.874E+04	
1.300E+G4	4.411E-01	4.411E-01	4.245E+00	4.245E+00	9.441E+04	9.441E+04	
1.500E+04	9.332E-02	9.352E-02	8.712E-01	8.712E-01	9.889E+04	9.889E+04	
1.600E+C4	3.569E-02	3.569E-02	3.255E-01	3.255E-01	9.345E+04	9.945E+04	
1.800E+04	3.941E-03	3.940E-03	3.484E-02	3.483E-02	9.971E+04	9.971E+04	
2.000E+04	3.351E-04	3, 345E-04	2.877E-03	2.874E-03	9.974E+04	9.974E+04	
SS : Series	Solution		7				
CIS : Contou	r Integration	i Solution					

Case 6B Results: Comparison of Relative Concentration, Mass Flux, and Cumulative	Mass Flux in the Fracture for Np-237 at $x = 500$ m, $D_{xx} = 1,000 m^2$ yr (Band Release Mode)
Pable 6-6d. Ca	Ma

1

. 1	Concent	ration	Mass	Flux	Cumulative	Mass Flux
Ime	•	0	0 F/A	( U/ U)	0 1	(m)
t (yr)	SS	CIS	SS .	CIS	SS	CIS
1.000E+02	2.974E-03	2.974E-03	7.435E-02	7.435E-02	2.089E+00	2.089E+00
2.000E+02	1.356E-02		2.839E-01		1.947E+01	
3.000E+02	2.761E-02	2.761E-02	5.271E-01	5.271E-01	5.989E+01	5.989E+01
4.000E+02	4.347E-02		7.816E-01		1.252E+02	
6 . 000E +02	7.951E-02	7.951E-02	1.321E+00	1.321E+00	3.349E+02	3.349E+02
8 . 500E +02	1.315E-01		2.043E+00		7.546E+02	
1,000E+03	1.554E-01	1.654E-01	2.488E+00	2.488E+00	1.094E+03	1.094E+03
1_500E+03	2.850E-01		3.938E+00		2.705E+03	
2.000E+03	4.032E-01		5.230E+00		5.006E+03	
2.500E+03	5.109E-01		G.304E+00		7.898E+03	
3.000E+03	6.041E-01	6.041E-01	7.162E+00	7.162E+00	1.127E+04	1.127E+04
3.500E+03	6.823E-01		7.830E+00		1.503E+04	
4 .000E+03	7.465E-01		8.344E+00		1.908E+04	
5.000E+03	8.399E-01		9.031E+00		2.780E+04	
6.000E+03	8.991E-01	8.991E-01	9.424E+00	9.424E+00	3.704E+04	3.704E+04
7 000E+03	9.360E-01		9.649E+00		4.659E+04	
8.000E+03	9.588E-01		9.778E+00		5.631E+04	
9.000E+03	9.728E-01		9.853E+00		6.613E+04	
1.000E+04	9.815E-01	9.815E-01	9.896E+00	9.896E+00	7.500E+04	7.600E+04
1.100E+04	8.219E-01	8.220E-01	7.441E+00	7.441E+00	8.482E+04	8.482E+04
1.200E+04	5.881E-01	5.881E-01	4.721E+00	4.721E+00	9.085E+04	9.085E+04
1.300E+04	3.897E-01	3.897E-01	2.803E+00	2.803E+00	9.454E+04	9.454E+04
1.500E+04	1.563E-01	1.563E-01	9.434E-01	9.433E-01	9.796E+04	9.796E+04
1.600E+04	9.762E-02	9.762E-02	5.505E-01	5,509E-01	9.869E+04	9.869E+04
1.800E+04	3.808E-02	3,808E-02	1.933E-01	1.932E-01	9.937E+04	9.937E+04
2.000E+04	1.504E-02	1.498E-02	7.076E-02	6.306E-02	9.961E+04	9.961E+04
	-		· .	•	-	
SS : Series	Solution - Internation					
CI3 . CUILOU	L TITESTIAL ALION					

() h

ł

Case 6B Results: Comparison of Temporal Variation of Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix for Np-237 for Different  $D_{xx}$  at Longitudinal Distance x = 500 m (Band Release Mode) Table 6-6e.

2 0 (m /vr		00	-	10 0	Ĩ	0 0	100	0.0
xx xx		)						
Time		o B/A		o B/A		0 /A		o B/A
t (yr)	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS	SS	CIS
1.000E+02	0.000E+00	3.766E-09	6.157E-25	3.905E-09	9.750E-13	4 272E-09	7.493E-06	7.497E-06
2.000E+02	1.524E-13	1.052E-09	7.697E-12	1.278E-09	9.503E-08	9.818E-08	5.347E-04	5.347E-04
3.000E+02	1.396E-08	2.134E-08	5.839E-08	6.426E-08	7.818E-06	7.822E-06	3,000E-03	3.000E-03
4.000E+02	1_970E-06	1.969E-06	4.104E-06	4.105E-06	8.733E-05	8.734E-05	8.054E-03	8.054E-03
6.000E+02	1.897E-04	1.897E-04	2.564E-04	2.564E-04	1.227E-03	1.227E-03	2.551E-02	2.551E-02
8.500E+02	2.527E-03	2.527E-03	2.930E-03	2.930E-03	7.096E-03	7.096E-03	5.887E-02	5.887E-02
1.000E+03	6.550E-03	6.550E-03	7.294E-03	7.294E-03	1.436E-02	1.436E-02	8.392E-02	8.392E-02
1.500E+03	4.540E-02	4.540E-02	4.759E-02	4.759E-02	6.595E-02	6.595E-02	1.861E-01	1.861E-01
2.000E+03	1.341E-01	1.341E-01	1.372E-01	1.372E-01	1.621E-01	1.621E-01	3.017E-01	3.017E-01
2.500E+03	2.662E-01	2.662E-01	2.539E-01	2.689E-01	2.914E-01	2.914E-01	4.161E-01	4.161E-01
3.000E+03	4.191E-01	4 191E-01	4.206E-01	4.206E-01	4.337E-01	4.337E-01	5,206E-01	5.206E-01
3.500E+03	5.684E-01	5.684E-01	5.684E-01	5.684E-01	5.702E-01	5.702E-01	6.114E-01	6.114E-01
4.000E+03	6.971E-01	6.971E-01	6.9596-01	6.953E-01	6.884E-01	6.884E-01	6.877E-01	6.877E-01
5.000E+03	8.708E-01	8.708E-01	8.569E-01	8.689E-01	8.542E-01	8.542E-01	8,014E-01	8.014E-01
6.000E+03	9.523E-01	9.523E-01	9.5095-01	9.509£-01	- 9.393E-C1	9.393E-01	8.747E-01	8.747E-01
7 000E+03	9.833E-01	9.833E-01	9.827E-01	9.827E-01	9.762E-01	9.762E-01	9.207E-01	9.207E-01
8 . 000E+03	9.933E-01	9.933E-01	9.931E-01	9.931E-01	9.902E-01	9.902E-01	9.493E-01	9.493E-01
9.000E+03	9.960E-01	9.960E-01	9.960E-01	3.960E-01	9.948E-01	9.948E-01	9.669E-01	9. <u>669E-01</u>
1 000E+04	9.965E-01	9.965E-01	9.965E-01	9.965E-01	9.S61E-01	9.961E-01	9.778E-01	9.778E-01
1.100E+04	3 899E-01	9.899E-01	9.891E-01	9.891E-01	S_819E-01	9,819E-01	9.008E-01	9.008E-01
1.200E+04	8 324E-01	8.624E-01	8.594E-01	8.594E-01	8.3455-01	8.345E-01	6.878E-01	5.878E-01
1.300E+04	5.781E-01	5.781E-01	5.766E-01	5.766E-01	5.635E-01	5.635E-01	4.721E-01	4.721E-01
1.500E+04	1.272E-01	1.272E-01	1.290E-01	1.290E-01	1.437E-01	1.437E-01	1.943E-01	1.943E-01
1.600E+04	4.566E-02	4 566E - 02	4.696E-02	4.696E-02	5.853E-02	5.853E-02	1.217E-01	1.217E-01
1.800E+04	4.052E-03	4.052E-03	4.3135-03	4 313E-03	7.214E-03	7.214E-03	4 . 744E-Ö2	4.744E-02
2.000£+04	2.492E-04	2.492E-04	2.766E-04	2.763E-04	5.658E-04	6.657E-04	1.867E-02	1.868E-02
SS : Series CIS : Contou	s Solution Ir Integratio	n Soletion						

## 6.3 DISCUSSION OF CASE 7: TWO-DIMENSIONAL TRANSPORT OF Tc-99 IN FRACTURE AND ROCK MATRIX

The results reported in this section illustrate the application of the series solution to a twodimensional problem dealing with the migration of Tc-99 in a system of parallel fractures, where the concentration distribution at inlet is simulated by a set of three finite line sources and subject to a step release mode. In this instance, fluid flow is assumed to be in a direction normal to the upstream boundary; longitudinal dispersion effects are ignored. Concentration, mass flux, and cumulative mass flux in the fracture, as well as the concentration in the rock matrix, are computed at observation points located along lines downstream from the upstream boundary and parallel to it. A list of the input parameters referred to this problem is reported in Table 6-7.

The simulation time for all the investigated cases corresponds to 5 x 10<sup>4</sup> years. Figures 6-5a, 6-5b, 6-5c, and 6-5d show the relative concentration, mass flux, mass flux vector, and cumulative mass flux profiles at various distances. Corresponding tabulated results are given in Tables 6-8a, 6-8b, 6-8c, and 6-8d. Note that the concentration gradient in the transverse direction of the fracture, which is relatively important at short axial distances from the source, becomes smaller with increasing distances. As far as the lateral extent of the plume is concerned, the magnitude is greater at large distances from the source. This is a logical consequence of the large effective dispersion time which, in this instance, is proportional to the distance x and inversely proportional to the velocity u. Similar conclusions may be drawn for the mass flux and cumulative mass flux results.

Figures 6-5e, 6-5f, and 6-5g show the relative concentration profiles in the rock matrix at elevations of 0.1 m, 0.5 m, and 1.0 m. Tabulated results are given in Tables 6-8e, 6-8f, and 6-8g. The migration mechanism of Tc-99 in the rock matrix downstream from the source which is solely due to the diffusive effects is proportional to the concentration gradient prevailing at the fracture walls and the effective diffusive time given by  $t_{eff} = t - x/u$ . This suggests that either at short distances x from the source or for large velocities, the effective diffusive time is likely to enhance the migration process to the rock matrix.

Boundary Type	AFPS
Initial Concentration A° (arbitrary unit of activity/L <sup>3</sup> )	1
Species	Tc-99
Type of Release Mode	Step
u (m/yr)	2
v (m/yr)	0
$D_{xx} (m^2/yr)$	0
$D_{yy} (m^2/yr)$	5
$D_{yy}$ (m <sup>2</sup> /yr)	0.0
D <sub>e</sub> (m <sup>2</sup> /yr)	10.2
φ	$10^{-2}$
L(m)	1.0
b (m)	$5 \ge 10^{-3}$
R	10
R'	100
t (yr)	5 x 104
T <sub>1/2</sub> (yr)	2.13 x 10 <sup>5</sup>
d (m)	10
y <sub>1</sub> (m)	100
y <sub>2</sub> (m)	200
y <sub>3</sub> (m)	300

Table 6-7. Input Parameters Used in Simulation of Tc-99 in Fracture and Rock Matrix for Case 7



Figure 6-5a. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source)



Figure 6-5b. Relative Mass Flux Profiles for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source)



Figure 6-5c. Mass Flux Vector of Tc-99 at Discrete Points in the Fracture at z = 0 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source)



Figure 6-5d. Relative Cumulative Mass Flux Profiles for Tc-99 in the Fracture at z = 0 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source)

Case 7 Results: Relative Concentration in the Fracture (A/A<sup> $\circ$ </sup>) of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 5 x 10<sup>4</sup> yr (Multiple Patch Source) Table 6-8a.

stance x-Axis 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
---

400	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.80045E-05 0.45706E-05 0.91901E-04
350	0.00000E+00 0.83543E-10 0.12844E-01 0.20708E-01 0.21058E-03
325	0.00000E+00 0.19783E-02 0.81219E-01 0.31031E-01 0.27449E-03
300	0.84984E+00 0.44234E+00 0.15019E+00 0.38034E-01 0.32931E-03
275	0.00000E+00 0.19783E-02 0.81812E-01 0.40523E-01 0.36965E-03
250	0.00000E+00 0.16709E-09 0.25688E-01 0.41038E-01 0.39511E-03
225	0.00000E+00 0.19783E-02 0.81812E-01 0.41934E-01 0.40839E-03
Ē	0.0000
	100

Case 7 Results: X-Component of Relative Mass Flux in the Fracture  $(F_x/A^\circ)$  (m/yr) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Multiple Patch Source) Table 6-8b.

UISTANCE				רמוכו			·	
Along X-AXIS (m)	0	ß	75	100	125	150	175	200
0.0	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.16997E+01	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+C0	0.00000E+00	0.16997E+01
10.0	0.00000E+00	0.16709E-09	0.39565E-02	0.88468E+00	0.39565E-02	0.33417E-09	0.395655~02	0.88468E+00
100.0	0.16009E-04	0.25688E-01	0.16244E+00	0.30037E+00	0.16362E+00	0.51376E-01	0.16362E+00	0.30039E+00
50.0	0.91411E-02	0.41416E-01	0.62062E-01	0.76068E-01	0.81047E-01	0.82077E-01	0.83869E-01	0.85163E-01
1000.0	0.18380E-03	0.42115E-03	0.54898E-03	0.65862E-03	0.73930E-03	0.79021E-03	0.81678E-03	0.82485E-03

Distance			Lateral Dis	stance y (m)			
Along X-AXIS (m)	225	250	275	300	325	350	400
0.0	0. 00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.16997E+01	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00
10.0	0.39565E-02	0.33417E-09	0.39565E-02	0.88468E+00	0.39565E-02	0.16709E-09	0.00000E+00
100.0	0.16362E+00	0.51376E-01	0.16362E+00	C. 30037E+00	0.16244E+00	0.25688E-01	0.16009E-04
500.0	0.83869E-01	0.82077E-01	0.31047E-01	0.76068E-01	0.62062E-01	0.41416E-01	0.91411E-02
1000.0	0.81678E-03	0.79021E-03	0.73930E-03	0.65862E-03	0.54898É-03	0.42115E-03	0.18380E-03

Case 7 Results: Y-Component of Relative Mass Flux in the Fracture  $(F_y/A^\circ)$  (m/yr) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  (Multiple Patch Source) Table 6-8c.

Distance Along x-Axis				Later	al Distance	( <b>u</b> )		
(E)	0	50	75	100	125	150	175	200
0.0 10.0 500.0 1000.0	0.0000E+00 0.00000E+00 -0.74314E-10 -0.99371E-05 -0.20985E-06	0.00000E+00 -0.37829E-19 -0.95598E-04 -0.10545E-03 -0.10545E-03	0.00000E+00 -0.10152E-04 -0.19109E-02 -0.13614E-03 -0.79083E-06	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 -0.13943E-05 -0.82693E-04	0.00000E+00 0.10152E-04 0.18827E-02 -0.17755E-04 -0.57235E-06	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 -0.16103E-11 -0.11022E-04	0.00000E+00 -0.10152E-04 -0.18827E-02 -0.21179E-04 -0.16051E-06	0.00006+00 0.00006+00 0.000006+00 0.000006+00 0.000006+00

I		1885 ñ 8
	400	0.0000E+C 0.0000E+C 0.74314E-1 0.93371E-C 0.20985E-C
(8)	350	0.00000E+00 0.37829E-19 0.95598E-04 0.10545E-03 0.65550E-06
al Distance y	325	6.00000E+00 0.10152E-04 0.19109E-02 0.13614E-03 0.79083E-06
Later	300	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.13943E-05 0.82693E-04 0.75126E-06
	275	0.00000E+00 -0.10152E-04 -0.18827E-02 0.17755E-04 0.57235E-06
	250	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.16103E-11 0.11022E-04 0.35286E-06
	225	0.00000E+00 0.10152E-04 0.18827E-02 0.21179E-04 0.16051E-06
Distance Along x-Axis	( <b>u</b> )	0.0 10.0 500.0 1000.0

Case 7 Results: Relative Cumulative Mass Flux in the Fracture ( $M/A^{\circ}$ ) of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 5 x 10<sup>4</sup> yr (Multiple Patch Source) Table 6-8d.

Distance			La	iteral Distan	ce y (m)			
Along x-Axis (m)	0	50	75	100	125	150	175	200
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.75635E+00 0.11507E+03 0.83547E+00	0.00000E+00 0.22269E-04 0.11213E+04 0.51944E+03 0.19126E+01	0.00000E+00 0 0.31258E+03 0 0.69374E+04 0 0.77769E+03 0 0.24926E+01 0	0.84984E+05 0 46961E+05 0 12732E+05 0 912732E+05 0 95286E+03 0 929900E+01 0	00000E+00 31258E+03 69851E+04 10151E+04 33560E+01	0.00000E+00 0.17739E-04 0.21778E+04 0.10280E+04 0.35870E+04	0.00000E+00 0.31258E+03 0.69851E+04 0.10505E+04 0.37076E+04	0.84984E+05 0.46961E+05 0.12733E+05 0.12733E+05 0.10667E+04 0.37442E+0
Distance Along x-Axis		· · ·	Ļ	ateral Distan	cey(m)			
	225	250	275	300	325	350	400	
0.0	0.00000E+00 0.31258E+03	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00 0 0.31258E+03 0	).84984E+05 C 0.46961E+05 C	0,00000E+00 31258E+03	0.00000E+00 0.22269E-04	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00	
100.0 500.0 1000.0	0.69851E+04 0.10505E+04 0.37076E+01	0.21778E+04 0.10280E+04 0.35870E+01	0.69851E+04 ( 0.10151E+04 ( 0.33560E+01 (	0.12732E+05 C 0.95286E+03 C 0.29900E+01 C	). 69374E+04 ). 77769E+03 ). 24926E+01	0.11213E+04 0.51944E+03 0.19126E+01	0.75635E+00 0.11507E+03 0.83547E+00	



Figure 6-5e. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 0.1 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source)



Figure 6-5f. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 0.5 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source)



Figure 6-5g. Relative Concentration Profiles for Tc-99 in the Rock Matrix at z = 1.0 m and  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr (Case 7: Multiple Patch Source)

Case 7 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix ( $B/A^{\circ}$ ) of Species Tc-99 at Time t = 5 x 104 yr and z = 0.1 m (Multiple Patch Source) Table 6-8e.

-

	200	0.84984E+00 0.44234E+00 0.15013E+00 0.40851E-01 0.36676E-03
	175	0.00000E+00 0.19783E-02 0.81776E-01 0.40230E-01 0.36317E-03
	150	0.0000E+00 0.16708E-09 0.25677E-01 0.33371E-01 0.35136E-03
ance y (m)	125	0.00000E+00 0.19783E-02 0.81776E-01 0.38877E-01 0.32872E-03
ateral Dista	100	0.84984E+00 0.84984E+00 0.15012E+00 0.36489E-01 0.36489E-01 0.29285E-03
-	75	0.00000E+00 0.19783E-02 0.81183E-01 0.29770E-01 0.24410E-03
	50	0.00000E+00 0.83542E-10 0.12836E-01 0.19867E-01 0.18726E-03
	0	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.80010E-05 0.43848E-02 C.81726E-04
Distance	Along x-Axis (m)	0 0 100 0 500 0 1000 0 1000 0

	400	0 0.00000E+00 0 0.00000E+00 1 0.80010E-05 1 0.43848E-02 3 0.81726E-04
	350	0.00000E+0 0.83542E-1 0.12838E-0 0.19867E-0 0.18726E-0
ance y (m)	325	0.00000E+09 0.19783E-02 0.81183E-01 0.29770E-01 0.2410E-03
ateral Dista	300	0.84984E+00 0.44234E+00 0.15012E+00 0.36489E-01 0.29285E-03
	275	0.00000E+00 0.19733E-02 0.81776E-01 0.38877E-01 0.32877E-03
	250	0.00000E+00 0.16708E-09 0.25677E-01 0.39371E-01 9.35136E-03
	225	0.00000E+00 0.19783E-02 0.81776E-01 0.40230E-01 0.36317E-03
Distance	Along x-Axis	0.0 10.0 500.0 1000.0

Table 6-8f.

Case 7 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix (B/A°) of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr and z = 0.5 m (Multiple Patch Source)

Lateral Distance y (m)	0 50 75 100 125 150 175 200	0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.84983E+00 0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.84983E+00 0.00000E+00 0.83541E-10 0.19782E-02 0.44233E+00 0.19782E-02 0.16708E-09 0.19782E-02 0.44233E+00 0.79888E-05 0.12819E=01 0.81060E-01 0.14989E+00 0.81652E-01 0.25638E-01 0.81652E-01 0.14990E+00 0.38077E-02 0.17252E-01 0.25852E-01 0.31686E-01 0.33760E-01 0.34189E-01 0.81652E-01 0.14990E+00 0.53000E-04 0.12144E-03 0.15830E-03 0.18991E-03 0.21318E-03 0.22786E-03 0.23552E-03 0.23785E-03	Lateral Distance y (m)	225 250 275 300 275 <u>arc</u>
Bistance Along x-Axis		0.0 10.0 500.0 1000.0 1000.0	Distance Along x-Axis	(m)

000		0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00 0.79888E-05 0.38077E-02 0.53000E-04
350	2	0.00000E+00 0.83541E-10 0.12819E-01 0.17252E-01 0.17252E-01
325		0.00000E+00 0.19782E-02 0.81060E-01 0.25852E-01 0.25852E-01
300		0.84983E+00 0.44233E+00 0.14989E+00 0.31686E-01 0.18991E-03
275		0.00000E+00 0.19782E-02 0.81652E-01 0.33760E-01 0.21318E-03
250		0.00000E+00 0.16708E-09 0.25638E-01 0.34189E-01 0.2786E-03
225		0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
(m)		0.0 10.0 500.0 1000.0

Case 7 Results: Relative Concentration in the Rock Matrix  $(B/A^{\circ})$  of Species Tc-99 at Time  $t = 5 \times 10^4$  yr and z = 1.0 m (Multiple Patch Source) Table 6-8g.

Distance Along x-Axis			Ľ	ateral Distan	cey(m)			
Alorig A-AXIS (B)	()	20	75	100	125	150	175	200
0.0	0.00000E+00	0.000005+00	0.00000E+00	0.84983E+00 C	000000E+00	0.00000E+00 0.16708E-09	0.00000E+00 0.19782E-02	0.84983E+00 0.44233E+00
100.0 100.0	0.79828E-05	0.12809E-01	0.80999E-01	0.14978E+00 0	. 81591E-01	0.25618E-01	0.81591E-01	0.14979E+00
500.0	0.35505E-02	0.16086E-01	0.24105E-01	0.29546E-01 ( 0.14887E-03 (	.31479E-01	0.31880E-01 0 17862E-03	0.325/bE-01 0.18462E-03	0.330/8E-01 0.18645E-03
1000.0	0.4154bt-04	N-JCSICS 0	0.124035-03					
Distance			- <b>-</b>	ateral Distar	ice y (m)			• ,
Along x-Axis (m)	225	250	275	300	325	350	400	
0.0	0,00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.00000E+00	0.84983E+00 (	. 00000E+00	0. COOOOE+00	0.00000E+00	
10.0	0.10782E-02	0.16708E-09	0.19782E-02	0.44233E+00 (	0.19782E-02	0.83541E-10	0.00000E+00	
100.0	0.81591E-01	0.25618E-01	0.81591E-01	0.14978E+00 (	).80999E-01	0.12809E-01	C. 73828E-U5	
500.0	0.32576E-01	0.31880E-01	0.31479E-01	0.29546E-01 (	0.24:05E-01	0.16086E-01	0.3350ddc	
1000.0	0.18462E-03	0.17862E-03	0. 167 11E - 03	0.14887E-03 (	). 12409E-U3	0.95145104	0.41240E-04	

197**/198**
#### 7.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Analytical solutions have been derived for predicting the two-dimensional transport of a radionuclide with no precursor in a single planar fracture as well as a system of parallel fractures coupled with the one-dimensional diffusive transport in the rock matrix. The solution for the mass and cumulative mass flux in the fracture and the longitudinal dispersion-free form of these solutions have also been investigated.

The solutions related to the fracture are designed for an unbounded medium (semi-infinite in the axial direction and infinite in the lateral direction); solutions related to the rock matrix consider a semi-infinite medium for the case of single fracture and a finite domain for the multiple fracture case. The initial concentration in both the fracture and the rock is assumed to be zero. The geometry and concentrations of the waste form at the source correspond to a finite patch (or multiple patch) or a Gaussian distributed source subject to decay in all cases. Furthermore, the radionuclide release modes considered in this study focus on the step and band release modes.

Two sets of solutions for the multiple parallel fracture case were derived; one based on a series approximation and the other based on contour integration are ideally suited to cope with small and large Fourier numbers, respectively. In particular, the new solution for the multiple parallel fracture case related to the rock matrix improved considerably the viability of its numerical evaluation by way of bypassing the restrictions inherent to a convolution-based solution.

An efficient transformation technique of the integration interval encountered in these solutions was derived, guaranteeing maximum stability and convergence. The Gauss-Legendre quadrature scheme was adopted to perform the integration. Note that in the multiple parallel fracture case, an efficient iterative quadrature scheme was devised to take care of the oscillatory nature of the integrand encountered in the solution based on contour integration.

In the case of the single fracture, the reported solutions were verified by means of available oneand two-dimensional analytical solutions; in the multiple parallel fracture case, the verification process was accomplished after comparing the results yielded by the two newly developed solutions, thus providing reasonable confidence to prospective users in utilizing them for verifying numerical codes.

Although overlooking longitudinal dispersion effects may lead to slightly conservative predictions both in terms of the maximum concentration in the system and the local concentration of a nuclide, yet the enhancement of the computational viability of the reported solutions in such a case cannot be underestimated. The need for a quadrature scheme is eliminated, except for the solution based on contour integration. These solutions also may be conveniently used for assessing the longterm geohydrochemical performance of a high-level nuclear waste repository located in fractured geologic media subjected to a water intrusion scenario.

199/200

#### 8.0 REFERENCES

Abramowitz, M., and I. A. Stegun, 1972. <u>Handbook of Mathematical Functions</u>, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, NY.

Ahn, J., P. L. Chambré, and T. H. Pigford, 1985. <u>Nuclide Migration Through a Planar Fissure of the Matrix Diffusion</u>, LBL-19249, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Nuclear Effective ing, University of California, Berkeley, CA.

Ahn, J., P. L. Chambré, and T. H. Pigford, 1986. <u>Radionuclide Migration Through Fractured Rock:</u> <u>Effects of Multiple Fractures and Two-Member Decay Chains</u>, Earth Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA.

Babcock, R. E., D. W. Green, and R. H. Perry, 1966. "Longitudinal Dispersion Mechanisms in Packed Beds," <u>A.I.Ch.E. Journal</u>, Vol. 12, No. 5, p. 922.

Barker, J. A., 1982. "Laplace Transform Solutions for Solute Transport in Fissured Aquifers," Advanced Water Resources, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 98-104.

Bear, J., 1972. Dynamics of Fluid in Porous Media, American Elsevier Publishing Co., New York, NY.

Carnahan, B., H. A. Luther, and J. O. Wilkes, 1969 <u>Applied Numerical Methods</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, NY.

Carslaw, H. S., and J. C. Jaeger, 1958. <u>Conduction of Heat in Solids</u>, 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK.

Chambré, P. L., T. H. Pigford, A. Fujita, T. Kanki, A. Kobayashi, H. Lung, D. Ting, Y. Sato, and S. J. Zavoshy, 1982. <u>Analytical Performance Models for Geologic Repositories</u> Vol. II, LBL-14842, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA.

Chen, C. S., 1986. "Solutions for Radionuclide Transport From an Injection Well into a Single Fracture in a Porous Formation," <u>Water Resources Research</u>, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp. 508-518.

Cody, W. J., 1969. "Rational Chebyshev Approximation for the Error Functions," <u>Mathematics of</u> Computation, Vol. 23, No. 107, pp. 631-637.

Davis, G. B., and C. D. Johnston, 1984. "Comment on 'Contaminant Transport in Fractured Porous Media: Analytical Solutions for a System of Parallel Fractures," <u>Water Resources Research</u>, Vol. 20, No. 9, pp. 1321-1322.

Foglia, M., F. Iwameto, M. Harada, P. L. Chambre, and T. H. Pigford, 1979. "The Superposition Equation for the Band Release of Decaying Radionuclides Through Sorbing Media," UCB-NE-3335, University of California at Berkeley, <u>ANS</u> Transactions, Volume 33, pp. 384-386.

Gradshteyn, I. S., and I. M. Ryzhik, 1980. <u>Table of Integrals, Series, and Products</u>, Academic Press, New York, NY, p. 1160.

Grisak, G. E., and J. F. Pickens, 1981. "An Analytical Solution for Solute Transport Through Fracture Media with Matrix Diffusion," *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 52, pp. 47-57. Gureghian, A.B., 1987. <u>Analytical Solutions for Multidimensional Transport of a Four-Member</u> <u>Radionuclide Decay Chain in Ground Water</u>, BMI/OCRD-25, Office of Crystalline Repository Development, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH, p. 162.

Hodgkinson, D. P., and P. R. Maul, 1985. <u>One-Dimensional Modelling of Radionuclide Migration</u> <u>Through Permeable and Fractured Rock of Arbitrary Length Decay Chains Using Numerical</u> Inversion of Laplace Transforms, AERE-11889, AERE-Harwell, Oxfordshire, UK, p. 36.

Kanki, T., A. Fujita, P. L. Chambre, and T. H. Pigford, 1981. <u>Transport of Radionuclides Through</u> <u>Fractured Media</u>, UCB-NE-4009, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Earth Sciences Division, Berkeley, CA.

Moreno, L., and A. Rasmuson, 1986. "Contaminant Transport Through a Fractured Porous Rock: Impact of the Inlet Boundary Condition on the Concentration Profile in the Rock Matrix," <u>Water</u> <u>Resources Research</u>, Vol. 22, No. 12, pp. 1728-1730.

Neretnieks, I., 1980. "Diffusion in the Rock Matrix: An Important Factor in Radionuclide Retardation?" Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 85, pp. 4379-4397.

Neretnieks, I., T. Eriksen, and P. Tahtinen, 1982. "Tracer Movement in a Single Fissure in Granitic Rock: Some Experimental Results and Their Interpretation," <u>Water Resources Research</u>, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 849-858.

Rasmuson, A., and I. Neretnieks, 1980. "Exact Solution of a Model for Diffusion in Particles and Longitudinal Dispersion in Packed Beds," <u>A.I.Ch.E. Journal</u>, Vol. 26, pp. 686-690.

Rasmuson, A., and I. Neretnieks, 1981. "Migration of Radionuclides in Fissured Rock: The Influence of Micropore Diffusion and Longitudinal Dispersion," <u>Journal of Geophysical Research</u>, Vol. 86, pp. 3749-3758.

Rasmuson, A., and I. Neretnieks, 1986. "Radionuclide Transport in Fast Channels in Crystalline Rock," Water Resources Research, Vol. 22, pp. 1247-1256.

Rasmuson, A., 1984. "Migration of Radionuclides in Fissured Rock: Analytical Solutions for the Case of Constant Source Strength," <u>Water Resources Research</u>, Vol. 20, No. 10, pp. 1435-1442.

Rickert, P. G., R. G. Strickert, and M. G. Seitz, 1979. <u>Nuclide Migration in Fractured Porous Rock</u>, American Chemical Society, pp. 167-197.

Rosen, J. B., 1952. "Kinetics of a Fixed Bed System for Solid Diffusion into Spherical Particles," Journal of Chemical Physics, Vol. 20, p. 387.

Skopp, J., and A. W. Warrick, 1974. "A Two-Phase Model for the Miscible Displacement of Reactive Solutes in Soils," <u>Soil Science Society of America Proceedings</u>, Vol. 38, No. 4, pp. 545-550.

Sneddon, L. N., 1951. Fourier Transforms, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, NY.

Stroud, A. H., and D. Secrest, 1966. <u>Gaussian Quadrature Formulas</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Sudicky, E. A., and E. O. Frind, 1982. "Contaminant Transport in Fractured Porous Media: Analytical Solution for a System of Parallel Fractures," <u>Water Resources Research</u>, Vol. 18, No. 6, pp. 1634-1642. Sudicky, E. A., and E. O. Frind, 1984. "Contaminant Transport in Fractured Porous Media: Analytical Solution for a Two-Member Decay Chain in a Single Fracture," <u>Water Resources Research</u>, Vol. 20, No. 7, pp. 1021-1029.

Tang, D. H., E. O. Frind, and E. A. Sudicky, 1981. "Contaminant Transport in Fractured Porous Media: Analytical Solution for a Single Fracture," <u>Water Resources Research</u>, Vol. 17, No. 3, pp. 555-565.

Van Genuchten, M. T., D. H. Tang, and R. Guennelon, 1984. "Some Exact Solutions for Solute Transport Through Soils Containing Large Cylindrical Macropores," <u>Water Resources Research</u>, Vol. 20, pp. 335-346.

203/204

## APPENDIX A

#### THEOREMS, LAPLACE TRANSFORMS, AND DERIVATIVES

In this appendix, a selected number of theorems, inverse Laplace transforms, and derivatives is reported.

#### A.1 THEOREMS

The operations for the Laplace transformation reported in Chapters 1 to 4 require, in some cases, the use of the following theorems.

## A.1.1 Translation

$$L^{-1}\left[e^{-bs}f(s)\right] = F(t-b) U(t-b), b > 0$$
 (A.1-1)

where U(t) is the Heaviside unit step function defined as

$$U(t) = \frac{0, t < 0}{1, t > 0}$$

A.1.2 Linear Transformation

$$L^{-1}[f(s-a)] = e^{at} F(t)$$
 (A.1-2)

A.1.3 Differentiation

$$L^{-1}$$
sf(s) - F(+0) = F'(t) (A.1-3a)

$$L^{-1} s^{n} f(s) - L^{-1} s^{n-1} F(+0) - L^{-1} s^{n-2} F'(+0) - \dots - F^{(n-1)}(+0) = F^{(n)}(t)$$
(A.1-3b)

## A.1.4 Convolution or Faltung

$$L^{-1}[f_1(s) + f_2(s)] = \int_0^t F_1(t-t) F_2(t) = F_1^* F_2$$
 (A.1-4)

## A.2 LAPLACE TRANSFORMS

	f(s)	F(t)	
· · ·	$\frac{e^{-a\sqrt{s}}}{s}$	$= \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}}{2\sqrt{\mathrm{t}}}\right)$	(A.2-1)
	$\frac{e^{-a\sqrt{s}}}{\sqrt{s}}$	$=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}}\exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{4t}\right)$	(A.2-2)
	$e^{-a\sqrt{s}}$	$=\frac{a}{2\sqrt{\pi t^3}}\exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{4t}\right)$	(A.2-3)
	$\frac{1}{s^n}$ , $n > 0$	$=\frac{t^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)}$	(A.2-4)
	$\frac{1}{s-ic}\cosh(bs^{1/2})\operatorname{sech}(as^{1/2})$	$) = e^{ict} \cosh[b(ic)^{1/2}] \operatorname{sech}[a(ic)^{1/2}]$	
	a > b > 0	$-2\pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (n+1/2) [(n+1/2)^2 n^2 + ica^2]^{-1}$	
		$\cos[(n+1/2)\pi b/a] \exp[-(n+1/2)^2 \pi^2 t/a]$	(A.2-5)

#### A.3 LAPLACE INVERSION OF sn + 1/2

Because the inverse Laplace transform of  $s^{n+1/2}$  for positive values of n is not reported in standard tables, the derivation of this may be useful to the reader. The gamma function (see Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972) defined as

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$$
 (A.3-1)

where z is the complex variable, is single valued and analytic over the entire complex plane with the exception of negative integers.

For positive values of the real part of z (i.e., x) Equation A.3-1 becomes

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{x}-1} \, \mathrm{e}^{-t} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \tag{A.3.2}$$

and the characteristic equation is given by

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{x}+1) = \mathbf{x}\,\Gamma(\mathbf{x}) \tag{A.3-3}$$

It may be easily shown that

$$\Gamma(-\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\Gamma(1-\mathbf{x})}{(-\mathbf{x})}, \, \mathbf{x} \neq 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (A.3-4)

The Laplace transform of t - n - 3/2 may be written as

$$L\{t^{-n-3/2}\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} t^{-n-3/2} dt \qquad (A.3.5)$$

Substitution of s = u/t yields

$$L\{t^{-n-3/2}\} = \frac{1}{s^{-n-1/2}} \int_0^\infty u^{-n-3/2} e^{-u} du$$
 (A.3.6)

Hence, from Equation A.3-2, we have

$$L^{-1} s^{n+1/2} = \frac{t^{-n-3/2}}{\Gamma(-n-1/2)}$$
(A.3-7)

Using the relation given by Equation A.3-4 and with the knowledge of  $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ , we may derive  $\Gamma(-n-1/2)$  through a recurrence formula. Thus it follows for n = 0

$$\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = -2\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -2\sqrt{\pi}$$
(A.3-8a)

n = 1

$$\Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = (-1)^2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)(2)\sqrt{\pi} = \frac{4}{3}\sqrt{\pi}$$
 (A.3.8b)

n = 2

$$\Gamma\left(-\frac{5}{2}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{5}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) = (-1)^3\left(\frac{2}{5}\right)\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)(2)\sqrt{\pi} = -\frac{8}{15}\sqrt{\pi}$$
(A.3-8c)

n = p

$$\Gamma\left(-p-\frac{1}{2}\right) = (-1)^{p+1} \left(\frac{2}{2p+1}\right) \left(\frac{2}{2p-1}\right) \dots \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) (2) \sqrt{\pi}$$
(A.3-8d)

The above expressions may also be written as

$$\Gamma\left(-p-\frac{1}{2}\right) = (-1)^{p+1} \sqrt{\pi} \prod_{i=0}^{p} \left(\frac{2}{1+2i}\right) .$$
 (A.3-9)

Hence Equation A.3-7 becomes

$$L^{-1} s^{n+1/2} (-1)^{n+1} = \frac{t^{-n-3/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \prod_{i=0}^{n} \left(\frac{1+2i}{2}\right), n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
(A.3-10)

## A.4 Nth DERIVATIVE OF ERROR FUNCTION

The Nth derivative of the error function (see Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972) is given by

$$\frac{d^{n+1}}{dz^{n+1}} \{ erf(z) \} = (-1)^n \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} H_n(z) e^{-z^2}, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
(A.4-1)

where  $H_n$  are the Hermite polynomials. A list of the first six polynomials is given hereafter.

$$H_{0} = 1$$

$$H_{1}(z) = 2z$$

$$H_{2}(z) = 4z^{2} - 2$$

$$H_{3}(z) = 8z^{3} - 12z$$

$$H_{4}(z) = 16z^{4} - 48z^{2} + 12$$

$$H_{5}(z) = 32z^{5} - 160z^{3} + 120z$$

$$H_{6}(z) = 64z^{6} - 480z^{4} + 720z^{2} - 120$$

The recurrence relation (see Schiff, 1950) is given by

$$H_{n+1}(z) = 2zH_n(z) - 2nH_{n-1}(z), n > 0$$

<u>References</u>

Abramowitz, M., and I. A. Stegun, 1972. <u>Handbook of Mathematical Functions</u>, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, NY.

Schiff, L. I., 1950. Quantum Mechanics, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.

#### APPENDIX B

#### QUADRATURE

The various solutions presented in this report require for the most part the evaluation of integrals. An exception is made in the case of the longitudinal dispersion-free solution associated with the single fracture case (Chapter 3) and the series-based solution for the parallel fracture case (Chapter 4). The integrals associated with the general solution of Equations 2-10 and 2-11 which emerge from the consideration of longitudinal dispersion effects or result from the numerical inversion of the Laplace transform show some similarity with respect to their limits  $(0, \infty)$ . However, because of the distinct nature of their respective integrands the techniques used to approximate the integration over finite subintervals are quite different. Because of the remarkable convergence properties of the Gaussian integration formulas (see Stroud and Secrest, 1966), the Gauss-Legendre quadrature scheme has been adopted in this work.

## B.1 TRANSFORMATION FOR INFINITE INTEGRAL ASSOCIATED WITH THE PRESENCE OF LONGITUDINAL DISPERSION

The integral of interest has the following form

$$I = \int_0^\infty f(x) dx \qquad (B.1-1)$$

when the dependent variable of the integrand corresponds in our case to  $\sigma$  (see Equations 3-42, 3-44, 3-58, and 4-4). Hence by virtue of the properties inherent to the Heaviside function (see Equations 2-21), the lower limit, say  $\sigma_{\min}$ , must meet the following criterion

$$t \ge R x \tag{B.1-2}$$

Substitution for  $\chi$  given by Equation 3-22d. The potential lower limit may then be written as

$${}_{1}\sigma_{\min} = \frac{x}{2} \left(\frac{R}{D_{xx}t}\right)^{1/2} . \tag{B.1-3}$$

The choice of the upper and lower limits of integration are also dictated by the condition that the maximum absolute value of the exponential argument appearing in Equation 3-22a written as

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},\sigma) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left[-\left(\sigma - \frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]$$
(B.1-4)

with

$$\gamma = \frac{ux}{4D_{xx}}$$
(B.1-5)

should always be less than or equal to the one allowed by the computer, say ARG. Hence equating the latter with the argument of the exponential form in Equation B.1-4, we obtain

$$\sigma^{4} - (ARG + 2\gamma)\sigma^{2} + \gamma^{2} = 0$$
 (B.1-6)

where the roots of the above equation are given by

$$\sigma_{\max}^{2} = \frac{(ARG + 2\gamma) + \left[ (ARG + 2\gamma)^{2} - 4\gamma^{2} \right]^{1/2}}{2}$$
(B.1-7)

$${}_{2}\sigma_{\min}^{2} = \frac{(ARG + 2\gamma) - \left[(ARG + 2\gamma)^{2} - 4\gamma^{2}\right]^{1/2}}{2}$$
(B.1-8)

Note that both roots are positive. The potential values for the integration limits given by Equations B.1-7 and B.1-8 are now used to extract suitable upper  $(\sigma_{UL})$  and lower limits  $(\sigma_{LL})$ . The integration domain is split over two finite intervals, i.e.,  $[\sigma_{LL}, \sigma_{IN}]$  and  $[\sigma_{IN}, \sigma_{UL}]$ , where  $\sigma_{IN}$  will correspond to the value of  $\sigma$  in Equation B.1-6 when function  $\psi(x, \sigma)$  (see Equation 3-22a) is a maximum;

$$\sigma_{\rm IN} = \gamma^{1/2}, \sigma_{\rm LL} < \gamma^{1/2} < \sigma_{\rm UL} \qquad (B.1-9)$$

If the above condition is not satisfied, then

$$\sigma_{\rm IN} = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{\rm LL} + \sigma_{\rm UL}), \gamma^{1/2} < \sigma_{\rm LL} \text{ or } \gamma^{1/2} > \sigma_{\rm UL}$$
 (B.1-10)

where

$$\sigma_{LL} = MAX \left[ \sigma_{\min}, 2\sigma_{\min} \right]$$
(B.1-11a)

$$\sigma_{\rm UL} = MAX \left[ {}_1 \sigma_{\rm min}, \sigma_{\rm max} \right]$$
(B.1-11b)

-

Results yielded by this method proved to be very satisfactory, however, at the expense of an additional computational effort.

#### **B.2 PARALLEL FRACTURE CASE**

For the sake of simplicity, the discussion presented in this section is focused on the longitudinal dispersion-free form of the transport equation given by Equation 2-10. However, it may easily be extended to the general form of the transport equation.

The various integrands  $G(\eta,t)$  associated with the solution of the parallel fracture case resulting from the the numerical inversion of the Laplace transform by means of contour integration may be looked upon as one corresponding to the product of two functions  $g_1(\eta)$  and  $g_2(\eta,t)$  written as

$$G = g_1(\eta) + g_0(\eta, t) \tag{B.2-1}$$

where

$$g_1(\eta) = \exp(\beta_r)/\eta$$
 (Fracture, Mass Flux, and Cumulative Mass Flux) (B.2-2a)

$$g_{1}(\eta) = \sum_{m=1}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{2} \frac{\exp(\overline{\beta}_{r})}{\delta_{1} \eta}$$
(Rock Matrix) (B.2-2b)

and

$$g_{2}(\eta,t) = \sin(\overline{\gamma}'_{e}) + \sin(v - \overline{\gamma}'_{e})$$
 (Fracture, Mass Flux) (B.2-3a)

$$g_{2}(\eta,t) = \sin(\overline{\gamma'}_{\ell}) + \sin(\nu - \overline{\gamma'}_{\ell}) \text{ (Rock Matrix)}$$
(B.2-3b)

$$g_{2}(r_{1},t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} I_{k} \text{ (Cumulative Mass Flux)}$$
(B.2-3c)

where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are given by Equations 5-30c and 5-31b.

Referring to Equations B.2-2 and B.2-3, these correspond to sets of smooth, exponentially decaying and quasi-sinusoidal functions, respectively, which generate rapidly oscillatory integrands (i.e., one with numerous local maxima and minima), however which decay over the range of integration. Figures B-1, B-2, and B-3 show some typical representative curves for  $g_1(\eta)$  and  $g_2(\eta,t)$  and the integrand G obtained for three different values of the variable x, all other parameters being kept constant.



Figure B-1. Variations of Function G( $\eta$ ), g<sub>1</sub>( $\eta$ ), and g<sub>2</sub>( $\eta$ ,t) for x=1 m



ыk

Figure B-2. Variations of Function G( $\eta$ ), g<sub>1</sub>( $\eta$ ), and g<sub>2</sub>( $\eta$ ,t) for x=100 m



Figure B-3. Variations of Function  $G(\eta)$ ,  $g_1(\eta)$ , and  $g_2(\eta,t)$  for x=10,000 m

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE		
	x	1, 100, 104 m
	L	0.32 m
	b	0.005 m
	u	10 m/yr
	v	0 m/yr
	D <sub>xx</sub>	0 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
	D <sub>yy</sub>	0 m²/yr
	D <sub>e</sub>	0.01 m <sup>2</sup> /yr
	R	1.0
	R'	100.0
	φ	0.01
	t	5 x 10 <sup>4</sup> yr

# Table B-1. Parameters Used to Estimate $G(\eta)$ , $g_1(\eta)$ , and $g_2(\eta, t)$

#### **Range of Integration**

Reduction of the infinite interval to a finite interval became mandatory because an initial attempt to evaluate the integral using a Gauss-Legendre quadrature scheme failed to produce acceptable results. A potential upper limit  $(\eta_{UL})$  for the range of integration is computed in our case after equating  $g_1(\eta)$  to a small number (i.e., EPS)\* and using the Newton-Raphson iteration formula. Subsequently, the segment  $[0,\eta_{UL}]$  is subdivided into n unequal intervals (i.e.,  $[0,\eta_1], [\eta_1, \eta_2], ... [\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n]$ ) where the upper limit of each of these is computed using the following relation:

$$\eta_i = \eta_{UL} a b^{i-1}$$
,  $i = 1, 2, 3, ... n$  (B.2-4)

with  $b = \exp - [\ln(a)/n]$  and a = 0.02. This yields geometrically increasing intervals which would allow a highly accurate evaluation of the integrals in the region close to the origin using a modest number of integration points. Their contributions to the anticipated result may be quite significant under some circumstances. In order to optimize the convergence of the integral, a search for a tentative reduction of the integration domain is carried out after performing a quadrature which uses 60 integration points for each interval. Subsequently the contributions of successive intervals are summed up beginning from the last (i.e., nth) and, in the event the absolute ratio of this to the value of the integral is less than 1.E - 06,  $\eta_{n-1}$  is then substituted for  $\eta_{UL}$ , where i denotes the current value of the summation index.

Because of the oscillatory nature of the aforementioned integrand, a composite iterative Gauss-Legendre quadrature scheme was adopted with  $(\eta_{-i1}, \eta_i)$  split initially into m = 2 subintervals and increased by a factor of 1.5 ( $m \ge = 1000$ ) or 1.2 (m > 1000) at subsequent iterations, using at all times 20 Gaussian points. Note that care is taken to round off the value of m to the nearest integer whenever required. The convergence test is initiated at the end of the second iteration (see below). The selection of the initial value for m for subsequent intervals is taken to correspond to the one registered at the step of the iteration process prior to the last marking the convergence of the preceding interval. The maximum allowed value for m in the FRACFLO code corresponds to 750; when past this mark, iteration can no longer be performed. Note that in the case of the longitudinal dispersion free form of the transport equation the integration range is split into 25 intervals; however, due to the severe execution time constraint imposed by the solution of the general form of the transport equation, a maximum of 12 intervals was adopted in such an instance. In addition, the iterative quadrature scheme was

\* EPS = 10<sup>-6</sup> except in the case of the fracture, where it follows that for  $.01 < \epsilon/2\sqrt{t-R_{\chi}} < .1$ , EPS = 0.01  $\epsilon/2\sqrt{t-R_{\chi}} < .01$ , EPS = 0.02.

applied only when longitudinal dispersive effects are neglected. However, in the general case an adequate match with results yielded by the series solution was registered using 60 quadrature points for each subinterval.

The convergence criterion may be summarized as follows:

$$|(\mathbf{G}_{i})| = 1.E - 15$$
 (B.2-5)

$$(G_{i})_{n} - (G_{i})_{n-1} = 1.E - 04 \text{ (Absolute Error)}$$
 (B.2-6a)

$$\left| \frac{(G_{i})_{n} - (G_{i})_{n-1}}{(G_{i})_{n}} \right| = 1.E - 03 \text{ (Relative Error)}$$
(B.2-6b)

where subscripts i and n refer to a typical integral and the iteration index, respectively. Note that for a particular integral, convergence is said to have been reached when either Equation B.2-5 or Equations B.2-6a and B.2-6b are satisfied. Convergence is normally witnessed at the end of the second iteration. Exceptions to this norm occur particularly when the integrand displays a high frequency of oscillation and its amplitude decreases very slowly with  $\eta$ . With the contribution of successive intervals summed up during the progress of the quadrature operation, a subsequent convergence test based on a criterion adopted for the series solution (see Equations 4-91 and 4-92) is performed past the 20th interval. This allows a further optimization of computer execution time.

Since the magnitude of parameter  $\varepsilon$  appearing in the expression of the exponential argument  $b_r$  in Equation B.2-1 (see also Equation 5-4a) controls the magnitude of the integration domain, and since parameter t controls the frequency of oscillations, numerical experiments such as the one reported in Table B-2 indicate that for a constant value of the Fourier number, the magnitude of the dimensionless number  $\varepsilon/2\sqrt{t-R_X}$ , which encompasses the two parameters of interest has a critical bearing on the convergence properties of the present quadrature scheme. It may be added that for a constant value of  $\varepsilon/2\sqrt{t-R_X}$ , convergence is almost independent of the Fourier number. Note for example that when 2,500 quadrature points are the minimum required to satisfy convergence in the case of the longitudinal dispersion free form of the transport equation, substantial increases are registered (i.e., 10,420 and 186,340 for Fo = 10; 12,250 and 168,320 for Fo = 408; and 10,120 and 169,420 for Fo = 1666 when the value of  $\varepsilon/2\sqrt{t-R_X}$  drops to 0.1 and 0.005, respectively.

219

Fo	$\epsilon/2\sqrt{t-R_X}$	Quadrature Points
10	1.0	2,200
10	0.1	10,420
10	0.005	186,340 (not converged)
408	1.0	2,500
408	0.1	12,250
408	0.005	168,320 (not converged)
1,666	1.0	3,080
1,666	0.1	10,120
1,666	0.005	169,420 (not converged)

Table B-2. Quadrature Points Required for Selected Range of Values of Fo and  $\epsilon/2\sqrt{t-R_X}\,(D_{xx}\,=\,0)$ 

## **B.3 GAUSS-LEGENDRE QUADRATURE**

In the Gauss-Legendre quadrature scheme, the numerical approximation to a typical integral I is given by

$$I \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)$$
(B.3-1)

where  $w_i$  are the weighting functions and  $x_i$  are the integration points for an n-point Gaussian integration.

The evaluation of the integral may be described as follows. Consider the problem of evaluating

$$I \approx \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \qquad (B.3-2)$$

By Gauss-Legendre quadrature, this is accomplished by making the change of variable from x to z given by

$$z = \frac{2x - a - b}{b - a} \tag{B.3-3}$$

$$x = \frac{1}{2} [(b - a)z + b + a]$$
(B.3-4)

which changes Equation B.3-2 to

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{(b-a)}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} g(z) dz$$
 (B.3-5)

with

$$g(z) = f\left[\frac{1}{2}(b - a)z + \frac{1}{2}(a + b)\right]$$
(B.3-6)

The value of the integral f(x) in the interval -1 and +1 is given by Equation B.3-1. It can be shown that an n-point Gaussian integration can evaluate exactly the integral of a 2n - 1 degree polynomial. Subroutine DGAUSS provides the user with values of roots of the Legendre polynomials as well as the corresponding weight factors for a number of integration points, i.e., 10, 20, 60, 104, and 256. [See Stroud and Secrest (1966), and Carnahan et al. (1969).]

Generally, the solution is sensitive to the number of integration points selected for the particular problem.

When the segment [a,b] is subdivided into m/2 intervals (m even), the integral is then approximated as

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \approx \frac{(b-a)}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{(m/2)-1} f\left[a + \frac{(b-a)}{m} \left[1 + z + 2j\right]\right]$$
(B.3-7)

In the case of a double integral, Equation B.3-1 becomes

$$I \approx \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{ij} f(x_i, y_{ij})$$
(B.3-8)

where the double subscripts on the right reflect the fact that the abscissas and weights of the m-point rule must be adjusted for each value of j of the n-point rule. Note that the identity given by Equation B.3-8 is an mn-point rule for I.

#### **References**

Carnahan, B., H. A. Luther, and J. O. Wilkes, 1969. <u>Applied Numerical Methods</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, NY.

Stroud, A. H., and D. Secrest, 1966. <u>Gaussian Quadrature Formulas</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

#### APPENDIX C

#### Nth DERIVATIVE OF A PRODUCT OF TWO FUNCTIONS

Let the two functions u and v be defined as

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{p}} \tag{C-1}$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{a}/\mathbf{t}} \tag{C-2}$$

where a and p are constants.

The n<sup>th</sup> derivative of u with respect to t may be written as

$$\frac{d^{n}u}{dt^{n}} = t^{(p-n)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (p-i+1)$$
(C-3)

and the n<sup>th</sup> derivative of v with respect to t (see Gradshteyn and Ryzhik, 1980, p. 19) is given by

$$\frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} (e^{a/t}) = (-1)^{n} \frac{1}{t^{n}} e^{a/t} \left[ (\frac{a}{t})^{n} + (n-1)\binom{n}{1} (\frac{a}{t})^{n-1} + (n-1)(n-2)\binom{n}{2} (\frac{a}{t})^{n-2} + (n-1)(n-2)\binom{n}{2} (\frac{a}{t})^{n-2} + (n-1)(n-2)\binom{n}{3} (\frac{a}{t})^{n-3} + \dots \right]$$
(C-4)

or symbolically,

$$\frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} (e^{a/t}) = (-1)^{n} \frac{1}{t^{n}} e^{a/t} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (n-i) {\binom{n}{m-1}} {\binom{a}{t}}^{n-m+1} \right]$$
(C-5)

Using Leibnitz's theorem for differentiation of a product (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972, p. 12) given by

$$\frac{d^{n}(uv)}{dt^{n}} = \frac{d^{n}u^{v}}{dt^{n}} + \binom{n}{1}\frac{d^{n-1}u}{dt^{n-1}}\frac{dv}{dt} + \binom{n}{2}\frac{d^{n-2}u}{dt^{n-2}}\frac{d^{2}v}{dt^{2}} + \dots + \binom{n}{r}\frac{d^{n-r}u}{dt^{n-r}}\frac{d^{r}v}{dt^{r}} + \dots + u\frac{d^{n}v}{dt^{n}}$$
(C-6)

and substitution of Equations C-1, C-2, C-3, and C-4 in the above equation yields

$$\frac{d^{n}(t^{p}e^{a/t})}{dt^{n}} = t^{p-n} e^{a/t} \sum_{r=0}^{n} {n \choose r} \prod_{i=1}^{n-r} (p-i+1) (-1)^{r} \sum_{m=1}^{r} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (r-j) {n \choose m-1} (\frac{a}{t})^{r-m+1}$$
(C-7)

-

**References** 

Abramowitz, M., and I. A. Stegun, 1972. <u>Handbook of Mathematical Functions</u>, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, NY.

Gradshteyn, I. S., and M. Ryzhik, 1980. <u>Table of Integrals, Series, and Products</u>, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1160.pp.

#### APPENDIX D

#### A COMPLEX DEFINITE INTEGRAL

The following provides a proof of the integral relation

$$I(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-t^{2} - \frac{z^{2}}{t^{2}}\right) dt = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \exp(-2z)$$
(D-1)

when z is a complex quantity written as:

$$z = (c + id)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1D-2}$$

Noting that the function under the integral sign in Equation D-1 is an even function, substitution of z given by Equation D-2, yields

$$I = \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-t^{2} - \frac{c}{t^{2}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-id}{t^{2}}\right) dt$$
 (D-3)

Using Euler's formula written as:

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos\theta + i\sin\theta \tag{D-4}$$

Equation D-3 becomes:

$$I = I_1 + I_2$$
 (D-5)

where

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-t^{2} - \frac{c}{t^{2}}\right) \cos\left(\frac{d}{t^{2}}\right) dt$$
(D-6)

$$I_2 = -i \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-t^2 - \frac{c}{t^2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{d}{t^2}\right) dt$$
 (D-7)

Recognizing the following integrals (see Gradshteyn and Ryzhik, 1980, p. 486)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\left(p^{2}x^{2} + \frac{q^{2}}{x^{2}}\right)\right] \cos\left(a^{2}x^{2} + \frac{b^{2}}{x^{2}}\right) dx$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2r} \exp\left(-2rs\cos\left(A + B\right)\right) \cos\left(A + 2rs\sin\left(A + B\right)\right) \quad \text{for} \quad a^{2} + p^{2} > 0 \tag{D-8}$$

and

=

$$\int_0^\infty \exp\left[-\left(p^2x^2 + \frac{q^2}{x^2}\right)\right] \sin\left(a^2x^2 + \frac{b^2}{x^2}\right) dx$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2r} \exp(-2rs\cos(A + B))\sin(A + 2rs\sin(A + B)) \quad \text{for} \quad a^2 + p^2 > 0 \tag{D-9}$$

where

$$r = (a^4 + p^4)^4$$
 (D-10a)

$$s = (b^4 + q^4)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
 (D-10b)

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{a^2}{p^2}$$
(D-10c)

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{b^2}{q^2}$$
(D-10d)

the following relations are satisfied

p = 1 r = 1 
$$q^2 = c$$
 s =  $(d^2 + c^2)^4$   
a = 0  $\Lambda = 0$   $b^2 = d$  B =  $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{d}{c}$ 

Then  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are rewritten as

$$I_{1} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \exp\left(-2 (d^{2} + c^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{d}{c}\right)\right) \cos\left(2 (d^{2} + c^{2})^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{d}{c}\right)\right)$$
(D-11)

$$I_2 = i \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \exp\left(-2 \left(d^2 + c^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{d}{c}\right)\right) \sin\left(2 \left(d^2 + c^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{d}{c}\right)\right)$$
(D-12)

Hence,

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \exp(-x) [\cos y - i\sin y] = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \exp[-(x + iy)]$$
(D-13)

where

$$x = 2 (d^2 + c^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{d}{c}\right)$$
 (D-14)

y = 2 (d<sup>2</sup> + c<sup>2</sup>)<sup>4</sup> sin 
$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{d}{c}\right)$$
 (D-15)

Let

$$\operatorname{arctg} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{c}} = 2\theta$$
 (D-16)

Using

$$\cos\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \cos 2\theta + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{D-17}$$

$$\cos\left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{d}{c}\right) = \cos\theta = \left[\frac{c + (c^2 + d^2)}{2(c^2 + d^2)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (D-18)

$$\sin\left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{d}{c}\right) = \sin 0 = \left[\frac{-c + (c^2 + d^2)}{2(c^2 + d^2)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(D-19)

Therefore,  $x \mbox{ and } y \mbox{ given by Equations } D\mbox{-}14 \mbox{ and } D\mbox{-}15 \mbox{ become:}$ 

$$\mathbf{x} = \sqrt{2} \left( \mathbf{c} + (\mathbf{c}^2 + \mathbf{d}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(D-20)

y = 
$$\sqrt{2} \left( -c + (c^2 + d^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (D-21)

Then I can be expressed as:

$$l = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \exp \left[ -2\left( \left( \frac{c + (c^2 + d^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + i \left( \frac{-c + (c^2 + d^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right]$$
(D-22)

Noting that the argument of the exponential function corresponds to the square root of the complex quantity (c + id) given by Equation D-2 (see Dwight, 1961, p. 17), hence the above equation can be written:

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \exp(-2 |c + id|^{\frac{1}{2}})$$
 (D-23)

. . .

and the required result is established.

#### References

Dwight, H. B., 1961. <u>Table of Integrals and Other Mathematical Data</u>, Fourth Edition, The Macmillan Company, New York, NY, 336 pp.

Gradshteyn, I. S., and I. M. Ryzhik, 1980. <u>Table of Integrals, Series, and Products</u>, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1160 pp.

## APPENDIX E

.

## NOTATIONS

## <u>Terms</u>

$\mathfrak{a}_{L}$	longitudinal dispersivity
a <sub>r</sub>	lateral dispersivity
A	concentration in the fracture
A°	initial concontration of the species
b	half the fracture aperture width
В	concentration in the rock matrix
d	width of the finite line source at the origin
D	hydrodynamic dispersion tensor
D <sub>d</sub>	molecular diffusion of nuclide in water
D <sub>e</sub>	effective diffusivity in the rock matrix
D <sub>p</sub>	pore diffusivity
D <sub>xx</sub> , D <sub>yy,</sub> D <sub>xy</sub>	coefficients of hydrodynamic dispersion tensor in the fracture
Fo	Fourier number for rock matrix
Fx	mass flux in x-direction
Fy	mass flux in y-direction
J	diffusive rate of nuclide at surface of fracture per unit area of fracture surface
K <sub>f</sub>	sorption distribution coefficient in the fracture
Kr	sorption distribution coefficient in the rock matrix
L	half the fracture spacing
Q	rate of production or removal of solute due to radioactive decay in the fracture
Q'	rate of production or removal of solute due to radioactive decay in the rock matrix
R	retardation factor in the fracture
R'	retardation factor in the rock matrix
s	concentration in the adsorbed phase in the fracture

S'	concentration in the adsorbed phase in the rock matrix
t	time
т	leaching time
T <sub>1/2</sub>	half-life
u, v	x and y components of the fluid velocity vector in the fracture
V	avorage fluid velocity vector in the fracture
х,у	position vectors in the fracture
Уo	y coordinate of the center of the Gaussian source
У1	y coordinate of the conter of a typical finite patch source
Z	position vector in the rock matrix
Г	Gamma function
δ <sub>d</sub>	constrictivity for diffusion
δ <sub>ij</sub>	Kronecker delta
λ	first-order rate constant for decay
σ <sub>y</sub>	standard deviation of Gaussian source at the origin
ρr	rock density
Ú	tortuosity
ф	rock porosity
Φr	volumetric fraction of the solid phase in the rock matrix

Abbreviated

Forms  

$$a_{1} = \frac{\sigma_{y}^{2} + 2p^{2}}{4p^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2}}$$

$$a_{2} = -\frac{(y - g)\sigma_{y}^{2} + 2y_{0}p^{2}}{4p^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2}}$$

$$a_{3} = \frac{(y - g)^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2} + 2y_{0}^{2}p^{2}}{4p^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2}}$$

230

i

$c_{f} = \frac{\Phi}{b} (R'D_{p})^{1/2}$
$c_r = (R'/D_p)^{1/2}$
$F_0 = \frac{D_p(t - R_X)}{R'(L - b)^2}$ ; $D_{xx} > 0$ ; $\chi$ becomes $\chi'$ for $D_{xx} = 0$
$_{x}\overline{F}_{1} = u - 2D_{xx}\alpha_{1}(\sigma - \alpha_{1}x)$ ; $F_{y} = 0$
$\mathbf{F}_{1}^{*} = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{E}_{\Omega}$ ; $\mathbf{F}_{y} \neq 0$
$\mathbf{F}_{1}^{*} = \mathbf{u} ; \mathbf{F}_{y} = 0$
$_{x}F_{1} = uE_{\Omega} - D_{xx}[2\alpha_{1}(\sigma - \alpha_{1}x)E_{\Omega} + E_{\Omega}^{x}] - D_{xy}E_{\Omega}^{y}; F_{y} \neq 0$
$_{y}F_{1} = vE_{\Omega} - D_{yx}[2\alpha_{1}(\sigma - \alpha_{1}x)E_{\Omega} + E_{\Omega}^{x}] - D_{yy}E_{\Omega}^{y}; F_{y} \neq 0$
$_{i}F_{2} = \frac{\overline{\beta} c_{f} D_{ix}}{\sqrt{\pi}} E_{\Omega}; i = x, y; F_{y} \neq 0$
$\overline{xF}_2 = \frac{\overline{\beta} c_f D_{xx}}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ ; $F_y = 0$
$_{i}F_{2}^{*} = D_{ix}E_{\Omega}\overline{\beta}$ ; $i = x, y$
$\mathbf{F}_{3} = \left[ \left( \mathbf{u} \mathbf{E}_{\Omega} \right)^{2} + \left( \mathbf{v} \mathbf{E}_{\Omega} - \mathbf{D}_{yy} \mathbf{E}_{\Omega}^{y} \right)^{2} \right]^{1/2}; \mathbf{D}_{xx} = \mathbf{D}_{yx} = 0$
$g = \left(v - u \frac{D_{yx}}{D_{xx}}\right)\chi + \left(\frac{D_{yx}}{D_{xx}}\right)x$
$p = \left( \left( D_{yy} - \frac{D_{yx}^2}{D_{xx}} \right) \chi \right)^{1/2}$
$r_a = -R(s+\lambda) - c_f (s+\lambda)^{1/2}$
$r_{b} = -c_{r} (s + \lambda)^{1/2}$

Abbreviated \_\_\_\_Forms

 $a_1 = u/4D_{xx}\sigma$  $\beta = \left(\frac{R'}{D_{p}}\right)^{1/2} (L-b)$  $\overline{\beta} = x/2D_{xx}\sigma^2$ ;  $D_{xx} > 0$  $\vec{\beta} = \frac{1}{11}$ ;  $D_{xx} = 0$  $\beta_{\rm r} = -\frac{\epsilon\eta}{2} \left[ \frac{\sinh(\beta\eta) - \sin(\beta\eta)}{\cosh(\beta\eta) + \cos(\beta\eta)} \right]$  $\overline{\beta}_{r} = \beta_{r} + (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\beta \eta}{2} + (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\mu \eta}{2}$  $\overline{\beta}_e = \frac{\eta^2 \tau}{2} - \gamma_e$  $\beta_{\ell} = \frac{\eta^2 \tau}{2} - \overline{\gamma}_{\ell}$  $Y_{\ell} = \frac{\epsilon \eta}{2} \left[ \frac{\sinh(\beta \eta) + \sin(\beta \eta)}{\cosh(\beta \eta) + \cos(\beta \eta)} \right]$  $\overline{Y}_{\ell} = Y_{\ell} + (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\beta \eta}{2} - (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\mu \eta}{2}$  $\Gamma_{\delta} = (-1)^n \frac{4}{(2n+1)\pi} \cos(\delta\mu)$  $\delta = \frac{(2n+1)n}{2\beta}$  $\epsilon = c_f \chi$  $\epsilon' = c_f \chi'; D_{xx} = 0$  $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{c_f \chi}{2}$ 



## APPENDIX F

## MODEL PARAMETERS

CENT	Location of center of source 1 along y axis (IPATCH = 1)
DENSR	Rock matrix bulk density $(M/L^3)$ (used if IDIST = 1)
DIFF	Molecular diffusion of species in water $(L^2/T)$ (used if IDISP = 1)
DIFFR	Pore diffusivity (L <sup>2</sup> /T)
DIMENS(I,J)	Dimensions used in the problem; each must be $\leq 12$ characters in length DIMENS(1,J) = species name (2,J) = time (year) (3,J) = length (meter) (4,J) = L/T (meter/year) (5,J) = L <sup>2</sup> /T (m <sup>2</sup> /year) (6,J) = mass/volume (g/cc) (7,J) = volume/mass (cc/g) (8,J) = 1/time (1 /year) (9,J) = activity (Ci)
DISPX	Longitudinal dispersivity/dispersion in the x direction (see IDISP)
DISPY	Lateral dispersivity/dispersion in the y direction (see IDISP)
EXMAX + +	Largest allowed magnitude for exponential arguments
FDIST	Fracture separation distance (L) (used if $IMULT = 1$ )
HALFL	Half-life of species (T)
IBAND	<ul> <li>= 0 Step release at source</li> <li>= 1 Band release at source</li> </ul>
ICONCF	<ul> <li>= 0 Do not calculate fracture concentrations</li> <li>= 1 Do calculate fracture concentrations</li> </ul>
ICONCR	<ul> <li>= 0 Do not calculate rock concentrations</li> <li>= 1 Do calculate rock concentrations</li> </ul>
ICUMF	<ul> <li>= 0 Do not calculate cumulative mass flux</li> <li>= 1 Do calculate cumulative mass flux</li> </ul>
IDISP	<ul> <li>= 0 DISPX, DISPY correspond to dispersion coefficients</li> <li>= 1 DISPX, DISPY correspond to dispersivities</li> </ul>
IDIST	= 0 RETARD_F,RETARD_R correspond to retardation factors = 1 RETARD_F,RETARD_R correspond to distribution coefficients

4

IFLUX	= 0 Do not calculate instantaneous mass flux = 1 Do calculate instantaneous mass flux
IGAUSL	= 0 Interval of integration constant = 1 Integration limits divided into INTERV intervals
IGRAPH	= 0 Graphics output disabled = 1 Graphics output enabledformatted graphics data written to unit 30
IMULT	<ul> <li>= 0 Single fracture plane (infinite diffusion field)</li> <li>= 1 Multiple fracture planes (finite diffusion field) series solution</li> <li>= 2 Multiple fracture planes (finite diffusion field) contour integration solution</li> </ul>
INTERV	Number of subintervals x 2 for Gauss-Legendre integration (i.e., $\geq$ 4) (used if IGAUSL = 1)
IPATCH	<ul> <li>= 0 Boundary condition corresponds to a Gaussian distributed source</li> <li>= 1 Boundary condition corresponds to a finite patch source</li> </ul>
MSG1	$\leq$ 72 characters, first line of graphics output
MSG2	$\leq$ 72 characters, second line of graphics output
NMAX	Number of Gaussian points for Gauss-Legendre quadrature (it can only have the value of 4, 10, 20, 60, 104, or 256)
NRUNMAX	Number of data sets to be run
NT	$\leq$ 50, number of time values to be evaluated
NUMBS	Number of patch sources (IPATCH = 1)
NX	$\leq$ 50, number of positions to be evaluated in x direction
NY	$\leq$ 50, number of positions to be evaluated in y direction
NZ	$\leq$ 50, number of positions to be evaluated in z direction
PATCH	Width of finite source (i.e., IPATCH = 1) (L)
POROSR	Average porosity in rock matrix (L <sup>3</sup> /L <sup>3</sup> )
REFX(I)	x-position in space (L)
REFY(I)	y-position in space (L)
REFZ(I)	z-position in space (L)
RETARD_F	Retardation factor/distribution coefficient in the fracture (see IDIST)
RETARD_R	Retardation factor/distribution coefficient in the rock (see IDIST)
SPACING	Distance between consecutive waste packages (IPATCH = 1)

STD	Standard deviation (IPATCH $= 0$ )
THICK	Fracture thickness (L)
TIME(I)	T-position in time (T)
TIML	Leach time (T) (used if $IBAND = 1$ )
TITLE	2 lines, $\leq$ 80 characters per line, title of data set
TORT	Tortuosity $(L/L)$ (used if IDIST = 1)
VELX	Average apparent velocity in the x-direction (L/T)
VELY	Average apparent velocity in the y-direction (L/T)
Y0	Location of the center of Gaussian source (IPATCH $= 0$ )
+ +	Computer dependent.



DATE FILMED

