A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36

LA-UR--88-2667

DE89 000394

TITLE: ARE THERE REALLY ANY EXPERIMENTAL LIMITS ON A
LIGHT HIGGS BOSON?

AUTHOR(S): Stuart Raby, Geoffrey B. West, and Cyrus M. Hoffman

SUBMITTED TO: Physical Review D, September 1988
Proceedings of the 1988 International Symposium on Hypernuclear and
Low-Energy Kaon Physics, Padova, Italy,
September 12-16, 1988

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution or to allow others to do so for U.S. Government purposes.

The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

MASTER
Los Alamos Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

ARE THERE REALLY ANY EXPERIMENTAL LIMITS ON A LIGHT HIGGS BOSON?

Stuart Raby and Geoffrey B. West

Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos NM 87545

and

Cyrus M. Hoffman

Medium Energy Physics Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos NM 87545

ABSTRACT

The experimental evidence regarding a light Higgs boson is reviewed. It is shown that a light Higgs boson with almost any mass between $14 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ and $1 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ is still allowed by existing data. The only limit in this range comes from B decay data which, for sufficiently large values of the top quark mass, excludes a Higgs boson with a mass between $2m_\mu$ and $\sim 700 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. Discussions of light Higgs boson emission in the decays of K, π , μ , τ , η' , and Υ are also given.

PACs number: 14.80.Gt

I. Introduction

One of the most important ingredients of the Standard Model of electroweak interactions is the phenomenon of spontaneous symmetry breaking affecting the masses of the W and Z bosons. In the simplest realization of this phenomenon, one electroweak doublet of fundamental bosons breaks $SU(2) \times U(1)$ to $U(1)$ electromagnetism. A neutral scalar boson (the Higgs boson) is a physical remnant of this effect. If there is more than one Higgs doublet involved then there will be more physical bosons: we shall refer to the lightest neutral one as the light Higgs boson. The mass of this particle is not strictly fixed by the theory. The lower limit on its mass ($\sim 14 \text{ MeV}/c^2$) is obtained¹ from observing the decay of an excited state of He^4 . We shall be interested in this paper in light Higgs bosons with a mass ranging from $14 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ to $\sim 1 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. We shall assume standard couplings of the Higgs boson to quarks even if there is more than one Higgs doublet.

In the case of a single Higgs doublet, a lower limit on the light Higgs boson mass ($> 7 \text{ GeV}/c^2$) is obtained² from a one-loop analysis of the Higgs potential. This lower limit, however, vanishes if the heaviest fermion in the Standard Model has a mass of order $80 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, or if there are several undiscovered

heavy fermions (such as in a fourth generation). The top quark remains a candidate for this heavy fermion. If there is more than one Higgs doublet then, in general, no lower limit on the light Higgs boson mass can be derived since there are more parameters in the Higgs potential. Finally, the Higgs potential is quite constrained at the tree level in supersymmetric two-Higgs models and a light Higgs boson is certainly possible. At the one-loop level, all squarks, sleptons and gauginos enter with opposite signs for bosons and fermions so that the lower limit on the light Higgs boson mass depends, in detail, on this spectrum.

To summarize, there is no hard theoretical lower limit on the light Higgs boson mass. A light Higgs boson always seems possible with some fine tuning of the parameters in the theory. It will require an exhaustive experimental search to rule out the existence of a light Higgs boson. In this paper we comment on the present status of this search.

II. Properties of Light Higgs Bosons

To understand the validity of the experimental searches for light Higgs bosons it is necessary to examine the properties of these particles. A light Higgs boson will decay predominantly into the heaviest states that are kinematically available. If the Higgs-boson mass, m_h , is less than $2m_\mu$ then the only decay modes are $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $h \rightarrow e^+e^-$, and the lifetime decreases from $\sim 10^{-5}$ s for $m_h \leq 2m_e$ to $\sim 10^{-11}$ s for $m_h = 2m_\mu$.³ The width for $h \rightarrow e^+e^-$ is given by³

$$\Gamma(h \rightarrow e^+e^-) = \frac{G_F m_e^2}{4\pi\sqrt{2}} m_h \left(1 - \frac{4m_e^2}{m_h^2}\right)^{3/2}, \quad (1)$$

whereas the width for $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ is⁴

$$\Gamma(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = \frac{G_F m_h^3}{8\pi\sqrt{2}} \left[7 - \frac{4}{3} \sum_f Q_f^2\right] \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\right)^2. \quad (2)$$

The first term in the square brackets in Eq. (2) arises from the W-boson loop and the second from all possible fermion loops (both leptons and quarks). Thus, for all $m_h < 2m_\mu$, $\Gamma(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(h \rightarrow e^+e^-) \leq 1/3$, reaching its maximum value at $m_h = 2m_\mu$. For $2m_\mu \leq m_h < 2m_\pi$, the dominant decay mode is $h \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, and the lifetime decreases rapidly as the mass of the Higgs boson increases: the width for $h \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ is given by Eq. (1) with m_e replaced by m_μ . The expected decay branching ratios for $m_h > 2m_\pi$ are subject to some uncertainties. It has recently been shown⁵ that final-state interactions enhance the rate for $h \rightarrow \pi\pi$ so that the branching ratio for $h \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ is $O(10^{-1} - 10^{-2})$ for $2m_\pi \leq m_h < 2m_K$.

III. Higgs Boson Production in K Decays

The amplitude for $K \rightarrow \pi h$ is given by⁶

$$A(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 h) = A(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ h) = [(-1.5 \times 10^{-10}) \left\{ 1 + \frac{(m_\pi^2 - m_h^2)}{(m_K^2)} \right\} + (0.72 \times 10^{-10}) + \sum \eta_i^* + B(0.68 \times 10^{-10})] \text{ GeV}. \quad (3)$$

The first term is the contribution of the $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ term, the second term is the charmed-quark contribution while the η terms are the (negligible) contributions from the other quarks: the constant B is an undetermined parameter. The branching ratios for kaon decays into massless Higgs bosons are given by:

$$BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ h) = \left[\frac{A}{10^{-10}} \right]^2 \cdot 7.5 \times 10^{-6} \quad (4a)$$

and

$$BR(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 h) = \left[\frac{A}{10^{-10}} \right]^2 \cdot 3 \times 10^{-5}. \quad (4b)$$

Because of the possibility that the terms in Eq. (3) cancel, no definitive limits on the existence of light Higgs bosons can be derived from kaon decay. For example, $B \approx 1$ implies that the branching ratio for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ h$ $\{K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 h\}$ is $\approx 7.5 \times 10^{-8}$ $\{3 \times 10^{-7}\}$.

A variety of limits on the existence of light Higgs bosons derived from kaon decays have appeared in the literature. We comment on these limits immediately below.

IIIa. Limits from K^+ Decays

1. Willey⁷ argues that Higgs bosons with $50 \text{ MeV}/c^2 < m_h < 2m_\mu$ are ruled out from existing data^{8,9} on $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ e^-$. However, the data of Cence et al.⁸ does not provide a limit on Higgs bosons lighter than $\sim 100 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ because such a Higgs boson would live sufficiently long to have failed the experimental decay vertex requirement.¹⁰ Thus we find $BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ h) \cdot BR(h \rightarrow e^+ e^-) < 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$ (90% C.L.) for $100 \text{ MeV}/c^2 < m_h < 2m_\mu$. The data of Bloch et al.⁹ implies $BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ h) \cdot BR(h \rightarrow e^+ e^-) < 3.5 \times 10^{-7}$ (90% C.L.) for $140 \text{ MeV}/c^2 < m_h < 2m_\mu$. Comparing these limits with Eqs. (4a) and (3), remembering that the branching ratio for $h \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ is ≥ 0.75 in this region, we see that no definitive limits on light Higgs bosons can be inferred.

2. Yamazaki et al.¹¹ searched for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ h$ without placing any requirements on the properties of the particle recoiling against the π^+ . The 90% C.L. upper limit for the branching ratio for this decay is 1.5×10^{-6} for $0 < m_h < 80 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, and rises slowly as m_h increases to $120 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. Comparing this result with Eq. (4a), we again see that there is no limit on light Higgs bosons.

3. Asano et al.¹² searched for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$ where X corresponds to the absence of detected charged and neutral particles. Their limit of $\text{BR}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X) < 4 \times 10^{-8}$ (90% C.L.) is applicable to light Higgs boson emission only if the lifetime of the Higgs boson is greater than $\sim 10^{-9} \text{ s}$, which holds for $m_h < 5 \text{ MeV}/c^2$: for longer-lived Higgs bosons, i.e. for $m_h > 5 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, the experimental limit is considerably larger, namely $\text{BR}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X) < 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ (90% C.L.) for all $m_h < 100 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. Again, this provides no limit on light Higgs bosons.

4. The experiment of Baker et al.¹³ found $\text{BR}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ h) \cdot \text{BR}(h \rightarrow e^+ e^-) < 8 \times 10^{-7}$ (90% C.L.) for a short-lived ($< 10^{-11} \text{ s}$) neutral particle with mass $< 100 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. However, this is not a useful constraint on the amplitude for Higgs boson emission in kaon decay because Higgs bosons in this mass region live longer than 10^{-11} s .

IIIb. Limits from K_L^0 Decays

Chivukula and Manohar⁶ claim that, barring accidental cancellations in the amplitude of Eq. (3), existing kaon data exclude the existence of light Higgs bosons for all masses less than $360 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. For $2m_e < m_h < 2m_\mu$, they use the measured branching ratio $\text{BR}(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 h) \cdot \text{BR}(h \rightarrow e^+ e^-) \leq \text{BR}(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-) < 2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ (90% C. L.).¹⁴ However, Higgs bosons lighter than $\sim 40 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ would not have decayed until after they traveled beyond the decay volume in the experiment of Ref. (14) and so would have been cut by the analysis. Higgs bosons lighter than $\sim 80 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ would have decayed far enough downstream of the kaon decay point to cause the reconstructed π^0 mass to fall outside the experimental cuts: the π^0 mass was calculated from the measured photon energies and the kaon decay point, which was taken as the charged particle (in this case, the $e^+ e^-$) vertex. Thus these data are only relevant for Higgs bosons with $80 \text{ MeV}/c^2 < m_h < 2m_\mu$. For $2m_\mu < m_h < 2m_\pi$, they use the measured branching ratio $\text{BR}(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-) < 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$ (90% C. L.).¹⁴ Comparing these limits with Eqs. (4b) and (3), we again see that they cannot be used to exclude light Higgs bosons in these ranges. For $m_h > 2m_\pi$ any constraint on light Higgs bosons from this limit on the $K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ branching ratio is further weakened by the small branching ratio of the Higgs bosons into $\mu^+ \mu^-$.⁵

In summary, the present kaon decay data show no indication of the existence of a light Higgs boson. At the present level of accuracy they provide constraints on the allowable range of the parameter B in Eq. (3) rather than hard evidence against the existence of a light Higgs boson. More sensitive searches would either discover a light Higgs boson or argue against their existence in the absence of a fine-tuned cancellation in Eq. (3). Improved sensitivity to Higgs bosons with $2m_\mu < m_h < 2m_\pi$ should be available soon from kaon decay data from AGS Experiment 787,¹⁵ and AGS Experiment 791.¹⁶

IV. Higgs Boson Production in B Decays

An expression for the branching ratio $B_1 \equiv \Gamma(B \rightarrow hX) / \Gamma(B \rightarrow e\nu X)$ has been derived in Refs. (17) and (6). This expression implies that the branching ratio $B_2 \equiv \Gamma(B \rightarrow hX) / \Gamma(B \rightarrow \text{all})$ may be fairly large although it is very sensitive to the top-quark mass ($\propto m_t^4$), the bottom-quark mass, and various mixing angles: a fourth generation of quarks would also contribute with unknown mixing angles. There is an additional uncertainty due to the fact that the calculation for B_1 has been done to the one-loop level but the experimental measurement for $\Gamma(B \rightarrow e\nu X) / \Gamma(B \rightarrow \text{all})$, that is sensitive to all higher order corrections, is used to estimate B_2 . Claims have been made in the literature^{6,17} using B-decay data¹⁸ to rule out light Higgs bosons in various mass ranges.

In fact, there are no limits for $m_h < 2m_\mu$ because such a light Higgs boson would live so long that the e^+e^- from its decay would not be associated with the B-decay vertex in the experiments. Higgs bosons with $2m_\mu < m_h < 2m_\pi$ decay predominantly into $\mu^+\mu^-$. The experimental upper limits¹⁸ for the branching ratio $B_3 \equiv \Gamma(B \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-X) / \Gamma(B \rightarrow \text{all}) \geq B_2 \cdot \text{BR}(h \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 &\leq 0.007 \quad (95\% \text{ C. L.}) \text{ for } 300 \text{ MeV}/c^2 < m_h \leq 5 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \text{ (JADE)}, \\ B_3 &\leq 0.007 \quad (95\% \text{ C. L.}) \text{ for } 400 \text{ MeV}/c^2 < m_h \leq 5 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \text{ (MARK J)}, \\ B_3 &\leq 0.008 \quad (90\% \text{ C. L.}) \text{ for } 500 \text{ MeV}/c^2 < m_h \leq 3.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \text{ (CLEO)},^{19} \\ B_3 &\leq 0.02 \quad (95\% \text{ C. L.}) \text{ for } 2m_\mu < m_h \leq 5 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \text{ (TASSO)}. \end{aligned}$$

The first three experiments imposed cuts on the minimum value of the muon momenta and their opening angle implying a minimum value of m_h to which they were sensitive. The resulting limits on light Higgs bosons from B decay as a function of the m_t is shown in Fig. 1. The cusp near $m_h \approx 300 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ is caused by the limit from JADE becoming inapplicable: for $2m_\mu < m_h < 2m_\pi$, the branching ratio for $h \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ is large so the excluded region extends to lighter m_t .

Grinstein et al.¹⁷ point out that even though there are stringent limits on the branching ratio for $B \rightarrow hK$, theoretical uncertainties in the expected rate for this decay prevent it being used to exclude light Higgs bosons with any mass.

Thus even though the branching ratio for $B \rightarrow hX$ is expected to be fairly large, theoretical uncertainties substantially reduce the range of light Higgs boson masses that can be excluded and imply that this range depends strongly on the mass of the top quark. As in the case of kaon decays, more sensitive searches would be very useful.

V. Higgs Production in π^+ Decays

The rate for Higgs boson production in charged pion decay, where the Higgs boson is emitted from the virtual W , is given by:

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e h) = \frac{\sqrt{2} f_\pi^2 G_F^3}{m_\pi (2\pi)^3} \left\{ m_\pi^2 (m_\pi^2 - m_h^2) - 2m_\pi^3 E_- + m_\pi^2 (E_+^2 - E_-^2) \right\} dE_- dE_+ \quad (5)$$

where $E_\pm = E_\nu \pm E_e$. Integrating Eq. (5) over phase space gives a branching ratio for this decay of:

$$BR(\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e h) = 6.5 \times 10^{-9} \left\{ (1 - 8x + x^2)(1 - x^2) - 12x^2 \ln[x] \right\},$$

where $x = (m_h^2 / m_\pi^2)$. The data of Eichler et al.²⁰ would seem to rule out Higgs bosons with $2m_e < m_h < 80 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ but a definitive statement must await a detailed study of the effects of the acceptance of the SINDRUM detector.²¹

VI. Higgs Boson Production in Charged-Lepton Decays

The rate for the decay of a charged lepton, L , into a lighter charged lepton, l , plus a Higgs boson and two neutrinos is given by

$$\Gamma(L^+ \rightarrow l^+ \nu \bar{\nu} h) = \frac{G_F^3 p_l p_h}{48\pi^5 \sqrt{2} m_L} \left\{ A_1^2 (Q^2 p_l \cdot p_L + 2Q \cdot p_l Q \cdot p_L) + A_2 (Q^2 p_l \cdot p_h + 2Q \cdot p_l Q \cdot p_h) + A_3^2 [7Q^2 (2p_h \cdot p_L p_l \cdot p_h - m_h^2 p_l \cdot p_L) + 4p_h \cdot p_L Q \cdot p_l Q \cdot p_h - 2m_h^2 Q \cdot p_l Q \cdot p_L] \right\} dE_h dE_l d\cos\theta_{hl} \quad (6)$$

where p_x is a four-vector, $\mathbf{p}_x$ is a three-vector, $Q = p_L - p_l - p_h$, and the coefficients A_1 , A_2 , and A_3 are given by

$$A_1 = 2 \left(\sqrt{2} - \frac{m_L^2}{m_h^2 - 2m_L E_h} \right), \quad A_2 = \frac{2m_L^2 A_1}{m_h^2 - 2m_L E_h}, \quad A_3 = \frac{m_L}{m_h^2 - 2m_L E_h}.$$

The branching ratios for different lepton species are shown in Fig. 2 as a function of Higgs boson mass. The branching ratio is smaller than present sensitivities for muon decay from SINDRUM²⁰ and the Crystal Box²² and for tau decay.²³

VII. Higgs Boson Production In η' Decays

Dzhelyadin et al²⁴ claim to exclude Higgs bosons with $m_h < 409 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ from a search for $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \mu^+ \mu^-$. Since this experiment was sensitive only to Higgs bosons decaying into muon pairs, it was completely insensitive to $m_h < 2m_\mu$. In addition, the experimental result does not limit light Higgs bosons with $m_h > 2m_\pi$ because the estimate of the $h \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ branching ratio in Ref. (24) is not correct.⁵ Finally, the expected branching ratio for $\eta' \rightarrow \eta h$ is theoretically uncertain²⁵ making any definitive statement based on this decay, even for $2m_\mu < m_h < 2m_\pi$, unreliable.

VIII. Higgs Boson Production In Υ Decays

An extensive search for a monochromatic photon from $\Upsilon \rightarrow h \gamma$ has been conducted by the CUSB collaboration for $m_h > \sim 750 \text{ MeV}/c^2$.²⁶ This search has the advantage of being independent of the decay modes of the Higgs boson. No evidence for such monochromatic photons has been found. A first order calculation leads to the expectation of a branching ratio for $\Upsilon \rightarrow h \gamma$ of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ for a wide range of Higgs boson masses.²⁷ The one-loop QCD radiative corrections to this process have been calculated²⁸ giving:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Upsilon \rightarrow h \gamma)}{\Gamma(\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)} = \left[\frac{G_F m_b^2 z}{\sqrt{2} \pi \alpha} \right] \left(1 - \frac{4 \alpha_s}{3\pi} \alpha_H(z) \right), \quad (7)$$

where $z = 1 - \frac{m_h^2}{m_\Upsilon^2} = 1$ and $\alpha_H(1) = 7 + 6 \ln 2 - \frac{\pi^2}{8} \approx 10$. The part of Eq. (7) in square brackets is the result obtained by Wilczek.²⁷ For $\alpha_s(m_\Upsilon^2) = 0.2$, the one-loop correction is 84% of the tree-level contribution! Thus the use of a perturbation expansion is suspect so no definitive limits can be deduced from the data.

There are several calculations in the literature which study the relativistic corrections to this process.²⁹ Only the results of Biswas et al. and Aznauryan et al. are applicable to a light Higgs boson with $m_h < 1 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, while all are applicable to the region $m_h \sim 7 - 9 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. Four of the calculations find that there is a large suppression of the rate for $m_h \sim 8 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. On the other hand,

Biswas et al. find a large enhancement in this region. Even for $m_h \ll 1 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, Aznauryan et al. predict a factor of ~ 2 suppression in the ratio $\Gamma(Y \rightarrow h\gamma)/\Gamma(Y \rightarrow e^+e^-)$.

Clearly the theoretical expectation for this process is in serious doubt, especially for light Higgs bosons, due to both the radiative and relativistic corrections. Hopefully this distressing theoretical situation will improve with additional study. However, until a reliable estimate of the expected branching ratio for $Y \rightarrow h\gamma$ is available, no limits on light Higgs bosons can be set from this decay.

IX. Conclusions

We have examined many possible constraints on the existence of light Higgs bosons. Many of the claims in the literature excluding Higgs bosons within certain mass ranges are not valid and others rest on unreliable theoretical calculations. At present, only light Higgs bosons with $m_h < 14 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, and the region $2m_\mu \leq m_h < 700 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ for certain values of m_t as shown in Fig. 1, are unambiguously excluded. Existing data on π^+ decay may soon extend the limit up to $\sim 80 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, independent of m_t . More sensitive searches in K, Y, and B decays may either discover a light Higgs boson or further restrict the theoretical scenarios in which a light Higgs boson could exist.

We acknowledge useful conversations with Peter Herczeg. This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

1. S. J. Freedman, J. Napolitano, J. Camp, and M. Kroupa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 240 (1984).
2. A. D. Linde, JETP Lett. **23**, 64 (1976); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 294 (1976).
3. J. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard, and D. V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. **B106**, 292 (1976).
4. A. I. Vainshtein, V. I. Zakharov, and M. A. Shifman, Sov. Phys. Usp. **23**, 429 (1980).

5. S. Raby and G. West, Los Alamos National Laboratory Preprint LA-UR-88-2491 (to be published in Phys. Rev. D) (1988).
6. R. S. Chivukula and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. **B207**, 86 (1988).
7. R. S. Willey, Phys. Lett. **B173**, 480 (1986).
8. R. J. Cence et al. Phys. Rev. **D10**, 776 (1974).
9. P. Bloch et al., Phys. Lett. **B56**, 201 (1975).
10. In this, and further instances, we have used typical experimental parameters and published experimental cuts to conservatively estimate the range of validity for the constraints on a light Higgs boson. The range of validity would probably be even smaller if other details such as experimental efficiencies and correlations were properly taken into account.
11. T. Yamazaki et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1089 (1984).
12. Y. Asano et al., Phys. Lett. **B107**, 159 (1981); *ibid.* **B113**, 195 (1982).
13. N. J. Baker et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2832 (1987).
14. A. S. Carroll et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 525 (1980).
15. W. C. Louis, private communication.
16. G. H. Sanders, private communication.
17. H. E. Haber, A. S. Schwarz and A. E. Snyder, Nucl. Phys. **B294**, 301 (1987); B. Grinstein, L. Hall and L. Randall, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Preprint LBL-25095, (1988).
18. MARK J Collaboration, B. Adeva et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 799 (1983); CLEO Collaboration, P. Avery et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1309 (1984); TASSO Collaboration, M. Althoff et al., Zeit f. Phys. **C22**, 219 (1984); JADE Collaboration, W. Bartel et al., Phys. Lett. **B132**, 241 (1983).
19. The paper by Avery et al. presented a limit of $B_3 \leq 0.0031$ but implies that experimental cuts make this number inapplicable when the muon pair results from the decay of a light Higgs boson. The limit quoted here is explicitly given in their paper for the case of light Higgs boson emission and decay.
20. R. Eichler et al., Phys. Lett. **B175**, 101 (1986).
21. R. Eichler, and S. Egli, private communication.
22. A. Hallin, L.E. Piilonen, M. D. Cooper and G. E. Hogan, private communication.
23. K. K. Gan, private communication.
24. R. I. Dzhelyadin et al., Phys. Lett. **B105**, 239 (1981).

25. A. A. Ansel'm, N. G. Ural'tsev and V. A. Khoze, Usp. Fiz. Nauk. **145**, 185 (1985) [Sov. Phys. Usp. **28**, 113 (1985)]; M. B. Voloshin, Yad. Fiz. **45**, 190 (1987) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **45**, 121 (1987)]; R. Ruskov, Phys. Lett. **B187**, 165 (1987).
26. S. Youssef et al., Phys. Lett. **B139**, 332 (1984). More recent data has been reported by P. Franzini, Proceedings of the Rencontres de Moriond on Electroweak Interactions, March 6-13, 1988 and J. Lee-Franzini, Private Communication.
27. F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 279 (1978).
28. M. I. Vysotsky, Phys. Lett. **97B**, 159 (1980); P. Nason, *ibid*; **175B**, 233 (1986). See also M. B. Voloshin and Yu. M. Zaitsev, Sov. Phys. Usp. **30**, 533 (1987)
29. J. Polchinski, S. R. Sharpe and T. Barnes, Phys. Lett. **B148**, 493 (1984); J. Pantaleone, M. E. Peskin and S.-H. Tye, Phys. Lett. **B149**, 225 (1984); G. Fäldt, Per Osland and T. T. Wu, Phys. Rev. **D38**, 164 (1988); S.N. Biswas, A. Goyal, and J. Pasupathy, Phys. Rev. **D32**, 1844 (1985); I. G. Aznauryan, S. G. Grigoryan, and S. G. Matinyan, JETP Lett. **43**, 646 (1986).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. The ranges (hatched) of light Higgs boson masses that are definitively excluded by existing data as a function of the mass of the top quark. The symbols to the right of the graph show the ranges of light Higgs boson masses that particular particle decays can probe.
2. The branching ratios for $\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \bar{\nu} h$, $\tau \rightarrow e \nu \bar{\nu} h$, and $\mu \rightarrow e \nu \bar{\nu} h$ as a function of the mass of the light Higgs boson.

Figure 1

Figure 2