1726

177
12-8-80
J. A. K.

(1)

18. 2092

MASTER

NOVEMBER 1980

PPPL-1726

00-20g

COMMENTS ON "ADIABATIC MODIFICATIONS TO PLASMA TURBULENCE THEORY"

BY

J. A. KROMMES

P410

PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

**PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY**

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy
Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CF0-2075. Reproduction, transla-
tion, publication, use and disposal in whole or in part
by or for the United States government is permitted.

Comments on "Adiabatic modifications to plasma turbulence theory"

John A. Krommes

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544

Catto introduced in Ref. 1 an interesting and plausible modification of the usual "resonance-broadening" prescription² for obtaining the nonlinear dielectric function. He argued reasonably that one should employ that prescription only for the nonadiabatic response, and that one should treat the adiabatic response essentially exactly. However, Misguich, in a recent Comment³ on Catto's work,^{1,4} found an apparent divergence in a form for the renormalized dielectric which he argued was equivalent to Catto's. Misguich was thus led to conclude that, at least for stationary turbulence, Catto's form was suspect, and that a more intricate renormalization might have to be used to obtain a sensible, convergent result.

I wish to argue that this conclusion is incorrect, at least for the reasons Misguich gives. My goal is not to criticize Misguich, whose work is detailed and instructive, but to exemplify some subtleties of renormalization. It is adequate to discuss the electrostatic, stationary, Gaussian-Markov approximation with constant diffusion coefficient D and Maxwellian background distribution $\langle f \rangle$. In the resonance-broadening approximation, the dielectric ϵ then takes the form

$$\epsilon(k, \omega) \approx 1 + \sum_s (k \lambda_{Ds})^{-2} \int d\mathbf{v} d\bar{\mathbf{v}} U_{k, \omega}(\mathbf{v}; \bar{\mathbf{v}}) i k \bar{\mathbf{v}} \langle f(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where

$$U_{k, \omega}(\mathbf{v}; \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \equiv \int_0^\infty d\tau \exp[i(\omega - k\mathbf{v})\tau - k^2 D \tau^3 / 3] P_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}} - i k D \tau^2, \tau), \quad (2)$$

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as part of the research program of the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under contract number AT-(40-1)-3400. The views and opinions contained herein are those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory or the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIMITED

fig

$$P_v(z, \tau) \equiv (4\pi D\tau)^{-1/2} \exp(-z^2/4D\tau), \quad (3)$$

and $\lambda_D^2 \equiv T/4\pi n e_s^2$. To simplify (1), it is convenient to change variables from $\{v, \bar{v}\}$ to $\{u \equiv v - \bar{v} - ikD\tau^2, \bar{v}\}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} I &\equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\bar{v} \exp(-ikv\tau) P_v(v - \bar{v} - ikD\tau^2) ik\bar{v} \langle f(\bar{v}) \rangle \\ &= \exp(k^2 D\tau^3) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\bar{v} \exp(-ik\bar{v}\tau) ik\bar{v} \langle f(\bar{v}) \rangle \int_{-\infty - ik^2 D\tau}^{\infty - ik^2 D\tau} du \exp(-iku\tau) P_v(u). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

A standard application of Cauchy's theorem enables one to shift the u contour upward onto the real axis, giving rise to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \exp(-iku\tau) P_v(u) = \exp(-k^2 D\tau^3). \quad (5)$$

This factor cancels with the first term in (4), whereupon

$$I = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \int d\bar{v} \exp(-ik\bar{v}\tau) \langle f(\bar{v}) \rangle. \quad (6)$$

Then, upon inserting (6) into (1) and integrating by parts in τ , one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(k, \omega) &= 1 + \int (k\lambda_D)^{-2} + \int (k\lambda_D)^{-2} \int d\bar{v} \langle f(\bar{v}) \rangle \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} d\tau (i\omega - k^2 D\tau^2) \exp[i(\omega - k\bar{v})\tau - k^2 D\tau^3/3]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Catto makes subsidiary approximations which lead him to neglect the $k^2 D\tau^2$ term. The result,

$$\epsilon = 1 + \sum (k\lambda_D)^{-2} + \sum i\omega(k\lambda_D)^{-2} \int d\bar{v} \langle f(\bar{v}) \rangle \int_0^\infty d\tau \exp[i(\omega - k\bar{v})\tau - k^2 D \tau^3 / 3] , \quad (8)$$

is the one which Misguich discusses.

Misguich claims to derive the convergent form (8) from a form [his Eq. (10)] which is apparently divergent. There are two facets to the resolution of this paradox: Misguich's derivation of (8) from his Eq. (10) is not consistent, and his divergent form itself does not follow from Eq. (1), but from an expression [Misguich's Eq. (5)] which does not seem to be consistent with the definition of the dielectric.^{5,6} In an attempt to include non-Markovian corrections, Misguich and Balescu⁷ argue that (1) should be replaced by

$$\epsilon(k, \omega, \tau) = 1 - i \int \frac{\omega}{k^2} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \int d\bar{v} d\bar{v}' U_k(\bar{v}, \tau; \bar{v}') \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}} \langle f(\bar{v}, \tau - \tau) \rangle . \quad (9a)$$

They write

$$\langle f(\bar{v}, \tau - \tau) \rangle = \int d\bar{v}' U_{k=0}(\bar{v}, -\tau; \bar{v}') \langle f(\bar{v}', \tau) \rangle \quad (9b)$$

and then take $\langle f(\bar{v}', \tau) \rangle$ to be stationary. However, the Eulerian function $\langle f(\bar{v}, \tau - \tau) \rangle$ is no less stationary than $\langle f(\bar{v}, \tau) \rangle$. The dielectric describes the result of probing the system after the turbulent state is set up. Since both Misguich and Balescu as well as I assume stationarity, $\langle f \rangle$ is unchanging before the probe is applied and (9) is incorrect.

Nevertheless, Misguich proceeds from (9). One has

$$U_{k=0}(\bar{v}, -\tau; \bar{v}) = P_{\bar{v}}(\bar{v} - \bar{v}, -\tau) . \quad (10)$$

Misguich, in a separate, also inconsistent approximation, now passes (9b) with (10) through the $\partial/\partial \bar{v}$ operator, after which it partially cancels with $P_v(v - \bar{v} - ikD\tau^2)$ in such a way that $P_v(v - \bar{v} - ikD\tau^2)$ is effectively replaced in (2) by $\Delta(v - \bar{v} - ikD\tau^2)$, where $\Delta(z)$ is the Dirac delta function analytically continued from real to complex values of z , e.g.,

$$\Delta(z) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp(-z^2/2\sigma^2). \quad (11)$$

The manipulations leading up to (5) still hold; however, because of the delta function approximation to P_v , (5) is replaced by unity, the term $\exp(k^2 D\tau^3)$ in (4) is not cancelled, and in (2) a net factor of $\exp(2k^2 D\tau^3/3)$ remains. The resulting time integral is divergent, as Misguich notes.

In an attempt to circumvent the divergence and obtain Catto's result, Misguich (effectively) returns to the form (1) (with P_v still replaced by Δ) and integrates over $\bar{v}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon = 1 - \int (k\lambda_D)^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \exp[i(\omega - kv)\tau - k^2 D\tau^3/3] \\ \times (ikv - k^2 D\tau^2) \langle F(v - ikD\tau^2) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

This result can be justified by Cauchy's theorem. It is obviously equivalent to the divergent result discussed above, as a change of variables to $v' \equiv v - ikD\tau^2$ reveals. However, Misguich now neglects the factor of $-ikD\tau^2$ inside (but not outside) $\langle f \rangle$, arguing inconsistently that the action of the propagator on $\langle f \rangle$ results in "higher order contributions" in D . The result,

$$\epsilon = 1 + \sum (\lambda_D)^{-2} \int dv \langle f(v) \rangle$$

$$\times \int_0^\infty d\tau \exp[i(\omega - kv)\tau - k^2 D \tau^3 / 3] (ikv - k^2 D \tau^2) , \quad (13)$$

is convergent. It is equivalent to Catto's result and, upon integration by parts in τ , to (8). Thus, since the divergence Misguich discusses is spurious, Catto's result remains reasonable.

Other aspects of the nonlinear dielectric are discussed in Ref. 8. Recent work has attempted to systematically justify approximations similar to Catto's; Refs. 5 and 6 contain many references.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was jointly supported by the United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research Contract no. F44620-75-C-0037 and the United States Department of Energy Contract no. DE-AC02-76-CH03073.

REFERENCES

- ¹P. J. Catto, Phys. Fluids 21, 147 (1978).
- ²T. H. Dupree, Phys. Fluids 9, 1773 (1966).
- ³J. H. Misguich, Phys. Fluids 22, 1589 (1979).
- ⁴P. J. Catto, Phys. Fluids 22, 1591 (1979).
- ⁵D. DuBois and M. Espedal, Plasma Phys. 20, 1209 (1978), and refs. therein.
- ⁶J. A. Krommes, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Report No. PPPL-1568 (1979), and refs. therein; to appear in Handbook of Plasma Physics, edited by R. H. Sudan and A. A. Galeev (North-Holland, Amsterdam).
- ⁷J. H. Misguich and R. Balescu, J. Plasma Phys. 13, 385 (1975).
- ⁸J. A. Krommes, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Report No. PPPL-1605 (1979).

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO TIC UC-20

ALL CATEGORIES

R. Askew, Auburn University, Alabama
 S. T. Wu, Univ. of Alabama
 Geophysical Institute, Univ. of Alaska
 G. L. Johnston, Sonoma State Univ., California
 H. H. Kuehl, Univ. of S. California
 Institute for Energy Studies, Stanford University
 H. D. Campbell, University of Florida
 N. L. Oleson, University of South Florida
 W. M. Stacey, Georgia Institute of Technology
 Benjamin M., Iowa State University
 Magne Kristiansen, Texas Tech. University
 W. L. Wiese, Nat'l Bureau of Standards, Wash., D.C.
 Australian National University, Canberra
 C. N. Watson-Munro, Univ. of Sydney, Australia
 E. Cap, Inst. for Theo. Physics, Austria
 Dr. M. Heindler, Institute for Theoretical Physics
 Technical University of Graz
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Bruxelles, Belgium
 D. Pelumbo, C. Eurocean Comm. B-1049-Brussels
 P. H. Sakanaka, Instituto de Fisica, Campinas, Brazil
 M. P. Bachynski, MPB Tech., Ste. Anne de Bellevue,
 Quebec, Canada
 C. R. James, University of Alberta, Canada
 T. W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, Varennes, Quebec
 H. M. Skarsgard, Univ. of Saskatchewan, Canada
 Inst. of Physics, Academia Sinica, Peking,
 People's Republic of China
 Inst. of Plasma Physics, Hefei
 Anhwei Province, People's Republic of China
 Library, Tsing Hua Univ., Peking, People's
 Republic of China
 Zhengwu Li, Southwestern Inst. of Phys., Leshan,
 Sichuan Province, People's Republic of China
 Librarian, Culham Laboratory, Abingdon, England (2)
 A. M. Dupas Library, C.E.N.-G. Grenoble, France
 Central Res. Inst. for Physics, Hungary
 S. R. Sharma, Univ. of Rajasthan, JAIPUR-4, India
 R. Shingal, Meerut College, India
 A. K. Sundaram, Phys. Res. Lab., India
 Biblioteca, Frascati, Italy
 Biblioteca, Milano, Italy
 G. Rostagni, Univ. Di Padova, Padova, Italy
 Preprint Library, Inst. de Fisica, Pisa, Italy
 Library, Plasma Physics Lab., Gokasho, Uji, Japan
 S. Mori, Japan Atomic Energy Res. Inst., Tokai-Mura
 Research Information Center, Nagoya Univ., Japan
 S. Shioda, Tokyo Inst. of Tech., Japan
 Inst. of Space & Aero. Sci., Univ. of Tokyo
 T. Uchida, Univ. of Tokyo, Japan
 H. Yamato, Toshiba R. & D. Center, Japan
 M. Yoshikawa, JAERI, Tokai Res. Est., Japan
 Dr. Tsuneo Nakakita, Toshiba Corporation,
 Kawasaki-Ku Kawasaki, 210 Japan
 N. Yajima, Kyushu Univ., Japan
 R. England, Univ. Nacional Auto-noma de Mexico
 B. S. Lilley, Univ. of Waikato, New Zealand
 S. A. Moss, Saab Univas Norge, Norway
 J. A. C. Cabral, Univ. de Lisboa, Portugal
 O. Petrus, A.L.I. CUZA Univ., Romania
 J. de Villiers, Atomic Energy Bd., South Africa
 A. Maurech, Comisaria De La Energy y Recursos
 Minerales, Spain
 Library, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
 Cen. de Res. En Phys. Des Plasmas, Switzerland
 Librarian, Fom-Instituut Voor Plasma-Fysica,
 The Netherlands

Bibliothek, Stuttgart, West Germany
 R. D. Buhler, Univ. of Stuttgart,
 West Germany
 Max-Planck-Inst. fur Plasmaphysik,
 W. Germany
 Nucl. Res. Estab., Julich, West Germany
 K. Schindler, Inst. Fur Theo. Physik,
 W. Germany

EXPERIMENTAL THEORETICAL

M. H. Brennan, Flinders Univ. Australia
 H. Barnard, Univ. of British Columbia, Canada
 S. Screenivasan, Univ. of Calgary, Canada
 J. Radet, C.E.N.-B.P., Fontenay-aux-Roses,
 France
 Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice,
 France
 S. C. Sharma, Univ. of Cape Coast, Ghana
 K. N. Aiyer, Laser Section, India
 B. Buti, Physical Res. Lab., India
 L. K. Chavda, S. Gujarat Univ., India
 I. M. Das, Banaras Hindu Univ., India
 S. Cuperman, Tel Aviv Univ., Israel
 E. Greenspan, Nuc. Res. Center, Israel
 P. Rosenau, Israel Inst. of Tech., Israel
 Int'l. Center for Theo. Physics, Trieste, Italy
 I. Kawakami, Nihon University, Japan
 T. Nakayama, Ritsumeikan Univ., Japan
 S. Nagao, Tohoku Univ., Japan
 J. I. Sakai, Toyama Univ., Japan
 S. Tjøtta, Univ. i Bergen, Norway
 M. A. Hellberg, Univ. of Natal, South Africa
 H. Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ. of Tech.,
 Sweden
 Astro. Inst., Sonnenborgh Obs.,
 The Netherlands
 T. J. Boyd, Univ. College of North Wales
 K. Hubner, Univ. Heidelberg, W. Germany
 H. T. Kaeppeler, Univ. of Stuttgart,
 West Germany
 K. H. Spatschek, Univ. Essen, West Germany

EXPERIMENTAL ENGINEERING

B. Grek, Univ. du Quebec, Canada
 P. Lukac, Komenského Univ., Czechoslovakia
 G. Horikoshi, Nat'l Lab for High Energy Physics,
 Tsukuba-Gun, Japan

EXPERIMENTAL

F. J. Paoloni, Univ. of Wollongong, Australia
 J. Kistemaker, Fom Inst. for Atomic
 & Molec. Physics, The Netherlands

THEORETICAL

F. Verheest, Inst. Vor Theo. Mech., Belgium
 J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, Canada
 T. Kanan, Univ. Paris VII, France
 R. K. Chhajlani, India
 S. K. Trehan, Panjab Univ., India
 T. Namikawa, Osaka City Univ., Japan
 H. Narumi, Univ. of Hiroshima, Japan
 Korea Atomic Energy Res. Inst., Korea
 E. T. Karlson, Uppsala Univ., Sweden
 L. Stenflo, Univ. of UMEA, Sweden
 J. R. Saraf, New Univ., United Kingdom