LA-UR-81-145

MASTER

TITLE: ULTRAVIOLET PHASE CONJUGATION AND ITS PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

AUTHOR(S): B. J. Feldman, Robert A. Fisher, and S. L. Shapiro

SUBMITTED TO: PROCEEDINGS OF 1980 LASER CONFERENCE, New Orleans, Dec. 1980

DISCLAIMER

This work was prepared as part of work sponsored by agencies of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not constitute an endorsement, recommendation, or approval by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

University of California

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

The Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY

Post Office Box 1663 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

ULTRAVIOLET PHASE CONJUGATION AND ITS PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

B. J. Feldman, Robert A. Fisher, and S. L. Shapiro
 University of California
 Los Alamos National Laboratory*
 Los Alamos, NM 87545
 (505) 667-5233

Abstract

We report the first demonstration of uv phase conjugation. Using a 15 psoc, 2660 Å pulse, 0.1% conjugate reflectivities were obtained via degenerate four-wave mixing in 1-mm samples of CS₂ mixtures. While pure CS₂ did not exhibit the effect, dilution in several uv transmitting solvents opened up a concentration-tunable (2450 Å - 2850 Å) spectral window, allowing the optical Kerr effect to be utilized. Weaker phase conjugation at 2660 Å was also observed in other Kerr media and in saturable absorber media.

Introduction

The desirability of phase conjugation in the uv is widely acknowledged. A natural choice for an efficient conjugator would be the highly nonlinear liquid CS₂. Unfortunately, pure CS₂ is opaque over most of the uv spectrum. However, we have found that by diluting CS₂ in uv-transmitting solvents, the absorption bands are significantly shifted, opening up a concentration-tunable transmission window in the important 2450 Å to 2850 Å range. Establishing this transmission window in CS₂ allows one to take advantage of its large Kerr effect, which, in the uv, is enhanced over its visible value.¹ Within this concentration-tunable window, we have obtained a phase-conjugate 2660 Å, 0.1% reflection in a 1 mm mixture (by volume 60% CS₂, 40% hexane), consistent with the large nonlinearity of CS₂.

In degenerate four-wave mixing,² a probe wave impinges upon a nonlinear material that is illuminated by a pair of counterpropagating pump waves. The medium reradiates a fourth phase conjugate wave precisely retracing the k-vector of the probe wave even though an aberrator may be in the way.

These distortion-correcting features of phase conjugation suggest widespread applications, in particular in the uv. First, all high-energy uv lasers are high-gain excimer systems, characterized by severe optical inhomogeneities. These lasers are prime candidates for laser fusion, laser isotope separation, and very long distance propagation. An appropriate conjugator could minimize the influence of static aberrations both in the laser and between the laser and the target.³ A highly aberrated excimer laser system could thus be converted into one providing a well-collimated, highly focusable beam. As a second application, uv phase conjugation could be used in conjunction with photolithography (or other laser processing techniques) to produce ultraminiature integrated circuit components. Lastly, in the uv, optical tolerances are hard to attain; phase conjugation may permit inferior elements in uv optical systems.

Solvatochromism in CS₂

In choosing nonlinear uv phase conjugation samples, we sought transparent materials with a strong optical Kerr effect and a short response time. Although liquid CS₂ is useful for visible and ir wavelengths, it is opaque below 3600 Å because of strong and broad electronic absorption bands at 3100 Å and 2200 Å. Nevertheless, we have observed that when CS₂ is mixed with uv-transmissive organic solvents, a window appears between the two absorption bands whose width and center frequency depend upon the specific solvent and its concentration.

Figure 1 shows uv transmission curves for 1-mm-thick samples of various mixtures of reagent grade CS₂ and uv grade hexane. The region of transparency broadens and the peak transmission wavelength blue shifts by 3000 cm⁻¹ as the CS₂ concentration is reduced. Without solvent-solute interaction, the peak transmission wavelength would not have changed. Although solvent shifts for dilute systems are well-known,⁴ we are not aware of any concentration-dependent studies in CS₂-hexane. At 60% CS₂ concentration, the 2660 Å transmission is 50%, making this mix suitable for phase conjugation at this wavelength and at the 2660 Å wavelength of CH₄-Stokes shifted KrF laser light. Further dilution of the CS₂ increases the transmission at both 2485 Å and 2820 Å, suggesting that longer dilute samples would be suitable phase conjugators at KrF and XeBr excimer wavelengths.

*Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy.

Figure 1. Transmission spectra of 1.0-mm-thick CS_2 -hexane mixes. The number associated with each line refers to the percentage (by volume) of CS_2 in the mixture. The transmission increases, broadens, and shifts with CS_2 dilution. These curves have not been corrected for the Fresnel losses of our quartz cell.

Experimental Setup

In our experiments, a single, collimated, 5-mm-diameter, 400 μJ , 15 psec, 2660 $\text{\AA}$ pulse (quadrupled Nd:YAG) entered the experimental arrangement depicted in Fig. 2. The pulse

Figure 2. Experimental arrangement. Most of the ultrashort pulse is directed to provide the counterpropagating pump waves; the remainder is brought to the sample as a probe beam.

first encountered a 90% reflecting beamsplitter, and the larger portion was directed into a ring to provide counterpropagating pump waves within the 1-mm sample. The beam which passed the 90% reflecting beamsplitter passed through a 50% reflecting beamsplitter and impinged (as a probe beam) onto the sample at a 30° angle. All beams were linearly polarized in the same direction. A curved mirror focused the probe beam to a point beyond the sample, halving the diameter at the sample to insure complete overlap of the three beams. A 100% reflector could be placed before the curved mirror as a reference for evaluating conjugate reflectivities. Any conjugate emission retraced the path of the probe beam, and a portion was redirected by the 50% reflecting beamsplitter for detection and analysis. A uv-sensitive television system (Hamamatsu tube N983) and a video storage device gave continuous two-dimensional display of each conjugate signal's spatial profile, and nanosecond resolution uv-sensitive photomultipliers provided waveforms. A uv-sensitive streak camera measured the various pulse arrival times enabling adjustment of the various optical paths within 3-mm tolerances.

Experimental Results

With a CS₂-hexane solution (60% CS₂ by volume) in a 1-mm cell, signals were observed on the video monitor. Their phase-conjugate nature was confirmed by their disappearance when blocking either of the two pump beams or the probe beam (blocked between the 50% and 90% beamsplitters). Also, the spot on the video monitor did not move with minor angular adjustment of the curved mirror, thereby satisfying a one-dimensional aberration test.

Separate photomultipliers monitored the conjugate and the input signals. The 1.5 nanoseconds response photomultipliers acted as high-speed calorimeters. The conjugate channel gave two peaks; the true conjugate signal was preceded (by 3 ns) by scattering from the 50% beamsplitter. We measured only the height of the second peak. The data are shown in Fig. 3. We least-squares fit the data to the equation

$$E_s = a E_{in} + b(E_{in})^c, \quad (1)$$

where E_s is the observed signal energy and E_{in} is the input signal energy. The first term represents the residual linear scattering. We found $a = 0.15$, $b = 0.028$, and $c = 2.95$. The value of c is in excellent agreement with the expected value of 3, indicative of the degenerate four-wave mixing process.⁵

Figure 3. Input versus output energy (arbitrary units) for a 1-mm-thick conjugator containing (by volume) 60% CS₂ and 40% hexane. To include spurious scattering, we fit the data to the expression of Equation (1), giving $c = 2.96$, and a nearly cubic dependence for the nonlinear term. The best-fit curve is also drawn.

At the highest input intensities, we obtained 0.1% conjugate reflectivity. To compare these results to theory, the simple model of degenerate four-wave mixing must be modified to include nonsaturable absorption. The low-reflectivity expression becomes:

$$R = [k\delta n_0 \exp(-\alpha l)]^2, \quad (2)$$

where $k = \omega/c$, δn_0 is the nonlinear index change induced by one pump wave at its entry face, and α is the nonsaturable absorption coefficient. To estimate the induced index change from the pump, we note that $\delta n = \frac{1}{2}n_2 E^2$, where E is the slowly varying envelope function of one of the pumps. For CS₂, $n_2 = 4.34 \times 10^{-11}$ esu at 2660 Å.⁶ We assume for a 60% CS₂ mix that n_2 is reduced by a factor 0.6 (ignoring small local field and volumetric corrections⁶). The peak intensity of each pump was 40 MW/cm² corresponding to $\delta n_0 = 3.1 \times 10^{-6}$. Through Eq. (2), this gives $R = 0.12\%$, in good agreement with the measured value.

The signal vanishes for CS₂ concentrations below 5%. Furthermore, introducing a 40 psec delay into either of the two pump paths decreased the 60% CS₂-generated conjugation signal by more than one order of magnitude. The signal disappearance with dilution and reduction with delay rule out the roles of solvated electrons⁷ and thermal gradients,⁸ respectively, leaving only the optical Kerr effect in CS₂ as the dominant nonlinearity responsible for our conjugate signals.

Phase conjugate signals of approximately the same reflectivity were obtained for 60% by volume CS₂ mixtures in cyclohexane, ethyl alcohol, 1,2 dichloroethane, and N-butanol. These results indicate that the n_2 of dilute CS₂ is not strongly solvent-dependent. We have also seen weaker phase conjugation in pure DMSO, in 1,2 dichloroethane, and 8-carotene-hexane mixes. Because of the high absorption, no signals were seen in pure CS₂.

In addition to the above Kerr-conjugators, we have studied conjugation in various saturable absorbers. At present, the precise mechanism for the observed effects is not clear. Heating,⁹ saturation,⁹ and photochemical reactions¹⁰ may play roles. Florescein in ethyl alcohol, rhodamine 6G in water or in ethyl alcohol, Kodak A9~40 dye in 1,2 dichloroethane, pyrene in hexane, and 2-Naphthol 6-Sulfonate in water (pH 4.5) all exhibited 0.01% conjugate reflectivity. Neither water nor ethanol gave a signal.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have obtained the first demonstration of phase conjugation in the uv. Because we used ultrashort pulses, sample thicknesses were limited to millimeter dimensions. For lasers with greater coherence lengths, thicker samples could be used with correspondingly higher conjugate reflectivities. Recently, Murray et al.¹¹ used intra-cavity etalon techniques to reduce the 5 Å free-running KrF laser linewidth to 0.15 cm⁻¹, and Hawkins et al.¹² used KrF amplification of frequency-doubled dye laser pulses to obtain 0.005 cm⁻¹ bandwidths. These narrow-band laser systems should allow conjugate reflectivities approaching 100% in thicker cells containing some of the Kerr and saturable absorber media reported here.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to J. F. Figueira for support and encouragement, to I. J. Biglo, R. T. V. Kung, and G. A. Kenney-Wallace for helpful discussions, and to R. G. Tercovich and K. R. Winn for technical assistance. S. L. Shapiro's present address is National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy.

This material has been published in the February 1981 issue of Optics Letter and is reproduced here with their permission.

References

1. J. W. Lewis and W. H. Orttung [J. Phys. Chem. 82, 698 (1978)] indicate that the DC Kerr constant at 2660 Å is seven times that at 5500 Å, and, therefore, n_2 is enhanced by ~ 3.5.
2. R. W. Hellwarth, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 67, 1 (1977); A. Yariv and D. M. Pepper, Opt. Lett. 1, 16 (1977); D. Bloom and G. C. Bjorklund, Appl. Phys. Lett. 31, 592 (1977); A. Yariv, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QJ-14, 650 (1978) and references cited therein.
3. N. F. Filippetskii, V. I. Popovichov and V. V. Ragul'skii, JETP Lett. 27, 585 (1978); G. V. Peregudov, M. B. Plotkin, and B. N. Ragozin, Sov. J. Quantum. Electron. 9, 1413 (1979); A. A. Ilyukhin, G. V. Peregudov, M. B. Plotkin, B. N. Ragozin, and V. A. Chirkov, JETP Lett. 29, 328 (1980); D. T. Hon, 11th Int. Quant. Electron. Conf. Digest, and JOS A 70, 635 (1980).

002700

4. W. Liptay, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edit. 8, 177 (1969); P. Baraldi, P. Mirone, and E. S. Guidetti, Z. Naturforsch. 26a, 1852 (1971).
5. For a low-reflectivity conjugator, the reflectivity is proportional to the square of the pump wave intensity. The probe signal is also proportional to the pump wave intensity, giving overall cubic dependence. See, for example, E. E. Bergmann, I. J. Bigio, B. J. Feldman and R. A. Fisher, Opt. Lett. 3, 82 (1978).
6. R. W. Hellwarth and N. George, Opto-Electron. I, 213 (1969).
7. G. A. Kenney-Wallace and C. D. Jonah, Chem. Phys. Lett. 47, 362 (1977).
8. G. Martin and R. W. Hellwarth, Appl. Phys. Lett. 34, 371 (1979).
9. R. L. Abrams and R. C. Lind, Opt. Lett. 2, 94 (1978), and Erratum, Opt. Lett. 3, 205 (1978).
10. J. H. Clark, S. L. Shapiro, A. J. Campillo, and K. R. Winn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 747 (1979).
11. J. R. Murray, J. Goldhar, D. Eimerl and A. Szöke, IEEE J. Quant. Electron. QE-15, 342 (1979).
12. R. T. Hawkins, H. Egger, J. Bokor and C. K. Rhodes, Appl. Phys. Lett. 36, 391 (1980).

Do not place a return address on this page. Do not type anything on this line.

Do not type anything on this line.

Do not type anything on this line.

Feldman

g