10/13/88 (D)

SANDIA REPORT

DR#0576-2

SAND88-0502 • UC-66c
Unlimited Release
Printed July 1988

Acoustical Properties of Drill Strings

Douglas S. Drumheller

Prepared by
Sandia National Laboratories
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185 and Livermore, California 94550
for the United States Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC04-76DP00789

DO NOT MICROFILM
THIS PAGE

Issued by Sandia National Laboratories, operated for the United States Department of Energy by Sandia Corporation.

NOTICE: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government, any agency thereof or any of their contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, any agency thereof or any of their contractors.

Printed in the United States of America
Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

NTIS price codes
Printed copy: A04
Microfiche copy: A01

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Distribution
Category UC-253

SAND88-0502
Unlimited Release
Printed August 1988

SAND--88-0502

DE89 000630

Acoustical Properties of Drill Strings¹

Douglas S. Drumheller
*Geothermal Research Division
Sandia National Laboratories*

ABSTRACT

The recovery of petrochemical and geothermal resources requires extensive drilling of wells to increasingly greater depths. Real-time collection and telemetry of data about the drilling process while it occurs thousands of feet below the surface is an effective way of improving the efficiency of drilling operations. Unfortunately, due to hostile down-hole environments, telemetry of this data is an extremely difficult problem. Currently, commercial systems transmit data to the surface by producing pressure pulses within the portion of the drilling mud enclosed in the hollow steel drill string. Transmission rates are between two and four data bits per second. Any system capable of raising data rates without increasing the complexity of the drilling process will have significant economic impact.

One alternative system is based upon acoustical carrier waves generated within the drill string itself. If developed, this method would accommodate data rates up to 100 bits per second. Unfortunately, the drill string is a periodic structure of pipe and threaded tool joints, the transmission characteristics are very complex and exhibit a banded and dispersive structure. Over the past forty years, attempts to field systems based upon this transmission method have resulted in little success.

This paper examines this acoustical transmission problem in great detail. The basic principles of acoustic wave propagation in the periodic structure of the drill string are examined through theory, laboratory experiment, and field test. The results indicate the existence of frequency bands which are virtually free of attenuation and suitable for data transmission at high bit rates.

¹This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy at Sandia National Laboratories under Contract DE-AC04-76DP00789.

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	5
2	THEORY	6
2.1	The Model	7
2.2	Dispersion Analysis	8
2.3	Transient Analysis	12
2.4	Acoustic Phenomena	14
3	EXPERIMENT	30
3.1	The Apparatus	33
3.2	Results	38
4	FIELD TEST	41
4.1	The Field Test	42
4.2	Data Reduction	42
4.3	Results	44
4.4	Calculations	50
5	CONCLUSIONS	59
6	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	59

List of Figures

1	The Idealized Drill String Geometry.	9
2	Dispersion Curves for New Drill String (Solid Line) and Uniform String (Dashed Line).	16
3	Dispersion Curves for New Drill String (Solid Line) and Old Drill String (Dashed Line).	17
4	Dispersion Curves for New Drill String (Solid Line) and Long Drill String (Dashed Line).	18
5	Impulse Response of 9 Segments.	19
6	Frequency Spectrum of Impulse Response. (The dashed line represents the input pulse.)	20
7	Frequency Spectrum of Fourth Passband of Impulse Response.	21
8	Transmitted Wave Through 9 Segments—5 Sinusoidal Pulses at 525 Hz.	22
9	Initial (Dashed Line) and Transmitted (Solid Line) Frequency Spectra from Figure 8.	23
10	Transmitted Wave Through 9 Segments—5 Sinusoidal Pulses at 960 Hz.	24
11	Initial (Dashed Line) and Transmitted (Solid Line) Frequency Spectra from Figure 10.	25
12	Transmitted Wave Through 9 Segments—10 Sinusoidal Pulses at 960 Hz.	26
13	Initial (Dashed Line) and Transmitted (Solid Line) Frequency Spectra from Figure 12.	27
14	Transmitted Frequency Spectrum Through 19 Segments—10 Sinusoidal Pulses at 960 Hz.	28
15	Transmitted Frequency Spectrum Through 39 Segments—10 Sinusoidal Pulses at 960 Hz.	29
16	Transmitted Wave for Processed Signal.	31
17	Transmitted Wave after Frequency Shifting.	32
18	Experimental Apparatus.	33
19	Initial Wave Resulting from Impact.	35
20	Time-History at the Left Gage Location. (The solid lines are data and the dotted lines are calculation.)	36
21	Time-History at the Right Gage Location. (The solid lines are data and the dotted lines are calculation.)	37
22	Calculated Dispersion Curves for the Scale Model.	38
23	Frequency Spectrum of the Left Gage Location. (The solid lines are data and the dotted lines are calculation.)	39
24	Frequency Spectrum of the Right Gage Location. (The solid lines are data and the dotted lines are calculation.)	40
25	Time History of the 1566-Ft Test.	43
26	Power Spectral Density of the 313-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	44

27	Power Spectral Density of the 627-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	45
28	Power Spectral Density of the 919-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	46
29	Power Spectral Density of the 1253-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	47
30	Power Spectral Density of the 1566-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	48
31	Power Spectral Density of the 313-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	50
32	Power Spectral Density of the 627-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	51
33	Power Spectral Density of the 919-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	52
34	Power Spectral Density of the 1253-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	53
35	Power Spectral Density of the 1566-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	54
36	Power Spectral Density of the 1566-Ft Test with Echos Suppressed. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	55
37	Theoretical Dispersion Curves for the Drill String.	57
38	Theoretical Power Spectral Density of the 313-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)	58

1 INTRODUCTION

Drilling deep wells is an expensive and tedious process, usually accomplished with a bit attached to a rotary drill string. This assembly may be only 5 inches in diameter and more than 5 miles long. Transducers are sometimes used to obtain direct measurement of stress, temperature, ambient gamma-ray radiation and other quantities at the bottom of the well during the drilling process. Transmitting this information to the surface is a problem of paramount importance. The various methods that have been tried include electromagnetic radiation through the rock formation, electrical transmission through an insulated conductor, pressure pulse propagation through the drilling mud, and acoustic wave propagation through the metal drill string. Each of these methods has disadvantages associated with signal attenuation, ambient noise, high temperatures, and compatibility with standard drilling procedures.

The only commercially successful method is the transmission of information by pressure pulse in the drilling mud. A severe limitation of this process is the low transmission rate, about two bits per second. Higher bit rates are precluded by attenuation mechanisms in the drilling mud.

The topic of this paper is telemetry by acoustic waves in the drill string. This method may provide the answer to attaining higher bit rates. One of the first recorded attempts to use this process was in 1948 by Sun Oil Company [1]. They performed a field test to measure acoustic attenuation in a drill string and reported a signal loss of about 12 dB/1000 ft. The test was repeated in 1968, and results showed attenuation ranging from 12 dB/1000 ft in new drill pipe to about 30 dB/1000 ft in badly worn drill pipe [1]. Several patents have been issued on devices to transmit data using this process (for examples see [1], [2], and [3]); however, the high signal losses associated with these devices have resulted in complex systems which have not found wide use.

Drill strings are assembled from 30-ft or 45-ft sections of pipe. The ends of each section have threaded tool joints with cross-sectional areas that are several times greater than the main body of the pipe. If longitudinal acoustic waves are transmitted through this structure, the acoustic impedance of this transmission line is the product of the mass density, bar velocity, and cross-sectional area of the pipe. Any spatial variation in this product along the drill string results in a partial reflection of the acoustic energy. Thus each tool joint causes multiple reflections of the data signal. At first, the number of tool joints seems to preclude this type of telemetry system; however, since the tool joints occur at periodic intervals along the length of the string, transmission is still possible within discretely spaced frequency bands.

A theoretical analysis of an idealized drill string was presented by Barnes and Kirkwood in 1972 [4]. They demonstrated the complexity of this wave propagation problem by identifying its banded frequency structure. Waves propagate without attenuation in half of the bands (passbands), and each of these bands is separated by a region where attenuation is very high (stopbands).

This theoretical work was known to those who were trying to develop a transmission

system. Discrepancies between field measurements and theoretical predictions were reported [1]. Also a *fine structure* was noted within the frequency spectrum of each of the passbands [2], and attributed to imperfections in the tool joints. Unfortunately, no laboratory data was obtained for comparison to the theory. Furthermore the work of Barnes and Kirkwood only predicted the boundaries between the passbands and stopbands. The behavior of waves within each of the passbands was not investigated.

In Section 2 a detailed analysis of the transmission characteristics of a drill string is presented. The results of this analysis show the relationship of this problem to the classical Brillouin wave scattering problem [5]. In addition to the passbands and stopbands, the results show that within each passband, large amounts of wave dispersion are present. Complex resonance effects result not only from the tool joints, but also from the finite length of the drill string. Both these effects combine to produce a complex spike pattern or *fine structure* in the frequency spectrum of each passband. These are the phenomena reported in [2]. This section concludes with a description of a method of transmission which circumvents the problem of wave dispersion in the passbands.

Section 3 contains the description of a laboratory experiment. The acoustical transmission characteristics of a 20th-scale model of a drill string are studied. The purpose of this experiment is two fold: first, to produce a carefully controlled experimental data base for comparison to the theory; and second to develop a scale model as a tool for evaluating designs for the sending and terminating transducers of a telemetry system. Very good comparisons are achieved between theory and experiment.

In Section 4, the relevance of the theory and the laboratory experiment to the actual field environment is investigated. An analysis of a field test performed in 1975 by **Sun Oil Company** is presented. The influences of real drill pipe with low dimensional tolerances, tool joints with complex geometry, and drill string assemblies which are submerged in drilling mud are examined. It is concluded that both the theory and laboratory experiment are in close agreement with these field results; attenuation in the actual field situation is surprisingly low, less than 4 dB/1000 ft; and the major difficulty in designing a telemetry system is not overcoming signal attenuation, but rather suppressing echos and background noise.

2 THEORY

A theory is developed to study acoustical wave propagation in a drill string. The model described in Section 2.1 is one dimensional and linear. Section 2.2 contains the analysis of periodic acoustic disturbances. It is based upon a method of analysis presented by Brillouin [5] more than forty years ago. Examination of Brillouin's work reveals similarities of this problem to many other problems including electrical transmission lines, X-ray defraction, and wave propagation in composite materials [6]. Transient waves in a drill string of finite length are analyzed in Section 2.3. Section 2.4 contains a description of the calculated results.

2.1 The Model

In this section the propagation of plane longitudinal waves along the length of a slender elastic rod is considered. The position along the rod will be denoted by the variable x . Time is denoted by t . The properties of this rod which are important to the present problem are the initial mass density $\rho(x)$, the initial cross-sectional area $a(x)$, and Young's modulus $E(x)$. These quantities are assumed to be functions of position alone. They are not affected by acoustic disturbances, and therefore are not functions of time. In a slender rod the relationship between the axial stress $\sigma(x, t)$, taken positive in compression, and displacement $u(x, t)$ is given by

$$\sigma = -E \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}. \quad (1)$$

If the sound speed $c(x)$ is defined as

$$c^2 = \frac{E}{\rho}, \quad (2)$$

then the total axial force $F(x, t)$ acting over a cross-section of the rod can be written as

$$F = -\rho a c^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}. \quad (3)$$

The equation of motion for the rod is

$$\rho a \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \quad (4)$$

where the particle velocity $v(x, t)$ is defined by

$$v = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}. \quad (5)$$

In these relations the independent variables are time and position. It is useful to define another coordinate, the mass coordinate m , to replace the position coordinate x . The mass coordinate is defined by

$$m = \int_0^x \rho(\zeta) a(\zeta) d\zeta. \quad (6)$$

From Eq. 6

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \rho a \frac{\partial}{\partial m}. \quad (7)$$

Equations 3 and 4 can now be rewritten as

$$F = -z^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial m} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial m} \quad (9)$$

where the impedance z is defined as

$$z = \rho a c . \quad (10)$$

Equations 8 and 9 can be combined to yield

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left(z^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial m} \right) \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t^2} = z^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial m^2} . \quad (12)$$

Equation 12 is in the form of a classical wave equation. This has important implications for the following development.

2.2 Dispersion Analysis

In this section the behavior of time harmonic waves in an infinitely long drill string is investigated. The existence of frequency bands which block propagation of acoustic energy is demonstrated. These bands are separated by regions which pass energy; however, within the passbands different frequencies propagate at different speeds and produce distortion of the signal.

The analysis begins by taking the Fourier transform of Eqn. 12. For zero initial conditions, the result is

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{F}}{dm^2} + K^2 \mathcal{F} = 0 \quad (13)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(m, \omega)$ is the transform of $F(m, t)$, ω is the circular frequency, and

$$K = \frac{\omega}{z} . \quad (14)$$

In the special case when $K(m, \omega)$ is a periodic function of m , Eqn. 13 is in the form of Hill's equation. The Floquet theorem applies to this problem. This theorem states that solutions can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{F} = f(m, \omega) \exp(-i\gamma m) \quad (15)$$

Figure 1: The Idealized Drill String Geometry.

where $f(m, \omega)$ is a periodic function of m with a period equal to $K(m, \omega)$.

For the idealized drill string illustrated in Figure 1, $K(m, \omega)$ is clearly a periodic function of m . This string is composed of two types of elements. The properties of each element are constant and labeled with the subscript ξ where $\xi = 1, 2$. The length of each element is denoted by d_ξ . The mass of each element is denoted by r_ξ where

$$r_\xi = \rho_\xi a_\xi d_\xi . \quad (16)$$

The periodic length of the string in terms of length x is denoted by d and in terms of mass m is denoted by r where

$$d = d_1 + d_2 \quad (17)$$

$$r = r_1 + r_2 . \quad (18)$$

Within each element, K is a constant denoted by K_ξ where

$$K_\xi = \frac{\omega}{\rho_\xi a_\xi c_\xi} . \quad (19)$$

The solution to this problem can be obtained through a procedure demonstrated by Brillouin ([5], p. 180). It is first noted that in an given element s of the drill string the solution to Eqn. 13 is

$$\mathcal{F}_s = A_s \exp(-iK_\xi m) + B_s \exp(iK_\xi m) , \quad (20)$$

where $i^2 = -1$. This solution can be rewritten into the form of Eqn. 15 to obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_s = f_s(m, \omega) \exp(-ikmd/r) , \quad (21)$$

where

$$f_s(m, \omega) = A_s \exp i(kd/r - K_\xi) m + B_s \exp i(kd/r + K_\xi) m . \quad (22)$$

From the transform of Eqn. 9,

$$\mathcal{V}_s = \frac{1}{z_\xi} g_s(m, \omega) \exp(-ikmd/r) , \quad (23)$$

where

$$g_s(m, \omega) = A_s \exp i(kd/r - K_\xi) m - B_s \exp i(kd/r + K_\xi) m . \quad (24)$$

The function $\mathcal{V}_s(m, \omega)$ is the transform of $v(m, t)$ in element s .

Each of the functions $f_s(m, \omega)$ and $g_s(m, \omega)$ is a piece of a periodic function, $f(m, \omega)$ and $g(m, \omega)$. The solutions for each element are connected together through sets of boundary conditions at each of the element joints. The Floquet theorem provides a method for evaluating these functions by considering only two adjacent sets of boundary conditions. For convenience, the joints at $x = 0$ and $x = d_1$ in Figure 1 are used. At both joints it is required that the force and the motion are continuous. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}_{l-1}(0, \omega) = \mathcal{F}_l(0, \omega) \quad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{l-1}(0, \omega) = \mathcal{V}_l(0, \omega) \quad (26)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_l(r_1, \omega) = \mathcal{F}_{l+1}(r_1, \omega) \quad (27)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_l(r_1, \omega) = \mathcal{V}_{l+1}(r_1, \omega) , \quad (28)$$

which is a set of four equations in six unknowns. Substitution of Eqns. 21 and 23, and application of the Floquet theorem gives

$$f_{l-1}(0, \omega) = f_l(0, \omega) \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{1}{z_2} g_{l-1}(0, \omega) = \frac{1}{z_1} g_l(0, \omega) \quad (30)$$

$$f_{l-1}(-r_2, \omega) = f_l(r_1, \omega) \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{1}{z_2} g_{l-1}(-r_2, \omega) = \frac{1}{z_1} g_l(r_1, \omega) , \quad (32)$$

which is a set of four equations in four unknowns.

Equations 29 through 32 result in the following eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_1 & z_2 & z_2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ z_1 e^{\alpha_1 r_1} & z_1 e^{\beta_1 r_1} & z_2 e^{-\alpha_2 r_2} & z_2 e^{-\beta_2 r_2} \\ e^{\alpha_1 r_1} & -e^{\beta_1 r_1} & e^{-\alpha_2 r_2} & -e^{-\beta_2 r_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_l/z_1 \\ B_l/z_1 \\ -A_{l-1}/z_2 \\ -B_{l-1}/z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (33)$$

where

$$\alpha_\xi = i(kd/r - K_\xi) \quad (34)$$

$$\beta_\xi = i(kd/r + K_\xi) . \quad (35)$$

For a nontrivial solution to exist, the determinant of the square matrix in Eqn. 33 must equal zero. This condition is satisfied when

$$\cos kd = \cos\left(\frac{\omega d_1}{c_1}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\omega d_2}{c_2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z_1}{z_2} + \frac{z_2}{z_1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\omega d_1}{c_1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\omega d_2}{c_2}\right) . \quad (36)$$

For the special case when $z_1 = z_2$, the solution to Eqn. 36 is

$$\frac{kd}{\omega} = \frac{d_1}{c_1} + \frac{d_2}{c_2} , \text{ for } z_1 = z_2 . \quad (37)$$

Also when the drill string is uniform so that $z_1 = z_2$ and $c_1 = c_2 = c$, the solution is

$$c = \frac{\omega}{k} , \text{ for a uniform string.} \quad (38)$$

Equation 36 is similar to Eqn. 18 in Barnes and Kirkwood [4]. The major difference is in the comparisons of the left-hand sides. Barnes and Kirkwood's relation contains the term $\cos W$. They claim that W must be real for *lossless transmission*. The present analysis shows that $W = kd$. Real values of W correspond to real values of the wavenumber k . Examination of Eqn. 21 shows that real values of k indeed correspond to solutions which propagate without attenuation. Brillouin discusses Eqn. 36 in great detail. He shows that frequencies which yield real solutions for k are banded and separated by frequencies which yield complex solutions for k . He calls these two types of regions passbands and stopbands. The attenuation in the stopbands is generally quite large. Within each of the passbands the value of the phase velocity ω/k depends upon the value of ω . The drill string functions as a comb filter, and frequencies which propagate in the passbands are dispersed. Thus signals which have broad frequency spectra are severely distorted. Transmission of information by acoustic signal through the drill string is severely limited unless signal processing is used to remove this distortion. The nature of this signal distortion will be examined more fully in Section 2.4.

2.3 Transient Analysis

Two methods for analyzing the behavior of transient waves in a drill string are the method of characteristics and the finite-difference technique. Under the special situations described here, these two approaches are equivalent and result in an efficient method for analyzing the response of a drill string of finite length.

It is first required that the ratio $\mathcal{R}$,

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{d_1/c_1}{d_2/c_2} = \frac{n_1}{n_2}, \quad (39)$$

is a rational number; that is, n_1 and n_2 are integers. It is then possible to choose a time increment Δt so that

$$d_1 = c_1 n_1 \Delta t \quad (40)$$

$$d_2 = c_2 n_2 \Delta t \quad (41)$$

The length coordinate can then be overlaid with mesh points x_n so that the interval

$$\Delta x_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = x_{n+1} - x_n \quad (42)$$

is equal to either d_1/n_1 or d_2/n_2 , depending upon the element of the drill string in which $\Delta x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ is located. Within each of these intervals the mass density, sound speed, and cross-sectional area are constant and denoted by $\rho_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$, $c_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$, and $a_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$. From Eqn. 16

$$\Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \rho_{n+\frac{1}{2}} a_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \Delta x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (43)$$

This system of mesh points can now be used to either develop a finite-difference algorithm for the solution of Eqn. 11 or it can be used to trace characteristic solutions. It is possible to use this system to trace characteristics since the mesh spacing has been chosen so that all waves require a time of Δt to travel from one mesh point to a neighboring mesh point. Thus, if the initial and boundary conditions to the problem result only in shock increments located at mesh points for times which are integer multiplies of Δt , then all characteristics simultaneously intersect the mesh points only at integer multiplies of Δt . The finite-difference algorithm is developed first, and its connection to the method of characteristics is then examined.

The displacement field $u(x, t)$ is approximated by a discrete set of values u_n^j where j and n denote the value at time $j\Delta t$ and position x_n . By using simple-centered finite differences, the velocity, and acceleration are [7]

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)_n^{j+\frac{1}{2}} = (u_n^{j+1} - u_n^j) / \Delta t \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}\right)_n^j &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)_n^{j+\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)_n^{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &= (u_n^{j+1} - 2u_n^j + u_n^{j-1}) / \Delta t^2.\end{aligned}\quad (45)$$

Equation 11 becomes

$$\begin{aligned}u_n^{j+1} - 2u_n^j + u_n^{j-1} &= \\ \frac{2(\Delta t)^2}{\Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}}} &\left[z_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^2 \left(\frac{u_{n+1}^j - u_n^j}{\Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \right) - z_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^2 \left(\frac{u_n^j - u_{n-1}^j}{\Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right]\end{aligned}\quad (46)$$

By noting that

$$z_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \rho_{n+\frac{1}{2}} a_{n+\frac{1}{2}} c_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}} / \Delta t, \quad (47)$$

the following finite-difference algorithm is obtained:

$$u_n^{j+1} + u_n^{j-1} = \frac{2\Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}}} u_{n+1}^j + \frac{2\Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}}} u_{n-1}^j. \quad (48)$$

To illustrate the connection of this relationship to the method of characteristics, the results for an incident wave interacting with a material impedance change at mesh point n are used [8]. For an incident wave U_I traveling in the positive x direction, the resulting reflected wave U_R and transmitted wave U_T are (see Zel'dovich and Raizer [8])

$$U_R = \frac{\Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} U_I \quad (49)$$

$$U_T = \frac{2\Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} U_I, \quad (50)$$

and for an incident wave traveling the negative x direction

$$U_R = \frac{\Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} U_I \quad (51)$$

$$U_T = \frac{2\Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} U_I. \quad (52)$$

Since all incident waves only interact with mesh points at times $j\Delta t$, these relations are only required for computations at positions x_n and times $j\Delta t$. It is a straightforward, although jejune, exercise to demonstrate that Eqn. 48 is equivalent to repeated application of Eqn. 49 through 52 for all possible combinations of waves at time $(j-1)\Delta t$ which could affect the solution at time $(j+1)\Delta t$ and position x_n .

This special choice of mesh spacing has another advantage. The time increment Δt is called the critical time step [7]. For other problems in which the meshes are not spaced by the critical time step, instabilities and oscillations are produced by the numerical algorithm. An artificial viscosity term is normally employed to dampen these oscillations. Because of the special nature of the present problem which allows this uniform spacing in time, the calculations do not exhibit these numerical artifacts and artificial viscosity is not required.

Boundary conditions must also be specified at the left and right boundaries of the drill string. Either the displacement u_n^j or the force $F_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^j$ can be specified.

The force boundary condition requires the differenced form of Eqn. 3 which is

$$F_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^j = \Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}} (u_{n-1}^j - u_n^j) / \Delta t^2. \quad (53)$$

The displacement boundary condition can also be used to produce the effect of an infinite uniform material extending beyond the boundary position. The resulting boundary conditions are

$$u_n^j = \begin{cases} \mathcal{U}_n^j & \text{displacement} \\ \frac{(\Delta t)^2 \mathcal{T}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^j}{\Delta r_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} + u_{n+1}^j & \text{left force} \\ -\frac{(\Delta t)^2 \mathcal{T}_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^j}{\Delta r_{n-\frac{1}{2}}} + u_{n-1}^j & \text{right force} \\ u_{n+1}^{j-1} & \text{left infinite} \\ u_{n-1}^{j-1} & \text{right infinite} \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

The functions $\mathcal{U}_n^j$, $\mathcal{T}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^j$, and $\mathcal{T}_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^j$ are arbitrary functions describing the applied displacements and forces at the boundaries of the problem.

Equation 48 is used to compute the transient response of the drill string. If the string extends from $n = 0$ to $n = N$, then u_n^0 and u_n^1 is specified for $n = 0, \dots, N$. This is equivalent to specifying the initial values of displacement and velocity of the drill string. Equation 48 is then used to compute values of u_n^2 for $n = 1, \dots, N-1$ and Eqn. 54 is used to compute values for u_0^2 and u_N^2 . The process is repeated for increasing values of j .

2.4 Acoustic Phenomena

The preceding sections contain the development of a model for a drill string and the analysis for both periodic waves in a drill string of infinite length and transient waves in

Table 1: Drill String Parameters

parameter	pipe		tool joint	
	regular	long	new	used
a_{ξ}	3.80 in ²	3.80 in ²	20.0 in ²	13.1 in ²
d_{ξ}	342 in	360 in	18.0 in	18.0 in

a drill string of finite length. In this section, results are presented for a representative steel drill string. A specific gravity of 7.87 and a bar velocity of 202,000 in/s are used. The other parameters necessary for these calculations are listed in Table 1.² Note that the cross-sectional area and therefore the total mass of the tool joints decreases approximately 35 percent during normal usage. This reduction is due to abrasion of the tool joints by the natural formation and the well casing. Both new and used pipe are included to illustrate the effects of wear upon the acoustic dispersion characteristics. The effects of length are also illustrated with both regular and long drill pipe.

For clarity, this section begins by examining the response of a uniform drill string in which the cross section of the tool joints is equal to that of the drill pipe. The solution to Eqn. 36 for this case is illustrated by the straight dashed line in Figure 2. This is a plot of real wavenumber k versus frequency ω . The velocity of propagation of a given frequency is represented by the ratio ω/k which is called the phase velocity. For the uniform drill string, this ratio is constant and equal to the bar velocity of steel as given by Eqn. 2. When waves which contain multiple frequency components travel through this string, they do not distort since all frequency components remain in the same relative position; however, when curvature is present in this plot, each frequency travels at a different speed, and distortion results. For this reason these plots are called dispersion curves.

The dispersion curves for the new drill string with regular length pipe are also shown in Figure 2. Unlike the uniform drill string, curvature is present. Also, gaps exist. These are frequency bands in which the wavenumber is complex, indicating attenuation. The curves represent passbands, and the gaps represent stopbands.

Although an infinite number of passbands exist, only the first five are shown here. The first passband ends at a frequency of 235 Hz. The wavenumber at this frequency is $k = \pi/d$; that is, the wavelength is twice the periodic length of the string. The other boundaries occur at integer multiples of this wavenumber. For a given frequency, Eqn. 36 yields multiple solutions for k . Each produces an identical waveform within the drill string. For this reason the additional solutions within the passbands are not

²These parameters represent 4-in nominal, steel drill pipe which weighs 14 lbs/ft with 4-in, grade X95, steel tool joints. The new and used tool joints in this table conform to class I and class III American Petroleum Institute specifications [9].

Figure 2: Dispersion Curves for New Drill String (Solid Line) and Uniform String (Dashed Line).

Figure 3: Dispersion Curves for New Drill String (Solid Line) and Old Drill String (Dashed Line).

plotted. This multivalued characteristic of the solutions is discussed in detail in [5].

For a linear problem, a transient wave can be decomposed into its frequency components. Many times, the dominant frequency components are grouped together into one or more bands. Instead of propagating at the phase velocity, each of these groups of waves propagates with a velocity determined by the slope of the dispersion curve, $d\omega/dk$, evaluated at the center frequency of the band. This is called the group velocity. When attenuation is low, it represents the speed of propagation of the energy contained in the group of waves. The group velocity and the phase velocity are constant and equal in the uniform string. In the new drill string, they are different and both are functions of frequency.

Figure 3 shows the dispersion curve for the old drill string and its comparison to the new drill string. The effect of the reduced cross-sectional area of the tool joints is obvious. The stopbands are narrower. There is also a significant change in the predicted group velocities for the old drill string. The process of wear has a pronounced influence upon the transmission characteristics.

Figure 4: Dispersion Curves for New Drill String (Solid Line) and Long Drill String (Dashed Line).

Figure 4 shows the comparison of the new drill string with regular length pipe to the new drill string with long pipe. Again, a significant influence can be seen in the comparison. This suggests that drill strings composed of random lengths of pipe have significantly narrowed passbands.

Telemetry of data involves transmitting waves with complex transient shapes through drill strings of finite length. A clearer understanding of the relationship of the dispersion curves to this problem is obtained by using the algorithm developed in Section 2.3.

Both the old and new drill strings are divided into the same number of mesh intervals. For the tool joints, $n_1 = 1$, and for the pipe, $n_2 = 19$ (see Eqn. 39). In the calculations, 9 pipe elements separated by 10 tool joints are used. Also a long element of drill pipe is included between the left boundary of the problem and the beginning of the drill string. This long element is included to isolate the left boundary from the influences of the tool joints; that is, the left boundary is effectively at an infinite distance from the beginning of the drill string. A specified velocity condition of unit amplitude is used for at left boundary, and an infinite material condition is used at the

Figure 5: Impulse Response of 9 Segments.

right boundary.

The first calculation illustrates the response of the new drill string with regular length pipe to an impulse of unit amplitude. An infinitely narrow impulse contains a frequency spectrum with equal amounts of all frequencies. If the frequency content of the transmitted wave is examined at the right boundary, the response of the drill string to all frequencies is determined.

Because of the finite-differencing algorithm used in these calculations, the input pulse cannot be infinitely narrow but must have a minimum width of $\Delta t = 89 \mu s$. The transmitted wave which results from this impulse is shown in Figure 5. The waveform is a burst of rapid oscillations which has a significant amplitude for a large fraction of second. If a Fast Fourier Transform is taken of this waveform, the frequency spectrum in Figure 6 is obtained.³ The dashed line is the spectrum of the input pulse. This plot clearly shows that after traveling through only nine segments of drill pipe, all but the lowest of the stopbands have effectively blocked their frequency components.

³This transform was made using a sampling interval of $\Delta t = 89 \mu s$ and 8192 points.

Figure 6: Frequency Spectrum of Impulse Response. (The dashed line represents the input pulse.)

Figure 7: Frequency Spectrum of Fourth Passband of Impulse Response.

In comparison, frequencies in the passbands have propagated with little reduction in amplitude.

Intuitively, Figure 6 should contain sharp jumps at the edges of the passbands and no attenuation within these bands. It does not, partly because of the limited sampling time used for the Fourier transform, about 0.72 s. Figure 2 shows that near the edges of the passbands, the group velocity drops to zero. Therefore some of the energy of the impulse cannot reach the right boundary during the sampling time.

Another cause of this structure is the finite length of drill string. Figure 7 shows an expanded view of the fourth passband in Figure 6. A fine structure similar to that reported in [2] is evident as a series of spikes spaced about 20 Hz apart. All the passbands have the same number of spikes. This number is related to the number of segments of pipe in the drill string. For example, calculations of strings with 20 segments produce about 20 spikes.

The explanation for this phenomenon is simple. The width of each passband is determined by the frequency change required to fit an additional half wavelength into each segment. Thus in a drill string with ten tool joints and 9 pipes, there are 9

Figure 8: Transmitted Wave Through 9 Segments—5 Sinusoidal Pulses at 525 Hz.

frequencies within the interior of each passband for which an integer number of half wavelengths will fit into the total length of the string. A resonance occurs at each of these frequencies and manifests itself as an individual spike in Figure 7.

In past efforts to use the drill string as a data transmission line, bursts of sinusoidal waves have been used to transmit information. For example, a packet of five waves can be used to indicate a one in a sequence of data bits. Consequently, it is informative to examine the behavior of this type of wave packet. Figure 8 contains a plot of the transmitted wave profile produced by five sinusoidal waves of unit amplitude and frequency of 525 Hz. As with the impulse results, the transmitted wave bears no resemblance to the input wave. The oscillations are greatly reduced in amplitude and continue for a long period of time. By referring back to Figure 2, it is noted that the dominant frequency of this wave packet is located in the center of the second stopband. Consequently, the source of this drastic change in the form of the wave is easily understood. Figure 9 shows a comparison of the frequency spectra of the input and transmitted waves. They differ significantly in that the stopbands have removed groups of frequencies from the wave. What is not illustrated by Figure 9 is that the

Figure 9: Initial (Dashed Line) and Transmitted (Solid Line) Frequency Spectra from Figure 8.

Figure 10: Transmitted Wave Through 9 Segments—5 Sinusoidal Pulses at 960 Hz.

remaining frequencies have also been dispersed due to the curvature of the dispersion curves.

Figures 10 and 11 contain plots of the transmitted wave and a comparison of the input and transmitted frequency spectra for a packet of five oscillations of 960 Hz frequency. In the Cox and Chaney patent [1], this is considered to be an optimum transmission frequency. It falls in the middle of the fourth passband. Again it is observed that the input spectrum has frequencies which overlap into the adjacent stopbands. The transmitted signal is heavily attenuated and dispersed. These problems can be partially overcome by using a narrower input spectrum. This is achieved by increasing the number of pulses in the input wave from five to ten. Figures 12 and 13 contain the results for this case. Most of the dominant lobe of the input spectrum is present in the transmitted wave; however, due to dispersion within the passbands, the transmitted wave is still distorted. The peak amplitude of the transmitted wave is the best of all the cases illustrated so far, but the form of the wave does not indicate that it originally came from a signal with ten pulses.

Figure 13 also exhibits the fine structure discussed earlier. As before 9 spikes are

Figure 11: Initial (Dashed Line) and Transmitted (Solid Line) Frequency Spectra from Figure 10.

Figure 12: Transmitted Wave Through 9 Segments—10 Sinusoidal Pulses at 960 Hz.

Figure 13: Initial (Dashed Line) and Transmitted (Solid Line) Frequency Spectra from Figure 12.

Figure 14: Transmitted Frequency Spectrum Through 19 Segments—10 Sinusoidal Pulses at 960 Hz.

present in the dominant lobe. The results for transmission through 19 and 39 lengths of pipe are shown in Figures 14 and 15. For 39 lengths of pipe, the spikes are so numerous and small in amplitude that they virtually disappear. Their disappearance from the spectra for the longer strings is due to the fixed sampling time used for the Fourier transform. The spikes result from resonance effects produced by echos across the entire length of the drill string. Longer strings have longer echo times. Thus with a fixed sampling time, less energy from the echos is included in the samples of the longer strings.

Interpretation of experimental results can be confused by these phenomena. For example, a simple comparison of the relative amplitudes of the dominant frequencies in Figures 13 and 14 indicates an apparent attenuation in the signal energy of 4.6 dB/1000 ft. The implication of this result might be that every 1000 feet of the drill string produces an additional 4.6 dB of energy loss. However, this is not the case. In these calculations there is no physical dissipation mechanism, and hence there is no attenuation. Only distortion exists, and as Figures 14 and 15 show, this decreases

Figure 15: Transmitted Frequency Spectrum Through 39 Segments—10 Sinusoidal Pulses at 960 Hz.

dramatically with propagation distance.

These examples suggest a method for processing data signals and compensating for the effects of the stopbands and dispersion. The method is simple. First, transmit information only in the passbands and away from the edges of the stopbands. Second, compensate for dispersion by multiplying each frequency component by $\exp(-ikL)$, where L is the transmission length. When a large amount of ambient noise is present, it is best to transform the signal before transmission. This results in an undispersed signal at the receiver position which is more easily recognized.

The input signal shown in Figure 9 is used to illustrate this method. From a practical point of view, due to the broad frequency range of the signal, this is an extreme case. To transmit the data, each frequency component in Figure 9 is shifted to a different frequency which falls in a passband of the drill string. This allows all of the data frequencies shown in Figure 9 to be transmitted on carrier frequencies which are not blocked. After transmission, the carrier frequencies are shifted back to the original data frequencies.

For this example, only carrier frequencies with group velocities greater than 70 percent of the maximum group velocity within each of the first sixteen passbands are used. This frequency-shifted signal is then transformed by the factor $\exp(-ikL)$ and used as a displacement boundary condition to drive the first segment in the drill string.⁴ Figure 16 illustrates this wave after it has propagated through ten lengths of pipe. In comparison to Figure 8, the signal is much stronger and less dispersed. If the carrier frequencies of this signal are now shifted back to their original positions in the data spectrum, the inverse transform of the resulting spectrum gives Figure 17. The five pulses of the original signal are clearly evident. Furthermore, the calculations show that the amplitude and form of this wave do not change when transmitted through much longer drill strings.

3 EXPERIMENT

Section 2 presents a detailed theoretical analysis of the acoustical characteristics of the drill string. It is shown that the periodic structure formed by the pipe and tool joint sections results in frequency filtering. A comb filter is produced which exhibits alternating passbands and stopbands. Within each passband significant phase distortion is present. The effects of standing waves produced by multiple echos between opposite ends of a finite-length drill string also manifest themselves through numerous spikes within each of the passbands of the transmission spectrum. The results of this analysis illustrate the importance of suppressing echos and compensating for phase distortion of the signal.

In this section these phenomena are examined through experiment on a 20th-scale

⁴For this calculation, the long section of pipe is removed to insure that the signal produced by the boundary condition enters the drill string.

Figure 16: Transmitted Wave for Processed Signal.

Figure 17: Transmitted Wave after Frequency Shifting.

Figure 18: Experimental Apparatus.

model of a drill string. Section 3.1 contains a description of the construction of the model and the experimental apparatus which is used to test the impulse response of this model. Section 3.2 describes the results and compares them to calculations obtained from the theory developed in Section 2.

3.1 The Apparatus

The experimental apparatus is illustrated in Figure 18. The model of the drill string is shown at the top of this drawing. It consists of a 15-ft section of hardened, $\frac{1}{4}$ -in diameter, copper water pipe. Nine copper collars are soldered at 18-in intervals along the length of this pipe. The dimensions of these elements are listed in Table 2. This model approximates to 20th scale a nominal $4\frac{1}{2}$ -in, 16.6-lbs/ft, American Petroleum Institute drill pipe [9]. Centered at 4 in from each end of the scale model are two sets of strain gages. They are semiconductor gages, Type S/AHP-10,000-300, manufactured by Kulite Semiconductor Products, Inc. They have a nominal resistance of $10,000 \Omega$, an active gage length of 0.3 in, and a gage factor of 175. The actual resistance of

Table 2: Model Parameters

parameter	pipe	collar
outside diameter	0.252 in	0.404 in
inside diameter	0.200 in	0.252 in
length of section	17 in	1 in

the gages varies about $\pm 10\%$ of the nominal value; however, the gages are paired so that the variation within a set is within $\pm 5\%$. The mounted gages are calibrated by applying a bending moment to the scale model at each location and measuring the resistance change of each individual gage with a completion bridge. Within a gage pair the relative calibration is within 2%; however, the sensitivity of the gages at the left location is 1.26 times the sensitivity of the gages at the right location.

An impulse load is imparted to this scale model at the left end using a short length of the copper water pipe attached to a slender cantilever beam, a $\frac{1}{16}$ -in spring steel wire. When this hammer is drawn back approximately one inch and released, impact activates the external trigger of the oscilloscope by means of the circuit shown in Figure 18. The resulting stress wave is approximately $60 \mu\text{s}$ in duration and on the order of 1500 lbs/in^2 in amplitude. After impact, the hammer separates, resulting in a stress-free boundary at the left end of the scale model.

This disturbance is measured at each gage location. As shown in Figure 18, each set of gages are connected to a completion bridge. By connecting the gages to opposite sides of the bridge and balancing the bridges so that each gage is subject to an equal voltage, the output signal of each bridge is sensitive to the average axial strain and insensitive to bending motion. The bridge is driven by a 30-v power supply, and the output is connected to a Nicolet digitizing oscilloscope, Model 2090. This device can digitize and store 4000 data points per channel. The data is digitized at a rate of one point per $5 \mu\text{s}$ which corresponds to a total recording time of 20 ms. The nominal calibration of the bridges is 381 microstrains per volt; however, the measured calibrations using the method described above are 273 microstrains per volt for the left gages, and 344 microstrains per volt for the right gages.

Immediately after impact, the left set of gages record the resulting right-traveling wave. The wave reflected from the first collar does not return to this gage location until more than $200 \mu\text{s}$ have elapsed. A typical record of this initial wave is shown in Figure 19 which is a plot of voltage versus time after impact. Only the digitized data points are plotted. Ideally, this plot should contain a square wave of approximately $12 \mu\text{s}$ duration [8]. The actual duration is much larger due to misalignment of the hammer and curvature of the impact surfaces. These errors also produce bending waves in the scale model. The axial strains resulting from these bending waves are measured to be less than 10% of the amplitude of the maximum longitudinal motion.

Figure 19: Initial Wave Resulting from Impact.

Figure 20: Time-History at the Left Gage Location. (The solid lines are data and the dotted lines are calculation.)

Because of the relative position of the two gages at each location, bending motion produces equal and opposite strains in each gage and results in self-canceling voltages in the completion circuit.

The solid lines in Figures 20 and 21 represent a typical time history collected at both the left and right gage locations. The dotted lines represent the calculated results. They will be discussed in the next section. Axial strain is plotted against time after impact. On this scale of time, the numerous reflections from each of the collars can be seen. The effects of reflections from free surfaces at both ends of the scale model are also present.

By looking in detail at Figure 20, the first positive pulse can be identified as the initial wave resulting from the impact. This is the wave shown in Figure 19. The next positive pulse is the left-traveling wave reflected from the first collar. This is followed immediately by a negative right-traveling wave which is a reflection from the free surface at the impact boundary.

The time delay before arrival of the first wave in Figure 21 is due to the travel time

Figure 21: Time-History at the Right Gage Location. (The solid lines are data and the dotted lines are calculation.)

Figure 22: Calculated Dispersion Curves for the Scale Model.

along the length of the scale model. It is obvious through comparisons of these two figures that the data in Figure 21 contains significantly lower frequencies than the data in Figure 20. The scale model has acted as a frequency filter.

3.2 Results

The analysis presented in Section 2 of this work can be used to calculate the response of both time-harmonic and transient waves. Figure 22 contains the dispersion curves for the scale model. These calculations are obtained using a specific gravity for copper of 8.96 and a bar velocity of 143,000 in/s.⁵

These dispersion curves illustrate why the high frequencies are trapped at the impact

⁵The apparatus described in the last section was used to measure the wave velocity in a 14-ft length of copper water pipe. A value between 143,000 and 144,000 in/s was measured. This is slightly lower than the nominal value of 148,000 in/s for pure, oxygen-free copper. Since only trace elements are present in the copper used to manufacture this pipe, the discrepancy is believed to be due to the oxides induced during manufacturing.

Figure 23: Frequency Spectrum of the Left Gage Location. (The solid lines are data and the dotted lines are calculation.)

location on the left end of the scale model. As discussed in Section 2, these curves map the passbands, and the stopbands are indicated by the gaps between the curves. As the frequency increases, the width of the stopbands increases indicating that more of these frequencies are blocked by the filtering effect of the periodic structure. This is verified by performing a Fourier transform of the 16 ms of time-history data. Figures 23 and 24 contain the results. The dotted curves in these plots are theoretical results which will be discussed shortly. The vertical dotted lines are the predicted boundaries of the passbands shown in Figure 22. Figure 23 which contains the results for the left gage location, shows large amounts of energy contained within the stopbands. These frequencies have been trapped at the impact end of the scale model. Figure 24 shows that at the opposite end from the impact, significant amounts of energy reside mostly within the passbands.

Within each passband the data exhibit a regular pattern of spikes. As discussed in Sections 2 and 3, this pattern is attributable to a standing-wave effect produced by repeated echos between the left and right boundaries of the scale model. The number

Figure 24: Frequency Spectrum of the Right Gage Location. (The solid lines are data and the dotted lines are calculation.)

of spikes in each passband correlates to the number of collars on the scale model.

This experiment can also be modelled using the transient wave analysis contained in Section 2. The first 170 μ s of the initial wave resulting from the hammer blow, see Figure 19, can be used as the left boundary condition for the calculation. For later times this boundary is assumed to be stress free. The mesh size used for this calculation is 0.25 in. The time-histories resulting from this calculation as well as the Fourier transform of these histories are shown as dotted lines in Figures 20, 21, 23, and 24.

In Figure 20 the error between the calculation and the data is primarily in the over prediction of the pulse amplitudes. However, the overall agreement with the data is quite good, and most of the fine detail of the late-time wave profile is accurately represented in the calculation.

In Figure 21 the comparison is even better. Exceptionally fine detail is accurately represented by the calculation. It is again noted that no shifting or rescaling of the data or calculation has been used. Both the times and amplitudes are recorded as measured and as calculated.

The Fourier transforms of the calculated waves are shown in Figures 23 and 24. The pattern of spikes match well in frequency. The major discrepancies between the amplitude of the calculated spectrum and the data occurs both in the second passband at about 6 kHz and in the third stopband at about 11 kHz. The 11-kHz discrepancy correlates with the over-calculated amplitudes in Figure 20.

4 FIELD TEST

Sections 2 and 3 contain results from both theoretical analysis and laboratory experiment on an idealized model of a drill string. The results of that work show the relationship of the telemetry problem to the classical Brillouin wave scattering problem [5]. The system exhibits a transmission spectrum which has passbands and stopbands. Within each passband, wave dispersion is present but attenuation is very low or absent. These phenomena result from the resonance effects between neighboring tool joints. The finite length of the drill string also produces resonances. When these finite-length effects are superimposed on the Brillouin scattering response, a complex spike pattern or *fine structure* appears in the frequency spectrum of each passband.

All of these phenomena are predicted by analysis of an idealized system in which wave absorption is ignored. The actual system is composed of drill pipe with low dimensional tolerances, tool joints with complex geometry, and drill string assemblies which are submerged in drilling mud. Ultimately, the effects of these irregular structures and sources of attenuation can only be judged through a field test. It is the purpose of this section to examine the magnitude of these effects and the relevance of the idealized system in predicting the response of the field system.

Section 4.1 contains a description of a field test performed by Sun Oil Company in 1975. The analog data collected from this test are digitized and analyzed by a method

described in Section 4.2. In Section 4.3, comparisons are made to the theory developed in Section 2 and to the laboratory experiment reported in Section 3.

4.1 The Field Test

Cox and Chaney [1] describe a field test which was performed by Sun Oil Company in July, 1975. It was the basis for their patent on an acoustical telemetry system. The description of the field test and the format in which the results were presented were incomplete. However, discussions with Preston Chaney provided additional information about the field test and the original data tape.

Some information about the test is still missing, and the design of the test precludes a careful quantitative analysis of the data. In spite of these deficiencies, the results provide important and unique information concerning the behavior of acoustic waves in a drill string submerged in a well filled with drilling mud.

The test was designed to examine the frequency response of a drill string constructed of $4\frac{1}{2}$ -in drill pipe with a nominal weight of 16.6 lbs/ft. The type of tool joints used on this string are unknown. They were either API regular or API full hole [9]. Both types are of the same length and have approximately the same cross-sectional area. The total length of each section of pipe with tool joints was 31.3 ft. This string was suspended in a relatively straight well which was filled with drilling mud. An acoustic pulse was generated by screwing a steel cap onto the top of the string and striking it with a ball peen hammer. The signal which traveled the length of the drill string was measured by a transducer described only as a "conventional crystal accelerometer" [1]. The analog signal produced by the accelerometer was recorded on a cassette tape. The accelerometer, tape recorder and power supply were placed within a sealed chamber which was mounted to the bottom of the drill string. Signals were measured at five depths; 313, 627,⁶ 919, 1253, and 1566 ft. At each depth, the drill string was supported at the drilling rig platform with slips, and ten hammer blows were delivered spaced at intervals of one second.

The acoustical transfer functions of the steel cap, support system, sealed chamber, and accelerometer are unknown. It can only be assumed that they do not change as a function of depth. The boundaries of the passbands within the drill string and the fine structure within each passband can be examined without this information. If it is also assumed that the hammer blows at each depth are identical, comparisons of the data signals for each depth also give information about the evolution of an acoustic wave as it travels along the drill string.

4.2 Data Reduction

The analog data contained on the cassette tape was originally digitized using equipment normally employed for analyzing geophysical data. This equipment had a sampling interval of 2 ms. To accommodate this slow sampling rate, the data tape was dubbed

⁶This depth was incorrectly reported in [1] as 527 ft.

Figure 25: Time History of the 1566-Ft Test.

to another tape for playback at a four-times slower rate. This was repeated again to produce a total factor of sixteen reduction in speed. The final tape was digitized to obtain the results contained in [1].

For the present work, the original tape was digitized at a sampling interval of $50 \mu\text{s}$ using the data reduction facilities at **Sandia National Laboratories**. This rate corresponded to a Nyquist critical frequency of 10 kHz. At each depth, three one-second records were digitized; a noise sample, the first hammer blow, and the last hammer blow. A string of zeros was then appended to the ends of the data sets containing the hammer blows. These zeros were used to increase the length of each set to $2^{16} = 65,536$ samples.

A Fast Fourier Transform was taken of these data sets. As few as $2^{14} = 16,384$ samples and as many as $2^{16} = 65,536$ samples were used. The only difference between these two transforms was in the refinement in the resolution of the fine structure within each observed passband. The largest number of points resulted in frequency spectra computed at intervals of 0.15 Hz.

Figure 25 is a plot of the digitized signal resulting from the first hammer blow

Figure 26: Power Spectral Density of the 313-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

recorded at the 1566-ft depth. Cox and Chaney estimate the duration of the surface signal to be on the order of 1 ms. The signal received by the accelerometer is severely distorted. Even after 0.25 s, ringing is observed which is several times greater than the amplitude of the ambient noise.

4.3 Results

The Fourier transforms of the noise samples show no unusual characteristics. Also, the spectral content of the two digitized hammer blows at each depth are approximately equal. Consequently, only the spectral content of the first hammer blow at each depth is included in these results. Figures 26 through 30 contain the spectra from each of the five depths. These figures are plots of the relative power spectral density versus frequency. At the two shallowest depths, two passbands are clearly evident as a series of sharp spikes, and at the other depths three passbands are evident. These bands are centered at 370, 620, and 870 Hz. Although not shown, no passbands are evident

Figure 27: Power Spectral Density of the 627-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

Figure 28: Power Spectral Density of the 919-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

Figure 29: Power Spectral Density of the 1253-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

Figure 30: Power Spectral Density of the 1566-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

between 1,200 and 10,000 Hz.⁷

Theoretical estimates of the boundaries of the passbands are also included in these figures. They are illustrated as vertical dotted lines. The method by which these calculations are obtained will be described in Section 4.4. For the present, it is worth noting the good agreement between the theory and the data. In all cases the calculations place the passband slightly higher than the data. The fundamental passband extends from 0 to 219 Hz. The data indicate an absence of energy in this passband.

The absence of any indication in the data of the either the first passband or the fifth and higher passbands is undoubtedly due to the lack of energy in these frequencies as a result of the hammer blow. The reason for the absence of energy in the second passband in the two shallowest records is not apparent. One can only speculate that the increased weight of the longer drill strings may have *tuned* the support structure to the frequencies within this passband or the nature of the hammer blows may have changed.

The data in Figures 26 through 30 are replotted in Figures 31 through 35 on a scale which more clearly illustrates the response in the third passband. The spikes which appear in these data are due to repeated echos bouncing back and forth between the top and bottom of the drill string. As the depth increases, attenuation due to the presence of the drilling mud weakens both the echos and the features which they produce within the passbands.

To illustrate this point, the 1566-ft record, Figures 30 and 35, can be used for an example calculation of the effect of echo suppression. Due to the long length of this drill string, the echos are more clearly separated from the primary signal, and the echo can be suppressed in a crude fashion by simply clipping the trailing portion of the data signal. Based on the bar velocity of steel, the first echo should appear at 0.19 s after the first signal arrival. If the data shown in Figure 25 is replaced by zeros after this point in time, the spectrum shown in Figure 36 is obtained. The spikes are now absent. This process has a similar effect on all the data sets; however, at the shallower depths more of the primary signal is clipped along with the echos.

As discussed in Section 2.4, the lower boundary of each passband corresponds to a frequency where an integer number of half wavelengths fits into each section of the drill string. The high frequency boundary of the same passband has one additional half wavelength in each section. Thus for a drill string with eleven tool joints and ten sections of pipe, ten half wavelengths are introduced into the total length of the drill string as the frequency increases from the lower boundary to the upper boundary of a passband. As each half wavelength is added, a resonance occurs between the ends of the string. Thus the interior of each passband should exhibit ten resonance spikes. Figure 31 illustrates the response of a string with ten pipes. Ten major spikes are

⁷These results differ significantly from those originally published in Cox and Chaney's patent [1]. There the passbands are wider, devoid of spikes, less distinct, and at somewhat higher frequencies. For example, their results place the center of the highest passband at 950 Hz instead of 870 Hz. Unfortunately, the transmission system they propose in their patent makes use of a 940 Hz frequency channel which by the present results falls within a stopband.

Figure 31: Power Spectral Density of the 313-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

Figure 32: Power Spectral Density of the 627-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

Figure 33: Power Spectral Density of the 919-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

Figure 34: Power Spectral Density of the 1253-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

Figure 35: Power Spectral Density of the 1566-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

Figure 36: Power Spectral Density of the 1566-Ft Test with Echos Suppressed. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

present in this passband. Minor spikes are also present. The most likely causes of these weaker resonances are the variation in the length of drill pipe sections and irregular wear of the individual tool joints. As much as a one-foot variation is normally allowed in the pipe length, and a 30 percent reduction of cross-sectional area at the tool joints is common.

The spectra of the two passbands at the 313-ft depth each exhibit the same number of spikes. At the 627-ft depth, 20 pipe sections are present. Twenty spikes should be exhibited by the passband shown in Figure 32. In this case about 16 or 17 are present. The imperfections in the structure have a more pronounced effect; however, in this case and the remaining spectra, a strong correlation exists between the number of spikes within each passband and the number of pipe sections within the drill string.

In the record from the deepest test, the existence of the spike pattern indicates that a significant amount of energy traveled three times the length of the drill string or 4700 ft. Comparison of the amplitudes of the 313-ft to the 1566-ft records also gives an estimate of the signal attenuation of 4 dB/1000 ft of propagation. This estimate of attenuation may be high, since this would indicate an echo with a strength of approximately 6 percent of the primary signal at the 1566-ft depth. The spike structure in Figure 35 suggests the echos are about twice that strength.

4.4 Calculations

The theory developed in Section 2 can be compared to the field test results. Since the energy spectra produced by the hammer blows and the transfer functions of various components of the system are unknown, only limited comparisons can be made. The idealized model of the drill string has the following characteristics:

- The cross-sectional area of the pipe is 4.41 in^2 .
- The type of tool joint is unknown, but the most probable types all have about the same cross-sectional area. Abrasion of the tool joints may cause larger errors. A value of 19.6 in^2 is used. This value corresponds to a new full-hole tool joint [9].
- The total length of each section is 376 in.
- The length of the tool joint is chosen so that the weight of the actual tool joint equals the weight of the idealized tool joint. The length is 23.5 in.

The eigenvalue analysis in Section 2.2 can be used to determine the response of an infinite drill string to periodic longitudinal acoustic waves. The resulting dispersion curve is shown in Figure 37, and the boundaries of the passbands are shown as vertical dotted lines in Figures 26 through 36. A bar velocity of 198,800 in/s is used in these calculations. This corresponds to a Young's modulus for steel of $29 \times 10^6 \text{ lbs/in}^2$. This is considered a low but acceptable value for steel. However, the calculations still place the passbands at a slightly higher frequency than the data. Modification of the tool

Figure 37: Theoretical Dispersion Curves for the Drill String.

Figure 38: Theoretical Power Spectral Density of the 313-Ft Test. (The vertical dotted lines indicate the calculated boundaries of the passbands.)

joint dimensions cannot be used to improve this comparison, since the width as well as the position of the passband is affected.⁸ However, even if a higher bar velocity for steel is used, 202,000 in/s corresponding to a modulus of 30×10^6 lbs/in², the correlation between these calculations and the field data is quite good.

A transient wave calculation can also be made using the analysis in Section 2.3. The 313-ft drill string is used for this calculation. Complete tool joints are placed at both ends of the drill string. The bottom boundary condition is a free surface. The top boundary condition is a displacement condition. The displacement profile at this boundary consists of two, 800 Hz oscillations followed by no motion. This is used to model the hammer blow as well as the effects of the cap and support structure. The recorded motion at the bottom of this system has the power spectrum shown in Figure 38. The essential features of the data spectrum shown in Figure 26 are present

⁸The data was recorded with a battery operated cassette recorder. No precautions were made to assure that the recorder was operating at the correct speed. A small increase in the tape speed could account for these discrepancies.

in this calculation. Note that ten spikes are present within the calculated passbands.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work the behavior of acoustical waves in a drill string has been investigated through theory, laboratory experiment, and field test. The objective of these studies is to determine the feasibility of using drill strings as an effective means of data transmission during drilling of oil, gas, and geothermal wells.

The theoretical analysis in Section 2 is based upon a method proposed by Brillouin [5]. This theory predicts the existence of a comb-filtering phenomena composed of alternating passbands and stopbands in frequency space. Superimposed on this banded structure are multiple spikes in the frequency spectrum resulting from standing wave effects. These effects are the result of echos between the opposite ends of the infinite length model.

Section 3 describes a 20th-scale model of a drill string which was tested for its acoustic transmission characteristics. The scale model was constructed of copper tube and has periodically spaced copper collars which represent the tool joints of a full-scale steel drill string. This scale model was subjected to an impulse load at one end, and the resulting longitudinal acoustic waves were measured at two locations. The data collected from this experiment exhibits all of the phenomena associated with wave propagation in a periodic structure. The one-dimensional theory developed in Section 2 was used to analyze the data. The correlation between theory and experiment was excellent.

In Section 4 the results of a field test reveal that all of the phenomena observed in the theory and the laboratory experiment are also relevant to the full-scale field environment. Possibly the most interesting point is that the standing-wave spikes were observed in this field test. The spikes could only have been produced by acoustical signals which propagated a minimum of 4700 ft in the drill string. This string was suspended in a mud-filled well and was undoubtedly in contact with the wall of the well at several locations.

It is concluded that the key problems associated with devising a method for telemetry of data by acoustical carrier waves in a drill string are suppression of echos and associated standing wave effects, and compensation for the phase distortion produced by wave dispersion within the passbands. Signal attenuation is not a major problem.

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express thanks to Robert D. Meyer and Thomas Schinke for assistance in the assembly of the experimental apparatus and the instrumentation. Several other people also contributed to this work. Thanks are given to Robert P. Wemple, John Gabaldon, and James E. Uhl.

I also wish to give special thanks to Preston Chaney for several interesting discussions about both the field test procedures and historical aspects of this problem. Upon retirement, he had the foresight to save a data tape which would otherwise have been lost. In addition he was gracious enough to allow me to use this tape for my analysis.

References

- [1] William H. Cox and Preston E. Chaney, *Telemetry System*, U.S. Patent No. 4,293,936 (1981).
- [2] H. Eugene Sharp and Miles A. Smither, *Borehole Acoustic Telemetry System with Phase Shifted Signal*, U.S. Patent No. 4,562,559 (1985).
- [3] Clifford W. Petersen, John M. Bednar, Edwin J. Hocker, Jr., David H. Gauger, and James M. Henderson, *Acoustic Transmitter and Method to Produce Essentially Longitudinal, Acoustic Waves*, U.S. Patent No. 4,314,365 (1982).
- [4] Thomas G. Barnes and Bill R. Kirkwood, *Passbands for Acoustic Transmission in an Idealized Drill String*, J. Acoust. Soc. Amer. 5, 1606-1608 (1972).
- [5] Léon Brillouin, **Wave Propagation in Periodic Structures**, (Dover, New York, 1953).
- [6] Anthony Bedford, Douglas S. Drumheller, and Herbert J. Sutherland, *On Modeling the Dynamics of Composite Materials*, **Mechanics Today, Vol. 3**, (Pergamon, New York, 1976), Edited by S. Nemat-Nasser.
- [7] Courant, Friedrichs, and Lewy, *On the Partial Difference Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Mathematische Annalen 100, 32 (1928). English translation: IBM Journal, March 1967.
- [8] Ya. B. Zel'dovich and Yu. P. Raizer, **Physics of Shock Waves and High-Temperature Hydrodynamic Phenomena, Vol. 1**, (Academic Press, New York, 1966). Edited by Wallace D. Hayes and Ronald F. Probstein.
- [9] **Drilling Data Handbook**, (Gulf Publishing Company, Houston, 1980).

DISTRIBUTION:
DOE/TIC-4500-UC-253 (10)

Thomas Ahrens
Seismological Laboratory 252-21
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91125

ARCO Oil and Gas Company (2)
Attn: William M. Evans
Fred Ng
2300 West Plano Parkway
Plano, TX 75075

Atlas Wireline Services (2)
Attn: Donald W. Oliver
Walter H. Fertl
P. O. Box 1407
Houston, TX 77251

J. J. Azar
Tulsa University Drilling
Research Projects
Petroleum Engineering Dept.
North Campus Drill Bldg.
2450 Marshall
Tulsa, OK 74110

Professor A. Bedford
Aerospace Eng. & Engr. Mechanics
U. of Texas at Austin
Austin, TX 78712

David A. Berkley (4)
Supervisor, Acoustic Research
Department 11227
AT&T Bell Laboratories
600 Mountain Avenue
Murray Hill, NJ 07974-2070

Ed Bingman
Shell Oil Company
Two Shell Plaza
P. O. Box 2099
Houston, TX 77001

Robert Bookwalter
Pneumatic Turbine Partnership
P. O. Box 2457
Santa Fe, NM 87504-2457

Theodore Bourgoyne
Louisiana State University
Dept. of Petroleum Engineering
Baton Rouge, LA 70803-4101

Trevor Burgess
Anadrill Schlumberger
200 Macco Blvd.
Sugarland, TX 77478

Jerry Cerkovnik
Norton Christensen, Inc.
1937 South 300 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84115

Preston E. Chaney
Chaney Software Development
6114 Waggoner Dr.
Dallas, TX 75230

Jim Combs
Geothermal Resources Int'l., Inc.
1825 S. Grant, Suite 900
San Mateo, CA 94402

Robert A. Estes
Eastman Christensen
1937 South 300 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84115

Scott Evans
Christensen Mining Products
4446 West 1730 South
P. O. Box 30777
Salt Lake City, UT 84130

Exxon Production Research (2)
Attn: C. W. Petersen
G. A. Winbow
P. O. Box 2189
Houston, TX 77252-2189

John E. Fontenot
NL Petroleum Services
P. O. Box 60087
Houston, TX 77205

Mel Friedman, Dean
Texas A&M University
Dept. of Geosciences
College Station, TX 77843

John F. Hermance
Brown University
Dept. of Geol. Sciences
Providence, RI 02912

Professor E. Hixson
Dept. of Electric Engineering
University of Texas at Austin
Austin, TX 78712

Aubrey L. Holt
P. O. Box 60546
Houston, TX 77205

John D. A. Huddy
Huddy Incorporated
7061 S. University Blvd.,
Suite 304
Littleton, CO 80222

B. J. Livesay
Livesay Consultants
2616 Angell Ave.
San Diego, CA 92122

James McPherson
Smith Drilling Systems
2026 McGaw Ave. #92714
P. O. Box C-19576
Irvine, CA 92713-9576

Ed Martin
Mobil Research &
Development Corporation
Dallas Research Laboratory
13777 Midway Rd.
Dallas, TX 75224

William Maurer
Maurer Engineering, Inc.
10301 NW Freeway
Suite 202
Houston, TX 77205

Gerald Meltz
United Technologies Research
Center
East Hartford, CT 06108

Keith Millheim
Amoco Production Company
Research Center
P. O. Box 591
Tulsa, OK 74102

Bill J. Mitchell
Colorado School of Mines
Golden, CO 80401

New Mexico Tech. (2)
Attn: William C. Lyons
Phillip Johnson
Petroleum Engineering Dept.
Socorro, NM 87801

Ocean Drilling Program (2)
Attn: Stanley T. Serocki
Michael A. Storms
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843-3469

Steve Pye
Union Geothermal Division
Union Oil Co. of California
Union Oil Center
461 S. Boylston
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Steve Radford
Eastman Christensen
2525 Holly Hall
P. O. Box 14609
Houston, TX 77021-4609

Roger Rinaldi
Resource Technology, Inc.
4555 South Harvard
Tulsa, OK 74135

John C. Rowley
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Mail Stop 462
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Philip K. Schultz
Eastman Christensen
2737 S. Palma Drive
Ventura, CA 93001

Dwight Smith
Halliburton
Drawer 1431
Duncan, OK 73533

Sundstrand Optical
Technologies, Inc. (2)
Attn: Dr. Roy Clark
F. Daly
2495 Teller Road
Newbury Park, CA 91320

George P. Tennyson
DOE/ALO
P. O. Box 5400
Albuquerque, NM 87115

U.S. Dept. of Energy (2)
Geothermal Technologies Div.
Attn: Lew Pratsch
Dave Lombard
Forrestal Bldg., CE-324
1000 Independence Ave., SW
Washington, DC 20585

Bruce Walker
Drilling Research Laboratory
University Research Park
400 Wakara Way
Salt Lake City, UT 84108

Tom Warren
Amoco Production Company
Research Center
P. O. Box 591
Tulsa, OK 74102

Dave White
Schlumberger Cambridge Research
P. O. Box 153
Cambridge CB30HG

Sam Varnado
Titan Technologies
2017 Yale Blvd., SE
Albuquerque, NM 87106

Franz Baldauf
OMV Aktiengesellschaft
A-2242 Prottes, Austria

Continental Deep Drilling Programme
of the Republic of Germany (2)
Attn: Dipl.-Ing Axel Sperber
Dipl.-Ing Claus Chur
Niedersächsisches Landesamt
für Bodenforschung
Projektleitung KTB
Postfach 510153
D-3000 Hanover 51

Chuji Araki
Geothermal Energy Research &
Development Co., LTD.
Kyodo Bldg.
11-7, Kabuto-Cho, Nihonbashi
Chuo-Ku, Tokyo. 103, Japan

20 O. E. Jones
1500 W. Herrmann
1522 B. J. Sieger
1523 L. M. Taylor
1530 L. W. Davison
1531 D. G. Thomas
1533 P. Yarrington
1533 W. T. Brown
1533 S. T. Montgomery
1534 J. R. Asay
1534 L. M. Barker
1534 L. C. Chhabildas
1534 J. E. Dunn
1534 D. E. Grady
1534 T. G. Trucano
1534 J. L. Wise
2500 D. B. Hayes
4530 G. W. Kuswa
4031 R. P. Stromberg
4050 K. C. Olsen
6231 H. C. Hardee

3141 S. A. Landenberger (5)
3154-1 DOE/OSTI (8)
3151 W. I. Klein (3)
6250 R. K. Traeger
6252 J. C. Dunn
6252 L. C. Bartel
6252 H.-T. Chang
6252 T. Y. Chu
6252 L. E. Duda
6252 J. T. Finger
6252 R. D. Jacobson
6252 G. E. Loeppke
6252 P. C. Lysne
6252 R. Meyer
6252 J. E. Uhl
6252 R. P. Wemple
6252 E. K. Wright
6253 D. A. Northrop
6253 A. R. Sattler
6257 J. K. Linn
6258 P. J. Hommert
6258 B. J. Thorne
8524 P. W. Dean