188
8/28/79

14 3067 ORNL-5551

MASTER

Analysis of the ORNL/TSF GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Design Confirmation Experiment

C. O. Slater
S. N. Cramer
D. T. Ingersoll

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
OPERATED BY UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION - FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

BLANK PAGE

ORNL-5551
Distribution Category
UC-77 - Gas Cooled Reactor Technology

Contract W-7405-eng-26

Engineering Physics Division

ANALYSIS OF THE ORNL/TSF GCFR GRID-PLATE SHIELD DESIGN CONFIRMATION EXPERIMENT

Report Written by:

C. O. Slater

Analysis Performed by:

C. O. Slater

S. N. Cramer

D. T. Ingersoll

Experiment Performed by:

F. J. Muckenthaler

J. J. Manning

J. L. Hull

Date Published: August 1979

NOTE: The following information is for informational purposes only. It is not intended to be used as a basis for any action.

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

۲۰

CONTENTS

Abstract	v
Executive Summary	vii
1.0. INTRODUCTION	1
2.0. EXPERIMENTAL CONFIGURATIONS.	2
2.1. Internal Components.	2
2.2. Basic Configuration - II.A	6
2.3. Other Configurations II.	7
2.4. Configurations III	10
2.5. Configurations IV.	10
2.6. Configurations V	10
2.7. Configurations VI.	10
3.0. DETECTORS.	10
4.0. NEUTRON SOURCE	12
5.0. CALCULATIONAL MODELS	14
5.1. Two-Dimensional Model.	14
5.2. Three-Dimensional Model.	15
6.0. CALCULATIONAL METHODS.	16
6.1. Two-Dimensional Calculations	16
6.2. Three-Dimensional Calculations	16
7.0. RESULTS.	17
7.1. Configurations II.	17
7.1.1. Configuration II.A	17
7.1.2. Configuration II.B	19
7.1.3. Configuration II.D	27
7.1.4. Configuration II.E	32
7.1.5. Configuration II.F	33
7.1.6. Examination of Discrepancies	42
7.1.6.1. Configuration II.A	42
7.1.6.2. Configuration II.B	49
7.1.6.3. Configurations II.D, II.E, and II.F.	52
7.2. Configurations III.A, IV.A, and VI.A	52
7.3. Configuration IV.B	55
7.4. Configuration V	58
8.0. IMPLICATIONS FOR THE GCFR.	60
8.1. Axial Neutron Streaming.	60
8.2. Fast Neutron Exposure to the Grid Plate.	61
8.3. Neutron Source for the GCFR Upper Plenum	65
9.0 SUMMARY	68
REFERENCES	70
APPENDIX A: The Experimental Program Plan	73
APPENDIX B: Multigroup Response Functions	85
APPENDIX C: Special Computer Codes.	89
APPENDIX D: Group Structure, Quadrature, and Fission Spectrum	129
APPENDIX E: Two-Dimensional Geometry Models, Space Mesh, and Convergence Parameters	133

ABSTRACT

The results of the analysis of the GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Design Confirmation Experiment are presented. The experiment, performed at the ORNL Tower Shielding Facility, was designed to test the adequacy of methods and data used in the analysis of the GCFR design. In particular, the experiment tested the adequacy of methods to calculate (1) axial neutron streaming in the GCFR core and axial blanket, (2) the amount and location of the maximum fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate, and (3) the neutron source leaving the top of the grid plate and entering the upper plenum. Other objectives of the experiment were to verify the grid-plate shielding effectiveness and to assess the effects of fuel-pin and subassembly spacing on radiation levels in the GCFR. The experimental mockup contained regions representing the GCFR core/blanket region, the grid-plate shield section, and the grid plate. Most core design options were covered by allowing (1) three different spacings between fuel subassemblies, (2) two different void fractions within a subassembly by variation of the number of fuel pins, and (3) a mockup of a control-rod channel.

The results were analyzed using the DOT-IV discrete ordinates, the MORSE Monte Carlo, and various auxiliary computer codes. DOT results agreed with measurements taken close behind the fuel pin and grid-plate shield configurations to within 20%. For detectors distant from those configurations, the DOT results were up to 50% higher than the measurements. The results close in behind the grid plate also showed a 50% overprediction. Results for distant detectors behind the grid plate were about twice the measured results. The MORSE results were in good agreement close behind the configurations, though there was serious underprediction close behind the grid plate. For the distant detectors, the MORSE results were somewhat better than DOT behind the fuel pin and were in excellent agreement with the measurements behind the grid plate.

BLANK PAGE

For the GCFR design, the results indicate that methods used in the analysis of the GCFR shield design are adequate for most cases. Except for locations behind the grid plate and distant locations behind the fuel pins and behind the grid-plate shields, the agreement between the calculated and measured results was much better than the desired 20% agreement. An exception was a slightly greater than 20% difference between the calculated and measured ratios of the fast neutron fluxes behind fuel-pin configurations with interassembly gap widths of 0.318 cm and 1.27 cm. The agreement between the calculated and measured fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate was excellent; the results were well within the 10% uncertainty of the measurements. The point on the grid plate at which the fast-neutron exposure was highest was also predicted well. Regarding the flux emerging from the grid plate, a calculation using a 150-angle biased quadrature overpredicted the detector responses behind the grid plate by factors of 1.5 to 2.0 (partially due to overprediction of the forward-peaked angular flux leaving the fuel pins). A calculation with a quadrature (S_{10}) frequently used in past design calculations, underpredicted the same responses by factors of 4.0 to 12.0. By analyzing the behavior of both quadratures, it was estimated that the design calculations underpredicted the neutron transmission through the grid plate by no more than a factor of 4.0. This implies a correction factor of 4.0 for radiation levels in the GCFR upper plenum. Since most calculated design radiation levels were more than a factor of 4.0 below the design constraints, the corrective factor may be accommodated with little, if any, redesign.

ANALYSIS OF THE ORNL/TSF GCFR GRID-PLATE SHIELD DESIGN CONFIRMATION EXPERIMENT*

C. O. Slater, S. N. Cramer, and D. T. Ingersoll

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In the proposed 300-MW(e) Gas-Cooled Fast Breeder Reactor (GCFR) demonstration plant,¹ the grid plate is the supportive structure for the fuel and blanket subassemblies which are suspended below it. Perkins and Cerbone² have stated that it is "essential that adequate shielding be provided to assure the structural integrity of the grid plate for the life of the plant." The shielding is said to be adequate when all design constraints are met. The calculations, however, are subject to uncertainties which, in systems involving radiation transmission through thick shields, can be quite substantial. To determine the uncertainties in the design calculations of neutron transport through the grid-plate shields and the grid plate, the GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Design Confirmation Experiment was performed at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Tower Shielding Facility (TSF).³ The experiment has as its main purpose the verification of methods used in the analysis of the GCFR grid-plate shielding design. Toward that end, the experiment was designed to verify the ability of methods used in the analysis of the GCFR shield design to calculate (1) axial neutron streaming in the GCFR core and axial blanket for a range of fuel-pin and subassembly spacings, (2) the magnitude and the location of the peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid-plate, and (3) the angular and energy distribution of the neutrons leaving the top of the grid plate and entering the upper plenum. Having accomplished the three objectives, the grid-plate shield design itself would be confirmed.

The experimental configurations were designed to provide a reasonable mockup of the GCFR design from the reactor core through the grid plate. The core was modelled by seven fuel-pin subassemblies which were surrounded by steel-lined concrete in order to have enough neutron reflection to simulate a semi-infinite fuel-pin array. The configurations were designed

*Research sponsored by the Division of Reactor Research and Technology of the Department of Energy under contract with Union Carbide Corp.

with a concrete shadow shield between the Tower Shielding Reactor (TSR) and the fuel pins so that the TSR neutron beam was scattered onto the fuel pins. This assured that the dominant neutron source for subsequent additions to the core configurations emanated from the fuel pins. The grid-plate shields were nearly prototypic of those for the GCFR design. The grid-plate thickness and the grid-plate penetrations were also based on GCFR design specifications.

Measurements were taken behind the configurations using fast-neutron spectrometers (NE-213 and hydrogen counter) and integral detectors (the Hornyak button and Bonner balls). The spectrometers and Bonner ball detectors provided data for absolute comparisons with the measurements. The Hornyak button, on the other hand, provided data for comparisons requiring high spatial resolution.

The calculations were performed using the discrete ordinates method on two-dimensional models of the configurations and using the Monte Carlo method on near-exact three-dimensional models. The results showed that the calculations agreed to within 20% with the measurements close behind the fuel pins and the grid-plate shields, while they agreed to within 60% close behind the grid plate. It was demonstrated that better agreement could be achieved through usage of a refined radial space mesh in the fuel-pin region of the calculational model. The peak fast-neutron flux measured behind the grid-plate shields in the absence of the grid plate was calculated to within 5%, well within the 10% uncertainty in the measurements. The measured and calculated results tend to indicate that the location of peak exposure to the grid plate is at the center of the gap between subassemblies. However, the presence of the grid plate could shift the location. The calculation with the grid plate present still showed the peak to be at the center of the subassembly gap. The measurements showed a maximum peak-to-minimum fast-neutron exposure ratio of 1.5, while the calculations showed a maximum ratio of 1.3. The flux-peaking problems in the grid plate are not serious.

The methods proved adequate for calculating axial neutron streaming within the GCFR core and axial blanket. Calculated results close behind the fuel pins generally agree with the measured results within 6%. The calculated results showed that the neutron leakage and all detector

responses varied by less than 5% when the interassembly gap width was changed from 0.318 cm to 1.27 cm. The measured 5-in. Bonner ball count rate and the Hornyak button response respectively indicate a difference of 8% in the total flux and 28% in the $E > 1.0$ MeV flux when the gap width is likewise varied. The 28% difference in the fast fluxes for the two gap widths does not cause concern because measurements taken about 2 cm from the end of the fuel pins showed less than a 5% difference on the centerline and about 8% behind the interassembly gap. These percentage differences are comparable to those calculated at 30.5 cm behind the fuel pins. The calculation may have some problems with the gap between the liner and the subassemblies (peripheral gap) when the subassemblies are spaced 0.318 cm apart. The peripheral gap is then 1.59 cm compared to 0.635 cm when the subassemblies are spaced 1.27 cm apart.

The effect of fuel-pin spacing on neutron streaming in the fuel-pin array was determined by comparing neutron leakages from heterogeneous and homogeneous calculations of a particular configuration. The streaming effect was found to be 9% to 11% for subassembly void fractions between 44.5% and 60.2%. Such increases in neutron leakage from the GCFR core were found to require about a 0.25% increase in the GCFR fissile inventory calculated with a homogeneous core model.

The methods also proved adequate for calculating the peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate. As mentioned before, the results were well within the 10% uncertainty of the measurements. The measured peak fast-neutron exposures to the grid plate were examined and found to increase nonlinearly with increasing gap width. For example, peak exposures were in the ratio of 1.55:1.15:1.0 for gap widths of 1.27, 0.635, and 0.3175 cm respectively. While there was a 28% difference in the measured Hornyak button response behind the fuel pins for subassembly gap sizes of 0.635 and 1.27 cm, there was a 55% difference observed behind the grid-plate shield. The peak-to-minimum fast-neutron exposure ratio was found to increase nonlinearly with increasing gap width.

The methods were somewhat lacking in their abilities to calculate the angular and energy distribution of neutrons leaking from the top of the grid plate. The Monte Carlo method did well in calculating the integral detector responses 305 cm behind the grid plate but underpredicted

results close behind the grid plate. The discrete ordinates results were sensitive to the quadrature used in the calculation. The highly biased 150-angle quadrature, used in most of the experiment calculations, gave results which were factors of 1.5 to 2.2 times the measured results. Part of the overprediction may have been due to a factor of 1.5 overprediction in the fluxes 305 cm behind the fuel pins. This translates to an overprediction in the forward-peaked angular fluxes. The forward-peaked angular fluxes from the fuel pins became more important as the source was collimated by the holes in the grid-plate shields and the grid plate. Thus the factor of 1.5 overprediction was propagated from the core through the grid plate. The calculated energy spectrum was reasonably close to the measured spectrum except for the normalization. A lower order S_{10} symmetric quadrature gave results which underpredicted fluxes close behind the grid plate by factors of 4.0 to 12.0. It was estimated that this quadrature, when used in design calculations, may underpredict fluxes in the GCFR upper plenum by factors of 2.5 to 4.0. In the past the upper axial shield design has met constraints with a margin sufficient to allow for the application of such multiplicative factors to the calculated radiation levels.

In conclusion, the calculations were able to predict radiation levels at the important positions within the GCFR shield design. In particular, the flux leaving the fuel-pin region and the peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate were calculated within the 10% measurement uncertainty. Streaming effects due to subassembly gap width were found to have a large effect on the peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate. Finally, it was determined that discrete ordinates calculations of neutron transport through the grid plate were sensitive to the quadrature. It was estimated that a quadrature frequently used in past design calculations could at worst be underestimating neutron fluxes in the GCFR upper plenum by factors of 2.5 to 4.0. The factor of 2.0 overprediction obtained using the biased quadrature, is probably an upper bound to the uncertainty in the calculations of the neutron leakage from the GCFR grid plate.

The analysis of this experiment has followed recommended practices for the implementation of required DOE and/or other Quality Assurance Standards. By official agreement, the GCFR program follows ANSI N45.2.

References

1. General Atomic Company Project Staff, "300 MW(e) Gas Cooled Fast Breeder Reactor Demonstration Plant," GA-A-13045, General Atomic Company (1974).
2. R. G. Perkins and R. J. Cerbone, "Physics Design of the 300-MW(e) Gas-Cooled Fast Breeder Reactor Demonstration Plant Grid Plate Shielding," General Atomic Company Report GA-A14124 (September 1976).
3. F. J. Muckenthaler, J. L. Hull, and J. J. Manning, "The GCFR Grid Plate Shield Design Confirmation Experiment," CRNL/TM-6580 (January 1979).

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The major support for the core of the 300-MW(e) Gas-Cooled Fast Breeder Reactor (GCFR) demonstration plant¹ comes from the GCFR grid plate. The grid plate is intended to be a lifetime component and as such must maintain its structural integrity throughout the plant lifetime.² Since exposure to a sufficiently high fast-neutron fluence can significantly change the mechanical properties of the grid plate, it is necessary that the fast-neutron fluence be limited to an acceptable level through the addition of shielding at strategic locations. The determination of the neutron-flux levels and the adequacy of the shielding generally rests with calculations. The calculations, however, have been subject to uncertainties which, in systems involving radiation transmission through thick shields, could be relatively large (a factor of two or greater). To determine the degree of uncertainty in the calculated neutron fluence at the GCFR grid plate and to assess the adequacy of the grid-plate shield, the GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Design Confirmation Experiment³ was performed at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Tower Shielding Facility (TSF). The chief objective of the experiment was the verification of methods used in the analysis of the GCFR shield design. In particular, emphasis was placed on verifying the ability of the methods to predict (1) the energy and angular distribution of neutrons leaving the axial blanket (i.e., the ability to calculate neutron streaming in pin geometry), (2) the maximum fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate as well as the location of and the energy spectrum at the point of maximum exposure, and (3) the energy and angular distribution of neutrons leaving the top of the grid plate. The ability to calculate with a certain precision neutron transport in the prototypic experimental configurations would indicate an ability to calculate neutron transport within the GCFR design with a similar precision.

The experiment covered a range of design options by the inclusion of a variable fuel-pin pitch and subassembly pitch in the experimental program plan. Design calculations have shown variations in fuel-pin and subassembly pitch to have significant effects on axial neutron streaming.

BLANK PAGE

By covering a large portion of the range of fuel-pin and subassembly pitches considered in the design, the experiment bracketed the effects of streaming for the most likely design parameters.

This report describes the results of the analysis of the experiment and presents some implications for the GCFR design.

2.0 EXPERIMENTAL CONFIGURATIONS

The experimental program plan (EPP) is included in Appendix A. Sections II through VI and their associated subsections (i.e., A, B, C, etc.) give brief descriptions of the various configurations. The experimental configurations described in Sections VII and VIII were designed to give a direct measurement of the streaming effect for rod or pin arrays by comparing results from the heterogeneous configuration with those from the equivalent homogeneous configuration. All of the configurations were surrounded by steel-lined concrete. Descriptions of configurations II-VI are presented in Table 1 and in the following sections.

2.1. Internal Components

The experimental configurations have four basic internal components: (1) the fuel-pin subassembly, (2) the hexagonal grid-plate shield, (3) the cylindrical grid-plate shield, and (4) the grid plate. Figure 1 shows the fuel-pin subassembly. Each is about 122 cm long and contains either 91 or 127 1.27-cm-diam fuel pins. The subassemblies containing the 127 pins contained spacers so that the pins could be spaced at either 1.27 cm, 0.635 cm, or 0.3175 cm apart. The only spacing for the subassemblies containing 91 pins was 1.27 cm.

Figure 2 shows the hexagonal grid-plate shield. The external dimensions of the shield closely approximate those of the GCFR moderate pressure drop design (220 kPa). The B_4C density (average 76.7% theoretical density) and the steel are different from design specifications, but the preanalysis showed the shield design for the experiment to be essentially equivalent to that for the GCFR demonstration plant as far as neutron transport and shielding effectiveness are concerned. The cylindrical grid-plate shield is shown in Fig. 3. Again the B_4C density and the steel are different from design specifications. But by the previous

Table 1. A Description of the GCFR Grid Plate
Shield Experiment Configurations*

Configuration	Description
II.A	Empty cavity hidden by concrete shadow shield and surrounded by steel-lined concrete
II.B	II.A plus seven subassemblies spaced 1.27 cm apart and containing 127 fuel pins per subassembly
II.C	II.B plus steel-lined concrete section for grid-plate shields
II.D	II.C plus seven grid-plate shield subassemblies spaced 1.27 cm apart
II.E	II.D plus a 61-cm-thick stack of iron plates located 15.24 cm from the grid-plate shields
II.F	II.D followed by the prototypic grid-plate mockup
III.A	II.A plus seven subassemblies spaced 0.635 cm apart and containing 127 fuel pins per subassembly
III.B	III.A plus seven grid-plate shield subassemblies spaced 0.635 cm apart
III.C	III.B plus a 61-cm-thick stack of iron plates located 15.24 cm from the grid-plate shields
IV.A	II.A plus seven subassemblies spaced 1.27 cm apart and containing 91 fuel pins per subassembly
IV.B	IV.A plus seven grid-plate shield subassemblies spaced 1.27 cm apart
IV.C	IV.B plus a 61-cm-thick stack of iron plates located 15.24 cm from the grid-plate shields
V.A	IV.A minus seven fuel pins from the center of the central fuel subassembly
V.B	IV.B minus seven fuel pins from the center of the central fuel subassembly
VI.A	II.A plus seven subassemblies spaced 0.318 cm apart and containing 127 fuel pins per subassembly
VI.B	VI.A plus seven grid-plate shield subassemblies spaced 0.318 cm apart

*Note that all components--the fuel pin subassemblies, the grid-plate shield subassemblies, and the grid plate--are surrounded by concrete in the radial direction.

ORNL-DWG 77-13858R

Fuel Pin Subassemblies Will Contain Either 91 or 127 Fuel Pins.

U TOTAL PER ROD: 1035.495 g

U-235 PER ROD: 20.606 g (1.99 wt %)

PELLET SIZE 1.045 cm DIAM X VARIOUS LENGTHS (~1.588 TO 2.54 cm)

U LENGTH PER ROD: 121.9 cm

ORNL-DWG 78-15484

(a) FUEL PIN SUB-ASSEMBLY

(b) SPACER PLATES

Fig. 1. Details of the Components of the Fuel-Pin Subassemblies for the GCFR Grid-Plate Experiment.

NRL-DRG 77-13856

The Grid Plate Shield Assemblies are made of Fe Filled with B_4C .

Fig. 2 Details of the GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Subassembly as Used in the GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment.

Fig. 3. Details of the Prototypic Grid Plate and Cylindrical Grid-Plate Shield Insert as Used in the GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment.

argument, the shield effectiveness is believed to be nearly equivalent to that of the design shield. The grid plate is also shown in Fig. 3.

2.2. Basic Configurations -- II.A

The basic configuration, which is a part of all configurations, is the steel-lined concrete structure shown in Fig. 4. Two steel liners form a cylindrical annulus down which neutrons stream from the Test Shielding Reactor (TSR) before they are scattered from the concrete onto the fuel pins. An 18-sided, 122-cm-long, 0.95-cm-thick steel liner supports the shadow shield and forms the cavity into which the fuel subassemblies are inserted. The shadow shield prevents TSR neutrons that would stream between the pins with few collisions from being the dominant contributors to detector responses behind the configuration. The main source is directed around the shadow shield and scatters in directions which are nearly perpendicular to the pins.

Fig. 4. The Basic GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.A with Empty Core Cavity.

2.3. Other Configurations II

Add seven fuel subassemblies spaced 1.27 cm apart and containing 127 fuel pins per subassembly to Configuration II.A and one gets Configuration II.B as shown in Fig. 5. Configuration II.C is formed by addition of the next section of concrete with empty cavity to Configuration II.B as shown in Fig. 6. Next the grid-plate shield section with shields spaced 1.27 cm apart is added to Configuration II.C to form Configuration II.D as shown in Fig. 7. Configuration II.E in Fig. 8 has a 61-cm-thick stack of steel plates 15.24 cm from the end of Configuration II.D. Figure 9 shows Configuration II.F with a 63.5-cm-thick stack of steel plates with seven holes into which the cylindrical grid-plate shields are inserted (see Fig. 3). The cylindrical grid-plate shields are aligned with the hexagonal grid-plate shields of Configuration II.D.

Fig. 5. Sketch of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configurations with Core Loaded with Fuel-Pin Subassemblies (Configurations II.B, III.A, IV.A, V.A, and VI.A).

Fig. 8. Sketch of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configurations with the Solid Carbon Steel Plates 15.24 cm Behind the Grid-Plate Shield Section (Configurations II.E, III.C, and IV.C).

Fig. 9. Sketch of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F with the Prototypic Grid Plate.

2.4. Configurations III

Configurations III are characterized by subassemblies which contain 127 fuel pins each and which are spaced 0.635 cm apart. Otherwise they are like Configurations II with III.A corresponding to II.B (Fig. 5); III.B corresponding to II.D (Fig. 7); and III.C to II.E (Fig. 8).

2.5. Configurations IV

Configurations IV are characterized by subassemblies which contain 91 fuel pins each and which are spaced 1.27 cm apart. Otherwise they are also like Configurations II with IV.A corresponding to II.B (Fig. 5); IV.B corresponding to II.D (Fig. 7); and IV.C corresponding to II.E (Fig. 8).

2.6. Configurations V

Configurations V.A and V.B correspond respectively to Configurations IV.A and IV.B with seven fuel pins removed from the center of the central fuel subassembly.

2.7. Configurations VI

Configurations VI are characterized by subassemblies which have 127 fuel pins each and which are spaced 0.3175 cm apart. Configuration VI.A is similar to II.B (Fig. 5) and VI B is similar to II.D (Fig. 7).

3.0 DETECTORS

The EPP in Appendix A lists all detectors with which measurements were to be taken and, where possible, the location of the detector behind a given configuration. Most measurements were taken with the 2-in. Cd-covered and 5-in. Bonner balls and with either a 0.635-cm-diam or a 1.27-cm-diam Hornyak button. Selected measurements were taken with the 2-in. bare, 3-in., 6-in., 8-in., 10-in., and 12-in. Bonner balls and the NE-213 and hydrogen counter spectrum detectors. Response functions in the 51-group structure for the Hornyak button and all Bonner balls except the bare one are given in Appendix B. R. E. Maerker⁴ indicates a response-function uncertainty of 5% for the 2-in. Cd-covered and 3-in. Bonner balls; 10% for the 5-in. and 6-in. Bonner balls; 15% for the 8-in. and

10-in. Bonner balls; and 25% for the 12-in. Bonner ball. Major sources for the uncertainty are the upscattering effects in polyethylene and the thickness and density of the polyethylene.

The Bonner ball consists of a 5.08-cm-diam sphere filled with BF_3 gas (boron-enriched to about 96% ^{10}B by weight) at about 0.5 atm, and this sphere may be surrounded with (1) nothing (bare), (2) a 0.038-cm-thick sheet of cadmium (Cd-covered), or (3) a polyethylene spherical shell covered with a 0.038-cm-thick sheet of cadmium. As the polyethylene thickness is increased, more of the fast neutrons will be detected since they will be slowed down in the polyethylene and captured in the BF_3 . The 5-in. Bonner ball response function is nearly unity over much of the energy range; hence its recorded count rate is nearly equal to the total neutron flux above 0.4 eV when that flux is expressed in the same time units as the count rate.

The Hornyak button is a thin disk of lucite in which silver-activated zinc sulfide $[\text{ZnS}(\text{Ag})]$ phosphor particles have been uniformly suspended. The disk is mounted on a phototube which detects light pulses (scintillations) mainly from neutron-induced proton recoils which interact with the phosphor. A threshold voltage or pulse height setting, set to discriminate against pulses resulting from gamma rays, allows only recoils above a certain energy to be detected. The direct result one gets is a count rate which one would like to convert to some more convenient integral quantity such as neutron flux or dose rate. At the TSF the count rate is converted to an energy deposition rate by means of calibration factors obtained by exposing the Hornyak button in a known neutron field and obtaining a correspondence between count rate and energy deposition rate.

The Hornyak button response function is taken to be a free-in-air tissue kerma since the calibration factor is traditionally determined by relating the energy deposition (in tissue or polyethylene) to a count rate with a known source (Po-Be, Po-B, ^{252}Cf , etc.).⁵ All groups with energies below the bias setting on the measuring equipment have zero response. The main purpose of the Hornyak button was to have a detector with great spatial resolution in order to observe streaming in the gap between fuel subassemblies. Its absolute calibration was difficult.

Part of the problem stems from the fact that the calibration factor was determined at a 6V pulse height setting (PHS), while the actual measurements were made with a 35V PHS to bias out gamma-ray contributions. At the 6V setting, the lowest contributing neutron energy was estimated to be about 100 keV. No estimate could be made for the 35 V setting. Also, the differences in the neutron spectrum between the calibrating source and the neutron spectrum from the configurations could contribute to the discrepancy since Ref. 5 has shown up to 25% difference in the energy deposition in ethylene using Po-Be and Po-B neutron sources.

4.0 NEUTRON SOURCE

The neutron source for the experiment is provided by the Tower Shielding Reactor (TSR-II). In a manner similar to that described by Maerker,⁶ the angular flux on the spherical surface of the reactor is projected onto a disk (equivalent two-dimensional source) so that it may be used in two-dimensional transport calculations. The source for the first part of the experimental configurations is a boundary source at the interface of the shadow shield and the TSR iris. Figure 10 shows the two-dimensional model plus the location of the boundary source used to start the calculation and the location of the output boundary source for calculations of the experimental configurations. Note that the output boundary source comes from an interior axial interval so that in succeeding calculations the input source can be an exterior boundary source (bottom boundary in these cases).

The boundary source calculation was performed with the code DOT 3.5 (ref. 7). The code which projects the angular flux from the spherical surface onto the disk is listed in Appendix C. Like Maerker's code,⁶ this code computes the angular flux only at the given quadrature direction. The calculation used 51 neutron groups, a 150-direction quadrature set, and P_0 cross sections. The group structure and quadrature are given in Appendix D.

Calculations through the grid-plate shields and the grid plate used boundary sources calculated in preceding portions of the configurations. The FACT⁸ code was used to make angular and spatial mesh changes in the source. Since FACT was written for use with the DOT-III code, boundary

ORNL-DWG 79-7790

Fig. 10. Plot of the 2-D Geometry Model Used in the Generation of the Source for the Analysis of the GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment

sources output from DOT-IV have to be preprocessed before being input to FACT. Similarly, the output sources from FACT have to be postprocessed before being input to DOT-IV. The processing involves removing header records and leaving only angular fluxes in a positive or negative eta- or mu-direction when the source is input to FACT and adding header records and padding the angular flux arrays with zeroes for a source input to DOT-IV. Codes to perform these operations were user written and were specific to the particular calculation.

5.0. CALCULATIONAL MODELS

5.1. Two-Dimensional Model

Material boundaries of irregular shape occurred mainly in the radial direction. These were cylindricized while conserving the volume contained within the boundary. In the fuel pin region, boundaries were determined by the envelope surrounding the outer six fuel subassemblies and by the interior envelope of these six subassemblies, in both cases excluding the void gap that sets them apart either from the concrete liner or from the central fuel subassembly. The six interior walls were converted to an equivalent cylindrical ring of steel, and the outer 18 were likewise converted. The remaining 12 walls were assumed to be intimately mixed with the fuel pins of the outer six subassemblies. The duct wall of the central subassembly also was converted to an equivalent cylinder. After the establishment of those major boundaries, the fuel-pin regions were subdivided, according to their respective volume fractions, into alternating fuel and void rings with the code RODFRAC shown in Appendix C.

In the shield region, the tapered, hex-shaped shields are converted to equivalent cylindrical, stepped shields with equal shield thickness on either side of the void. The outer six shields in both the shield region and grid-plate region extend the full 360 degrees and thus have narrower shield openings than the actual shield. Figure 11 shows the actual geometry and an equivalent 2-D geometry for the central grid-plate shield. It shows the circular cross section and the steps used to mock up the tapered section of the shield.

The spatial mesh boundaries and major convergence parameters used in the calculation of selected configurations are shown in Appendix E. Also shown are geometry plots generated using the 8\$ and 9\$ array from the DOT input with a minor modification to the 9\$ array of Configuration II.F so that the steel of the grid-plate shield would be separated from the steel of the grid plate. The group structure, quadrature, and fission spectrum, as previously stated, are in Appendix D.

Fig. 11. Illustration of the Actual Grid-Plate Shield Geometry and a Two-Dimensional Approximation to that Geometry. (The tapered portion of the shield is first approximated by stepped hexagons and then converted to stepped cylinders.)

5.2. Three-Dimensional Model

The geometry was mocked up almost exactly with a 1/12th segment of the geometry and the proper reflective boundary conditions. Cladding and fuel regions were included for each fuel pin. A minor approximation was made when the end spacer plates were considered as homogeneous mixtures of carbon steel and aluminum rather than a carbon-steel plate penetrated by aluminum pins. The boundary source impinging on these configurations,

however, remained two-dimensional. This was also thought to be a minor approximation.

6.0. CALCULATIONAL METHODS

6.1. Two-Dimensional Calculations

Calculations in two dimensions were performed using discrete ordinates transport theory. Either discrete ordinates theory or an auxiliary technique was used to compute responses at detectors within the void behind the configurations. In the discrete ordinates method, the energy, space, and direction variables are represented by a finite number of bins or discrete variables for which fluxes are computed. The number of discrete variables is limited by computer storage and computation time considerations. Because of the narrow streaming gaps among the fuel pins, the quadrature is probably the most crucial variable as far as calculating the experiment.

Since the method is two-dimensional in its space and energy variables, one must introduce certain approximations in order to calculate three-dimensional systems. These approximations have to be made starting with the reactor source configuration and going through the experimental configuration. The results should be as good as the approximations made.

The two-dimensional method using cylindrical r - z geometry has the advantage of providing detailed energy, angular, and spatial data at reasonable cost when compared to three-dimensional methods. Localized three-dimensional effects can then be studied using sources from the two-dimensional calculation and only a portion of the geometry.

6.2. Three-Dimensional Calculations

The three-dimensional calculations were exclusively Monte Carlo calculations. These calculations used output from the two-dimensional calculations as starting sources. The Monte Carlo method has the advantage that the geometry can, in theory, be mocked up exactly. In practice, however, only those parts of the geometry to which the desired response is thought to be most sensitive receive such careful consideration.

The method appears to work well in fixed-source-only or fission-source-only problems. However, problems with both fixed and fission

sources appear to have difficulty converging the fission-source distribution, especially when the fission region is a large distance from the fixed source. The three-dimensional calculations reported herein have relied on boundary sources and fission-source distributions supplied by the two-dimensional calculations in order to avoid the aforementioned difficulties.

The particular Monte Carlo method used also has some of the same limitations as the two-dimensional method. The energy structure has been discretized and the scattering angle in collisions has been limited to discrete values based on the truncated cross-section expansion. The elastic scattering energy-loss scattering-angle relationship has been lost with the use of a group energy structure rather than a continuous energy structure. On the other hand, the method was not sought on the basis of a continuous energy and angle treatment. Rather it was chosen for its exact or near-exact treatment of the spatial variable.

While the method relies on sources from the two-dimensional method, it is still able to give an estimate of the effect of the two-dimensional approximations in the geometry on the spatial distribution of the neutron flux within the configuration beyond the source.

7.0. RESULTS

7.1. Configurations II

7.1.1. Configuration II.A

The first calculation performed was for the basic configuration, II.A, with steel-lined concrete surrounding an empty cavity. Because of the way the configuration is designed, this particular calculation appears to be the analysis of neutron streaming down a stepped, plugged, steel-lined concrete duct.

The calculation used 51 neutron groups, P_3 cross-section expansion, and a 150-direction, biased quadrature set.

Two sets of measurements were taken for this configuration: (1) axial traverses along the centerline of the cavity, and (2) radial traverses 30.5 cm behind the configuration. Calculations were performed with the DOT-IV⁹ 2-D discrete ordinates code and the MORSE¹⁰ Monte Carlo code. A comparison of calculation with measurement is shown in Fig. 12 for the

ORNL-DWG 79-78062

Fig. 12. Relative Measured and Calculated Responses for Axial Traverses Down the Center of the Empty Cavity of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.A.

axial traverse. Figure 12 shows relative responses for the 1.27-cm-diam Hornyak button and the 2-in. Cd-covered and 5-in. Bonner balls. Also shown are absolute values at the point closest to the shadow shield in units of $\text{ergs} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \cdot \text{W}^{-1}$ for the Hornyak button and $\text{counts} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{W}^{-1}$ for the Bonner balls.

While the shapes of the DOT-IV (or simply DOT) calculated curves agree well with the measured shapes, the calculated absolute values are all higher than the measured values. The Hornyak button results are high by 45%; the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball results are high by 30%; and the 5-in. Bonner ball results are high by 55%. The discrepancy between calculation and measurement, while not excessively large, is higher than the self-imposed criterion of "less than 20% discrepancy" being considered good agreement.

Since the 150-direction set was biased in the forward direction, it was thought that the calculation employing it would have difficulty in transporting neutrons in the outer void ring, through the 90-degree turn into the central cavity and then through another 90-degree turn to either exit or impinge on the shadow shield (see Fig. 4). Consequently, the calculation was redone with a symmetric 96-direction quadrature set. The results were essentially the same as for the 150-direction calculation. Other possible explanations for the discrepancies are to be found in a later section.

The MORSE results are generally in good agreement with the DOT results except near the shadow shield, where they are close to the measured results. This seems to say that the 2D approximation is adequate and the source of the discrepancy lies either in the source or in the concrete composition since MORSE used a DOT-generated source.

The comparisons between calculations and measurement for radial traverses 30.5 cm behind the configuration are shown in Figs. 13, 14, and 15 for the Hornyak button and the 2-in. Cd-covered and 5-in. Bonner balls, respectively. (Note: On these and similar figures, the 2-D and actual geometries have been drawn to scale in abscissa units. The 2-D geometry is the upper one.) The results show the same discrepancies as the axial traverses for the portion of the traverse behind the empty cavity, though there is closer agreement between calculation and measurement behind a portion of the concrete (i.e., about 25 cm of concrete adjacent to the steel liner). Again the MORSE results agree with the DOT results. (Note that in these and succeeding radial traverse plots, the MORSE data point at $r = 0$ has been plotted away from the axis so that it and its error bar may be seen clearly.)

7.1.2 Configuration II.8

This configuration is designed such that the neutron source emerging from the fuel pins closely approximates that from a GCFR axial blanket at equilibrium. The subassemblies are spaced 1.27 cm apart. The fuel-pin spacing gives this configuration a void fraction per subassembly of 44.5%. This is near the void fraction found in the GCFR high-pressure-drop design (290 kPa). Measurements behind the fuel pins were made for the purpose of defining the source leaving the axial blanket region and entering the

Fig. 13. Comparison of Calculated and Measured Hornyak Button Response for a Radial Traverse 30.5 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.A.

Fig. 14. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 2-in. Cd-Covered Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 30.5 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.A.

Fig. 15. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 5-in. Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 30.5 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.A.

grid-plate shield region. Radial traverses were made at 30.5 and 305 cm behind the configuration, and a neutron spectrum measurement was made on the axis 138.1 cm behind the configuration. The location of the latter measurement was determined by the flux level at which the detector could operate rather than being arbitrarily set in the experimental program plan (Appendix A). Bonner ball measurements were also made at 138.1 cm. Comparisons of the results for the radial traverse 30.5 cm behind the configuration are shown in Figs. 16, 17, and 18 for the 1.27-cm-diam Hornyak button and the 2-in. Cd-covered and 5-in. Bonner balls, respectively. The respective comparisons for radial traverses 305 cm behind the configuration are shown in Figs. 19, 20, and 21.

The DOT-calculated 2-in. Cd-covered and 5-in. Bonner ball results at 30.5 cm behind the configuration appear to agree well with the measured results. On the other hand, the DOT/DISKTRAN¹¹ calculated results at 305 cm behind the configuration are much higher than the measurements. The 1.27-cm-diam Hornyak button results are as much as 87% higher directly behind the pins and 29% to 36% higher behind the concrete. The 2-in. Cd-

Fig. 16. Comparison of Calculated and Measured Hornyak Button Response for a Radial Traverse 30.5 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.B.

Fig. 17. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 2-in. Cd-Covered Bonner Ball Count Rates for a Radial Traverse 30.5 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.B.

Fig. 18. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 5-in. Bonner Ball Count Rates for a Radial Traverse 30.5 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.B.

Fig. 19. Comparison of Calculated and Measured Hornyak Button Response for a Radial Traverse 305 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.B.

Fig. 20. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 2-in. Cd-Covered Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 305 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.B.

Fig. 21. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 5-in. Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 305 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.B.

covered Bonner ball results are as much as 63% higher directly behind the pins and 25% to 35% higher behind the concrete. The corresponding numbers for the 5-in. Bonner ball are as much as 42% higher directly behind the fuel pins and 13% to 15% higher behind the concrete. Thus, at 305 cm behind the configuration, the calculated 5-in. Bonner ball results behind the concrete are acceptable, while the results behind the fuel pins and the results for the other two detectors are not acceptable.

The better Monte Carlo calculation for this configuration was actually a combination of two calculations. The first was a fixed-source calculation using the boundary source generated in the DOT calculation. The second was also a fixed-source calculation using a fission source distributed within the fuel pins according to the DOT fission density. Only source positions within the fuel pins were used. The results were combined by multiplying the separate results for a unit source by the total source for each and adding the two results. The Monte Carlo calculation with fission led to results that varied widely according to batch size and the number of batches, even though the total number of histories was nearly the same in the "large batch size" case and in the "large number of batches" case. Besides, a Monte Carlo calculation in which a source is incident on one end of the fuel pins has much difficulty in generating the correct fission distribution within the fuel pins.

Detector responses were calculated at 30.5 and 305 cm behind the configuration at 0, 20, and 40 cm from the centerline of the configuration. The points are plotted, along with the corresponding DOT and measured results, in Figs. 16-21. At 30.5 cm behind the configuration, the statistical mean responses are 18 to 21% higher than the measurements for the Hornyak button, 4 to 7% higher for the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball, and 3 to 5% higher for the 5-in. Bonner ball. The differences are larger at 305 cm behind the configuration. There, the Hornyak button response is higher than measured by 27 to 64% (27% at 0 cm); the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball count rate is higher by 6 to 22% (22% at 0 cm); and the 5-in. Bonner ball count rate is higher by 4 to 21% (21% at 0 cm). Though the statistical uncertainties are large in most cases (20 to 38%), the statistical mean values certainly compare favorably with the measured values

(except, of course, the Hornyak button results 305 cm behind the configuration). The agreement is much better than that achieved with DOT.

The Monte Carlo calculations used a point detector estimation procedure developed especially for geometries which contain certain symmetries and which are mocked up with a repeating segment on which specularly reflective boundaries have been imposed. The good agreement of the Monte Carlo results with the measured results confirms the correctness of the estimation procedure.

The DOT-calculated and the measured neutron spectrum 138.1 cm behind the fuel pins are compared in Fig. 22. The energy range of the NE-213

Fig. 22. Comparison of Calculated and Measured Neutron Spectra on the Centerline 138.1 cm Behind the Fuel Pins of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.B.

part of the spectrum is from 0.8 to 15 MeV. The hydrogen counter spectrum is in three parts, with energies between 0.08 and 1.5 MeV. Good agreement between the calculated (with DOT) and the measured spectra is evident. The measured flux was integrated over energy in the ranges 0.9 - 15 MeV and 0.12 - 15 MeV. Those integrated fluxes and the measured 3-in., 6-in., and 10-in. Bonner ball count rates are compared with the calculated results in Table 2. It is seen that the calculated results agree well with the measured results, particularly the high-energy neutron fluxes. The good agreement here tends to indicate a difficulty in the Hornyak button normalization since it shows disagreement, while the Bonner balls and spectrum detectors show good agreement.

Table 2. Comparison of DOT/DISKTRAN-Calculated and Measured Detector Responses on the Centerline 138.1 cm Behind Configuration II.B

Response Type	Response		C/E
	Calculated	Measured	
Bonner ball (counts/min·W)			
3-in. ball	356	280	1.17
6-in. ball	1470	1270	1.16
10-in. ball	647	600	1.08
Flux (neutrons/min·W)			
E > 0.9 MeV	233	219	1.06
E > 0.12 MeV	656	656	1.00

The question of why such good agreement close in behind Configuration II.B when there was such a large discrepancy in and behind the basic Configuration II.A will be discussed in a later section.

7.1.3. Configuration II.D

This configuration is formed by adding the grid-plate shield section to Configuration II.B. The shields, like the fuel subassemblies, are spaced 1.27 cm apart. The measurements included axial traverses down the center of the central grid-plate shield subassembly and radial traverses close behind the grid-plate shields. The 0.635-cm-diam Hornyak button and the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball were used for these measurements.

Fig. 26. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 2-in. Cd-Covered Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 3.18 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.D.

twice the measured values. Again, this is attributed to difficulty in normalizing the Hornyak button response function. Monte Carlo (MORSE) results agree with DOT near the entrance to the shield (a DOT-calculated boundary source is used in the MORSE calculation), but they tail off toward the measurements beyond the shield. While the statistics are good, it is believed that the drop in the MORSE results is due to underprediction. The reason for this belief is illustrated clearly in Fig. 24, where the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball results are shown. The DOT results agree well with the measured results. On the other hand, the MORSE results start out agreeing well, but they underpredict beyond the shield.

The radial traverses were designed to detect the relative differences in the radiation levels behind the B₄C and those behind the inter-assembly gaps, the goal being to determine which part of the grid plate received the highest fast-neutron exposure. Figures 25 and 26 compare calculated and measured Hornyak button and 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball responses, respectively. The small-diameter (0.635 cm) Hornyak button used to obtain the traverse in Fig. 25 was able to determine the relative

peak value over the inner subassembly gap. Again, the calculated results are high due to the difficulty in normalizing the Hornyak button response. The MORSE results are generally in agreement with the DOT results. The DOT-calculated and 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball count rates, smoothed to take the ball size into account, agree fairly well with the measured results. Since the holes in the outer six shields were mocked up in a smaller width ring for the two-dimensional geometry, the calculated peak over the outer ring is narrower and higher than the measured peak. The DOT results underestimate over most of the range but not seriously so. MORSE, however, has trouble generating the low-energy fluxes which contribute heavily to the 2-in. Bonner ball count rate. Thus, the results are underestimated.

Centerline measurements were taken at 30.5 and 305 cm behind the configuration. DOT results are compared with the measured results in Table 3. As seen previously, the calculation-to-measurement ratios for the Hornyak button are much higher than those for the Bonner ball count rates. The calculated Bonner ball count rates show good agreement at 30.5 cm and up to 60% overprediction at 305 cm. The 5-in. Bonner ball count rate overprediction is about 15% higher than it was at 305 cm behind the fuel pins, while the overprediction for the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball is smaller.

Table 3. Comparison of DOT/DISKTRAN-Calculated Detector Responses with Measured Values on the Centerline Behind Configuration II-D

Response Type	Distance from Shield (cm)	Response		C/E
		Calculated	Measured	
Hornyak Button (ergs/g·h·W)	30.5	5.94-3*	2.91-3	2.04
	305	1.25-3	5.94-4	2.10
Bonner Ball (counts/min·W)				
2-in. Cd-covered ball	30.5	5.20	4.92	1.06
	305	1.66	1.22	1.36
5-in. ball	30.5	1120	976	1.15
	305	187	118	1.58

*Read: 5.94×10^{-3} .

7.1.4. Configuration II.E

Calculational comparisons with measurements behind configurations similar to II.D have sometimes been poor in the past due to rapid drop-off in the radiation field immediately behind the configuration. To improve such comparisons, measurements have been taken with the detector between the configuration and a reflective medium a prescribed distance behind the configuration. This is part of the philosophy for Configuration II.E, where a 61-cm thick stack of solid steel plates was placed 15.24 cm behind the configuration and 2-in. Cd-covered and 5-in. Bonner ball measurements were made in the intervening void region. Another reason for this configuration was to try to simulate the reflection of the GCFR grid plate and to determine what effect it had on the peak-to-minimum "fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate" ratio.

This configuration was calculated with DOT only. A comparison of the calculated and measured curves is shown in Fig. 27 for the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball and Fig. 28 for the 5-in. Bonner ball. The 2-in.

Fig. 27. Comparison of the Calculated and Measured 2-in. Cd-Covered Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 4.13 cm Behind the Grid-Plate Shields of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.E.

Fig. 28. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 5-in. Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 7.62 cm Behind the Shields of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.E.

Cd-covered Bonner ball curve is generally characteristic of the one seen in Fig. 26. Calculated results (1) started out slightly lower than measured results behind the central subassembly, (2) had a relatively tall, narrow peak over the outer subassemblies, and (3) were initially slightly higher than measured behind the concrete. The presence of the iron plates cause greater than a factor of two increase in the count rate. Calculated results appear to agree with measured results to within 10%.

The 5-in. Bonner ball count rate curve shape also agrees well with the measured curve. The calculational overprediction is in general about 20%, with about 30% overprediction at the center of the outer shield.

7.1.5. Configuration II.F

This configuration included the prototypic grid-plate mockup, which consisted of a 76.2-cm-square by 63.5-cm-thick stack of iron plates through which seven holes were drilled. The holes were aligned with those through the grid-plate shields. The plates, surrounded by concrete, were placed adjacent to the grid-plate shield section. Into each of the cylindrical

holes in the grid plate, steel clad B_4C shields were inserted to create a shield which resembles that in the GCFR design. Thus, Configuration II.F is Configuration II.D with a prototypic mockup of the grid plate following it.

A Hornyak button measurement was taken close to the grid plate (2.62 cm). Hornyak button, 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball, and 5-in. Bonner ball measurements were taken at 30.5 and 305 cm behind the grid plate. Figure 29 shows results for the Hornyak button 2.62 cm behind the grid plate. The DOT results are about a factor of 3.0 times the measurements while the MORSE results are about a factor of 1.7 to 2.0 times the measurements. Assuming the MORSE results are correct except for the normalization of the Hornyak button response function, then the renormalized DOT results would be only a factor of 1.5 to 1.75 times the measured results. The shape of both the MORSE and DOT curves are good when compared to the measurements.

Fig. 29. Comparison of Calculated and Measured Hornyak Button Response for a Radial Traverse 2.62 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment for Configuration II.F.

Figures 30, 31, and 32 compare calculations and measurement at 30.5 cm behind the grid plate for the Hornyak button, the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball count rate, and the 5-in. Bonner ball count rate, respectively. Again the DOT-calculated Hornyak button response is a factor of 3.0 times the measured response while the MORSE results are a factor of 1.5 to 2.0 times the measured values. DOT does well in calculating the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball count rate while MORSE is underpredictive overall. A MORSE calculated value behind an outer grid-plate hole agrees well with the measurement. The DOT 5-in. Bonner ball count rate is about 25% higher than the measured count rate on the centerline but becomes about 55% higher behind the outer grid-plate holes. Beyond the concrete, calculation and measurement nearly coincide. The MORSE results are about the same as seen for the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball with results low near the centerline and agreement good behind the outer grid-plate holes.

Figures 33, 34, and 35 show, respectively, the results for the Hornyak button, the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball, and the 5-in. Bonner ball at 305 cm behind the grid plate. Both calculated Hornyak button

Fig. 30. Comparison of Calculated and Measured Hornyak Button Response for a Radial Traverse 30.5 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F.

ORNL-DWG 79-7796

Fig. 31. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 2-in. Cd-Covered Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 30.5 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F.

ORNL-DWG 79-7797

Fig. 32. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 5-in. Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 30.5 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F.

ORNL-DWG 79-7798

Fig. 33. Comparison of Calculated and Measured Hornyak Button Response for a Radial Traverse 305 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F.

ORNL-DWG 79-7799

Fig. 34. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 2-in. Cd-Covered Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 305 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F.

Fig. 35. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 5-in. Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 305 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F.

curve shapes (Fig. 33) are similar to the measured shape though the DOT results are 2.7 times the measured results and the MORSE results are 1.7 times the measured results. Again, a portion of these large factors is attributed to inappropriate normalization of the Hornyak button response function. MORSE does well in calculating the Bonner ball count rates with statistics so low in the 5-in. Bonner ball case that the error bars are shorter than the plotting symbols and are thus not plotted. DOT results, on the other hand, are about a factor of 2 too high behind the holes but show slightly better agreement behind the steel and concrete (the concrete begins at 38.1 cm in the actual geometry and about 43 cm in 2-D cylindrical geometry). Beyond about 80 cm both Bonner ball measurements tend to flatten even though a large background component (up to 70% for the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball and 28% for the 5-in. Bonner ball) has been subtracted. Probably a better comparison of the calculated and measured curves would be to continue the measured curve by maintaining the nearly constant difference between the curves in the range 40 to 80 cm. The high Bonner ball count rates at 305 cm behind the grid plate indicate that the calculated neutron source leaving the top of the GCFR design grid plate

may be very conservative. The upper plenum in the GCFR is about 450 cm high and is partially constricted by a tapered upper axial shield and a cylindrical shield plug along the axis. There are also control-rod mechanisms within the plenum. Otherwise, the upper plenum is like the grid-plate shield experiment configuration at the TSF with a large low-density (helium or air) region immediately following the grid plate. However, the experimental plenum is only partially surrounded by scattering surfaces such as the PCRV (Prestressed-Concrete Reactor Vessel) in the GCFR.

The question of whether the calculated source leaving the top of the grid plate will be conservative is left to a later section.

A neutron spectrum measurement was taken at 34.29 cm behind the grid plate. Figure 36 shows the DOT calculated spectrum compared with the

Fig. 36. Comparison of DOT-Calculated and Measured Neutron Spectra 34.3 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F.

measured spectrum and Fig. 37 shows the comparison of the MORSE results. While the shape of the DOT curve compares reasonably well, the calculated integral flux is about 50% higher than the measured flux. The MORSE calculation used broad energy bands in order to remove some of the statistical fluctuation due to undersampling certain energy ranges. There is much statistical fluctuation above 1.0 MeV, but the results have settled down to a monotonic pattern below that energy. While the spectrum is not calculated well by MORSE, the integrated $E > 0.9$ MeV flux agrees well with the measured flux, being a factor of 0.89 ± 0.13 times the measurement.

Fig. 37. Comparison of MORSE-Calculated and Measured Neutron Spectra 34.3 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F.

The flux between 0.12 MeV and 0.9 MeV was underestimated even more than that above 0.9 MeV since the total $E > 0.12$ MeV neutron flux was only a factor of 0.73 ± 0.04 times the measurement.

Centerline measurements were also made with Bonner balls 34.29 cm and 305 cm behind the grid plate. The calculation and measurement comparison for the flux and Bonner ball count rates are given in Table 4. It shows that close in the DOT 3-in. Bonner ball count rate is about 40% higher while the flux is about 50% higher than measured. At 305 cm behind the grid plate, all calculated Bonner ball count rates (covering most of the neutron spectrum) appear to be a factor of two higher than the measurements. These latter results indicate that the angular flux collimated through the grid plate may be a factor of two too high since it should contribute most to detector responses for detectors located large distances behind the grid plate. And as stated before, the distance at which the measurement was taken is reasonable when compared to the GCFR upper plenum. The MORSE flux comparisons presented above are also summarized in Table 4. The Bonner ball count rates calculated with MORSE agree well with the measurements.

Table 4. Comparison of Calculated and Measured Detector Responses on the Centerline Behind Configuration II-F

Response Type	Distance (cm) from Grid Plate	Measured Response	Calculated Response		C/E	
			DOT	MORSE	DOT	MORSE
Flux (neutrons/cm ² ·min·W)						
E > 0.9 MeV	34.29	26.61	40.99	23.62±3.42	1.54	0.89±0.13
E > 0.12 MeV	34.29	99.22	146.4	72.0±4.38	1.48	0.73±0.04
Bonner ball (counts/min·W)						
3-in. ball	34.29	42.6	59.0	-	1.4	-
2-in. ball	305	0.493	1.08	0.462±0.074	2.1	0.94±0.15
3-in. ball	305	12.3	25.6	-	2.1	-
5-in. ball	305	55.1	116	62.6±6.89	2.1	1.14±0.12
6-in. ball	305	62.1	127	-	2.0	-
8-in. ball	305	50.8	101	-	2.0	-
10-in. ball	305	29.6	62.6	-	2.1	-
12-in. ball	305	16.8	36.4	-	2.2	-

7.1.6. Examination of Discrepancies

It was seen in the foregoing sections that there were large discrepancies (up to 55%) between the calculations and the measurements in the basic Configuration II.A. One would have expected a similar or worse discrepancy to exist when the fuel pins were added (Configuration II.B). Yet the calculations and measurements were in good agreement up to 138 cm behind the configurations. The discrepancies reappeared in calculations of detector responses large distances behind the configurations. The discrepancies also increased as more components were added to form the larger configurations. Since the larger configurations were calculated with sources generated from previous smaller configurations, only the two basic configurations (II.A and II.B) were further analyzed in order to try to resolve the discrepancies. Configuration II.F was further analyzed to see the effect of quadrature on the transmission through the grid plate.

7.1.6.1. Configuration II.A. Since a biased 150-angle quadrature was being used in the analysis, it was thought that this might account for the discrepancy in Configuration II.A. Consequently, the calculation was redone with a symmetric 96-angle (S_{12}) quadrature with slightly more angles in the -Z direction (48 angles compared to 35 angles). Both quadratures gave essentially the same results.

The configuration was further examined by sensitivity and channel theory¹² analysis to try to understand the transport through it. The VIP¹³ computer code was used to generate the sensitivity matrices which were input to FORSS¹⁴ to generate the sensitivities. The response of interest was the 5-in. Bonner ball count rate on the centerline 30.5 cm behind Configuration II.A. The adjoint calculation was performed with DOT-IV using a first-collision source from the GRTUNCL¹⁵ computer code. Since a first-collision source was used in the adjoint calculation, only 30 angles were used. The FACT code was used to couple the forward source (with 115 forward angles) to the adjoint leakage (with 15 backward angles). The result agreed with the 5-in. Bonner ball count rate from the forward calculation to within 1%. Thus, the adjoint calculation is well defined.

The results of the sensitivity analysis are shown in Table 5. It shows that the count rate is sensitive mainly to the water content in the concrete, particularly the concrete in the shadow shield. The fluxes from

Table 5. Sensitivity of the 5-in. Bonner Ball Count Rate to Concrete
Cross Sections Used in the Analysis
(Detector on axis 30.5 cm behind Configuration II.A)

Elements	Atom Densities ($\text{barn}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$)*		Sensitivity	$\frac{\Delta \Sigma^{**}}{\Sigma}$	$\frac{\Delta R}{R}$
	TSF Concrete	Ordinary Concrete			
Na	2.73-5	1.05-3	-3.50-4	3.746+1	-1.31-2
O	4.202-2	4.385-2	-3.31-1	4.355-2	-1.44-2
Si	3.84-3	1.58-2	-2.84-2	3.115+0	-8.85-2
Mg	1.44-3	1.4-4	-1.30-2	-9.028-1	1.17-2
Fe	2.64-4	3.1-4	-2.72-3	1.742-1	-4.74-4
H	8.88-3	7.86-3	-5.00-1	-1.149-1	5.75-2
Al	4.14-4	2.39-3	-3.26-3	4.773+0	-1.56-2
Ca	1.0-2	2.92-3	-8.25-2	-7.080-1	5.84-2
K	2.34-3	6.9-4	-1.83-2	-7.051-1	1.29-2
C	7.97-3	1.0-6	-7.28-2	-9.999-1	7.28-2
S	1.015-4	1.0-6	-7.91-4	-9.901-1	7.83-4
Total			-1.054		8.19-2

*Densities computed from atom densities are 2.34 g/mc and 2.39 g/mc for ordinary and TSF concrete, respectively.

**Fractional change in the atom density (thus cross section) in going from TSF concrete to ordinary concrete.

8 shows the composition of the cement. Two other mixtures (Table 9) were obtained based on the determination of the composition of two samples by the ORNL Analytical Chemistry Division. A fifth mixture considered was an

Table 8. Composition of Cement Used in the Manufacture of the Concrete Used in the GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiments*

Compound	Weight percent
SiO ₂	21.2
Al ₂ O ₃	5.5
Fe ₂ O ₃	2.6
CaO	64.5
MgO	2.7
SO ₃	2.2
Na ₂ O	0.4
Assumed H ₂ O of hydration**	1.0

*Analysis performed by General Portland Cement Company of Chattanooga, Tennessee, and reported on July 21, 1978.

**Based on SO₃ percentage and the formula for gypsum [CaO(SO₃)2H₂O], taking the ratio of the water molecular weight to the SO₃ molecular weight and multiplying by 2.2.

Table 9. Composition of Concrete Samples as Determined by the ORNL Analytical Chemistry Division

Compound	Weight percent	
	Sample 1*	Sample 2*
H ₂ O	6.32	3.41
SiO ₂	11.28	9.41
Fe ₂ O ₃	0.94	0.94
Al ₂ O ₃	1.30	1.57
CaO	33.34	36.96
MgO	13.6	13.2
Na ₂ O	0.01	0.022
K ₂ O	0.50	0.53
SO ₃	0.091	0.16
P ₂ O ₅ **	0.09	0.10
CO ₂	33.66	32.19
	101.1 ⁺	98.49 [#]

*Sample 1 is from the concrete surrounding the fuel pins and sample 2 is from that surrounding the B₄C shields.

**Phosphorus combined with sulfur in calculations.

⁺Weight percentages normalized to 100% before use.

[#]Deficiency of 1.5% assumed to be water. Thus water content is 4.92%.

ordinary (Portland) concrete found in the Nuclear Engineering Handbook.¹⁷ The sixth mixture was an ordinary (#48) concrete used in the GCFR design analysis. The previously computed sensitivity coefficients (Table 5) were multiplied by the percent change in the atom densities of the elemental components of the concretes with respect to the TSF concrete used in the analysis and the results were summed to give a predicted change in the count rate if the given concrete is substituted for the TSF concrete. Table 10 shows the concrete compositions (elements and atom densities of each), the percent of water in the mixture, and the predicted percent change in the count rate. All concrete densities were assumed to be 2.4 g/cc.

Seven-group calculations were run using five of the above-named concrete mixtures and the results were compared with the seven-group results for the TSF concrete. As stated before, the seven-group results agreed well with the 51-group results. Six calculated curves for a 5-in. Bonner ball axial traverse down the centerline of the empty cavity are compared with the measured curve in Fig. 39. As predicted by sensitivity theory, two concrete mixtures give results comparable to the measurement (since the calculated 5-in. Bonner ball count rate was 1.55 times the measurement, the calculated results should be lowered 35% for agreement with the experiment). The two mixtures are the manufacturer's mixture with all water (MIX #1) and the ordinary concrete from the Nuclear Engineering Handbook (MIX #5). The other mixtures also give results as predicted by sensitivity analysis except for mixture #4 where the predicted change is so small (1.4%) that the sign of the change is in doubt.

These results show the effect of concrete composition on the 5-in. Bonner ball count rate. Similar effects can be expected for other detectors since the 5-in. Bonner ball has a nearly flat response over the most important energy range. Mixture #1 would be a credible mixture were it not for the fact that no water loss on setting was assumed. However, studies on the ORNL Graphite Pile Reactor Concrete Shields¹⁸ have shown a high percentage retention of water in the portion of the concrete away from heat sources and away from exposed surfaces. The concrete around the fuel subassemblies is steel-lined and has a lateral thickness greater than 120 cm (much thicker than the 30.5 cm ordinary concrete layer on

Table 10. Compositions of Several Concrete Mixes, Water Percentages, and Predicted Percent Change in the Count Rate of a 5-in. Bonner Ball on Axis 30.5 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.A When the Concrete Mix Replaces That Used in the Original Calculation

Element	Atom densities ($\text{b}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}$)*						
	TSF Concrete	Mix #1	Mix #2	Mix #3	Mix #4	Mix #5	Mix #6
H	8.82-3	1.361-2	7.326-3	1.067-2	8.395-3	1.494-2	7.86-3
O	4.202-2	4.713-2	4.572-2	4.654-2	4.503-2	4.153-2	4.385-2
Ca	1.0-2	8.278-3	8.686-6	8.498-3	9.257-3	1.159-2	2.92-3
Na	2.73-5	3.403-5	3.550-5	4.618-6	1.026-5	3.039-4	1.05-3
Al	4.14-4	2.844-4	2.969-4	3.658-4	4.452-4	7.372-4	2.39-3
K	2.34-3	1.527-4	1.527-4	1.504-4	1.627-4	1.217-4	6.9-4
Mg	1.44-3	5.408-3	5.640-3	4.823-3	4.733-3	1.055-3	1.4-4
Si	3.83-3	2.510-3	2.617-3	2.682-3	2.264-3	6.044-3	1.58-2
S	1.015-4	7.245-5	7.556-5	3.376-5	4.856-5	0	1.0-6
Fe	2.64-4	8.585-5	8.955-5	1.684-4	1.702-5	1.974-4	3.1-4
C	7.97-3	1.046-2	1.001-2	1.093-2	1.059-2	3.818-3	1.0-6
% water	5.53	8.48	4.57	6.18	4.92	9.38	5.02
Pred. % chg in count rate	0.0	-32.1	+3.38	-15.4	-1.37	-31.3	+8.19

- *Mix #1 - Composition based on suppliers mix and concrete and sand analysis plus 0.5 wt % K_2O .
 Mix #2 - Composition based on suppliers mix with about half the water retained + 0.5 wt % K_2O .
 Mix #3 - Composition based on ORNL Analytical Chemistry Division analysis with total normalized to 100%.
 Mix #4 - Composition based on ORNL Analytical Chemistry Division analysis with deficiency attributed to water.
 Mix #5 - Ordinary (Portland) concrete from the Nuclear Engineering Handbook.
 Mix #6 - Ordinary (#4B) concrete as used in GCFR design analysis.

Fig. 39. Comparison of the Calculated 5-in. Bonner Ball Count Rate for Several Concrete Mixtures with the Measured Count Rate for an Axial Traverse Down the Center of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.A.

either side of the heavy concrete in the Graphite Reactor shield). Thus, the concrete surrounding the fuel subassemblies could indeed retain a large percentage of the water used in mixing.

The only conclusion to be drawn from these calculations is that knowledge of the concrete composition is very important if unbiased comparisons are to be made between calculations and measurements. A definitive claim that a given concrete is the correct one to use may be made only if that concrete also gives good comparisons with the fuel subassemblies present.

7.1.6.2. Configuration II.B. Two additional questions remained for Configuration II.B. First, assuming that the correct concrete mixture has been determined in the previous section, how does it affect the calculated results behind the fuel pins? Second, how does one reduce the error in calculations to detectors great distances behind the fuel pins? The first

question was answered by running seven-group calculations for Configuration II.B with the TSF concrete mixture and with Mixture #1. The results showed that the calculated 5-in. Bonner ball count rate 30.5 cm behind the fuel pins would drop 16 to 17% on the centerline and about 33% at the edge of the calculational geometry when the concrete is substituted. The thermal flux ($E < 2.38$ eV) remains about the same for both concretes. The 5-in. Bonner ball count rate at 305 cm behind the fuel pins drops 20 to 25%. At 305 cm behind the configuration, the calculated 5-in. Bonner ball count rate is 13% higher than measured on centerline and up to 16% lower than measured off centerline. Thus, the concrete which removes the discrepancy from the Configuration II.A calculation introduces discrepancies into the Configuration II.B calculations.

Two approaches were used in the analysis of the second question. First, a finer mesh was introduced in the fuel-pin region and the seven-group calculation with TSF concrete was rerun. The results were unchanged at 30.5 cm behind the fuel pins. At 305 cm behind the fuel pins, the 2-in. Cd-covered and 5-in. Bonner ball count rates drop about 20% on the centerline and remain about the same toward the outer edge of the geometry. This results in a 5-in. Bonner ball count rate 11% higher than measured on the centerline 305 cm behind the fuel pins, while the calculated count rate is 13% higher than measured off the centerline 305 cm behind the configuration. Since agreement is good both close behind the configuration and large distances behind it, it is concluded that inadequate mesh spacing, rather than grossly incorrect concrete composition, is the major source of the discrepancy between calculation and measurement for Configuration II.B. The discrepancies in the results for Configuration II.A remain unexplainable.

The second approach used to reduce the discrepancy in the results 305 cm behind the configuration was to use a different auxiliary code to compute the detector responses at detectors large distances behind the fuel pins. Unlike the DISKTRAN code, which uses a boundary source as input, the FALSTF¹⁹ code uses a volumetric scattering-plus-fission source from which last-flight contributions are summed for each discrete volume element specified. Because the source angular distribution is given in terms of flux moments, responses of detectors close to the axis frequently are undercalculated. Consequently, the FALSTF results presented are based

on results obtained at off-axis detectors and extrapolated to those on-axis or near the axis. The results are compared with measurements in Table 11. It is seen that the Bonner ball results agree reasonably well with the measurements 30.5 cm behind the fuel pins. The Hornyak button results are characteristically high. There is also good agreement for

Table 11. Comparison of DOT/FALSTF-Calculated and Measured Responses Behind Configuration II-B

Response Type	R (cm)	Z (cm)	Value		C/E
			Calculated	Measured	
Bonner ball (counts/min·W)					
2-in. Cd-covered ball	0.0	30.48	105.4	88.0	1.20
	10.16	30.48	100.7	86.8	1.16
	17.78	30.48	91.02	82.3	1.11
	30.48	30.48	82.27	68.3	1.20
	45.72	30.48	63.74	48.1	1.33
	0.0	304.8	7.053	4.32	1.63
	15.24	304.8	6.774	3.78	1.79
	25.4	304.8	6.277	3.57	1.76
	45.72	304.8	4.539	2.93	1.55
	137.16	304.8	3.488	1.95	1.79
3-in. ball	0	138.1	369.1	280.0	1.32
5-in. ball	0.0	30.48	9672	8530	1.13
	10.16	30.48	9106	8180	1.11
	17.78	30.48	7939	7390	1.07
	30.48	30.48	6243	5310	1.18
	45.72	30.48	3693	3170	1.16
	0.0	304.8	363.6	348.0	1.04
	15.24	304.8	352.0	331.0	1.06
	25.4	304.8	331.3	294.0	1.13
	45.72	304.8	258.9	231.0	1.12
	137.16	304.8	202.5	133.0	1.52
6-in. ball	0	138.1	1274	1270	1.00
10-in. ball	0	138.1	555.1	600.0	0.93
Hornyak Button (ergs/g·h·W)	0.0	30.48	4.78-2*	2.99-2	1.60
	10.16	30.48	4.50-2	2.92-2	1.54
	17.78	30.48	3.94-2	2.57-2	1.53
	30.48	30.48	3.08-2	1.93-2	1.60
	45.72	30.48	1.79-2	1.13-2	1.58
	0.0	304.8	2.03-3	1.69-3	1.20
	15.24	304.8	1.96-3	1.39-3	1.41
	25.4	304.8	1.85-3	1.26-3	1.47
	45.72	304.8	1.46-3	1.01-3	1.44
	137.16	304.8	1.09-3	5.88-4	1.86
Flux (neutrons/cm ² ·min·W)					
E > 0.9 MeV	0.0	138.1	207.7	219.0	0.95

*Read: 4.78×10^{-2} .

the 5-in. Bonner ball count rate at 305 cm behind the fuel pins except for the position 137 cm from the axis. The 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball results are even higher than for the DISKTRAN calculations, while the Hornyak button results remain high due in part to uncertainty in the normalization of its response function. Except for the 3-in. Bonner ball count rate, the calculated results on centerline at 138.1 cm behind the configuration agree well with measured results. Overall, the results are not very definitive. However, they tend to show that the volumetric scattering source is well-defined since the such good agreement was achieved for the 5-in. Bonner ball count rate at both 30.5 and 305 cm behind the configuration. The inadequate mesh then allows excess neutron streaming and results in an overestimate for the source leaking the fuel pins. The FALSTF calculation is analagous to the Monte Carlo calculation with a point detector estimator. Like MORSE, some of its results agreed better with the measured results than did the DOT results.

7.1.6.3 Configurations II.D, II.E, and II.F. The discrepancies in configurations II.D, II.E, and II.F are attributed in part to the discrepancies present in Configuration II.B. The use of a finer mesh and attention to the concrete composition should eliminate the greater portion of the discrepancies in any of the configurations. The neutron source is concentrated in the fuel pins a short distance beyond the point where the source is directed onto the pins; hence, the concrete composition should have little additional effect upon calculated responses behind the follow-on configurations (II.D, II.E, and II.F) than it has on responses behind Configuration II.B. Errors in the concrete composition are believed to contribute little to the discrepancies seen behind Configuration II.B; rather, the space mesh is the controlling factor.

7.2. Configurations III.A, IV.A, and VI.A

Since a thorough analysis of Configurations II were or would be done, only selected portions of the other configurations were analyzed. Hence all the core/blanket arrangements except the one including the control-rod-channel mockup were examined. These included two variations of the subassembly-gap spacing from that of Configuration II.B. In Configuration III.A the number of fuel pins per subassembly (127) remained the same as

that of Configuration II.B, but the subassembly-gap size was reduced to 0.635 cm. Likewise, in Configuration VI.A the gap size was reduced further to 0.318 cm. The three configurations, II.B, III.A, and VI.A, thus cover a range of subassembly-gap sizes each of which might have a different effect on the location of the point of maximum fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate.

Configuration IV.A is also like Configuration II.B, except that instead of varying the subassembly gap size the number of fuel pins per subassembly has been lowered to 91. The two fuel subassemblies with 91 and 127 pins have inside void fractions of 60.2% and 44.5%, respectively. The GCFR design values have ranged from 63.3% in the low-pressure-drop design to 52.9% in the high-pressure-drop design. While the void fraction range in the experiment is shifted to the low side of that for the design, there is enough overlap so that meaningful information may be extracted and applied to the GCFR design.

The calculations were performed the same as with Configuration II.B, with adjustments to the ring geometry to account for the rearrangement of the fuel subassemblies within the core.

The DOT-calculated results on axis 30.5 cm behind each of the four configurations (II.B, III.A, IV.A, and VI.A) are compared with measured results in Table 12. The Bonner ball count rates agree very well with the measured ones. The Hornyak button results are high and, as mentioned before, the disagreement is probably due to the lack of a properly normalized response function for the Hornyak button. The C/E ratios seem to increase with decreasing subassembly-gap width (compare II.B to III.A to VI.A) and decrease with a decrease in the number of fuel pins per subassembly (compare II.B to IV.A). Changes in the neutron spectrum among the different core arrangements are chiefly responsible.

The DOT/DISKTRAN-calculated results on axis 305 cm behind each of the four configurations are compared with measured results in Table 13. These results show the calculations substantially overpredicting the measured results. Note that the calculated-to-measured ratios (C/Es) increased when the outer or peripheral-gap thickness was increased from 0.635 cm to 1.27 cm (Configuration II.B to Configuration III.A), but there is little change when the peripheral-gap thickness is increased from 1.27 cm to

Table 12. Comparison of DOT-Calculated and Measured Responses
on Axis 30.5 cm Behind Various Configurations

Detector	Configuration			
	II-B	III-A	IV-A	VI-A
Bonner ball (counts/min·W)				
2-in. Cd-covered ball				
Calculated	8.30+1*	8.48+1	1.17+2	8.43+1
Measured	8.80+1	8.63+1	1.43+2	8.69+1
C/E	0.94	0.98	0.82	0.97
5-in. ball				
Calculated	8.53+3	8.38+3	1.18+4	8.15+3
Measured	8.53+3	7.95+3	1.25+4	7.88+3
C/E	1.0	1.05	0.94	1.03
Hornyak button (ergs/g·h·W)				
Calculated	4.18-2	4.10-2	6.39-2	3.97-2
Measured	2.99-2	2.55-2	4.75-2	2.34-2
C/E	1.40	1.61	1.35	1.70

*Read: 8.30×10^1 .

Table 13. Comparison of DOT/DISKTRAN-Calculated and Measured
Responses on Axis 305 cm Behind Various Configurations

Detector	Configuration			
	II-B	III-A	IV-A	VI-A
Bonner ball (counts/min·W)				
2-in. Cd-covered ball				
Calculated	6.32+0*	6.39+0	8.87+0	6.45+0
Measured	4.32+0	3.28+0	7.28+0	3.61+0
C/E	1.46	1.95	1.22	1.79
5-in. ball				
Calculated	4.65+2	4.96+2	6.68+2	4.91+2
Measured	3.48+2	3.16+2	5.30+2	3.03+2
C/E	1.34	1.57	1.26	1.62
Hornyak button (ergs/g·h·W)				
Calculated	2.87-3	3.13-3	4.71-3	3.09-3
Measured	1.68-3	1.43-3	2.75-3	1.35-3
C/E	1.71	2.19	1.71	2.29

*Read: 6.32×10^0 .

1.59 cm (Configuration III.A to Configuration VI.A). Also, there is much improvement in the C/Es for the Bonner ball count rates in going from the 127 fuel pins per subassembly in Configuration II.B to the 91 fuel pins per subassembly in Configuration IV.A. The results for Configuration IV.A are almost acceptable. Again, part of the overprediction in the Hornyak button response is due to the difficulty in normalizing the Hornyak button response function.

The results also show the effect of void fraction within a subassembly on the neutron streaming. Configurations IV.A and II.B have the same interassembly gap but different void fractions within the subassemblies. The Hornyak button comparisons give an idea about how the fast-neutron flux ($E > 0.1$ MeV) behaves. The calculational comparisons showed that at 30.5 cm behind the configuration the higher void fraction (60.2%) Configuration IV.A gives a fast-neutron flux 1.5 times that behind the lower void fraction (44.5%) Configuration II.B. The measurement comparisons give a factor of 1.6. The higher neutron flux behind the higher void fraction configuration is due to both the lower effective material density in the core and neutron streaming among the fuel pins. The fission and scattering sources per unit volume of material within the fuel subassemblies were compared for both configurations and were found to be nearly the same. The sources per unit volume in the design calculations were also about the same for the different designs.

7.3. Configuration IV.B

The final configuration analyzed was Configuration IV.B, which was formed by adding the grid-plate shield section to Configuration IV.A. Configuration IV.A had 91 fuel pins per subassembly with subassemblies spaced 1.27 cm apart. This configuration (IV.B) is analogous to Configuration II.D in which the core contained subassemblies which were spaced 1.27 cm apart and which had 127 fuel pins each. The DOT calculation was performed using a boundary source generated during the calculation of Configuration IV.A. The boundary source was located about 64 cm into the fuel pin region so that "end effects" would not result in a source which was too low.

Radial traverses were made close behind the shields using the Hornyak button and the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball. The calculated and measured curves for the Hornyak button are plotted in Fig. 40 while those for the 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball are plotted in Fig. 41. The agreement is similar to that seen for Configuration II.D. The calculated Hornyak button results are generally higher than measured, except over the outer shield where the narrower void channel in the 2-D mockup of the shields caused the calculated results to drop below the measured results. The shape is good in general and probably represents the average of what one would see in all the radial traverses across the shields with various angles from 0 to 90° from the horizontal. The 2-in. Cd-covered Bonner ball count rate curve has the same problem as the Hornyak button with respect to the outer shield. The narrower opening in the 2-D geometry

Fig. 40. Comparison of Calculated and Measured Hornyak Button Response for a Radial Traverse 2.35 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration IV.B.

Fig. 41. Comparison of Calculated and Measured 2-in. Cd-Covered Bonner Ball Count Rate for a Radial Traverse 3.18 cm Behind GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration IV.B.

causes the calculated peak to be tall and narrow when compared to the shorter and broader measured curves. While an average of all the measured results for traverses from 0 to 90° from the horizontal might produce a narrower peak, it could hardly be expected to produce a taller one. Thus the calculation would probably overpredict the count rate over the center of the outer hole by about 30%. There is about a 10% under-prediction at the center.

The final comparisons are for measurements made on the centerline at either 30.5 cm or 305 cm behind the configuration. The results are shown in Table 14. About the same degree of success is achieved in calculating the results for this configuration as was achieved with Configuration II.D (Table 3). The results at 305 cm show slightly better agreement than before. In both cases the Hornyak button results are high by a factor of 2, due in part to an improperly normalized response function.

Table 14. Comparison of DOT/DISKTRAN-Calculated and Measured Response on the Centerline Behind Configuration IV.B

Response Type	Distance from Shield (cm)	Response		C/E
		Calculated	Measured	
Hornyak button (ergs/g·h·k)	30.5	1.08-2*	5.40-3	2.00
	305	1.99-3	9.58-4	2.08
Bonner ball (counts/min·k)				
2-in. Cd-covered ball	30.5	9.37	9.91	0.95
	305	2.70	2.14	1.26
5-in. ball	30.5	1730	1520	1.11
	305	265	177	1.50

*Read: 1.08×10^{-2} .

7.4 Configuration V

Configuration V.A was designed to simulate a control rod channel mock-up within the GCFR. It is like Configuration IV.A (Section 7.2) except that seven fuel pins have been removed from the central-fuel subassembly leaving the simulated control rod channel. The other Configurations V are identical to Configurations IV except for the control rod channel mock-up.

None of the Configuration V mockups were calculated, but some inferences may be made from the measured results. Results from Configurations IV are used as the standard to which results from Configurations V are compared.

Behind the fuel pins, Configuration V.A gave 5-in. Bonner ball count rates on the centerline which were 3.5% higher than those for Configuration IV.A at both 30.5 cm and 305 cm behind the configuration. The total flux would be expected to have the same behavior as the 5-in. Bonner ball count rate. The fast-neutron fluxes (inferred from Hornyak button results) were respectively 11% lower and 1.5% higher at 30.5 and 305 cm behind the configuration.

Behind the grid-plate shields, the differences between Configuration IV and Configuration V results became greater than those seen behind the fuel pins. The fast-neutron fluxes for Configuration V.B were respectively 29% and 18% higher than those for Configuration IV.B at 30.5 cm

and 305 cm behind the configurations. The total fluxes at 30.5 cm and 305 cm behind Configuration V.B were respectively 9% and 5% higher than the corresponding results for Configuration IV.B. While there was a large difference in the fast-neutron fluxes on the centerline, the peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate in Configuration V.B was actually 8% lower than that for Configuration IV.B.

The control rod channel mockup was included in the measurements in order to assess the importance of neutron streaming in a control subassembly in comparison to a regular fuel subassembly. Speculation was that the reduction in the fission source in the control subassembly would offset the effect of neutron streaming in the control rod channel, leaving the regular fuel subassembly as the worse case as for a neutron leakage from the core. Preamalysis calculations indicated little difference in results for the two cases. The results above indicate that the control rod channel can have a very large effect on axial neutron streaming, particularly neutron transport through the penetrations in the grid plate. On the other hand, such a subassembly mockup would give a lower fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate and would thus be nonconservative in one of the key design limiting criteria. The effect of streaming in the channel in the GCFR design is expected to be smaller than that indicated by the experiment due to the fact that the fission distribution within a design subassembly is flatter radially. The experiment had an inward flux gradient which drove the neutrons into the channel. The larger streaming path enhanced the ability of (1) neutrons from the TSR-II which penetrate the shadow shield, (2) neutrons from the TSR-II which scatter perpendicularly onto the fuel pins, and (3) fission neutrons born in the fuel pins which backscatter from the shadow shield to travel the full length of the fuel pins and leak from the fuel array.

In summary, the choice of subassembly mockups might depend upon one's major interest. Were one interested in the peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate, the regular fuel subassembly mockup would be preferable. The control subassembly mockup may be preferable for studies concentrating on neutron transmission through the grid plate or radiation exposure to instrumentation within a subassembly.

8.0 IMPLICATIONS FOR THE GCFR

8.1 Axial Neutron Streaming

Calculations of axial neutron streaming in the GCFR core and axial blanket are concerned mainly with that relatively small population of source neutrons which, having been emitted in a favorable direction, suffer few, if any, collisions and only small changes in direction before crossing the axial plane of interest. They travel in relatively long flight paths in the neutronicallly nearly void coolant channels among the fuel pins. Their contributions to given neutron responses become more important as they move away from the source, since many of the source neutrons will be attenuated by the fuel pins and other structures. The experiment incorporated provisions for two parameter variations so that the effects of these variations on neutron streaming could be ascertained.

Four different fuel-pin configurations (II.B, III.A, IV.A, and VI.A) were calculated. From these one may obtain some idea of the effect of fuel-pin spacing and subassembly spacing on axial neutron streaming. Comparing calculations for configurations II.B, III.A, and VI.A showed that varying the interassembly-gap size from 0.318 to 1.27 cm had little effect ($< 5\%$) on any detector response behind the configuration. Measured results, however, indicate (by the 5-in. Bonner ball count rate) a difference of 8% in the total flux and (by Hornyak button results) a difference of 28% in the $E > 1.0$ MeV neutron flux. The fuel-pin spacing, on the other hand, had a somewhat larger effect on the flux. The effect could not be determined directly by comparing results from configurations II.B and IV.A, since part of the increase in the neutron leakage from the configuration is due to the reduced smeared fuel density within the core of the configuration. The remainder is due to streaming. The streaming effects for the two configurations were determined separately by comparing heterogeneous and homogeneous calculations for the two configurations.

What one finds in comparing the heterogeneous and homogeneous calculations is that close behind the configurations the streaming effect due to pin streaming is about 9% for Configuration II.B (with the higher smeared fuel density) and about 11% for Configuration IV.A. Such underpredictions of neutron streaming by the homogeneous calculations ordinarily would not

be serious since larger factors of conservatism are often included to account for streaming and other effects. However, when one looks at the results 305 cm behind the configurations, one finds that the homogeneous calculation underpredicts the 5-in. Bonner ball count rate by 20 to 30% for Configuration II.B and by about 40% for Configuration IV.A. The heterogeneous calculations overpredict the same count rate by 35 to 50% for Configuration II.B and about 25% for Configuration IV.A. The homogeneous calculations should thus start to underpredict the neutron transport through the large penetrations in the grid-plate shields and the grid plate. Design-cell calculations have shown little difference between results from heterogeneous and homogeneous calculations when only the pin geometry portion of the cell is homogenized for the homogeneous calculation. When the grid-plate shield region and the grid-plate region are represented homogeneously, a factor of two difference has been noted between homogeneous- and heterogeneous-calculated fluxes at the bottom of the grid plate.

In general, the results indicate that the two-dimensional heterogeneous modelling used in calculations of the GCFR design is an adequate means for representing the core and axial-blanket regions of the GCFR.

8.2 Fast-Neutron Exposure to the Grid Plate

One of the major objectives of this experiment was to determine how well the calculations predict the fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate as well as the location of the point of peak exposure. It has been speculated by some that the bottom of the grid plate over the center of the interassembly gap receives a relatively high exposure when compared to the shielded portions of the grid plate. The Hornyak button measurements has such spatial resolution that a localized peak-to-minimum exposure ratio can be determined with a high degree of certainty.

Only two configurations (II.D and IV.B) were calculated. Each had the subassemblies spaced 1.27 cm apart. The Configuration II.D core contained 127 fuel pins per subassembly while the Configuration IV.B core contained 91 fuel pins per subassembly. The 1.27 cm subassembly gap was the largest for any configuration and should be the worst case of any as far as the differential in the fast-neutron exposure. Thus, the peak-to-

minimum exposure ratios should be limiting for designs which have the same or smaller subassembly gaps. Another consideration is the fact that the measurements were taken without the grid plate in place behind the shields. The presence of the grid plate has the effect of lowering the peak-to-minimum exposure ratio. The ratios measured and calculated without the grid plate should be limiting for the ratios expected with the grid plate in place.

Upon examination of the measured and calculated Hornyak button data obtained for a radial traverse behind the grid-plate shields of Configuration II.D, it was found that the measurement showed a peak-to-minimum fast-neutron exposure ratio of 1.5, compared to 1.3 for the calculation. This indicates that the calculation gives a flatter distribution across the gap and behind the shields than does the measurement. It says nothing about how well the peak exposure itself is calculated. Since it was determined that the Hornyak button response function was incorrect, it was difficult to say directly whether the peak exposure was being calculated precisely or more appropriately with a degree of conservatism. So the calculated Hornyak button curve was normalized on the centerline using the relationship between the calculated and measured 5-in. Bonner ball count rate on the centerline. At 30.5 cm behind the configuration, the calculated 5-in. Bonner ball count rate was 1.15 times the measured count rate. Normalizing the calculated Hornyak button response on the centerline to 1.15 times the measured value results in a calculated peak exposure which is 0.98 times the measured value. The difference between the calculation and the measurement is well within the uncertainty of about 10% in the measurement (see Ref. 3). The question might be asked, "What would be the result if the calculated 5-in. Bonner ball count rate were 1.0 times the measured count rate?" Since the calculation gives a smaller peak-to-minimum fast-neutron exposure ratio, it is suspected that the flux coming through the large hole in the grid-plate shield is being overpredicted. Thus, as the discrepancy in the calculated flux at the center of the hole is reduced, the peak flux should remain unchanged or change very little. The peak-to-minimum fast-neutron exposure ratio would be changed in the direction of the experimental value. It is expected that the peak exposure will be calculated well indeed.

The calculation for Configuration II.F with the grid plate in place can give an idea of the effect of the grid plate on the peak-to-minimum fast-neutron exposure ratio. A suitable measurement scheme was not available. The calculation with the grid plate present showed a peak-to-minimum exposure ratio of 1.12 compared to 1.3 without the grid plate. If a similar reduction in the measured ratio could be assumed, then one would expect a maximum peak-to-minimum fast-neutron exposure ratio of 1.3. This is certainly an acceptable differential between the peak and minimum exposures.

Configuration IV.B showed results similar to those of Configuration II.D. The measured and calculated peak-to-minimum fast-neutron exposure ratios were 1.4 and 1.2 respectively. As before, the calculated Hornyak button curve was normalized on the centerline by the ratio of the calculated-to-measured 5-in. Bonner ball count rate 30.5 cm behind the configuration. The calculated count rate was 1.14 times the measured count rate. The resulting calculated-to-measured peak fast-neutron exposure ratio was 1.00, which is excellent agreement with the measurements and is well within the 10% uncertainty in the measurements.

In all the above cases, calculation and measurement alike, the peak fast-neutron exposure occurred behind the interassembly gap. Even with the grid plate in place, the peak exposure still occurred behind the interassembly gap and at the bottom of the grid plate. However, one calculation of Configuration II.F (with the prototypic grid plate), using an S_{10} quadrature rather than the 150-angle quadrature, placed the peak exposure at the bottom of the grid plate but in the portion of the grid plate nearest the hole in the grid-plate shield. Design calculations with smaller interassembly-gap sizes have shown a similar trend where the relatively low-order S_{10} quadrature places the peak exposure near the hole in the grid-plate shield, while the high-order biased quadrature places the peak exposure behind the interassembly gap. In the calculations of the experiment, the biased quadrature gives an exposure behind the gap which is 1.13 times that given by the S_{10} quadrature. On the other hand, since the peak exposure for the S_{10} calculation is not behind the gap, the difference in the two calculated exposures is smaller. The peak exposure for the biased quadrature is 1.07 times that for the S_{10} quadrature. Thus the peak exposure is not seriously underpredicted by the lower-order quadrature.

From the above discussions, one can conclude that the peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate occurs at the bottom of the grid plate and most likely over the interassembly gap. Secondly, the peak exposure is calculated well with low-order and high-order quadratures. Thirdly, the estimated maximum peak-to-minimum fast-neutron exposure ratio of 1.3 (see earlier discussion in this section) indicates that there are no serious flux-peaking problems in the design grid plate.

The measurements themselves give indications of the effect of inter-assembly-gap size on the peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate. Configurations II.D, III.B, and VI.B all contained subassemblies bearing 127 pins per subassembly but had different subassembly gap widths. The gap widths were 1.27, 0.635, and 0.3175 cm for configurations II.D, III.B, and VI.B, respectively. When the fast-neutron dose rates (Hornyak button measurements) behind the shield were compared, it was found that the peak exposures for configurations II.D, III.B, and VI.B were in the ratio of 1.55:1.15:1.0. Using the results from Configuration VI.B as the base results, a parametric equation was derived for computing the ratio of results for other gap sizes to that for the 0.3175-cm gap size (Configuration VI.B). The equation for the ratio is given by

$$r = 0.8833 + 0.3150d + 0.1653d^2$$

where d is the gap width in centimeters. The equation holds for gap widths between 0.3175 and 1.27 cm and possibly for a small interval outside the ranges. Within a few decimal places it reproduces the ratios 1.55, 1.15, and 1.0 for gap sizes of 1.27, 0.635, and 0.3175 cm respectively. The ratios may be maintained with the grid plate present.

The measured results showed that the peak-to-minimum fast-neutron exposure ratio increased with increasing gap widths being 1.15 for the 0.3175-cm gap, 1.3 for the 0.635-cm gap, and 1.5 for the 1.27-cm gap width. The peak-to-minimum exposure ratio for Configuration IV.B with a 1.27-cm interassembly-gap width and 91 fuel pins per subassembly was 1.4, slightly lower than that for Configuration II.D which also had an interassembly-gap width of 1.27 cm but 127 fuel pins per subassembly. The presence of a control-rod channel (Configuration V.B) did not change this result. The peak exposure for Configuration IV.B was 1.6 times that for Configu-

ration II.D due to the reduced smeared fuel density in the core of Configuration IV.B (since calculations showed the streaming effects to be about the same for the cores of the two configurations).

Overall the results indicate that the peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate increases in a nonlinear fashion as the gap width increases. In a like manner, the localized flux peaking also becomes worse as the gap width increases. However, the measurements were taken without a grid plate behind the shields. Calculations have shown improvements in the flux-peaking factors in the presence of the grid plate. Thus the measurements without the grid plate give the worst possible peaking factors for a given interassembly-gap size.

8.3. Neutron Source for the GCFR Upper Plenum

Another objective for this experiment was to determine how well the neutron source for the GCFR upper plenum has been calculated in the past using methods which were used to analyze the experiment. The difficulty lies in finding a suitable two-dimensional model which can adequately represent the relatively large penetrations in the grid plate and the grid-plate shields. Inadequate representation of those penetrations can result in gross overprediction or underprediction of the neutron transport through the grid plate. The angular distribution of those neutrons as they emerge from the grid plate can also be distorted. A neutron spectrum measurement 34.29 cm behind Configuration II.F and detector measurements in a radial traverse 305 cm behind the configuration can be used to assess the ability to calculate both the energy and angular distribution of the neutrons leaving the grid plate. The detector a large distance behind the grid plate effectively sees the source at a single point and senses mainly energy and angular variations in the flux. The portion of the angular distribution measured in the distant traverses may be only that portion with cosines of 0.9 to 1.0 with respect to the normal to the source surface. The traverse close behind the grid plate verifies the angular distribution for the remaining cosines, though the source can no longer be viewed as emanating from a point.

The calculations of neutron transmission through the grid plate of the experiment (Configuration II.F) gave detector responses behind the grid

plate which were 1.5 to 2.2 times the measured values. The calculated 5-in. Bonner ball count rates 30.5 cm behind the grid plate were substantially higher than measured until the traverse was a short distance radially beyond the outer grid-plate penetrations; then the calculated and measured results nearly coincided (Fig. 32). At 305 cm (Fig. 35) the calculation overpredicted the measured results by a nearly constant factor of 2 over much of the traverse. The two sets of results, together with the fact that the fast neutron flux 34.29 cm behind the grid plate was overpredicted by a factor of 1.5, indicate that the angular fluxes in the most forward directions ($\eta > 0.9$) are too high. The results also imply that design calculations using the same biased quadrature used in the experiment analysis would give a very conservative estimate of the neutron transmission through the grid plate, which would in turn give rise to a conservative source for the GCFR upper plenum. However, design calculations in the past have used a lower-order quadrature for neutron transport calculations in the GCFR. In particular most cell calculations have been performed with S_{10} quadrature (70 angles) and full mockup calculations have been performed with an S_6 (30 angles) or S_{10} quadrature. These have 35 and 15 angles, respectively, in the forward direction compared to 115 in the biased quadrature set used in the experiment analysis. In the forward direction, the biased set is basically an S_{10} quadrature set with additional η -levels added in the most forward η -level of the S_{10} set.

The above being the case, one could not make a direct inference from the calculations of the experiment to the calculations of the design, unless of course one would use the same quadrature in the design calculations. Consequently, a design cell calculation was made using the biased quadrature used in the experiment analysis and Configuration II.F (with the prototypic grid plate) was recalculated using the S_{10} quadrature previously used in the design cell calculation. The cell calculation results showed that at the center of a penetration at the top of the grid plate, the biased quadrature gave $E > 1.0$ MeV, $E > 0.1$ MeV, and total neutron fluxes which were factors of 2.2, 1.5, and 1.4, respectively, times the results given by the S_{10} quadrature. Elsewhere, at the bottom of the grid plate and in the grid plate webbing (top and bottom), the S_{10} results were comparable to and slightly higher than the biased quadrature results.

Since the biased quadrature results were higher than the S_{10} results by the same factors by which the calculation overpredicted the measurement, it was felt that the S_{10} quadrature might have been more suited to calculating the neutron transport through the grid plate than the biased quadrature. However, results from the S_{10} calculation of the experiment Configuration II.F showed that quadrature to be quite inadequate for calculating the experiment. The ratios of the biased-quadrature-calculated $E > 1.0$ MeV, $E > 0.1$ MeV, and total neutron fluxes at the top center of the grid-plate penetration to the respective S_{10} -calculated fluxes were 6.8, 3.3, and 2.9, respectively, more than double the ratios for the design calculation. At 34.3 cm behind the grid plate, the S_{10} -quadrature calculation underpredicted the 3-in. Bonner ball count rate, the $E > 0.9$ MeV flux, and the $E > 0.12$ MeV flux by factors of 3.8, 12.3, and 5.3 respectively. These ratios might be expected to be reduced by more than half for the design calculation since flux ratios at the top of the grid plate for the experiment calculation were more than double those for the design calculation.

At 305 cm the S_{10} quadrature underpredicted Bonner ball count rates on the centerline by factors of 35 to 85. The agreement between calculation and measurement gets better off centerline. For example, the calculated 5-in. Bonner ball count rate 122 cm off the centerline is 1.2 times the measured value. Design calculations using the S_{10} quadrature are not expected to underpredict radiation levels in the upper plenum by factors of 35 to 85, due in part to the discussion above which showed that the design calculation gave less than half the underprediction of the experiment calculation. Secondly, the neutron reflections from the upper shields and the PCRV surrounding the plenum creates a more uniform flux field in the upper plenum than that in the open space behind the grid-plate Configuration II.F.

In summary, the above discussion implies that based on calculations of the experiment and the design with the biased quadrature and S_{10} quadrature, design calculations which have used an S_{10} quadrature in the past may have underestimated the $E > 1.0$ MeV neutron flux by a factor of 4.0 and the $E > 0.1$ MeV and total neutron fluxes by a factor of 2.5. These factors are perceived as upper bounds to the underpredictions. Most

design constraints for the upper axial region have been met with sufficient margin that such uncertainties can be accommodated with the present shield design.

9.0 SUMMARY

The results of the analysis of the GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Design Confirmation Experiment have been presented. The established objectives of the experiment were met. The shadow shield eliminated the direct neutron-streaming source and allowed the scattered beam to place the dominant source within the fuel pins themselves. The effects of neutron streaming on neutron leakage from the core were calculated to be between 9 and 11% for void fractions between 44.5 and 60.2%. The calculated effect of interassembly-gap size on the leakage from the core was small since there was a difference of less than 5% between the leakage for a 0.3175-cm gap width and that for a 1.27-cm gap width. Centerline measurements behind the fuel pins, however, indicated a larger effect due to gap width. A difference of 28% was noted in the Hornyak button response, indicating about a 28% difference in the $E > 1.0$ MeV neutron flux. The difference of 8% in the Bonner ball count rates (versus 5% for the calculation) means about an 8% difference in the total flux. Hence, varying the gap size between 0.3175 and 1.27 cm is expected to have only a small overall effect on neutron leakage from the GCFR axial blanket.

In terms of the peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate, the effect of the interassembly-gap width variation was much larger than the effect on the leakage from the axial blanket. While there was only an 18% difference in the centerline Hornyak button responses, the peak values in the grid plate differed by 55% between configurations with 0.3175 cm and 1.27 cm interassembly-gap widths. A parametric quadratic equation was derived which expressed the ratio of the fast-neutron exposure for a particular gap width between 0.3175 cm and 1.27 cm to the fast-neutron exposure for a gap width of 0.3175 cm. The equation, though based on measurements behind the grid plate shields without the grid plate, was expected to hold for configurations with the grid plate present.

The peak fast-neutron exposure to the grid plate was found to be calculated well. Calculated peak Hornyak button responses, when renor-

malized using the calculated 5-in. Bonner ball count rate and the measured Hornyak button response and 5-in. Bonner ball count rate on the center-line behind the shields, were found to lie within 2% of the measurements for two configurations. These differences between calculation and measurement were well within the 10% uncertainty in the measurements.

Lastly, initial calculations with a high-order biased quadrature gave very conservative results for the neutron leakage from the top of the grid plate. Calculated fluxes and Bonner ball count rates were 1.5 to 2.2 times the measured results. A subsequent calculation with a lower-order S_{10} symmetric quadrature greatly underpredicted detector responses behind the grid plate. It was determined that this quadrature might underpredict fluxes in the GCFR upper plenum by factors of 2.5 to 4.0. Constraints having been met by a large margin in the upper axial regions, the application of such bias factors to calculated responses can be accommodated without redesign.

In conclusion, the results presented have shown that methods and data used in the analysis of the GCFR design are adequate for calculating (1) axial neutron streaming in the GCFR core and axial blanket for a variety of fuel-pin and subassembly spacings, and (2) the amount and location of the peak fast-neutron exposure to the GCFR grid plate. The methods were found lacking when used to calculate the neutron leakage from the top of the grid plate into the upper plenum. Results were sensitive to the quadrature set chosen. Future design calculations of neutron and gamma-ray transport through the grid plate will place greater emphasis on quadrature.

REFERENCES

1. General Atomic Company Project Staff, "300 MW(e) Gas-Cooled Fast Breeder Reactor Demonstration Plant," GA-A-13045, General Atomic Company (1974).
2. R. G. Perkins and R. J. Cerbone, "Physics Design of the 300-MW(e) Gas-Cooled Fast Breeder Reactor Demonstration Plant Grid Plate Shielding," General Atomic Company Report GA-A 14124 (September 1976).
3. F. J. Muckenthaler, J. L. Hull, and J. J. Manning, "The GCFR Grid Plate Shield Design Confirmation Experiment," ORNL/TM-6580 (January 1979).
4. R. E. Maerker, private communication (December 1978).
5. H. Goldstein, *Fundamental Aspects of Reactor Shielding*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., Reading, Mass., 1959.
6. R. E. Maerker and F. J. Muckenthaler, "The Absolute Neutron Spectrum Emerging Through the Large Beam Collimator from the TSR-II Reactor at the Tower Shielding Facility," ORNL/TM-5183 (January 1976).
7. W. A. Rhoades and F. R. Mynatt, "The DOT-III Two-Dimensional Discrete Ordinates Transport Code," ORNL/TM-4280 (September 1973).
8. M. L. Gritzner, W. W. Engle, Jr., W. A. Rhoades, D. R. Vondy, and L. R. Williams, "FACT: A Computer Code to Process the Neutron and Gamma Angular Flux and Fold Regular and Adjoint Results on a Coupling Surface for Response Analysis," draft report.
9. W. A. Rhoades, D. B. Simpson, R. L. Childs, and W. W. Engle, Jr., "The DOT-IV Two-Dimensional Discrete Ordinates Transport Code with Space-Dependent Mesh and Quadrature," ORNL/TM-6529 (January 1979).
10. M. B. Emmett, "The MORSE Monte Carlo Radiation Transport Code System," ORNL-4972 (February 1975).
11. DISKTRAN is a code written by C. O. Slater. It uses the angular fluxes from the DOT scalar flux and moments data set to calculate responses at detectors within a void. Only disk sources are allowed. See Appendix C.
12. M. L. Williams and W. W. Engle, Jr., "The Concept of Spatial Channel Theory Applied to Reactor Shielding Analysis," ORNL/TM-5467 (July 1976).
13. R. L. Childs, "VIP, A Computer Program Using Two-Dimensional Discrete Ordinates Transport Calculations for Cross-Section Sensitivity Analysis," UCCND-CSD-1 (to be published).

14. C. R. Weisbin, E. M. Oblow, J. H. Marable, K. W. Peelle, and J. L. Lucius, "Applications of Sensitivity and Uncertainty Methodology to Fast Reactor Integral Experiment Analysis," *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, 66, 307-333 (1978).
15. R. L. Childs and J. V. Pace, III, "DOT IV Great UNCL Input" in *DOS: The Discrete Ordinates System*, by W. A. Rhoades and D. B. Simpson (Draft Report).
16. D. T. Ingersoll, "FORM: Perspective Plotting Code for One- and Two-Dimensional Fluxes," ORNL/TM-6396 (May 1978).
17. H. Etherington, Editor, *Nuclear Engineering Handbook*, McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, 1958.
18. T. V. Blosser *et al.*, "A Study of the Nuclear and Physical Properties of the ORNL Graphite Reactor Shield," ORNL-2195 (August 1958).
19. R. L. Childs, "FALSTF User's Manual," draft report.

Appendix A

THE EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM PLAN

GCFR GRID PLATE SHIELD DESIGN EXPERIMENT (FY-78)
(DATA PLAN)

I. Reactor Source Comparison.

A. 10.16-cm Fe + 2.54-cm Boral.

1. 3-, 6-, and 10-in.-diam Bonner balls on centerline at 30.5 cm behind Boral.

II. Fuel Pin Shield Assembly (1.27-cm void between subassemblies, 389 fuel pins) (Priority 1A).

A. Fuel pin-concrete shield without fuel pin subassemblies.

1. Traverses along beam centerline from zero to 457.2 cm beyond concrete shadow shield using the
 - a) Bare, Cd-covered, and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
2. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) at 30.5 cm beyond concrete shield using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
3. Traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side at an angle of 60° with respect to the horizontal plane at 30.5 cm beyond concrete shield using the
 - a) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
4. 3-, 6-, and 10-in.-diam Bonner balls on centerline at 370 cm beyond shadow shield.

B. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (127 pins each).

BLANK PAGE

1. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) directly behind the fuel pins using the
 - a) Hornyak button (0.635 cm).
 2. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) at 30.5 cm beyond fuel pin sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 3. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (487.7 cm each side) at 305 cm beyond fuel pin sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 4. NE213 neutron spectrum measurement on centerline as close to the fuel pin subassemblies as feasible.
 5. Hydrogen counter (1D) neutron spectrum measurements on centerline at same location as NE213.
 6. Centerline measurements at same location as NE213 using the
 - a) 3-, 6-, and 10-in.-diam Bonner balls.
- C. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (127 pins each) plus grid plate shield mockup without boron subassemblies.
1. Centerline measurements at 30.5 cm beyond concrete shield using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- D. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (127 pins each) plus grid plate shield mockup with seven boron subassemblies.

1. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) directly behind the boron sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered Bonner ball.
 - b) Hornyak button (0.635 cm).
 2. Centerline measurements at 30.5 cm behind boron sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Bare, Cd-covered, and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 3. Centerline measurements at 305 cm behind boron sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 4. Axial traverse through grid plate shield from fuel pin subassemblies to 30.5 cm beyond grid plate shield using the
 - a) Cd-covered Bonner ball.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- E. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (127 pins each) plus grid plate shield mockup with seven boron subassemblies plus 15.24-cm void plus 61-cm Fe. Surround Fe and void with concrete.
1. Horizontal traverse (152.4 cm) in the void perpendicular to the beam centerline as close as feasible to the boron subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
- F. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (127 pins each) plus grid plate shield mockup with seven boron subassemblies plus prototypic grid plate.
1. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) at 30.5 cm beyond grid plate using the

- a) Cd-covered Bonner ball (without B_4C cylindrical inserts).
 - b) 5-in.-diam Bonner ball (with and without B_4C cylindrical inserts).
 - c) Hornyak button (1.27 cm) (with and without B_4C cylindrical inserts).
- 2. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (487.7 cm each side) at 305 cm beyond grid plate using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- 3. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline directly behind grid plate using the
 - a) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- 4. NE213 neutron spectrum measurements on centerline as close to the grid plate as feasible.
- 5. Hydrogen counter (1D) neutron spectrum measurements on centerline at same location as NE213.
- 6. Centerline measurements at 305 cm behind grid plate using the
 - a) 3-, 6-, 8-, and 10-in.-diam Bonner balls.
- III. Fuel pin-concrete shield assembly (0.635 cm void, 889 pins) (Priority 1B).
 - A. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (127 pins each).
 - 1. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) directly behind the fuel pins using the
 - a) Hornyak button (0.635 cm).
 - 2. Centerline measurements at 30.5 cm beyond fuel pin subassemblies using the

- a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 - 3. Centerline measurements at 305 cm beyond fuel pin sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- B. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (127 pins each) plus grid plate shield mockup with seven boron subassemblies.
- 1. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) directly behind the boron sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered Bonner ball.
 - b) Hornyak button (0.635 cm).
 - 2. Centerline measurements at 30.5 cm beyond boron sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Bare, Cd-covered, and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 - 3. Centerline measurements at 305 cm beyond boron sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- C. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (127 pins each) plus grid plate shield mockup with seven boron subassemblies plus 15.24-cm void plus 61-cm Fe. Surround Fe and void with concrete.
- 1. Horizontal traverse 152.4 cm in the void perpendicular to the beam centerline directly behind the boron sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.

IV. Fuel pin shield assembly (1.27-cm void, 637 pins) (Priority 1C).

- A. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (91 pins each).
 - 1. Centerline measurements at 30.5 cm beyond concrete shield using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 - 2. Centerline measurements at 305 cm beyond fuel pin subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- B. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (91 pins each) plus grid plate shield mockup with seven boron subassemblies.
 - 1. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) directly behind the boron subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered Bonner ball.
 - b) Hornyak button (0.635 cm).
 - 2. Centerline measurements at 30.5 cm beyond boron subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 - 3. Centerline measurements at 305 cm beyond boron subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- C. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (91 pins each) plus grid plate shield mockup with seven boron subassemblies plus 15.24-cm void plus 61-cm Fe. Surround Fe and void with concrete.

1. Horizontal traverse 152.4 cm in the void perpendicular to beam centerline directly behind the boron sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
- V. Fuel pin-concrete shield assembly (1.27-cm void, 637 pins) with seven pins removed from center of central subassembly. (Priority 2).
- A. Fuel pin-concrete shield for seven subassemblies of fuel pins the center subassembly having seven pins removed from its center.
1. Centerline at 30.5 cm beyond subassemblies using the,
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 2. Centerline measurements at 305 cm beyond subassemblies using the
 - a) Bare, Cd-covered, and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 3. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) directly behind the fuel pins using the
 - a) Hornyak button (0.635 cm).
- B. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins, the center subassembly having seven pins removed from its center, plus grid plate shield mockup with seven boron subassemblies.
1. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) directly behind the boron sub-assemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered Bonner ball.
 - b) Hornyak button (0.635 cm).

2. Centerline measurements at 30.5 cm beyond the boron subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
3. Centerline measurements at 305 cm beyond the boron subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
4. Axial traverse through the grid plate shield from the fuel pin assembly to 30.5 cm beyond grid plate shield using the
 - a) Cd-covered Bonner ball.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).

VI. Fuel pin-concrete shield assembly (0.318-cm void, 889 pins)
(Priority 3)

- A. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (127 pins each).
 1. Centerline measurements at 30.5 cm beyond fuel pin subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 2. Centerline measurements at 305 cm beyond fuel pin subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
 3. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) directly behind the fuel pin subassemblies using the
 - a) Hornyak button (0.635 cm).
- B. Fuel pin-concrete shield with seven subassemblies of fuel pins (127 pins each) plus grid plate shield mockup with seven boron subassemblies.

1. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (121.9 cm each side) behind the boron subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered Bonner ball.
 - b) Hornyak button (0.635 cm).
2. Centerline measurements at 30.5 cm beyond boron subassemblies using the
 - a) Bare, Cd-covered, and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
3. Centerline measurements at 305 cm beyond boron subassemblies using the
 - a) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - b) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).

VII. Fuel pin-concrete shield with Fe-pin assembly (967 pins).

- A. Traverse along beam centerline from zero to 152.4 cm beyond Fe pins using
 - 1) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - 2) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- B. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (91.5 cm each side) directly behind Fe pins using
 - 1) Cd-covered Bonner ball.
 - 2) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- C. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (91.5 cm each side) at 30.5 cm behind Fe pins using
 - 1) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - 2) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- D. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (91.5 cm each side) at 30⁵ cm behind Fe pins using
 - 1) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - 2) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).

VIII. Fuel pin-concrete shield with Fe-plate assembly.

- A. Traverse along beam centerline from zero to 152.4 cm beyond Fe plates using the
 - 1) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - 2) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- B. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (91.5 cm each side) directly behind Fe plates using the
 - 1) Cd-covered Bonner ball.
 - 2) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- C. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (91.5 cm each side) at 30.5 cm behind Fe plates using the
 - 1) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - 2) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).
- D. Horizontal traverse perpendicular to beam centerline (91.5 cm each side) at 305 cm behind Fe plates using the
 - 1) Cd-covered and 5-in.-diam Bonner balls.
 - 2) Hornyak button (1.27 cm).

Appendix B
MULTIGROUP RESPONSE FUNCTIONS

NEUTRON DETECTOR 51-GROUP RESPONSE FUNCTIONS*

GRP.	RESP 1	RESP 2	RESP 3	RESP 4	RESP 5	RESP 6	RESP 7	RESP 8
1	3.72000E-05	4.07600E-05	3.44607E-03	1.20640E-01	2.29420E-01	5.29222E-01	5.78703E-01	6.49817E-01
2	3.44000E-05	9.64320E-05	4.36709E-01	1.54400E-01	2.93310E-01	4.31985E-01	7.00675E-01	7.59985E-01
3	3.25200E-04	1.15170E-04	5.50525E-03	1.98610E-01	7.71410E-01	6.67240E-01	9.16557E-01	9.80140E-01
4	3.01800E-05	1.38940E-04	6.55144E-03	2.47830E-01	4.44973E-01	7.86115E-01	9.46627E-01	9.49120E-01
5	2.80800E-05	1.42030E-04	9.24671E-03	3.01150E-01	5.50901E-01	9.07770E-01	1.04565E-01	1.03640E-01
6	2.61600E-05	1.88700E-04	1.10760E-02	4.58100E-01	6.16340E-01	9.90133E-01	1.10784E-01	1.01145E-01
7	2.52000E-05	1.69180E-04	1.34925E-02	5.11500E-01	7.30109E-01	1.02940E-01	1.12520E-01	9.87514E-01
8	2.34000E-05	1.53390E-04	1.69491E-02	4.80390E-01	7.96009E-01	1.14895E-01	1.14895E-01	9.44992E-01
9	2.10000E-05	1.66160E-04	2.11140E-02	5.59550E-01	9.03610E-01	1.24835E-01	1.1825E-01	9.37444E-01
10	1.87200E-05	1.94740E-04	2.61091E-02	6.44110E-01	1.01274E-01	1.33619E-01	1.21060E-01	9.07034E-01
11	1.78200E-05	2.41790E-04	3.19911E-02	7.27630E-01	1.1019E-01	1.39025E-01	1.14985E-01	9.20172E-01
12	1.60200E-05	1.70950E-04	3.88255E-02	8.07900E-01	1.19294E-01	1.41070E-01	1.1345E-01	7.47610E-01
13	1.46400E-05	1.16140E-04	4.67552E-02	8.84120E-01	1.26240E-01	1.40780E-01	1.06005E-01	6.42380E-01
14	1.31200E-05	1.45410E-04	5.58243E-02	9.54920E-01	1.31920E-01	1.38560E-01	9.77195E-01	5.61706E-01
15	1.20400E-05	1.76200E-04	6.60059E-02	1.01830E-01	1.35925E-01	1.34735E-01	9.90184E-01	4.77345E-01
16	1.06200E-05	2.37200E-04	7.72051E-02	1.07320E-01	1.38595E-01	1.29415E-01	9.03744E-01	4.01454E-01
17	9.80000E-06	3.25040E-04	8.94274E-02	1.12000E-01	1.40045E-01	1.23805E-01	7.22249E-01	3.41144E-01
18	8.52000E-06	3.84330E-04	1.02503E-01	1.19810E-01	1.50360E-01	1.17510E-01	6.47185E-01	3.84769E-01
19	7.58000E-06	3.58190E-04	1.16205E-01	1.19710E-01	1.39685E-01	1.11040E-01	5.79972E-01	2.46679E-01
20	7.08000E-06	4.81490E-04	1.30270E-01	1.20940E-01	1.34385E-01	1.04940E-01	5.22500E-01	2.13140E-01
21	6.20000E-06	6.04350E-04	1.44404E-01	1.22430E-01	1.34455E-01	9.8984E-01	6.72381E-01	1.84104E-01
22	5.82000E-06	6.75500E-04	1.59109E-01	1.23990E-01	1.34200E-01	9.4447E-01	6.29672E-01	1.4544E-01
23	5.16000E-06	7.35080E-04	1.73388E-01	1.23970E-01	1.31795E-01	9.84574E-01	3.43894E-01	1.47647E-01
24	4.54000E-06	7.5470E-04	1.87970E-01	1.24230E-01	1.29135E-01	9.39644E-01	3.1217E-01	1.3805E-01
25	0.0	8.93160E-04	2.07019E-01	1.24260E-01	1.24115E-01	7.87477E-01	2.73770E-01	1.19484E-01
26	0.0	1.09160E-03	2.35800E-01	1.24040E-01	1.21710E-01	7.7314E-01	2.73770E-01	1.04215E-01
27	0.0	1.24550E-03	2.60260E-01	1.2380E-01	1.18460E-01	6.78740E-01	2.49360E-01	9.44994E-02
28	0.0	1.45530E-03	2.76679E-01	1.23960E-01	1.16779E-01	6.55511E-01	2.57060E-01	8.07130E-02
29	0.0	1.64770E-03	2.92979E-01	1.24050E-01	1.15250E-01	6.35520E-01	2.46580E-01	4.58479E-02
30	0.0	1.86470E-03	3.09428E-01	1.24340E-01	1.14350E-01	6.18541E-01	2.37851E-01	4.23641E-02
31	0.0	2.11100E-03	3.26290E-01	1.24790E-01	1.12880E-01	6.02940E-01	2.29990E-01	7.94074E-02
32	0.0	2.37540E-03	3.61141E-01	1.25470E-01	1.11100E-01	5.78143E-01	2.17579E-01	7.47678E-02
33	0.0	3.67970E-03	4.07410E-01	1.26700E-01	1.09400E-01	5.51764E-01	2.04695E-01	7.07002E-02
34	0.0	4.42459E-03	4.37121E-01	1.27370E-01	1.08340E-01	5.37199E-01	1.97797E-01	6.74549E-02
35	0.0	5.09000E-03	4.54430E-01	1.27970E-01	1.07790E-01	5.28440E-01	1.93730E-01	6.59974E-02
36	0.0	5.62170E-03	4.81039E-01	1.28640E-01	1.07300E-01	5.20420E-01	1.89960E-01	6.45284E-02
37	0.0	6.42709E-03	5.04909E-01	1.29220E-01	1.06720E-01	5.12420E-01	1.84170E-01	6.32609E-02
38	0.0	7.30459E-03	5.30180E-01	1.29850E-01	1.06210E-01	5.04770E-01	1.82440E-01	6.19920E-02
39	0.0	8.27689E-03	5.55100E-01	1.30390E-01	1.05360E-01	4.95600E-01	1.74660E-01	6.04695E-02
40	0.0	1.06450E-02	6.07196E-01	1.29930E-01	1.03173E-01	4.75440E-01	1.70307E-01	5.74350E-02
41	0.0	1.55510E-02	6.94578E-01	1.30250E-01	1.00457E-01	4.50634E-01	1.59810E-01	5.39474E-02
42	0.0	2.22480E-02	7.76323E-01	1.25910E-01	9.43920E-01	4.12840E-01	1.45259E-01	4.89435E-02
43	0.0	3.18790E-02	8.87410E-01	1.28850E-01	8.57074E-01	3.85776E-01	1.37074E-01	4.85789E-02
44	0.0	4.73180E-02	9.18111E-01	1.2420E-01	8.76603E-01	3.85776E-01	1.47154E-01	5.49389E-02
45	0.0	7.00349E-02	9.40476E-01	1.21010E-01	8.74305E-01	3.42754E-01	1.37944E-01	5.24747E-02
46	0.0	1.01500E-01	1.04540E-01	1.1300E-01	7.73980E-01	3.10354E-01	1.25454E-01	4.75043E-02
47	0.0	1.46600E-01	1.13840E-01	1.05080E-01	6.7597E-01	2.94714E-01	1.11705E-01	4.23645E-02
48	0.0	2.07670E-01	1.19447E-01	9.13930E-01	5.96960E-01	2.50444E-01	9.48491E-02	1.59817E-02
49	0.0	2.78620E-01	1.67015E-01	5.47450E-01	3.62844E-01	1.47263E-01	5.57494E-02	7.11041E-02
50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
51	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

*Hornyak Button units (erg·cm²·min/g·h·neutron); Bonner ball units (counts·cm²/neutron); Response functions are for: The Hornyak button and the 2-in. Cd-covered, 3-in., 5-in., 6-in., 8-in., 10-in., and 12-in. Bonner balls respectively.

Appendix C
SPECIAL COMPUTER CODES


```
COMMON/SCOTIONS - NAME = MAIN,COT=)Z,(I,J,COT=A),C(I,F)=0000,  
      C(II,C,F)RND(C,MPIEST,COT=CX,I=J),M(2,MPIEST,I),A(X)PTE
```

```

150 0002      COME= (72 ASH/011),1,14,25,40,01+ (75)77,77
151 0003      55=5
152 0004      46=4
153 0005      L1= (1 (011)-1 (011+1))1/5
154 0006      CALL MCODE
155 0007      STOP
156 0008      END

```

COMPILED BY: JAMES M. HARRIS, JR., CHIEF OF BUREAU

SOURCE: FAO/ICL, 1991/92, 1993/94, 1994/95, 1995/96, 1996/97, 1997/98, 1998/99, 1999/00, 2000/01, 2001/02, 2002/03, 2003/04, 2004/05, 2005/06, 2006/07, 2007/08, 2008/09, 2009/10, 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14, 2014/15, 2015/16, 2016/17, 2017/18, 2018/19, 2019/20, 2020/21, 2021/22, 2022/23, 2023/24, 2024/25, 2025/26, 2026/27, 2027/28, 2028/29, 2029/30, 2030/31, 2031/32, 2032/33, 2033/34, 2034/35, 2035/36, 2036/37, 2037/38, 2038/39, 2039/40, 2040/41, 2041/42, 2042/43, 2043/44, 2044/45, 2045/46, 2046/47, 2047/48, 2048/49, 2049/50, 2050/51, 2051/52, 2052/53, 2053/54, 2054/55, 2055/56, 2056/57, 2057/58, 2058/59, 2059/60, 2060/61, 2061/62, 2062/63, 2063/64, 2064/65, 2065/66, 2066/67, 2067/68, 2068/69, 2069/70, 2070/71, 2071/72, 2072/73, 2073/74, 2074/75, 2075/76, 2076/77, 2077/78, 2078/79, 2079/80, 2080/81, 2081/82, 2082/83, 2083/84, 2084/85, 2085/86, 2086/87, 2087/88, 2088/89, 2089/90, 2090/91, 2091/92, 2092/93, 2093/94, 2094/95, 2095/96, 2096/97, 2097/98, 2098/99, 2099/00, 2100/01, 2101/02, 2102/03, 2103/04, 2104/05, 2105/06, 2106/07, 2107/08, 2108/09, 2109/10, 2110/11, 2111/12, 2112/13, 2113/14, 2114/15, 2115/16, 2116/17, 2117/18, 2118/19, 2119/20, 2120/21, 2121/22, 2122/23, 2123/24, 2124/25, 2125/26, 2126/27, 2127/28, 2128/29, 2129/30, 2130/31, 2131/32, 2132/33, 2133/34, 2134/35, 2135/36, 2136/37, 2137/38, 2138/39, 2139/40, 2140/41, 2141/42, 2142/43, 2143/44, 2144/45, 2145/46, 2146/47, 2147/48, 2148/49, 2149/50, 2150/51, 2151/52, 2152/53, 2153/54, 2154/55, 2155/56, 2156/57, 2157/58, 2158/59, 2159/60, 2160/61, 2161/62, 2162/63, 2163/64, 2164/65, 2165/66, 2166/67, 2167/68, 2168/69, 2169/70, 2170/71, 2171/72, 2172/73, 2173/74, 2174/75, 2175/76, 2176/77, 2177/78, 2178/79, 2179/80, 2180/81, 2181/82, 2182/83, 2183/84, 2184/85, 2185/86, 2186/87, 2187/88, 2188/89, 2189/90, 2190/91, 2191/92, 2192/93, 2193/94, 2194/95, 2195/96, 2196/97, 2197/98, 2198/99, 2199/00, 2200/01, 2201/02, 2202/03, 2203/04, 2204/05, 2205/06, 2206/07, 2207/08, 2208/09, 2209/10, 2210/11, 2211/12, 2212/13, 2213/14, 2214/15, 2215/16, 2216/17, 2217/18, 2218/19, 2219/20, 2220/21, 2221/22, 2222/23, 2223/24, 2224/25, 2225/26, 2226/27, 2227/28, 2228/29, 2229/30, 2230/31, 2231/32, 2232/33, 2233/34, 2234/35, 2235/36, 2236/37, 2237/38, 2238/39, 2239/40, 2240/41, 2241/42, 2242/43, 2243/44, 2244/45, 2245/46, 2246/47, 2247/48, 2248/49, 2249/50, 2250/51, 2251/52, 2252/53, 2253/54, 2254/55, 2255/56, 2256/57, 2257/58, 2258/59, 2259/60, 2260/61, 2261/62, 2262/63, 2263/64, 2264/65, 2265/66, 2266/67, 2267/68, 2268/69, 2269/70, 2270/71, 2271/72, 2272/73, 2273/74, 2274/75, 2275/76, 2276/77, 2277/78, 2278/79, 2279/80, 2280/81, 2281/82, 2282/83, 2283/84, 2284/85, 2285/86, 2286/87, 2287/88, 2288/89, 2289/90, 2290/91, 2291/92, 2292/93, 2293/94, 2294/95, 2295/96, 2296/97, 2297/98, 2298/99, 2299/00, 2300/01, 2301/02, 2302/03, 2303/04, 2304/05, 2305/06, 2306/07, 2307/08, 2308/09, 2309/10, 2310/11, 2311/12, 2312/13, 2313/14, 2314/15, 2315/16, 2316/17, 2317/18, 2318/19, 2319/20, 2320/21, 2321/22, 2322/23, 2323/24, 2324/25, 2325/26, 2326/27, 2327/28, 2328/29, 2329/30, 2330/31, 2331/32, 2332/33, 2333/34, 2334/35, 2335/36, 2336/37, 2337/38, 2338/39, 2339/40, 2340/41, 2341/42, 2342/43, 2343/44, 2344/45, 2345/46, 2346/47, 2347/48, 2348/49, 2349/50, 2350/51, 2351/52, 2352/53, 2353/54, 2354/55, 2355/56, 2356/57, 2357/58, 2358/59, 2359/60, 2360/61, 2361/62, 2362/63, 2363/64, 2364/65, 2365/66, 2366/67, 2367/68, 2368/69, 2369/70, 2370/71, 2371/72, 2372/73, 2373/74, 2374/75, 2375/76, 2376/77, 2377/78, 2378/79, 2379/80, 2380/81, 2381/82, 2382/83, 2383/84, 2384/85, 2385/86, 2386/87, 2387/88, 2388/89, 2389/90, 2390/91, 2391/92, 2392/93, 2393/94, 2394/95, 2395/96, 2396/97, 2397/98, 2398/99, 2399/00, 2400/01, 2401/02, 2402/03, 2403/04, 2404/05, 2405/06, 2406/07, 2407/08, 2408/09, 2409/10, 2410/11, 2411/12, 2412/13, 2413/14, 2414/15, 2415/16, 2416/17, 2417/18, 2418/19, 2419/20, 2420/21, 2421/22, 2422/23, 2423/24, 2424/25, 2425/26, 2426/27, 2427/28, 2428/29, 2429/30, 2430/31, 2431/32, 2432/33, 2433/34, 2434/35, 2435/36, 2436/37, 2437/38, 2438/39, 2439/40, 2440/41, 2441/42, 2442/43, 2443/44, 2444/45, 2445/46,

```

154 0002      SUBROUTINE M00C10
154 0003      COMMON /TABLES/ (11, 12), N5, N4, LFIX, LFLY, LFTS, LFW1, LFTA, LFN, LFW2,
154 0004      1 LFW3, LX, LY, LPHG, LPAR, LPHX, LPHY, LCN, LSW, N5 ING, L04, A7, C7, CS, CO
154 0005      DIMENSION K0(11)
154 0006      EQUIVALENCE (K0(11), J(11))
154 0007      DIMENSION YTYL(19)
154 0008      READ(N5, 5) IYTYL(11), I=1, 18)
154 0009      = F00H47(1844)
154 0010      WRITE(N4, 10) IYTYL(11), I=1, 19)
154 0011      1) FORMAT(1W, 1P44//)
154 0012      J4=0
154 0013      LFIX=19
154 0014      LFLY=24
154 0015      LFTS=27

```

(0540 01000)

```

150 0015      J3=0
150 0016      CALL FPOCES,J3,N5,N6,7,0,0)
150 0017      IF(J3.GT.0)CALL EXIT
150 0019      IST=LEFT-1
150 0020      WRITE(N6,15)IKO(1),I=LEFT,IST)
150 0021      15 FORMAT(1H3,' 150 N7. OF ENERGY GROUPS',34X,15
150 0022      1 /X,' 150 N7. OF 1-9 CM ANGLES ENTERED',24X,15
150 0023      2 /X,' N7. OF 2001 ON SURFACE DETECTOR',22X,15
150 0024      3 /X,' 1A74 N7. ANGLES IN 2-9 QUADRANTS',24X,15
150 0025      4 /X,' N7 UNIT NO. FOR OUTPOST OF SURFACE',14X,15//)
150 0027      IST=LWTS-1
150 0028      WRITE(N6,20)DI1,I=LEFT,IST)
150 0029      20 FORMAT(1H3,' DZ 7 LEVEL OF DISK SURFACE DETECTOR',27X,10F12.5
150 0030      1 /X,' 05 RADIIUS OF SURFACE SPHERE',24X,10F12.5
150 0031      2 /X,' 09 RADIIUS OF DISK DETECTOR SURFACE',18X,10F12.5//)
150 0033      1A05=1A04+1
150 0034      L7M1=LWTS+1A74
150 0035      L7A=L7M1+2+1A74

```

DEAN BLOCK 2 5 7

```

15N 0028 CALL F100(2,J3,I5,I4,G,G,G)
15N 0029 J4=J4+J3
15N 0030 CALL F100(2,J3,I5,I4,G,G,G)
15N 0031 J4=J4+J3
15N 0032 LFTA=I4MI+I404
15N 0033 LXN=LFTA+I404
15N 0034 LAM=LXN+IGM+ICM
15N 0035 I9MI=LAM+ICM
15N 0036 LX=L0MI+ICM+I
15N 0037 LY=LX+ICM
15N 0038 L0RIG=LY
15N 0039 L0RAD=L0RIG+G0ND+IC0I
15N 0040 LXNR=L0RAD+G0ND+IC0I
15N 0041 LXNR=LXNR+ICM+ICM+I
15N 0042 I1MI=LXNR+G0ND+IC0I+I404
15N 0043 IF(I1MI,I1MI)IG0=1+G0
15N 0044 ICMI=I1MI-I1MI
15N 0046 W11YC(I4,I30)ICM

```

```

ISN 0047      30 FORMAT('OY=AGE *X**EJEO BY,I,Z,X,'LOCATIONS'//)
ISN 0048      CALL EXIT
ISN 0049      40 CONTINUE
ISN 0050      WRITE(6,45)LIN
ISN 0051      45 FORMAT('O,I,Z,X,'LOCATIONS ARE REQUIRED FOR THIS POLYNOMIAL')
C
C      READ BLOCK 4
C
ISN 0052      J3=0
ISN 0053      CALL FIDDI(I,J3,N5,M4,0,0,0)
ISN 0054      J4=J4+J3
C
C      END OF INPUT DATA
C
ISN 0055      IF(J4.GT.J)CALL EXIT
ISN 0057      IOR=LORAD-1
ISN 0058      IRC=LORAY-1
ISN 0059      DO 60 IZ=1,NPING
ISN 0060      60 O(IOR+IZ)=0.5*(O(IRC+IZ)+O(IRC+IZ+1))
ISN 0061      DO 75 IC=1,ICM
ISN 0062      LCX=IXM+(IC-1)*ISM-1
ISN 0063      DO 75 IX=1,ISM
ISN 0064      D(LCX+I)=O(LCX+I)*O(IX+IC-1)
ISN 0065      70 CONTINUE
ISN 0066      WRITE(6,70)
ISN 0067      70 FORMAT('I,I,Z,X,PDOY PAXII,I,Z,X,'POLYNOMS','X,'ETA',
ISN 0068      1 IIX,'MIU,I,Z,X,'AMUI','I,Z,X,'PMUI,QX,'SCALE'//)
ISN 0069      CALL MODR(E5,E6,7,0,0,11,12,13,M4)
ISN 0070      WRITE(M4,S3)
ISN 0071      50 FORMAT('OANNUAL RATES AT HIGH-COSINE INTERVALS'//)
ISN 0072      CALL MOTE(O(LXM),IGM,ISM,1,'ANCH',IGRD,'7)
ISN 0073      ISQ=ISQ+1
ISN 0074      CALL FLUXG(O(LXI),O(LXP),O(LRM),O(LRM),ISM,ISQ,ISM,M4)
ISN 0075      CALL COEFF(O(LXM),O(LRM),O(LRM),O(LRM),O(LRM),O(LRM),
ISN 0076      1 O(LXM),IGM,ISM,MPING,'04,1375,M5,M6,M7,ISC,07,25,201
ISN 0077      OCTIOH)
ISN 0078      ENDD

```

LEVEL 21.0 (JUN 74)

TS/360 FORTRAN M

CCPPIER CPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000H.

```

SOURCE,FRCTIC,NOLIST,NODECL,OAD,WAP,NODECT,IO,NXREF
1 1A05,NS,MB,N7,ISQ,0Z,RS,RO)
1SN C002      SUBROUTINE COEFF(XN9,AMU,OBAR,OMU,ETA,MTS,XNN,IGM,ISM,NRING,IA04,
1SN C003      1 1A05,NS,MB,N7,ISQ,0Z,RS,RO)
1SN C003      DIMENSION XNP(ISC,1),OMU(1),OBAR(1),OMU(1),ETA(1),MTS(1),
1SN C003      1 XNN(IA05,1)
1SN C004      DATA ISQT/1/
1SN C005      REWIND N7
1SN C006      VR=0.0
1SN C007      ZR=0Z
1SN C008      DO 600 IG=1,IGM
1SN C009      DO 510 I=1,IA05
1SN C010      DO 510 IR=1,NRING
1SN C011      510 XNN(I,IR)=0.0
1SN C012      DO 400 IR=1,NRING
1SN C013      XR=OBAR(IR)
1SN C014      IF(XR.GT.RO)GO TO 400
1SN C016      DO 350 I=1,IA04
1SN C017      CMZ=ETA(I)
1SN C018      IF(CMZ=0Z,LT.0.0)GO TO 350
1SN C020      SM=SQRT(1.0-CMZ**2)
1SN C021      CMX=OMU(I)
1SN C022      SLF=OMX**2+CMZ**2
1SN C023      IF(SLM=1.0)5,10,10
1SN C024      5 CMY=SQRT(1.0-SLM)
1SN C025      GO TO 15
1SN C026      10 CMX=SIGN(SM,CMX)
1SN C027      CMY=0.0
1SN C028      15 CCATINUE
1SN C029      BR=CMX*XR+CMY*YR+CMZ*ZR
1SN C030      CC=RS**2-XR**2-YR**2-ZR**2
1SN C031      DISC=BR**2+CC
1SN C032      IF(DISC.LT.0.0)GO TO 350
1SN C034      DISC=SQRT(DISC)
1SN C035      DIS=BR-DISC
1SN C036      IF(DIS.LE.0.0)GO TO 350
1SN C038      X=XR-DISC*MX
1SN C039      Y=YR-DISC*MY
1SN C040      Z=ZR-DISC*MZ
1SN C041      OCT=(X*CMX+Y*CMY+Z*CMZ)/RS
1SN C042      DO 20 IA=1,ISM
1SN C043      IF(OCT.LE.OMU(IA+1))GO TO 30
1SN C045      20 CONTINUE
1SN C046      IA=ISM
1SN C047      30 CONTINUE
1SN C048      SLOPF=(XNN(IA+1,IG)-XNN(IA,IG))/(OMU(IA+1)-OMU(IA))
1SN C049      FLX=XNN(IA,IG)+SLOPF*(OCT-OMU(IA))
1SN C050      XNN(I,IR)=FLX*OCT/ABS(OMZ)
1SN C051      350 CCATINUE
1SN C052      400 CCATINUE
1SN C053      DO 570 I=1,IA04
1SN C054      IF(ETA(I)=0Z,LE.0.0)GO TO 570
1SN C056      DO 565 IR=1,NRING
1SN C057      IF(XNN(I,IR).LT.0.0)XNN(I,IR)=0.0
1SN C059      565 XNN(IA05,IR)=XNN(IA05,IR)+XNN(I,IR)*MTS(I)
1SN C060      570 CONTINUE
C
C PRINTS GROUP 1 FLUXES ONLY IF ISQT.GT. 0
C
1SN C061      IF(ISQT.GT.0.AND.IG.GT.1)GO TO 595
1SN C063      WRITE(N6,590)IG
1SN C064      590 FORMAT('ANGULAR FLUXES FOR GROUP',I5//)
1SN C065      CALL WCT(XNN,NRING,IA05,1,'ANGL','RING',0)
1SN C066      595 CONTINUE
1SN C067      WRITE(N7)((XNN(I,IR),I=1,IA04),IR=1,NRING)
1SN C068      600 CONTINUE
1SN C069      REWIND N7
1SN C070      RETURN
1SN C071      END

```

[illegible]

[illegible]

```

C *** BEGIN NEW ARRAY (0,0)
6 KKK=0
GO TO 13
4 KKK=1
13 IF(NCOUNT+J.FQ. 0)GO TO 15
J2=1
12 WRITE (N6,20) LL,L9,J
IF(J.FQ.NCOUNT)GO TO 16
IMAX=J1 + J - 1
IMAX=MIN0(IMAX,J1+999)
WRITE (N6,30) NCOUNT,LL,L9
FMT(2)=F1
FMT(3)=E2
IF(KK.NF.1)GO TO 22
FMT(2)=E3
FMT(3)=E4
22 WRITE (N6,FMT) (D(I),I=J1,IMAX)
J3=J3 + 1
16 IF(J2.NF.0)GO TO 15
WRITE(N6,50)IN(I),LT
RETURN
15 LL=IN(I)
LL3=LL + LL2 - 1
KK=KKK
L9=K(I)
J=V(I)
J1=L9A(LL3) + J
NCOUNT=L9A(LL3+1) - L9A(LL3) - J
41 J=0
IF(IVMT.FQ.0)GO TO 2
C *** VARIABLE FORMAT CONTROL (U,V)
32 IF(NCOUNT.FQ.0)GO TO 1
J=NCOUNT
NCC=J1 + NCOUNT - 1
READ(N5,VMT) (D(J2),J2=J1,NCC)
IVMT=0
GO TO 1
C *** FILL ARRAY (F)
23 IF(J.GF.NCOUNT)GO TO 25
NCC=J+1
DO 24 I=NCC,NCOUNT
J2=J1 + I - 1
D(J2)=V(I)
24 IF(KK.NF.0)D(K(J2))=V(I)
J=NCOUNT
GO TO 2
25 WRITE (N6,60) LL,L9
GO TO 2
C *** END ARRAY (E)
39 IF(J.LF.NCOUNT)J=NCOUNT
GO TO 2
C *** ADDRESS MODIFICATION (A)
26 J=V(I)
IF(J.GT.NCOUNT .OR. J.LF.0)WRITE(N6,70)J,LL,L9
J=J-1
GO TO 2
C *** SKIP (S)
11 J=J + IN(I)
GO TO 2
C *** TIME POINT TRIGGER ON/OFF
55 IPRTRG=-IPRTRG
WRITE(N6,110)IPRT(2),DRT(IPRTRG+2),IN(I),LT
110 FORMAT(' ',T53,2A4,[7,A])
GO TO 2
C *** PRINT COUNT IN CURRENT ARRAY
56 WRITE(N6,90)LL,L9,J,IN(I),LT
GO TO 2
90 FORMAT(T90,I9,A1,' ARRAY',I7,' ENTRIES READ AT',[4,A])
C *** NO MODIFICATION
14 CONTINUE
17 J2=J1 + J
D(J2)=V(I)
IF(KK.NF.0)D(K(J2))=V(I)
J=J + 1
GO TO 2

```

```

C *** ZERO (Z)
190 0160 31 IN(I)=V(I) + IN(I)
190 0161 V(I)=0.0
C *** REPEAT (R)
190 0162 7 L=IN(I)
190 0163 DO 18 I=1,L
190 0164 J2=J1 + J
190 0165 O(J2)=V(I)
190 0166 IF(IXL.NE.0)LOTR(J2)=V(I)
190 0168 18 J=J + 1
190 0169 GO TO 2
C *** SEQUENCE REPEAT (S)
190 0170 35 L=V(I) + IN(I)
190 0171 LSEQ=1
190 0172 IF(V(I).EQ.0.0 .OR. IN(I).EQ.0)GO TO 51
190 0174 L=V(I)
190 0175 LSEQ=IN(I)
190 0176 51 DO 52 LSEQ=1,LSEQ
190 0177 DO 37 I=1,L
190 0178 J2=J1 + J
190 0179 J4=J2 - L
190 0180 O(J2)=O(J4)
190 0181 37 J=J + 1
190 0182 52 CONTINUE
190 0183 GO TO 2
C *** INVERTED SEQUENCE REPEAT (N)
190 0184 36 L=V(I) + IN(I)
190 0185 LSEQ=1
190 0186 IF(V(I).EQ.0.0 .OR. IN(I).EQ.0)GO TO 53
190 0188 L=V(I)
190 0189 LSEQ=IN(I)
190 0190 53 DO 54 LSEQ=1,LSEQ
190 0191 DO 38 I=1,L
190 0192 J2=J1 + J
190 0193 J4=J2 - I - I + 1
190 0194 O(J2)=O(J4)
C *** REVERSE SIGN INVERTED SEQUENCE REPEAT (M)
190 0195 IF(IXL.NE.0)GO TO 38
190 0197 IF(IXL.NE.0)GO TO 57
190 0199 O(J2)=-O(J2)
190 0200 GO TO 38
190 0201 57 LOTR(J2)=-LOTR(J2)
190 0202 38 J=J + 1
190 0203 54 CONTINUE
190 0204 GO TO 2
C *** LOGARITHMIC POPULATION (L)
190 0205 42 L=IN(I) + 1
190 0206 VV=V(I)
190 0207 III=2
190 0208 GO TO 2
190 0209 44 OFL=EXP(ALOG(V(I)/VV)/L)
190 0210 J2=J1 + J
190 0211 O(J2)=VV
190 0212 J=J + 1
190 0213 DO 45 I=2,L
190 0214 J2=J1 + J
190 0215 O(J2)=OFL*O(J2-I)
190 0216 45 J=J + 1
190 0217 IF(III.NE.0)GO TO 4
190 0219 GO TO 2
C *** FIXED TO LOG INTERP. (K)
190 0220 46 L=IN(I) + 1
190 0221 VV=V(I)
190 0222 III=3
190 0223 GO TO 2
190 0224 48 OIF=(V(I)-VV)*.1111111
190 0225 OFL=EXP(2.302585/L)
190 0226 J2=J1 + J
190 0227 O(J2)=VV
190 0228 VV=VV-OIF
190 0229 J=J+1
190 0230 DO 49 I=2,L
190 0231 J2=J1 + J
190 0232 O(J2)=OIF*OFL
190 0233 O(J2)=VV + OIF
190 0234 49 J=J+1
190 0235 IF(III.NE.0)GO TO 4
190 0237 GO TO 2

```

```

ANCN 646
ANCN 647
ANCN 648
ANCN 649
ANCN 650
ANCN 651
ANCN 652
ANCN 653
ANCN 654
ANCN 655
ANCN 656
ANCN 657
ANCN 658
ANCN 659
ANCN 660
ANCN 661
ANCN 662
ANCN 663
ANCN 664
ANCN 665
ANCN 666
ANCN 667
ANCN 668
ANCN 669
ANCN 670
ANCN 671
ANCN 672
ANCN 673
ANCN 674
ANCN 675
ANCN 676
ANCN 677
ANCN 678
ANCN 679
ANCN 680
ANCN 681
ANCN 682
ANCN 683
ANCN 684
ANCN 685
ANCN 686
ANCN 687
ANCN 688
ANCN 689
ANCN 690
ANCN 691
ANCN 692
ANCN 693
ANCN 694
ANCN 695
ANCN 696
ANCN 697
ANCN 698
ANCN 699
ANCN 700
ANCN 701
ANCN 702
ANCN 703
ANCN 704
ANCN 705
ANCN 706
ANCN 707
ANCN 708
ANCN 709
ANCN 710
ANCN 711
ANCN 712
ANCN 713
ANCN 714
ANCN 715
ANCN 716
ANCN 717
ANCN 718
ANCN 719
ANCN 720
ANCN 721
ANCN 722
ANCN 723

```


[illegible]

LFVFI 21,9 (JUN 74)

NS/360 F72T0544 W

COMPILE OPTIONS - NAME: MAIN,OPT=02,LINKMT=60,SIZE=00000.

SURGE, FRODO, MUMLEY, WINECK, LADY, MAD, VORST, ID, MYXPE

[illegible]

```

113 IF(IEEE.EQ.0 .AND.
1  NYNCC.NF.NP .AND. NYNCC.NF.NI .AND. NYNCC.NF.NFF .AND.
1  NYNCC.NF.NA .AND. NYNCC.NF.NL .AND. NYNCC.NF.NK .AND.
2  NYNCC.NF.NO .AND. NYNCC.NF.NM .AND. NYNCC.NF.NN) GO TO 108
114 IF(IEEE.EQ.1 .AND. NYNCC.EQ.NSS) GO TO 109
115 IF(NCC.EQ.77) WRITE(NF,201)
201 FORMAT('  ***** INCOMPLETE FIELD AT END OF CARD, SOME DATA MAY BE LOST')
1  LAST=' '
1  GO TO 106
105 AUM=NUN*10 + NUN
NSCL=NSCL + NUN
GO TO 206
102 NUN=-1
GO TO 206
107 IF(XP-IEEE) 5 + 1-10*NUN
206 IF(FC.EQ.12) GO TO 301
GO TO 106
103 IF(NUN.NE.0) GO TO 233
ISGN=1
GO TO 106
203 IFX=1
GO TO 106
104 IF(NUN.NE.0) GO TO 234
ISGN=-1
GO TO 106
204 IFX=-1
GO TO 106
123 IEEE=1
IDS=0
GO TO 109
101 IF(IDS.EQ.1) GO TO 123
IF(IEEE.EQ.1 .AND. FC.EQ.12 .AND. C(IEEE.NF.NP) GO TO 301
IF(FLNK.EQ.0) GO TO 106
IF(IEEE.EQ.1 .AND. FC.NE.12) GO TO 106
301 VNUM=ISGN*NUN
V(NF)=VNUM*10.70+(EXP+NSCL)
NUN=0
IFX=0
IFXP=0
NSCL=0
FLNK=0
NUN=0
ISGN=1
108 NF=NF + 1
IF(NYNCC.EQ.NSS) GO TO 106
109 IFC=0
IF(IEEE.EQ.1) NCC=(NCC+11)/12+12
IF(NF-11.EQ.NT) GO TO 999
106 IF(NCC.GE.73) GO TO 999
NCC=NCC+1
IF(NCC.LE.72) GO TO 111
GO TO 101
209 NF=NF-1
IF(NF.LE.0) GO TO 1000
RETURN
END

```

LEVEL 21.0 (JUN 76)

מאמצים נעשים להגדלת תפוצתו

```

COMPILER OPTIMIZER - NAME= MATH,OPT=02,LINEFMT=A7,CIZE=000000,
          FRCNFC,FRCNFC,MNLCCT,MNRCCK,LCS0,MAS0,MNRCCT,!,0,MNRCFF
100 0002          SUMROUTINE MDT(X,MCM,LTAL,LC,TPP1,TPP2,TPP3)
100 0003          DIMENSION X(1:TAI,MCM,1)
100 0004          NB=6
100 0005          DO 1 I=1,LC
100 0006          !G2=0
100 0007          !G3=(MCM-L)/TAI
100 0008          !F11=CT,1)MDTFC(NB,1)TPP2,1
100 0010          10 FFORMAT(1/LC,2X,16,15)
100 0011          DO 2 I=1,103
100 0012          !G1=102+1
100 0013          !G2=4(MCM-1)167,MCM1)
100 0014          MDTFC(NB,2)TPP2,1,TPP2,J,J=101,102)
100 0015          DO 3 K=1,LTAL
100 0016          !F(X,FQ,1)G2 TO 4
100 0018          DO 4 J=101,102
100 0019          !F(X(K,J,1),NF,X(K-1,J,1)100 TO 7
100 0021          6 CONTINUE
100 0022          !F(XF,FQ,K5)G2 TO 3
100 0024          KF=X
100 0025          !F(X,FQ,1)G2 TO 7
100 0027          GO TO 3
100 0029          8 !F(X,FQ,LTAL)G2 TO 4
100 0030          DO 9 J=101,102
100 0031          !F(X(K,J,1),NF,X(K+1,J,1)100 TO 4
100 0033          9 CONTINUE
100 0034          KF=X+1
100 0035          GO TO 3
100 0036          7 !F(XF,FQ,K5)G2 TO 4
100 0038          MDTFC(NB,4)TPP2,K5,TPP1,KF
100 0039          !F(X,FQ,1)TAI,100,KF,FQ,K)G2 TO 3
100 0041          40 FFORMAT(1X,16,15,64 TO 101,16,15,14M CMK AS 100VF 3
100 0042          4 MDTFC(NB,3)K,1(X(K,J,1),J=101-102)
100 0043          KF=X+1
100 0044          KF=XK
100 0045          3 CONTINUE
100 0046          2 CONTINUE
100 0047          1 CONTINUE
100 0049          20 FFORMAT(2M,16,1X,16,17,2(4X,16,13))
100 0049          30 FFORMAT(16,109F)3,5)
100 0050          DEYJHJ
100 0051          END

```


DISKTRAN

DISKTRAN is a computer code which uses the angular fluxes from the DOT scalar flux and moments data set (or any disk boundary source) to calculate responses at detectors within a void. The angular flux in a localized quadrature is computed at the detector position and is summed with weights to give the total flux. Variation of the angular flux over the DOT quadrature is allowed to be constant, linear, or exponential in both the azimuthal and polar directions. Linear and exponential variation with radius are options.

DISKTRAN Input

R-Z Problem top and bottom boundary angular fluxes (all directions) are input on unit 1.

1\$ [12 entries]

IGM Number of groups
 IM Number of I-intervals for boundary source
 MM Number of directions in boundary source quadrature
 ND Number of detectors
 NRESP Number of response functions
 NN Spare (enter 0)
 INTRPA 0/1/2 = constant/linear/exponential angular flux in μ
 INTRPP 0/1/2 = constant/linear/exponential angular flux in η
 NAZL Number of divisions in the azimuthal direction in localized quadrature at detector (0 means $2n$ in polar level n).
 NPRL Number of divisions in the polar direction in localized quadrature at the detector
 ISTAT 0/1 = print responses by group and detector/print responses by detector

2* [one entry]

ZSOR Z location of boundary source

T Block termination.

3* MU's [MM entries]

- 4* ETA's [NM entries]
 - 5* WEIGHTS [MM entries]
 - 8* Radii for boundary source [IM+1 entries]
 - 9* Radii for detectors [ND entries]
 - 10* Heights for detectors [ND entries]
 - 11* Scale factors by response function [NRESP entries]
 - 12* Response functions by group [IGM* NRESP entries]
 - 13\$ Triggers to punch responses [ND entries]
- T Block Terminator

A listing follows except for some input and output subroutines which are obtained from a local library at execution time.

LEVEL 21.8 (JUN 74)

75/360 FORTRAN M

```

      CCMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
                        SOURCE,FACD1C,MOL1CT,M7DECK,LOAD,MAP,NOF01T,1D,MXXREF
1SN 0002      COMMON D(1),LINT,LFLT,LMI,LETA,LMTS,LPHIA,LPHI,LEAD,LDET,LZDET,
1      LSCALE,LRESP,LIPUN,LPHI,LNPHI,LNCP,LNCL,LDET,LDOOS,LXNF,LAST,
2      ICHT,NGMX,ITER,NP,LNGTH,IGM,IM,MN,ND,NRESP,MN,INTRPA,INTRPP,
3      INTRPR,NAZL,NPRL,ISTAT,ZSOR
4      DIMY(100000),X
1SN 0003      DIMENSION D(1)
1SN 0004      EQUIVALENCE (D(1),D(1))
1SN 0005      LFNCTH=(LOC(X)-LOC(D(1)))/4
1SN 0006      LNG=LENGTH
1SN 0007      DO 10 I=1,LNG
1SN 0008 10 D(I)=0.0
1SN 0009      LENGTH=LNG
1SN 0010      LINT=20
1SN 0011      LFLT=40
1SN 0012      LMI=41
1SN 0013      CALL FIDAS(D,0.0,0.2,J3,5,6)
1SN 0014      IGP=IGM+1
1SN 0015      LETA=LMI*MN
1SN 0016      LMTS=LETA*MN
1SN 0017      LPHIA=LMTS*MN
1SN 0018      LEAD=LPHIA*MN
1SN 0019      LPHI=LPHI*MN
1SN 0020      LDET=LPHI*MN+1
1SN 0021      LZDET=LDET*MN
1SN 0022      LSCALE=LZDET*MN
1SN 0023      LRESP=LSCALE*NRESP
1SN 0024      LIPUN=LRESP+IGM*NRESP
1SN 0025      ICMU=MN*NRESP
1SN 0026      IF (ISTAT.GT.0) ICMU=NRESP
1SN 0027      LNPHI=LIPUN+ICMU
1SN 0028      CALL FIDAS(D,0.0,0.2,J3,5,6)
1SN 0029      INTRPP=INTRPA+INTRPP
1SN 0030      LNPHI=LPHI+3*MN
1SN 0031      LNCP=LNPHI*MN
1SN 0032      LNCL=LNCP*MN
1SN 0033      LNCL=LNCP*MN
1SN 0034      CALL QJAO(D(LNMI),D(LETA),D(LMTS),D(LPHI),D(LNPHI),D(LNCP),
1      D(LNCL),MN,NP,INTRPP)
1SN 0035      DO 30 I=1,NP
1SN 0036      D(LNPHI*MN+I-1)=D(LNPHI+I-1)
1SN 0037      D(LNPHI*MN+NP+I-1)=D(LNCP+I-1)
1SN 0038      D(LNPHI*MN+2*MN+I-1)=D(LNCL+I-1)
1SN 0039 30 CONTINUE
1SN 0040      LNPHI=LPHI*MN
1SN 0041      LNCP=LNPHI*MN
1SN 0042      LNCL=LNCP*MN
1SN 0043      LDET=LPHI*MN
1SN 0044      LDOOS=LDET
1SN 0045      IF (ISTAT.GT.0) LDOOS=LDET*MN*NRESP
1SN 0046      LXNF=LDOOS*MN+IGM*NRESP
1SN 0047      ICMU=MN*MN
1SN 0048      NGMX=(LENGTH-LXNF)/ICMU
1SN 0049      LAST=LXNF+NGMX*ICMU
1SN 0050      ITER=(IGM+NGMX-1)/NGMX
1SN 0051      WRITE(6,40)IGM,IM,MN,ND,NRESP,MN,INTRPA,INTRPP,INTRPR,NAZL,NPRL,
1SN 0052 1 ISTAT,NP,ITER,NGMX,LENGTH,LAST,ZSOR
1SN 0053 40 FORMAT(7H1 IGM,17/7H IM,17/7H MN,17/7H ND,17/

```



```

1 7M NRESP,17/7M NM,17/7M INTRPA,17/7M INTRDP,17/7M I.1TRPR,17/
2 7M NAZL,17/7M NPQL,17/7M ISTAT,17/7M NP,17/7M ITR,17/
3 7M NGMX,17/7M LENGTH,17/7M LAST,17/7M ZSM,1PE15.5
4 //3M T//)
1SN 0054 WRITE(6,50)
1SN 0055 50 FORMAT(1H1,44X,2(4X,'ALPHA',4X)/12X,'MU',10X,'ETA',9X,'WEIGHT',
1 7X,'ANGLES',7X,'WEIGHT',7X,'RADII',9X,'DET',9X,'ZDET')
1SN 0056 CALL MOTB(4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,6:0,0)
1SN 0057 WRITE(6,60)
1SN 0058 60 FORMAT(1H1,9X,'SCALE',9X,'NPMT',9X,'NCMP',9X,'PMT',10X,'PQL')
1SN 0059 CALL MOTB(12,16,17,15,18,0,0,0,6:0,0)
1SN 0060 WRITE(6,70)
1SN 0061 70 FORMAT('RESPONSE FUNCTIONS BY GROUP')
1SN 0062 CALL MOTB(1,RESP,1,1,'GRP',1,RESP,0)
1SN 0063 MD=MD
1SN 0064 IF(ISTAT.GT.0)MD=1
1SN 0065 WRITE(6,80)
1SN 0066 80 FORMAT('SIGNALS TO PUNCH RESPONSE OR SPECTRUM')
1SN 0067 CALL IMOTB(1,PUN,MD,NRESP,1,RESP,'DET',0)
1SN 0068 IF(IJ3.GT.0)CALL EXIT
1SN 0069 CALL CALDOS(DILPMT),DILRAD),DILDET),DILZDET),DILSCALE),
1 DILRESP),DILPMT),DILNPMT),DILNCMP),DILPQL),DILDMS),DILXNF),
2 DILMU),DILETA),DILMTS),DILTPUN),DILDET),IGP)
1SN 0070 WRITE(6,90)
1SN 0071 90 FORMAT(1H1)
1SN 0072 STOP
1SN 0073 ENDO
1SN 0074
1SN 0075

```

LEVEL 21.8 (JUN 74)

05/360 FORTRAN M

COMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,

```

SOURCE,EOC(C,NOLIST,MODECK,LOAD,MAP,MODEIT,IO,NOXREF
SUBROUTINE QUAD(MU,ETA,MTS,PHI,NPHI,NCP,POL,MM,NP,ISNTRP)
DIMENSION MU(1),ETA(1),MTS(1),PHI(1),NPHI(1),NCP(1),POL(1)
REAL MU
REAL*8 SUM,SUMM,SUMT
DATA PI/3.1415927/
NP=0
SUMT=0.0
DO 50 N=1,MM
SUMT=SUMT+MTS(N)
IF(MTS(N).NE.0.0)GO TO 30
NP=NP+1
POL(NP)=ETA(N)
SM=ARS(MU(N))
PHI(N)=-PI
NPHI(NP)=1
IF(NP.EQ.1)GO TO 20
NCP(NP)=NCP(NP-1)+NPHI(NP-1)
20 CONTINUE
GO TO 50
30 CONTINUE
NPHI(NP)=NPHI(NP)+1
CSM=MU(N)/SM
PHI(N)=-ARCS(CSM)
50 CONTINUE
I=1
60 IJ=I+1
PFIN=POL(I)
K=I
DO 70 J=IJ,NP
PFIN=POL(J)
IF(PFIN.GE.PFIN)GO TO 70
K=J
PFIN=PFIN
70 CONTINUE
IF(K.EQ.1)GO TO 80
PFIN=POL(I)
POL(I)=PFIN
POL(K)=PFIN
NHI=NPHI(I)
NPHI(I)=NPHI(K)
NPHI(K)=NHI
NCP=NCP(I)
NCP(K)=NCP(K)
NCP(K)=NCP
80 CONTINUE
I=I+1
IF(I.LT.NP)GO TO 60
IF(ISNTRP.GE.2)RETURN
SUMM=0.0
DO 120 IP=1,NP
MM=NPHI(IP)
NMM=MM-1
NMM=NCP(IP)
SUM=0.0
DO 90 N=2,MM
SUM=SUM+MTS(NMM+N)
SUMM=SUMM+SUM
POL(IP)=-1.0+2.0*SUMM/SUMT
DO 100 NN=2,MM
PHI(NMM+N)=PHI(NMM+N-1)+PI*MTS(NMM+N)/SUM
PHI(NMM+N)=0.0
100 CONTINUE
120 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

```

LEVEL 21.0 (JUN 74)

05/360 FORTRAN M

```

COMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINFCNT=60,SIZE=3000K,
SOURCE,ENCODIC,NOLIST,NODECK,LOAD,MAP,NNEOIT,IO,NXREF
1 SUBROUTINE CALDMS(PHIA,PMT,RAD,RODET,ZDET,SCALE,RESP,PHI,NPMT,
1 NCMP,POL,DMS,XNF,MU,ETA,MYS,IPUM,FDET,IGP)
2 COMMON D(1),LINT,LEFT,LMU,LETA,LMTS,LPHIA,LPMY,LRAD,LRODET,LZDET,
1 LSCALE,LRESP,LIPUM,LPHI,LNPMI,LNOMP,LNPL,LFOET,LDCS,LXNF,LAST,
2 ICNT,NGRX,ITER,NP,LENGTH,IGM,IM,MN,ND,NRESP,MN,INTRPA,INTRPP,
3 INTRPR,NAZL,NPRL,ISTAY,ZSON
4 DIMENSION PHIA(1),PMT(1),RAC(1),ROF(1),ZDET(1),SCALE(1),PHI(1),
1 RESP(IG,1),NPMI(1),NCMP(1),POL(1),DZS(IG,NRESP,1),XNF(MN,1,1)
5 DIMENSION MU(1),ETA(1),MYS(1),FDET(1),IPUM(1)
6 REAL MU
7 DATA PI/3.1415927/
8 PENTND 1
9 ISNTRP=INTRPA+INTOPP
10 ICALL=1
11 IF(ISNTRP.GE.2)ICALL=2
12 RMAX=RADI*IM+1
13 DO 1000 KD=1,MN
14 DO 1000 NP=1,NRESP
15 DO 1000 IG=1,IGP
1000 DCS(IG,NP,KD)=0.0
16 IC=0
17 DO 100 IT=1,ITF
18 ICA=INR+1
19 ICB=MIN0(INA+NGRX-1,IGM)
20 NGP=INR+1-INGA
21 DO 1005 IG=1,NGP
22 READ(1)((XNF(I,1,IG),N=1,MN),I=1,IM)
23 1005 CONTINUE
24 DO 90 KD=1,MN
25 RO=RODET(KD)
26 ZO=ZDET(KD)
27 ODET=SQRT(RO**2+(ZO-ZSON)**2)
28 CX=RO/ODET
29 CZ=(ZO-ZSON)/ODET
30 DC=SQRT((RO-RMAX)**2+(ZO-ZSON)**2)
31 ETLO=((RO-RMAX)*RO+(ZO-ZSON)**2)/(DC*ODET)
32 NPRL=NPRL*NAZL
33 IF(NAZL.LE.0)NPRL=NPRL*(NPRL+1)
34 WTE=(1.0-ETLO)/(2.0*NPRL)
35 WATE=WTE
36 OLET=(1.0-ETLO)/NPRL
37 IF(NAZL.LE.0)OLET=(1.0-ETLO)/NPRL
38 RNN=AMIN1(RO,RMAX)
39 DNN=SQRT((RO-RNN)**2+(ZO-ZSON)**2)
40 FT1=(ZO-ZSON)/DNN
41 DNX=SQRT((RO+RMAX)**2+(ZO-ZSON)**2)
42 ET2=(ZO-ZSON)/DNX
43 ETNN=AMIN1(ET1,ET2)
44 ETMX=AMAX1(ET1,ET2)
45 DO 1010 JPA=2,NP
46 IF(ETNN.LE.0)GOTO 1020
47 1010 CONTINUE
48 JPA=NP
49 1020 CONTINUE
50 DO 1030 JPB=JPA,NP
51 IF(ETMX.LE.0)GOTO 1040
52 1030 CONTINUE
53 JPB=NP
54 1040 CONTINUE
55 DO 80 IPL=1,NPRL
56 WTE=WATE
57 UZ=ETLO*(IPL-0.5)*OLET
58 IF(NAZL.GT.0)GOTO 5
59 UZ=1.0-IPL**2*OLET
60 5 CONTINUE
61 SUZ=SQRT(1.0-UZ**2)
62 ANZ=NAZL
63 IF(NAZL.LE.0)NNZ=2*IPL
64 IF(RO.GT.0)GOTO 6
65 NNZ=1
66 WTE=2.0*IPL*WATE
67 6 CONTINUE
68 DAZ=PI/NNZ
69 DO 70 IAZ=1,NNZ
70 AZ=(IAZ-0.5)*DAZ
71 UX=SUZ*CS(AZ)
72 ET=-CX*UX+CZ*UZ
73 DO=(ZO-ZSON)/ET
74 IF(DO.LT.0)GOTO 7
75 7 ANI=UZ*UX+CX*UZ

```

```

ISN 0084      SM=SQRT(1.0-ET**2)
ISN 0087      DISC=SM**2-AMU**2
ISN 0088      IF(DISC.LE.0.0)DISC=0.0
ISN 0090      CHY=SQRT(DISC)
ISN 0091      X=RD-DO*AMU
ISN 0092      Y=-DO*CHY
ISN 0093      R=SQRT(X**2+Y**2)
ISN 0094      IF(R.GT.RMAX)GO TO 80
ISN 0094      DO5=SQRT((RD-X)**2+Y**2)
ISN 0097      DDT=((RD-X)*X-Y*Y)/(DO5**3)
ISN 0098      IF(ABS(DDT).GT.1.0)GO TO 7
ISN 0100      PH=-ARCCS(DDT)
ISN 0101      GC TO 8
ISN 0102      7 CONTINUE
ISN 0103      PH=0.5*(1.0+SIGN(1.0,DDT))**PI
ISN 0104      8 CONTINUE
ISN 0105      DO 10 IP=JPA,JPB
ISN 0106      IF(ET.LE.POL(IP))GO TO 15
ISN 0108      10 CONTINUE
ISN 0109      IP=JPB
ISN 0110      15 CONTINUE
ISN 0111      GO TO (20,25),ICALL
ISN 0112      20 CALL COMFLXIPOL,NPMI,NCMP,IP,XNF,MM,[N,PH,PMT]
ISN 0113      GO TO 30
ISN 0114      25 CALL VARFLXIPOL,NPMI,NCMP,IP,XNF,MM,[N,PH,PMT]
ISN 0115      30 CONTINUE
ISN 0116      DO 40 I=2,IN
ISN 0117      RRA=0.5*(RAD(I)+RAD(I+1))
ISN 0118      IF(R.LE.RRB)GO TO 45
ISN 0120      40 CONTINUE
ISN 0121      I=IN
ISN 0122      45 CONTINUE
ISN 0123      RRA=0.5*(RAD(I-1)+RAD(I))
ISN 0124      PR=(R-RRA)/(RRB-RRA)
ISN 0125      DO 60 IG=104,108
ISN 0126      IIG=IG+1-INA
ISN 0127      GO TO (53,55),ICALL
ISN 0128      53 CALL COMFLIFLZ,IIG,I,PR)
ISN 0129      GO TO 58
ISN 0130      55 CALL VARFLIFLZ,IIG,I,PR)
ISN 0131      58 CONTINUE
ISN 0132      TRM=FLZ*MT
ISN 0133      DDS(IIG,I,KD)=DDS(IIG,I,KD)+TRM
ISN 0134      60 CONTINUE
ISN 0135      70 CONTINUE
ISN 0136      80 CONTINUE
ISN 0137      90 CONTINUE
ISN 0138      100 CONTINUE
ISN 0139      DO 108 KD=1,ND
ISN 0140      DO 108 IG=1,IGN
ISN 0141      DO 105 NR=1,NRESP
ISN 0142      KR=NRESP+1-NR
ISN 0143      DDS(IIG,KR,KD)=DDS(IIG,I,KD)+RESP(IIG,KR)*SCALE(KR)
ISN 0144      DCS(IGP,KR,KD)=DDS(IGP,KR,KD)+DDS(IIG,KR,KD)
ISN 0145      105 CONTINUE
ISN 0146      108 CONTINUE
ISN 0147      IF(IISTAT.GT.0)GO TO 130
ISN 0149      DO 120 KD=1,ND
ISN 0150      WRITE(6,110)KD,RDET(KD),ZDET(KD)
ISN 0151      110 FORMAT('1 DETECTOR',I5,5X,'RADIUS=',1PE15.5,5X,'HEIGHT=',1PE15.5//)
ISN 0152      IDOS=LDO5+(KD-1)*NRESP*IGP
ISN 0153      CALL WRT(DIDOS),NRESP,IGP,I,'GRP','RESP',01
ISN 0154      DO 115 KR=1,NRESP
ISN 0155      LL=(KD-1)*NRESP+KR
ISN 0156      IF(IPUN(ILL).LE.0)GO TO 115
ISN 0158      CALL FFPUNCTIONS(1,KD,KD,IGM,LL)
ISN 0159      115 CONTINUE
ISN 0160      120 CONTINUE
ISN 0161      RETURN
ISN 0162      130 CONTINUE
ISN 0163      DO 140 KD=1,ND
ISN 0164      DO 140 NR=1,NRESP
ISN 0165      L=(NR-1)*ND+KD
ISN 0166      140 FDF(L)=DDS(IGP,NR,KD)
ISN 0167      WRITE(6,150)
ISN 0168      150 FORMAT('TOTAL RESPONSES BY DETECTOR POSITION AND RESPONSE'//)
ISN 0169      CALL WRT(FDET,NRESP,ND,1,'DET','RESP',01)
ISN 0170      DO 160 NR=1,NRESP
ISN 0171      IF(IPUN(NR).LE.0)GO TO 160
ISN 0173      L=(NR-1)*ND+1
ISN 0174      CALL FFPUNCTIONS(1,KD,KD,IGM,L)
ISN 0175      160 CONTINUE
ISN 0176      RETURN
ISN 0177      END

```

LEVEL 21.9 (JUN 74)

75/360 FORTRAN 4

```

      COMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
                        SOURCE,EBASIC,NOLIST,NODECK,LDAD,NAP,NODEIT,LD,NTRREF
15% 0007 SUBROUTINE (POL,NPHI,NCMP,JP,XNF,MN,IN,PH,PHI,FT)
15% 0008 COMMON /UM(33),INTRPA,INTRPP,INTRPD
15% 0009 DIMENSION POL(1),NPHI(1),NCMP(1),XNF(MN,IN,1),PHI(1)
15% 0010 LOGICAL TSN
15% 0011 IP=MAX(JP,2)
15% 0012 TSN=SIGN(1.0,POL(IP)).NE.SIGN(1.0,POL(IP-1))
15% 0013 IF(TSN.AND.EY.LE.0.0)IP=IP-1
15% 0014 IF(TSN.AND.FY.GT.0.0)IP=IP+1
15% 0015 IL1=NCMP(IP-1)
15% 0016 IL2=NCMP(IP)
15% 0017 NZ1=NP+1(IP-1)
15% 0018 NZ2=NP+1(IP)
15% 0019 DO 20 IZ1=3,NZ1
15% 0020 IF(PH.LE.PHI(IL1+IZ1))GO TO 25
15% 0021 20 CONTINUE
15% 0022 IZ1=NZ1
15% 0023 25 CONTINUE
15% 0024 DO 30 IZ2=3,NZ2
15% 0025 IF(PH.LE.PHI(IL2+IZ2))GO TO 35
15% 0026 30 CONTINUE
15% 0027 IZ2=NZ2
15% 0028 35 CONTINUE
15% 0029 PE=(EY-POL(IP-1))/(POL(IP)-POL(IP-1))
15% 0030 IZX=IL1+IZ1
15% 0031 PZ1=(PH-PHI(IZX-1))/(PHI(IZX)-PHI(IZX-1))
15% 0032 IZY=IL2+IZ2
15% 0033 PZ2=(PH-PHI(IZY-1))/(PHI(IZY)-PHI(IZY-1))
15% 0034 RETURN
15% 0035 ENTRY VARFL(FIZ,IFG,I,PR)
15% 0036 J=I-1
15% 0037 RX1=XNF(IZX-1,J,IFG)
15% 0038 RX2=XNF(IZX,J,IFG)
15% 0039 RX3=XNF(IZX-1,I,IFG)
15% 0040 RX4=XNF(IZX,I,IFG)
15% 0041 RY1=XNF(IZY-1,J,IFG)
15% 0042 RY2=XNF(IZY,J,IFG)
15% 0043 RY3=XNF(IZY-1,I,IFG)
15% 0044 RY4=XNF(IZY,I,IFG)
15% 0045 CALL NTERP(RX1,RX3,RX5,PR,INTRPD)
15% 0046 CALL NTERP(RX2,RX4,RX6,PR,INTRPD)
15% 0047 CALL NTERP(RX5,RX6,FLX,PZ1,INTRPA)
15% 0048 CALL NTERP(RY1,RY3,RV3,PR,INTRPD)
15% 0049 CALL NTERP(RY2,RV4,RV6,PR,INTRPD)
15% 0050 CALL NTERP(RV5,RV6,FLY,PZ2,INTRPA)
15% 0051 CALL NTERP(FLX,FLY,FLZ,PE,INTRPD)
15% 0052 RETURN
      END

```

LEVEL 21.9 (JUN 74)

75/360 FORTRAN 4

```

      COMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
                        SOURCE,EBASIC,NOLIST,NODECK,LDAD,NAP,NODEIT,LD,NTRREF
15% 0007 SUBROUTINE (POL,NPHI,NCMP,JP,XNF,MN,IN,PH,PHI)
15% 0008 COMMON /UM(33),INTRPA,INTRPP,INTRPD
15% 0009 DIMENSION POL(1),NPHI(1),NCMP(1),XNF(MN,IN,1),PHI(1)
15% 0010 IL2=NCMP(IP)
15% 0011 NZ2=NP+1(IP)
15% 0012 DO 30 IZ2=2,NZ2
15% 0013 IF(PH.LE.PHI(IL2+IZ2))GO TO 35
15% 0014 30 CONTINUE
15% 0015 IZ2=NZ2
15% 0016 35 CONTINUE
15% 0017 IZY=IL2+IZ2
15% 0018 RETURN
15% 0019 ENTRY CONFL(FIZ,IFG,I,PR)
15% 0020 J=I-1
15% 0021 RX1=XNF(IZY,J,IFG)
15% 0022 RX2=XNF(IZY,I,IFG)
15% 0023 CALL NTERP(RX1,RX2,FLZ,PR,INTRPD)
15% 0024 RETURN
      END

```

LEVEL 21.0 (JUN 74)

05/360 FORTRAN H

```

      CCNPIER OPTIENS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
      SOURCE,EOCOTIC,NOLIST,WMOECK,LOAD,MAP,NOEDIT,IO,NXREF
      SUBROUTINE MTEPP(F1,F2,F3,P,INTRO)
      GO TO (10,20),INTRO
      10 F3=(1.0-P)*F1+P*F2
      RETURN
      20 CONTINUE
      ISCA=ISIGN(1.0,F1)*3.2/2.0
      ISCB=ISIGN(1.0,F2)*3.2/2.0
      IF(ISCAL.EQ.ISCB)GO TO 50
      GO TO (30,40),ISCA
      30 F1=0.01*F2
      GO TO 50
      40 F2=0.01*F1
      50 CONTINUE
      ARG=ALPG(F2/F1)*P
      F3=F1*EXP(ARG)
      RETURN
      END

```

LEVEL 21.0 (JUN 74)

05/360 FORTRAN H

```

      CCNPIER OPTIENS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
      SOURCE,EOCOTIC,NOLIST,WMOECK,LOAD,MAP,NOEDIT,IO,NXREF
      SUBROUTINE MTEB(L1,L2,L3,L4,L5,L6,L7,L8,MNU,O,LO)
      C *** MTEB WILL WRITE UP TO EIGHT ONE-DIMENSIONAL ARRAYS OF VARYING
      C LENGTHS IN COLUMNS ACROSS A PAGE. L1,L2,ETC. ARE THE POSITIONS
      C IN COLUMN OF THE BASE ADDRESSES OF THE ARRAYS TO BE WRITTEN.
      C IF ANY LN IS ZERO THE N-TH COLUMN WILL BE BLANK.
      DIMENSION FNT(19),FNT(6),L(8),M(8),Z(8),LO(1),O(1)
      DATA M/' '/
      DATA K,FNT(1),FNT(2),FNT(19)/100000,'(1P)',6,' ',1,' /
      DATA FNT(1),FNT(2),FNT(3),FNT(4),FNT(5),FNT(6)/' ',E13',',5,' ',
      1,' ',10,' ',5X,' ',',9X',',',A4,' /
      L(1)=L1
      L(2)=L2
      L(3)=L3
      L(4)=L4
      L(5)=L5
      L(6)=L6
      L(7)=L7
      L(8)=L8
      N=0
      DO 1 I=1,4
      LL=L(I)
      IF(LL.GT.0)M(I)=LO(LL*I)-L(I)
      IF(LL.EQ.0)M(I)=0
      1 A=MAX(1,M(I))
      DO 2 I=1,M
      DO 3 J=1,4
      IF(M(I).GE.I) GO TO 4
      Z(I)=0
      FNT(2*J+1)=FNT(5)
      FNT(2*J+2)=FNT(6)
      GO TO 3
      4 LL=L(I)
      LL=LO(LL) + I - 1
      Z(I)=O(LL)
      FNT(2*J+1)=FNT(1)
      FNT(2*J+2)=FNT(2)
      IF(K.LE.1ABS(LO(LL)))GO TO 3
      FNT(2*J+1)=FNT(3)
      FNT(2*J+2)=FNT(4)
      3 CONTINUE
      2 WRITE (MNU,FNT) 1,(Z(I),J=1,8)
      RETURN
      END

```

LEVEL 21.0 (JUN 74)

OS/360 FORTRAN H

COMPILED OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
 SOURCE,EBODIC,NOLIST,MODECL,LOAD,MAP,NOEDIT,IO,NGRFF

```

1SN 0002      SUBROUTINE INOTER,NCOL,LYBL,LC,TOPI,TOP2,TOP3)
1SN 0003      DIMENSION M(LYBL,NCOL,1)
1SN 0004      N6=6
1SN 0005      DO 1 L=1,LC
1SN 0006      102=0
1SN 0007      103=(NCOL+9)/10
1SN 0008      IF(LC.GT.1)WRITE(N6,10)TOP3,L
1SN 0010      10 FORMAT(/10,2X,A4,15)
1SN 0011      DO 2 I=1,103
1SN 0012      101=102+1
1SN 0013      102=MIND(101+9,NCOL)
1SN 0014      WRITE(N6,20)TOP1,(TOP2,J,J=101,102)
1SN 0015      DO 3 K=1,LYBL
1SN 0016      IF(K.EQ.1)GO TO 4
1SN 0018      DO 6 J=101,102
1SN 0019      IF(M(K,J,1).NE.M(K-1,J,1))GO TO 7
1SN 0021      6 CONTINUE
1SN 0022      IF(K.EQ.KS)GO TO 8
1SN 0024      KE=K
1SN 0025      IF(K.EQ.LYBL)GO TO 7
1SN 0027      GO TO 3
1SN 0028      8 IF(K.EQ.LYBL)GO TO 4
1SN 0030      DO 9 J=101,102
1SN 0031      IF(M(K,J,1).NE.M(K+1,J,1))GO TO 4
1SN 0033      9 CONTINUE
1SN 0034      KE=KE+1
1SN 0035      GO TO 3
1SN 0036      7 IF(KE.EQ.KS)GO TO 4
1SN 0038      WRITE(N6,40)TOP1,KS,TOP1,KE
1SN 0039      IF(K.EQ.LYBL.AND.KE.EQ.KS)GO TO 3
1SN 0041      40 FORMAT(8X,A4,15,6H THRU ,A4,15,16H SAME AS ABOVE )
1SN 0042      4 WRITE(N6,30)K,(M(K,J,1),J=101,102)
1SN 0043      KS=K+1
1SN 0044      KE=KS
1SN 0045      3 CONTINUE
1SN 0046      2 CONTINUE
1SN 0047      1 CONTINUE
1SN 0048      20 FORMAT(2H0 ,A4,10(3X,A4,13,2X))
1SN 0049      30 FORMAT(10,10(4X,16,4X))
1SN 0050      RETURN
1SN 0051      END

```

RODFRAC

RODFRAC is a computer code which computes the rod fractions within specified annular regions and subdivides the annuli according to the rod fraction therein. It assumes rods on a triangular pitch contained within hexagonal elements themselves on a triangular pitch. The volume fraction for the walls of the hexagonal duct containing the fuel rods is allowed to be included with the rod fraction. Allowance is also made for including a separate region for grid spacers, thus partitioning a single annular region into three heterogeneous subregions.

The code assumes the geometry is divided into five zones as shown in Fig. C.1. Zone 1 represents a control rod channel and the surrounding wall. Zone 2 is a fuel pin region inside the centrally located fuel subassembly. Zone 3 represents the subassembly duct walls and gap surrounding the central subassembly. Zone 4 represents the other subassemblies with fuel pins and blanket pins, duct walls and gaps included. Zone 5 represents structure surrounding the core. A rod may be composed of up to four regions as shown in Fig. C.2.

RODFRAC Input

Title card (20A4)

1\$ [13 entries]

NCYL1 Number of intervals in Zone 1

NCYL2 Number of rings in Zone 2. Rings will be subdivided by code.

NCYL3 Number of intervals in Zone 3

NCYL4 Number of rings in Zone 4. Rings will be subdivided by code.

NCYL5 Number of intervals in Zone 5

NCYT NCYL1 + NCYL2 + NCYL3 + NCYL4 + NCYL5

IKTY 1/2/3 = 1 fuel subassembly/7 fuel subassemblies/up to 39 rows of subassemblies, 19 per row.

NCOPY Number of times to punch the final radii

NROW Number of rows of pins per subassembly

Fig. C.1. Five-Zoned Model for Which RODFRAC Computes Heterogeneous Subrings in Zones 2 and 4 and Combines These with the Input Radii in Zones 1, 3, and 5 to Give the Final Output Radii.

Fig. C.2. Multiregion Rod Surrounded by Coolant in Region 3 and Containing Coolant in Region 1. Region 2 May Contain a Single Material or Two Different Materials in Zones 2a and 2b.

IROT 0/1 = Hex flats in Y-direction/Hex flats in X-direction (see Fig. C.3). Note: NROW, PITCH, DELY, HPITCH, and HDELY depend on value of IROT. Fig. C.4 shows HPITCH and HDELY as a function of IROT.

Fig. C.3. Illustration of the Parameters NROW, PITCH, and DELY as a Function of IROT.

ORNL-DWG 79-11924

Fig. C.4. Illustration of the Parameters HPITCH and HDELY as a Function of IROT.

- IOTHR Greater than zero if other material besides fuel pins, duct walls, or coolant is to be included as a separate heterogeneous subring. Volume fractions in Zones 2 and 4 (Fig. C.1) are input in the 5* array.
- I4ZN Greater than zero if rods consist of a 3- or 4-region cylinder (see Fig. C.2) with coolant in the central and outer regions. Read volume fractions for region 1 in the 7* array.
- I4OTH If greater than zero, region 2 (Fig. C.2) is subdivided into an inner region 2a and an outer region 2b. The outer radius of region 2b is specified as the rod radius. Volume fractions for the material in region 2a are input in the 6* array.

2* [10 entries]

- RROD Radius of fuel pin (or rod)
- RMAX Maximum radius of region containing fuel pins
- PITCH Distance between centers of pins
- DELY Center-to-center spacing between rows of pins
- HPITCH Center-to-center spacing between subassemblies in a row
- HDELY Center-to-center spacing between rows of elements
- SIDEI Internal length of a side of a subassembly duct wall
- SIDEO External length of a side of a subassembly duct wall
- GRDF Volume fraction occupied by grid spacers (use 0.0 if separate ring is not desirable).
- FLATC Distance across flats of duct enclosing Zone 1 of Fig. C.1.

T Block Terminator

- 3* Input radii (NCYT+1 values)
- 4* Subassembly duct wall volume fractions for each ring in Zones 2 and 4 (NCYL2 + NCYL4 values). Read zeroes for Zone 2 intervals.
- 5* Volume fractions in each ring of Zones 2 and 4 of material to be included in a third heterogeneous subring. (NCYL2 + NCYL4 values)
- 6* Volume fraction for each ring in Zones 2 and 4 (NCYL2 + NCYL4 values) of material in region 2a of Fig. C.2.
- 7* Volume fractions for each of the rings in Zones 2 and 4 of the coolant region 1 of Fig. C.2.

T Block Terminator

(stacked cases)

NOTE: The volume fractions to be input in the 6* and 7* arrays may be determined using RODFRAC by running several cases with the different region radii and differencing the results for the desired region.

A listing follows except for some input and output subroutines which are obtained from a local library at execution.

LEVEL 21.8 (JUN 74)

OS/360 FORTRAN H

COMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
SOURCE,EBCDIC,NOLIST,NODECK,LOAD,MAP,NOEDIT,IO,NOXREF

```

ISN 0002      COMMON D(31),DUMY( 5000),DEND
ISN 0003      LCKS=(LOC(DEND)-LOC(D(1)))/4
ISN 0004      DO 1 I=1,LCKS
ISN 0005      1 D(I)=0.0
ISN 0006      CALL MANIPL(LCKS)
ISN 0007      WRITE(6,5)
ISN 0008      5 FORMAT(1H1)
ISN 0009      STOP
ISN 0010      END

```

LEVEL 21.8 (JUN 74)

OS/360 FORTRAN H

COMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
SOURCE,EBCDIC,NOLIST,NODECK,LOAD,MAP,NOEDIT,IO,NOXREF

```

ISN 0002      SUBROUTINE STPERR(A,J)
ISN 0003      WRITE(6,10)J,A
ISN 0004      10 FORMAT(1H0,17,3A,'ERRORS WERE ENCOUNTERED IN THE',1X,A4,1X,
ISN 0005      1 'BLOCK'/)
ISN 0006      RETURN
ISN 0007      END

```

LEVEL 21.8 (JUN 74)

OS/360 FORTRAN H

COMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
SOURCE,EBCDIC,NOLIST,NODECK,LOAD,MAP,NOEDIT,IO,NOXREF

```

ISN 0002      SUBROUTINE INTSEC(X0,Y0,RHO,RCC,THETA,PHI,IFR)
ISN 0003      DATA PI/3.1415927/
ISN 0004      REAL*8 A,B,C
ISN 0005      IER=0
ISN 0006      RO=SQRT(X0**2+Y0**2)
ISN 0007      A=RHO
ISN 0008      B=RO
ISN 0009      C=RCC
ISN 0010      CSA=(B**2+C**2-A**2)/(2.0*B*C)
ISN 0011      IF(ABS(CSA).GT.1.0)GO TO 20
ISN 0012      ALPHA=ARCOS(CSA)
ISN 0013      PHI=2.0*ALPHA
ISN 0014      CST=(A**2+B**2-C**2)/(2.0*A*B)
ISN 0015      THETA=ARCOS(CST)
ISN 0016      THETA=2.0*(PI-THETA)
ISN 0017      RETURN
ISN 0018      20 IER=1
ISN 0019      RETURN
ISN 0020      END

```

LEVEL 21.8 (JUN 74)

OS/360 FORTRAN H

COMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
SOURCE,EBCDIC,NOLIST,NODECK,LOAD,MAP,NOEDIT,IO,NOXREF

```

ISN 0002      SUBROUTINE AREAC(RHO,RCC,THETA,PHI,AREA)
ISN 0003      DATA PI/3.1415927/
ISN 0004      SSP=SIN(PHI)
ISN 0005      SST=SIN(THETA)
ISN 0006      AREB=PI*RHO**2
ISN 0007      IF(THETA.GT.PI)GO TO 50
ISN 0008      AREA=0.5*(2.0*PI-THETA+SSST)*RHO**2+0.5*(PHI-SSP)*RCC**2
ISN 0009      RETURN
ISN 0010      50 AREA=0.5*(THETA-SST)*RHO**2-0.5*(PHI-SSP)*RCC**2
ISN 0011      AREA=AREB-AREA
ISN 0012      RETURN
ISN 0013      END

```

LEVEL 21.8 (JUN 74)

OS/360 FORTRAN H

```

      CCMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINFCNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
                        SOURCE,EBCDIC,NGLIST,NODECK,LOAD,MAP,NODEIT,IO,NXREF
ISN 0002      SUBROUTINE MANIPL(LCXS)
ISN 0003      COMMON D(1),LFIX,LFLT,LPCYL,LVCF,LOTHR,LO4TH,LEXVF,LROUT,LFR,LFA,
      * LCAREA,LCMARE,LEND,NCYL1,NCYL2,NCYL3,NCYL4,NCYL5,NCYT,IKTY,NCOPY,
      1 NR0W,IROT,IOTHR,I4ZN,I4OTH,RR0D,
      2 RMAX,PITCH,DELY,HPITCH,HDELY,SIDE1,SIDE0,GRDF,FLATC
ISN 0004      DIMENSION TITLE(20)
ISN 0005      5 READ(5,10,END=40)TITLE
ISN 0006      10 FORMAT(20A4)
ISN 0007      WRITE(6,20)TITLE
ISN 0008      20 FORMAT(1H0,20A4//)
      C
ISN 0009      LFIX=15
ISN 0010      LFLT=28
ISN 0011      LPCYL=38
      C
ISN 0012      J4=0
ISN 0013      CALL FIDAS(0,0,0,2,J3,5,6)
ISN 0014      J4=J4+J3
ISN 0015      IF(J4.GT.0)CALL STPER('1ST ',J3)
ISN 0017      GRDF=GRIDF
      C
ISN 0018      WRITE(6,22)NCYL1,NCYL2,NCYL3,NCYL4,NCYL5,NCYT,IKTY,NCOPY,NR0W,
      * IROT,IOTHR,I4ZN,I4OTH,
ISN 0019      1 RR0D,RMAX,PITCH,DELY,HPITCH,HDELY,SIDE1,SIDE0,GRDF,FLATC
      22 FORMAT(17H0 NCYL1,15/7H NCYL2,15/7H NCYL3,15/7H NCYL4,15/
      * 7H NCYL5,15/7H NCYT,15/7H IKTY,15/7H NCOPY,15/7H NR0W,15/
      * 7H IROT,15/7H IOTHR,15/7H I4ZN,15/7H I4OTH,15/
      2/7H RR0D,15/7H RMAX,15/7H PITCH,15/7H DELY,
      3 15/7H HPITCH,15/7H HDELY,15/7H SIDE1,15/7H SIDE0,15/7H GRDF,15/7H FLATC,15/7H T//)
      C
ISN 0020      C
ISN 0021      NCY24=NCYL2+NCYL4
ISN 0022      LVCF=LPCYL+NCYT+1
ISN 0023      LCTHR=LVCFL+NCY24
ISN 0024      LC4TH=LCTHR+NCY24
ISN 0025      LEXVF=L04TH+NCY24
ISN 0026      LRCUT=LEXVF+NCY24
ISN 0027      LFR=LROUT+NCYT+3+NCY24+1
ISN 0028      LRFA=LFR
ISN 0029      LCARFA=LRFA+NCY24
ISN 0030      LCMARE=LCARFA+NCYT
ISN 0031      LEND=LCMARE+6+NCYT
      C
ISN 0032      WRITE(6,50)LEND,LCXS
ISN 0033      IF(LEND.GT.LCXS)GO TO 40
ISN 0034      ILS=LEND-1
ISN 0035      DO 25 I=LRCYL,ILS
ISN 0036      25 O(I)=0.0
ISN 0037      CALL FIDAS(0,0,0,2,J3,5,6)
ISN 0038      J4=J4+J3
ISN 0039      IF(J3.GT.0)CALL STPER('2ND ',J3)
ISN 0041      IF(J4.GT.0)GO TO 5
ISN 0043      CALL RINGO(D(LRCYL),D(LROUT),D(LRFA),D(LCARFA),D(LVCF),D(LEXVF),
      1 D(LCMARE),D(LOTHR),D(LO4TH) )
ISN 0044      GO TO 5
ISN 0045      40 CONTINUE
ISN 0046      50 FORMAT(1H0,18,2X,'LOCATIONS REQUIRED VS',18,2X,'AVAILABLE'/)
ISN 0047      RETURN
ISN 0048      END

```

LEVEL 21.8 (JUN 74)

05/360 FORTRAN W

```

      C*PILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
      SOURCE,FBCDIC,MOLIST,MODECK,LOAD,MAP,MODEIT,IO,NOXREF
      SUBROUTINE RINGO(RCYL,ROUT,RFA,CAREA,VCF,EXVF,CHAREA,OTHR,OTHTH)
      COMMON D(1),LFI,X,LFLT,LRCYL,LVCF,LQTHR,LQ4TH,LXVF,LROUT,LFR,LRFA,
      * LCAREA,LCMARE,LEND,NCYL1,NCYL2,NCYL3,NCYL4,NCYL5,NCYT,IKTY,NCOPY,
      1 NR0W,IR0T,IQTHR,I4ZN,I4QTH,RR0D,
      2 RMAX,PITCH,DELY,HPITCH,MDELY,SIDE1,SIDE0,GRIDF,FLATC
      DIMENSION RCYL(1),ROUT(1),RFA(1),CAREA(1),VCF(1),EXVF(1),CHAREA(1)
      DIMENSION OTHR(1),OTHTH(1)
      DATA PI/3.1415927/
      RDAREA=PI*RR0D**2
      AFUELA=0.0
      AFUELB=0.0
      AGRIDA=0.0
      AGRIDB=0.0
      AVCIDA=0.0
      AVOIDB=0.0
      IRAT=IR0T
      IR0T=MOD(IR0T,2)
      KAY=1
      IF(IKTY.EQ.2.AND.IRAT.GT.1)KAY=2
      IRCD=0
      AR2=NR0W/2
      NR3=(NR0W+1)/2
      IXMAX=10
      IYMAX=20
      IF(MOD(IKTY+2,3).LE.1)IXMAX=1
      IF(MOD(IKTY+2,3).EQ.0)IYMAX=1
      IF(MOD(IKTY+2,3).EQ.1)IYMAX=3
      SQ3=SQRT(3.0)
      FLAT=SIDE1/SQ3
      DO 5 I=1,NCYT
      5 CAREA(I)=PI*(RCYL(I+1)**2-RCYL(I)**2)
      IY=1
      IH=0
      10 CONTINUE
      YHC=(IY-1)*MDELY
      N0=0
      IF(IH.GT.0)
      *CALL XCLUD(XHC,YHC,SIDE0,IR0T,1,N0,RMAX,XHC,YHC,RR0D)
      IF(N0.GT.0)GO TO 220
      IX=1
      20 CONTINUE
      IH=IH+1
      XHC=(IX-1+0.5*MOD(IY+1,2))*HPITCH
      IF(IH.GT.1)
      *CALL XCLUD(XHC,YHC,SIDE0,IR0T,2,N0,RMAX,XHC,YHC,RR0D)
      IF(N0.GT.0)GO TO 210
      IR1=NCYL1+1
      IR2=IR1+NCYL2-1
      K=0
      IF(IH.EQ.1.AND.NCYL2.GT.0)GO TO 30
      IR1=NCYL1+NCYL2+NCYL3+1
      IR2=IR1+NCYL4-1
      K=NCYL2
      30 CONTINUE
      II=IR1
      IO=IR2
      YSTR=YHC-NR2*DELY
      DO 200 NR=1,NR0W
      YC=YSTR+(NR-1)*DELY
      IF(YC.LT.0.0)GO TO 200
      NN=NR0: ABS(NR-NR2-1)
      IF(IQOT.EQ.0)GO TO 32
      NN=NR
      IF(NN.GT.NR3)NN=2*NR3-NR
      32 CONTINUE
      ANN=NN
      XSTR=XHC-0.5*(ANN-1.0)*PITCH
      NP=0
      DO 190 NX=1,NN
      XC=XSTR+(NX-1)*PITCH
      IF(XC.LT.0.0)GO TO 190
      GO TO (33,34),KAY

```

```

ISN 0082      33 CONTINUE
ISN 0083      CALL XCLUD(XC,YC,SIDFI,IRDT,3,NT,FLATC,XMC,YMC,RRDD)
ISN 0084      IF(MD.GT.0)GO TO 190
ISN 0086      34 CONTINUE
ISN 0087      RC=SQRT(XC**2+YC**2)
ISN 0088      AT=0.0
ISN 0089      FAC=1.0
ISN 0090      IF(ABS(XC*YC).LT.1.0E-6.AND.RC.GT.1.0E-6)FAC=2.0
ISN 0092      IF(ABS(XC*YC).GT.1.0E-6)FAC=4.0
ISN 0094      IFAC=FAC*0.1
ISN 0095      RI=RC-RRDD
ISN 0096      RO=RC+RRDD
ISN 0097      GO TO (36,37),KAY
ISN 0098      36 CONTINUE
ISN 0099      IF(RI.GT.RMAX)GO TO 190
ISN 0101      IF(FLATC.GT.0.0.AND.RI.LT.0.5*FLATC)GO TO 190
ISN 0103      IRDD=IRDD + IFAC
ISN 0104      NM=NM+1
ISN 0105      GO TO 39
ISN 0106      37 CONTINUE
ISN 0107      IRDD=IRDD + IFAC
ISN 0108      NM=NM+1
ISN 0109      IF(RI.GT.RMAX)GO TO 170
ISN 0111      38 CONTINUE
ISN 0112      IF(RC.LE.0.0)GO TO 90
ISN 0114      DO 40 II=IR1,IR2
ISN 0115      R2=RCYL(II+1)
ISN 0116      IF(IM.EQ.1.AND.II.EQ.IR2)R2=SIDEN
ISN 0118      IF(RI.LT.R2)GO TO 50
ISN 0120      40 CONTINUE
ISN 0121      WRITE(6,45)IX,IY,IM,NR,NX,IRDD,RI,RO
ISN 0122      45 FORMAT('00000 REGION NOT FOUND FOR THIS ROD//
      1 * IX IY IM NR NX IRDD RI RO :',2X,6I6,1P2E15.5/)
      RETURN
ISN 0123      50 CONTINUE
ISN 0124      IF(RO.LT.RCYL(II+1))GO TO 120
ISN 0125      DO 60 IO=II,IR2
ISN 0127      R2=RCYL(IO+1)
ISN 0128      IF(IM.EQ.1.AND.IO.EQ.IR2)R2=SIDEN
ISN 0129      IF(RO.LT.R2)GO TO 70
ISN 0131      60 CONTINUE
ISN 0132      IF(RO.GT.RMAX)GO TO 65
ISN 0133      WRITE(6,45)IX,IY,IM,NR,NX,IRDD,RI,RO
ISN 0134      RETURN
ISN 0135      65 CONTINUE
ISN 0136      IO=IR2
ISN 0137      70 CONTINUE
ISN 0138      IOM=IO-1
ISN 0139      IF(IOM.LT.II)GO TO 85
ISN 0140      C ROD DIVIDED BY SEVERAL RINGS
ISN 0141      DO 80 IP=II,IOM
ISN 0142      RCC=RCYL(IP+1)
ISN 0143      CALL INTSEC(XC,YC,RRDD,RCC,THETA,PHI,IFR)
ISN 0144      IF(IFR.GT.0)GO TO 410
ISN 0145      CALL AREAC(RRDD,RCC,THETA,PHI,AREA)
ISN 0146      RFA(K+IP+1-IM)=RFA(K+IP+1-IR1)+(AREA-AT)*FAC
ISN 0147      AT=AREA
ISN 0148      80 CONTINUE
ISN 0149      85 CONTINUE
ISN 0150      IF(RO.GT.RMAX)GO TO 130
ISN 0151      C PORTION OF ROD AREA IN LAST RING SPANNED
ISN 0152      IF(AT.GT.1.001*ROAREA)GO TO 390
ISN 0153      XCESS=ROAREA-AT
ISN 0154      IF(XCESS.LT.0.0)XCESS=0.0
ISN 0155      RFA(K+IO+1-IR1)=RFA(K+IO+1-IR1)+XCESS*FAC
ISN 0156      GO TO 190
ISN 0157      90 CONTINUE
ISN 0158      C CENTRAL ROD SPANS SEVERAL ANNULI
ISN 0159      AT=0.0
ISN 0160      DO 100 II=IR1,IR2
ISN 0161      IF(RO.LT.RCYL(II+1))GO TO 110
ISN 0162      AX=CAREA(II)
ISN 0163      AT=AT+AX
ISN 0164      RFA(K+II+1-IR1)=RFA(K+II+1-IR1)+AX*FAC
ISN 0165      100 CONTINUE
ISN 0166      GO TO 190
ISN 0167
ISN 0168
ISN 0169
ISN 0170
ISN 0171
ISN 0172

```



```

C   PORTION OF ROD AREA IN LAST RING SPANNED
110 XCESS=RDAREA-AT
111 IF(XCESS.LT.-0.001*RDAREA)GO TO 390
112 IF(XCESS.LT.0.0)XCESS=0.0
113 RFA(K+1)=RFA(K)+1-IR1)*XCESS*FAC
114 GO TO 190
C   ROD LIES ENTIRELY WITHIN SINGLE ANNULUS
120 CONTINUE
121 RFA(K+1)=RFA(K)+1-IR1)*RDAREA*FAC
122 GO TO 190
C   PORTION OF ROD LIES BEYOND 'RMAX'. COMPUTE AREA IN RING 'IP2'
130 CONTINUE
131 GO TO (135,140),KAY
135 CONTINUE
136 RCC=RMAX
137 CALL INTSEC(XC,YC,RRCD,RCC,THETA,PHI,IER)
138 IF(IER.GT.0)GO TO 410
139 CALL AREA(RRCD,RCC,THETA,PHI,AREA)
140 GO TO 145
140 AREA=RDAREA
145 CONTINUE
146 RFA(K+1)=RFA(K)+1-IR1)*(AREA-AT)*FAC
147 GO TO 190
170 CONTINUE
171 AREA=RDAREA
172 AT=0.0
173 IQ=IR2
174 RFA(K+1)=RFA(K)+1-IR1)*(AREA-AT)*FAC
190 CONTINUE
191 IF(IH.LE.5)WRITE(6,185)NR,NM,XSTAT,YC
185 FORMAT(IX,' NR NM XSTAT YC:',2X,2I6,1P2E15.5)
200 CONTINUE
201 IX=IX+1
202 IF(IX.LE.IXMAX)GO TO 20
210 CONTINUE
211 IY=IY+1
212 IF(IY.LE.IYMAX)GO TO 10
220 CONTINUE
221 K=1
222 M=0
223 L=0
224 ROUT(1)=RCYL(1)
C   ZONE 1
225 IF(NCYL1.LE.0)GO TO 240
226 DO 230 I=1,NCYL1
227 K=K+1
228 L=L+1
229 ROUT(K)=RCYL(L+1)
230 CONTINUE
240 CONTINUE
C   ZONE 2
241 IF(NCYL2.LE.0)GO TO 270
242 DO 260 I=1,NCYL2
243 M=M+1
244 L=L+1
245 RFA(M)=RFA(M)/CAREA(L)
246 C4T=0.4TH(M)
247 FRAC=RFA(M)*VCF(M)
248 GRIDF=GRIDF
249 IF(IOTHR.GT.0)GRIDF=OTHR(M)
250 IF(IOTHR.LE.0)OTHR(M)=GRIDF
251 R1=RCYL(L)
252 R2=RCYL(L+1)
253 IF(FRAC.LE.0.0)GO TO 250
254 IF(I4ZN.LE.0)GO TO 245
255 FRAC=FRAC-EXVF(M)
256 IF(IOTHR.GT.0)FRAC=FRAC-GRIDF
257 RFA(M)=FRAC

```

```

15N 0249      245 CONTINUE
15N 0250      AFUELA=AFUELA+FRAC*CAREA(L)
15N 0251      IK=L
15N 0252      CMAREA(IK)=CMAREA(IK)+CAREA(L)*FRAC
15N 0253      K=K+1
15N 0254      ROUT(K)=SQRT((1.0-FRAC)*R1**2+FRAC*R2**2)
15N 0255      250 CONTINUE
15N 0256      IF(FRAC.GE.1.0)GO TO 260
15N 0258      IF(GROF.LE.0.0)GO TO 255
15N 0260      AGRI0A=AGRI0A+GROF*CAREA(L)
15N 0261      IK=NCYT+L
15N 0262      CMAREA(IK)=CMAREA(IK)+CAREA(L)*GROF
15N 0263      K=K+1
15N 0264      FRAC=AMIN1(FRAC+GROF,1.0)
15N 0265      ROUT(K)=SQRT((1.0-FRAC)*R1**2+FRAC*R2**2)
15N 0266      255 CONTINUE
15N 0267      EXVF(M)=1.0-FRAC-04T
15N 0268      XVFM=EXVF(M)
15N 0269      IF(FRAC.GE.1.0)GO TO 260
15N 0271      TXM=XVFM*CAREA(L)
15N 0272      AV010A=AV010A+TXM
15N 0273      IK=2*NCYT+L
15N 0274      CMAREA(IK)=CMAREA(IK)+TXM
15N 0275      K=K+1
15N 0276      ROUT(K)=SQRT(04T*R1**2+(1.0-04T)*R2**2)
15N 0277      IF(04T.LE.0)GO TO 260
15N 0279      K=K+1
15N 0280      ROUT(K)=R2
15N 0281      260 CONTINUE
C      ZONE 3
15N 0282      270 IF(NCYL3.LE.0)GO TO 290
15N 0284      DO 280 I=1,NCYL3
15N 0285      K=K+1
15N 0286      L=L+1
15N 0287      280 ROUT(K)=RCYL(L+1)
C      ZONE 4
15N 0290      290 IF(NCYL4.LE.0)GO TO 320
15N 0290      DO 310 I=1,NCYL4
15N 0291      M=M+1
15N 0292      L=L+1
15N 0293      R1=RCYL(L)
15N 0294      R2=RCYL(L+1)
15N 0295      RFA(M)=RFA(M)/CAREA(L)
15N 0296      FRAC=RFA(M)+VCF(M)
15N 0297      C4T=04TH(M)
15N 0298      GROF=GRI0F
15N 0299      IF(I0THR.GT.0)GROF=0YHR(M)
15N 0301      IF(I0THR.LE.0)0YHR(M)=GRI0F
15N 0303      IF(FRAC.LE.0.0)GO TO 300
15N 0305      IF(I4ZN.LE.0)GO TO 295
15N 0307      FRAC=FRAC-EXVF(M)
15N 0308      IF(I0THR.GT.0)FRAC=FRAC-GROF
15N 0310      RFA(M)=FRAC
15N 0311      295 CONTINUE
15N 0312      AFUELB=AFUELB+FRAC*CAREA(L)
15N 0313      IK=3*NCYT+L
15N 0314      CMAREA(IK)=CMAREA(IK)+CAREA(L)*FRAC
15N 0315      K=K+1
15N 0316      ROUT(K)=SQRT((1.0-FRAC)*R1**2+FRAC*R2**2)
15N 0317      300 CONTINUE
15N 0318      IF(FRAC.GE.1.0)GO TO 310
15N 0320      IF(GROF.LE.0.0)GO TO 305
15N 0322      AGRI0B=AGRI0B+GROF*CAREA(L)
15N 0323      IK=4*NCYT+L
15N 0324      CMAREA(IK)=CMAREA(IK)+CAREA(L)*GROF
15N 0325      FRAC=AMIN1(FRAC+GROF,1.0)
15N 0326      K=K+1
15N 0327      ROUT(K)=SQRT((1.0-FRAC)*R1**2+FRAC*R2**2)

```

```

ISN 0328      305 CONTINUE
ISN 0329      FXVF(M)=1.0-FRAC-04T
ISN 0330      XVFM=FXVF(M)
ISN 0331      IF(FRAC.GE.1.0)GO TO 310
ISN 0332      TXM=XVFM*CAAREA(L)
ISN 0333      AVOIDB=AVOIDA+TXM
ISN 0334      IK=5*NCYT+L
ISN 0335      CHAREA(IK)=CHAREA(IK)+TXM
ISN 0336      K=K+1
ISN 0337      ROUT(K)=SQRT(04T*R1**2+(1.0-04T)*R2**2)
ISN 0338      IF(04T.LE.0.0)GO TO 310
ISN 0339      K=K+1
ISN 0340      ROUT(K)=R2
ISN 0341      310 CONTINUE
ISN 0342      C      ZONE 5
ISN 0343      320 IF(NCYLS.LE.0)GO TO 340
ISN 0344      DO 330 I=1,NCYLS
ISN 0345      K=K+1
ISN 0346      L=L+1
ISN 0347      ROUT(K)=RCYL(L+1)
ISN 0348      330 CONTINUE
ISN 0349      340 CONTINUE
ISN 0350      DO 345 I=1,6
ISN 0351      DO 345 I=2,NCYT
ISN 0352      IK=(I-1)*NCYT+L
ISN 0353      IL=IK-1
ISN 0354      345 CHAREA(IK)=CHAREA(IK)+CHAREA(IL)
ISN 0355      LFR=LROUT+K
ISN 0356      WRITE(6,350)
ISN 0357      350 FORMAT(1H1,75X,'AREA'/10X,'INPUT',7X,'ROD DUCT',5X,'COOLANT',7X,
ISN 0358      1 'OUTPUT',8X,'ROD',8X,'BETWEEN',7X,'OTHER'/10X,'RADIO',7X,
ISN 0359      2 'FRACTION',5X,'FRACTION',6X,'RADIO',7X,'FRACTION',3X,
ISN 0360      3 'INPUT RADIO',4X,'FRACTION',3X,'4TH FRACTION'/)
ISN 0361      CALL MOTB(4,5,8,9,11,12,6,7,6,0,0)
ISN 0362      WRITE(6,351)
ISN 0363      351 FORMAT('OCUMULATIVE AREAS-ROD,OTHER&COOLANT-FOR ZONES 2&4'//)
ISN 0364      CALL MOT(CHAREA,6,NCYT,1,'INT.','QIAN',0)
ISN 0365      WRITE(6,360)IROD
ISN 0366      360 FORMAT('ONO. RODS OR PARTIAL RODS INCLUDED',I8)
ISN 0367      WRITE(6,365)AFUELA,AGROA,AVOIDA,AFUELB,AGROB,AVOIDB
ISN 0368      365 FORMAT('OSYSTEM SUMMARY'//' ZONE 2 ROD,OTHER AND COOLANT AREAS',
ISN 0369      1 2X,1P3E15.5//' ZONE 4 ROD,OTHER AND COOLANT AREAS',2X,1P3E15.5//)
ISN 0370      IF(NCOPY.LE.0)GO TO 380
ISN 0371      DO 370 I=1,NCOPY
ISN 0372      CALL FFPUN(ROUT,K,1)
ISN 0373      370 CONTINUE
ISN 0374      380 CONTINUE
ISN 0375      RETURN
ISN 0376      390 WRITE(6,400)IX,IY,IH,NR,NX,IROD,II,IO
ISN 0377      400 FORMAT('OROD AREA TOTALS MORE THAN TRUE AREA'//
ISN 0378      1 1X,'IX IY IH NR NX IROD II IO',816))
ISN 0379      RETURN
ISN 0380      410 WRITE(6,420)IX,IY,IH,NR,NX,IROD,II,IO
ISN 0381      420 FORMAT('OROD INTERSECTS NO RINGS'//
ISN 0382      1 1X,'IX IY IH NR NX IROD II IO',816))
ISN 0383      RETURN
ISN 0384      END

```

LEVEL 21.8 (JUN 74)

OS/360 FORTRAN H

```

      COMPILER OPTIONS - NAME= MAIN,OPT=02,LINECNT=60,SIZE=0000K,
                        SOURCE,FBCDIC,NOLIST,WIDECK,LOAD,MAP,NOEDIT,IO,NOMX=FF
15N 0002      SUBROUTINE XCLUD(XC,YC,SIDE,IROT,KEY,N0,R0,XMC,YMC,RND)
15N 0003      SG3=SQRT(3.0)
15N 0004      NC=0
15N 0005      GC YC (10,20,50),KEY
15N 0006      10 CONTINUE
15N 0007      YLOW=YC-SIDE
15N 0008      YLOW=AMAX1(YLOW,0.0)
15N 0009      IF(IROT.EQ.0)YLOW=YC-0.5*SQ3*SIDE
15N 0011      IF(YLOW.GT.0)NO=1
15N 0013      RETURN
15N 0014      20 CONTINUE
15N 0015      IF(IROT.GT.0)GO TO 30
15N 0017      Y1=YC-0.5*SQ3*SIDE
15N 0018      X1=XC-0.5*SIDE
15N 0019      X1=AMAX1(X1,0.0)
15N 0021      Y2=YC
15N 0021      X2=XC-SIDE
15N 0022      GO TO 40
15N 0023      30 Y1=YC-0.5*SIDE
15N 0024      Y1=AMAX1(Y1,0.0)
15N 0025      X1=XC-0.5*SQ3*SIDE
15N 0026      Y2=YC-SIDE
15N 0027      X2=XC
15N 0028      40 R1=SQRT(X1**2+Y1**2)
15N 0029      R2=SQRT(X2**2+Y2**2)
15N 0030      RA=AMIN1(R1,R2)
15N 0031      IF(RA.GT.R0)NO=1
15N 0033      RETURN
15N 0034      50 IF(IROT.EQ.0)RETURN
15N 0036      RA=ABS(XC-XMC)
15N 0037      IF(RA+RND.GT.0.5*SQ3*SIDE)NO=1
15N 0039      RETURN
15N 0040      END

```

Appendix D

GROUP STRUCTURE, QUADRATURE, AND FISSION SPECTRUM

20-36527.2	10-31028.9	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7	10-32222.7
------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

Appendix E

TWO-DIMENSIONAL GEOMETRY MODELS, SPACE MESH, AND CONVERGENCE PARAMETERS

Convergence and Other Parameters Used in DOT-IV
Two-Dimensional Calculations

Parameter*	Description	Value
ISCTM	Maximum scattering by zone	3
ICM	Number of energy groups	51; 7
MM	Number of directions in quadrature	150
MODE	Method used reduce unknowns in angular flux calculation	3 (weighted)
IACC	Type of rebalance	2 (space dependent)
KALF	Rebalance method	0 (J)
IBFSCL	Number flux iterations before space scaling	2
INTSCL	Number of flux iterations between space scalings	1
ITMSCL	Maximum number of scale factor iterations	60
EPS	Eigenvalue convergence criterion	0.0001
EPP	Pointwise flux convergence criterion	0.001
EPV	Volumetric flux convergence criterion	0.0001
CONACC	Rebalance acceptance criterion	0.001
CONSCL	Spatial rebalance convergence criterion	0.0001
WSOLOI	Rebalance source iteration coefficient	1.0
WSOLII	Rebalance flux iteration coefficient	1.0
WSOLCN	Rebalance constant	0.0

*All variables as they appear in DOT-IV Output.

SPATIAL FINE MESH

RADIAL AND AXIAL BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION 11.A

RADIAL AND AXIAL BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION 11.B

I/J	Z (CM)	SFT 1 R (CM)
1	0	0
2	3.016CE 00	2.0000E-01
3	6.0320E 00	3.2944E 00
4	9.0480E 00	6.3889E 00
5	1.2064E 01	9.4833E 00
6	1.5080E 01	1.2578E 01
7	1.8096E 01	1.5672E 01
8	2.1112E 01	1.8767E 01
9	2.4128E 01	2.1861E 01
10	2.7144E 01	2.4956E 01
11	3.0160E 01	2.8050E 01
12	3.0320E 01	2.8420E 01
13	3.0480E 01	2.8790E 01
14	3.4250E 01	2.9160E 01
15	3.8020E 01	3.1315E 01
16	4.1790E 01	3.3470E 01
17	4.5560E 01	3.5625E 01
18	4.9330E 01	3.7780E 01
19	5.3100E 01	3.7940E 01
20	5.6870E 01	3.8100E 01
21	6.0640E 01	3.9624E 01
22	6.0800E 01	4.1148E 01
23	6.0960E 01	4.2672E 01
24	6.3246E 01	4.4196E 01
25	6.5532E 01	4.5720E 01
26	6.7818E 01	4.7244E 01
27	7.0104E 01	4.8768E 01
28	7.2390E 01	5.0292E 01
29	7.4676E 01	5.1816E 01
30	7.6962E 01	5.3340E 01
31	7.9248E 01	5.3657E 01
32	8.1534E 01	5.3973E 01
33	8.3820E 01	5.4290E 01
34	8.6106E 01	5.6386E 01
35	8.8392E 01	5.8482E 01
36	9.0678E 01	6.0578E 01
37	9.2964E 01	6.2674E 01
38	9.5250E 01	6.4770E 01
39	9.7536E 01	6.6866E 01
40	9.9822E 01	7.0484E 01
41	1.0211E 02	7.3341E 01
42	1.0439E 02	7.6199E 01
43	1.0668E 02	7.9056E 01
44	1.0700E 02	8.1913E 01
45	1.0731E 02	8.4770E 01
46	1.0763E 02	
47	1.1012E 02	
48	1.1261E 02	
49	1.1511E 02	
50	1.1760E 02	
51	1.2009E 02	
52	1.2258E 02	
53	1.2508E 02	
54	1.2757E 02	
55	1.3006E 02	
56	1.3255E 02	
57	1.3505E 02	
58	1.3754E 02	
59	1.4003E 02	
60	1.4252E 02	
61	1.4502E 02	
62	1.4751E 02	
63	1.5000E 02	
64	1.5249E 02	
65	1.5499E 02	
66	1.5748E 02	

I/J	Z (CM)	SFT 1 R (CM)
1	0	0
2	3.016CE 00	2.4232E 00
3	6.0320E 00	3.2006E 00
4	9.0480E 00	5.2173E 00
5	1.2064E 01	6.4013E 00
6	1.5080E 01	8.3269E 00
7	1.8096E 01	9.6019E 00
8	2.1112E 01	9.9353E 00
9	2.4128E 01	1.0380E 01
10	2.7144E 01	1.0824E 01
11	3.0160E 01	1.1269E 01
12	3.0320E 01	1.1554E 01
13	3.0480E 01	1.2972E 01
14	3.1120E 01	1.4117E 01
15	3.3025E 01	1.5533E 01
16	3.7627E 01	1.6680E 01
17	4.2230E 01	1.8065E 01
18	4.6832E 01	1.9243E 01
19	5.1435E 01	2.0597E 01
20	5.6037E 01	2.1806E 01
21	6.0640E 01	2.3105E 01
22	6.0800E 01	2.4369E 01
23	6.0960E 01	2.6023E 01
24	6.3246E 01	2.6932E 01
25	6.5532E 01	2.7929E 01
26	6.7818E 01	2.7545E 01
27	7.0104E 01	2.7798E 01
28	7.2390E 01	2.8050E 01
29	7.4676E 01	2.8420E 01
30	7.6962E 01	2.8790E 01
31	7.9248E 01	2.9160E 01
32	8.1534E 01	3.1315E 01
33	8.3820E 01	3.3470E 01
34	8.6106E 01	3.5625E 01
35	8.8392E 01	3.7780E 01
36	9.0678E 01	3.7940E 01
37	9.2964E 01	3.8100E 01
38	9.5250E 01	3.9624E 01
39	9.7536E 01	4.1148E 01
40	9.9822E 01	4.2672E 01
41	1.0211E 02	4.4196E 01
42	1.0439E 02	4.5720E 01
43	1.0668E 02	4.7244E 01
44	1.0700E 02	4.8768E 01
45	1.0731E 02	5.0292E 01
46	1.0763E 02	5.1816E 01
47	1.1026E 02	5.3340E 01
48	1.1289E 02	5.3657E 01
49	1.1552E 02	5.3973E 01
50	1.1814E 02	5.4290E 01
51	1.2077E 02	5.6386E 01
52	1.2340E 02	5.8482E 01
53	1.2603E 02	6.0578E 01
54	1.2866E 02	6.2674E 01
55	1.3129E 02	6.4770E 01
56	1.3392E 02	6.6866E 01
57	1.3654E 02	7.0484E 01
58	1.3917E 02	7.3341E 01
59	1.4180E 02	7.6199E 01
60	1.4443E 02	7.9056E 01
61	1.4706E 02	8.1913E 01
62	1.4969E 02	8.4770E 01
63	1.5232E 02	
64	1.5494E 02	
65	1.5685E 02	
66	1.5748E 02	

RADIAL AND AXIAL BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION II.D

RADIAL AND AXIAL BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION II.F

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)	SET 2 R (CM)
1	-6.4516E 01	0	0
2	-6.2230E 01	2.4232E 00	7.4532E-01
3	-5.9944E 01	3.2006E 00	1.4906E 00
4	-5.7658E 01	5.2173E 00	2.2360E 00
5	-5.5372E 01	4.4013E 00	2.9813E 00
6	-5.3086E 01	8.3269E 00	3.7266E 00
7	-5.0800E 01	9.6019E 00	4.4719E 00
8	-5.0483E 01	9.9353E 00	5.2173E 00
9	-5.0167E 01	1.0300E 01	6.1435E 00
10	-4.9850E 01	1.0824E 01	6.6634E 00
11	-4.7221E 01	1.1269E 01	7.0841E 00
12	-4.4593E 01	1.1554E 01	7.5040E 00
13	-4.1964E 01	1.2972E 01	7.9255E 00
14	-3.9336E 01	1.4117E 01	8.0834E 00
15	-3.6707E 01	1.5533E 01	8.8427E 00
16	-3.4078E 01	1.6680E 01	9.6019E 00
17	-3.1450E 01	1.8065E 01	9.9353E 00
18	-2.8821E 01	1.9243E 01	1.0602E 01
19	-2.6193E 01	2.0597E 01	1.1269E 01
20	-2.3564E 01	2.1806E 01	1.1554E 01
21	-2.0935E 01	2.3105E 01	1.2767E 01
22	-1.8307E 01	2.4369E 01	1.3980E 01
23	-1.5678E 01	2.6023E 01	1.4414E 01
24	-1.3049E 01	2.6932E 01	1.4874E 01
25	-1.0421E 01	2.7292E 01	1.5296E 01
26	-7.7922E 00	2.7545E 01	1.5683E 01
27	-5.1636E 00	2.7798E 01	1.5947E 01
28	-2.5350E 00	2.8050E 01	1.6680E 01
29	-6.3000E-01	2.8420E 01	1.7612E 01
30	0	2.8790E 01	1.8145E 01
31	2.9972E 00	2.9160E 01	1.8877E 01
32	5.9944E 00	3.1315E 01	1.9609E 01
33	8.6616E 00	3.3470E 01	2.0341E 01
34	1.1329E 01	3.5625E 01	2.1074E 01
35	1.3996E 01	3.7780E 01	2.1806E 01
36	1.6663E 01	3.7940E 01	2.2503E 01
37	1.9330E 01	3.8100E 01	2.2837E 01
38	2.1998E 01	3.9624E 01	2.3307E 01
39	2.4665E 01	4.1140E 01	2.3797E 01
40	2.7332E 01	4.2672E 01	2.4305E 01
41	2.9999E 01	4.4196E 01	2.4761E 01
42	3.2666E 01	4.5720E 01	2.5846E 01
43	3.5334E 01	4.7244E 01	2.6932E 01
44	3.8001E 01	4.8768E 01	2.7292E 01
45	4.0334E 01	5.0292E 01	2.7671E 01
46	4.2666E 01	5.1816E 01	2.8050E 01
47	4.5001E 01	5.3340E 01	2.8605E 01
48		5.3657E 01	2.9160E 01
49		5.3973E 01	3.3470E 01
50		5.4290E 01	3.8100E 01
51		5.6386E 01	4.2672E 01
52		5.8482E 01	4.7244E 01
53		6.0578E 01	5.1416E 01
54		6.2674E 01	5.6386E 01
55		6.4770E 01	6.0578E 01
56		6.7627E 01	6.4770E 01
57		7.0484E 01	6.7627E 01
58		7.3341E 01	7.0484E 01
59		7.6199E 01	7.3341E 01
60		7.9056E 01	7.6199E 01
61		8.1913E 01	7.9056E 01
62		8.4770E 01	8.4770E 01

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)	SET 2 R (CM)
1	-2.5400E 00	0	0
2	-6.3500E-01	8.0773E-01	7.6793E-01
3	0	1.6155E 00	1.5359E 00
4	2.9972E 00	2.4232E 00	2.3038E 00
5	5.9944E 00	2.8119E 00	3.0717E 00
6	8.6616E 00	3.2006E 00	3.8397E 00
7	1.1329E 01	3.8713E 00	4.6076E 00
8	1.3996E 01	4.5420E 00	5.3755E 00
9	1.6663E 01	5.2128E 00	6.1435E 00
10	1.9330E 01	5.8070E 00	6.6634E 00
11	2.1998E 01	6.4013E 00	7.0805E 00
12	2.4665E 01	7.0432E 00	7.5048E 00
13	2.7332E 01	7.6852E 00	7.9255E 00
14	2.9999E 01	8.3269E 00	8.0045E 00
15	3.2666E 01	8.9684E 00	8.0834E 00
16	3.5334E 01	9.6019E 00	9.6019E 00
17	3.8001E 01	9.9353E 00	9.9353E 00
18	4.0334E 01	1.0602E 01	1.0602E 01
19	4.2666E 01	1.1269E 01	1.1269E 01
20	4.5001E 01	1.1554E 01	1.1554E 01
21	4.7302E 01	1.2263E 01	1.2767E 01
22	5.0875E 01	1.2972E 01	1.3980E 01
23	5.4367E 01	1.3545E 01	1.4414E 01
24	5.7304E 01	1.4117E 01	1.4874E 01
25	6.0241E 01	1.4723E 01	1.5296E 01
26	6.4051E 01	1.5328E 01	1.5683E 01
27	6.7861E 01	1.5947E 01	1.5947E 01
28	7.1671E 01	1.6680E 01	1.6624E 01
29	7.5481E 01	1.7373E 01	1.7301E 01
30	7.9291E 01	1.8065E 01	1.7977E 01
31	8.3101E 01	1.8654E 01	1.8654E 01
32	8.6911E 01	1.9243E 01	1.9442E 01
33	9.0721E 01	1.9920E 01	2.0230E 01
34	9.4531E 01	2.0597E 01	2.1018E 01
35	9.8341E 01	2.1201E 01	2.1806E 01
36	1.0215E 02	2.1806E 01	2.2503E 01
37	1.0596E 02	2.2456E 01	2.2837E 01
38	1.0977E 02	2.3105E 01	2.3307E 01
39	1.1358E 02	2.3737E 01	2.3797E 01
40	1.1739E 02	2.4369E 01	2.4305E 01
41	1.2120E 02	2.5198E 01	2.4761E 01
42		2.6023E 01	2.5196E 01
43		2.6932E 01	2.6932E 01
44		2.7292E 01	2.7292E 01
45		2.7671E 01	2.7671E 01
46		2.8050E 01	2.8050E 01
47		2.8615E 01	2.8615E 01
48		2.9180E 01	2.9180E 01
49		3.0590E 01	3.0200E 01
50		3.2000E 01	3.2000E 01
51		3.4750E 01	3.4750E 01
52		3.7500E 01	3.7500E 01
53		4.0250E 01	4.0250E 01
54		4.3000E 01	4.3000E 01
55		4.5750E 01	4.6000E 01
56		4.8500E 01	4.9000E 01
57		5.0920E 01	5.2000E 01
58		5.3340E 01	5.5000E 01
59		5.3815E 01	5.8000E 01
60		5.4290E 01	6.1000E 01
61		5.8000E 01	6.4000E 01
62		6.2500E 01	6.7000E 01
63		6.7000E 01	7.0000E 01
64		7.1500E 01	7.3000E 01
65		7.6000E 01	7.6000E 01
66		7.9000E 01	7.9000E 01
67		8.2000E 01	8.2000E 01
68		8.5000E 01	8.5000E 01

RADIAL AND AXIAL BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION IIEA

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)	SET 2 R (CM)	SET 3 R (CM)
1	-2.5400E 00	0	0	0
2	-6.3500E-01	8.0773E-01	7.6793E-01	7.0211E-01
3	0	1.5155E 00	1.5359E 00	1.4042E 00
4	2.5472E 00	2.4232E 00	2.3038E 00	2.1063E 00
5	5.9944E 00	2.8119E 00	3.0717E 00	2.8084E 00
6	8.6616E 00	3.2006E 00	3.8397E 00	3.5105E 00
7	1.1329E 01	3.8713E 00	4.6076E 00	4.1423E 00
8	1.3996E 01	4.5420E 00	5.3755E 00	4.7741E 00
9	1.6663E 01	5.2128E 00	6.1435E 00	5.4058E 00
10	1.9330E 01	5.8870E 00	6.8634E 00	6.0376E 00
11	2.1998E 01	6.4013E 00	7.0805E 00	6.5590E 00
12	2.4665E 01	7.0432E 00	7.5048E 00	7.0805E 00
13	2.7332E 01	7.6850E 00	7.9255E 00	7.6677E 00
14	2.9999E 01	8.3269E 00	8.0045E 00	8.2550E 00
15	3.2666E 01	8.9644E 00	8.0834E 00	8.8151E 00
16	3.5334E 01	9.6019E 00	9.6019E 00	9.3752E 00
17	3.8001E 01	9.9353E 00	9.9353E 00	9.9353E 00
18	4.0334E 01	1.0602E 01	1.0602E 01	1.0675E 01
19	4.2668E 01	1.1269E 01	1.1269E 01	1.1015E 01
20	4.5001E 01	1.1554E 01	1.1554E 01	1.1554E 01
21	4.8115E 01	1.2263E 01	1.2767E 01	1.2149E 01
22	5.1229E 01	1.2972E 01	1.3980E 01	1.2743E 01
23	5.3954E 01	1.3545E 01	1.4414E 01	1.3337E 01
24	5.6760E 01	1.4117E 01	1.4874E 01	1.3931E 01
25	5.9525E 01	1.4723E 01	1.5296E 01	1.4614E 01
26	6.2250E 01	1.5328E 01	1.5603E 01	1.5296E 01
27	6.5056E 01	1.5947E 01	1.5947E 01	1.5947E 01
28	6.7821E 01	1.6680E 01	1.6624E 01	1.6401E 01
29	7.0950E 01	1.7373E 01	1.7301E 01	1.7267E 01
30	7.4079E 01	1.8065E 01	1.7977E 01	1.8132E 01
31	7.7209E 01	1.8654E 01	1.8654E 01	1.8654E 01
32	8.0338E 01	1.9243E 01	1.9442E 01	1.9451E 01
33	8.3467E 01	1.9920E 01	2.0230E 01	2.0243E 01
34	8.6596E 01	2.0597E 01	2.1018E 01	2.1046E 01
35	8.9726E 01	2.1201E 01	2.1806E 01	2.1836E 01
36	9.2855E 01	2.1806E 01	2.2503E 01	2.2084E 01
37	9.5984E 01	2.2456E 01	2.2837E 01	2.2696E 01
38	9.9113E 01	2.3105E 01	2.3307E 01	2.3307E 01
39	1.0224E 02	2.3737E 01	2.3797E 01	2.3931E 01
40	1.0537E 02	2.4369E 01	2.4305E 01	2.4555E 01
41	1.0850E 02	2.5196E 01	2.4761E 01	2.5196E 01
42		2.6023E 01	2.5196E 01	2.5895E 01
43		2.6932E 01	2.6932E 01	2.6593E 01
44		2.7292E 01	2.7292E 01	2.7292E 01
45		2.7671E 01	2.7671E 01	2.7671E 01
46		2.8050E 01	2.8050E 01	2.8050E 01
47		2.8615E 01	2.8615E 01	2.8615E 01
48		2.9180E 01	2.9180E 01	2.9180E 01
49		3.0590E 01	3.0200E 01	3.0200E 01
50		3.2000E 01	3.2000E 01	3.2000E 01
51		3.4750E 01	3.4750E 01	3.4750E 01
52		3.7500E 01	3.7500E 01	3.7500E 01
53		4.0250E 01	4.0250E 01	4.0250E 01
54		4.3000E 01	4.3000E 01	4.3000E 01
55		4.5750E 01	4.6000E 01	4.6000E 01
56		4.8500E 01	4.8500E 01	4.8500E 01
57		5.0920E 01	5.1395E 01	5.1395E 01
58		5.3340E 01	5.4290E 01	5.4290E 01
59		5.3815E 01	5.8000E 01	5.8000E 01
60		5.4290E 01	6.1000E 01	6.1000E 01
61		5.8000E 01	6.4000E 01	6.4000E 01
62		6.2500E 01	6.7000E 01	6.7000E 01
63		6.7000E 01	7.0000E 01	7.0000E 01
64		7.1500E 01	7.3000E 01	7.3000E 01
65		7.6000E 01	7.6000E 01	7.6000E 01
66		7.9000E 01	7.9000E 01	7.9000E 01
67		8.2000E 01	8.2000E 01	8.2000E 01
68		8.5000E 01	8.5000E 01	8.5000E 01

RADIAL AND AXIAL BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION IIEA

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	C	0
2	3.0160E 00	2.4232E 00
3	6.0320E 00	3.2006E 00
4	9.0480E 00	5.2173E 00
5	1.2064E 01	6.4013E 00
6	1.5080E 01	8.3269E 00
7	1.8096E 01	9.6019E 00
8	2.1112E 01	9.9353E 00
9	2.4128E 01	1.0315E 01
10	2.7144E 01	1.0380E 01
11	3.0160E 01	1.0602E 01
12	3.0320E 01	1.0905E 01
13	3.0480E 01	1.2307E 01
14	3.1120E 01	1.3491E 01
15	3.3024E 01	1.4968E 01
16	3.7627E 01	1.6077E 01
17	4.2230E 01	1.7527E 01
18	4.6832E 01	1.8664E 01
19	5.1435E 01	2.0076E 01
20	5.6037E 01	2.1250E 01
21	6.0640E 01	2.2592E 01
22	6.0800E 01	2.3836E 01
23	6.0960E 01	2.5489E 01
24	6.3246E 01	2.6472E 01
25	6.5532E 01	2.6790E 01
26	6.7418E 01	2.7210E 01
27	7.0104E 01	2.7630E 01
28	7.2350E 01	2.8050E 01
29	7.4676E 01	2.8427E 01
30	7.6962E 01	2.8803E 01
31	7.9248E 01	2.9180E 01
32	8.1534E 01	3.1330E 01
33	8.3820E 01	3.3480E 01
34	8.6106E 01	3.5630E 01
35	8.8392E 01	3.7780E 01
36	9.0678E 01	3.7940E 01
37	9.2964E 01	3.8100E 01
38	9.5250E 01	3.8262E 01
39	9.7536E 01	4.1140E 01
40	9.9822E 01	4.2672E 01
41	1.0211E 02	4.4196E 01
42	1.0439E 02	4.5720E 01
43	1.0668E 02	4.7244E 01
44	1.0700E 02	4.8768E 01
45	1.0731E 02	5.0292E 01
46	1.0763E 02	5.1816E 01
47	1.1026E 02	5.3340E 01
48	1.1289E 02	5.3657E 01
49	1.1552E 02	5.3973E 01
50	1.1814E 02	5.4290E 01
51	1.2077E 02	5.6386E 01
52	1.2340E 02	5.8482E 01
53	1.2603E 02	6.0578E 01
54	1.2866E 02	6.2674E 01
55	1.3129E 02	6.4770E 01
56	1.3392E 02	6.7627E 01
57	1.3654E 02	7.0484E 01
58	1.3917E 02	7.3341E 01
59	1.4180E 02	7.6199E 01
60	1.4443E 02	7.9056E 01
61	1.4706E 02	8.1913E 01
62	1.4969E 02	8.4770E 01
63	1.5232E 02	
64	1.5494E 02	
65	1.5685E 02	
66	1.5748E 02	

RADIAL AND AXIAL BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION IV.A RADIAL AND AXIAL BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION IV.B

I/J	Z (CM)	SFT 1 R (CM)
1	0	0
2	3.016CF 00	2.1079F 00
3	6.0320E 00	3.2006E 00
4	9.0480E 00	4.9152E 00
5	1.2964E 01	6.4013E 00
6	1.5080E 01	7.7014E 00
7	1.8096E 01	9.6019E 00
8	2.1112E 01	9.9353E 00
9	2.4128E 01	1.0380E 01
10	2.7144E 01	1.0824E 01
11	3.0160E 01	1.1269E 01
12	3.3176E 01	1.1554E 01
13	3.6192E 01	1.2471E 01
14	3.9208E 01	1.4117E 01
15	3.3025E 01	1.5170E 01
16	3.7627E 01	1.6680E 01
17	4.2230E 01	1.7799E 01
18	4.6832E 01	1.9243E 01
19	5.1435E 01	2.0280E 01
20	5.6037E 01	2.1806E 01
21	6.0640E 01	2.2812E 01
22	6.0800E 01	2.4369E 01
23	6.0960E 01	2.5490E 01
24	6.3246E 01	2.6932E 01
25	6.5522E 01	2.7292E 01
26	6.7818E 01	2.7545E 01
27	7.0104E 01	2.7798E 01
28	7.2390E 01	2.8050E 01
29	7.4676E 01	2.8427E 01
30	7.6962E 01	2.8803E 01
31	7.9248E 01	2.9180E 01
32	8.1534E 01	3.1330E 01
33	8.3820E 01	3.3480E 01
34	8.6106E 01	3.5630E 01
35	8.8392E 01	3.7780E 01
36	9.0678E 01	3.7940E 01
37	9.2964E 01	3.8100E 01
38	9.5250E 01	3.9624E 01
39	9.7536E 01	4.1148E 01
40	9.9822E 01	4.2672E 01
41	1.0211E 02	4.4196E 01
42	1.0439E 02	4.5720E 01
43	1.0668E 02	4.7244E 01
44	1.0700E 02	4.8768E 01
45	1.0731E 02	5.0292E 01
46	1.0763E 02	5.1816E 01
47	1.1026E 02	5.3340E 01
48	1.1289E 02	5.3657E 01
49	1.1552E 02	5.3973E 01
50	1.1814E 02	5.4290E 01
51	1.2077E 02	5.6386E 01
52	1.2340E 02	5.8482E 01
53	1.2603E 02	6.0578E 01
54	1.2866E 02	6.2674E 01
55	1.3129E 02	6.4770E 01
56	1.3392E 02	6.7627E 01
57	1.3654E 02	7.0484E 01
58	1.3917E 02	7.3341E 01
59	1.4180E 02	7.6199E 01
60	1.4443E 02	7.9056E 01
61	1.4706E 02	8.1913E 01
62	1.4969E 02	8.4770E 01
63	1.5232E 02	
64	1.5494E 02	
65	1.5685E 02	
66	1.5748E 02	

I/J	Z (CM)	SFT 1 R (CM)	SFT 2 R (CM)
1	-6.4514E 01	0	0
2	-6.2230E 01	2.1079E 00	7.0217E 01
3	-5.9944E 01	3.2006E 00	1.4043E 02
4	-5.7658E 01	4.9152E 00	2.1065E 00
5	-5.5372E 01	6.4013E 00	2.8087E 00
6	-5.3086E 01	7.7014E 00	3.5109E 00
7	-5.0800E 01	9.6019E 00	4.2130E 00
8	-5.0483E 01	9.9353E 00	4.9152E 00
9	-5.0167E 01	1.0380E 01	4.1435E 00
10	-4.9850E 01	1.0824E 01	6.6634E 00
11	-4.7221E 01	1.1269E 01	7.0805E 00
12	-4.4593E 01	1.1554E 01	7.5048E 00
13	-4.1964E 01	1.2471E 01	7.9255E 00
14	-3.9336E 01	1.4117E 01	8.0834E 00
15	-3.6707E 01	1.5170E 01	8.8427E 00
16	-3.4078E 01	1.6680E 01	9.6019E 00
17	-3.1450E 01	1.7799E 01	9.9353E 00
18	-2.8821E 01	1.9243E 01	1.0602E 01
19	-2.6193E 01	2.0280E 01	1.1269E 01
20	-2.3564E 01	2.1806E 01	1.1554E 01
21	-2.0935E 01	2.2812E 01	1.2767E 01
22	-1.8307E 01	2.4369E 01	1.3980E 01
23	-1.5678E 01	2.5490E 01	1.4414E 01
24	-1.3049E 01	2.6932E 01	1.4874E 01
25	-1.0421E 01	2.7292E 01	1.5296E 01
26	-7.7922E 00	2.7545E 01	1.5683E 01
27	-5.1678E 00	2.7798E 01	1.5947E 01
28	-2.5350E 00	2.8050E 01	1.6680E 01
29	-6.3000E 01	2.8427E 01	1.7412E 01
30	0	2.8803E 01	1.8145E 01
31	2.9972E 00	2.9180E 01	1.8877E 01
32	5.9944E 00	3.1330E 01	1.9609E 01
33	8.6616E 00	3.3480E 01	2.0341E 01
34	1.1729E 01	3.5630E 01	2.1076E 01
35	1.3996E 01	3.7780E 01	2.1806E 01
36	1.6663E 01	3.7940E 01	2.2503E 01
37	1.9330E 01	3.8100E 01	2.2837E 01
38	2.1598E 01	3.9624E 01	2.3507E 01
39	2.4665E 01	4.1148E 01	2.3797E 01
40	2.7332E 01	4.2672E 01	2.4305E 01
41	2.9999E 01	4.4196E 01	2.4761E 01
42	3.2666E 01	4.5720E 01	2.5846E 01
43	3.5334E 01	4.7244E 01	2.6932E 01
44	3.8001E 01	4.8768E 01	2.7292E 01
45	4.0334E 01	5.0292E 01	2.7671E 01
46	4.2668E 01	5.1816E 01	2.8050E 01
47	4.5001E 01	5.3340E 01	2.8605E 01
48		5.3657E 01	2.9160E 01
49		5.3973E 01	3.3470E 01
50		5.4290E 01	3.8100E 01
51		5.6386E 01	4.2672E 01
52		5.8482E 01	4.7244E 01
53		6.0578E 01	5.1816E 01
54		6.2674E 01	5.6386E 01
55		6.4770E 01	6.0578E 01
56		6.7627E 01	6.4770E 01
57		7.0484E 01	6.7627E 01
58		7.3341E 01	7.0484E 01
59		7.6199E 01	7.3341E 01
60		7.9056E 01	7.6199E 01
61		8.1913E 01	7.9056E 01
62		8.4770E 01	8.4770E 01

RADIAL AND AXIAL MINIMARIES FOR CONFIGURATION VI.A

I/J	Z (CM)		SET 1 R (CM)	
1	0		0	
2	3.0160E 00		2.4232E 00	
3	6.0320E 00		3.2006E 00	
4	9.0480E 00		5.2173E 00	
5	1.2064E 01		6.4013E 00	
6	1.5080E 01		8.3269E 00	
7	1.8096E 01		9.6019E 00	
8	2.1112E 01		9.9353E 00	
9	2.4128E 01		1.0046E 01	
10	2.7144E 01		1.0150E 01	
11	3.0160E 01		1.0269E 01	
12	3.0320E 01		1.0581E 01	
13	3.0480E 01		1.2097E 01	
14	3.1120E 01		1.3179E 01	
15	3.3025E 01		1.4693E 01	
16	3.7627E 01		1.5776E 01	
17	4.2230E 01		1.7259E 01	
18	4.6832E 01		1.8374E 01	
19	5.1435E 01		1.9816E 01	
20	5.6037E 01		2.0972E 01	
21	6.0640E 01		2.2338E 01	
22	6.0800E 01		2.3570E 01	
23	6.0960E 01		2.5219E 01	
24	6.3246E 01		2.6167E 01	
25	6.5532E 01		2.6583E 01	
26	6.7818E 01		2.7072E 01	
27	7.0104E 01		2.7561E 01	
28	7.2350E 01		2.8050E 01	
29	7.4676E 01		2.8427E 01	
30	7.6962E 01		2.8803E 01	
31	7.9248E 01		2.9180E 01	
32	8.1534E 01		3.1330E 01	
33	8.3820E 01		3.3480E 01	
34	8.6106E 01		3.5630E 01	
35	8.8392E 01		3.7780E 01	
36	9.0678E 01		3.7940E 01	
37	9.2964E 01		3.8100E 01	
38	9.5250E 01		3.9674E 01	
39	9.7536E 01		4.1148E 01	
40	9.9822E 01		4.2672E 01	
41	1.0211E 02		4.4196E 01	
42	1.0439E 02		4.5720E 01	
43	1.0668E 02		4.7244E 01	
44	1.0700E 02		4.8768E 01	
45	1.0731E 02		5.0292E 01	
46	1.0763E 02		5.1816E 01	
47	1.1026E 02		5.3340E 01	
48	1.1289E 02		5.3657E 01	
49	1.1552E 02		5.3973E 01	
50	1.1814E 02		5.4290E 01	
51	1.2077E 02		5.6386E 01	
52	1.2340E 02		5.8482E 01	
53	1.2603E 02		6.0578E 01	
54	1.2866E 02		6.2674E 01	
55	1.3129E 02		6.4770E 01	
56	1.3392E 02		6.7627E 01	
57	1.3654E 02		7.0484E 01	
58	1.3917E 02		7.3341E 01	
59	1.4180E 02		7.6199E 01	
60	1.4443E 02		7.9056E 01	
61	1.4706E 02		8.1913E 01	
62	1.4969E 02		8.4770E 01	
63	1.5232E 02			
64	1.5494E 02			
65	1.5685E 02			
66	1.5748E 02			

RADIAL AND AXIAL BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION IIF S-10 CALCULATION

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)	SET 2 R (CM)	SET 3 R (CM)
1	-6.4516E 01	0	0	0
2	-6.2232E 01	9.6070E-01	7.6793E-01	7.0211E-01
3	-5.9944E 01	1.3717E 00	1.5359E 00	1.4242E 00
4	-5.7658E 01	2.1999E 00	2.3038E 00	2.1063E 00
5	-5.5372E 01	2.7434E 00	3.0717E 00	2.8084E 00
6	-5.3094E 01	3.5651E 00	3.8397E 00	3.5105E 00
7	-5.0800E 01	4.1151E 00	4.6076E 00	4.1423E 00
8	-5.0483E 01	4.9169E 00	5.3754E 00	4.7741E 00
9	-5.0167E 01	5.4069E 00	6.1435E 00	5.4058E 00
10	-4.9850E 01	6.2581E 00	6.6634E 00	6.0376E 00
11	-4.7722E 01	6.8585E 00	7.0800E 00	6.5590E 00
12	-4.4593E 01	7.6514E 00	7.5048E 00	7.0805E 00
13	-4.1965E 01	8.2302E 00	7.9255E 00	7.6577E 00
14	-3.9337E 01	9.0594E 00	8.7044E 00	8.2550E 00
15	-3.6708E 01	9.6019E 00	8.0834E 00	8.8151E 00
16	-3.4080E 01	9.9353E 00	9.6019E 00	7.3752E 00
17	-3.1452E 01	1.0602E 01	9.9353E 00	9.9353E 00
18	-2.8823E 01	1.1269E 01	1.0602E 01	1.0475E 01
19	-2.6195E 01	1.1554E 01	1.1269E 01	1.1015E 01
20	-2.3567E 01	1.2282E 01	1.1554E 01	1.1554E 01
21	-2.0938E 01	1.2836E 01	1.2282E 01	1.2148E 01
22	-1.8310E 01	1.3497E 01	1.2836E 01	1.2743E 01
23	-1.5682E 01	1.4117E 01	1.3497E 01	1.3337E 01
24	-1.3053E 01	1.4790E 01	1.4117E 01	1.3931E 01
25	-1.0425E 01	1.5399E 01	1.4790E 01	1.4614E 01
26	-7.7967E 00	1.6104E 01	1.5399E 01	1.5296E 01
27	-5.1684E 00	1.6680E 01	1.5947E 01	1.5947E 01
28	-2.9400E 00	1.7367E 01	1.6624E 01	1.6401E 01
29	-6.3500E-01	1.7961E 01	1.7301E 01	1.7267E 01
30	0	1.8637E 01	1.7977E 01	1.8132E 01
31	2.9972E 00	1.9243E 01	1.8654E 01	1.8654E 01
32	5.9944E 00	1.9905E 01	1.9442E 01	1.9442E 01
33	8.6614E 00	2.0524E 01	2.0230E 01	2.0249E 01
34	1.1324E 01	2.1196E 01	2.1018E 01	2.1046E 01
35	1.3946E 01	2.1806E 01	2.1806E 01	2.1806E 01
36	1.6663E 01	2.2495E 01	2.2503E 01	2.2084E 01
37	1.9330E 01	2.3087E 01	2.2837E 01	2.2694E 01
38	2.1998E 01	2.3683E 01	2.3307E 01	2.3307E 01
39	2.4665E 01	2.4369E 01	2.3797E 01	2.3931E 01
40	2.7332E 01	2.4876E 01	2.4305E 01	2.4555E 01
41	2.9999E 01	2.5650E 01	2.4761E 01	2.5196E 01
42	3.2666E 01	2.6764E 01	2.5196E 01	2.5895E 01
43	3.5334E 01	2.6932E 01	2.6932E 01	2.6593E 01
44	3.8001E 01	2.7297E 01	2.7297E 01	2.7297E 01
45	4.0334E 01	2.7671E 01	2.7671E 01	2.7671E 01
46	4.2668E 01	2.8050E 01	2.8050E 01	2.8050E 01
47	4.5001E 01	2.8615E 01	2.8615E 01	2.8615E 01
48	4.8115E 01	2.9180E 01	2.9180E 01	2.9180E 01
49	5.1229E 01	3.0590E 01	3.0200E 01	3.0200E 01
50	5.3994E 01	3.2000E 01	3.2000E 01	3.2000E 01
51	5.6760E 01	3.4750E 01	3.4750E 01	3.4750E 01
52	5.9525E 01	3.7500E 01	3.7500E 01	3.7500E 01
53	6.2290E 01	4.0250E 01	4.0250E 01	4.0250E 01
54	6.5056E 01	4.3000E 01	4.3000E 01	4.3000E 01
55	6.7821E 01	4.5750E 01	4.6000E 01	4.6000E 01
56	7.0586E 01	4.8500E 01	4.8500E 01	4.8500E 01
57	7.4079E 01	5.0920E 01	5.1395E 01	5.1395E 01
58	7.7209E 01	5.3340E 01	5.4290E 01	5.4290E 01
59	8.0338E 01	5.3815E 01	5.8000E 01	5.8000E 01
60	8.3467E 01	5.4290E 01	6.1000E 01	6.1000E 01
61	8.6596E 01	5.8000E 01	6.4000E 01	6.4000E 01
62	8.9726E 01	6.2500E 01	6.7000E 01	6.7000E 01
63	9.2855E 01	6.7000E 01	7.0000E 01	7.0000E 01
64	9.5984E 01	7.1500E 01	7.3000E 01	7.3000E 01
65	9.9113E 01	7.6000E 01	7.6000E 01	7.6000E 01
66	1.0224E 02	7.9000E 01	7.9000E 01	7.9000E 01
67	1.0537E 02	8.2000E 01	8.2000E 01	8.2000E 01
68	1.0850E 02	8.5000E 01	8.5000E 01	8.5000E 01

SPATIAL COARSE MESH

COARSE MESH BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION 11.0

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	-5.9944E 01	9.2173E 00
2	-5.3727E 01	9.4019E 00
3	-5.0800E 01	1.1554E 01
4	-4.5939E 01	1.6680E 01
5	-3.9338E 01	2.1806E 01
6	-3.4078E 01	2.4932E 01
7	-2.8821E 01	2.9160E 01
8	-2.3564E 01	3.3470E 01
9	-1.8307E 01	3.8100E 01
10	-1.3049E 01	4.2672E 01
11	-7.7923E 00	4.7244E 01
12	-2.3550E 00	5.1816E 01
13	0	5.6388E 01
14	4.9944E 00	6.0978E 01
15	1.1329E 01	6.5770E 01
16	1.6643E 01	7.0646E 01
17	2.1998E 01	7.5646E 01
18	2.7322E 01	8.0646E 01
19	3.2646E 01	8.5770E 01
20	3.8001E 01	9.0800E 01
21	4.3319E 01	9.5800E 01
22	4.8518E 01	10.0800E 01

COARSE MESH BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION 11.6

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	3.0160E 00	3.7004E 00
2	4.0320E 00	4.4019E 00
3	5.0480E 00	5.1034E 00
4	1.7064E 01	1.1554E 01
5	1.5080E 01	1.5524E 01
6	1.8096E 01	1.9234E 01
7	2.1112E 01	2.3105E 01
8	2.4128E 01	2.6937E 01
9	2.7144E 01	3.0769E 01
10	3.0160E 01	3.4600E 01
11	3.3176E 01	3.8432E 01
12	3.6192E 01	4.2264E 01
13	3.9208E 01	4.6096E 01
14	4.2224E 01	4.9928E 01
15	4.5240E 01	5.3760E 01
16	4.8256E 01	5.7592E 01
17	5.1272E 01	6.1424E 01
18	5.4288E 01	6.5256E 01
19	5.7304E 01	6.9088E 01
20	6.0320E 01	7.2920E 01
21	6.3336E 01	7.6752E 01
22	6.6352E 01	8.0584E 01
23	6.9368E 01	8.4416E 01
24	7.2384E 01	8.8248E 01
25	7.5400E 01	9.2080E 01
26	7.8416E 01	9.5912E 01
27	8.1432E 01	9.9744E 01
28	8.4448E 01	10.3576E 01
29	8.7464E 01	10.7408E 01
30	9.0480E 01	11.1240E 01
31	9.3496E 01	11.5072E 01
32	9.6512E 01	11.8904E 01
33	9.9528E 01	12.2736E 01
34	10.2544E 01	12.6568E 01
35	10.5560E 01	13.0400E 01
36	10.8576E 01	13.4232E 01
37	11.1592E 01	13.8064E 01
38	11.4608E 01	14.1896E 01

COARSE MESH BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION 11.A

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	4.0320E 00	4.3888E 00
2	1.2064E 01	1.2578E 01
3	1.8096E 01	1.8767E 01
4	2.4128E 01	2.4955E 01
5	3.0160E 01	3.1144E 01
6	3.6192E 01	3.7332E 01
7	4.2224E 01	4.3520E 01
8	4.8256E 01	4.9708E 01
9	5.4288E 01	5.5896E 01
10	6.0320E 01	6.2084E 01
11	6.6352E 01	6.8272E 01
12	7.2384E 01	7.4460E 01
13	7.8416E 01	8.0648E 01
14	8.4448E 01	8.6836E 01
15	9.0480E 01	9.3024E 01
16	9.6512E 01	9.9212E 01
17	10.2544E 01	10.5400E 01
18	10.8576E 01	11.1588E 01
19	11.4608E 01	11.7776E 01
20	12.0640E 01	12.3964E 01
21	12.6672E 01	13.0152E 01
22	13.2704E 01	13.6340E 01
23	13.8736E 01	14.2528E 01
24	14.4768E 01	14.8716E 01
25	15.0800E 01	15.4904E 01
26	15.6832E 01	16.1092E 01
27	16.2864E 01	16.7280E 01
28	16.8896E 01	17.3468E 01
29	17.4928E 01	17.9656E 01
30	18.0960E 01	18.5844E 01

COARSE MESH BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION 11.F

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	0	9.4019E 00
2	5.9944E 00	1.1554E 01
3	1.1329E 01	1.5947E 01
4	1.6643E 01	2.0340E 01
5	2.1998E 01	2.4733E 01
6	2.7322E 01	2.9126E 01
7	3.2646E 01	3.3519E 01
8	3.8001E 01	3.7912E 01
9	4.3319E 01	4.2305E 01
10	4.8518E 01	4.6698E 01
11	5.3717E 01	5.1091E 01
12	5.8916E 01	5.5484E 01
13	6.4115E 01	5.9877E 01
14	6.9314E 01	6.4270E 01
15	7.4513E 01	6.8663E 01
16	7.9712E 01	7.3056E 01
17	8.4911E 01	7.7449E 01
18	9.0110E 01	8.1842E 01
19	9.5309E 01	8.6235E 01
20	10.0508E 01	9.0628E 01
21	10.5707E 01	9.5021E 01
22	11.0906E 01	9.9414E 01

COARSE MESH BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION 11.E

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	0	9.4019E 00
2	5.9944E 00	1.1554E 01
3	1.1329E 01	1.5947E 01
4	1.6643E 01	2.0340E 01
5	2.1998E 01	2.4733E 01
6	2.7322E 01	2.9126E 01
7	3.2646E 01	3.3519E 01
8	3.8001E 01	3.7912E 01
9	4.3319E 01	4.2305E 01
10	4.8518E 01	4.6698E 01
11	5.3717E 01	5.1091E 01
12	5.8916E 01	5.5484E 01
13	6.4115E 01	5.9877E 01
14	6.9314E 01	6.4270E 01
15	7.4513E 01	6.8663E 01
16	7.9712E 01	7.3056E 01
17	8.4911E 01	7.7449E 01
18	9.0110E 01	8.1842E 01
19	9.5309E 01	8.6235E 01
20	10.0508E 01	9.0628E 01
21	10.5707E 01	9.5021E 01

COARSE MESH BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION III.A

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	3.0160E 00	3.2004E 00
2	6.0320E 00	6.4012E 00
3	9.0480E 00	9.6019E 00
4	1.2064E 01	1.2307E 01
5	1.5080E 01	1.6077E 01
6	1.8096E 01	2.9180E 01
7	2.1112E 01	3.3480E 01
8	2.4128E 01	3.7780E 01
9	2.7144E 01	4.1140E 01
10	3.1120E 01	4.4196E 01
11	3.3125E 01	4.7244E 01
12	3.7627E 01	5.0292E 01
13	4.2230E 01	5.3340E 01
14	4.6832E 01	5.3366E 01
15	5.1435E 01	5.9060E 01
16	5.6037E 01	6.4770E 01
17	6.0960E 01	7.0484E 01
18	6.5532E 01	7.6199E 01
19	7.0104E 01	8.1913E 01
20	7.4676E 01	8.4770E 01
21	7.9248E 01	
22	8.3820E 01	
23	8.8392E 01	
24	9.2964E 01	
25	9.7536E 01	
26	1.0211E 02	
27	1.0668E 02	
28	1.0763E 02	
29	1.1289E 02	
30	1.1814E 02	
31	1.2340E 02	
32	1.2866E 02	
33	1.3392E 02	
34	1.3917E 02	
35	1.4443E 02	
36	1.4969E 02	
37	1.5494E 02	
38	1.5748E 02	

COARSE MESH BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION IV.A

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	3.0160E 00	4.9152E 00
2	6.0320E 00	9.6019E 00
3	9.0480E 00	1.2471E 01
4	1.2064E 01	1.6680E 01
5	1.5080E 01	2.0200E 01
6	1.8096E 01	2.3550E 01
7	2.1112E 01	2.6932E 01
8	2.4128E 01	2.9180E 01
9	2.7144E 01	3.3480E 01
10	3.1120E 01	3.7780E 01
11	3.3025E 01	4.1140E 01
12	3.7627E 01	4.4196E 01
13	4.2230E 01	4.7244E 01
14	4.6832E 01	5.0292E 01
15	5.1435E 01	5.3340E 01
16	5.6037E 01	5.3366E 01
17	6.0960E 01	5.9060E 01
18	6.5532E 01	6.4770E 01
19	7.0104E 01	7.0484E 01
20	7.4676E 01	7.6199E 01
21	7.9248E 01	8.1913E 01
22	8.3820E 01	8.4770E 01
23	8.8392E 01	
24	9.2964E 01	
25	9.7536E 01	
26	1.0211E 02	
27	1.0668E 02	
28	1.0763E 02	
29	1.1289E 02	
30	1.1814E 02	
31	1.2340E 02	
32	1.2866E 02	
33	1.3392E 02	
34	1.3917E 02	
35	1.4443E 02	
36	1.4969E 02	
37	1.5494E 02	
38	1.5748E 02	

COARSE MESH BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION IV.B

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	-5.9944E 01	4.9152E 00
2	-5.5372E 01	9.6019E 00
3	-5.0800E 01	1.1554E 01
4	-4.4593E 01	1.6680E 01
5	-3.9336E 01	2.1806E 01
6	-3.4078E 01	2.6932E 01
7	-2.8821E 01	2.9180E 01
8	-2.3564E 01	3.3470E 01
9	-1.8307E 01	3.8100E 01
10	-1.3049E 01	4.2672E 01
11	-7.7923E 00	4.7244E 01
12	-2.5350E 00	5.1816E 01
13	0	5.6386E 01
14	5.9944E 00	6.0578E 01
15	1.1329E 01	6.4770E 01
16	1.6663E 01	6.7627E 01
17	2.1998E 01	7.0484E 01
18	2.7332E 01	7.3341E 01
19	3.2666E 01	7.6199E 01
20	3.8001E 01	7.9056E 01
21	4.1501E 01	8.4770E 01
22	4.5001E 01	

COARSE MESH BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION VI.A

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	3.0160E 00	3.2004E 00
2	6.0320E 00	6.4012E 00
3	9.0480E 00	9.6019E 00
4	1.2064E 01	1.2097E 01
5	1.5080E 01	1.5778E 01
6	1.8096E 01	1.9816E 01
7	2.1112E 01	2.3570E 01
8	2.4128E 01	2.6167E 01
9	2.7144E 01	2.9180E 01
10	3.1120E 01	3.3480E 01
11	3.3025E 01	3.7780E 01
12	3.7627E 01	4.1140E 01
13	4.2230E 01	4.4196E 01
14	4.6832E 01	4.7244E 01
15	5.1435E 01	5.0292E 01
16	5.6037E 01	5.3340E 01
17	6.0960E 01	5.3366E 01
18	6.5532E 01	5.9060E 01
19	7.0104E 01	6.4770E 01
20	7.4676E 01	7.0484E 01
21	7.9248E 01	7.6199E 01
22	8.3820E 01	8.1913E 01
23	8.8392E 01	8.4770E 01
24	9.2964E 01	
25	9.7536E 01	
26	1.0211E 02	
27	1.0668E 02	
28	1.0763E 02	
29	1.1289E 02	
30	1.1814E 02	
31	1.2340E 02	
32	1.2866E 02	
33	1.3392E 02	
34	1.3917E 02	
35	1.4443E 02	
36	1.4969E 02	
37	1.5494E 02	
38	1.5748E 02	

COARSE MESH BOUNDARIES FOR CONFIGURATION II.F S-10 CALCULATION

I/J	Z (CM)	SET 1 R (CM)
1	-5.9944E 01	9.6019E 00
2	-5.5372E 01	1.1554E 01
3	-5.0800E 01	1.5947E 01
4	-4.4593E 01	1.8654E 01
5	-3.9336E 01	2.1806E 01
6	-3.4080E 01	2.5196E 01
7	-2.8823E 01	2.9180E 01
8	-2.3566E 01	3.2007E 01
9	-1.8310E 01	3.7500E 01
10	-1.3053E 01	4.3000E 01
11	-7.7966E 00	4.8500E 01
12	-2.5400E 00	5.4290E 01
13	0	5.8000E 01
14	5.9944E 00	6.7000E 01
15	1.1329E 01	7.6000E 01
16	1.6663E 01	7.9000E 01
17	2.1998E 01	8.5000E 01
18	2.7332E 01	
19	3.2666E 01	
20	3.8001E 01	
21	4.2668E 01	
22	4.5001E 01	
23	4.8115E 01	
24	5.1229E 01	
25	5.6760E 01	
26	6.2290E 01	
27	6.7821E 01	
28	7.0950E 01	
29	7.7209E 01	
30	8.3467E 01	
31	8.9726E 01	
32	9.5984E 01	
33	1.0224E 02	
34	1.0850E 02	

2-D R-Z GEOMETRY PLOTS

ORNL-DWG 79-7815

2-D GEOM FOR CONFIG II.A

Fig. E.1. Plot of 2-D Geometry Model for GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.A.

ORNL-CWG 79-7816

2-D GEOM FOR CONFIG II.B

Fig. E.2. Plot of 2-D Geometry
Model of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield
Experiment Configuration II.B.

Fig. E.3. Plot of the 2-D Geometry Model for GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.D.

ORNL-DWG 79-7818

2-D GEOM FOR CONFIG II.E

Fig. E.4. Plot of the 2-D Geometry Model of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.E.

ORNL-DWG 79-7819

2-D GEOM FOR CONFIG II.F

Fig. E.5. Plot of the 2-D Geometry Model of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F.

ORNL-DWG 79-7820
2-D GEOM FOR CONFIG III.A

Fig. E.6. Plot of 2-D Geometry
Model of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield
Experiment Configuration III.A.

ORNL-DWG 79-7821
2-D GEOM FOR CONFIG IV.A

Fig. E.7. Plot of 2-D Geometry
Model of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield
Experiment Configuration IV.A.

Fig. E.8. Plot of 2-D Geometry Model of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration IV.B.

ORNL-DWG 79-7823

2-D GEOM FOR CONFIG VI.A

Fig. E.9. Plot of 2-D Geometry
Model of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield
Experiment Configuration VI.A.

ORNL-DWG 79-10956

Fig. E.10. Plot of 2-D Geometry Model Used in the Calculation of GCFR Grid-Plate Shield Experiment Configuration II.F with the S_{10} Symmetric Quadrature.

ORNL-5551
Distribution Category UC-77
Gas Cooled Reactor Technology

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1. L. S. Abbott	34. F. C. Maienschein
2. R. G. Alsmiller, Jr.	35. F. J. Muckenthaler
3. S. J. Ball	36. E. M. Oblow
4. D. E. Bartine	37. R. W. Feelle
5. M. Bender	38. J. P. Renier
6. T. J. Burns	39-53. C. O. Slater
7. J. P. Callaghan	54. I. Spiewak
8. R. L. Childs	55. H. E. Trammell
9. J. C. Cleveland	56. D. B. Trauger
10-11. S. N. Cramer	57. D. R. Vondy
12. M. B. Emmett	58. J. R. Weir
13. W. W. Engle, Jr.	59. C. R. Weisbin
14. G. F. Flanagan	60. G. E. Whitesides
15. M. H. Fontana	61. L. R. Williams
16. Uri Gat	62. M. L. Williams
17. E. H. Gift	63. B. A. Vorley
18. H. Goldstein (Consultant)	64. A. Zucker
19. A. G. Grindell	65. P. Greebler (Consultant)
20. F. J. Homan	66. W. B. Loewenstein (Consultant)
21-22. D. T. Ingersoll	67. R. Wilson (Consultant)
23-30. P. R. Kasten	68-69. Central Research Library
31. A. L. Lotts	70. Y-12 Document Reference Section
32. R. E. Maerker	71-72. Laboratory Records Department
33. RSIC	73. Laboratory Records ORNL-RC
	74. ORNL Patent Office

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

75. Director, Nuclear Power Development Division, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20545.
76. G. A. Newby, GCFR Branch, Nuclear Power Development Division, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20545.
77. Director, Department of Energy, Office of Program Management, P. O. Box 81325, San Diego, California 92138.
- 78-79. Office of the Assistant Manager for Energy Research and Development, DOE-ORO, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830.
- 80-81. Director, Nuclear Research and Development Division, DOE-ORO, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830.
- 82-257. Given distribution as shown in TID-4500, Distribution Category UC-77 - Gas Cooled Reactor Technology.