**DESIGN OF DUPLEX LOW-CARBON STEELS
WITH CARBIDE FORMING ELEMENTS**

Peter K. Costello
(M.S. Thesis)

December 1978

**Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
under contract W-7405-ENG-48**

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Handwritten signature

DESIGN OF DUPLEX LOW-CARBON STEELS WITH CARBIDE FORMING ELEMENTS

Peter K. Costello

Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
and Department of Materials Science and Mineral Engineering
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT

This investigation concerns the design of duplex ferrite-martensite (DFM) steels with carbide forming elements. The quaternary alloys Fe/0.14 C/1.45 Si/X (X being the substitutional alloying element of Mo or Nb) have been selected from first principles. The DFM microstructures are controlled by the type of alloy element X and the subsequent thermal treatment. The tensile properties of specimens subjected to either the intermediate quench (IQ) or the intermediate air cool (IAC) heat treatments have been correlated with their respective microstructures.

Dispersion hardening as a secondary strengthening mechanism is found to limit the ductility whilst increasing the strength due to carbide precipitation in the ferrite. As a result, unusual behavior in the strength-law of mixtures relationship is observed.

The differences in properties displayed by the alloys between the IQ and IAC thermal treatments are primarily attributed to the connectivity of the martensite particles. The IQ treatment provided strength and elongation ductility (i.e., total or uniform elongation to deformation) combinations which are superior to those of the IAC process. Specifically, the niobium steel displayed the best mechanical properties.

The limited investigation of the alloys subjected to a continuous air cooling (from austenite region) neat treatment provided specimens with superior elongation ductility as compared to some of the commercially available HSLA steels. These microstructures contain proeutectoid ferrite plus other isothermal products. This process appears to be an attractive one for potential technical applications.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.	1
II. Experimental Procedure.	4
A. Material Selection.	4
B. Materials Preparation	7
C. Heat Treating	7
1. Intermediate Quench Treatment	8
2. Intermediate Air Cool Treatment	9
3. Continuous Air Cooling from Austenite Region.	9
D. Mechanical Testing.	10
E. Metallography	11
1. Optical Metallography	11
2. Transmission Electron Microscopy.	11
III. Results and Discussion.	13
A. Microstructures	13
1. Intermediate Quench vs. Intermediate Air Cool	13
2. Continuous Air Cooling from Austenite Region.	17
3. Microstructural Comparison of Fe/0.15 C/1.45 Si/ 0.38 Mo vs. Fe/0.14 C/1.45 Si/0.034 Nb.	17
a. Intermediate Quench Treatment	17
b. Intermediate Air Cool Treatment	19
c. Continuous Air Cooling from Austenite Region.	19
B. Mechanical Properties	20
1. Overall Comparison of the Various Heat Treatments	20

2. Mechanical Property Comparison of	
Fe/0.15 C/1.45 Si/0.38 Mo vs.	
Fe/0.14 C/1.45 Si/0.034 Nb.	21
a. Intermediate Quench Treatment	22
b. Intermediate Air Cool Treatment	22
c. Continuous Air Cooling from Austenite Region. .	22
C. Mechanical Property/Microstructure Correlations	22
1. Strengthening Mechanisms.	22
2. Qualitative Relationships	24
D. Comparison to Some Commercially Available	
HSLA and Other DFM Steels	27
IV. Conclusion.	28
Acknowledgements.	30
References.	31
Tables.	33
Figure Captions	36
Figures	39

I. INTRODUCTION

The need for higher strength steels with good formability for energy and resource conservation requirements, has triggered intensive development programs in the area of low-carbon, low-alloy steels. It has already been realized in conventional high strength, low-alloy (HSLA) steels, that the overall mechanical properties along with the alloying and processing economics are not always satisfactory for many applications. Emphasis on alternatives has spurred the recent development of duplex ferrite-martensite (DFM) steels.¹⁻⁶ These "neometalloids" are a new breed of HSLA steels displaying improved formability with superior strength and are formed by a simpler (more economical) processing technique.

The processing of steels to produce a microduplex structure of martensite in a ferrite matrix, is not an entirely new concept as pointed out by Koo.⁵ Cairns and Charles⁷ considered DFM structures as possible materials of technological interest by instituting a program aimed at developing laminates and composites through combinations of mechanical work and thermal treatments. Although they were successful in producing controlled microstructures of elongated regions of martensite in a ferrite matrix, only limited success was achieved with commercial steels. Grange⁸ approached the concept of DFM aggregates through thermo-mechanical treatments. Fibrous mixtures of martensite and ferrite were achieved by utilizing the phase transformation occurring in the two-phase, ferrite plus austenite ($\alpha+\gamma$) region of the Fe-C system. However, the processing technique is complex and only limited success in improving mechanical properties

was achieved. As a consequence of these studies, Koo^{3,5}, Koo and Thomas^{1,2} and Young⁴ have modified the processing techniques of Grange to employ solely a simple thermal treatment incorporating two-phase annealing with selected commercial and experimental low-carbon steels. The improved elongation ductility and superior strength have been overwhelmingly demonstrated.

The advantages of duplex microstructures have been established in several alloy systems as documented by Koo and Thomas⁵ in the previously noted literature. Applications to low-carbon, low-alloy steels are especially interesting for several reasons: (1) the capability of optimizing the ever conflicting property requirements of strength and ductility, contingent upon a favorable choice of alloy composition and processing;^{2,9} (2) simple alloy compositional requirements; (3) the required composite microstructures can be produced by a simple heat treatment alone; (4) a wide range of attractive strength and ductility combinations are possible.

The earlier work on DFM aggregates have been primarily concerned with the influence of the volume fraction or strength of the second phase (i.e., martensite) on the mechanical properties. Although this observation is important, a complete fundamental understanding of the microstructure-mechanical property relationships is incomplete. Furthermore, an understanding of the effect of alloy carbides in the ferrite matrix on the mechanical behavior of the DFM aggregate is lacking.

This investigation is designed to consider alloy carbide precipitation in the ferrite matrix as a secondary strengthening mechanism.

The conceptual implications are that a desired strength level σ may be achieved by a lower volume fraction of martensite ($V_m = 1 - V_f$), since the strength is empirically given by $\sigma = \sigma_f(1 - V_m) + \sigma_m V_m$, where σ_f and σ_m are the strengths of ferrite and martensite, respectively.^{1,2} The consequent higher volume fraction V_f of ferrite could provide improved ductility. Whether or not the elongation ductility and the tensile/yield strength ratio is to be penalized, due to an alloy carbide-riddled ferrite matrix, is also to be evaluated. Thus the objectives of this thesis research are:

- (1) to establish the influence of the alloy carbides on the tensile properties of the DFM aggregate.
- (2) to investigate a variety of simple heat treatments and the resulting mechanical properties.
- (3) to characterize the microstructures of the duplex steels in terms of size, shape and distribution of the load carrying constituent (i.e., martensite phase).
- (4) to characterize the substructure of the DFM aggregate in regards to the presence of retained austenite, alloy carbide precipitation, dislocations and subgrains, martensite substructure.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A. Material Selection

The low-carbon, low-alloy steels selected for this study are the quaternary alloys of the type Fe/0.15 C/1.45 Si/X. The substitutional alloying element X has been chosen to be molybdenum or niobium. The ternary Fe/C/Si alloy has been selected as the base material. This alloy, displaying outstanding mechanical properties, has been extensively characterized.⁵ The following is the basis for the selection of each alloying element from first principles.

Silicon (1.45 wt. pct.)

- (1) Silicon, in amounts around 2 wt. pct., promotes a fine fibrous distribution of martensite in a continuous ferrite matrix.⁵ Load transfer is most efficient when particles are present in the form of fibers rather than spheres. This is primarily because the transfer of load occurs by shear action along the particle matrix interfaces.¹⁰ Hence for a given V_m and the same number of particles, more interfacial area is available in the case of a fibrous morphology. Since silicon promotes a continuous ferrite matrix, the connectivity of the martensite particles can be avoided throughout the aggregate. This may prevent continuous crack propagation in the early stages of plastic deformation and allows the ferrite to contribute its full ductility to the system.

- (2) Silicon inhibits the formation of coarse carbides (i.e., Fe_3C) due to isothermal decomposition of austenite during the final quench. Improving the hardenability is important since the DFM alloys are designed to be low in carbon. These carbides, if any, will be located near the ferrite/martensite interface. This is undesirable because the presence of Fe_3C particles may cause local stress concentrations promoting the formation of cracks within these carbides leading to the ultimate failure of the system when subjected to an external load.¹¹ The amount of silicon has been reduced in these steels since the other alloys are expected to compensate for hardenability.
- (3) Silicon increases the slope of the A_3 line in the $(\alpha+\gamma)$ range when added to the Fe-C system as shown in Fig. 3. This facilitates practical control of the strong phase (i.e., V_m).
- (4) Silicon provides effective solid solution strengthening in the ferrite.

Carbon (0.14 wt. pct.)

- (1) Martensite is a diffusionless transformation product of carbon enriched austenite. In other words, the carbon content in the martensite is determined by that of the austenite. It is desirable to maintain a carbon level of about 0.3 wt. pct. in the austenite, resulting in a dislocated martensite. Higher carbon contents can drastically deteriorate the toughness of martensite.¹²

In order to produce a 0.3 wt. pct. carbon content of the alloy should be less than 0.1 wt. pct. This can be seen in Fig. 3 by drawing a tie line in the lower portion of the $(\alpha+\gamma)$ region. The actual carbon contents of the alloys melted for this investigation are slightly higher than was specified.

- (2) Low cost and availability.

Molybdenum (0.38 wt. pct.)

- (1) Molybdenum is a strong carbide forming element¹³ (i.e., Mo_2C). After annealing in the lower portion of the $(\alpha+\gamma)$ region the ferrite will be carbon supersaturated. This carbon content in the ferrite is about 100-200 times greater than normal at room temperature. Hence, 0.38 wt. pct. molybdenum has been added to tie up this excess carbon in the ferrite in the form of alloy carbides.
- (2) Molybdenum improves grain boundary properties.
- (3) Molybdenum increases the hardenability of the system. Practically, this is desired in order to process larger gauge sections by avoiding undesirable transformation products.
- (4) It provides moderate solid solution strengthening.¹³
- (5) Molybdenum increases the slope of the A_3 line in the $(\alpha+\gamma)$ region.

Niobium (0.034 wt. pct.)

- (1) Niobium is a strong carbide forming element¹³ (NbC).

The reason for the amount of niobium added to the Fe/C/Si/X alloy is analogous to that for molybdenum.

- (2) Niobium increases the hardenability of the system.
- (3) It increases the slope of the A_3 line.
- (4) It provides for prior austenite grain size refinement.

B. Materials Preparation

The materials used in this investigation were melted under an argon atmosphere and received in the form of 17 lb. ingots. The ingots were subsequently homogenized. The alloy compositions, given in Table I, were measured after homogenization. There were some slight differences in the specified amounts of each element. The ingots were then upset forged and cross-rolled at 1150°C into bars of 5/8 in. x 3-1/8 in. cross section. Oversized tensile blanks were machined from the bars with the rolling direction as shown in Fig. 1a. The tensile blanks were heat treated prior to final machining.

C. Heat Treating

Oversized tensile blanks were heat treated under an inert argon atmosphere in a vertical tube (3 in. I.D.) furnace. The temperature was monitored via a type K (Chromel-Alumel) thermocouple with a digital readout accurate to $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$ at 900°C. Both thermocouple and specimens were carefully suspended to hang down the center line of the vertical tube at the furnace "hot spot". Quenching was conducted in agitated

ice brine or in some instances, agitated ice water. Air cooling was conducted by allowing the specimens to come into contact with still room air (25°C). The various heat treatments conducted in this investigation are shown in Fig. 2. A brief description of the important heat treatments is given below.

1. Intermediate Quench Treatment

This is the customary duplex heat treatment for arriving at a standard morphology of strong phase martensite in a soft ferrite matrix. Specifically, the DFM structure is obtained by austenitizing and quenching to 100 pct. martensite followed by two-phase annealing in the (α + γ) range and then quenching. The volume fraction and ultimate carbon content of the strong phase is controlled by the annealing process. By annealing the specimens at a given temperature in the (α + γ) region, the initially quenched-in martensite provides various sites for the nucleation and growth of austenite to the volume fraction specified by the lever rule.¹⁴ The alloy phases present will then consist of low-carbon ferrite and high carbon austenite. Upon final quenching, the austenite transforms to martensite and the ferrite becomes dislocated due to the plastic deformation as a result of the austenite $\rightarrow$ martensite transformation strain. An additional result of the quenching process, is the carbon supersaturation in the ferrite. This carbon supersaturation amounts to around 100 and 200 times in the Fe/C and Fe/C/Si/X systems, respectively.

The austenitizing treatments, for both the experimental quaternary alloys, were performed at 1150°C for one hour. This temperature was selected to provide for the dissolution of the alloy carbides and

because the A_3 line was higher due to the alloy additions. The two-phase annealing temperatures varied depending upon the desired volume fraction of martensite (i.e., 20% and 40% martensite). A suitable holding time selected for annealing was ten minutes for both alloys as shown in Table II. This annealing time affords the presence of the desired alloy carbide in the ferrite matrix as confirmed by transmission electron microscopy. The schematic in Fig. 3 portrays the customary duplex thermal treatment in conjunction with the Fe-rich portion of the 2.4 wt. pct. Si section of the Fe/C/Si phase diagram.

2. Intermediate Air Cool Treatment

This thermal treatment is analogous to the customary duplex heat treatment as shown in Fig. 2. However, this thermal treatment consists of austenitizing and initially air cooling, instead of quenching the specimens. The initial air cooled structure consists of ferrite plus other isothermal products. This has been confirmed by transmission electron microscopy and optical metallography. The subsequent annealing is conducted in the $(\alpha+\gamma)$ region where the austenite will heterogeneously nucleate and grow preferentially at the cementite/ferrite interfaces.¹⁵ Upon final quenching, the austenite transforms to martensite as stated previously.

3. Continuous Air Cooling from the Austenite Region

This normalizing thermal treatment simply consists of austenitizing, then air cooling to room temperature, which produces proeutectoid ferrite and other isothermal products.

D. Mechanical Testing

Tensile properties were determined using one inch gauge around tensile specimens as shown in Fig. 1b. The oversize specimens were heat treated and ground to final dimensions following ASTM specifications.¹⁶ Approximately 0.05 inches of material was removed circumferentially about the gauge section to eliminate the possible effects of surface decarburization. The decarburization did not exceed 15 mils. The tensile tests were performed at room temperature.

The intermediate quenched and air cooled specimens were tested on an Instron machine with a cross-head speed of 0.05 cm/min. and a full scale load of 5000 kg. The uniform elongation was continuously monitored with an optical magnifier accurate to 0.001 inches. The continuous air cooled and other heat treated specimens were tested on a M.T.S. machine with a cross-head speed of 0.05 in./min. and full scale load of 15,000 lbs. The uniform elongation in these cases was monitored by an extensometer whose output is fed into the chart drive system of the M.T.S. console. Total elongations were determined by measuring the gauge lengths after testing with an optical microscope equipped with a vernier translating stage accurate to 0.001 inches. The percent reduction in area calculations are based upon the measurement of the gauge section diameter before and after testing by vernier calipers accurate to 0.001 inch. The values of the tensile properties reported in Table III are the averages of three tests and in some cases two.

E. Metallography

1. Optical Metallography

Samples for optical metallography were cut from the fractured tensile specimens behind the necked region. An abrasive cut off saw was used that utilized flood cooling to minimize specimen heating during cutting. The specimens, after mounting in Koldmount, were mechanically ground sequentially from 180 to 600 grit wet emery paper. The specimens were cleaned in water and rotated 90° between belts. Final polishing was accomplished in a one micron rotating, diamond abrasive wheel which was lubricated with kerosene. The etchants used in this metallographic investigation were 2% and 5% nital. These etchants effectively revealed the duplex microstructural constituents and prior austenite grain boundaries. The samples were examined on a Zeiss Ultraphot II microscope. The volume fraction of the martensite was determined, in part, by the standard linear intercept method.¹⁷

2. Transmission Electron Microscopy

Bulk specimens were heat treated separately from the tensile bars. Slices 0.02 inches thick were cut from the heat treated samples on an abrasive cut off saw utilizing flood cooling and a slow feed rate to minimize specimen heating. The specimens were then chemically thinned to 0.005 inches in a solution of H_2O_2 containing 4% HF at room temperature. Then 3.0 mm discs were spark cut and mechanically thinned to 1.0-1.5 mils on dry emery paper (400 and 600 grit). The discs were cleaned in acetone and electropolished in a twin jet polishing apparatus at room temperature using a chromic-acetic polishing solution (75 gm CrO_3 + 400 ml CH_3COOH + 21 ml distilled H_2O). Polishing

times varied from 3 to 5 minutes at 35-40 ma and 30-35 volts. The resultant foils were examined in a Jem 7A transmission electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 100 KV.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Microstructures

The microstructure prior to annealing and the resultant volume fraction of the strong phase, played a major role in the duplex processing of steel. Qualitatively, the lower limit of the acceptable range of martensite volume fraction (V_m) is restricted to the value where no strengthening of the duplex alloy occurs. The upper limit is set when the failure of the martensite immediately leads to the failure of the duplex structure. Of course, the final range of V_m will be determined from the specifications and applications for which the steel will be used. The mechanical behavior of the DFM aggregate is influenced by the size, shape, distribution and resultant connectivity of the strong phase and other metallurgical variables.

1. Intermediate Quench vs. Intermediate Air Cool

The general morphologies of the two alloys subjected to the intermediate quench and intermediate air cool thermal treatments are contrasted below. The peculiarities between the individual alloys as a consequence of each specific heat treatment will be discussed later. The martensite volume fractions considered in this investigation are 20% and 40%.

The manner of distribution of the martensite is significantly different between the two heat treatments. In the intermediate quench (IQ) case, the microstructures before annealing are 100% martensite with an average prior austenite grain size of 150 . The nucleation of austenite occurs in the initial martensite upon reheating into the two-phase region. Martensite has been shown to be favorable for

austenite nucleation on a finer scale because of the numerous fine heterogeneities in the structure.^{1,5} Nucleation sites typically are the prior austenite grain boundaries, martensite lath boundaries and carbide/ferrite interfaces. Upon quenching from the ($\alpha+\gamma$) region, the austenite transforms to martensite as mentioned earlier. The high density of nucleation sites results in a fine dispersion of martensite islands within the ferrite matrix. This is evidenced in Fig. 4 by the martensite decorating the prior austenite grain and the initial martensite lath boundaries.

In the intermediate air cool (IAC) case, the microstructure prior to annealing is composed of proeutectoid ferrite plus other isolated products (i.e., pearlite) as shown in Fig. 8, 12 and 13. The sites favorable for the heterogeneous nucleation and growth of austenite in this case occurs at the carbide/ferrite interfaces.¹⁵ The final martensite is not a fine, but a coarse distribution of massive islands embedded in the ferrite matrix. This is shown in Fig. 6a and 6b. The configuration of the martensite islands seems to depend upon the location of the initial pearlite colonies.

The general shape of the martensite depends predominantly upon where the parent austenite had nucleated and grown during the annealing process. Both the IQ and IAC treatments display a globular array of martensite particles as shown in Fig. 4 and 6, respectively. In the IQ case, however, the globular martensite decorated the prior austenite grain boundaries. Within the prior austenite grains, acicular martensite embellished the initial martensite lath boundaries. These acicular martensite particles are predominantly allotriomorphs mixed

with a few scattered intragranular idiomorphs.¹⁸ It is evident that some of the intragranular austenite particles developed in such a manner, that once they had nucleated and grown to a critical size along the initial martensite lath boundaries, they rapidly spherodized to form globular shapes.

By comparing the morphologies of the martensite particles at the same V_m , a size correlation can be established. The globular martensite displayed along the prior austenite grain boundaries in the IQ case is the major contributor to the overall V_m . These large martensite particles at the grain boundaries arise from the additional surface energy available at the interfaces in the austenite nucleation process.¹⁹

In the IAC case, the globular martensite particles contribute totally to the overall V_m . These martensite particles are generally larger than those found in the IQ case. Since the martensite particles are larger, fewer are needed to produce the same desired volume fraction. It should be noted that the annealing times and temperatures are the same in both thermal treatments for producing equal V_m . Presumably, the austenite nucleation sites afforded by the initial ferrite plus pearlite structure are fewer than in the initially martensite structure. Therefore, it is evident that the reaction kinetics in the growth of austenite must be somewhat greater in the IAC case in order to quench-in larger martensite particles from fewer austenite sites. This is assuming that in both heat treatments the specimens reach the two-phase equilibrium at the same time. Conceivably, the higher concentration of immediately available carbon in the cementite constituent

of the pearlite is responsible for the increased rate of the austenite nucleation and growth kinetics.

The connectivity of the strong phase plays an important role in the overall mechanical properties of the system. In essence the connectivity is the combination of the metallurgical factors of size, shape and distribution of the martensite. Connectivity is the connecting together of the strong phase particles in the ferrite matrix. Briefly, a high degree of connectivity results in a greater chance for premature failure of the DFM aggregate since the ferrite may not be able to contribute its full ductility to the system. In the IQ case, the major sites for excessive martensite connectivity is undesirably along the prior austenite grain boundaries. In the IAC structure, connectivity is a prominent feature in the massive martensite conglomerations.

2. Continuous Air Cooling from Austenite

The isothermal products produced by this simple normalizing treatment are proeutectoid ferrite, pearlite and possibly bainitic ferrite as already noted in Figs. 8, 12 and 13. The application of the connectivity principle may analogously be applied to the carbon rich constituent of these normalized structures.

3. Microstructural Comparison of Fe/0.15 C/1.45 Si/0.38 Mo vs. Fe/0.14 C/1.45 Si/0.034 Nb

a. Intermediate Quench Treatment

The optical metallography revealed many of the important microstructural features in the duplex aggregates corresponding to this heat treatment. These features are documented in Figs. 4 and 5. Both of the molybdenum and niobium containing alloys displayed acicular

martensite allotriomorphs predominantly within a prior γ grain boundary. These are finely dispersed within the ferrite matrix. In addition, both alloys displayed intergranular globular martensite particles akin in size. The most striking feature exhibited by these alloys, as a consequence of the IQ treatment, was the decoration of the martensite particles along the prior austenite grain boundaries in the 40% Vm case. This feature is more prominent in the molybdenum containing alloy since the martensite particles are chained together continuously along the prior austenite grain boundaries. In the niobium alloy the situation is different. Although the number of martensite particles is assumed to be the same, the particles have not all reached a critical size necessary to provide for a continuous, strong-phase chain along the prior austenite grain boundaries. Consequently, there are regions where a continuous ferrite matrix exists. Since the prior austenite grain size is about the same for both alloys, the effect of niobium for providing grain size refinement is not evident.

Both the molybdenum and niobium alloys displayed an acicular ferrite substructure as evidenced by the example of Fig. 9. The widths of the subgrains were approximately 0.34 μ in both alloys. At 20% Vm, dramatic carbide precipitation was revealed in the ferrite regions of the molybdenum containing steels as shown in Fig. 10. The carbides of the order of 0.1 μ diameter were identified to be cementite (Fe_3C). Precipitation was also revealed along the ferrite/martensite interfaces. The substructural martensite was mixed with substantial twinning. The carbon content in the martensite was estimated to be 0.45 wt. pct. according to the phase diagram in Fig. 3 for a 20% Vm. No retained

austenite was found between the martensite laths in either alloy containing 20% Vm. However, this does not preclude it from being present in specimens with higher Vm.

b. Intermediate Air Cool Treatment

A comparison between the molybdenum and niobium alloys at a 40% Vm shows some important contrasting microstructural features. In the molybdenum alloy, the strong phase is linked together in massive chains as shown in Fig. 6a. The niobium alloy is characterized by large martensite island complexes dispersed irregularly throughout the ferrite matrix as displayed in Fig. 6b. The connectivity of the strong phase is more prominent in the chained structure of the molybdenum alloy than in the island complex structure of the niobium steel. Both alloys revealed large region of ferrite. Neither of the alloys, subjected to the IAC treatment, showed the prior austenite grains.

In both alloys, the substructural martensite was mixed with substantial twinning similarly as in the IQ case. This is shown in Fig. 1). Also, large acicular ferrite was noted in both alloys.

c. Continuous Air Cooling from Austenite Region

Optical metallography revealed some very contrasting microstructures between the molybdenum and niobium steels as a consequence of this thermal treatment. In the molybdenum steel, the strong constituent is acicular and finely dispersed throughout a continuous ferrite matrix as shown in Fig. 8a. On the other hand, the niobium steel reveals the strong constituent to be large, interconnected, irregularly shaped and coarsely distributed throughout the ferrite matrix. This is shown in Fig. 8b. Some TEM work was done on the alloys. Pearlite, proeutectoid

and bainitic ferrite were noted. This information is displayed in Figs. 12 and 13, respectively. Since much more molybdenum was added to the Fe/C/Si base alloy than niobium, it is not unreasonable that the hardenability in the molybdenum steel be greater. Hence, the strong constituent in the molybdenum alloy may be bainite and not pearlite as in the niobium steel.

B. Mechanical Properties *

1. Overall Comparison of the Various Heat Treatments

A relative correlation of mechanical properties has been established between the various heat treatments without regards to the alloy compositions. By examining the corresponding tensile data in Table III, the specimens subjected to the IQ treatment displayed improved total and uniform elongations over those of the IAC process. Total and uniform elongations are defined as elongations to tensile failure and necking, respectively. Typical total elongations (e_T) range from 12-20% in the IQ case with the uniform elongations (e_u) on the order of 9-14%. In the IAC case, the e_T ranges from 7-11% with the e_u extending from 7-10%. The examination of these elongation values reveals in the IAC case that e_T and e_u are about the same in magnitude (i.e., $e_T \approx e_u$). In other words, tensile failure occurred near the ultimate tensile strength with little specimen necking. In the IQ case, the e_T and e_u differed significantly (i.e., $e_T > e_u$). This is an important engineering consideration since it is undesirable for structures or machine parts to fail near the ultimate tensile strength of the material. The strength vs. elongation data is plotted in Figs. 14-17.

The ultimate tensile strength (U.T.S.) of the tensile specimens varied according to the transformation path of the duplex treatment i.e., with the microstructure. The U.T.S. increased with higher two-phase annealing temperatures (V_m is a function of the annealing temperature). Typical U.T.S. values obtained, ranged from 120-130 KSI and 111-138 KSI as a consequence of the IQ and IAC treatments, respectively. The latter was more sensitive to the two-phase annealing temperature. This means that control of the U.T.S. would be more difficult from a practical or industrial point of view.

The yield strength (Y.S.) of the tensile specimens ranged from 76-90 KSI for both the IQ and IAC treatments. In addition, the Y.S. tended to decrease with increasing specimen elongation. This suggests that a similar mechanism governed the Y.S. in both of the duplex heat treatments and will be discussed later.

The continuous air cooling treatment exhibited superior e_T and e_u values. The e_T and e_u values ranged from 32-33% and 18-20%, respectively. The U.T.S. values extended from 84-91 KSI. The Y.S. values ranged from 55-69 KSI.

2. Mechanical Property Comparison of Fe/0.15 C/1.45 Si/0.38 Mo vs. Fe/0.14 C/1.45 Si/0.034 Nb

The mechanical property comparison between the molybdenum and niobium alloys is considered below in regards to the type of heat treatment and the corresponding two-phase annealing temperature where appropriate.

a. Intermediate Quench Treatment

The niobium alloy displayed improved elongation ductility at comparable U.T.S. and Y.S. values over the molybdenum steel. This is shown in Fig. 18. The elongation ductility of the molybdenum steel is more sensitive to annealing temperature variations than in the niobium alloy.

b. Intermediate Air Cool Treatment

The niobium alloy displayed improved elongation ductility over the molybdenum alloy as shown in Fig. 19. The value of the Y.S. levels is similar in both duplex steels. The U.T.S. values of the molybdenum alloy are more sensitive to the annealing temperature variations.

c. Continuous Air Cooling from the Austenite Region

The molybdenum steel shown in Table III has improved e_T , e_u and higher U.T.S. and Y.S. values than the niobium alloy.

C. Mechanical Property/Microstructure Correlations

1. Strengthening Mechanisms

A quantitative description of the mechanical behavior of the DFM steels considered in this investigation requires a knowledge of the specific mechanisms by which slip can be transmitted across a given microstructural barrier. The available slip distance in the ferrite is the most important variable in determining the strength which is governed by the size, shape, distribution and resultant connectivity of the second phase, as well as the submicroscopic alloy carbide precipitation in the ferrite. Consequently, the nature of the slip barriers is important.

Originally, strengthening of the DFM aggregate was approximated by the fiber reinforced composite model^{2,6,20-22} where the barriers to dislocation motion are the strong phase/matrix interfaces. The theoretical strength of such a composite is given by:

$$\sigma_c = \sigma_f (1-V_m) + \sigma_m V_m \quad (1)$$

where: σ_c = ultimate composite strength of the duplex alloy

σ_m = intrinsic strength of the martensite

σ_f = intrinsic stress in the ferrite at the ultimate tensile strain of the martensite

V_m = volume fraction of the martensite

$(1-V_m)$ = volume fraction of the constituent ferrite phase.

The derivation of σ_c is based solely upon the fiber loading concept and not on any actual dislocation mechanism. This is because the fiber model describes the extreme case where the strong phase particles are continuous and unidirectionally aligned in the direction of the applied flow stress. These are clearly not the actual conditions in these duplex alloys. Hence, any attempt to quantitatively relate Eq. (1) would be empirical only.⁴

The presence of alloy carbides in the ferrite matrix prompts a discussion of a dispersion strengthening mechanism. The barriers to slip or dislocation motion are considered to be point obstacles. The mechanism whereby the dislocations encounter and bypass the point obstacles is described by the Orowan mechanism.²³ The flow stress required to bypass a point obstacle is given by:

$$\tau = \tau_o + \alpha \frac{Gb}{\lambda_p} \quad (2)$$

where: τ_o = flow stress of the ferrite matrix

α = geometrical constant depending on the particle
shape and distribution

G = shear modulus of the alloy

b = Burgers vector of the glide dislocations

λ_p = average interparticle spacing.

The Orowan strengthening mechanism is appreciable in these alloys since the interparticle spacings are less than a few microns.²⁴

It should be noted that if the particles are spaced at distances less than the mean free dislocation slip length an enhanced strengthening of the matrix will result. Hence the mechanical properties will no longer be of the single phase matrix.

Although it is well established that Eqs. (1) and (2) can provide quantitative predictions of strengthening for the respective conditions, there is less known about the mechanism which governs the flow properties of the transitional system such as displayed by the duplex alloys in this investigation. Other transitional cases of technological interest are such duplex alloys as ferrite-pearlite and spheroidite structures.⁵ The majority of the studies on the plastic deformation rely on experimental observations due to the difficulty in theoretical analysis arising from geometrical complexities.^{25,26}

2. Qualitative Relationships

It is instructive to briefly discuss the influence of the constituent phases on the mechanical behavior of an Fe/C/Si steel subjected to

the customary duplex processing treatment. It is generally accepted that the strength of the DFM aggregate is controlled by the V_m such that if the V_m increases, the strength increases. Since the strong phase is the load carrying constituent of the duplex composite, the U.T.S. is directly influenced by V_m . The Y.S. is governed by the flow stress of the ferrite when the ferrite forms a continuous matrix which is, in turn, influenced by the V_m . A higher V_m means a correspondingly lower volume fraction of the ductile constituent ferrite. Increasing the barriers to dislocation motion within the ferrite results in a higher required stress for the system to change from elastic to plastic deformation modes. Normally, the ferrite flow stress is increased primarily by higher V_m since there are more ferrite/martensite interfaces to inhibit dislocation motion. Other factors which influence the flow stress are subgrains and alloy carbide precipitation in the ferrite matrix.

This investigation reaffirms that the U.T.S. is directly proportional to the V_m . This is illustrated in Fig. 20. The U.T.S. of both alloys, as a result of the IQ and IAC treatments, increases as the V_m increased. Therefore, it is evident that the martensite is the major contributor to the U.T.S. of the system.

The Y.S., however, tends to decrease with a higher V_m as shown in Fig. 20. Specifically, the V_m at 20% results in a higher Y.S. than at 40%. This result contradicts the customary influence of the martensite on the Y.S. of a DFM steel. The explanation to this unusual behavior can be given as follows: It is evident that other barriers to dislocation motion operated besides those due to the ferrite/martensite

interfaces, such as the alloy carbide precipitation in the ferrite matrix. According to the phase diagram in Fig. 3, correspondingly lower ($\alpha\gamma$) annealing temperatures, result in a higher carbon supersaturation in the ferrite. The specimens containing a 20% Vm were annealed at a lower temperature than those containing a 40% Vm. If the excess carbon in the ferrite is used in the alloy carbide precipitation, it is probable that specimens annealed to obtain a 20% Vm would have more alloy carbide precipitation resulting in a higher Y.S. This behavior is noted in the molybdenum and niobium alloys irrespective of the duplex thermal treatment.

The carbide precipitation drastically affects the elongation ductility. Figure 21 displays the total and uniform elongations of the alloys as a function of the Vm for the duplex treatments considered. The tensile specimens containing a 20% Vm displayed poorer elongation values than those at the higher Vm. Although increasing the Vm reduces the volume fraction of the soft ferrite phase, the influence of the carbide precipitation in the ferrite is thought to be the critical factor affecting the ductility. Possibly, the substructural affect of the twinned martensite was also responsible for the poorer elongation properties. Hence, improving the elongation ductility of the duplex steels through carbide precipitation in the ferrite is not realized for the alloy systems investigated.

The carbide precipitation in the ferrite matrix reduced the specimen U.T.S./Y.S. ratio. This is illustrated in Fig. 20 by the smaller distance between the corresponding U.T.S. and Y.S. values

as the V_m decreases. Improved U.T.S./Y.S. ratios are noted in both alloys as a consequence of the IQ treatment.

As a result of the IQ treatment, the niobium steel displayed the best combination of mechanical properties. The microstructure of this DFM aggregate displayed more continuous regions in the ferrite matrix.

D. Comparison To Some Commercially Available
HSLA And Other DFM Steels

The strength vs. elongation data from some of the commercially available Republic HSLA steels was plotted in Fig. 22. The 2% Si duplex steel designed by Koo⁵ is displayed showing its superior strength and elongation ductility. The properties of these selected steels are compared to the molybdenum and niobium specimens which were subjected to the IQ treatment. Although both alloys showed superior strengths, only the niobium steel displayed commercially comparable elongation ductility. However, the final selection of an appropriate DFM steel is determined by the required mechanical properties necessary for the particular application in which the steel will be used.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based upon the investigation and characterization of the micro-duplex alloys with carbide forming elements in this research, the following conclusions are made:

- (1) Dispersion hardening as a secondary strengthening mechanism has an important influence on the overall mechanical properties of the system.
- (2) If carbides are present as shown to be the case in this investigation, the influence of martensite for controlling the overall mechanical properties of the system, is reduced. Although the V_m controls the U.T.S., the Y.S. and elongation ductility are more influenced by the flow properties of the ferrite arising from the dispersion of alloy carbides rather than by increasing the ferrite/martensite interfaces.
- (3) The need for high purity in the duplex processing of low-carbon low-alloy steels is reaffirmed if the ductility property is the primary concern. It is noted that alloy carbide precipitation in the ferrite matrix significantly reduces the elongation ductility, the U.T.S./Y.S. ratio and increases the Y.S. of the system.
- (4) The connectivity of the strong phase is influenced by the size, shape and distribution of the martensite particles. It appears that the connectivity of the martensite particles is an important factor contributing to the premature failure of the DFM composite where the ferrite is not able to provide its full ductility to the system.

- (5) The IQ route provided strength and elongation ductility combinations which were improved over those obtained by the IAC process.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my deep appreciation to Professor Gareth Thomas for his encouragement and guidance throughout the course of this investigation. I would like to thank my friend J. Y. Koo for his invaluable assistance and encouragement. I am grateful to B. V. Rao for his critical review of this material. A special thanks is to be extended to my wife Marilyn for her love and understanding. Finally, I am greatly indebted to our Lord Jesus Christ for His continual love and support.

This work was supported by the Materials and Molecular Research Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-48.

REFERENCES

1. J. Y. Koo and G. Thomas, Mat. Sci. and Engr., 24, 187 (1976).
2. J. Y. Koo and G. Thomas, Met. Trans., 8A, 525 (1977).
3. J. Y. Koo, M. S. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, LBL-3587, Feb. (1975).
4. M. J. Young, M. S. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, LBL-6620, Nov. (1977).
5. J. Y. Koo, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, LBL-6657, Aug. (1977). (See also J. Y. Koo and G. Thomas: Symposium on HSLA Formable Steels: AIME in press, LBL-6995).
6. S. Hayami and T. Furukawa, Micro Alloying '75, Symposium on High Strength, Low Alloy Steels, Products and Process, p. 78, Washington D.C. (1975).
7. R. L. Cairns and J. A. Charles, JISI, 205, 1044 (1967).
8. R. A. Grange, Proceedings of the 2nd Int'l. Conf. on the Strength of Metals and Alloys, p. 861, ASM, Pacific Grove (1970).
9. K. Araki, K. Nakaoka, M. Abe, and N. Ohashi, 9th Biennial Conference of IDDRG Research Group Proceedings, 39 (1976).
10. B. Harris, Composites, p. 152 July (1972).
11. I. L. Mongford, Met. Rev. 114, 12, 49 (1967).
12. G. Thomas, Battelle Conf. on Fundamental Aspects of Structural Alloy Design, Richland, Wash., LBL-4175 (1975).
13. Baumeister, Mark's Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers, McGraw-Hill, Inc. (1967).
14. A. G. Guy, Introduction to Material Science, McGraw-Hill, Inc. p. 66 (1972).

15. A. G. Guy and J. J. Hren, Elements of Physical Metallurgy, Addison-Wesley Co., Mass., p. 406 (1974).
16. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Designation E8-69, p. 196 (1973).
17. E. E. Underwood, Metals Engr. Quart., 1, 70 (1961).
18. H. I. Aaronson, Decomposition of Austenite by Diffusional Processes, V. F. Zackay and H. I. Aaronson, eds., Interscience, N.Y., p. 387 (1962).
19. A. G. Guy and J. J. Hren, op. cit., p. 420.
20. B. Karlsson and G. Lindén, Mat. Sci. and Engr. 17, 209 (1975).
21. S. D. Antolovich, A. Saxeria, and G. R. Chanani, Met. Trans., 5, 623 (1974).
22. P. L. Manganon, Jr. and G. Thomas, Met. Trans., 1, 1577 (1970).
23. E. Orowan, Nature, 149, 643 (1942).
24. A. Kelly, Met. Trans., 3, 2313 (1972).
25. V. R. Riley, J. Composite Materials, 2, 436 (1968).
26. G. C. Sih, E. P. Chen, and S. H. Huang, Engr. Fracture Mechanics, 6, 343 (1974).

Table I: Alloy compositions.

Alloy Designation	Fe (elements given in wt. pct.)	C	Si	Nb	Mo
A	Bal	0.15	1.45	--	0.38
C	Bal	0.14	1.45	0.034	--

Table II: Annealing temperatures in the ($\alpha+\gamma$) range with a ten minute holding time.

Heat Treatment	% Martensite	Annealing Temperatures ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	
		molybdenum alloy	niobium alloy
Intermediate	40	840	840
Quench	20	788	788
Intermediate	40	840	840
Air Cool	20	788	788

Direct Annealing treatments:			
Continuous Air Cool from ($\alpha+\gamma$)		788	788
Quenching from ($\alpha+\gamma$)	20	788	788

Table III: Tensile test summary.

Specimen Designation	Heat Treatment	V _m (Z)	U.T.S. (KSI)	Y.S. (KSI)	ϵ_T (Z)	ϵ_u (Z)	R.A. (Z)	U.T.S./Y.S.
DUPLEX TREATMENTS:								
A11	Intermediate Quench	40	129.1	76.6	16.3	12.2	23.7	1.7
C11		40	130.2	80.9	19.5	13.9	33.1	1.6
A12		20	126.2	87.8	12.3	10.0	21.2	1.4
C12		20	121.0	88.4	19.7	12.9	46.1	1.4
A13	Intermediate air cool	40	137.9	89.8	7.5	7.0	11.4	1.5
C13		40	134.7	85.2	10.8	9.3	20.3	1.6
A33		20	111.3	81.5	7.7	7.3	8.0	1.4
C33		20	122.6	87.7	7.7	7.4	7.5	1.4
NORMALIZING TREATMENT:								
A31	continuous air cooling from (Y)		90.8	68.6	33.4	19.5	63.5	1.3
C31			84.8	55.1	33.0	18.0	56.7	1.5
DIRECT ANNEALING TREATMENTS:								
A32	continuous air cooling from ($\alpha+\gamma$)		78.3	54.3	34.1	20.8	68.9	1.4
C32			76.6	53.0	46.10	22.3	65.8	1.4
A42	Quenching from ($\alpha+\gamma$)	20	135.8	77.8	12.5	9.5	29.8	1.7
C42		20	133.7	79.1	16.9	13.4	40.9	1.7

A = Fe/0.15 C/1.45 Si/0.38 Mo
 C = Fe/0.14 C/1.45 Si/0.034 Nb

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1. (a) Schematic diagram showing the orientation of a tensile specimen in the hot rolled bar. (b) sketch of a tensile bar specimen.
- Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the various heat treatments conducted in this investigation to control the final microstructure.
- Fig. 3. Schematic of the intermediate quench heat treatment in conjunction with the Fe-rich portion of the 2.4 wt. pct. section of the Fe/C/Si phase diagram.
- Fig. 4. Optical micrographs of the DFM structure with 40% martensite developed by the intermediate quench treatment. (a) molybdenum alloy and (b) niobium alloy.
- Fig. 5. Optical micrographs of the DFM structure with 20% martensite developed by the intermediate quench treatment. (a) molybdenum alloy and (b) niobium alloy.
- Fig. 6. Optical micrographs of the DFM structure with 40% martensite developed by the intermediate air cool treatment. (a) molybdenum alloy and (b) niobium alloy.
- Fig. 7. Optical micrographs of the DFM structure with 20% martensite developed by the intermediate air cool treatment. (a) molybdenum alloy and (b) niobium alloy.
- Fig. 8. Optical micrographs showing the proeutectoid ferrite plus other isothermal products as a consequence of continuously air cooling from the austenite region. (a) molybdenum alloy and (b) niobium alloy.

- Fig. 9. This bright field micrograph of the molybdenum alloy shows a martensite packet in a lath ferrite matrix. This specimen contains 20% martensite as a result of the intermediate quench treatment. The packet substructure consists of twinned and lath martensite.
- Fig. 10. This TEM micrograph of the molybdenum steel shows the molybdenum carbides embedded in the lath ferrite matrix. This specimen was subjected to the intermediate quench treatment and contains 20% martensite.
- Fig. 11. This bright field micrograph of the niobium alloy contains a martensite packet surrounded by a ferrite matrix. The packet substructure consists of a mixture of twinned and lath martensite. This specimen was subjected to the intermediate air cool treatment and contains 20% martensite.
- Fig. 12. The niobium alloy which was continuously air cooled from the austenite region contains pearlite and ferrite as evidenced by this TEM micrograph.
- Fig. 13. These bright field micrographs are from the molybdenum alloy which was continuously air cooled from the austenite region. (a) proeutectoid ferrite and (b) bainitic ferrite.
- Fig. 14. Strength vs. total elongation is plotted for the DFM molybdenum alloys as a result of the intermediate quench and intermediate air cool heat treatments.
- Fig. 15. Strength vs. uniform elongation is plotted for the DFM molybdenum alloys as a result of the intermediate quench and intermediate air cool treatments.

- Fig. 16. Strength vs. total elongation is plotted for the DFM niobium alloys as a result of the intermediate quench and intermediate air cool heat treatments.
- Fig. 17. Strength vs. uniform elongation is plotted for the DFM niobium alloys as a result of the intermediate quench and intermediate air cool treatments.
- Fig. 18. In this figure, the molybdenum and niobium alloys are compared in regards to their strength vs. total elongation. These specimens were subjected to the intermediate quench treatment.
- Fig. 19. In this figure, the molybdenum and niobium alloys are compared in regards to their strength vs. total elongation. These specimens were subjected to the intermediate air cool treatment.
- Fig. 20. The strength vs. % martensite for the molybdenum and niobium alloys as a result of either the intermediate quench or intermediate air cool treatment are noted in this figure.
- Fig. 21. The % elongation as a function of % martensite is shown for both alloys. The duplex heat treatments to which these alloys were subjected are noted in the figure.
- Fig. 22. This figure illustrates a comparison of strength vs. total elongation between some of the commercially available HSLA steels and selected DFM alloys.

(a)

(b)

X BL 789-5837

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

XBL789-5835

Fe-RICH PORTION OF THE
2.4 WT. % SECTION OF
Fe-Si-C PHASE DIAGRAM

HEAT TREATMENT
(e.g. INTERMEDIATE QUENCH)

XBL 789-5836

Fig. 3

XBB 789-12407

Fig. 4

XBB 789-12406

Fig. 5

XBB 789-12405

Fig. 6

XBB 789-12403

Fig. 7

XBB 789-12404

Fig. 8

Fig. 9

ABB 780-15908

XBB 780-1 JONG

NO. 750-15907

NOV 7 1964

XBB 780-15905

Fig. 13

XBL 789-5843

Fig. 14

XBL 789-5842

Fig. 15

X BL 789-5840

Fig. 16

Fig. 17

XBL 789-5838

XBL 789-5841

Fig. 18

XBL 789-5844

Fig. 19

XBL 789-5845

Fig. 20

Fig. 21

XBL 789-5839

XBL78II-6196

Fig. 22