Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

TM-1691

Radiation Studies in the Antiproton Source

Mike Church
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500
Batavia, Illinois 60510

September 10, 1990

RADIATION STUDIES IN THE ANTIPROTON SOURCE

Mike Church 9/10/90

Experiment E760 has a lead glass (Pb-G) calorimeter situated in the antiproton source tunnel in the accumulator ring at location A50. This location is exposed to radiation from several sources during antiproton stacking operations. A series of radiation studies has been performed over the last two years to determine the sources of this radiation and as a result, some shielding has been installed in the antiproton source in order to protect the lead glass from radiation damage.

MOTIVATION

The E760 Pb-G calorimeter contains 1280 pieces of lead glass of 20 different shapes 40-50 cm long and each weighing approximately 10 kg. The total cost of the lead glass, including machining, exceeds \$1,000,000. Each block is instrumented with a photomultiplier and ADC, and the digitized charge from the ADC is used to determine electromagnetic energy deposited in the lead glass -- hence the calibration and stability of these signals are very important. It is well known that lead glass suffers damage (loss of light transmission) from radiation.(1) Our own measurements show that a dose of 300-400 rads will reduce light transmission about 50% in a 50 cm long piece of F2 glass similar to that installed in the E760 calorimeter.(2) The studies reported here were conducted to determine how much radiation is present at A50 (location of the calorimeter) during antiproton stacking, what its sources are, and what can be done to reduce it.

Figure 1 is a plan view of the antiproton source. During stacking operations, a beam of 9 Gev negative particles (momentum spread about 4%) is injected from the AP-2 line into the debuncher ring on '29' cycles, circulated for about 2 seconds, and then transferred to the accumulator ring where antiprotons are "stacked". The ratio of pions to antiprotons at the end of the AP-2 line is about 100/1.(3) These pions all decay in the debuncher and only antiprotons are transferred to the accumulator. A pulse of beam about 1 nsec in duration is delivered down AP-2 about once every 3 seconds, although this rate will vary depending upon other accelerator operations. A typical good antiproton stacking rate is 1 mA/hr (10^{10} antiprotons/hr); thus about 10^{12} pions/hr are delivered to the antiproton source

-
- 1) "Radiation on F2 Lead Glass and Consequences for the Monitoring of the Absolute Calibration of Lead Glass Calorimeters" Kirsebom and Sollie, Nuclear Instruments and Methods, A245 (1986), p. 351-360
 - 2) J Marques, E760 internal memo, Nov. 1989
 - 3) private communication, J. Marriner

MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE

All radiation measurements were made using the standard radiation monitors deployed around the lab ("chipmunks"). These are propane filled ionization chambers in which charge from ionizing particles is collected and integrated (with a 3 or 10 second time constant). The unit outputs a TTL level pulse for each 2.5×10^{-6} rads detected. These pulses were then scaled and the scalars read out with the accelerator division ACNET controls system. The scalars are reset once every supercycle and the average over several supercycles is recorded. All monitors were calibrated by the Fermilab safety division before being used for these studies. Each unit has an internal source which generates a few counts per minute, but this was ignored since the typical count rate observed in these studies ranged from a few hundred to a few thousand. For these counters, 1 rad corresponds to 5.1×10^{11} minimum ionizing particles per meter² which is 400,000 counts.

We attempted to use loss monitors designed for use in the Tevatron to measure the dose rates in the AP-2 line. These consisted of a can of liquid scintillator instrumented with a photomultiplier and charge integrator. They had a large background rate (noise and DC offset at the input of the ADC) and could not accurately measure the low radiation rates observed.

INITIAL MEASUREMENTS 6/89

A 1st series of studies were conducted in June of 1989 during antiproton stacking operations before the Pb-G calorimeter was installed.

- 1) Monitors were placed in the locations shown in Figure 2; no shielding blocks were installed.
 - a) The pulsed magnet which steers beam onto the antiproton production target was turned off, preventing any beam from entering the AP-2 line and leaving only circulating beam in the accumulator. All dose rates went to 0. This demonstrates that circulating beam in the accumulator is not a source of radiation at A50.
 - b) The bend magnets halfway down the AP-2 line were turned off, preventing any beam from entering the downstream end of AP-2. All dose rates went to 0. This demonstrates that the upstream end of AP-2 is not a source of radiation at A50.
 - c) The monitor D3 near the debuncher but well upstream of the AP-2 line and the injection region showed a dose rate of about .01 rads/hr. This indicates that circulating beam in the debuncher is not a significant source of radiation at A50.
 - d) The vertical bend in AP-2 (IBV1) was turned off, preventing any beam from being injected into the debuncher. The radiation at A50 decreased, which means that at least some of the radiation comes from the injection area of the debuncher.

- e) A 1/2" aluminum plate was inserted into an air gap in the AP-2 beam-line downstream of Q28 (see Figure 5). Radiation at A50 increased by only 75%, demonstrating that the air gap in the AP-2 beamline is not a significant source of radiation at A50.

The general conclusion from measurements a)-e) is that the radiation at A50 has 2 possible sources: the injection section of the debuncher and the downstream end of the AP-2 line.

- 2) Collimators in the upstream end of the AP-2 line were adjusted in an attempt to minimize the dose rates at A50. At this time, 2 sets of vertical collimators and 2 sets of horizontal collimators were used. A group of "optimum" settings were found which reduced radiation at A50 by a factor of 6.5 while reducing circulating debuncher beam by only 20% (see Figure 2).
- 3) 13 movable shielding blocks consisting of steel cages filled with cinder blocks and steel plates were used to try and shield radiation from the injection section of the debuncher. These cages are 32"x25"x55" high, and the steel plates occupy a volume of 16" deep x 25"x24" centered at beam height for a total of 4.8 interaction lengths at a 45 degree angle of incidence. 7 different configurations were tried and radiation doses were measured after each configuration. Figures 3a - 3d show 4 consecutive configurations. The conclusions drawn from this study are:
 - a) the debuncher kicker is a large source of radiation;
 - b) the debuncher septum is also a significant source of radiation;
 - c) no other sources of radiation were found; and
 - d) local shielding reduced the dose at A50 by a factor of 1.7 with collimators in optimum position (compare Figure 3a with Figure 2 normalized to 19 '29' cycles).
- 4) 10 concrete shielding blocks, 35"x35"x72", were placed between the debuncher and accumulator upstream of A50 in an attempt to block radiation coming from AP-2. No change in dose rate was measured at A50. Since this shielding is $5 \times 35 / 16 = 11$ interaction lengths, we conclude that the radiation coming from AP-2 is primarily muons. $dE/dx = 3.7$ Mev/cm for concrete, so that 5×35 " can only effectively shield muons with energy less than about 2 Gev.

MONTE CARLO STUDIES

The production of muons from primary (9 GeV) decaying pions was simulated with a version of "Decay Turtle"(4) in order to investigate this as a possible source of radiation at A50. Decay Turtle is a monte carlo program which tracks pions and their daughter particles (muons and neutrinos) through beamline elements. Decay Turtle was 1st run tracking only pions to verify reasonable agreement with actual SEM displays from the AP2 line.(5) Initial phase space distributions were chosen broad enough to fill the acceptance of the downstream end of AP-2. Additional code was added to track muons outside the beampipe aperture with multiple scattering in steel (bends, trims, and quads) and bending in quadrupole steel simulated. An accurate field map of the quadrupoles was used. The final result is shown in the scatter plot of Figure 4. Each entry in the scatter plot represents 1 muon, and the 1800 entries in the plot correspond to 9200 pions at the end of AP-2, or 92 antiprotons. To normalize this to 1 mA/hr of stacking (assuming 80% debuncher-to-accumulator efficiency), each entry/m**2 in the scatter plot represents $10^{10}/92/.80 = 1.4 \times 10^{11}$ minimum ionizing particles/m**2/hr or $1.4 \times 10^{11} / 5.1 \times 10^{11} = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ rads/hr. The plot has approximately 1 entry in the square meter centered on the accumulator which represents a dose rate 200 times smaller than the observed dose rate.

The conclusion is that muons from PRIMARY decaying pions is not a significant source of radiation at A50.

MEASUREMENTS 2/90 TO 9/90

Some of the radiation studies of 6/89 were repeated between 2/90 and 4/90 with the results shown in the following table. Where noted, the shielding was installed in a configuration very similar to that shown in Figure 5. The results agree fairly well with the measurements done on 6/89 with the exception that the collimators are not as effective as they were on 6/89 with collimators in "optimum" position.

DATE	'29' CYCLES/ SUPERCYCLE	DOSE @ A50 (cnts/supercycle)	D:FFTTOT (nA) debuncher beam	SHIELDING	COLLIMATORS
2/25	20	1081	1150	NO	OUT
2/25	20	212	900	NO	OPTIMUM
3/17	18	1108	1800	YES	OUT
3/17	18	280	1100	YES	OPTIMUM
4/1	4	267	1700	YES	OUT
4/1	4	73	1000	YES	OPTIMUM

Data for the measurements done on 4/1/90 are shown in Figure 5 as circled numbers in rads/hr normalized to 19 '29' cycles/supercycle.

4) "A Computer Program for Simulating Charged Particle Beam Transport Systems, Including Decay Calculations", SLAC-246 UC-28, March 1982

5) Antiproton Source Beamline Operations Manual

During the accelerator shutdown between 4/90 and 6/90, the AP-2 line was modified (bending magnet apertures opened up and a toroid removed from the downstream end) and the line was retuned. In addition, a 3'x3'x12' long steel shield was placed around the AP2 beampipe just downstream of Q26 (see Figure 5) under the hypothesis that the radiation at A50 was due to muons from secondary pions--that is, primary pions leaving the beampipe, interacting in the quadrupole steel, and producing secondary pions which then decay to muons. If this were the case, the 12' of steel would effectively stop pions which had exited the beam pipe.

During the 1st E760 phase 2 run (lead glass calorimeter installed) from 6/90 to 9/90 a survey of dose rates in the AP2 tunnel was made and these numbers are shown in Figure 5. In addition, radiation at A50 was continually monitored and the AP2 collimators were re-optimized. It was found that:

- 1) Radiation is present throughout the downstream end of the AP2 tunnel. The observed rates are consistent with the rates observed at A50.
- 2) There is evidence that Q27 is a source of radiation.
- 3) The 3'x3'x12' steel shield was ineffective in reducing radiation.
- 4) Radiation rates at A50 were somewhat higher than previously measured (.08 rads/hr at the edge of the E760 pit).
- 5) The AP-2 collimators were not nearly as effective as they had previously been. Putting the collimators in "optimized" position reduced the radiation at A50 a factor of 3.5 while reducing the stacking rate by 50%.
- 6) During the course of the run the Pb-G calorimeter absorbed a total of 70 rads of radiation as measured at the edge of the E760 pit. A flashlamp monitoring system on the Pb-G shows a gradual loss of signal (6%) in the upper half of the calorimeter but not in the the bottom half of the calorimeter. It is not clear yet if radiation is a cause of this loss in transmission.
- 7) 14 35"x35"x72" high concrete blocks were placed between the accumulator and debuncher just upstream of A50 for the last month of the run. As in 6/89, this had no effect on the radiation rates measured at A50.

CONCLUSIONS

Current radiation rates at A50 have the potential to damage the E760 lead glass calorimeter during the next fixed target run, 12/90 - 4/91, and in fact, some damage may have already occurred. Radiation levels in the AP2 tunnel are consistent with producing most of the radiation at A50. The new AP-2 beam conditions may have introduced new sources of radiation at A50 (debuncher injection elements, for example). These studies will continue and further options will be pursued.

APPENDIX

1 rad = 6.24×10^{10} Mev/kg deposited energy.

If N = density of minimum ionizing particles (particles/cm²), then

$$R = (N/6.24 \times 10^{10}) \times (dE/dx)/d, \text{ where } R \text{ is dose in rads}$$

dE/dx is energy loss in Mev/cm
 d is density in g/cm³.

For propane filled radiation monitors, $dE/dx = 2.57 \times 10^{-3}$ Mev/cm
 $d = 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ g/cm³,

therefore $N = R \times 5.1 \times 10^7$.

For Pb-G $dE/dx = 5.4$ Mev/cm and $d = 3.61$ g/cm³, therefore

the radiation dose in Pb-G is actually 20% higher than measured by the propane-filled monitors.

For concrete $dE/dx = 3.7$ Mev/cm and interaction length = 40 cm

For steel 1 interaction length = 16.8 cm

FIG. 1: Antiproton Source

Counts/supercycle; no shielding; 11 '29' cycles per 40 sec supercycle

Collimators out Collimators "optimized"

B0	2369	410
B1	8747	926
B2	11838	1585
B3	1954	427
C0	1677	257 (.058 rads/hr)
C1	1922	292 (.066 rads/hr)
C2	1067	164
C3	154	27
D0	129	21
D1	2324	520
D2	1277	364
D3	53	29
E0	94	16
E1	3389	468
E2	3987	590
E3	3176	594
D:FFT0T	1600 nA	1300 nA (circulating debuncher beam)

FIG. 2: Location of Radiation Monitors, 6/89; C0 is at ceiling height; E0, D0 on E760 pit floor; all others at beam height; D0 is neutron monitor

FIG 3b: Shielding location for configuration 4; monitor count rates are in cnts/supercycle, 19 '29' cycles/supercycle;
D:FFTTOT= 1300 nA 6/89

FIG 3c: Shielding location for configuration 5; monitor count rates are in cnts/supercycle, normalized to 19 '29' cycles/supercycle; D:FFTTOT (circulating debuncher beam) not recorded 6/89

FIG 3d: Shielding location for configuration 6; monitor count rates are in cnts/supercycle, normalized to 19 '29' cycles/supercycle; D:FFTTOT=1400 nA 6/89

FIG. 4: Cross section of Accumulator enclosure at A50 with monte carlo data; horizontal and vertical scales are in mm and the vertical scale is upside down; each entry in the scatter plot is 1 muon; normalized to a 1 mA/hr stack rate, 1 entry corresponds to $3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ rads/hr

FIG. 5: Location of shielding blocks and dose rates in AP-2 tunnel in rads/hr normalized to 19 '29' cycles/60 sec supercycle and the monitor (.26) 7' downstream of the steel shield; circled values are dose rates as measured on 4/1/90 normalized to 19 '29' cycles/supercycle. Transverse scale is expanded 4x wrt longitudinal scale.