250
9-1-81
Jude

B6891

①

LD. 2982

M

ASSACHUSETTS

I

NSSTITUTE OF

T

ECHNOLOGY

MASTER

P

LASMA

F

USION

C

ENTER

ASSOCIATED WITH

DEPARTMENT OF AERONAUTICS AND ASTRONAUTICS
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
DEPARTMENT OF MATERIALS SCIENCE
AND ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF NUCLEAR ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
FRANCIS BITTER NATIONAL MAGNET LABORATORY
RESEARCH LABORATORY OF ELECTRONICS

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-78ET51013. Reproduction, translation, publication, use and disposal, in whole or part, by or for the United States government is permitted.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Printed in the United States of America

Available from:

National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

NTIS price codes: Printed copy: _____; Microfiche: A01

Page Range	Domestic Price	NTIS Price Code	Page Range	Domestic Price	NTIS Price Code
001-025	\$ 5.00	A02	326-350	\$18.00	A15
026-050	6.00	A03	351-375	19.00	A16
051-075	7.00	A04	376-400	20.00	A17
076-100	8.00	A05	401-425	21.00	A18
101-125	9.00	A06	426-450	22.00	A19
126-150	10.00	A07	451-475	23.00	A20
151-175	11.00	A08	476-500	24.00	A21
176-200	12.00	A09	501-525	25.00	A22
201-225	13.00	A10	526-550	26.00	A23
226-250	14.00	A11	551-575	27.00	A24
251-275	15.00	A12	576-600	28.00	A25
276-300	16.00	A13	601-up	†	A99
301-325	17.00	A14			

†Add \$1.00 for each additional 25 page increment or portion thereof for 601 pages and more.

PFC/RR-81-6

DOE/ET/51013-8
UC20 f, g

RF-DRIVEN TOKAMAK REACTOR WITH SUB-IGNITED,
THERMALLY STABLE OPERATION*

L.P. Harten, A. Bers, V. Fuchs**, M.M. Shoucri**

February, 1981

DISCLAIMER

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

- * To appear in Proceedings of the 4th Topical Conference on RF Heating of Plasma, University of Texas at Austin, Feb. 1981.
- ** IREQ, Varennes, Quebec, JOL 2P0.

RF-DRIVEN TOKAMAK REACTOR WITH SUB-IGNITED, THERMALLY STABLE OPERATION*

L. P. Harten and A. Bers, MIT Plasma Fusion Center, Cambridge, MA 02139

V. Fuchs and M. M. Shoucri, IREQ, Varennes, Quebec, JOL 2PO

A Radio-Frequency Driven Tokamak Reactor (RFDTR) can use RF-power, programmed by a delayed temperature measurement, to thermally stabilize a power equilibrium below ignition¹, and to drive a steady state current². We propose the parameters for such a device generating ≈ 1600 MW thermal power and operating with $Q \approx 40$ (= power out / power in). A one temperature zero-dimensional model allows simple analytical formulation of the problem. The relevance of injected impurities for locating the equilibrium is discussed. We present the results of a one-dimensional (radial) code which includes the deposition of the supplementary power, and compare with our zero-dimensional model.

The one dimensional equations for a plasma are modeled by:

$$\frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial(nT_i)}{\partial t} = f_i P_\alpha - P_{diff}^{(i)} + P_{exch} + g_i P_{supp} \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial(nT_e)}{\partial t} = f_e P_\alpha - P_{diff}^{(e)} - P_{exch} - P_{rad} + P_{ohm} + g_e P_{supp} \quad (1b)$$

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (-rD \frac{\partial n}{\partial r}) + S \quad (1c)$$

where: $f_{i,e}$ ($f_i + f_e = 1$) represent the fractions of the α heating, P_α , going to ions and electrons; $g_{i,e}$ ($g_e + g_i = 1$) represent the fractions of the supplemental heating, P_{supp} , going to ions and electrons; $P_{diff}^{(i,e)}$ represent the diffusion operators for ions and electrons acting on their respective temperature profiles; P_{exch} is the Spitzer form of the ion-electron exchange heating; P_{rad} is the Bremsstrahlung and the impurity line radiation losses; P_{ohm} is the Spitzer form of the Ohmic heating; D is the diffusion coefficient; and S is the plasma density source controlled in a manner to reach a given central value. The supplemental heating is also controlled, specifically as a function of central temperature T_0 , in a manner to obtain a thermally stable equilibrium.

We tested this method of control of tokamak heating using the one dimensional model of Eq. (1) in the following case: $n_0 = 2. \times 10^{20}/m^3$, $T_e = 9.5$ keV, and $T_i = 9.0$ keV, with a supplemental heating of 100 MW which is reduced to 30 MW at the equilibrium. This equilibrium power can be used at the same time to maintain a steady state current. The analysis of the thermal stability about such an equilibrium in this model is a formidable task; we instead consider the contribution to such an analysis which a zero dimensional model can make.

We thus examine Eq. (1) at the center of the plasma, taking $n = n_0$ (constant), and $T_e = T_i = T_0$ (time-dependent), and with the diffusion terms $P_{diff}^{(e,i)}$ replaced by $(\frac{3}{2}nT)/\tau_{e,i}$, where $\tau_{e,i}$ are the neoclassical (ions) and anomalous-(electron) energy confinement times. P_{supp} is large compared to P_{ohm} . Summing Eq. (1 a) and Eq. (1 b), and using $2/\tau = 1/\tau_e + 1/\tau_i \approx 1/\tau_e$, yields

*Work supported by U. S. DOE contract DE-AC02-78ET-51013

$$3n_0 \frac{dT_0}{dt} = P_\alpha - 3n_0 T_0 / \tau - P_{rad} + P_{supp} \equiv F(n_0, T_0) \quad (2)$$

Now P_α and P_{rad} scale as n_0^2 , so we write them as $n_0^2 p_{(\alpha, rad)}(T_0)$, and the equilibria of Eq. (2) are thus

$$n_0^2 = \frac{\frac{3n_0 T_0}{\tau} - P_{supp}}{p_\alpha - p_{rad}} \quad (3)$$

The equilibria of Eq. (2) are thermally stable if and only if

$$\left. \frac{\partial F(n_0, T_0)}{\partial T_0} \right|_{F=0} < 0 \Leftrightarrow \left. \frac{dn_0}{dT_0} \right|_{F=0} > 0 \text{ if } T > T_{asym}. \quad (4)$$

This can be achieved by gradually turning off the supplemental heating in the vicinity of the anticipated operating point. The turn-off stage maps in the n - T plane as a positive slope transition between the 0-contour and the contour given by the actual value of $P_{supp}(T_0)$. The steady operation materializes on the transition segment as shown in Fig. 1. Heating will occur for $T_0 < T_{asym}$ when the density is below the solid curve and for $T > T_{asym}$ when the density is above the solid curve. We thus take $n \approx 1 \times 10^{20}/\text{m}^3$ while $T < T_{asym}$ and then add fuel to the plasma when T exceeds T_{asym} ; and follow the thermally stable curve in Fig. 1 until we reach the desired operating point by decreasing the supplemental heating in the controlled manner.

We are also able to consider the further problem of delayed control by writing the system

$$3n_0 \frac{dT_0}{dt} = P_\alpha - 3n_0 T_0 / \tau - P_{rad} + P_{supp}(T_d) \quad (5a)$$

$$\frac{dT_d}{dt} = (T_0 - T_d) / \tau_d \quad (5b)$$

where T_d represents the temperature measured at a time delayed by τ_d . We find that simple control functions for P_{supp} allow $\tau_d \approx 1$ second. Thermal stability of the plasma center can therefore be achieved with a supplemental heating based on feedback measurement of the peak temperature.

To determine the power output which can be generated from such operation, we now consider the global power balance obtained by performing a volume average of Eq. (2), assuming simple density and temperature profiles, with a fixed central density n and a time-dependent central temperature $T(t)$. Fitting a cubic polynomial $f(T)$ to the average of $(P_\alpha - P_{rad})/n^2$ leads to the simple form

$$\left\langle 3n \frac{dT}{dt} \right\rangle = n^2 f(T) - bT/a^2 + P_{supp}(T) \equiv F(n, T) \quad (6)$$

where a is the plasma minor radius and b is a constant.

The thermal power density P_{th} is taken equal to the sum of the neutron energy and the radiation ($5P_\alpha + P_{rad}$), since the walls will ultimately absorb all of the power carried by the neutron and radiation fluxes. We now define Q as the ratio of the thermal power output to the supplemental heating input at equilibrium, $T = T_{eq}$:

$$Q \equiv P_{th}/P_{supp}(T_{eq}) = n_{eq}^2(5p_{\alpha}(T_{eq}) + p_{rad}(T_{eq}))/P_{supp}(T_{eq}). \quad (7)$$

It is desirable to operate a tokamak in a region of the n - T plane where several criteria are satisfied: the neutron wall loading is in the range 2-4 MW/m²; the thermal power is on the order of 1600 MW; and the plasma has an average $\beta < 10\%$. The plasma should have a minor radius $a \approx 1$ m, and a major radius $R > 3$ m in order to confine the α particles. The magnetic field must be in the range 40-80 KG to provide plasma confinement and have a safety factor $q(a) = 3$. These constraints can be imposed on the contours of Eqs. (2) and (6) in order to select compatible parameters.

We select the equilibrium temperature and density from the 0 contour of Eq. (2) so that the core will be in equilibrium for a given supplemental power input. The thermal power, Q , and β contours are shown in Fig. 2.

It is found that the criteria admit of a range of solutions, and we propose the following parameters as a suitable choice: $R = 8$ m and $a = 1$ m with a shape factor of $S = 1.6$ for improved MHD stability and additional cross sectional area; $B_0 = 55$ KG and $I = 3$ MA with $q(a) = 3$; supplemental power is 40 MW at the equilibrium, having been programmed as a function of temperature.

There is a tradeoff for Q and τ_d in that as we approach the ignition curve, in order to use only a little power to control the plasma, the time over which we must respond to positive thermal fluctuations to avoid thermal runaway is reduced. Fig. 3 shows a sample case in which there is a .2 keV positive thermal fluctuation in T at $t = 0$; with $\tau_d = .8$ sec we find that the thermal stability is preserved.

We note that the addition of impurities to the plasma causes the line radiation to increase, and thus requires that the α production rate be increased in order to achieve equilibrium; since both terms scale as n_0^2 , the temperature T_0 must rise to make P_{α} compensate for P_{rad} . This has the effect of causing a larger neutron production rate so that the overall thermal power output increases.

The RFIDTR tokamak is taken to have an initial plasma density $n_0 = 1.75 \times 10^{20}/\text{m}^3$, and an impurity of Mo with a profile of $10^{-2}\%$ of the plasma density. After the supplemental heating raises the temperature above T_{asym} , we add additional fuel and also $10^{-2}\%$ Xe to cause further line radiation, thus pushing upward the ignition curve of Fig. 1. The controlled supplemental heating reduces to 40 MW, and the density approaches $3 \times 10^{20}/\text{m}^3$, as the temperature approaches 9 keV at the operating point. We find that the thermal power output is 1620 MW, and thus $Q = 40$ at this thermally stable equilibrium. The neutron wall loading is 3 MW/m² for this case, and $\beta = 3.4\%$.

The time dependence of the supplemental heating indicates that the power must be cycled on a short time scale to control the positive thermal fluctuations, so that RF power appears to be a very attractive candidate for such a source. The use of phased RF waves with a spectrum in 2-5 thermal velocity range can drive the current and thus eliminate bulky transformer equipment². This design concept of the Radio Frequency Driven Tokamak Reactor is for a medium size (600 MW electric) generating plant.

Figure 1. Equilibrium of plasma core; central density n_0 ($10^{20}/\text{m}^3$) vs central temperature T_0 . Dotted curve is the ignition case of $P_{\text{supp}} = 0$. Solid curve is for $P_{\text{supp}} \geq P_{\text{diff}}$. Dash-dot curve is for the previous P_{supp} , with thermal control (slow turnoff) making a thermally stable transition to the ignition curve.

Figure 2. Plot of P_{th} (MW), Q , and β contours.

Solid: $P_{\text{th}} = 1600$ MW

Dash-dot: $P_{\text{th}} = 2100$ MW

Dot: $\beta = 3\%$

Long dash: $Q = 10$

Short dash: $Q = 40$

Contours in the n_0 ($10^{20}/\text{m}^3$) vs T_0 plane, using RFDTR parameters.

Figure 3. Temporal evolution of $T(t)$, and of the delayed measurement $T_d(t)$, under thermally controlled conditions, illustrating the return to thermally stable operating point when a .2 keV positive thermal fluctuation makes $T > T_d$ at $t=0$.

References

- [1] Harten, L., Fuchs, V., Bers, A., *Nucl. Fus.* 20 833 (1980).
- [2] Bers, A. and Fisch, N. J., and Fisch, N. J., and Bers, A., *Proc. of the Third Topical Conf. on RF Plasma Heating*, Pasadena, CA, Jan. 11-13, 1978;
Karney, C. F. F., and Fisch, N. J., *Phys. Fluids* 22, 1817 (1979); and
Yuen, S. Y., Kaplan, D., and Cohn, D. R., *Nucl. Fus.* 20, 159 (1980).

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

Institutions

Argonne National Laboratory
Association Euratom-CEA
Grenoble, France
Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
Atomics International
Austin Research Associates
Bank of Tokyo
Brookhaven National Laboratory
CNEN-Italy
College of William and Mary
Columbia University
Cornell University
Laboratory for Plasma Studies
Applied & Engineering Physics
Culham Laboratory
Culham Laboratory/Project JET
E G & G Idaho, Inc.
Electric Power Research Institute
General Atomic Company
General Electric Company
Georgia Institute of Technology
Grumman Aerospace Corporation
Hanform Engineering Development Lab.
Hiroshima University
Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute
Kernforschungsanlage/Julich GmbH
Kyoto University
Kyushu University
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
Max Planck Institut für Plasma Physik
McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Co.
Nagoya University
Naval Research Laboratory
New York University/Courant Institute

Nuclear Service Corporation
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Osaka University
Physics International Group
Princeton University/Plasma Physics
Sandia Research Laboratories
Science Applications, Inc.
Fusion Energy Development
Lab for Applied Plasma Studies
Plasma Research Institute
Stanford University
University of California/Berkeley
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Dept. of Physics
University of California/Irvine
University of California/Los Angeles
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Dept. of Physics
Tokamak Fusion Laboratory
School of Eng. & Applied Science
University of Maryland
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Dept. of Physics
Inst. for Physical Science & Tech.
University of Michigan
University of Rochester
University of Texas
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering
Dept. of Physics
University of Tokyo
University of Washington
University of Wisconsin
Dept. of Nuclear Engineering
Dept. of Physics
Varian Associates
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Yale University

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

Individuals

Amheard, N.
Electric Power Research Institute
Balescu, R.C.
University Libre de Bruxelles
Bartosek, V.
Nuclear Res. Inst., Czechoslovakia
Berge, G.
University of Bergen, Norway
Braams, C.M.
FOM/Inst. for Plasma Phys., Netherlands
Brunelli, B.
C.N.E.N.-Centro Frascati, Italy
Brzosko, J.S.
Inst. of Physics, Warsaw University
Cap, F.
Inst. fur Theor. Physik, Innsbruck
Conn, R.W.
Chemical Engineering, UCLA
Consoli, T.
Residence Elysee I, Claud, France
Cuperman, S.
Dept. of Physics, Tel-Aviv University
Engelhardt, W.
Max-Planck Institute für Plasmaphysik
Engelmann, F.
FOM/Inst. for Plasma Phys., Netherlands
Fiedorowicz, H.
Kaliski Inst. of Plasma Physics, Warsaw
Frolov, V.
Div. of Research & Laboratories, Vienna
Fushimi, K.
Science Council of Japan, Tokyo
Gibson, A.
JET/Culham, Abingdon, England
Goedbloed, J.P.
FOM/Inst. for Plasma Phys., Netherlands
Goldenbaum, G.
Lawrence Livermore Laboratories
Hamberger, S.M.
Australian National University
Hellberg, M.A.
University of Natal, South Africa
Hintz, E.A.K.
Kernforschungsanlage/Julich GmbH
Hirose, A.
University of Saskatchewan
Hirsch, R.
EXXON Research & Engineering Co.
Hosking, R.J.
University of Waikato, New Zealand
Ito, H.
Osaka University
Jacquinot, J.G.
CEN/Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
Jensen, V.O.
Riso National Lab, Denmark
Jones, R.
National University of Singapore
Kadomtsev, B.B.
Kurchatov Institute, Moscow
Kostka, P.
Central Res. Inst., Budapest
Kunze, H.-J.
Ruhr-Universität, F. R. Germany
Lackner, K.
Max-Planck Inst. für Plasmaphysik
Lee, S.
University of Malay
Lenhert, B.P.
Royal Inst. of Technology, Sweden
Malo, J.O.
University of Nairobi, Kenya
Mercier, C.H.B.
C.N.E.N./Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
Nodwell, R.A.
University of British Columbia, Canada
Offenberger, A.A.
University of Alberta, Canada
Ortolani, S.
Centro di Studio/C.N.R., Italy
Palumbo, D.
Rue de la Loi, 200, Bruxelles
Pellat, R.
Centre National, Palaiseau, France
Paquette, G.
Université de Montreal, Canada
Rabinovich, M.S.
Lebedev Institute, Moscow
Razumova, K.A.
Kurchatov Institute, Moscow
Register, A.
Kernforschungsanlage/Julich GmbH
Rosenau, P.
Technion, Haifa, Israel
Rosenblum, M.
Soreq Research Center, Yavne, Israel
Rudakov, L.I.
Kurchatov Institute, Moscow
Ryutov, D.D.
Nuclear Physics Instit., Novosibirsk
Salas, J.S.R.
Inst. Nacional de Investig. Nucleares
Shafranov, V.D.
Kurchatov Institute, Moscow
Smirnov, V.P.
Kurchatov Institute, Moscow
Spalding, J.-J.
Culham Laboratory, Abingdon, England
Tachon, J.
CEN/Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
Tewari, D.D.
Dept. of Physics, IIT, New Dehli
Trocheris, M.
CEN/Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
Vandenplas, P.E.
Ecole Royale Militaire, Bruxelles
Verheest, F.
Rijksuniversiteit, Gent, Belgium
Watson-Munro, C.N.
University of Sydney, Australia
Wesson, J.A.
Culham Laboratory, Abingdon, England
Wilhelm, R.
Inst. für Plasmaphysik, Stuttgart
Wilhelmsson, K.H.B.
Chalmers Univ. of Technology, Sweden
Wobig, H.
Max-Planck Inst. für Plasmaphysik