SAND81-1156
Unlimited Release
UC-60

Vertical Axis Wind Turbine Control Strategy

Gerald M. McNerney

Prepared by Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185
and Livermore, California 94550 for the United States Department
of Energy under Contract DE-AC04-76DPO0789

Printed August 1981

***When printing a copy of any digitized SAND
Report, you are required to update the
markings to current standards.***

Sandia National Laboratories

Issued by Sandia National Laboratories, operated for the United States Department of Energy by Sandia Corporation.

NOTICE: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government, any agency thereof or any of their contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, any agency thereof or any of their contractors or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America

Available from
National Technical Information Service
U. S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

NTIS price codes
Printed copy: \$5.00
Microfiche copy: A01

Vertical Axis Wind Turbine Control Strategy

Gerald M. McNerney
Advanced Energy Project Division 4715
Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM 87185

Abstract

Algorithm control strategy for unattended wind turbine operation at the low wind speed cut-in condition is a potentially important aspect of wind energy production that has thus far not been fully treated in the literature. Early experience in automatic operation of the Sandia 17-m vertical axis research wind turbine (VAWT) has demonstrated the need for a systematic study of control algorithms. To this end, a computer model has been developed that uses actual wind time series and turbine performance data to calculate the power produced by the Sandia 17-m VAWT operating in automatic control. The model has been used to investigate the influence of starting algorithms on annual energy production. The results indicate that, depending on turbine and local wind characteristics, a bad choice of a control algorithm can significantly reduce overall energy production. The model can be used to select control algorithms and threshold parameters that maximize long-term energy production. An attempt has been made to generalize these results from local site and turbine characteristics to obtain general guidelines for control algorithm design.

Acknowledgment

The author extends his appreciation to M. T. Mattison Division 4715, for his help in initiating this study and to W. N. Sullivan, also of Division 4715, for his continued support and helpful ideas in carrying out the necessary work.

Vertical Axis Wind Turbine Control Strategy

Introduction

We have developed a computer model that uses test data to calculate the energy produced by a vertical axis wind turbine (VAWT) running on automatic control. The purpose of the model is twofold:

- To develop guidelines in control algorithm strategies. This task was initially undertaken by using data specific to the Sandia/DOE VAWT and it produced interesting results that could be applied to the automatic control program.
- To provide general information on the percentage of energy available to a VAWT that is lost in the automatic control process. This information will be used to select economical VAWTS for specific sites.

I will discuss the automatic control model, followed by the algorithms tested and their results, and finally, generalized information that will apply to other turbine-site pairs.*

The Computer Model

The computer model was designed to simulate the Sandia/DOE 17-m Research VAWT at Albuquerque, NM, running on automatic control. Two sets of data are needed to simulate a turbine-site pair:

- A turbine electric power curve (EPC) that presents the turbine's power output as a function of windspeed
- A windspeed-time series at a sampling frequency of at least 0.1 Hz

*A turbine-site pair refers to a site and a turbine considered as a unit; some turbines may not be compatible with a particular site, but only by combining the specifications for both can compatibility be determined.

The EPC data is a set of Bins data collected at the Sandia test site from the 17-m VAWT, operating at 5.3 rad/s.¹ Figure 1 plots these data. The windspeed-time series data were collected in 43 recording periods totalling 890 hours (at a frequency of 0.5 Hz) during weekends and nights of the summer and fall of 1979. The probability density function of the windspeed is plotted in Figure 2. The mean value of windspeed for the data is 4.96 m/s, which is above the actual Albuquerque mean annual windspeed, thereby reflecting a bias toward high wind.

The computer model itself is a FORTRAN program in which the electric power, as a function of windspeed data, is used to determine the power available at each sampling event. If the turbine is stopped, the control algorithm uses the power available to decide if the turbine should be started. If the turbine is running, the power is summed to determine the energy generated. (I will be discussing portions of the more interesting control algorithms in FORTRAN logic later in this report.)

Figure 1. Bins Data for 17-m VAWT

Figure 2. Probability Density Function of Windspeed

The overall energy output that is computed for a particular control algorithm depends on when and how long the turbine runs, as well as the number of starts. The energy consumed by each start is added up, and that sum is subtracted from the calculated energy produced when the turbine is running. For the Sandia/DOE 17-m VAWT, each start consumes approximately 0.6 kWh.

The output of the automatic control simulator is given as an algorithm efficiency as well as by the number of starts occurring over the duration of the wind data. The notion of algorithm efficiency* will be given precise meaning following the preliminary notion of the energy available to a turbine-site pair.

Energy Available

The energy available to a turbine-site pair over the time period, T , is calculated as follows:

$$E_T = T \int_{v_0}^{cs} \text{power}(v) \cdot p(v) dv, \quad (1)$$

where $\text{power}(v)$ is the turbine EPC or the average power produced by the turbine at windspeed, v ; $p(v)$ is the windspeed probability density function (PDF) over the time interval, T ; and v_0 is the cut-in windspeed.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Power}(v) &< 0 \text{ for } v < v_0, \text{ and} \\ \text{Power}(v) &\geq 0 \text{ for } v \geq v_0. \end{aligned}$$

*The algorithm efficiency of an automatic control system for a turbine-site pair over a test period is that proportion of energy produced by the turbine-site pair, operating under algorithm control, to that of the energy available over the test period.

In practice, the EPC and the PDF for an actual turbine and site are given as a finite set of values, in which case

$$E_T = T \cdot \sum_{\text{Power} > 0} \text{Power}(v_i) \cdot p(v_i). \quad (2)$$

The energy available then represents the ideal maximum energy that could be produced by a turbine-site pair.

Algorithms Tested

Five different algorithms have been tested by the automatic control simulator in parameter studies:

- Discrete windspeed
- Moving windspeed
- Moving power
- Discrete, double-power
- Canadian coasting

The first four have two parameters that are adjusted to find the optimum operating values:

- Cut-in/cut-off threshold — The minimum value of average windspeed or average power that is required to start or stop a turbine at low windspeed by a decision loop of a control algorithm
- Test window — The duration of the test period over which the average windspeed or power is calculated for comparison with the cut-in/cut-off threshold

Discrete Windspeed Algorithm

A windspeed average is calculated and tested against the cut-in/-off threshold at the end of each test window. If the turbine is parked and the average is greater than the cut-in threshold, the turbine is started. If the turbine is operating and the average is less than the cut-off threshold, the turbine is stopped.

Moving Windspeed Algorithm

A windspeed average is calculated and tested against the cut-in/-off threshold over the test window after each windspeed reading. After a start or stop, a 60-second blind period is introduced to simulate an actual start or stop. The cut-in algorithm actually used follows:

Initially, $IC1 = 1$,

$T = \text{test window}$

$NPT = \text{number of sample points in } T$

CIT = cut in threshold
WSSUM = 0
ARRAY (I) = 0, I = 1, NPT
WSR = current windspeed reading

with these values,

```

START
10 READ WSR
   IC = IC1
   IC1 = IC1 + 1
   IF (IC1.GT.NPT) IC1 = 1
   WSSUM = WSSUM + WSR
   WSAUG = WSSUM/T
   WSSUM = WSSUM - ARRAY (IC1)
   ARRAY (IC) = WSR
   IF (WSAUG.GE.CIT) START
   Continue to 10.

```

Moving Power Algorithm

The average power is calculated from the power-as-a-function-of-windspeed table of the EPC and tested against the cut-in/-off threshold over the test window after each windspeed reading. After a start or stop, a 60-second blind period is introduced to simulate an actual start or stop. The cut-in algorithm actually used follows:

Initially, IC1 = 1
T = test window
NPT = number of sample points in T
CIT = cut in threshold
PSUM = 0
ARRAY (I) = 0 I = 1, NPT
IWS = integer nearest the current windspeed ready
Δt = sample period

with these values,

```

START
10 READ IWS
   PR = POWER (IWS) * Δt
   IC = IC1
   IC1 = IC1 + 1
   IF (IC1.GT.NPT) IC1 = 1
   PSUM = PSUM + PR
   PAUG = PSUM/T
   PSUM = PSUM - ARRAY (IC1)
   ARRAY (IC) = PR
   IF (PAUG.GE.CIT) START
   Continue to 10.

```

Discrete, Double Power Algorithm

At the end of each test length, two power averages are calculated, one for the average over the entire test

window and the other for the last one-tenth of the test window. For a start to occur both values must be greater than the cut-in threshold. Stops are based on a test of the average power over the entire test window.

Canadian Coasting Algorithm

Certain Canadian VAWT systems have used a semi-mechanical control system with an overrunning clutch on the high-speed shaft to permit the rotor to coast when it is turning below the synchronous speed. This system simplifies the cut-off condition-to-rad/s measurements, and could conceivably reduce motoring losses in low winds.

From a cold stop, an algorithm other than the Canadian coasting one is used to decide if a start should be initiated by a motor that takes the turbine to some fraction of the synchronous rotational speed. The turbine is then allowed to coast either until synchronous speed is reached, at which time the generator engages, or until 5 minutes have elapsed and the turbine falls below a cut-off threshold rotational speed. If synchronization is reached, when torque at the high-speed shaft later becomes negative, the generator disengages and the turbine begins coasting again. Whenever the rotor is coasting, the generator is assumed to motor without shaft load at synchronous speed. Windage losses in the generator are accumulated during coasting periods. The only parameter is the cut-off threshold rotational speed.

The turbine rotational speed during free coasting in a varying windspeed is given by the differential equation

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = \frac{T_A - T_f}{I},$$

where

w = rotational speed

T_f = rotor friction torque

I = rotor inertia

T_A = aerodynamic torque as a function of windspeed:

$$T_A = \frac{1}{2} \rho A V^3 \left[\frac{RW}{V} \right]^3 K_p(v)$$

K_p(v) = power coefficient tabulated from 17-m Bins data.

We assume that, the K_p, when plotted against the windspeed data, does not vary with rotational speed, which is accurate over the small range of rotational speeds of interest.

Figure 3 is the logic flow chart used to simulate the turbine rad/s and energy output.

Figure 3. Logic Flow Chart; Turbine Rad/s and Energy Output

Results of Parameter Studies

The results of the computer runs for parameters of the five algorithms are plotted in Figures 4 through 8. The maximum curves from each algorithm are then plotted together for easy comparison (Figure 9). The following points become evident from the figures:

- For each algorithm, parameters can be chosen that maximize the algorithm's efficiency and minimize its coasting time and number of starts
- The algorithms can be ranked in the order of their maximum efficiency:
 - Canadian coasting: 93.7%
 - moving power: 93.4%
 - discrete, double-power: 92.8%
 - discrete windspeed: 92.3%
 - moving windspeed: 91.6%
- Badly chosen parameters, particularly the test window, greatly reduce the efficiency of an algorithm

Figure 4. Algorithm #1 - Discrete Windspeed Averages and Number of Starts

Figure 5. Algorithm #2 - Moving Windspeed Averages and Number of Starts

Figure 6. Algorithm #3 - Moving Power Averages and Number of Starts

Figure 7. Algorithm #4 - Discrete, Double Power Test and Number of Starts

Figure 8. Canadian Coasting Algorithm, Duration of Coasting, and Number of Starts

Figure 9. Comparison of the Best Curves of the Five Algorithms

Applications to VAWT Economics

The study on algorithm control can be used to maximize energy production, once a particular turbine-site pair has been selected. However, if a turbine is badly matched to a site, the maximum efficiency of an algorithm may be as low as 72%. Therefore, control algorithms can affect the economics of wind energy production.

To use control algorithms in wind energy economics, we must determine if the maximum efficiency depends on the probability distribution function (PDF) of local windspeed suitably combined with the turbine EPC. Since the PDF and the EPC are functions of windspeed, it is natural to use both to construct the energy distribution function (EDF) which, at any windspeed, gives the fraction of the annual energy produced by the machine at that particular windspeed. Since the EDF is the product of the PDF and the EPC, we should concentrate on determining how much the maximum algorithm efficiency depends on the EDF.

The first time the five algorithms were tested, we used the EPC from the Sandia/DOE 17-m VAWT (determined from experiments based on the Bins method) and the windspeed time series recorded at

the same test site. We could find no general relationship between the maximum algorithm efficiency and the EDF in the data from only one turbine-site pair. Therefore, we expanded the data base to include several more turbine EPCs and another set of windspeed time series that consisted of data recorded from October 1, 1976 to November 26, 1977 by the National Severe Storm Laboratory in Oklahoma City, most at a sample rate of 0.1 Hz. This series, transcribed to 9-track magnetic tape, includes windspeed and direction; temperature; vertical velocity at seven elevations; air pressure; wet-bulb temperature; pyranometer, and rain gauge readings from selected elevations. We used the windspeed data recorded at 26 m for the model because this elevation most closely matches the equatorial elevation of the VAWTs in which we are interested. The PDF of the series comes close to the Rayleigh distribution with a mean windspeed of 4.65 m/s (10.4 mi/hr) (Figure 10).

Figure 10. Comparison of WKY Tower PDF With Rayleigh PDF

Besides the EPC of the Sandia/DOE VAWT, we have used two other curves:

- An EPC generated by the computer code PAREP² for a 17-m VAWT turning at 2.6, 3.14, 3.66, 4.19, and 4.71 rad/s (25, 30, 35, 40, and 45 rpm)
- An EPC from a one-parameter family of piecewise linear curves defined by the function

$$P(V) = \begin{cases} -6kW & \text{for } 0 \leq V \leq V_0 - 2.2 \\ 2.7 * (V - V_0) & \text{for } V_0 - 2.2 \leq V \leq 3 * V_0 - 6.6 \\ 5.4 * V_0 - 18 & \text{for } 3 * V_0 - 6.6 \leq V \end{cases}$$

where V_o is the cut in windspeed, satisfying $P(V_o) = 0.0$; this EPC gives wide variation in turbine characteristics that depend on one parameter.

Subsequently, we conducted tests with the automatic control simulator, using only the moving-power algorithm because it had clearly outperformed the other three, purely electronic, algorithms and performed almost as well as the coasting algorithm.

Dependency of the maximum algorithm efficiency on the EDF may take many forms. After we found the maximum efficiencies for several cases by running many tests of the automatic control simulator corresponding to different data sets, we plotted the maximum algorithm efficiency against various properties of the EDFs. Two clear relationships emerged, either of which may be used to determine the approximate maximum algorithm efficiency corresponding to a given EDF. The first (Figure 11) is between the maximum algorithm efficiency and the slope of the EDF at the cut-in windspeed, E_o . The second (Figure 12) is between the maximum algorithm efficiency and the ratio

$$R = \frac{V_m - V_o}{V_o},$$

where V_m is the windspeed at the maximum EDF and V_o is the cut-in windspeed.

In Figures 11 and 12, we see that the maximum algorithm efficiency may be given as a function of either E_o or R . This functional relationship may be used to select an economical VAWT for a given site or, more basically, to determine if a VAWT is badly suited, so far as controlling it is concerned, for a turbine site. For example, the Sandia 17-m VAWT would be ill-suited for Oklahoma City, where it would produce a maximum algorithm efficiency of 72%.

References

¹R. Akins, *Performance Evaluation of Wind Energy Conversion Systems Using the Method of Bins - Current Status*, SAND77-1375 (Albuquerque: Sandia Laboratories, March 1978).

²T. Leonard, *A User's Manual for the Computer Code PAREP*, SAND79-0431 (Albuquerque: Sandia National Laboratories, April 1979).

Figure 11. Maximum Algorithm Efficiency vs E_o

Figure 12. Maximum Algorithm Efficiency vs R

DISTRIBUTION:

Wichita State University (2)
Aero Engineering Department
Wichita, KS 67208
Attn: M. Snyder
W. Wentz

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics
Blacksburg, VA 24060
Attn: R.E. Akins, Assistant Professor

Aluminum Company of America (5)
Alcoa Laboratories
Alcoa Technical Center
Alcoa Center, PA 15069
Attn: D. K. Ai
A. G. Craig
J. T. Huang
J. R. Jombock
P. N. Vosburgh

Dynergy Corporation
P.O. Box 428
1269 Union Avenue
Laconia, NH 03246
Attn: R. B. Allen, General Manager

American Wind Energy Association
1609 Connecticut Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20009

South Dakota School of Mines and Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Rapid City, SD 57701
Attn: E. E. Anderson

University of Vermont
318 Millis Hall
Burlington, VT 05405
Attn: S. Anderson

DOE/Office of Commercialization
20 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Mail Station 2221C
Washington, DC 20585
Attn: G. T. Ankrum

McDonnell-Douglas Aircraft Corporation
P.O. Box 516
Department 341, Building 32/2
St. Louis, MO 63166
Attn: R. Brulle

Stanford University
Department of Aeronautics and
Astronautics Mechanical Engineering
Stanford, CA 94305
Attn: H. Ashley

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.,
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003
Attn: K. Austin

Hayes, Seay, Mattern, and Mattern
1315 Franklin Road SW
Roanoke, VA 24016
Attn: B. H. Barksdale, Jr.

University of California
Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics
Riverside, CA 92521
Attn: P. J. Baum

Washington State University
Department of Electrical Engineering
College of Engineering
Pullman, WA 99163
Attn: F. K. Bechtel

Arizona State University
Solar Energy Collection
University Library
Tempe, AZ 85281
Attn: M. E. Beecher

Leon Bjervig
Civilingenior, MCIF
"Osterbyhus", 6990 Ulfborg
DK6990
DENMARK

University of Oklahoma
Aero Engineering Department
Norman, OK 73069
Attn: K. Bergey

Wind Energy Systems
Route 1, Box 93-A
Oskaloosa, KS 66066
Attn: S. Blake

Tumac Industries
650 Ford Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80915
Attn: G. B. Curtis

DISTRIBUTION: (cont)

University of Sherbrooke
Faculty of Applied Science
Sherbrooke, Quebec
CANADA J1K 2R1
Attn: R. Camerero

CERCEM (2)
49 Rue du Commandant Rolland
93350 Le Bourget
FRANCE
Attn: G. Darrieus
J. Delassus

University of Auckland
School of Engineering
Private Bag
Auckland, NEW ZEALAND
Attn: V. A. L. Chasteau, Professor

McDonnell Aircraft Corporation
P.O. Box 516
Department 337, Building 32
St. Louis, MO 63166
Attn: H. T. Clark

USDA, Agricultural Research Service
Southwest Great Plains Research Center
Bushland, TX 79012
Attn: R. N. Clark

State Consumer Protection Board
Consumer Outreach Coordinator
State of New York
Executive Department
99 Washington Avenue
Albany, NY 12210
Attn: J. D. Cohen

University of Massachusetts
Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department
Amherst, MA 01003
Attn: D. E. Cromack, Associate Professor

DOE/ALO (2)
Albuquerque, NM 87115
Attn: G. P. Tennyson

DOE Headquarters/WESD (20)
600 E Street NW
Washington, DC 20545
Attn: D. F. Ancona
C. E. Aspliden
L. V. Divone
W. C. Reddick

Southern Illinois University
School of Engineering
Carbondale, IL 62901
Attn: C. W. Dodd

Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Sales, Inc.
6177 Sunol Blvd.
P.O. Box 877
Pleasanton, CA 94566
Attn: D. D. Doerr

Dominion Aluminum Fabricating Ltd. (2)
3570 Hawkestone Road
Mississauga, Ontario
CANADA L5C 2U8
Attn: L. Schienbein
C. Wood

Hamilton Standard
1730 NASA Boulevard
Room 207
Houston, TX 77058
Attn: D. P. Dougan

Nederlands Energy Research Foundation (E.C.N.)
Physics Department
Westerduinweg 3 Patten (nh)
THE NETHERLANDS
Attn: J. B. Dragt

Battelle-Pacific Northwest Laboratory (2)
P.O. Box 999
Richland, WA 99352
Attn: C. E. Elderkin
A. Miller

The Mitre Corporation
1820 Dolley Madison Blvd.
McLean, VA 22102
Attn: F. R. Eldridge, Jr.

DISTRIBUTION: (cont)

Electric Power Research Institute
3412 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Attn: E. Demeo

The Resources Agency
Department of Water Resources
Energy Division
1416 9th Street
P.O. Box 388
Sacramento, CA 95802
Attn: R. G. Ferreira, Chief

New England Geosystems
P.O. Box 128
East Derry, NH 03041
Attn: D. R. Finley

University of Colorado
Department of Aerospace Engineering Sciences
Boulder, CO 80309
Attn: J. D. Fock, Jr.

Public Service Company of New Hampshire
1000 Elm Street
Manchester, NH 03105
Attn: L. C. Frederick

Universite Laval-Quebec
Mechanical Engineering Department
Faculty of Sciences and Engineering
CANADA G1K 7P4
Attn: H. Gerardin

Amarillo College
Amarillo, TX 79100
Attn: E. Gilmore

Wind Power Digest
P.O. Box 539
Harrisburg, PA 17108
Attn: P. Gipe

University College of Swansea
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Singleton Park
Swansea SA2 8PP
UNITED KINGDOM
Attn: R. T. Griffiths

Richard Haddad
101 Arizona
P.O. Box 530
El Paso, TX 79944

Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Sales, Inc.
14200 Cottage Grove Avenue
Dolton, IL 60419
Attn: A. A. Hagman

Project Department
Electricity Supply
18 St. Stephen's Green
Dublin 2, IRELAND
Attn: M. L. Hally, Section Manager

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139
Attn: N. D. Ham, Professor

Wind Engineering Corporation
Airport Industrial Area
Box 5936
Lubbock, TX 79415
Attn: C. F. Harris

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Aero/Astro Department
Cambridge, MA 02139
Attn: W. L. Harris

Rockwell International (2)
Rocky Flats Plant
P.O. Box 464
Golden, CO 80401
Attn: T. Healy

Helion
P.O. Box 4301
Sylmar, CA 91342

AMBIO
KVA
Fack, S-10405
Stockholm
SWEDEN
Attn: D. Hinrichsen, Associate Editor

DISTRIBUTION: (cont)

Sven Hugosson
Box 21048
S. 100 31 Stockholm 21
SWEDEN

Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Beer-Sheva, ISRAEL
Attn: O. Igra

Indian Oil Corporation, Ltd.
Marketing Division
254-C, Dr. Annie Besant Road
Prabhadevi, Bombay-400025
INDIA

JBF Scientific Corporation
2 Jewel Drive
Wilmington, MA 01887
Attn: E. E. Johanson

Kansas State University
Electrical Engineering Department
Manhattan, KS 66506
Attn: G. L. Johnson, P.E.

B. O. Kaddy, Jr.
Box 353
31 Union Street
Hillsboro, NH 03244

Kaman Aerospace Corporation
Old Windsor Road
Bloomfield, CT 06002
Attn: W. Batesol

R. L. Katzenberg
2820 Upton St. NW
Washington, DC 20008

The College of Trades and Technology
P.O. Box 1693
Prince Philip Drive
St. John's Newfoundland
CANADA A1C 5P7
Attn: R. E. Kelland

S. King
Natural Power, Inc.
New Boston, NH 03070

Aeroenvironment, Inc.
660 South Arroyo Parkway
Pasadena, CA 91105
Attn: P.B.S. Lissaman

Samuel H. Kohler
272 Old Delp Road
Lancaster, PA 17602

Michigan State University
Division of Engineering Research
East Lansing, MI 48824
Attn: O. Krauss

Carol Lamb
2584 East Geddes Avenue
Littleton, CO 80122

Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
P.O. Box 808 L-340
Livermore, CA 94550
Attn: D. W. Dorn

Public Service Company of New Mexico
P.O. Box 2267
Albuquerque, NM 87103
Attn: M. Lechner

Kalman Nagy Lehoczký
Cort Adelers GT.30
Oslo 2
NORWAY

Reynolds Metals Company
Mill Products Division
6601 West Broad Street
Richmond, VA 23261
Attn: G. E. Lennox, Industry Director

State Energy Commission
Research and Development Division
1111 Howe Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95825
Attn: J. Lerner

Department of Agriculture
US Agriculture Research Center
Building 303
Beltsville, MD 20705
Attn: L. Liljidl

DISTRIBUTION: (cont)

Larry Kinnett
P.O. Box 6593
Santa Barbara, CA 93111

Ford Motor Company
Environmental Research and Energy Planning
Director
Environmental and Safety Engineering Staff
The American Road
Dearborn, MI 48121
Attn: J. R. Moroni

Dardalen Associates
15110 Frederick Road
Woodbine, MD 21797
Attn: F. Matanzo

Toray Industries, Inc.
Composite Materials Laboratory
Pioneering R&D Laboratories
Sonoyama, Otsu, Shiga
JAPAN 520
Attn: H. S. Matsuda, Manager

Tumac Industries, Inc.
650 Ford St.
Colorado Springs, CO 80915
Attn: J. R. McConnell

Kaman Sciences Corporation
P.O. Box 7463
Colorado Springs, CO 80933
Attn: J. Meiggs

Colorado State University
Department of Civil Engineering
Fort Collins, CO 80521
Attn: R. N. Meroney

Department of Economic Planning and Development
Barrett Building
Cheyenne, WY 82002
Attn: G. N. Monsson

NASA Lewis Research Center (4)
21000 Brookpark Road
Cleveland, OH 44135
Attn: J. Savino
R. L. Thomas
W. Robbins
K. Kaza

Olle Ljungstrom
FFA, The Aeronautical Research Institute
Box 11021
S-16111 Bromma
SWEDEN

US Turbine Corporation
Olde Courthouse Building
Canfield, Oh 44406
Attn: T. H. Logan

Siltex
7 Capitol Drive
Moonachie, NJ 07074
Attn: J. B. Longendyck

Los Alamos National Laboratory
P.O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87544
Attn: J. D. Balcomb, Q-DO-T

Beatrice de Saint Louvent
Etablissement d'Etudes et de Recherches
Meteorologiques
77 Rue de Serves
92106 Boulogne-Billancourt Cedex
FRANCE

PRC Energy Analysis Co.
7600 Old Springhouse Rd.
McLean, VA 22101
Attn: E. L. Luther, Senior Associate

L. H. J. Maile
48 York Mills Rd.
Willowdale, Ontario
CANADA M2P 1B4

Motorola, Inc.
G.E.D.
Mail Drop 1429
8201 E. McDowell Rd.
P.O. Box 1417
Scottsdale, AZ 85252
Attn: E. L. Markowski

West Texas State University
Department of Physics
P.O. Box 248
Canyon, TX 79016
Attn: V. Nelson

DISTRIBUTION: (cont)

The Power Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 221
Genesee Depot, WI 53217
Attn: A. A. Nedd

Natural Power, Inc.
New Boston, NH 03070
Attn: L. Nichols

Ronald Nousain
P.O. Box 111
Rome 1132
Los Angeles, CA 90051

Oklahoma State University (2)
Stillwater, OK 76074
Attn: W. L. Hughes, EE Department
D. K. McLaughlin, ME Department

Oregon State University (2)
Corvallis, OR 97331
Attn: R. E. Wilson, ME Department
R. W. Thresher, ME Department

County Commissioner
Precinct 4
City-County Building
El Paso, TX 79901
Attn: P. F. O'Rourke

Dow Chemical USA
Research Center
2800 Mitchell Drive
Walnut Creek, CA 94598
Attn: H. H. Paalman

Flow Industries, Inc.
21414 68th Ave. So.
Kent, WA 98031
Attn: Y. H. Pao, Chairman

Ion Paraschivoiu
IREQ
1800 Montee Ste-Julie
Varenes, Quebec
CANADA JOL 2P0

Northwestern University
Department of Civil Engineering
Evanston, IL 60201
Attn: R. A. Parmalee

Helge Petersen
Riso National Laboratory
DK-4000 Roskilde
DENMARK

National Rural Electric Cooperative Association
1800 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036
Attn: W. Prichett, III

Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research
Organization
Division of Mechanical Engineering
Graham Road, Highett
Victoria, 3190
AUSTRALIA
Attn: B. Rawlings, Chief

The University of Tennessee
Department of Electrical Engineering
Knoxville, TN 37916
Attn: T. W. Reddoch

Atlantic Wind Test Site
P.O. Box 189
Tignish, P.E.I.
COB 2B0 CANADA
Attn: R. G. Richards

Memorial University of Newfoundland
Faculty of Engineering and Applied Sciences
St. John's Newfoundland
CANADA A1C 5S7
Attn: A. Robb

Solarwind Energy Corporation
1163 Pomona Road
Unit A
Corona, CA 91720
Attn: J. R. Rodriguez

Institut fur Leichbau
Technische Hochschule Aachen
Wullnerstrasse 7
GERMANY
Attn: Ing. Hans Ruscheweyh

Iowa State University
Agricultural Engineering, Room 213
Ames, IA 50010
Attn: L. H. Soderholm

DISTRIBUTION: (cont)

National Atomic Museum
Albuquerque, NM 87185
Attn: G. Schreiner, Librarian

US Department of Energy
P.O. Box 3621
102 NE Holladay
Portland, OR 97208
Attn: D. B. Seely, P.E.

Arnan Seginer
Professor of Aerodynamics
Technion-Israel Institute of Technology
Department of Aeronautical Engineering
Haifa, ISRAEL

Horst Selzer
Dipl.-Phys.
Wehrtechnik und Energieforschung
ERNO-Raumfahrttechnik GmbH
Hunefeldstr. 1-5
Postfach 10 59 09
2800 Bremen 1
GERMANY

Bristol Aerospace Ltd.
Rocket and Space Division
P.O. Box 874
Winnipeg, Manitoba
CANADA R3C 2S4
Attn: H. Sevier

P. N. Shankar
Aerodynamics Division
National Aeronautical Laboratory
Bangalore 560017
INDIA

Kingston Polytechnic
Canbury Park Road
Kingston, Surrey
UNITED KINGDOM
Attn: D. Sharpe

Cornell University
Sibley School of Mechanical and
Aerospace Engineering
Ithaca, NY 14853
Attn: D. G. Shepherd

Colorado State University
Ft. Collins, CO 80521
Attn: F. Smith, Mechanical Eng Dept. Head

Kent Smith Instituto Tecnológico Costa Rica
Apartado 159 Cartago
COSTA RICA

Bent Sorenson
Roskilde University Centery
Energy JGroup, Bldg. 17.2
IMFUFA
P.O. Box 260
DK-400 Roskilde
DENMARK

Southwest Research Institute (2)
P.O. Drawer 28501
San Antonio, TX 78284
Attn: W. L. Donaldson, Senior Vice President
R. K. Swanson

Rick Stevenson
Route 2
Box 85
Springfield, MO 65802

Morey/Stjernholm and Associates
1050 Magnolia Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80907
Attn: D. T. Stjernholm, P.E.
Mechanical Design Engineer

G. W. Stricker
130 Merchant St. #1104
Honolulu, HI 96813

C. J. Swet
Route 4
Box 358
Mt. Airy, MD 21771

Texas Tech University (3)
P.O. Box 4389
Lubbock, TX 79409
Attn: K. C. Mehta, CE Department
J. Strickland, ME Department
J. Lawrence, ME Department

DISTRIBUTION: (cont)

National Research Council
ASEB
2101 Constitution Avenue
Washington, DC 20418
Attn: J. Taylor

NRC-National Aeronautical Establishment (3)
Low Speed Aerodynamics Section
Ottawa 7, Ontario
CANADA K1A 0R6
Attn: R. J. Templin

Atari, Inc.
155 Moffett Park Drive
Sunnyvale, CA 94086
Attn: F. Thompson

Aero Propulsion Laboratory
Terrestrial Energy Technology Program Office
Energy Conversion Branch
Aerospace Power Division
Department of the Air Force
Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories (AFSC)
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433
Attn: J. M. Turner, Group Leader

United Engineers and Constructors, Inc.
Advanced Engineering Department
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19101
Attn: A. J. Karalis

University of New Mexico
New Mexico Engineering Research Institute
Campus, P.O. Box 25
Albuquerque, NM 87131
Attn: G. G. Leigh

University of New Mexico (2)
Albuquerque, NM 87106
Attn: K. T. Feldman, Energy Research Center
V. Sloglund, ME Department

University of New Mexico
Department of Mathematics
Albuquerque, NM 87106
Attn: A. Stone

University of New Mexico
Physics Department
Albuquerque, NM 87106
Attn: J. D. Finley

Jan Vacek
Eolienne Experimentale
C.P. 279, Cap-aux-Meules
Iles de la Madeleine, Quebec
CANADA

Solar Energy Research Institute
1617 Cole Blvd.
Golden, CO 80401
Attn: I. E. Vas

Otto de Vries
National Aerospace Laboratory
Anthony Fokkerweg 2
Amsterdam 1017
THE NETHERLANDS

West Virginia University
Department of Aero Engineering
1062 Kountz Avenue
Morgantown, WV 26505
Attn: R. Walters

Bonneville Power Administration
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, OR 97225
Attn: E. J. Warchol

ERA Ltd.
Energy and Power Systems
Cleeve Rd.
Leatherhead
Surrey KT22 7SA
ENGLAND
Attn: D. F. Warne, Manager

The Energy Center
Pennine House
4 Osborne Terrace
Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 1NE
UNITED KINGDOM
Attn: G. R. Watson, Project Manager

Watson Bowman Associates, Inc.
1280 Niagara St.
Buffalo, NY 14213
Attn: R. J. Watson

Tulane University
Department of Mechanical Engineering
New Orleans, LA 70018
Attn: R. G. Watts

DISTRIBUTION: (cont)

Mississippi State University
Mechanical Engineering Department
Mississippi State, MS 39762
Attn: W. G. Wells, P.E., Associate Professor

University of Alaska
Geophysical Institute
Fairbanks, AK 99701
Attn: T. Wentink, Jr.

West Texas State University
Government Depository Library
Number 613
Canyon, TX 79015

Wind Energy Report
Box 14
102 S. Village Ave.
Rockville Centre, NY 11571
Attn: F. S. Seiler

Wind Program Manager
Wisconsin Division of State Energy
8th Floor
101 South Webster Street
Madison, WI 53702

Central Solar Energy Research Corp.
1200 Sixth Street
328 Executive Plaza
Detroit, MI 48226
Attn: R. E. Wong

1000 G. A. Fowler
1200 L. D. Smith

2525 R. P. Clark
3161 J. E. Mitchell (15)
3161 P. S. Wilson
4533 J. W. Reed
4700 J. H. Scott
4710 G. E. Brandvold
4715 R. H. Braasch (200)
4715 J. D. Cyrus
4715 R. D. Grover
4715 E. G. Kadlec
4715 P. C. Klimas
4715 M. T. Mattison
4715 R. O. Nellums
4715 W. N. Sullivan
4715 R. A. Watson
4715 D. F. Wolf
4715 M. H. Worstell
5520 T. B. Lane
5523 R. C. Reuter, Jr.
5523 T. G. Carne
5523 D. B. Clauss
5523 D. W. Lobitz
5523 P. S. Veets
5600 D. B. Schuster
5620 M. M. Newsom
5630 R. C. Maydew
5633 R. E. Sheldahl
5634 R. H. Croll
5636 J. K. Cole
5636 D. E. Berg
5636 W. H. Curry
8214 M. A. Pound
3141 L. J. Erickson (5)
3151 W. L. Garner (3)
For: DOE/TIC (Unlimited Release)
DOE/TIC (25)
(J. H. Dalin 3154-3)