Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

TM-1485

Tests of Cold Helium Compressors at Fermilab*

T.J. Peterson and J.D. Fuerst
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510

October 1987

*Presented at the Cryogenic Engineering Conference/International Cryogenic Materials Conference, St. Charles, Illinois, June 14-18, 1987

Operated by Universities Research Association Inc. under contract with the United States Department of Energy

TESTS OF COLD HELIUM COMPRESSORS AT FERMILAB

T.J. Peterson and J.D. Fuerst

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory*
Batavia, Illinois

ABSTRACT

Fermilab has tested two cold helium compressors for possible installation in the satellite refrigerator buildings of the Tevatron cryogenic system. Operating conditions required to obtain an overall Tevatron energy upgrade from 900 to 1000 GeV are (for each of 24 machines): 52 g/s mass flow rate, 0.7 atm inlet pressure, 1.4 atm exhaust pressure. Acceptable efficiency is in the 60% range. Both Creare, Inc. and Cryogenic Consultants, Inc. (CCI) have supplied units for evaluation. The Creare machine is a high speed centrifugal pump/compressor which yielded 60% adiabatic efficiency (including an approximately 20 watt heat leak) with a 1.0 atm inlet pressure and 55 g/s flow rate. Certain mechanical difficulties were present, chiefly the device's inability to withstand two-phase flow. CCI supplied a reciprocating unit which, after initial testing and modification, achieved 59% efficiency with an approximate 35 watt heat leak at a 0.7 atm inlet pressure and 48 g/s flow rate. Although the device lacks the smooth, quiet operating characteristics of a turbomachine, it has endured mechanically throughout testing and is entirely insensitive to two-phase flow.

INTRODUCTION

The beam energy of Fermilab's Tevatron is presently limited to about 900 GeV by dipole magnetic field strength. Operational data and dipole magnet quench data indicate that, in order to reliably increase the energy of the Tevatron from 900 GeV to 1000 GeV, superconducting magnet temperatures have to be lowered by about 0.5K. This requires a pressure decrease of the boiling helium in the "two-phase" channel of the magnet strings. Calculations and computer simulations performed by Fermilab and by Air Products, Inc., have indicated that a cold compressor located on the satellite refrigerator two-phase return line and pumping either saturated helium vapor or two-phase helium may be the most cost-effective means to attain lower temperatures (see Fig. 1). Twenty-four cold compressors (one in each satellite refrigerator) each pumping approximately 52 g/s of helium from 0.7 atm (saturated vapor or two-phase) to about 1.4 atm would be required. A program of testing cold compressors was begun to determine

*Operated by Universities Research Association, Inc. under contract with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Fig. 1. Satellite refrigerator with cold compressor.

which, if any, might be suitable for use in our satellite refrigerators. Requirements include 60% minimum efficiency under design conditions and extreme reliability. The Tevatron typically runs for six months without scheduled maintenance, and all 24 compressors must be operational to provide 1000 GeV capability.

Two cold compressors have been tested at Fermilab over the past 18 months: a centrifugal unit designed and manufactured by Creare, Inc. and a reciprocating unit designed and manufactured by Cryogenic Consultants, Inc. (CCI). Each was loaned to Fermilab for testing at helium temperatures. A brief test description follows.

TEST METHOD

Figure 2 illustrates the test configuration for the cold compressor tests. Mass flow was controlled by varying wet expander speed and was determined via a flat plate orifice meter (FI4). Instrumentation consisted of pressure taps (PI's), vapor pressure thermometers (TI's), and carbon resistors (TR's). A differential pressure type liquid level gauge, superconducting liquid level indicator, and resistance type dewar heater were also included. In addition to the standard refrigerator instrumentation, each compressor was fitted with a pressure tap, carbon resistor, and vapor pressure thermometer at both inlet and exhaust locations. Zero offsets were periodically obtained for these devices as testing progressed to ensure accuracy. Instrumentation readbacks were processed by Fermilab's existing instrumentation and control system^{1,2} which permitted real-time graphical displays and hardcopies of relevant parameters.

Methodical accumulation of data was obtained from each machine through selective manipulation of one parameter (for instance, mass flow) while maintaining control over other parameters such as compressor speed. In this manner a data map blanketing the expected range of operating conditions was created for each compressor.

Fig. 2. Cold compressor test configuration.

CREARE COLD COMPRESSOR

Description

A generic pump/compressor has been developed by Creare and was described at the previous Cryogenic Engineering Conference.³ It is a high-speed (up to 100,000 rpm) electric motor driven centrifugal machine with dynamic gas radial bearings and a permanent magnetic thrust bearing. This cold compressor was tested at Fermilab in January 1986 and again in September 1986 with a different impeller. The results of the January 1986 test are described in a paper by W.D. Stacy.⁴ Since in January the cold compressor was operating with an impeller designed for Brookhaven's application,⁵ our operating conditions put it thermodynamically far from design conditions. Therefore efficiencies were expectedly low. For the tests in September (described below) the impeller was designed for a 1.0 atm, saturated vapor inlet, 1.4 atm exhaust, and 55 g/s flow. These conditions were given to Creare before a subatmospheric (0.7 atm) inlet condition was considered feasible.

Test Results

Table 1 summarizes the range of test conditions while Table 2 lists some typical data points. Efficiency at design conditions was 60% (with the addition of a tentative heat leak estimate of $20 \text{ W} \pm 5 \text{ W}$). Figure 3 illustrates useful head (isentropic enthalpy change) as a function of flow rate and efficiency vs. pressure ratio. The unit performed well during the two days of data accumulation (the unit was shut off each night). However, during startup on the third day the machine "buzzed" twice for a fraction of a second each time. Compressor speed momentarily decreased but operation continued. An ongoing computer plot of dewar liquid level showed that, although the dewar was about 2/3 full, the liquid level readback (a

delta-p measurement) had oscillated wildly during startup. Apparently the rapid boiling caused by the drop in dewar pressure threw liquid into the intake pipe, damaging the bearings as the wheel was hit with the liquid slug. When the cold compressor was later shut off it could not be restarted. Disassembly revealed that the impeller wheel had touched the shroud and that the gas bearings had rubbed. Although only slight polish marks were visible, this apparently resulted in our inability to run the cold compressor again. This terminated our testing in September.

Table 1. Creare Cold Compressor Test Conditions

Speed range tested:	50,000; 66,000; and 80,000 rpm
Mass flows in data:	41 to 80 g/s
Pressure ratios:	1.2 to 1.5
Inlet pressures:	1.00 to 1.15 atm
Adiabatic efficiency range:	37% to 60%

Table 2. Creare Cold Compressor: Four Representative Test Results

Date	9.25.86	9.24.86	9.24.86	9.25.86
Time	14:55	17:30	15:51	12:00
Inlet temp., K (TRIN)	4.464	4.426	4.418	4.373
Inlet temp., K (TIIN)	4.489	4.439	4.381	4.375
Inlet press., atm. abs.	1.103	1.089	1.056	1.042
Inlet enthalpy, J/g	31.52	31.18	31.29	31.16
Inlet entropy, J/gK	8.48	8.41	8.48	8.46
Exhaust temp., K (TROT)	5.469	4.970	5.507	5.263
Exhaust temp., K (TIOT)	~5.4 ^a	4.965	~5.4 ^a	~5.3 ^a
Exhaust press., atm. abs.	1.610	1.292	1.458	1.451
Ideal exhaust enthalpy, J/g	34.28	32.22	33.54	33.37
Exhaust enthalpy, J/g	36.02	34.22	37.27	35.54
Mass flow, g/s	54.7	50.0	74.2	60.4
Flow, liters/s	3.24	2.94	4.45	3.68
Useful head, J/g	2.76	1.04	2.25	2.21
Comp. speed, krpm	66	50	80	66
Adiabatic efficiency, %	61.3	34.2	37.6	50.5

^a Gas bulb region

Fig. 3. Creare cold compressor performance.

CCI COLD COMPRESSOR

Description

Cryogenic Consultants, Inc. has developed a reciprocating pump/compressor which was delivered to Fermilab in September 1986 for tests. This cold compressor has not been described previously in the literature; therefore a brief description follows. The machine consists of a single stainless steel piston/cylinder arrangement with all-metal spring loaded poppet-style inlet and exhaust valves. The piston shaft extends through a shaft seal in the cover plate to a connecting rod, flywheel, and electric variable speed drive. There are no cams or pull- or push-rods; the valves act as check valves. Some dimensions and specifications are given in Table 3, with Table 4 summarizing the range of test conditions and Table 5 listing some typical data points.

Table 3. CCI Cold Gas Pump, Model No. CCI-CGP406X300

Bore:	4.375 in. (11.113 cm)
Stroke:	3.000 in. (7.62 cm)
Cylinders:	One (1)
Piston area:	15.0 in. ² (97 cm ²)
Displacement:	45.1 in. ³ (739 cc)
Pump speed:	100 - 470 rpm
Motor:	1-1/2 hp DC TEFC Motor, 180 VDC Armature, 1750 rpm
Speed controller:	240 V, 60 Hz, 1 phase (Input) for 1-1/2 hp DC Motor, with Run, Stop, Variable Speed, Local Control

Table 4. CCI Cold Compressor Test Conditions

Speed range tested:	250 to 450 rpm
Mass flows:	0 to 65 g/s
Pressure ratios:	1.15 to 2.16
Inlet pressures:	1.19 to 0.55 ata
Adiabatic efficiency range:	40 to 70%

Test Results

The CCI cold compressor was run almost continuously from October 1, 1986 until mid-December 1986. Adiabatic efficiencies ranged from 15% to 30% although mechanically the valve seals, piston seal and piston shaft seal all performed well. CCI concluded that the valves were undersized and that the low efficiency was caused by extra work done in overcoming valve pressure drops.

The compressor was taken off line, warmed up, and given a new cylinder, piston, and head assembly with larger valves (provided by CCI). The reconditioned unit was tested and exhibited efficiencies between 40 and 70 percent (including a tentative heat leak estimate of $35 \text{ W} \pm 10 \text{ W}$). Efficiency at 1 atm inlet, 1.35 atm exhaust with 49 g/s of flow (approximately the Creare design point) was 51%, with higher efficiencies occurring at higher pressure ratios (figure 4). With a 0.7 atm inlet pressure and a mass flow of 48 g/s (close to our requirement of 0.7 atm, 52 g/s for the upgrade to 1 TeV) an efficiency of 59% was measured. However, at the speed of 450 RPM required to obtain that mass flow and inlet pressure, mechanical reliability will need to be proven.

Two-phase helium at the inlet created no difficulty. In fact, inlet pressures dropped under these conditions although efficiency could not be measured. One notable achievement was the production of a 1.6 psia inlet condition (2.5K in the 400 liter dewar) achieved by valving off the dewar inlet. Although mass flow could not be determined, exhaust pressure was 1.2 atm providing a 10:1 pressure ratio.

Intermittently, during February and March 1987 the CCI unit operated at the Magnet Test Facility to serve as a cold compressor for some 3.0 to 3.5K SSC magnet tests. At MTF the unit demonstrated consistent efficiency with high pressure ratios and low mass flow rates ($<10 \text{ g/s}$).

Table 5. CCI Cold Compressor: Five Representative Test Results

Inlet press., atm. abs.	0.55	0.92	1.04	1.19	0.71
Inlet temp., K (TIIN)	3.94	4.20	4.32	4.46	4.12
Inlet enthalpy, J/g	31.85	30.72	30.63	30.45	31.89
Inlet entropy, J/gK	9.654	8.548	8.348	8.117	9.245
Exhaust press., atm. abs.	1.19	1.33	1.35	1.37	1.34
Exhaust temp. K (TROT)	6.00	5.10	4.99	4.86	5.86
Ideal exhaust enthalpy, J/g	38.00	33.21	32.34	31.32	36.86
Exhaust enthalpy, J/g	41.80	34.98	33.96	32.67	40.29
Mass flow, g/s	28	43	49	49	48
Compressor speed, rpm	376	300	297	250	450
Pressure ratio	2.16	1.45	1.30	1.15	1.90
Adiabatic efficiency %	61.8	58.6	51.4	39.2	59.1

Figure 4. CCI cold compressor performance.

CONCLUSIONS AND PLANS

Two fundamentally different cold compressors have been tested under similar operating conditions at Fermilab. The CCI reciprocating machine was tested over a larger range of conditions, including subatmospheric inlet pressures.

The Creare unit performed as predicted at its design point (60% efficiency, 55 g/s flow for 1.0 atm saturated vapor intake and 1.4 atm exhaust). Its gas bearings and lack of valves or mechanical seals give it the advantages of other turbomachinery: potentially long life and low maintenance requirements. Disadvantages include the inability to handle liquid-gas mixtures and a more limited range of flow rates and pressure ratios. Further plans for the Creare unit consist of additional testing with the inclusion of either an integral phase separator/cryostat or a separate phase separator dewar in series upstream of the machine. We are anxious to see how the Creare performs under subatmospheric inlet conditions and higher pressure ratios; these data points are crucial to our evaluation of this unit and should not be difficult to obtain provided there exists adequate phase separation capability.

The CCI cold compressor is still only marginally acceptable from an efficiency standpoint (51% for 1.0 atm intake, 1.35 atm exhaust with 49g/s flow, 59% with the 0.7 atm intake and 48 g/s for our proposed upgrade). However, the machine functions well under two-phase inlet conditions and its versatility permitted operation almost as a cold "vacuum pump" for service at very low mass flows (less than 10 g/s) and high pressure ratios. So far it has demonstrated excellent reliability for its 2500 hours of operation, with valves and seals remaining remarkably leak tight. Future plans call for monitoring mechanical performance over many hours of continuous operation

at speeds of over 400 RPM. It would also be desirable to better understand the sources of inefficiency and make further improvements.

Our long-term goal is to install prototype cold compressors of both designs in a few selected satellite refrigerator buildings in order to gain real operating experience with them. Since virtually all operation is remotely overseen from Fermilab's main control room, these machines must prove their controllability and reliability under "instrument only" supervision. Such a scenario will also provide magnet temperature data relevant to a cold compressor's actual ability to increase maximum Tevatron energy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Wayne Stuber, of Air Products, Inc., performed valuable computer simulations while working at Fermilab as a visiting engineer during the summer of 1986. Dodd Stacy and John White of Creare, Inc., provided valuable assistance in testing the Creare cold compressor, and Clyde Harmes of CCI, Inc., has been especially prompt first in delivering the machine to us and then in delivering spare and improved parts.

REFERENCES

1. J. Theilacker et al, Control of the Tevatron satellite refrigeration system, in: "Advances in Cryogenic Engineering" Vol. 29, Plenum Press, New York (1984), p. 437.
2. M. Kuchnir, Pulsed current resistance thermometry, in: "Advances in Cryogenic Engineering", Vol. 29, Plenum Press, New York (1984), p. 879.
3. T. Jasinski, et al, A generic pump/compressor design for circulation of cryogenic fluids, in: "Advances in Cryogenic Engineering", Vol. 31, Plenum Press, New York (1986), p. 991.
4. W.D. Stacy, Performance test results for a cold helium compressor, in: "AIChE Symposium Series, No. 251", Vol. 82, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New York (1986), p. 45.
5. W. Swift, H. Sixsmith and A. Schlafke, A small centrifugal pump for circulating cryogenic helium, in: "Advances in Cryogenic Engineering", Vol. 27, Plenum Press, New York (1982), p. 777.