498
380
1/24/80
11C-77, 78 & 79
D. 576

ORNL/TM-7143

ornl

MASTER

**OAK
RIDGE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY**

**Development of Nuclear Fuel
Microsphere Handling Techniques
and Equipment**

J. E. Mack
R. R. Suchomel
P. Angelini

**OPERATED BY
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
FOR THE UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Printed in the United States of America. Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161
NTIS price codes—Printed Copy: A01 Microfiche A01

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed in this report, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

ORNL/TM-7143
Distribution
Categories UC-77,
UC-78, UC-79b

Contract No W-7405-eng-26

METALS AND CERAMICS DIVISION

CONSOLIDATED FUEL RECYCLE PROGRAM

Refabrication Task 200: Sphere-Pac Fabrication Development
(Purchase Order 64357AG)

DEVELOPMENT OF NUCLEAR FUEL MICROSPHERE HANDLING
TECHNIQUES AND EQUIPMENT

J. E. Mack, R. R. Suchomel, and P. Angelini

Date Published: January 1980

DISCLAIMER

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

NOTICE This document contains information of a preliminary nature and was prepared primarily for internal use at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	1
GEL-SPHERE FORMATION	2
MICROSPHERE HANDLING TECHNIQUES	3
MICROSPHERE CHARACTERIZATION	10
CONCLUSIONS	16
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	16
REFERENCES	18

DEVELOPMENT OF NUCLEAR FUEL MICROSPHERE HANDLING TECHNIQUES AND EQUIPMENT

J. E. Mack, R. R. Suchomel, and P. Angelini

ABSTRACT

Considerable progress has been made in the development of microsphere handling techniques and equipment for nuclear applications. Work at Oak Ridge National Laboratory with microspherical fuel forms dates back to the early sixties with the development of the sol-gel process. Since that time a number of equipment items and systems specifically related to microsphere handling and characterization have been identified and developed for eventual application in a remote recycle facility. These include positive and negative pressure transfer systems, samplers, weighers, a blender-dispenser, and automated devices for particle size distribution and crushing strength analysis. The current status of these and other components and systems is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

The use of microspheres in the nuclear industry is not new. They are an integral part of the High-Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactor (HTGR) fuel concept, and packed beds have been investigated as substitutes for powder-pellet fuels in other power reactors.¹⁻⁴ A number of recent developments have also focused on gel-sphere formation and sphere-pac loading^{5,6} of fuel rods. An assessment⁷ of fuel concepts for improved fuel performance has recognized the sphere-pac process because it mitigates localized cladding attack, reduces fuel-cladding mechanical interaction, and improves thermal conductance.⁸⁻¹¹ Also, the trend toward use of shielded canyons for fuel refabrication has generated interest in the gel-sphere-pac process because it is ideally suited to remote operation. In addition, gel-sphere formation has been proposed¹² as a method for fixation of high-level nuclear wastes in a form amenable to coating, pressing, or embedding in a ceramic matrix. Again, the applicability of gel-sphere-pac technology to remote operation makes it attractive for fabrication of waste forms.

We will discuss many of the equipment designs and techniques that have been developed for handling microspheres. Note that the basic techniques are not process-specific. Their adaptation to other manufacturing processes where small spherical particles must be formed, characterized, transported, and dispensed is not discussed.

GEL-SPHERE FORMATION

Microspheres are typically produced by a chemical gelation process, such as illustrated in Fig. 1. In the formation of high-density UO_2 microspheres,¹³ an acid-deficient uranyl nitrate solution is combined with gelation additives, such as urea and hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA). The mixture is pumped through a dispersion device into a hot organic liquid, which gels the droplets. The spheres are then washed, dried, calcined, and sintered in a reducing atmosphere to obtain spheres of 99% theoretical density.

Fig. 1. Spheres are Formed by a Chemical Gelation Process.

Gel-sphere fabrication has several advantages over powder agglomeration for remote application. The former process uses liquids and spheres that are easily transferred and handled in a relatively dust-free manner because of their fluency. The entire process takes place within a closed system, virtually eliminating the spread of contamination. No crushing or grinding of the microspheres is required. Hence, dusting and scrap recycling is minimized. Microsphere fabrication requires fewer mechanically intensive steps than the powder-pellet process. The relative cleanliness and simplicity of the gel-sphere process should significantly reduce radiation exposure to operating and maintenance personnel in both contact and remote fabrication. A schematic of the two processes is presented in Fig. 2.

MICROSPHERE HANDLING TECHNIQUES

While significant advancements have been made during the past 40 years in commercial material handling equipment, many of the basic ground rules are changed when fissile or radioactive materials are being considered. Because of criticality and maximum permissible dosage criteria, the basic equipment must be scaled down by an order of magnitude. Material loss or holdup in process equipment must be virtually eliminated to minimize exposure during contact operations and facilitate material accountability. Ease of decontamination and of remote or semi-remote maintenance are considerations peculiar to hazardous materials. Consequently, off-the-shelf items or systems are not often available or require significant modification.

Material transfer, a crucial facet of the fabrication process, is readily accomplished for microspheres by pneumatic conveyance. The practicality of this process was demonstrated in the experimental test loop¹⁴ shown in Fig. 3. Data from pressure taps and photoelectric sensors were used to determine minimum flow requirements and to establish operating parameters that minimize material degradation and loss. Two prototypic transfer systems have been designed and operated in support of process development. Each employs a programmable logic controller, which simplifies operator requirements and provides built-in safety interlocks.

Fig. 2. Processing Steps in Pellet and Particle Fuel Fabrication.

Fig. 3. Operating Parameters were Determined from Test Loop Operation.

Development of associated material handling equipment is also well under way. An unloading system for a batch-type furnace for coating HTGR fuel particles,¹⁵ shown in Fig. 4, is presently in operation. After being pneumatically conveyed the batch of spheres is gravity fed into the furnace through a remotely actuated ball valve. Use of an oversized, full-port valve eliminates seal degradation and particle holdup. In addition, particles are never in contact with the valve during opening or closing operations. After the furnace operation the crucible containing the coated particle batch is lowered by an elevator. The crucible is grasped and lifted off the elevator by a manipulator, which then retracts and dumps the batch onto a scalping screen. The manipulator then either replaces the crucible or rotates 90° and exchanges it for a new one through an air lock. The manipulator is shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 4. Automated Furnace Unloading and Batch Handling System.

ORNL-DWG-PHOTO 1613-76R

Fig. 5. Manipulator for Handling Furnace Crucible.

Following the screening operation the batch is pneumatically conveyed out of the enclosure, maintaining inert atmosphere protection, to a collection hopper. The particles are then fed by gravity to a weigher shown in Fig. 6. After weighing, the batch falls through a passive sampler. A representative portion of the batch is dispensed to the sample inspection system, and the remainder is pneumatically conveyed to rod loading.

Fig. 6. Remote Weigher for High Temperature, Radioactive Material (Protective Atmosphere Housing Removed).

Although the special connectors, lifting bales, and guide fixtures needed for a truly remote operation are not provided by this engineering-scale system, the particle handling equipment does meet all functional requirements. Through testing and operation of the equipment a proven design with high reliability and low maintenance requirements will be available for future prototype development. This first generation system permits evaluation of the effects of high temperature and inert atmosphere on the various components.

In the sphere-pac loading operation for metal clad fuel rods, microspheres of two or more sizes are blended together and compacted in the fuel rod by low energy vibration. Fuel smear densities of up to 88% theoretical density have been achieved. A continuous ring blender, shown in Fig. 7, was developed as both a blender and dispenser. Particles of several sizes are volumetrically dispensed by gravity to the blender. They flow down the sides of an internal cone and collect at its base in a

Fig. 7. Laboratory-Sacle Continuous Ring Blender-Dispenser.

trough formed by the cone and a vertical flexible band. As the band is unwound the cone rotates, and a uniformly mixed blend of particles is fed to the rod at the point where the band leaves the cone. The blender has been scaled up to a 2.5 kg capacity device, shown in Fig. 8. It is used routinely in support of sphere-pac rod loading development to produce homogeneous fuel beds.

MICROSPHERE CHARACTERIZATION

A number of equipment items and systems have also been developed in support of microsphere characterization and analysis. Since analytical facilities are typically separated from the process line, a means for automatically sampling and transferring samples is required. A loose particle sample transfer system was developed that eliminates the need for encapsulation by eliminating particle holdup and by minimizing particle abrasion and degradation. The feasibility of this approach was again established by operation of a test loop.

A prototypic system is shown in Fig. 9. The sample is dispensed from a passive splitter in-cell to an "isolation" valve, which is essentially a receiving valve providing a three-way seal between the transfer line, sampler enclosure, and cell atmosphere. A cyclone receiver was designed to disentrain the particles from the airstream without damage at the receiving end. A negative pressure system was selected to minimize the potential for outleakage of contamination since the lines could be run outside the hot cell and between analytical stations.

Development of the particle size analyzer¹⁶ and remote weigher have also been completed. Size analysis is based on a light blockage technique. The device is capable of automatically sizing and counting each particle in the sample at rates up to 100/s. The analysis can be performed on particles as small as 150 μm diam with a precision of 2.5% and as large as 1500 μm with a precision of 0.5%. Since the analysis is non-destructive and noncontaminating (no liquid suspension medium required) the sample is available for further characterization.

The remote weigher is a modified torsion balance with external electronic controls and a specially designed hopper. A tube is extended into the hopper and particles are dispensed to the weigher by gravity. A

Fig. 8. A 2.5 kg Capacity Continuous Ring Blender-Dispenser.

Fig. 9. Automated Sample Handling and Analysis.

"floating seal" contacts the hopper top as the tube is extended to prevent material loss. After loading the hopper the tube is retracted, and the sample is weighed in the free-standing hopper. An electric motor is then used to invert the hopper, which dumps the particles into the transfer line. Both the particle size analyzer and weigher have been installed in a glove box, as shown in Figs. 10 and 11, respectively.

The heart of the particle size analyzer is the rotating singularizer drum, shown in Fig. 12. It extracts one particle at a time from a sample hopper and dispenses it for analysis. This basic concept has been used in the development of two other devices. A sample subdivider was designed to provide small, representative subsamples. A singularizer moves particles from a hopper to pockets in a rotating turntable. Subsamples accumulate in each pocket until the entire sample is dispensed. Subdividing permits several destructive analyses to be performed on a single sample pulled

Fig. 10. Glove Box Installation of Automatic Particle Size Analyzer.

Fig. 11. Glove Box Installation of Remote Sample Balance.

Fig. 12. Particles are Singularized by a Rotating Drum.

from the process line. It also provides the smallest amount of material required for the analysis by its recombine and resubdividing capabilities. This minimizes both scrap material and radiation levels at the analytical stations. The subdivider, shown in Fig. 13, was found to be superior to conventional riffing techniques in maintaining true representability of the parent sample in the small subsamples.

An automated particle crushing strength analyzer is currently under development. It also uses the singularizer to supply individual particles to a crushing stage. The particle is crushed between two flat plates, and the force required to initiate fracture is recorded. A multiprogrammer controls valve sequencing and switching, while a desk-top calculator acquires data from the load cell.

Conceptual design of a sample handling system for receiving, encapsulating, identifying, and transferring archive samples to storage has been completed. The system is shown in Fig. 14. Irradiation of the barcode reader indicated it would withstand doses as high as 300 gray (30,000 rad) and still make valid readings.

CONCLUSIONS

Development of techniques and equipment for handling, characterizing, and dispensing spherical particles has demonstrated the feasibility of this approach for nuclear applications. When these techniques are combined with existing processes for producing small spheres, they open the door to alternate processes for fuel element fabrication¹⁷ or waste fixation. The techniques and equipment are designed for or are easily adaptable to remote operation, thus providing a means for reducing both operating personnel exposure and the opportunities for material diversion or loss.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank all of the following technicians for their efforts at the ground level of equipment development:
L. J. Turner, W. H. Elliott, Jr., G. F. Galloway, C. E. DeVore, S. W. Cook,

Fig. 13. Singularizer and Turntable Divide Sample into Representative Subsamples.

Fig. 14. Sample Handling Subsystem Remotely Receives, Encapsulates, and Identifies Particle Samples.

and J. C. McLaughlin. We would also like to thank the following design engineers and draftsmen in General Engineering for their assistance: J. L. Heck, Jr., M. G. Willey, M. K. Preston, L. C. Hensley, and J. M. Hackworth. B. J. Bolfig of the Instrumentation and Controls Division is also to be commended for his work with the remote furnace unloading equipment. Comments and suggestions from technical reviewers — S. E. Dismuke, A. R. Olsen, and L. C. Williams — as well as technical editing by B. G. Ashdown are gratefully acknowledged. Preparation of the final report by R. L. Castleberry and S. G. Frykman is also appreciated.

REFERENCES

1. J. B. McBride, comp., *Preparation of UO₂ Microspheres by the Sol-Gel Technique*, ORNL-3874 (February 1966).

2. C. C. Haws, B. C. Finney, and W. D. Bond, *Engineering-Scale Demonstration of the Sol-Gel Process*, ORNL-4544 (May 1971).
3. F. G. Kitts, R. B. Fitts, and A. R. Olsen, "Sol-Gel Urania-Plutonia Microsphere Preparation and Fabrication into Fuel Rods," pp. 195-210 in *Intern. Symp. Plutonium Fuels Technol.*, Scottsdale, Ariz., 1967, *Nucl. Met.* 13, K. E. Horton, R. E. Macherey, and R. J. Allio, Eds., American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, N. Y., 1968.
4. A. L. Lotts, comp., *Fast Breeder Reactor Oxide Fuels Development - Final Report*, ORNL-4901 (November 1973).
5. R. R. Suchomel, A. J. Caputo, and W. J. Lackey, "Sphere-Pac - A Practical Remote Fuel Fabrication Concept," (Summary) *Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc.* 32(TANSAO 32): 276 (June 1979).
6. A. L. Lotts and R. L. Beatty, *Gel-Sphere-Pac Activities for the Fuel Refabrication and Development Program Quarterly Progress Report for Periods Ending September 30, 1978 and December 31, 1978*, (in preparation).
7. W. J. Bailey and J. O. Barner, "Assessment of Fuel Concepts," *Fuel Performance Improvement Program*, COO-4066-3, PNL-2490, UC-78 (January 1978) pp. 30-41.
8. R. B. Fitts and F. L. Miller, "Analysis and Performance of Instrumented Fuel Pins," *Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc.* 15(1): 180 (1972).
9. R. B. Fitts and F. L. Miller, "A Comparison of Sphere-Pac and Pellet (U,Pu)₂O₃ Fuel Pins in Low-Burnup Instrumented Irradiation Tests," *Nucl. Technol.* 21: 26-38 (1974).
10. W. J. Lackey and J. E. Selle, comps., *Assessment of Gel-Sphere-Pac Fuel for Fast Breeder Reactors*, ORNL-5468 (October 1978) pg. 146.
11. R. L. Beatty, R. E. Norman, and K. J. Notz, comps., *Gel-Sphere-Pac Fuel for Thermal Reactors - Assessment of Fabrication Technology and Irradiation Performance*, ORNL-5469 (November 1979).
12. W. J. Lackey, R. E. Blanco, and A. L. Lotts, "Application of Sol-Gel Technology to Fixation of Nuclear Reactor Waste," Technical note submitted to *Nuclear Technology*.
13. W. J. Lackey and J. E. Selle, comps., *Assessment of Gel-Sphere-Pac Fuel for Fast Breeder Reactors*, ORNL-5468, (October 1978) pp. 10-103.

14. J. E. Mack and D. R. Johnson, *Development of a Pneumatic Transfer System for HTGR Recycle Fuel Particles*, ORNL/TM-6169, (February 1978) pp. 2-10.
15. R. R. Suchomel, D. P. Stinton, M. K. Preston, J. L. Heck, B. J. Bolfig, and W. J. Lackey, *Design and Operation of Equipment Used to Develop Remote Coating Capability for HTGR Fuel Particles*, ORNL/TM-6581 (December 1978).
16. J. E. Mack and W. H. Pechin, *Automatic Particle Size Analysis of HTGR Recycle Fuel*, ORNL/TM-5907 (September 1977).
17. A. R. Olsen and R. R. Judkins, comps., *Gel-Sphere-Pac Reactor Fuel Fabrication and Its Application to a Variety of Fuels*, ORNL/TM-6924 (in press).

ORNL/TM-7143
 Distribution
 Categories UC-77,
 UC-78, UC-79b

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1-2. Central Research Library	33. W. J. Lackey
3. Document Reference Section	34. R. E. Leuze
4-5. Laboratory Records Department	35. Jar-Shyong Lin
6. Laboratory Records, ORNL RC	36. M. H. Lloyd
7. ORNL Patent Section	37-41. J. E. Mack
8-12. P. Angelini	42. J. W. Moore
13. R. L. Beatty	43. K. J. Notz
14. A. J. Caputo	44. A. R. Olsen
15. J. A. Carpenter, Jr.	45. A. E. Pasto
16. D. A. Costanzo	46. A. D. Ryon
17. R. G. Donnelly	47. D. P. Stinton
18. Uri Gat	48. S. M. Tiegs
19. R. W. Glass	49. V.C.A. Vaughen
20. R. J. Gray	50. J. S. Vavruska
21. P. A. Haas	51. S. K. Whatley
22. J. M. Hackworth, Jr.	52. M. G. Willey
23. F. E. Harrington	53. L. C. Williams
24. L. C. Hensley	54. B. A. Worley
25. R. Heshmatpour	55. R. W. Balluffi (Consultant)
26-28. M. R. Hill	56. A. L. Bement, Jr. (Consultant)
29. J. F. Homan	57. W. R. Hibbard, Jr. (Consultant)
30. J. A. Horak	58. E. H. Kottcamp, Jr. (Consultant)
31. D. R. Johnson	59. M. J. Mayfield (Consultant)
32. R. R. Judkins	60. J. T. Stringer (Consultant)

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

61. DOE CHICAGO OPERATIONS OFFICE, 9800 S. Cass Ave., Argonne, IL 60439
 Manager
- 62-63. DOE DIVISION OF NUCLEAR POWER DEVELOPMENT, Washington, DC 20545
 Director
- 64-65. DOE DIVISION OF REACTOR RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY, Washington, DC 20545
 Director
66. DOE FAST FLUX TEST FACILITY PROJECT OFFICE, P.O. Box 550,
 Richland, WA 99352
 Director

- 67-116. FUEL REFABRICATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM OFFICE, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories, P.O. Box 999, Richland, WA 99352
117. DOE RICHLAND OPERATIONS OFFICE, P.O. Box 550, Richland, WA 99352
Manager
118. SAN-DEVELOPMENT, SAN DIEGO AREA OFFICE, P.O. Box 81325,
San Diego, CA 92138
Senior Program Coordinator
119. DOE SAN FRANCISCO OPERATIONS OFFICE, 1333 Broadway, Wells Fargo Building, Oakland CA 94612
Manager
120. DOE OAK RIDGE OPERATIONS OFFICE, P.O. Box E, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
Assistant Manager, Energy Research and Development
- 121-513. DOE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
For distribution as shown in TID-4500 Distribution Category,
UC-77 (Gas-Cooled Reactor Technology)
UC-78 (Light Water Reactor Technology)
UC-79b (LMFBR — Fuels and Materials Engineering and Development)