162
10-12-83
PPPL-2035

UC20-G

PPPL-2035

DE84 000908

**BALLOONING-MODE STABILITY OF BEAN-SHAPED CROSS SECTIONS
FOR HIGH- β TOKAMAK PLASMAS**

BY

M.S. Chance, S.C. Jardin, and T.H. Stix

SEPTEMBER 1983

**PLASMA
PHYSICS
LABORATORY**

**MASTER PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY**

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,
UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76-CED-3073.

RESTRICTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

BALLOONING-MODE STABILITY OF BEAN-SHAPED CROSS SECTIONS

FOR HIGH- β TOKAMAK PLASMAS

M. S. Chance, S. C. Jardin, and T. H. Stix

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University

Princeton, New Jersey 08544

ABSTRACT

Indentation of a tokamak plasma on its inner major radius side is shown to be strongly beneficial for achieving high- β stability against ballooning modes. Using a set of reasonable equilibrium profiles, it is found that moderate indentation provides accessibility to the second region of stability. Ohmic equilibrium configurations which exhibit the second stability region have not yet been found.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

INTRODUCTION

The capability to create and maintain stable plasmas with $\beta > 20\%$ would comprise a major technological advantage for a tokamak reactor. However, the MHD ballooning instability could be a serious obstacle to this goal. Several studies have been carried out to find environments favorable for suppressing this mode.^{1,2} Recently, an empirical shape-optimization study by Miller and Moore³ has shown that a bean-shaped plasma - inwardly concave at the inner major radius side - can enhance the achievable stable β against ballooning. A similar shape had earlier been found by Mercier⁴ to enhance stability against localized interchange. In this paper we find that bean shapes can exhibit strong local magnetic shear and short connection lengths and, in fact, under certain modest conditions make accessible the second region of stability against ballooning modes.

Writing $\underline{B} = \nabla\phi \times \nabla\psi + q\nabla\psi \equiv \nabla\alpha \times \nabla\psi$, $\underline{J} \times \underline{B} = \nabla p$, and $\nabla \times \underline{B} = \underline{J}$, the Grad-Shafranov equation takes the form

$$\nabla \cdot \frac{1}{x^2} \nabla\psi = \underline{J} \cdot \nabla\phi = - \left(p' + \frac{qq'}{x^2} \right). \quad (1)$$

The poloidal flux is $2\pi\psi$, x the major radius, ϕ the azimuthal angle in the cylindrical coordinates (x, ϕ, z) , and primes denote differentiation with respect to ψ . Equation (1) is solved using a flux coordinate code⁵ in the fixed boundary mode, specifying the shape of the plasma boundary. This shape is given by the "bean" equation,

$$x = \tilde{x} + \rho \cos \gamma, \quad z = E\rho \sin \gamma \quad (2)$$

where $\rho = A(1 + B \cos t)$, $\gamma = C \sin t$, and $0 < t < 2\pi$ so that $-C < \gamma < C$.

Given A, B, C, and E, the aspect ratio is fixed by the choice of $\hat{x}$. Unless otherwise stated, A = 1.0, B = 0.6, b/a = 1.386, and R/a = 4.0, Fig. 1. The indentation parameter, d/2a, is adjusted by changing C.

It is apparent even looking casually at the magnetic surfaces that a line of force on an outer bean surface spends most of its life at values of major radius smaller than the magnetic-axis major radius. Noting that $d\ell \sim R d\phi$ and $B \sim 1/R$, bean-shaping can easily make $u = q d\ell / B$ smaller on the outer surfaces than on the magnetic axis. Provided that $q(0) \gtrsim 1$, this average magnetic well tends to stabilize interchange modes. Nevertheless, the plasma may be susceptible to ballooning perturbations which adjust themselves within a surface to be large where the well is weak or nonexistent, and small otherwise. These modes are described by the ballooning equation which can be written in the form^{6,7,8}

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{B} \cdot \nabla \left[\left(\frac{1}{|\nabla\psi|^2} + \frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{B^2} I^2 \right) \underline{B} \cdot \nabla \phi \right] + \frac{2p'}{B^2} \left[\frac{B^2}{|\nabla\psi|^2} \kappa_\psi - I \kappa_s \right] \phi \\ + \rho \omega^2 \left(\frac{1}{|\nabla\psi|^2} + \frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{B^2} I^2 \right) \phi = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\kappa_\psi = \underline{\kappa} \cdot \nabla\psi$, $\kappa_s = \underline{\kappa} \cdot \underline{B} \times \nabla\psi$, and $\underline{\kappa} = \underline{B}/B \cdot \nabla B/B$.

The first term contains the effect of field tension, the second the potentially destabilizing pressure-curvature combination, and the third term stems from fluid inertia.

Both the connection length and the local magnetic shear, S, play important roles in ballooning stability theory.⁸ The dependence of Eq. (3) on S occurs through the integrated local shear, I,

$$S = - \frac{\underline{B} \times \nabla\psi}{|\nabla\psi|^2} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\underline{B} \times \nabla\psi}{|\nabla\psi|^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= - \underline{B} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\nabla \underline{a} \cdot \nabla \psi}{|\nabla \psi|^2} \right) \equiv \underline{B} \cdot \nabla I \\
 &= \frac{1}{J} \left[\left(\frac{J}{x^2} \right)' + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \theta}{|\nabla \psi|^2} \frac{J}{x^2} \right) \right] \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J \equiv (\nabla \psi \times \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \phi)^{-1}.$$

Since $q(\psi) = 1/2\pi \oint J/q/x^2 d\theta$, then $1/2\pi \oint S/J d\theta = q'(\psi)$, so that S is comprised of the averaged global shear $q'(\psi)$ plus a residual oscillating part.

In a conventional tokamak the residual contribution to S can be so negative on the outer side of the major radius, where the poloidal field is usually the strongest, as to make S vanish there, [cf. Fig. 2(a)]. The vanishing of S is the condition that surfaces containing both $\underline{B}$ and $\nabla \psi$ exist and the local interchange of magnetic field lines can be most easily realized on such surfaces. However, a strong outward shift of the magnetic axis can appreciably strengthen the poloidal magnetic field on the outside and cause the vanishing points of S to move away from the destabilizing region. This shift may be realized by increasing the pressure but, unfortunately, the onset of the instability usually occurs before local shear and/or connection length stabilization actually takes place. Another route to stability, exploited here, is to indent the plasma on its inner major radius side so that the axis is effectively shifted even at low β . Further increase of the pressure enhances the shift even more, thus rendering the plasma immune to instability.

Contours of constant local shear in the neighborhood of $S = 0$ are shown in Fig. 2(a) for a moderately indented low- β plasma. The local shear here is

zero and/or weak in the outer region of the plasma. Increasing the pressure at this indentation causes instability at about $\beta \sim 2.6\%$. A stronger indentation, as shown in Fig. 2(b), moves the $S = 0$ contour farther out. In this case, even though the shear is still weak at low β [Fig. 2(b)] an increase in pressure [Fig. 2(c)] strengthens the local shear at large major radius, preserving stability by the self-healing process.⁹

Stabilization due to the shortened connection length of the indented plasma is also effective. The strong poloidal field at the outside of the torus due to the indentation and finite pressure causes the magnetic field lines to move rapidly through the bad curvature region while lingering at the tips of the bean which are generally located in areas of favorable normal curvature. As seen in Fig. 2(d) there is a clear reduction in the size of the dangerous region, where the normal curvature is negative.

The effect of indentation was first studied by numerical solutions of Eq. (3) with the profiles $q(y) = \sum q_i y^i$, $0 \leq i \leq 3$, and $p(y) = p_0 (1 - y^2)^2$, where $y = \psi/\Delta\psi$, $2\pi\Delta\psi$ being the poloidal flux within the plasma. The coefficients q_i were specified such that $q(0) = 1.03$, $q(1) = 4.2$, $q'(0) = 0.84375$, and $q'(1) = 9.0$. The results are shown in Fig. 3. At low indentation it is seen that an increase of $\beta_{AV} \equiv 2 \int p dv / \int B^2 dv$ causes the plasma to become balloon unstable, but further increasing of the pressure places the plasma in the so-called second stability regime.⁸⁻¹³ Moreover if the indentation is large enough, increasing the plasma pressure bypasses the unstable region completely. Bean shaping thus provides a path for access to the second region of stability of ballooning modes.

An alternative method for parameterizing the equilibrium, instead of specifying the $q(y)$ and $p(y)$ profiles, is to fix the temperature profile and then to use the ohmic equilibrium condition, $\langle \underline{J} \cdot \underline{B} \rangle / \langle \underline{B} \cdot \underline{\nabla} \phi \rangle = K T_e^{3/2}$ to prescribe

the current distribution. The free parameter, K , is used to determine either the total plasma current or the safety factor on the magnetic axis. We then use $T_e(\psi) = T_0[1-y^4]$ and $p(\psi) = p_0[1-y^2]^2$, the latter being the same as in the flux-conserving sequence described previously for the results in Fig. 3. The exponents in the T_e profile were chosen to avoid excessive current peaking and the corresponding large shear or lack of equilibrium. Figure 4 shows $J_{\parallel ave}$ for several equilibrium configurations from Fig. 3 corresponding to different β values for the indentation $d/2a = 0.3$. For the prescribed- q equilibrium at low β , the temperature profile is broad, has a plateau near the outer edge, and does not go smoothly to zero, but to a pedestal value $T_e(edge) \sim 1/2 T_e(center)$.

This ohmic equilibrium study is summarized in Fig. 5, showing contours of λ , the infinite- n eigenvalue on the most unstable surface, for a continuous range of q_0 and $\langle\beta\rangle$. These configurations provide improved β values in the first stability regime, but a second regime exhibiting complete stabilization has not been obtained. It appears likely that the second regime may lie in the upper-right corner of this diagram, but a competing tendency for q_{edge} to increase as both q_0 and $\langle\beta\rangle$ increase make obtaining equilibrium difficult in this region. Different temperature profiles may possibly exhibit the second stable regime, and some tailoring of experimental profiles can be effected by adjusting the time signature of the tokamak transformer-induced loop voltage. There are also non-ohmic supplementary heating methods and a future potential for steady-state current drive in which case the current may have significant deviation from the ohmic one.

In future papers we shall discuss stability against low- n MHD modes, FLR modifications of the ballooning modes, and particle orbit-confinement properties.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Discussions and cooperation with Dr. R. C. Grimm, Dr. D. A. Monticello, Dr. A. H. Boozer, and Dr. F. W. Perkins and other co-workers at Princeton are greatly appreciated. In addition we are pleased to acknowledge the interest and encouragement of Dr. H. P. Furth and Dr. P. H. Rutherford.

This work supported by U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073.

REFERENCES

- ¹A. M. Todd, J., Manickam, M. Okabayashi, M. S. Chance, R. C. Grimm, J. M. Greene, and J. L. Johnson, Nucl. Fusion 19, 743 (1979).
- ²L. C. Bernard, D. Dobrott, F. J. Helton, and R. W. Moore, Nucl. Fusion 20, 1199 (1980).
- ³R. Miller and R. W. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 765 (1979).
- ⁴C. Mercier, "Lectures in Plasma Physics" Research Group of the Association Euratom-CEA Cen/Fontenay-aux-Roses (France) 1974.
- ⁵J. DeLucia, S. C. Jardin, and A. M. M. Todd, J. Comput. Phys. 37, 183 (1980).
- ⁶L. Dobrott, D. B. Nelson, J. M. Greene, A. H. Glasser, M. S. Chance, and E. A. Frieman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 943 (1977).
- ⁷J. W. Connor, R. J. Hastie, and J. B. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 396 (1978).
- ⁸J. M. Greene and M. S. Chance, Nucl. Fusion 21, 453 (1981).
- ⁹B. Coppi, A. Ferreira, and J. J. Ramos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 990 (1980).
- ¹⁰D. Lortz and J. Nuhrenberg, J. Phys. Lett. 68a, 49 (1978).
- ¹¹H. R. Strauss, W. Park, D. A. Monticello, R. B. White, S. C. Jardin, M. S. Chance, A. M. M. Todd, and A. H. Glasser, Nucl. Fusion 20, 638 (1980).
- ¹²C. Mercier in Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (IAEA, Vienna, 1979) Vol. 1, 701.
- ¹³L. Sugiyama and J. W.-K. Mark, Phys. Lett. 84A, 123 (1981).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIG. 1. Geometry used to calculate the fixed boundary equilibria. The flux surfaces are spaced in equal increments of $\psi^{1/2}$.

FIG. 2. Contours of constant local shear S at and near $S = 0$ showing its variation with β and indentation (a, b, c). (d) Shows the contours of constant normal magnetic curvature.

FIG. 3. Stability plot of β versus indentation showing the first and second regions of stability at low indentation. At sufficiently high indentation the second region becomes accessible.

FIG. 4. J_{ave} for equilibria where $q(\psi)$ is prescribed. The double-dashed curve is the corresponding profile used in the ohmic equilibrium studies where $T_e(\psi)$ is prescribed.

FIG. 5. Stability diagram for ballooning modes when the ohmic equilibrium condition is used. Here $\lambda = \rho\omega^2$.

83T0002

$$R/a = 4.0$$

$$b/a = 1.386$$

$$d/2a = 0.304$$

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

#83T0278

Fig. 5

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO TIC UC-20

Plasma Res Lab, Austro Nat'l Univ, AUSTRALIA
 Dr. Frank J. Paoloni, Univ of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ., AUSTRALIA
 Prof. M.H. Brennan, Univ Sydney, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. F. Ceb, Inst Theo Phys, AUSTRIA
 Prof. Frank Verhaest, Inst theoretische, BELGIUM
 Dr. D. Palumbo, Dg XII Fusion Prog, BELGIUM
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab de Phys Plasmas, BELGIUM
 Dr. P.M. Sekaneke, Univ Estadual, BRAZIL
 Dr. C.R. James, Univ of Alberta, CANADA
 Prof. J. Telchmann, Univ of Montreal, CANADA
 Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ of Saskatchewan, CANADA
 Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, University of Calgary, CANADA
 Prof. Tudor M. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA
 Dr. Hannes Barnard, Univ British Columbia, CANADA
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA
 Zhengwu Li, SW Inst Physics, CHINA
 Library, Tsing Hua University, CHINA
 Librarian, Institute of Physics, CHINA
 Inst Plasma Phys, SW Inst Physics, CHINA
 Dr. Peter Lukac, Komenského Univ, CZECHOSLOVAKIA
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND
 Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice, FRANCE
 J. Radet, CEN-BP6, FRANCE
 AM Dupas Library, AM Dupas Library, FRANCE
 Dr. Tom Muai, Academy Bibliographic, HONG KONG
 Preprint Library, Cent Res Inst Phys, HUNGARY
 Dr. A.K. Sunderam, Physical Research Lab, INDIA
 Dr. S.K. Trehan, Panjab University, INDIA
 Dr. Indra, Mohan Lal Das, Banaras Hindu Univ, INDIA
 Dr. L.K. Chavda, South Gujarat Univ, INDIA
 Dr. R.K. Chhajlani, Var Ruchi Marg, INDIA
 P. Kaw, Physical Research Lab, INDIA
 Dr. Phillip Rosenau, Israel Inst Tech, ISRAEL
 Prof. S. Cupperman, Tel Aviv University, ISRAEL
 Prof. G. Rostagni, Univ DI Padova, ITALY
 Librarian, Int'l Ctr Theo Phys, ITALY
 Miss Clelia De Palo, Assoc EURATOM-CNEN, ITALY
 Biblioteca, del CNR EURATOM, ITALY
 Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Dev, JAPAN
 Prof. M. Yoshikawa, JAERI, Tokai Res Est, JAPAN
 Prof. T. Uchida, University of Tokyo, JAPAN
 Research Info Center, Nagoya University, JAPAN
 Prof. Kyoji Nishikawa, Univ of Hiroshima, JAPAN
 Prof. Sigeru Mori, JAERI, JAPAN
 Library, Kyoto University, JAPAN
 Prof. Ichiro Kawakami, Nihon Univ, JAPAN
 Prof. Setoshi Itoh, Kyushu University, JAPAN
 Tech Info Division, Korea Atomic Energy, KOREA
 Dr. R. England, Ciudad Universitaria, MEXICO
 Bibliotheek, Fom-Inst Voor Plasma, NETHERLANDS
 Prof. B.S. Lilley, University of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND
 Dr. Suresh C. Sharma, Univ of Calabar, NIGERIA
 Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Inst Superior Tech, PORTUGAL
 Dr. Octavian Petrus, ALI OCUA University, ROMANIA
 Dr. R. Jones, Nat'l Univ Singapore, SINGAPORE
 Prof. M.A. Hallberg, University of Natal, SO AFRICA
 Dr. Johan de Villiers, Atomic Energy Bd, SO AFRICA
 Dr. J.A. Tague, JEN, SPAIN
 Prof. Hans Wilhelmsson, Chalmers Univ Tech, SWEDEN
 Dr. Lennart Stenflo, University of UMEA, SWEDEN
 Library, Royal Inst Tech, SWEDEN
 Dr. Erik T. Karlson, Uppsala Universitet, SWEDEN
 Centre de Recherches, Ecole Polytech Fed, SWITZERLAND
 Dr. W.L. Waise, Nat'l Bur Stand, USA
 Dr. W.M. Stacey, Georg Inst Tech, USA
 Dr. S.T. Wu, Univ Alabama, USA
 Prof. Norman L. Olsson, Univ S Florida, USA
 Dr. Benjamin Ha, Iowa State Univ, USA
 Prof. Magne Kristiansen, Texas Tech Univ, USA
 Dr. Raymond Askew, Auburn Univ, USA
 Dr. V.T. Tolok, Kharkov Phys Tech Ins, USSR
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Acad Sci, USSR
 Dr. M.S. Rabinovich, Lebedev Physical Inst, USSR
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, Kurchatov Institute, USSR
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Inst Electro-Physical, USSR
 Prof. T.J. Boyd, Univ College N Wales, WALES
 Dr. K. Schindler, Ruhr Universitat, W. GERMANY
 Nuclear Res Estab, Jülich Ltd, W. GERMANY
 Librarian, Max-Planck Institut, W. GERMANY
 Dr. H.J. Kaeppeler, University Stuttgart, W. GERMANY
 Bibliothek, Inst Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY