DOE/ID/01571--T1

DOE/ID/01571--T1

DE83 017490

GAS-LIQUID TRANSIENT FLOW IN HORIZONTAL PIPES:

A MODEL FOR PREDICTING FLOW-PATTERN TRANSITIONS

by

Y. Taitel, N. Lee and A. E. Dukler

University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77004

AS07-76 ID 01571

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Final Report Submitted to:
U. S. Energy Research & Development Administration
Idaho Operations Office
Under Contract No. EY-76-5-07-1571

September 1977

A. E. Dukler
A. E. Dukler
Principal Investigator

NOTICE

PORTIONS OF THIS REPORT ARE ILLEGIBLE.

It has been reproduced from the best available copy to permit the broadest possible availability.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

ABSTRACT

Recent studies (Taitel and Dukler, 1976) have provided a method for predicting conditions for flow regime transitions by modelling the actual physical processes taking place. This work was concerned only with steady flow conditions. Of considerable importance to the analysis of a Loss of Cooling Accident is the ability to predict the flow regime under conditions of transient flow. This report extends the steady state method of analysis to that of transient flow.

It is shown that under transient conditions the transitions between flow patterns can occur at flow rates different than that which will take place if the changes in flow rate were to take place infinitely slowly. Furthermore, for rapid changes in flow rates from one set of conditions to another, flow regimes can appear which would not be observed if the change were made slowly.

The theory for flow regime changes under transient flow conditions is developed and methods for predicting the onset of transition under transients presented. Experimental confirmation of the theory is described.

INTRODUCTION

During horizontal concurrent gas liquid flow in pipes a variety of flow patterns can exist. Each pattern results from the particular manner by which the liquid and gas distribute in the pipe. Authors differ somewhat in the name they assign to each of the flow patterns; nevertheless, the differences are small and most agree on six flow regimes (Govier and Aziz (1972), Hewitt and Hall-Taylor (1970)) as shown in Figure 1:

- 1) Stratified smooth flow: In this case the liquid flows at the bottom of the pipe with gas at the top and the interface between them is smooth.
- 2) Stratified wavy flow: The liquid and gas are separated as above but the interface is wavy.
- 3) Elongated bubble flow or plug flow: Elongated gas bubbles located adjacent to the top part of the tube move downstream. They are separated by sections of continuous liquid.
- 4) Slug flow: Liquid slugs separated by gas pockets move violently downstream. The slug may be aerated with distributed small bubbles at higher gas flow rates. The distinction between elongated bubble flow and slug flow is not well defined. Basically both have the same general appearance and the differences between them is based on the degree of agitation of the flow and the height of the liquid film between slugs.
- 5) Annular flow: Annular flow takes place at high gas flow rates. The gas flows in the center of the pipe while the liquid flows as an annular. Because of gravity this film is thicker at the bottom than at the top of the pipe. Annular flow can be visualized as developing from slug flow when the aeration in the slug becomes sufficiently high to form a continuous gas phase. It forms from a stratified liquid when the gas velocity is sufficiently high to spread the liquid around the pipe.

6) Dispersed bubble flow: For high liquid flow rates the gas is dispersed in the form of small bubbles within a continuous liquid phase. Normally the bubble density at the top will be somewhat higher than at the bottom of the pipe.

Given the steady state flow rates of the two phases, the fluid properties and the tube size, a central task is to predict the flow pattern which will take place. In the past, flow "maps" have been used in which experimentally observed transition boundaries are plotted as functions of two flow parameters or two dimensionless groups. The cross hatched curves of Figure 2 shows an example of a recent flow map given by Mandhane, et al. (1974), using the superficial velocities of liquid, u_L^S , and gas, u_G^S . Many other maps based primarily on experiment preceded the work by Mandhane, et al.; see, for example, Baker (1954), Govier and Omer (1962), Kosterin (1949), Alves (1954), Bergelin and Gazley (1949), Hoogendoorn and Buitelaar (1961), Johnson and Abou-Sabe (1952), Sternling (1965), Schicht (1969), and Al-Sheikh, et al. (1970).

In general, one can distinguish between two types of maps:

a) One based on physical coordinates as shown in Figure 2 where the superficial velocities of liquid and gas are used as coordinates.

b) One based on dimensionless groups. In this case the map will be general provided the correct dimensionless coordinates are chosen and if the physical processes involved in the transition depend on only two dimensionless groups.

In most existing maps the transition lines in either physical or dimensionless coordinates are located by the use of experimental data. As a result the maps are limited to the range of conditions of the data. Even where the coordinates are dimensionless groups the generality of most existing maps is

questionable since in the past the choice of particular dimensionless coordinates has been based primarily on speculation.

In a recent paper, Taitel and Dukler (1976) proposed a generalized map which is based on physical modelling of the transition mechanisms and for which the transition lines are obtained by a mathematically predictive method for which no data is needed. The results yields a generalized map where two dimensionless coordinates are used for each transition. Because the mechanism for transition is different between each pair of flow patterns, the same pair of dimensionless coordinates are not common to each transition. However, the dimensionless group, X , is common to all transitions. Thus, a map could be constructed on a single diagram as shown in Figure 3. Note that slug flow and elongated bubble flow are considered the same flow pattern and designated intermittent flow as suggested by Hubbard and Dukler (1966). For given pipe size and fluid properties the theory predicts that the transition boundaries depend only on the superficial velocities, u_L^S and u_G^S . The solid curves of Figure 2 show the theoretically predicted transition boundaries for air/water in a 2.5 cm pipe at low pressure in comparison with the boundaries recommended by Mandhane which were based on experimental data for the same system. As shown, the agreement is good. For other pipe sizes and/or fluid properties these boundaries change location in the $u_G^S - u_L^S$ plane.

The studies described above have been limited to "steady state or equilibrium" flow conditions, namely the situation where liquid and gas rates are constant. If these rates are varied very slowly transitions between flow patterns will take place at the same flow rates predicted for equilibrium conditions, (Figure 2 or 3). In many engineering systems, (and in particular in the case of breaks in pressurized water nuclear reactor piping) rapid changes in flow rate can be expected. It is important to predict the sequence

of flow patterns which will be observed during these flow transients. If, for example, stratified smooth flow exists and the gas flow rate is increased slowly at constant liquid rate a quasi equilibrium process will take place and, as shown in Figure 2 the pattern will change from smooth stratified to wavy stratified flow and then to annular flow at the gas flow rates given in Figure 2. As will be shown the system behaves differently for an abrupt change in flow rates. For example, when one increases gas flow rate the change from stratified smooth to stratified wavy and to annular can occur at different gas rates than what would have been predicted for equilibrium or steady state conditions. Furthermore, it is possible by rapidly increasing gas flow rate to go through a temporary slug flow pattern before reaching annular flow. Thus the path for unsteady flow pattern transitions may differ from that for quasi-steady state flow.

Very little work has been done along these lines. French (1975) considered transient fluid flow regimes in a blowdown test simulating breakage of nuclear reactor piping. With his apparatus it was not possible to observe the flow regimes and they were inferred through density measurements. Recently Sakoguchi et al. (1973) reported observations on the transient behavior when gas or liquid flow rate is increased. He showed that the transient path may differ from its quasi-steady state path both in terms of the flow patterns observed and the flow rates at which transitions occur. No theoretical framework was presented for these results.

In this paper the flow pattern behavior of two phase flow under transient conditions is analyzed theoretically and experimentally and a model proposed by which the expected regimes can be predicted.

THEORY

Mechanism for Steady State Equilibrium Transitions

As a basis of extending the theory for steady state transitions to the unsteady state case it is useful to summarize the main ideas given in the earlier work (Taitel and Dukler, 1976). A solution for steady flow is developed by which the liquid level in the pipe is calculated for equilibrium stratified flow. If the conditions for equilibrium stratified flow are unstable transition may occur as follows:

A. Transition between stratified and intermittent or annular pattern:

This transition is visualized to take place as a result of Helmholtz instability causing waves of finite size on a stratified film. This instability is caused by the decrease of the pressure over a large wave due to the Bernoulli effect when gas accelerates over the wave crest. The transition to the intermittent or annular pattern occurs when the upward Bernoulli forces resulting from the decrease of the pressure over the wave overcomes the downward gravity forces.

B. Transition between intermittent and annular pattern: A transition to intermittent flow is visualized to occur when blockage of the gas passage by the growing wave forms a competent bridge causing a slug or plug to form. At high gas flow rate the liquid level in the pipe is very low. In this case even though an unstable wave of finite size is formed and tends to grow there is not enough liquid to support building of a slug. In this case the gas drives the waves around the wall and annular flow will result.

C. Transition between stratified smooth and stratified wavy pattern:

This transition is related to the phenomena of wave generation on a smooth

gas-liquid interface. The mechanism is quite complicated and not yet completely understood. Nevertheless it is generally accepted that waves form when pressure and shear work on the wave overcome the viscous dissipation in the wave. In this formulation Jeffreys' approach is used which predicts the condition for wave initiation given knowledge of the "sheltering coefficient". The value of this sheltering coefficient has been obtained both experimentally and theoretically.

D. Transition between intermittent and dispersed bubble: This transition is visualized as taking place when the liquid velocity is so high that turbulent fluctuations in the liquid which tend to dispense the gas overcome bouyancy forces acting on the gas bubbles.

Based on the models described above the following equations were developed to predict the condition for transition.

Transition A: Stratified to Intermittent or Annular

$$u_G \geq \left(1 - \frac{h}{D}\right) \left\{ \frac{(\rho_L - \rho_G) g A_G}{\rho_G A_L'} \right\}^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

Transition B: Intermittent to Annular

Equation 1 is satisfied and

$$h/D < 0.5 \quad \text{Annular}$$

$$h/D \geq 0.5 \quad \text{Intermittent}$$

Transition C: Stratified Smooth to Stratified Wavy

$$u_G \geq \left\{ \frac{400 v_L (\rho_L - \rho_G) g}{\rho_G u_L} \right\}^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

Transition D: Intermittent to Dispersed Bubble

$$u_L \geq \left\{ \frac{4A_G}{S_i} \frac{g}{f_L} \left(\frac{\rho_L - \rho_G}{\rho_L} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

The resulting theoretical transition lines expressed in generalized dimensionless coordinates appear in Figure 3. Note that once fluid properties and pipe size are set all quantities in these equations depend only on the liquid level, h , and the two flow rates. Thus this level plays the central rule and its prediction is a necessary first step.

Transitions During Flow Transients

The approach to modelling flow pattern transitions under transient flow conditions parallels that for steady flow. First the stable stratified liquid level is predicted but in this case this level varies with position along the pipe, x , and time, t . The stability of this level to the disturbances which underlie the transitions are explored as the flow transient proceeds according to the four criteria stated above. As seen, these criteria all depend on liquid level. Under steady conditions, this level is invariant with time. Under transient conditions the liquid level as well as the fluid velocities are time dependent. As will be shown, under conditions of transient flow and depending on the nature of the transient, flow pattern transition will take place at flow rates different from those expected for steady, equilibrium conditions. This happens because the liquid level during a transient is such that the transition can be satisfied at different rates than for equilibrium conditions. Furthermore under certain conditions, in moving from one flow condition to another, flow regimes will appear that would not exist if the flow changes along this path were executed slowly.

Equations for Liquid Level Under Transient Flow Conditions

Figure 4 shows the geometry of stratified flow. Liquid and gas enters the pipe at $x=0$ both flowing in the positive x direction. Under transient flow conditions the gas-liquid interface is, in general, not parallel to the

x axis thus the liquid level h , average liquid velocity u_L and average gas velocity u_G are all function of x and t . Likewise the liquid and gas cross sectional areas A_L and A_G and the contact perimeter between the liquid and wall, S_L , gas and wall, S_G and liquid gas interface, S_i are all functions of the local liquid level h and thereby also depend on time and position.

The momentum and continuity equations for the liquid phase are given by

$$\rho_L \frac{\partial (u_L A_L)}{\partial t} + \rho_L \frac{\partial (u_L^2 A_L)}{\partial x} = -\tau_L S_L + \tau_i S_i - A_L \rho_L g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - A_L \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial A_L}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (u_L A_L)}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (5)$$

τ_L represents the frictional shear stress between the liquid and the solid walls of the pipe and τ_i the forward stress at the interface caused by the faster moving gas over the liquid surface. S_L and S_i are given by

$$S_L = 2r[\pi - \cos^{-1}(\frac{h}{r} - 1)] \quad (6)$$

$$S_i = 2r \sqrt{1 - (\frac{h}{r} - 1)^2} \quad (7)$$

ρ_L is the liquid density and P is the pressure. Since the cross sectional area of the liquid film depends on the level of the liquid in the pipe,

$A_L = A_L(h)$. Thus equations (4) and (5) take the form

$$g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} + u_L \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x} = - \frac{\tau_L S_L}{\rho_L A_L} + \frac{\tau_i S_i}{\rho_L A_L} - \frac{1}{\rho_L} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + u_L \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{A_L}{A_L'} \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (9)$$

where A_L' represent differentiation with respect to h .

For a rectangular pipe $A_L = hb$; for circular pipes the liquid cross sectional area as a function of the elevation from the bottom of the pipe is given by

$$A_L = r^2 \left\{ \pi - \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{h}{r} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{h}{r} - 1 \right) \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{h}{r} - 1 \right)^2} \right\} \quad (10)$$

and its derivative is

$$A'_L = \frac{dA_L}{dh} = 2r \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{h}{r} - 1 \right)^2} \quad (11)$$

For calculating τ_L and τ_i the conventional relations are used with the additional condition that the gas velocity is much larger than the liquid velocity and that the liquid interface may be assumed to be smooth. In this case

$$\tau_L = f_L \frac{\rho_L u_L^2}{2} \quad \tau_i = f_G \frac{\rho_G u_G^2}{2} \quad (12)$$

For f_L and f_G a Blasius type correlation is convenient for both the liquid and the gas

$$f = C R_e^{-n} \quad (13)$$

where C and n are chosen according to the condition of flow (turbulent or laminar) that exist in the liquid or gas. In most cases both fluids will be turbulent for which $C=C_L=C_G=0.046$ and $n=n_L=n_G=0.2$. The Reynold number is based on the hydraulic diameter. For this purpose the liquid is treated as if it flows in an open channel and $D_L=4A_L/S_L$, whereas the gas is visualized as flowing in a closed duct bounded by the wall and the interface and thus $D_G=4A_G/(S_i + S_G)$.

Next consider the equation of motion for the gas phase. The pressure gradient in the liquid and the gas are assumed to be equal and equations (4) and (5) with suitable subscript are equally valid for the flow of the gas. The gas velocity is much greater than that of the liquid and since gas flow rate changes are propagated down the pipe very rapidly compared to the liquid,

a quasi steady state is assumed with respect to any time interval in which changes in liquid flow or level are significant.

$$\rho_G \frac{\partial (u_G^2 A_G)}{\partial x} = -\tau_G S_G - \tau_i S_i - A_G \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \quad (14)$$

$$A_G u_G \rho_G = W_G = \text{const.} \quad (15)$$

Substituting (14) and (15) into (8) yields the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[g - \frac{\rho_G}{\rho_L} \left(\frac{W_G}{A_G} \right)^2 \frac{A_L'}{A_G} \right] \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} + u_L \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x} = \\ = - \frac{\tau_L S_L}{\rho_L A_L} + \frac{\tau_i S_i}{\rho_L} \left(\frac{1}{A_L} + \frac{1}{A_G} \right) + \frac{\tau_G S_G}{\rho_L A_G} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + u_L \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{A_L}{A_L'} \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (17)$$

Equations (16) and (17) are two simultaneous partial differential equations for $h(x,t)$ and $u_L(x,t)$. Note that the R.H.S. of (16) is a known function of h and u_L . Also note that for steady equilibrium conditions, namely the case where the liquid level is constant the L.H.S. of (16) is identically zero and equation (16) becomes identical to equation (6) in the paper by Taitel and Dukler (1976).

Approach to Solution of the Equations

Equations (16) and (17) predict the transient variation of the local liquid level and local average velocity as the flow condition is varied from one state to another. The knowledge of the instantaneous liquid level with the application of the mechanisms for transition as discussed above indicate when transition will occur.

Equations (16) and (17) are two hyperbolic, partial differential equations provided

$$g \gg \frac{\rho_G}{\rho_L} \left(\frac{W_G}{\rho_G A_G} \right)^2 \frac{A_L'}{A_G} \quad (18)$$

Unless (18) is satisfied a unique solution to these equations does not exist (Taitel & Dukler, 1977). Calculations show that even at flow rates closely approaching these for instability of the stratified flow, the Bernoulli term is, in fact, negligible compared to gravity. But neglecting this term can also be justified on a physical basis as well. Physically the R.H.S. of (18) describes the "Bernoulli" forces opposing gravity that act on the fluid when the gas is accelerated to high velocity over the crest of a wavy interface. In order to avoid this mathematical problem the approach to the solution of (16) and (17) is to predict the variation of "smooth" liquid level profile and velocity with time in the absence of local waves. At each point in space and time the local stability of the surface to wave growth due to Bernoulli forces is explored using the criteria of equation 1. Consistent with this approach the "Bernoulli" term is neglected in (16). While this approach provides a device to overcome mathematical difficulties, it is also consistent with the physical behavior as observed in flow of gas and liquid level is stratified near the entry. Waves formed on this surface travel and grow as they move downstream as if superimposed on a smooth stratified surface.

Once the "Bernoulli" term in (16) is neglected equations (16) and (17) take the form

$$\frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} + u_L \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x} + g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + E = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + u_L \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + H \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (20)$$

where $H = H(h) = A_L/A_L'$ and $E = E(h, u_L)$ is minus the R.H.S. of equation (16).

Using standard methods (Stoker, 1957) equation 19 and 20 can be converted to the following form.

$$[(u_L + C) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}]u_L + \sqrt{\frac{g}{H}}[(u_L + C) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}]h + E = 0 \quad (21)$$

$$[(u_L - C) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}]u_L - \sqrt{\frac{g}{H}}[(u_L - C) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}]h + E = 0 \quad (22)$$

where $C = \sqrt{gH}$ is the critical velocity.

Equations (21) and (22) were solved using the finite difference technique described by Stoker (1957). In this method explicit forward finite differences are used with respect to time whereas the spacial derivative is replaced by either forward or backward finite differences depending on the direction of the characteristic lines. Equation (21) is associated with the forwards characteristic which has a slope $dx/dt = 1/(u_L + C)$ and thus backward finite differences are used. Equation (22) is associated with the characteristics having the slope $\frac{dx}{dt} = 1/(u_L - C)$. When, at a given x and t , $u_L > C$, or the flow is supercritical, the direction of the characteristics associated with (22) is positive, and a backward finite spacial differentiation is used. At subcritical conditions when $u_L < C$ forward differentiation is used.

In the numerical scheme each point is checked to determine whether the flow is sub- or supercritical and the appropriate forward or backward numerical scheme selected. In order to insure stability the time increment, Δt , is limited by $\Delta t < \Delta x/(u_L + C)$.

The boundary conditions needed for the solution of (21) and (22) depend on whether the local conditions is sub- or supercritical. For the supercritical case $h(x,0)$, $u_L(x,0)$, $u_L(0,t)$, and $h(0,t)$ are required as boundary and initial conditions. When the flow is subcritical only $h(0,t)$ or $u_L(0,t)$ can be assigned since they are related through equation (22) and are associated with the

backward characteristics. In this case, however, the flow rate is specified and for the subcritical case both $u_L(0,t)$ and $h(0,t)$ are determined by the flow rate.

Note that $H = A_L/A_L'$ and $C = \sqrt{gH}$. As seen from equations (10) and (11), H depends uniquely on h/r and for any set of fluid properties this depends uniquely on u_L^S and u_G^S as shown by Taitel and Dukler (1976). The locus of points in the $u_L^S - u_G^S$ plane under equilibrium conditions along which $u_L = C$ is shown as a dotted curve in Figure 5 for the low pressure air-water system.

A variety of solutions can be generated by this analysis. Three basic processes are discussed below:

- (a) Changing liquid rate at constant gas rate
- (b) Changing gas rate at constant liquid rate
- (c) Simultaneous change in gas and liquid rate

Changing Liquid Rate

As a first example consider the flow of water and air at 25°C, 1 atm in a 3.8 cm diameter pipe where the steady initial flow rates correspond to $u_L^S = 0.03$ m/sec designated as point A in Figure 5. Stratified wavy flow exists under this condition. The liquid flow rate is increased to $u_L^S = 0.1$ m/sec at B as shown in Figure 5. Point B is on the transition line from wavy stratified to annular flow under equilibrium conditions. If this process is carried out very slowly the system passes through a series of equilibrium states during which the liquid level (which is constant for all x positions) increases and correspondingly the true gas velocity increases. As outlined by Taitel and Dukler (1976), precisely at B the surface becomes unstable to finite wavy disturbances because equation (1) is satisfied. If the h/D when this condition is satisfied exceeds 0.5 then intermittent flow is observed. When it is below 0.5 the flow pattern becomes annular.

Now consider this same change in liquid flow rate from A to B taking place rapidly. In Figure 6A the change of the liquid level with time is plotted as the liquid rate is linearly increased from point A to B (Figure 5) in 1 sec. Note that for this case the flow is supercritical at all points and all times. Consistent with the requirement of supercritical flow, the level at the entry remains constant. The fast moving liquid is shown to accumulate near the entrance region when the liquid rate is increased to create a local hump. When any position of this hump reaches the transition level (that is, equation (1) is satisfied) the gas velocity over the hump causes instability of the liquid surface to waves and local transition to annular or slug flow takes place.

In this example the transition level is reached in 0.84 seconds at a liquid flow rate of 0.091 m/sec, 9% below the flow rate that would cause transition if the change were made very slowly. Thus the analysis predicts somewhat earlier transition in this case.

Path D-E represents an increase of liquid flow rate under subcritical conditions. The solution for liquid level change is seen in Figure 6B. As can be observed the subcritical case behaves totally different from the supercritical one. Under these conditions the liquid accumulates at the entrance as a result of a rising level there. The slope of the liquid surface at the advancing front steepens and propagates downstream as a bore. At $x=0$ the level asymptotically approaches the equilibrium level of E. Following the criterion for transition, Equation (1), slugging conditions are reached when the entry region level reaches the transition conditions of point E. The solution indicates that this will happen only asymptotically with time and well beyond the time when the final flow rate is reached. When the liquid

is linearly increased from D to F in 1.0 second the solution given in Figure 6C shows that at $x=0$ the level reaches the transition conditions only after the liquid flow rate corresponding to E has been passed. Thus, in this instance, transition to slugging is delayed and occurs at $u_L^S = 0.20$ m/sec instead of at the steady state transition value of $u_L^S = 0.14$ m/sec. Note the important difference in the effect of rapidly increasing liquid flow rate when the path is totally above or totally below critical flow velocities. Above the critical state for increasing liquid rate the onset of transition is advanced, taking place at liquid rates below that which would cause transition under equilibrium conditions. For subcritical flow the transition is delayed and takes place at flow rates higher than would be experienced under a very slow change in liquid rate.

Level variation with time and position for cases of decreasing liquid flow rate are shown in Figure 7. The paths considered are the reverse of those discussed above; F to D where the liquid rate is decreased while being in the subcritical region, path F-E where E is located on the transition line and finally the path C-A which is in the supercritical region. The solutions show that as the liquid flow rate is decreased rapidly, the liquid level drops near the entrance. For the subcritical cases the level is at its lowest at $x=0$ whereas for the supercritical case of the level reaches a minimum at $x>0$. During the first 0.5 seconds of the transient along the path 6-A (Figure 7C) the flow is supercritical. After this initial period the flow becomes subcritical near the entrance as indicated by the shift in the minimum to the location $x=0$.

Under transient conditions the transition is assumed to take place at the first instant in time that the liquid level at any x reaches the transition level, i.e. when Equation 1 is an equality. For example, for path F-D

of Figure 5, changing flow rate very slowly would result in the transition from intermittent to smooth stratified flow at $u_L^S = 0.14$ m/sec. However, if the change from F to D is made in one second, the liquid level (Figure 7A) drops to the transition value at $x=0$ after only 0.31 seconds at which point the liquid rate has dropped to only $u_L^S = 0.22$ m/sec. Even though the level at $x>0$ exceeds the transition level, slugging is expected to stop when $h(x=0)$ falls to the transition level because slug formation ceases at $x=0$ and the last slug formed prior to $t = 0.31$ seconds is rapidly swept out of the tube. After this last slug passes the liquid level in the tube is the height of the residual film left by the slug.

Executing the change, F-E, in one second results in the transition taking place after 0.55 seconds at a liquid rate, $u_L^S = 0.23$ m/sec. In fact, beyond 0.55 seconds the level at $x=0$ will drop below the level of the final equilibrium state.

When the liquid rate is decreased along the path C-A, the initial state being supercritical, the result is different from the above in that there is a delay in the transition. As seen from Figure 7C where liquid level profiles are shown during a linear change from C to A programmed for 1.0 second. The transition level is reached after 0.91 seconds and at $u_L^S = 0.06$ m/sec. If equilibrium conditions were to exist during this change the transition would take place at 0.74 seconds and a flow rate of $u_L^S = 0.10$ m/sec.

The above examples demonstrate that opposite trends are observed when the initial conditions are in the sub- and supercritical flow states. As liquid rate is increased transition is reached earlier than expected for supercritical flow and is delayed for subcritical flow. The opposite effects take place for rapid decreases in liquid flow rate.

It is important to emphasize that the mechanism for transition under transient flow conditions is identical to that for steady flow conditions. For the six paths shown in Figures 6 and 7 this takes place when, as the flow rate of liquid is changed, Equation 1 is first satisfied at some location, x . However, the flow rates at which this condition is satisfied differs from those for equilibrium conditions shown on the map of Figure 5.

All of the above paths were selected to display only one transition. However, unsteady state paths across several transitions, such as L,M,N,O in Figure 5 can be calculated in the same manner with the applicable criteria discussed above.

Changing Gas Rate

Equations (19) and (20) have been solved numerically for liquid level profiles as the gas rate is changed with liquid rate held constant. The paths are A-G and D-G in Figure 5. The profiles for increasing and decreasing gas flow rates appear in Figure 8 and 10. Shown in each case are the time and gas flow rates at which transition will take place. Characteristic of all these cases, the liquid levels and velocities are very slow to respond to changes in gas rate. In most cases at some value of x the level has changed negligibly in the time interval that the gas rate has changed sufficiently to cause transition. This is to be expected since the gas influences the liquid through interfacial shear and this requires a relaxation of the initial velocity distribution in the liquid.

Consider path A-G and the liquid profiles shown in Figure 8A. At the initial conditions of $u_G^S = 7.8$ m/sec and $u_L^S = 0.03$ m/sec the flow is wavy-stratified. The gas flow is programmed to increase to 30.5 m/sec. Transition to annular flow takes place at $t = 0.24$ seconds at which point u_G^S has increased

to 13.1 m/sec. At this instant the flows and levels are such as to satisfy Equation 1. Since $h/D < 0.5$ the transition takes place to annular flow. The mechanism for this early transition can be seen from Figure 9 which shows the variation in h/D , u_G and ϕ as the gas flow rate (expressed as u_G^S) is increased. An unstable interface will exist and transition will take place when, according to Equation (1), $u_G \geq \phi$. The solid lines represents the result when the change takes place through a series of quasi equilibrium states. It is seen that transition will take place when u_G^S reaches 16.5 m/sec.

Now consider the results if the transient takes place in one second. The liquid level has insufficient time to respond to the transient in the gas as shown in Figure 8A. In fact, after one second the liquid level downstream is essentially unchanged from its original value. Both the gas velocity and ϕ , are at a maximum where the level is highest. The maximum values of h/D , u_G and ϕ are plotted as dotted lines in Figure 9. The condition, $u_G \geq \phi$ is met at gas rate of 13.1 m/sec., lower than would take place under equilibrium conditions.

Consider path D-G in Figure 5 with a linear change in gas rate from 0.78 to 30.5 m/sec taking place in 1.0 seconds. The flow pattern of the initial state is smooth-stratified. The liquid profile after 0.10 seconds is shown in Figure 8B. Again it is evident that the liquid level is essentially unchanged. In the early stages of the transient Equation (2) is satisfied after 0.043 seconds when the gas rate, u_G^S , reaches 2.0 m/sec and transition to wavy stratified flow takes place. Under equilibrium conditions this would take place at $u_G^S = 3.4$ m/sec. As the transient proceeds, Equation (1) is satisfied after 0.1 seconds when u_G^S reaches 3.7 m/sec. Since at that instant $h/D > 0.5$, transition to annular flow takes place. This compares with $u_G^S = 16.5$ m/sec at which steady state transition would take place.

Less rapid gas transients can result in the liquid level changing significantly at least in the entry region before the end of the transient period. Traversing path D-G in 100 seconds results in the liquid profiles shown in Figure 8C for $t=0$ and $t=10$ seconds. However, even after ten seconds and for $x \geq 4$ meters the level is essentially unchanged. Transitions A, B and C take place at the location where the gas velocity is highest and this occurs at the location of the highest liquid level. Thus in general these transitions will first be observed downstream when a transient involving increased gas rate is executed.

During a transient decrease in gas flow rate for fast and moderate transients the change in liquid level is negligible up to the point in time that the gas flow rate changes enough to cause transition to take place. Figure 10 demonstrates this. Shown in each case is the profile at the instant at which the gas velocity is such that transition A from annular to stratified wavy flow (Equation 1) takes place. At equilibrium the transition would occur at $u_G^S = 16.5$ m/sec. Under transient conditions this takes place at higher velocities as follows

<u>PATH</u>	<u>TRANSIENT TIME</u>	<u>TIME TO TRANSITION</u>	<u>u_G^S AT TRANSITION</u>
G-A	1.0 sec	0.53 sec	18.0 m/sec
G-D	1.0 sec	0.40 sec	18.6
G-D	100 sec	30.0 sec	21.0

The Appearance of "Spurious" Flow Patterns for Fast Transients

Define a fast transient as one where the liquid level remains essentially unchanged over the period of time it takes the gas rate to increase enough to result in transition. For these fast transients in gas flow rate a particularly

simple and elegant graphical procedure is available to predict the flow regimes encountered along the path and when the transitions can be expected to occur.

Transient changes in liquid flow rate at constant gas flow (paths D-F and A-C of Figure 5) display the same flow patterns as would be observed if the flow were changed in an equilibrium manner, but the transitions take place at different liquid flow rates. However, during a gas flow transient patterns not observed along the equilibrium path can appear. For example consider the path H-J of Figure 5. The flow pattern in both the initial and final states is smooth-stratified. Now execute a fast transient. The liquid level remains at its initial value as the gas rate increases. Testing Equation (1) along the path shows that u_G exceeds ϕ as soon as u_G^S is greater than 0.15 m/sec. At this point slugging will take place. Eventually, after the gas rate change is completed the liquid level will drop to its equilibrium value, the gas velocity will decrease and the system will return to smooth stratified flow.

All flow regimes which appear during a fast gas transient can be predicted by a simple graphical procedure using the equilibrium map. Furthermore, the time and the gas flow rate of which each transition will take place can be calculated. The method can be understood by reference to Figure 11. Consider the path A to B where the gas rate is increased. If the process is carried out very slowly the system will pass through a series of quasi equilibrium states along A-B and transitions will be observed at each flow rate where the line A-B crosses a transition curve. Superimposed on this curve are a series of lines of constant equilibrium h/D . That such lines are straight and 45° slope on log-log coordinates follows from Taitel and Dukler's (1976) Figure 2 and Equation (8) for the case when both gas and liquid are in

turbulent flow. A fast gas transient is one which, for at least some x location in the pipe, the initial level remains unchanged until the gas rate has reached its final value. Thus the level remains constant at its initial value along the line A B' B'' B''' as the gas rate changes. But the intersection of this constant level line with the transition curves indicates precisely the gas flow rates which satisfy each transition criteria. Consider the transition to intermittent flow represented by the curve (1) — (1) in Figure 11 for equilibrium conditions. The right hand side of equation (1) depends only on h/D (aside from physical properties). Likewise the left hand side depends only on u_G^S and h/D, i.e.

$$u_G = \frac{u_G^S}{A_G/A} \quad ; \quad \frac{A_G}{A} = \frac{A_G}{A}(h/D)$$

Thus curve (1) — (1) can be considered the locus of points where h/D and u_G^S satisfy the equality in equation (1) and the intersection of constant h/D lines with this curve indicates u_G^S at which this transition will take place. So it is seen that as the fast transient proceeds along the constant h/D path of A B' B'' B''' the stratified flow would change to slug flow at $(u_G^S)_{B''}$ and remain in slug flow until the gas flow rate has reached its final value. Then as the level recedes with subsequent time to a value below 0.5 the pattern would change to annular along B'''-B.

A similar, although not as exact method, provides the condition for transition from smooth to wavy stratified flow during the fast gas transient. Curve (2) — (2) shows the conditions for this transition for equilibrium flows. As indicated the left hand side of the equation depends only on u_G^S and h/D. The right hand side depends also on the liquid velocity, u_L . However, during fast gas transient the liquid level is essentially unchanged and the liquid

flow rate is constant. Thus u_L remains nearly constant and the intersection of constant h/D lines with curve (2) — (2) indicate the value of u_G^S at which this transition to wavy flow will take place. For the transient shown, this will occur at $(u_G^S)_{B'}$.

For transients with linear changes in gas flow rate the time interval from the start to the appearance of stratified wavy and slug flow can be easily calculated. Designate τ as the time for the gas flow rate transient to be completed, τ_1 the time from $t=0$ before wavy stratified flow appears and τ_2 the time from $t=0$ before slug flow appears. Then

$$\tau_1 = \frac{(u_G^S)_{B'} - (u_G^S)_A}{(u_G^S)_B - (u_G^S)_A} \tau$$

$$\tau_2 = \frac{(u_G^S)_{B''} - (u_G^S)_A}{(u_G^S)_B - (u_G^S)_A} \tau$$

If the u_G^S does not change linearly with time then the values of τ_i can be obtained from

$$(u_G^S)_{B'} - (u_G^S)_A = \int_0^{\tau_1} \frac{du_G^S}{dt} dt$$

$$(u_G^S)_{B''} - (u_G^S)_A = \int_0^{\tau_2} \frac{du_G^S}{dt} dt$$

Note that the transient path differs from the equilibrium one in that

- a. Intermittent (slug) flow appears
- b. The transition to wavy stratified flow takes place at a lower value of u_G^S than for the equilibrium path

$$(u_G^S)_{B'} < (u_G^S)_{A'}$$

- c. The transition from stratified wavy flow to unstable intermittent or annular flow occurs at a lower gas rate

$$(u_G^S)_{B''} < (u_G^S)_{A''}$$

Executing a fast transient in the reverse direction, C to D, will produce different results as seen in Figure 11. Here the path will effectively follow CD'D''D'''D rather than CC'C''D. The same flow patterns will be observed during the transient as during an equilibrium path. However transitions will take place at higher gas rates. $(u_G^S)_{D'} > (u_G^S)_{C'}$; $(u_G^S)_{D''} > (u_G^S)_{C''}$.

This method provides a visual display of the true path to be expected during a fast transient, determines when unexpected flow patterns will appear during the transient, provides the values of the gas rates at which each transition will appear and makes possible the calculations of the time at which each transition will take place. It is of interest that the speed of the transient has no effect on the path or the flow patterns which are observed.

Sakaguchi et al. (1973) also considered the problem of flow regime transitions during transient flow conditions. They made observations of the patterns which appeared during the transient and plotted the results as families of lines on a steady state map. However the results are not general and no theoretical basis was given.

For purposes of illustration, additional fast gas transient examples are mapped in Figure 12.

- Process J-K, when executed slowly, will show no change in flow pattern, being entirely within the wavy stratified regime. However, a fast transient will result in the temporary appearance of slug and annular flow in the course of the transient.
- In moving from E to F under equilibrium conditions only the transition from annular to stratified wavy flow will be observed. A fast transient will result in the path EF'F and the stratified-smooth pattern will be displayed before the final pattern of wavy-stratified flow appears.
- Of special interest in the path HI. For slow changes in gas flow rate the conditions change from one highly agitated state to another (annular to intermittent). However, for a rapid gas transient the model predicts a very quiescent period in the form of wavy stratified, then smooth stratified flow before the system begins slugging again.

Simultaneous Transient Changes in Gas and Liquid Rates

Consider the path D-C in Figure 5 where both the gas and liquid flow rate are changed. In this case the liquid level in the initial and final state are the same. If the process were carried out in an equilibrium manner this level would remain constant for all x and t . The response of the liquid level for a one second linear transient of increasing flows appears in Figure 13A. The observed profiles represent the results of opposite effects. Increasing liquid rate tends to raise the level while increasing gas rate suppresses the level. However, the effects are not equal, each varying in relative magnitude as the transient proceeds. The initial state, D is at subcritical flow conditions and, as discussed previously, level changes are observed first at $x=0$.

As the transient proceeds the transition criteria, Equation (1) and (2) are applied. The flow rates at which the transitions take place during the transient and equilibrium paths are compared below.

	$\frac{u_G^S}{u_G}$	$\frac{u_L^S}{u_L}$
Transition A:		
Transient	2.3 m/sec	0.088 m/sec
Equilibrium	3.9 m/sec	0.14 m/sec
Transition C:		
Transient	2.1 m/sec	0.08 m/sec
Equilibrium	2.0 m/sec	0.06 m/sec

Under equilibrium conditions h/D is always less than 0.5 and thus transition A results in the formation of an annular flow. Figure 13A shows that at $t = 0.2$ seconds into the transient when the gas velocity reaches 2.3 m/sec and transition A takes place $h/D > 0.5$ and a slug is formed at $x=0$. Thus the equilibrium path displays a sequence of flow patterns from smooth stratified to wavy stratified to annular. The transient path results in smooth stratified, changing to wavy stratified, followed by slugging and then annular flow.

The situation for the reverse path, C to D, appears in Figure 13B. Since the initial condition is supercritical, the level at $x=0$ remains constant and a minimum appears at $x>0$. The calculation was stopped at $t = 0.39$ seconds where transition A takes place. Again it is seen that this occurs at liquid and gas rates higher than for the equilibrium path.

The mechanisms presented above which explain transition during flow transients represent an idealization of a very complex process. In order to determine the validity of the proposed mechanisms for actual processes experiments are necessary. To this end an existing air-water loop with a long horizontal

test section was instrumented to make possible transients in gas and liquid flow rates either independently or simultaneously and of varying time duration. The test section was transparent and permitted visual observation of the flow pattern.

EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT

Flow Loop:

The test pipe consisted of sections of 1.50" I.D. glass pipe joined together to form a length of 65 feet, as described by Dukler and Hubbard (1975). Water was recirculated in a closed loop and air supplied from an oil free high capacity rotary compressor. The air was vented from a separator located at the discharge of the test section. The entrance section consisted of a pipe divided by a plane at the centerline to form two parallel flow channels each consisting of a half cylinder.

Control System:

Identical pneumatic control systems were installed for each phase. The schematic diagram for each is shown in Figure 14. Flow measurement was accomplished using differential pressure cells mounted on orifice meters. Two cells were required for each orifice in order to span the wide range of flow rates required. Pressure switches and a three-way valve as shown were used to make automatic switch over between d/p cells as the range of the low capacity cell was exceeded. The square root of the output pressure of the d/p cells which is directly proportional to flow rate was transmitted to a controller equipped with proportional and reset systems.

Flow control required two valves of different sizes in parallel on each stream in order to cover the required range of flow rates. A pressure switch and three-way valve system on the pneumatic signal provided automatic switch over as shown.

The transient in the flow was generated using a remote set point control system. The set point was changed over the full range of flows in response to a 3-15 psi signal. This signal could be varied with time by the use of a

pneumatic analog of a series RC circuit as shown in Figure 14. Capacitance was provided in the form of a small pressure tank. The resistance was a flow restriction whose coefficient of resistance could be varied.

Details of this sequence flow control system appears in the Appendix.

COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENT AND THEORY

Gas Flow Rate Transients:

Rapid changes in gas flow rate with liquid flow held constant were carried out for each of the five paths shown in Figures 11 and 12 and between the initial and final states shown there. The flow pattern observed during the transient in every case were precisely predicted by the theory. That is

SEQUENCE OF FLOW PATTERNS

<u>.PATH</u>	<u>PREDICTED</u>	<u>OBSERVED</u>
A-B	Smooth strat. - wavy start. - slug - ann.	Smooth strat. - wavy strat. - slug - ann.
C-D	Ann. - wavy strat. - smooth strat.	Ann. - wavy strat. - smooth strat.
E-F	Ann. - wavy strat. - smooth strat. - wavy strat.	Ann. - wavy strat. - smooth strat. - wavy strat.
H-I	Ann. - wavy strat. - smooth strat. - slug	Ann. - wavy strat. - smooth strat. - slug
J-K	Wavy strat. - slug - wavy strat.	Wavy strat. - slug - wavy strat.

This exact agreement with prediction not only gives validity to the model for transient conditions but directly verifies the models for steady state flow regime transition published earlier and the speculations as to mechanisms which are imbedded in those transition models.

The predicted time for transition to intermittent flow and the flow rate at this point during fast gas transients were measured and compared with the theory. Agreement was within measuring accuracy.

Liquid Flow Rate Transients

The theoretical analysis of flow regime transition for liquid flow transients with gas flow held constant as developed in the theoretical treatment above predicts

- At subcritical liquid velocities and for increasing rates the transitions to intermittent and annular flows are delayed (take place at higher rates than would be expected during an equilibrium change). For this condition, as the liquid flow is increased the level at the entrance rises rapidly before the level downstream changes significantly.
- For supercritical liquid flow and when the transient involves an increase in liquid rate the liquid level first rises at some location $x > 0$ to form a local hump. Under these conditions the transition is advanced (occurring at flow rates less than that expected for an equilibrium change).

A repeated series of experiments were executed following a path similar to DE of Figure 5', where, in this case E was located slightly below the u_L^S for equilibrium transition. As predicted, (see Figure 6B) the level first rises at the inlet and the level change propagates downstream with time as a bore. The flow remained stratified at all times with no temporary slugging just as predicted by the theory.

Table 1 shows the flow conditions for experiments carried out with the initial state at subcritical conditions. Each run represents the arithmetic average of six experiments with the change in liquid rate approximately linear with time.

Listed under operating conditions are the initial and final liquid flow rates of the transient and the total transient time, Δt . The time at which the first slug was observed, t_s , and the measured liquid flow rate at that time, $(u_L^S)_s$ are tabulated under experiment.

For these experiments, each of which result in transition to intermittent flow, the comparison with theory must be undertaken cautiously. At the instant during the transient at which the liquid level and gas velocity are such as to satisfy an equality in equation (1), instability occurs and slugging becomes possible. However slugs do not appear at that instant, and an additional waiting time is required before the first slug is observed. This waiting time, τ_s , is of the order of the inverse slug frequency. But τ_s is a function of the liquid rate and this rate continues to increase as the transient proceeds during the waiting period. Thus τ_s itself changes. In order to calculate the time to the appearance of a slug and the flow rate which would be observed at that time in the transient it is necessary to have information on the variation of slug frequency with flow rate. In Figure 15, inverse frequencies are shown as functions of liquid rate for the three gas rates used in the experiments. It is seen that the time between slugs is extremely large just at the transition flow rate but decreases rapidly as liquid flow is increased.

With this information it is possible to predict the time for the appearance of the first slug. The method is easily accomplished graphically as shown in Figure 16. The construction is for the conditions of run #1 of Table 1. The solid line shows the path of the liquid flow transient, u_L^S vs t . The theory shows that at $t_1 = 1.4$ seconds into the transient, when u_L^S reaches $(u_L^S)_i$, the value at which instability occurs in this case, 0.14 m/sec, the waiting time begins. (In this particular instance the flow rate at instability for the transient path is approximately equal to that for equilibrium change but this is, in general,

not the case). As seen from the $1/v_s$ coordinate, as the transient proceeds waiting time decreases. At the waiting time, $t_2 = 4.0$ seconds, the first slug is expected to form. The total time from the start of the transient is thus $t_1 + t_2$ or 5.4 seconds and the value of u_L^S at 5.4 seconds is 0.32 m/sec. This compares with $u_L^S = 0.33$ m/sec at which the slug was observed to form. Table 1 shows that agreement between theoretically calculated and experimentally measured flow rates is good for all runs and well within the ability to discern the precise rate at the time the first slug forms. Similarly, the agreement between calculated and measured time is satisfactory.

The procedure for calculating the time and flow conditions for slugging to appear during a liquid flow transient which starts at subcritical conditions is as follows:

- a. Calculate t_1 and $(u_L^S)_i$ from the theory for liquid level at the entry.
- b. Calculate the waiting time from experimental data and/or theory on slugging frequency vs. flow rate (Taitel and Dukler, 1977) by finding the intersection between the path of the transient (u_L^S vs t) and the waiting time curve (u_L^S vs $1/v_s$). The initial condition for waiting time is $u_L^S = (u_L^S)_i$ at $t=0$.
- c. Sum $t_1 + t_2$ to find the time for appearance of the first slug. The flow rate at that time can be found from the path of the transient.

In many cases t_1 is small compared to t_2 and in these cases the calculation is greatly simplified since the equilibrium value of $(u_L^S)^S$ can be used as an approximation for $(u_L^S)_i$.

Liquid flow transients were also executed with initial conditions being above the critical liquid velocity and for increasing liquid rate with time.

For these conditions the transition was so rapid and the flow pattern changes so gradual that precise quantitative data were impossible to obtain with the existing instrumentation. However, as predicted by theory, experiments showed that a local hump in the liquid level formed downstream of $x=0$ immediately after initiation of the transient.

REFERENCES

- Al-Sheikh, J. N., Saunders, D. E. and Brodkey, R. S., "Prediction of Flow Patterns in Horizontal Two-Phase Pipe Flow", J. Chem. Eng., 48, p. 21, (1970).
- Alves, G. E., Chem. Eng. Progress, 50, p. 449 (1954).
- Baker, O., "Simultaneous Flow of Oil and Gas", Oil Gas J., Vol. 53, p. 185, (July, 1954).
- Bergelin, O. P. and Gazley, C., Heat Transfer and Fluid Mechanics Inst., Proc., May (1949).
- Dukler, A. E. and Hubbard, M. G., "A model for gas-liquid slug flow in horizontal and near horizontal tubes", Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundamentals (1975).
- French, R. T., "An Evaluation of Piping Heat Transfer, Piping Flow Regimes, and Steam Generator Heat Transfer for the Semiscale Model Isothermal Tests Aerojet Nuclear Co. Report, ANCR 1229, Aug. (1975).
- Govier, G. W. and Aziz, K., The Flow of Complex Mixtures in Pipes, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co. (1972).
- Govier, G. W. and Omer, M. M., "The Horizontal Pipeline Flow of Air-Water Mixtures", Can. J. Chem. Eng., 40, p. 93 (1962).
- Hewitt, G. F. and Hall-Taylor, N. S., Annular Two Phase Flow, Pergamon Press, 1970.
- Hoogendoorn, C. J. and Buitelaar, A. A., Chem. Eng. Sci., 16, p. 208 (1961).
- Hubbard, M. G. and Dukler, A. E., "The Characterization of Flow Regimes for Horizontal Two-Phase Flow", Proceeding of the 1966 Heat Transfer and Fluid Mechanics Institute, M. A. Saad and J. A. Moller, ed., pp. 100-121, Stanford University Press, Calif. (1966).
- Johnson, H. A. and Abou-Sabe, A. H., Trans. ASME, 74, p. 977 (1952).
- Kosterin, S. I., Izvestiya Akademii Nauk., SSSR., O.T.N., 12, p. 1824, USSR (1949).
- Mandhane, J. M., Gregory, G. A. and Aziz, K., "A Flow Pattern Map for Gas-Liquid Flow in Horizontal Pipes, Int. J. Multiphase Flow, Vol. 1, pp. 537-553 (1974).
- Sakaguchi, T., Akagawa, K., and Hamaguchi, H., "Transient behavior of air-water two-phase flow in horizontal tube", ASME paper 73-WA/HT-21, winter annual meeting, Detroit, Michigan, Nov. 11-15 (1973).

Schicht, H. H., Verfahrenstechnik, 3, p. 153 (1969).

Sternling, C. V., Lecture presented at the meeting of AIChE, Dec. (1965).

Stoker, J. J., Water Waves, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N.Y. (1957).

Taitel, Y. and Dukler, A. E., "A Model for Predicting Flow Regime Transitions in Horizontal and Near Horizontal Gas-Liquid Flow", AIChE 3, 22, pp. 47-55 (1976).

Taitel and Dukler, "A Model for slug frequency during gas-liquid flow in horizontal and near horizontal pipes", Int. & Multiphase Flow, to appear (1977).

NOTATION

A	-	flow cross section area
b	-	width of rectangular pipe
C	-	friction factor constant (equation 13), also critical velocity $C = \sqrt{gH}$
D	-	pipe diameter, also hydraulic diameter
E	-	minus RHS of equation 16
f	-	friction factor
g	-	acceleration of gravity
h	-	liquid level
H	-	A_L/A'_L
n	-	exponent (equation 13)
P	-	pressure
r	-	pipe radius
R_e	-	Reynolds number
S	-	perimeter
t	-	time
t_s	-	time for first slug to appear
u	-	velocity in the x direction
W	-	mass flow rate
x	-	coordinate in the axial direction

Greek

ν	-	kinematic viscosity
ν_s	-	slug frequency
ρ	-	density

τ - shear stress, time to complete transient

τ_s - waiting time between slugs

Subscripts and Superscripts

e - equilibrium

G - gas

i - gas-liquid interface

L - liquid

S - superficial, calculated as is the phase was flowing along in the pipe

' - differentiation with respect to h

able 1

COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENT WITH THEORY TRANSITION TO SLUGGING FOR
AN INCREASING LIQUID FLOW TRANSIENT
INITIAL CONDITION: SUBCRITICAL

GAS	LIQUID		TOTAL TRANSIENT TIME	TIME FOR 1st SLUG TO APPEAR	LIQUID RATE AT $t = t_s$	LIQUID FLOW RATE AT INSTABILITY		APPEARANCE OF FIRST SLUG	
	INITIAL	FINAL				EQUILIBRIUM	TRANSIENT	RATE	TIME
u_G^S	$(u_L^S)_1$	$(u_L^S)_2$	Δt	t_s	$(u_L^S)_s$	$(u_L^S)_e$	$(u_L^S)_i$	$(u_L^S)_s$	t_s
. OPERATING CONDITIONS.				 EXPERIMENT.		 THEORY.			
1. 0.77	0.077	0.61	11.8	5.6	0.33	0.14	0.14	0.32	5.4
2. 1.21	0.077	0.61	11.8	5.8	0.34	0.15	0.15	0.32	5.4
3. 3.05	0.077	0.61	11.8	6.0	0.35	0.15	0.16	0.30	4.9
4. 0.77	0.048	0.61	12.0	6.4	0.35	0.14	0.16	0.34	6.2
5. 1.21	0.048	0.61	12.0	6.9	0.37	0.15	0.17	0.34	6.2
6. 3.05	0.048	0.61	12.0	6.8	0.37	0.15	0.17	0.31	5.6

FIGURE 1: FLOW PATTERNS IN HORIZONTAL FLOW.

FIGURE 2:
A COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENT WITH THEORETICALLY
PREDICTED FLOW REGIMES IN HORIZONTAL TUBES.

CURVE: A & B C D
 COORDINATE: F vs X K vs X T vs X

$$X = \left[\frac{(dP/dx)_L^S}{(dP/dx)_G^S} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$F = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_G}{(\rho_L - \rho_G)}} \frac{U_G^S}{\sqrt{Dg \cos \alpha}}$$

$$T = \left[\frac{|(dP/dx)_L^S|}{(\rho_L - \rho_G) g \cos \alpha} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$K = \left[\frac{\rho_G U_G^{S^2} U_L^S}{(\rho_L - \rho_G) g \nu_L \cos \alpha} \right]^{1/2}$$

FIGURE 3: GENERALIZED FLOW REGIME MAP FOR HORIZONTAL TWO PHASE FLOW

FIGURE 4. STRATIFIED FLOW GEOMETRY

FIGURE 5.
FLOW TRANSIENTS AIR-WATER IN 3.8 cm PIPE AT 25°C, 1 ATM.

A. PATH A-B SUPERCRITICAL FLOW: $u_G^S = 7.8 \text{ m/sec}$

B. PATH D-E: SUBCRITICAL FLOW: $u_G^S = 0.78 \text{ m/sec}$

C. PATH D-F; SUBCRITICAL FLOW; $u_G^S = 0.78 \text{ m/sec}$

FIGURE 6. INCREASING LIQUID RATE AT CONSTANT GAS RATE
 TOTAL FLOW TRANSIENT TIME = 1.0 SECOND

A. PATH F-D: SUBCRITICAL FLOW: $u_G^S = 0.78 \text{ m/s}$

B. PATH F-E: SUBCRITICAL FLOW: $u_G^S = 0.78 \text{ m/s}$

C. PATH C-A: SUPERCRITICAL FLOW: $u_G^S = 7.8 \text{ m/s}$

FIGURE 7. DECREASING LIQUID RATE AT CONSTANT GAS RATE
TOTAL FLOW TRANSIENT TIME = 1.0 sec.

A. PATH A-G: SUPERCRITICAL FLOW: $u_L^S = 0.03$ m/s
TRANSITION AT $t = 0.24$ sec

B. PATH D-G: INITIALLY SUBCRITICAL FLOW: $u_L^S = 0.03$ m/s
TRANSITION AT $t = 0.1$ sec

C. PATH D-G: INITIALLY SUBCRITICAL FLOW: $u_L^S = 0.03$ m/s
TRANSITION AT $t = 10$ sec

FIGURE B: INCREASING GAS RATE AT CONSTANT LIQUID RATE

FIGURE 9. SATISFYING THE INSTABILITY CRITERIA FOR FAST GAS TRANSIENTS vs. EQUILIBRIUM CHANGE.

A. PATH G-A: SUPERCRITICAL FLOW: $u_L^S = 0.03$ m/sec

B. PATH G-D: INITIAL SUPERCRITICAL FLOW: $u_L^S = 0.03$ m/sec

C. PATH G-D INITIAL SUPERCRITICAL FLOW: $u_L^S = 0.03$ m/sec

FIGURE 10. DECREASING GAS RATE AT CONSTANT LIQUID RATE

FIGURE II. GAS FLOW TRANSIENTS

FIGURE 12. GAS FLOW TRANSIENT

A. PATH D-C: LIQUID AND GAS RATE INCREASING

B. PATH C-D: LIQUID AND GAS RATE DECREASING

FIGURE 13. SIMULTANEOUS CHANGE IN GAS LIQUID FLOW RATES

FIGURE 14. SCHEMATIC ARRANGEMENT OF CONTROL SYSTEM.

FIGURE 15: WAITING TIME BETWEEN SLUGS.

FIGURE 16: INCREASING LIQUID FLOW TRANSIENT
DETERMINATION OF TIME TO APPEARANCE OF FIRST SLUG
SUB CRITICAL LIQUID VELOCITY

APPENDIX

SEQUENCE FLOW RATE CONTROL SYSTEM

Commercial flow control valves have only limited rangeability with the ratio of maximum to minimum flow rate seldom exceeding 50. If flow rates must be varied over a wider range than 50 in a single experiment then sequence valving can be used. In this technique flow takes place first through the smaller valve and then at the appropriate control point the larger valve opens and high flow rates are accommodated by increased opening of this large valve. This need for a wide range of rates and thus for sequencing introduces two problems

- The selection of valves must be such as to insure a smooth transition as the second valve opens.
- Flow rate transducers must usually be paralleled and with different ranges to insure accuracy both at low and high flow rates.

SEQUENCING OF VALVES:

Equal percentage stem Foxboro control valves were used in these experiments with rangeability of 50. Figure A-1 shows the flow coefficient (which is directly proportional to flow rate) of these valves. The maximum coefficient of the largest valve at full opening was 17 and its minimum value at $17/50 = 0.34$. The second valve was selected which had a maximum coefficient of 0.50 and thus a minimum one of 0.01. Thus the rangeability of the two valve system is 1700.

In deciding how to adjust the control signal the variation of the coefficient with controller output must be examined. Figure A-1 presents this information for the Foxboro system. The controller produces a pneumatic

signal of 3-15 psi in proportion to its output. Thus in this case switch over is set at 50% or at 9 psi. The large valve diaphragm is set to be closed below 9 psi, to start opening at 9 psi and to be full open at 15 psi. The small valve opens at 3 psi and is full open at 9 psi.

The hookup to make this possible is shown in Figure A-2. The controller pressure signal is branched through three way solenoid valves to the diaphragms of the control valves. At signal pressures below 9 psi both solenoids are passive. With the hookup shown valve #1 receives signal and valve #2 is vented. When the signal reaches 9 psi the pressure switch activates the solenoids. The pressure on the diaphragm of valve No. 1 is vented to atmosphere and the signal is directed to the diaphragm of valve No. 2. When the diaphragms on these valves are vented the valves are close.

SEQUENCING OF FLOW TRANSDUCERS:

Flow rates were measured with a single orifice plate and orifice flanges with differential pressure (d/p) cells used to detect pressure difference. Since the accuracy of such cells is related to the maximum reading it was necessary to use two cells to insure the required accuracy at low flow rates. Standard Foxboro d/p cells were selected with maximum pressure differentials of 200" water and 22.22" water.

Figure A-3 shows the sequencing system. Both cells continuously measure the differential pressure but a three way solenoid valve is used to switch the signal from the d/p cells to the controller depending on the magnitude of the pressure difference. Square root extractors are used to process the signal from the d/p cells to provide a flow signal to the controller linear with flow. Sample calculations showing the operation of the system are included in the table to demonstrate that smooth switch over will take place.

FIGURE A-1 OPERATING RANGE OF SEQUENCED
EQUAL PERCENTAGE VALVES

FIGURE A-2. SWITCHING SYSTEM FOR SEQUENCE VALVING

FIGURE A-3 PRINCIPLE OF SEQUENCING dp CELLS