154
12/11/87 PPPL-2482 U.B.
UC20-F,G

PPPL-2482

(2) (5)

MHD ANALYSIS OF HIGH $\langle \beta_t \rangle$ DISRUPTIONS IN PBX

By

G.L. Jahns, M.S. Chance, S.M. Kaye, J. Manickam, H. Takahashi,
B. LeBlanc, A.W. Morris, M. Reusch, and S. Sesnic

OCTOBER 1987

PLASMA
PHYSICS
LABORATORY

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,
UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76-CNO-3073.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MHD Analysis of High $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ Disruptions in PBX

G. L. Jahns*, M. S. Chance, S. M. Kaye,
J. Manickam, H. Takahashi,
B. LeBlanc, A.W. Morris†, M. Reusch, and S. Sesnic
Plasma Physics Laboratory
Princeton University
Princeton, N.J. 08544

PPPL--2482

DE88 003456

Abstract

PBX discharges run at the lowest q and highest $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ always terminated in a hard disruption. The discharges, with $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ values of up to 5.5% and q -values down to 2.2, were obtained by employing large current ramps and large gas feed rates during neutral beam injection. Previous work has indicated that the achieved $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ -values were consistent with the limit imposed by the $n=1$ ideal external kink with a conducting wall at $b/a=2$. In this work, we investigate further the validity of ideal MHD theory in explaining the low- q_ϕ disruptions. In particular, the character of the pre-disruption MHD activity in these low- q discharges, specifically the time scales of growth and internal and external mode structures, was compared with those determined from theoretical calculations. The results of these comparisons indicate that non-ideal effects must be considered to obtain detailed agreement between theory and experiment.

*GA Technologies Inc., San Diego, Cal.

†Balliol College, University of Oxford, U.K.

1. Introduction

Noncircular tokamak plasma operation theoretically allows access to the second regime of stability to both ballooning and internal kink modes for moderate to large aspect ratios ($R/a \geq 4$) [1-3]. To this end, the Poloidal Divertor Experiment (PDX) was converted to the Princeton Beta Experiment, PBX, by relocating a dome divertor coil to the vessel midplane to provide a means to form indented plasmas [4]. With this configuration, and with up to 6 MW of perpendicular plus parallel neutral injection power, $\langle \beta_t \rangle$ values up to 5.5% were attained in plasmas indented by 22% [5,6]. Here, $\langle \beta_t \rangle$ is the volume-averaged β calculated with the plasma pressure normalized to the volume-averaged toroidal field pressure. The method of achieving these high $\langle \beta_t \rangle$ plasmas consisted of employing a large current ramp rate ($\dot{I}_p \geq 1.5 \text{ MA/sec}$) and strong gas puffing during the neutral injection phase. During the large current ramp period, internal $m=1/n=1$ modes, present during the early stages of NBI in these discharges, disappeared. Details of this *Long Sawtooth Mode* of operation are discussed elsewhere [6].

During the *Long Sawtooth Mode*, q_{mhd} decreased to values ≤ 3.5 and sometimes to values as low as 2.1 to 2.5, corresponding to $q_{cyl} \approx 1.0$ and indicating plasma operation at extremely high average current density. Here, q_{mhd} is taken to be the q -value computed by a free boundary equilibrium code at the 95% flux surface [4], and q_{cyl} is the q for a cylindrical discharge of equal cross-sectional area and current. A universal feature of the low q_{mhd} plasmas was a discharge-terminating hard disruption during a period of increasing $\langle \beta_t \rangle$ and I_p . Values of $\langle \beta_t \rangle$ achieved just prior to the disruption at full beam power corresponded to $\langle \beta_t \rangle / (\frac{\mu_0 I_p}{a B_t}) = 2.0$ to 2.5, indicating that despite the high value of $\langle \beta_t \rangle$ ($\geq 4.5\%$), the plasmas still resided in the first regime of stability [7,8]. A global stability calculation, based on the equilibrium configuration of these high- $\langle \beta_t \rangle$ discharges, indicated that the value of $\langle \beta_t \rangle$ achieved just prior to disruption was consistent with the limit as defined by the $n=1$ ideal external kink mode with a conducting wall at twice the plasma half-width [6].

The purpose of this paper is to investigate further the relevance of ideal MHD theory. This involves comparing theoretical and experimentally observed mode characteristics. In order to do this, the discharge stability must be determined, just as was done for the global stability calculation.

An important first step in this process is the preparation of the discharge equilibrium. The equilibrium is calculated assuming that the total plasma plus beam pressure profile is proportional to the electron pressure profile as determined from the 56-point Thomson scattering system. Then, the Grad-Shafranov equation is solved, the equilibrium solution being constrained by various experimental information such as coil currents, plasma current, flux loop signals, and diamagnetic loop output. With these constraints, the high- $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ discharge of interest ($\langle\beta_t\rangle \simeq 5\%$) was best modelled by taking $q(0)$ in the range from 0.8 to 0.9.

The results of the equilibrium calculation are then input into the PEST code[9] for determination of discharge stability. The PEST code is a linear, ideal MHD spectral code which uses the energy principle to determine stability to small perturbations from an equilibrium condition. In the PEST calculation, the equilibrium is mapped onto a nonorthogonal magnetic field line coordinate system (ψ, Θ), with 100 radial and 128 poloidal mesh points. For the low- q_0 disruptive discharges being studied, the principal modes of interest are the low- n ($=1$) kink modes.

It was from these PEST global stability calculations that the ideal $n=1$ external kink was identified as the $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ -limiting mechanism. This conclusion was based on the agreement between the measured and the calculated $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ assuming $q(0)=0.89$ and that a conducting wall resided at twice the plasma midplane half-width. The agreement between the measured and calculated $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ values is a necessary but by no means sufficient criterion for identifying the external kink as the instability which limits $\langle\beta_t\rangle$, especially since the placement of the wall is somewhat arbitrary. In fact, the placing of the wall at $b/a=2$ and using $q(0)=0.89$ was just one of the $q(0)$, b/a combinations that gave agreement between the measured $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ and the limit given by the external kink; however, it is a combination that is consistent with the experimental constraints imposed upon the plasma equilibrium by the measurements. Other experimental results[10] have also indicated the possible importance of invoking a cold mantle around the plasma to explain observations.

We attempt in this paper to provide a more precise comparison between the theoretical and experimental results by examining the actual mode structures and growth times. While the linear, ideal description is used as a jumping-off point for the comparison, the spirit of this paper is such as to ask what modifications to pure ideal theory must be made in order to bring

the experiment and theory into agreement, and are these modifications reasonable. We shall show that ideal theory is only a zeroth order description of the observed activity, and that relaxation of the ideal constraints is necessary to bring about reasonable detailed agreement. While the relaxation of the ideal constraint may at first seem ad hoc, it nevertheless a posteriori serves to illustrate effects that may be necessary to consider.

2. Results

2.1. Mode Activity Before Disruption

The *Long Sawtooth Mode* discharges exhibited a period of little or no MHD activity up to a time of tens of msec prior to the disruption. At that time, MHD activity, as measured by magnetic coils outside the plasma and two soft X-ray detector arrays, was observed to increase slowly at first, and then undergo a rapid growth. An example of the final, approximately exponential growth of the precursor activity is shown in Fig. 1. Shown is the $\dot{B}_\theta$ signal, as measured by a magnetic pickup loop on the plasma mid-plane, approximately 20 cm outside the plasma edge. The growth time of the mode is 120 μ sec for this case. Just prior to the final growth, the mode had a characteristic frequency of 9 kHz and a peak amplitude of 6.5 Gauss ($\frac{\delta B_\theta}{B_\theta} \simeq 0.3\%$) at the coil. Just prior to the disruption, the discharge had the following characteristics: $I_p = 520$ kA, $B_t = 0.9$ T, $ind = 20\%$, $\bar{n}_e = 5 \times 10^{13}$ cm⁻³, $q_{mhd} = 2.9$, $P_{inj} = 4.5$ MW $D^0 \rightarrow H^+$, and $\langle \beta_t \rangle = 5.0\%$. For this discharge, the precursor mode led directly into the growth phase of the plasma disruption at 574.8 msec. However, this was not always the case; often the precursor growth led to an internal disruption followed by a period of several msec, typified by little or no oscillatory magnetic activity, between the internal and final disruption. The soft X-ray structure of the internal disruption resembled a sawtooth, consisting of a central collapse and an inversion approximately at the $q=1$ surface. There were differences, however, between the internal disruption and sawteeth that will be discussed in a future report. It is important to note that $\langle \beta_t \rangle$, in a given shot, decreased with the first internal disruption, and never completely recovered. Thus, it

was the initial internal disruption, and not the final disruption (if they were separate) that was the $\langle\beta\rangle$ -limiting process. The edge oscillations and mode growth, as seen on the Mirnov coils, were often accompanied by oscillations and growth of $m=1/n=1$ internal modes, as seen on the soft X-ray array. At first, this result seems inconsistent with a limit imposed by a surface mode. This apparent paradox will be resolved in Sec. 2.4 where it is shown that an $n=1$ external kink drives a large $m=1$ component for certain conditions in PBX discharges.

2.2. Probability of Disruption

As mentioned, a universal feature of all plasmas with $q_{mhd} < 3.5$ and with indentations $\geq 5\%$ is a discharge limiting disruption. This is true for all discharges regardless of the ratio of $\langle\beta_t\rangle/(\frac{\mu_0 I_p}{aB_t})$, i.e., how close the plasma is to the first regime limit. In this section we will examine the probability that a discharge will disrupt as a function of q_ψ . The motivation for this is twofold; firstly, the results will serve as a quantitative indicator of discharge survivability within the operating space that leads to high- $\langle\beta_t\rangle$. Secondly, how the disruption probability changes as a function of q_ψ may serve as an indication of the type of mode responsible for the disruption.

Fig. 2 is a histogram of the number of discharge disruptions as a function of the q_{mhd} at which the discharges disrupted, grouped in bins of $\Delta q_{mhd} = 0.1$. The data are taken from a subset of PBX discharges that were attempting to reach low q , so while the histogram is representative of discharges with $q_{mhd} \leq 3.5$, it contains little information for values of q_{mhd} greater than this value. Since all the discharges with $q_{mhd} \leq 3.5$ disrupt, the figure reflects the probability that a plasma with a strong current ramp will achieve a particular q_{mhd} . The sharp peak in the number of disruptions at $q_{mhd} \approx 3.0$ indicates the difficulty in attaining low- q as well as strongly suggesting that edge- q is an important parameter for plasma stability. The skewing of the distribution towards higher q_{mhd} indicates that relatively few discharges survive to the lowest q -values, ≤ 3 . While some survived to ~ 2.5 , only one shot lasted to lower- q ; this is in contrast to high- $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ circular discharges on PDX where $q_{mhd} \approx q_{cyl} \approx 2.0$ were routinely achieved. However, as previously mentioned, many $q_{mhd} \leq 3.0$ PBX discharges had equivalent q_{cyl}

values of approximately one, reflecting the much greater current carrying capability of shaped plasmas.

Fig. 2 includes many data for which there was a small delay between the internal and final disruption as discussed above. This means that $q_{mhd}=3.0$ is a good predictor of the occurrence of an internal disruption, strong evidence that the internal disruption is driven by a global mode.

2.3. Time Scales

As stated previously, the process limiting $\langle\beta_i\rangle$ at this low- q is believed to be the ideal $n=1$ external kink mode[6]. A test of the validity of ideal MHD theory can be made by examining the main characteristics of the disruption precursor mode, the mode believed to be the external kink. The first characteristic to be studied is the time scale on which the mode grows and leads to the disruption, and the second is a comparison between the measured internal and external mode structures and those theoretically predicted.

The estimated average time scale of the final growth for the mode shown in Fig. 1 was $120\ \mu\text{sec}$. Typically, the growth times of the disruption precursor ranged from $10\text{'s}\ \mu\text{sec}$ to $\leq 2\ \text{msec}$. Typical ideal time scales are given by the poloidal Alfvén time, which is

$$\tau_A = \rho^{1/2} a / B_\theta \approx 2\ \mu\text{sec},$$

although, as pointed out by Wesson[11], the time scales for ideal mode growth can be an order of magnitude higher, even with no conducting wall, for $m-nq \approx 0$. A typical resistive time scale is

$$\tau = (\tau_R^{0.6} \tau_A^{0.4}) \simeq 1\ \text{msec},$$

where τ_R is the resistive skin time. The range of observed growth times is generally bounded from below by the ideal time scale, and from above by the resistive time scale. The PEST stability calculation does yield mode growth times; however, the computed values show a great deal of variability. For instance, when $q(0)$ was varied from 0.85 to 0.95 to study the sensitivity of the $\langle\beta_i\rangle$ -limit and mode growth to variations in this parameter, the calculated growth rates varied by a factor of four to five, although they still remained in the ideal range (few to tens μsec). Because of the sensitivity to $q(0)$,

and because the growth rates determined by PEST are linear ones, we do not believe it to be relevant to make direct comparisons between the PEST growth rates and those observed experimentally.

What is of importance is to ask whether there are any effects within the framework of ideal theory that can cause a slowing of mode growth to yield the range of growth times observed. Some of these effects include the presence of a stabilizing conducting wall (which was found to be necessary in the PEST calculation to bring the measured and theoretical $\langle\beta_i\rangle$ -limit values into agreement[6]). A second effect that would slow the mode growth rate is the presence of a pressureless plasma mantle in the region exterior to the plasma[12]. Independent of these considerations, a slow mode growth can occur naturally and arises from the observation that the onset of the instability usually occurs as a result of plasma parameters, such as the current or pressure, evolving in time through the marginal state for the instability. Calculations which take this effect into account show that the mode growth rate is not simply a pure exponential growth, but one which increases with time and is a function of both the slow evolution time of the equilibrium and the fast time which is usually associated with the mode, the poloidal Alfvén time in the ideal case. A rough estimate of this effect can be obtained as follows. Assume that the mode equation can be modelled as:

$$\frac{d^2\xi}{d\tau^2} + [F_c - F(t)]\xi = 0,$$

where F_c is the critical threshold of the mode and $F(t)$ is the evolving equilibrium state. If $F(t) \cong F_c + t\dot{F}(t)$, then we have

$$\frac{d^2\xi}{d\tau^2} - \tau\xi = 0,$$

where $\tau = \dot{F}^{1/3}t$, i.e., Airy's equation with solution

$$\xi = \alpha Ai(\tau) + \beta Bi(\tau).$$

A characteristic time for the mode is therefore

$$\tau_c = \dot{F}^{-1/3}.$$

A heuristic estimate of τ_c for the external kink mode can be made if we write the usual dispersion relation for the straight circular cylindrical model with constant current and wall at $r=b$ in the form[13]

$$\frac{d^2\xi}{dt^2} - \frac{2}{\tau_A^2}(m - nq)\left(1 - \frac{m - nq}{1 - (a/b)^{2m}}\right)\xi = 0,$$

where we replaced $-\omega^2$ by $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}$ and defined τ_A , the hydromagnetic or poloidal Alfvén time, as before, and ξ the displacement. If the total plasma current is increasing in time through the marginal state, then

$$m - nq \cong -n\dot{q}t = nqt\dot{I}/I,$$

so that for the external kink

$$\tau_c = \tau_A \left(\frac{1}{2nq} \frac{\tau_e}{\tau_A} \right)^{1/3} = \left(\frac{1}{2nq} \tau_e \right)^{1/3} \tau_A^{2/3},$$

i.e., a hybrid time between the poloidal Alfvén time and the evolution time ($\tau_e \equiv I/\dot{I}$) of the equilibrium. In this case, the position of the wall does not enter. Applying this to our typical PBX parameters where $n=1$, $q_{mhd} \approx 3$, $\tau_e \approx 0.35$ sec, and $\tau_A \approx 2$ μ sec, we find for the characteristic time,

$$\tau_c \approx 62 \mu\text{sec}.$$

The mode growth time, defined by $\tau_g \equiv (d\ln\xi/dt)^{-1}$, differs from τ_c and decreases with time. After one characteristic time we can approximate $\xi \sim Bi(t)$ and find that $\tau_g = \tau_c$ at $t = \tau_c$. Moreover, the time taken for τ_g to be $\sim \tau_A$ is roughly the equilibrium evolution time, τ_e (usually $\gg \tau_c$), before which the plasma discharge usually terminates. The situation is, therefore, that $\tau_g > \tau_c$ before τ_c , $\tau_g < \tau_c$ after τ_c , and usually $\tau_g \gg \tau_A$. In this model $\tau_g \rightarrow \infty$ at $t = 0$, but in reality the upper limit could be determined by background plasma fluctuations.

The value of τ_c of 60 μ sec obtained here from the albeit crude cylindrical model is still smaller than a good share of the observed growth times, so that either the plasma is disrupting before $t = \tau_c$ when τ_g is calculated or other effects slowing the mode growth must also be playing a role (as discussed in the next section).

2.4. Mode Structures

As described in the introduction, the PEST calculation is used to determine the discharge stability to small perturbations from an equilibrium condition. Since the entire discharge equilibrium is tested for stability, both internal and external mode structures may result. We do not a priori restrict ourselves to considering either internal or external modes. For the $n=1$ modes of interest here, the results of a PEST analysis are displayed in Fig. 3. Fig. 3a shows a projection of the plasma displacement onto the x - z plane at a particular toroidal angle ($\Phi = 0$); as Φ is increased, the pattern rotates and returns to this configuration at $\Phi = 2\pi$. The arrows indicate the direction and the length is proportional to the amplitude of the displacement. The mode structure is Fourier analyzed and the radial variation of the different harmonics is shown in Fig. 3b. This shows only the radial components of the displacement vector, $\xi_\psi = r\xi_r$, and is used to demonstrate the dominance of the $m=1$ component. This analysis is for a free-boundary case, hence, the radial displacement at the plasma edge, $\Psi = 1$, is finite. However, the different harmonics tend to cancel out as seen in the arrow-plot and the dominant contributions are due to the $m=1$ and $m=2$ inside the plasma.

A large $m=1$ mode is observed experimentally, as is seen in Fig. 4. Plotted in the figure are the relative amplitudes (a) and phases (b) of the internal fluctuations of the disruption precursor mode, just prior to the disruption itself, as seen by the horizontally viewing soft X-ray array. The amplitudes and phases are plotted as functions of Z , where Z is the distance from the midplane to the intersection point of the vertical at $R=145$ cm and the X-ray detector sightline (the major radius of this discharge was $R_0 = 144$ cm). The precursor mode in this case, with a frequency of 17.5 kHz, shows a maximum relative amplitude of 20 to 25 % at $Z=\pm 12$ cm (Fig. 4a), the approximate location of the $q=1$ surface as determined from the equilibrium calculation. The phase plot (Fig. 4b) indicates a $\sim 180^\circ$ phase jump across the center of the plasma, indicative of a clear $m=1$ mode at this frequency. The quality of the data at large negative Z is poor, and this is reflected by the large error bars in the relative phases for $Z \leq -25$ cm.

The PEST stability analysis has been recently modified to allow the computation of the expected magnetic signals at various points in the vacuum vessel in order to compare these signals with the measured ones. To minimize the danger of comparing the linearized PEST modes with the observed ones,

which may have significant nonlinearities, the time point of comparison is just after the onset of growth leading to the disruption. The comparisons are shown in Fig. 5. The measured signals are plotted in Fig. 5a for the usable Mirnov coils. Plotted are the time-integrated signals, B_θ , just prior to the disruption. For orientation, coils 1 through 12 form a poloidal array at one toroidal location with coils 8 and 2 being on the inside and outside midplane, respectively. Coil pairs (13,14) and (15,16) are also midplane in/out pairs, but they are displaced 90° and 180° toroidally from the (8,2) pair.

The perturbed signal, as calculated by PEST, is plotted as a function of toroidal angle, Φ , in Fig. 5b. Note that the abscissae in Figs. 5a and b are assumed to be related through a simple toroidal rotation of an $n=1$ mode stationary in the plasma frame. The signal was calculated for an $n=1$ mode with the conducting wall at infinity. Moving the wall into $b/a=2$ has little effect on the relative phases of the magnetic perturbations. The lines connecting the signals in Figs. 5a and 5b are merely to help guide the eye in translating the instantaneous amplitude and phase of the measured signal for comparison with the theoretical one at the appropriate toroidal angle.

There are several things to note from this comparison. First of all, the relative amplitudes of the theoretical signals, in general, agree quite well with those of the measured signals. Additionally, the phases of coils 1 through 4, 7, 9, 12, 14, and 16 also show good agreement between experiment and theory. The loops for which the phases disagree are numbers 6, 8, 13, and 15 (denoted by "x"'s in Fig. 5b), all of which are located on the inner wall. For these coils, the phase disagreement between theory and experiment is about 180° ; that is, while we expect an even poloidal mode structure from ideal calculations, observationally we see an odd mode, more specifically an $m=3$.

The discrepancy between the observed and expected phases point to the inadequacy of ideal theory in this case. In the ideal MHD limit, since $\delta B_m \propto (m-nq)\xi_m$, where δB_m and ξ_m are, respectively, the radial perturbed magnetic and fluid displacement amplitudes of the m^{th} Fourier component, the magnetic perturbation of the $m=3$ component would be close to zero at the plasma vacuum interface since $q_{mhd} \approx 2.93$ for this discharge. Since the radial magnetic field is continuous across the interface and an $m=3$ component is clearly observed in the vacuum region, there must be some mechanism by which this component can be supported. One such mechanism is the presence of a cool, resistive external plasma mantle. To model the possible effect of such a resistive mantle, we increased the value of ξ_3 in the simulation

so that arbitrarily $\delta B_3 \simeq \delta B_4$. This somewhat ad hoc treatment should be viewed as a Gedanken experiment in which we ask what are the possible modifications to the pure ideal theory that are necessary to bring experiment and theory into agreement. The consideration of a cool, resistive plasma mantle, found to be potentially important for explaining DIII data[10], is certainly one reasonable modification. The recomputed magnetic perturbation signals and comparisons are shown in Fig. 6. It is seen in this figure that now all of the loops, except for loop number 6, show phase agreement between theory and experiment. Loop 6, as well as the other loops located on the inner wall, is close to metal conductors which are not accounted for in the theory. This effect of resistivity is reminiscent of the kink-tearing calculation of Pogutse[12] which could also account for a significant decrease in the growth of the mode below the pure infinite resistive vacuum model.

From the observations by the soft X-ray array and the Mirnov coils, it can be concluded that the MHD behavior near the disruption is adequately described by external kink modes with significant $m=1/n=1$ structure inside the plasma. The magnitude of this component may depend on $q(0)$, the location of the $q=1$ surface, and possibly the q -profile at $q=1$. This hypothesis is consistent not only with the mode structure but with the observed dependence of the strength of the central $m=1/n=1$ component on small changes in discharge parameters near the time of the disruption. An example of this is that discharges that survive to lower q_{mhd} have a smaller $m=1/n=1$ amplitude just before disruption. Although measurements of the significant parameter $q(0)$ are not available at present, this hypothesis, supported by theoretical analysis, can indicate possible paths to improve MHD behavior and to stabilize the hard disruption.

3. Summary and Conclusions

The $\langle \beta_t \rangle$ -limit in PBX low- q_{mhd} plasmas is manifest as a discharge limiting disruption, in either a two-step (internal disruption followed quickly by a total disruption), or an immediate disruption. The disruption follows a period of up to 150 msec of MHD quiescent behavior, interrupted only by small amplitude magnetic and soft X-ray activity growing slowly over ≈ 20 msec before the disruption and culminating in a much more rapid exponential

growth with growth times of order $10\ \mu\text{sec}$ to several msec. This activity was observed to be $m=1/n=1$ internally from the soft X-ray array and $m=3/n=1$ by the Mirnov coils. Previous work suggested that the $n=1$ ideal external kink was responsible for the $\langle\beta_i\rangle$ -limit in these low- q discharges[6]. Here, an effort was undertaken to compare the characteristics of the observed predi-ruption MHD activity with those expected from ideal theory. The characteristics studied included time scales and mode structures of the internal and external activity.

The comparisons between the theory and experiment were performed primarily with calculated results from the PEST stability code, which is based exclusively on linear, ideal theory. Because of these limitations, and because of the uncertainties in key input parameters to the code, care must be taken concerning what code output to focus on. Observationally, the time scales for mode growth varied from μsec to msec. While the PEST calculations led to few to tens of μsec growth times, these values were found to be extremely sensitive to input $q(0)$, varying a factor of four to five as $q(0)$ was varied from 0.85 to 0.95. In addition, the PEST growth time is a linear value. Therefore, the growth times as calculated by PEST are not indicative of the true experimental environment. An attempt to impose some real quasi-linear modifications of the growth time was made by taking into account how the plasma evolves through the state of marginal stability. This consideration allows a large range of growth times, up to the $\approx 100\ \mu\text{sec}$ level, to be accounted for within the framework of ideal theory.

The stability analysis results indicate that the eigenmode structure of the ideal $n=1$ kink exhibits a predominantly $m=1$ structure internally, and this is borne out observationally. The poloidal structure of the external magnetic signals do not show as good agreement between theory and experiment. Although the amplitudes of the various coil signals do show good agreement, the poloidal mode structure does not. The reason for this is the ideal constraint, which suppresses the amplitude of the $m=3/n=1$ component (theoretically) near the plasma edge for this $q_0 \simeq 3$ discharge. Since an $m=3$ component is observed, it is clear that this ideal constraint is not valid, and one way to lift this constraint is to allow for a resistive plasma mantle which can support a finite $m=3$ component. Using this approach, the phases can be brought into agreement when the amplitude of the $m=3$ component is taken to be the same size as that of the $m=4$ component.

In conclusion, pure ideal MHD theory provides a reasonable guideline for

global stability analysis and for the general conclusion that the $n=1$ external kink is the mechanism limiting $\langle\beta_t\rangle$ in the low- q PBX discharges, although the oscillations couple to the interior of the plasma. This is consistent with using the Troyon-Sykes β -limit as a useful guide for stability thresholds since this limit is also based on ideal MHD simulations. However, the ideal theory alone leads to discrepancies between the experimental results and the theoretical predictions regarding the precise characteristics of the MHD activity that leads to the disruption. Imposing effects outside the scope of ideal MHD theory is necessary in order to bring about more detailed agreement at this level.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank K. Bol, M. Okabayashi, and the rest of the PBX Group for support during the course of this work. We also gratefully acknowledge discussions with K. McGuire and D. Buchenauer. A portion of this work was carried out while one of us (G. L. J.) was visiting PPPL. The PBX project was supported by the U. S. Dept. of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073.

References

- [1] CHANCE, M., JARDIN, S., and STIX, T., Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** (1983) 1963.
- [2] MANICKAM, J., GRIMM, R., and OKABAYASHI, M., Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** (1983) 1959.
- [3] GRIMM, R., CHANCE, M., TODD, A., MANICKAM, J., OKABAYASHI, M., and ET AL, Nucl. Fusion **25** (1985) 805.
- [4] OKABAYASHI, M., BEIERSDORFER, P., BOL, K., BUCHENAUER, D., CHANCE, M., and ET AL., in *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research*, Vol. 1 (Proc. 10th Int. Conf. London, 1984, 1985) p. 229.
- [5] KAITA, R., BOL, K., COUTURE, P., FONCK, R., GAMMEL, G., and ET AL., in *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion*, Vol. 28 (Proc. 13th Europ. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Schlierse, 1986, 1986) p. 1319.
- [6] BOL, K., BUCHENAUER, D., CHANCE, M., COUTURE, P., FISHMAN, H., and ET AL., Phys. Rev. Lett. **57** (1986) 1891.
- [7] TROYON, F., GRUBER, J., SAUREMANN, H., SEMENZATO, S., and SUCCI, S., Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion **26** (1984) 209.
- [8] SYKES, A., TURNER, M., and PATEL, S., in *Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics* (Proc. 11th Europ. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Aachen, 1983, 1983) p. 363 [Europhys. Conf. Abstracts 7D Part II].
- [9] GRIMM, R., GREENE, J., and JOHNSON, J., Meth. Comp. Physics **16** (1976) 253.
- [10] STAMBAUGH, R., MOORE, R., BERNARD, L., KELLMAN, A., STRAIT, E., and ET AL., in *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research* (Proc. 10th Int. Conf. London, 1984, 1985) p. 229.
- [11] WESSON, J., Nucl. Fusion **18** (1978) 87.

- [12] POGUTSE, O. P., Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Report PPPL-Trans-121. 1977, trans. by R. White.
- [13] SHAFRANOV, V., Sov. Phys.-Tech. Phys. 15 (1970) 175.

Figures

Fig. 1. Expanded time scale of the integrated magnetic signal for a discharge with zero delay showing the final, approximately exponential growth of the disruption precursor mode. The dashed line schematically indicates the approximate exponential growth of the oscillation envelope.

Fig. 2. Probability of disruption as a function of q_{mhd} in bins of $\Delta q_{mhd} \approx 0.1$ for PBX discharges. The distribution of discharges used to produce this figure is weighted towards lower $q_{mhd} (\leq 4)$.

Fig. 3. Eigenmode structure of the displacement from the PEST analysis. (a) the projection of the displacement vector onto the x-z plane at a particular toroidal angle, and (b) radial variation of the eigenmode harmonics. Plotted are the radial displacement vectors, $\xi_w = r\xi_r$, as a function of toroidal flux coordinate, ψ .

Fig. 4. The relative amplitude (a) and phase (b) of the disruption precursor as measured by the horizontally viewing soft X-ray array. The measured signal is a line integral.

Fig. 5. Comparison of the time integrated magnetic signals measured experimentally (a) and calculated theoretically (b) for an $n=1$ mode. The abscissae (time and toroidal angle) are related through simple toroidal rotation, as described in the text.

Fig. 6. Same as Figure 5b with $\delta B_3 \simeq \delta B_4$.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

87X0001

Figure 4

#85X0938

Figure 5

Figure 6

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-20

Dr. Frank J. Paoloni, Univ of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. M.H. Brennan, Univ Sydney, AUSTRALIA
 Plasma Research Lab., Australian Nat. Univ., AUSTRALIA
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ., AUSTRALIA
 Prof. F. Cap, Inst Theo Phys., AUSTRIA
 Prof. M. Helndler, Institut für Theoretische Physik, AUSTRIA
 M. Goossens, Astronomisch Instituut, BELGIUM
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab de Phys Plasmas, BELGIUM
 Com. of European, Dg XII Fusion Prog, BELGIUM
 Prof. R. Bouclique, Laboratorium voor Natuurkunde, BELGIUM
 Dr. P.H. Sekanaka, Univ Estadual, BRAZIL
 Instituto De Pesquisas Espaciais-INPE, BRAZIL
 Library, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited, CANADA
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA
 Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ of Saskatchewan, CANADA
 Dr. H. Bernard, University of British Columbia, CANADA
 Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, CANADA
 Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, University of Calgary, CANADA
 Prof. Tudor W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA
 Dr. C.R. James, Univ. of Alberta, CANADA
 Dr. Peter Lukac, Komenského Univ, CZECHOSLOVAKIA
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND
 Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, ENGLAND
 C. Mouttet, Lab. de Physique des Milieux Ionisés, FRANCE
 J. Radet, CEN/CADARACHE - Bat 506, FRANCE
 Dr. Tom Muel, Academy Bibliographic, HONG KONG
 Preprint Library, Cent Res Inst Phys, HUNGARY
 Dr. B. Dasgupta, Saha Inst, INDIA
 Dr. R.K. Chhajlani, Vikram Univ, INDIA
 Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, INDIA
 Dr. Phillip Rosenau, Israel Inst Tech, ISRAEL
 Prof. S. Cuperman, Tel Aviv University, ISRAEL
 Librarian, Int'l Ctr Theo Phys, ITALY
 Prof. G. Rostagni, Univ DI Padova, ITALY
 Miss Clelia De Palo, Assoc EURATOM-ENEA, ITALY
 Biblioteca, del CNR EURATOM, ITALY
 Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Dev, JAPAN
 Prof. I. Kawakami, Atomic Energy Res. Institute, JAPAN
 Prof. Kyoji Nishikawa, Univ of Hiroshima, JAPAN
 Direc. Dept. Lg. Tokamak Res, JAERI, JAPAN
 Prof. Satoshi Itoh, Kyushu University, JAPAN
 Research Info Center, Nagoya University, JAPAN
 Prof. S. Tanaka, Kyoto University, JAPAN
 Library, Kyoto University, JAPAN
 Prof. Nobuyuki Inoue, University of Tokyo, JAPAN
 S. Mori, JAERI, JAPAN
 M.H. Kim, Korea Advanced Energy Research Institute, KOREA
 Prof. D.I. Choi, Adv. Inst Sci & Tech, KOREA
 Prof. B.S. Liley, University of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND
 Institute of Plasma Physics, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
 Librarian, Institute of Phys., PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
 Library, Tsing Hua University, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
 Z. Li, Southwest Inst. Physics, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
 Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Inst Superior Tecn, PORTUGAL
 Dr. Octavian Petrus, AL I CUZA University, ROMANIA
 Dr. Johan de Villiers, Plasma Physics, AEC, SO AFRICA
 Prof. M.A. Hellberg, University of Natal, SO AFRICA
 Fusion Div. Library, JEN, SPAIN
 Dr. Lennart Stenflo, University of UMEA, SWEDEN
 Library, Royal Inst Tech, SWEDEN
 Prof. Hans Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ Tech, SWEDEN
 Centre Phys des Plasmas, Ecole Polytech Fed, SWITZERLAND
 Bibliotheek, Fom-Inst Voor Plasma-Fysica, THE NETHERLANDS
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Acad Sci, USSR
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, Kurchatov Institute, USSR
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Inst Electro-Physical, USSR
 Dr. V.T. Toik, Inst. Phys. Tech, USSR
 Dr. L.M. Kovrizhnykh, Institute Gen. Physics, USSR
 Prof. T.J.M. Boyd, Univ College N Wales, WALES
 Nuclear Res. Establishment, Jülich Ltd., W. GERMANY
 Bibliothek, Inst. für Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY
 Dr. K. Schindler, Ruhr Universität, W. GERMANY
 ASDEX Reading Rm, IPP/Max-Planck-Institut für
 Plasmaphysik, W. GERMANY
 Librarian, Max-Planck Institut, W. GERMANY
 Prof. R.K. Janev, Inst Phys, YUGOSLAVIA