CONF-850507--32

UCRL-91705
PREPRINTNUCLEAR INFORMATION NEEDS
FOR THE ASTROPHYSICAL S-PROCESSG. J. MATHEWS, W. M. HOWARD, K. TAKAHASHI, AND
R. A. WARD
University of California
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Livermore, CA 94550This paper was prepared for submittal to
The International Conference on Nuclear
Data for Basic and Applied Science
Santa Fe, NM
May 13-17, 1985

May 1985

The logo of the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, featuring a stylized 'L' and the text 'Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory' in a bold, sans-serif font, set against a dark, textured background.

Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

NUCLEAR INFORMATION NEEDS FOR THE ASTROPHYSICAL S-PROCESS

DE85 012913

G. J. MATHEWS, W. M. HOWARD, K. TAKAHASHI, and R. A. WARD
University of California
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Livermore, CA 94550

Abstract The astrophysical s-process is a sequence of neutron-capture and beta-decay reactions on a slow time scale compared to beta-decay lifetimes near the line of stability. We systematically study this detailed sequence of neutron capture, continuum and bound-state beta decay, positron decay, and electron-capture reactions that comprise the s-process for a broad range of astrophysical environments. Our results are then compared with the solar-system abundances of heavy elements to determine the range of physical conditions responsible for their nucleosynthesis. The nuclear data needs are extensive but have begun to be precise enough to allow for a consistent interpretation of the astrophysical site for the s-process.

INTRODUCTION

It has been clear for some time¹ that an exponential distribution of neutron exposures is required to fit the solar-system s-process ON curve (neutron capture cross section times abundance) as a function of atomic mass. Ulrich^{2,3} showed that an exponential distribution of exposures could be achieved in a single star which subjected initial seed material to periodic neutron exposures followed by dredge up of some fraction of the irradiated material to the stellar surface. This s-process scenario has been explored in a series of papers⁴⁻⁷ based on a $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha, n)^{25}\text{Mg}$ neutron source for the s-process during thermal pulses of asymptotic giant branch stars. There is sufficient uncertainty in the stellar models, however, that a different approach is warranted, i.e. to utilize the observed solar-system s-process ON curve to define the constraints on any stellar model for the s-process. This is the subject of the present work. This study reveals that the s-process is best fit with conditions similar to those expected for relatively low-mass red-giant stars near the end of their lifetime. Deviations of the fit from the observed solar-system values highlights the need for improved nuclear data.

MASTER

S-PROCESS CALCULATION

In the classical s-process (without beta-decay branching) the abundance of an isotope is given simply by the solution to the set of coupled differential equations,

$$dN_A/d\tau = \sigma(A-1)N_{A-1} - \sigma(A)N_A, \quad (1)$$

where τ is the time integrated neutron flux (i.e. neutron exposure) and σ the Maxwellian averaged neutron capture cross section.

At equilibrium, a single exposure would lead to a constant ON value for all isotopes in the s-process. The solar-system ON curve (see Fig. 1), however, requires an exponential distribution of neutron exposures, i.e. the probability, $\rho(\tau)$ for a given exposure is taken to be,

$$\rho(\tau) \propto \exp(-\tau/\tau_0). \quad (2)$$

In a periodic s-process operating in a single star^{2,3}, the mean exposure, τ_0 , is simply related to the average exposure per pulse, $\Delta\tau$, and the fraction of material, r , which remains after each dredge up, i.e. $\tau_0 = -\Delta\tau/\ln(r)$. Note, that the mixing fraction and exposure per pulse are not independent parameters.

In dynamic stellar environments, such as thermally-pulsing red giants, the simplicity of the classical s-process (Eq. 1) is lost due to a break down of the assumption that neutron captures are slow compared to beta decay. We therefore, compute the nucleosynthesis in a network:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN(Z,A)}{dt} = & N(Z,A-1)n_n\sigma_{n,\gamma}(Z,A-1) + N(Z-1,A)\lambda\beta(Z-1,A) \\ & - N(Z,A)[n_n\sigma_{n,\gamma}(Z,A) + \lambda\beta(Z,A)] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The time-dependence of the flux and temperature dependence of the cross sections and beta rates are included. In a few cases, positron, electron-capture, or alpha decay must also be added to Eq. (3). We utilize experimental neutron-capture cross sections when available⁸⁻¹⁶. Cross sections for unstable or unmeasured nuclei were taken from Hauser-Feshbach estimates¹⁷ along with the temperature dependence of all cross sections. Decay rates from thermally populated excited states were calculated assuming thermal equilibrium and with appropriately chosen λ values¹⁸. The initial seed abundances were taken from solar-system values¹⁹.

RESULTS

We have adjusted the parameters to minimize χ^2 for the fit to 23 s-only nuclei with $Z \geq 40$. Lighter nuclei were omitted

Figure 1 Fit to the observed σN curve.

because of possible contribution from other sources⁹. The most sensitive parameters in the fit are τ_0 , and n_n , although the temperature, pulse shape, and interpulse period also enter.

Figure 1 is an example of a good fit ($\chi^2 \sim 2.3$) for a mean exposure of $\tau_0 = 0.29 \text{ mb}^{-1}$, a constant density ($n_n = 1.1 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) and temperature ($T = 0.30 \times 10^9 \text{ K}$), with a long interpulse interval ($\sim 500 \text{ y}$). The χ^2 quickly increases for higher fluxes, but increases more slowly as the flux is decreased. From this study we conclude that the best-fit parameters are $n_n = 1.0(\pm 0.6) \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $T = 0.30(\pm 0.04) \times 10^9 \text{ K}$, and $\tau_0 = 0.28 \pm 0.01 \text{ (mb}^{-1})$, corresponding to a pulse duration of $500(\pm 300) \text{ yrs}$. This is consistent with previous estimates^{3,14} of the neutron density based on one or two branches alone. What is most interesting is that these stellar parameters, (derived solely from input nuclear data and observed abundances) are very similar to the conditions thought to exist⁴ in relatively low-mass ($M \lesssim 3 M_\odot$) red-giant stars as they ascend the asymptotic giant branch.

The best fit for the solar-system ON curve exhibits pronounced deviations from the smooth monotonically decreasing classical s-process curve. The reason for this is that many stable nuclei are actually produced as beta-unstable progenitors due to neutron captures on beta-unstable nuclei. If the progenitor has a larger (or smaller) cross section there will be a dip (or peak) in the ON curve when ON for the stable daughter is calculated. This is the reason for the pronounced peaks on Fig. 1 at ^{93}Nb (partially produced as ^{93}Zr) and ^{151}Eu (partially produced as ^{151}Sm).

Finally, we point out some nuclear data needs which are evident from this study. For one, there are still a number of stable nuclei along the s-process path for which neutron-capture cross sections are not measured. These include, ^{66}Zn , $^{72,73}\text{Ge}$, ^{77}Se , and ^{99}Ru , and $^{192-195}\text{Pt}$.

Among these isotopes ^{99}Ru and ^{192}Pt are a particularly important. ^{192}Pt is an s-only nucleus and both of these nuclei are part of significant branch points.

A second point is that there are several s-process only isotopes with measured cross sections which can not be brought into agreement (within one standard deviation) with the rest of the solar-system s-process ON curve for any variation of parameters. The most notable discrepancies are for ^{104}Pd , and ^{116}Sn (possibly also ^{176}Hf and ^{198}Hg). The uncertainty in the abundances for these nuclides is probably not very large¹⁹ (except for ^{198}Hg). Therefore, the nuclear data for these nuclei and neighboring isobars ought to be reexamined.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract number W-7405-ENG-48.

REFERENCES

1. P. A. Seeger, W. A. Fowler, D. D. Clayton, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.*, **97**, 121 (1965).
2. R. K. Ulrich, in "Explosive Nucleosynthesis", eds. D. N. Schramm and W. D. Arnett (Univ. Texas Press, Austin, 1973) p.139.
3. R. K. Ulrich, in "Essays in Nuclear Astrophysics", eds. C. A. Barnes, D. D. Clayton, and D. N. Schramm (Cambridge Univ. Press, N. Y., 1982) p. 301.
4. I. Iben, Jr., *Ap. J.*, **217**, 788 (1977).
5. J. W. Truran and I. Iben, Jr., *Ap. J.*, **216**, 197 (1977).
6. I. Iben, Jr., and J. W. Truran, *Ap. J.*, **220**, 980 (1978).

7. K. R. Cosner, I. Iben, and J. R. Truran, *Ap. J. Lett.*, 238, L91 (1980).
8. B. J. Allen, J. H. Gibbons, and R. L. Macklin, *Adv. Nucl. Phys.*, 4, 205 (1971).
9. F. Käppeler, H. Beer, K. Wisshak, D. D. Clayton, R. L. Macklin, and R. A. Ward, *Ap. J.*, 257, 821 (1982).
10. M. J. Newman, *Ap. J.*, 219, 676 (1978).
11. H. Beer and R. L. Macklin, *Phys. Rev.*, C26, 1404 (1982).
12. H. Beer, F. Käppeler, G. Reffo, and G. Ventorini, *Ap. Space Sci.*, 97, 95 (1983).
13. R. R. Winters, F. Käppeler, K. Wisshak, A. Mengoni, and G. Reffo, (submitted to *Ap. J.*, 1984).
14. H. Beer, F. Käppeler, K. Yokoi, and K. Takahashi *Ap. J.*, 278, 388 (1984).
15. H. Beer and G. Walter, *Astron. Ap.* (1984 in press).
16. G. J. Mathews and F. Käppeler, *Ap. J.*, 286, 810 (1984).
17. J. A. Holmes, S. E. Woosley, W. A. Fowler, and B. A. Zimmerman, *Atom. Nucl. Data Tables*, 18, 306 (1976).
18. K. Takahashi and K. Yokoi (to be published).
19. E. Anders and M. Ebihara, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 46, 2263 (1982).