

WIPP Conceptual Design Report

Addendum F:

HVAC Systems Energy Analysis for Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Conceptual Design Report, By Holmes & Narver, Inc., Anaheim, California, April 1977

Prepared by Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque New Mexico 87115 and Livermore, California 94550 for the United States Energy Research and Development Administration under Contract AT (29-1)-789

Printed April 1977



DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

The following pages are an exact representation of what is in the original document folder.

ADDENDUM F

HVAC Systems Energy Analysis

For a

WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT

April 1977

Submitted To

FENIX & SCISSON, INC. TULSA, OKLAHOMA

DISCLAIMER

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agent, you the bine employees, makes any waire threshed states Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any wairently, express or implied, as ssumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process, disclosed, or represents that its use would not intringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily state on the control of the control of suntring states of the United States Government or any agency thereof, and the processarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

HOLMES & NARVER. INC.

ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTORS

-

A Resource Sciences company
400 FAST ORANGETHORPE AVENUE - ANAHEIM CALIFORNIA 3280

HOLMES & NARVER Engineers • Constructors

CONTENTS

- 1. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS
- 2. BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE
- 3. DEVELOPMENT OF DATA
- 4. HVAC SYSTEM ALTERNATIVES
- 5. LIFE-CYCLE COSTS
- 6. CONCLUSIONS

APPENDIXES*

- A. CENTRAL HEATING/REFRIGERATION VERSUS INDIVIDUAL HEAT PUMPS
- B. LIFCY1 COMPUTER RUNS
- C. TRACE COMPUTER RUNS

*Appendix C is printed separately and is not normally distributed with this addendum. The information in this Appendix, which is approximately 600 pages of computer printout, is summarized in the HVAC energy study. Interested persons should consider this fact before requesting a copy of this lengthy Appendix.

1. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 SUMMARY

This report presents the results of a technical and economic analysis of alternative methods of meeting the heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning requirements of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) facilities proposed to be constructed in southeastern New Mexico.

This report analyzes a total of ten WIPP structures to determine the most energy and economic efficient means of providing heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning services. Additional analysis was performed to determine the merits of centralized versus dispersed refrigeration and heating facilities, and of performing supplemental domestic hot water heating with Solar panels.

HVAC systems for several of the major WIPP structures, representing in excess of 85 percent of the site HVAC energy demand, were examined in detail utilizing the Trane Company "TRACE" computer program. The buildings analyzed were the Administration, RH Waste, TRU Waste and Man/Material structures. Each was analyzed for several alternative HVAC systems dependent upon the primary functional requirements of the HVAC system for the particular building. Waste handling building systems utilize once-through ventilation while the Administration facility is an office-type facility using more conventional type air-conditioning. In addition to the basic HVAC systems, several energy conservation techniques such as heat recovery for one-through systems, solar assist, and supplemental evaporative cooling were considered. The acceptability of these energy conservation additions was assessed by the determination of life-cycle costs using the LIFCY1 computer program.

The systems found to be most energy effective for the WIPP Facility were:

Administration: Medium pressure variable air volume system with internal heat recovery, utilizing supplemental evaporative cooling and a solar assisted, electrically driven heat pump.

RH Waste: Once through ventilation system with heat recovery from exhaust air flow, utilizing supplemental evaporative cooling and solar assisted electrically driven heat pump for the air-conditioning.

TRU Waste: Once through ventilation system with heat recovery from exhaust air flow, utilizing supplemental evaporative cooling and solar assisted electrically driven heat pump for the air-conditioning.

Man/Materials: A multi-zone system using evaporative cooling and heat recovery. Hot water heating for this facility will be supplemented by solar heating units.

The TRACE and LIFCYI program results were then taken into account in the evaluation of the remaining WIPP buildings to determine the HVAC systems to be recommended for use. The systems recommended for these buildings are as follows:

Site Entrance Gate House - an electrically driven heat pump will provide heating and cooling.

Warehouse/Shops - a multi-zone system using evaporative cooling will serve the office area. A once through system with evaporative cooling and spot heating will serve the shop area.

Vehicle Maintenance - spot heating will be provided in the maintenance area. The office and toilet area will be ventilated with cooling by an evaporative cooler and heating by resistance heating elements.

Suspect Waste/Laundry - a once-through system will utilize zoned pressure control and HEPA exhaust filters. Evaporative cooling, resistance heating and heat recovery will be used in the system.

RH-TRU Hoist House - a once-through system with evaporative cooling and resistance heating will be utilized.

2. BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF REPORT

, In recent years attention has been focused on energy as an exhaustible resource. The growing realization that energy supplies are dwindling and

the pressure of an expanding energy demand has resulted in a conscious effort to curtail inefficient energy use. In keeping with the need to make the best possible use of energy resources, it has become increasingly desirable to assure efficient methods of heating, cooling, and ventilating buildings. This report presents the results of analysis directed at assuring an energy efficient design basis for the WIPP Facility HVAC systems.

Basic activities of the HVAC energy analysis were as follows:

- 1. Gather data on building HVAC requirements, including allowable temperatures and building configurations.
- 2. Determine building ventilation requirements and resulting concepts.
- 3. Analyze the HVAC concepts to determine technical and economic acceptability.
 - 4. Report the resulting conclusions and recommendations.

3. DEVELOPMENT OF DATA

Data and material utilized in the performance of the HVAC energy study was gathered over the period from the project initiation in June, 1976 to the completion of the analysis in April, 1977. During this period numerous meetings were held with representatives of Sandia Laboratories, Fenix & Scisson, Inc., and Holmes & Narver, Inc., in attendance. As design of the WIPP evolved, the philosophy of the HVAC requirements evolved in a parallel process. The evolution resulted in the development of facility designs requiring analysis of the economic and energy utilization alternatives. The following methods were utilized in the analysis:

- The basic mechanical HVAC systems concept was based on conformance with General Design Criteria for Interior Mechanical Systems, Part 1, Section D, ERDA Appendix 6301; ASHRAE Standard 90-75, and NRC Guides.
- The heat load calculations were performed in accordance with the ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals.

- Life cycle studies were performed in accordance with ERDAM 6301 Interim Life-Cycle Costing Guidelines.
- Total annual energy useages were determined by use of "TRACE" computer programs for major facility structures.
- Relative energy conservation and payoff times of various alternative systems were determined by use of Sandia Laboratory LIFCYL computer programs.

4. HVAC SYSTEM ALTERNATIVES ANALYZED

A total of 6 "TRACE" computer runs were made in the examination of the HVAC systems for the four major WIPP structures. In addition, a number of LIFCYL runs were performed to determine the life cycle costs of various alternative systems being considered for WIPP. Data from the "TRACE" and LIFCYL runs was then utilized in making decisions on HVAC system configurations for buildings which were not analyzed with the "TRACE" program. Table 4-1 presents a summary of the alternatives considered in the "TRACE" computer analysis. Copies of the "TRACE" computer runs are incorporated as an appendix to this report. As a result of the "TRACE" runs, the following systems were selected as the most favored for the four major WIPP buildings.

Administration Facility. Medium pressure variable air volume system utilizing reciprocating heat pump with heat recovery, supplemental evaporative cooling, and supplemental solar panels.

RH Waste Facility: 100% outside air, heat recovery with a centrifugal heat pump, supplemental evaporative cooling, and supplemental solar panels.

TRU Waste Facility: 100% outside air, heat recovery with a centrifugal heat pump, supplemental evaporative cooling, and supplemental solar panels.

Man/Materials Building: Packaged roof top multizone unit using 100% outside air with heat recovery, resistance heating, supplemental evaporative cooling, and supplemental solar panels.

TABLE 4-1
SUMMARY OF WIPP HVAC ALTERNATIVES

BUILDING	ALTERNATE 1	ALTERNATE 2	ALTERNATE 3	ALTERNATE 4
ADMINISTRATION RUN 1	Centrifugal heat pump Double duct	2 stage absorption — district steam double duct	2 stage absorption — district steam variable air volume	Centrifugal heat pump Variable air volume
ADMINISTRATION RUN 2	District chilled water District steam Double duct	District chilled water District steam Variable air volume	Reciprocating chiller/ heat pump Variable air volume	Reciprocating chiller/ heat pump Double duct
ADMINISTRATION RUN 3	System 1 — variable air volume — reheat — runs 24 hrs/day — no cafeteria System 2 — variable air volume — reheat — night shutdown Centrifugal chiller — 105°F condenser water	System 1 — variable air volume — reheat — runs 24 hrs/day — no cafeteria System 2 — variable air volume — reheat — night shutdown Centrifugal chiller 105°F condenser water. Chiller shutdown below 77°F D.B. for evap. cooling	Same as alternate 1 but uses reciprocating chiller	Same as alternate 2 but ouses reciprocating chiller
RH	Centrifugal heat pump District steam Heat recovery 100% cutside air	Centrifugal heat pump District steam Heat recovery 100% outside air Heat pump shutdown below 77°F D.B. for evap. cooling	Same as alternate 1 but uses centrifugal refrigeration	Same as alternate 2 but uses centrifugal refrigeration
TRU	Centrifugal refrigeration District steam heat 100% cutside air	Centrifugal heat pump District steam heat 100% outside air	Centrifugal heat pump District steam heat Heat recovery 100% outside air	Centrifugal heat pump District steam heat Heat recovery 100% outside air Centrifugal heat pump off below 77°F for evap. cooling
MAN/MATERIALS	Packaged roof top multizone; resistance heating; 100% outside air No heat recovery. No refrigeration below 17°F D.B. for evap. cooling	Packaged roof top multizone; resistance heating; 100% outside air. Heat recovery. No refrigeration below 77°F D.B. for evap. cooling	Same as alternate 1, except refrigeration below 77 ⁰ F D.B. is continued on all areas but change room	Same as alternate 2 except refrigeration below 77°F D.B. is continued on all areas but change room

Note: "denotes sytem selected.

Following selection of the basic systems, the addition of supplemental energy conservation techniques was considered. The conservation techniques considered were:

Heat pipes & liquid transfer heat recovery
Solar assist for space heating
Evaporative cooling
Solar assist for hot water heating

The relative merit of the supplemental systems was determined on the basis of cost effectiveness as determined by LIFCYL computer program analysis. Specifics of the LIFCYL analysis are reported in Section 5 of this report.

On the basis of the analysis leading to the preceeding conclusions. HVAC systems have been selected for the remainder of the WIPP facilities. The remaining buildings are not of the type nor do they have the use and occupancy factors which will require complete air conditioning and heating, and therefore did not require as complete an analysis as the major structures referenced above. Listed below are the WIPP facilities and the HVAC systems recommended for each.

Gate House. No specific analysis has been performed for this structure. Heating and cooling will be provided by an electric heat pump unit. As noted in the architectural description for the building, insulation will be provided to meet required "U" values.

Mine Filter Building - Air passing through this building will be at a relatively constant temperature. Due to its heavy concrete walls, the interior of the building will remain at a relatively constant temperature throughout the yearly period. Mine ventilation fans will be connected to the vital power supply system assuring continued airflow through the building. No internal heating or cooling is anticipated, therefore, no energy analysis was performed.

Vehicle Maintenance Facility - Electric resistance spot heating will be provided for this building as required for personnel and freeze protection. The building will be open to the outside and air conditioning

is not recommended. Evaporative cooling will be utilized for the small office and restroom area.

Suspect Waste/Laundry Building - Due to the presence of radioactive liquids and the possibility of radioactive vapors in this building, a once through ventilation system without reheat will be utilized. Evaporative cooling and only minor resistance heating will provide sufficient heating and cooling for the building. No specific energy analysis has been performed for this building. Laundry activities will provide an internal source of heat for the building. Laundry operations will occur during the daytime, not at night, and spot radiant heaters will be provided for freeze protection. Solar hot water heating will be utilized for meeting laundry requirements.

Hoist Houses - The RH and TRU hoist house rooms will be connected via cable tunnels to their respective waste handling building. Ventilation air entering each room will pass through the motor and control area to remove heat generated during hoisting operations and a portion will be exhausted. The remainder of the air will be drawn through the cable tunnels and into the waste handling buildings, assuring positive control of radioactive contamination should it be carried on the cable. Hoist operator control rooms in both buildings will be heated and cooled by small self-contained units.

The Man/Materials hoist house will be heated as required by spot heating with no cooling being provided. The operator control room will be heated and cooled by small, self-contained heat pump units.

Emergency Generator Building - This structure is designed to resist tornado missiles and therefore it has heavy concrete walls providing good insulating qualities. The building has a low population factor; local heating will provide personnel comfort when the building is occupied. Ventilation will be required for standby generator heat removal when the generators are in operation and will be provided as an integral part of the building design. This building did not justify a complete and comprehensive

energy analysis.

Warchouse/Shops - The warehouse building contains storage space, office space, and shop areas. Cooling will not be provided for the storage area. Spot heating will be provided for freeze protection. The shops will be provided with a once through ventilation system to assure acceptable air quality. Evaporative cooling will be utilized when required, spot heating will provide heat on an "as required" basis. Estimated heating and cooling loads for the WIPP service buildings are summarized in Table 4-2. These loads were prepared by Holmes & Narver, Inc. for use in the Alternative Energy Sources analysis performed by Envirodyne Energy Services. They are indicative of the building loads which should be anticipated and provide a source for comparison of their magnitude relative to the loads established for the major WIPP buildings.

5. LIFE CYCLE COSTS

As mentioned in prior sections, the LIFCY1 program was utilized to determine the relative merits of alternate HVAC systems. Copies of the input and output for the runs performed are included in the Appendix of this report. Table 5-1 summariezes the results of the runs and presents conclusions based on those results. For the purposes of life cycle cost analysis, the district steam heating loads computed in the TRACE runs were converted to electrical power demand.

TABLE 4-2
ESTIMATED WIPP SERVICE BUILDING HVAC LOADS

		ANNUAL LOADS				
HEATING BTU/HR	EVAPORATIVE COOLING HP-KW	HEATING KWHRS	COOLING KWHRS			
519 000	17 HD	89.000	2,160			
86,400	15 HP	43,300	432			
96,000	15 HP	49,400	1,296			
34,100	3 НР	40	29			
144,000	15 HP	24,700	432			
30,000	з нр	15,400	31,000			
-	PE HEATING BTU/HR 519,000 86,400 96,000 34,100 144,000	BTU/HR COOLING HP-KW 519,000 17 HP 86,400 15 HP 96,000 15 HP 34,100 3 HP 144,000 15 HP	PEAK HEATING BTU/HR EVAPORATIVE COOLING HP-KW HEATING KWHRS 519,000 17 HP 89,000 86,400 15 HP 43,300 96,000 15 HP 49,400 34,100 3 HP 40 144,000 15 HP 24,700			

TABLE 5-1
SUMMARY OF LIFCY1 ANALYSIS RESULTS

Building	System	Payback Period, Years	Savings/Investmen Ratio
RH - 1	Heat Recovery	7.2	3.064
RH - 2	Evaporative Cooling	3.5	5. 955
RH - 3	Solar Assist	18.3	1.321
TRU - 1	Heat Recovery	8.2	2. 758
TRU - 2	Evaporative Cooling	. 3,5	5.975
TRU - 3	Solar Assist	18.5	1.308
Administration	Evaporative Cooling	3.3	6.279
Administration	Solar Assist	15.5	1.534
Laundry	Solar Assist for Hot Water	12.3	1.906
•			,
	,		
		·	

6. CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions have been described in the development of the body of the report and are summarized here:

- A. A Central Heating and Refrigeration plant is uneconomic for the WIPP facility when compared to individual systems located in the buildings they serve.
- B. Evaporative cooling is an economic supplement to heat pump refrigeration systems. In addition, evaporative cooling is an economic means of providing cooling for minor buildings at a facility with a design interior temperature of 82° F.
- C. Solar assist for space and hot water heating is economic for the WJPP facility.
- D. Heat pipes and liquid transfer heat recovery are an economic supplement for once through ventilation systems.
- F. For conventional air conditioning systems, a variable air volume system is the favored alternate.

APPENDIX A

AN ANALYSIS OF

CENTRAL HEATING/REFRIGERATION

VERSUS

INDIVIDUAL HEAT PUMPS

SUMMARY

In accordance with WIPP project criteria H&N has evaluated the use of individual heat pumps versus a central steam/chilled water plant for the WIPP facility buildings requiring refrigeration. This evaluation shows that individual heat pumps are the most desirable from both energy and economic viewpoints.

DISCUSSION

Three of the WIPP buildings will require refrigeration and heating which could be supplied from either a central heating/cooling plant or from individual heat pumps. These are the TRU, RH and Administration buildings. Three alternate systems, listed below, have been considered for supplying the heating and cooling requirements for these buildings.

Alternate 1 - Central Refrigeration plus Steam Boilers with chilled water and steam piping to buildings (RH = TRU- Administration).

Alternate 2 - Individual Heat Pumps and cooling towers in each building (RH - TRU - Administration).

Alternate 3 - Individual Heat Pumps with a central cooling tower and condenser water piping to the buildings (RH - TRU - Administration).

The attached cost estimate shows the relative initial costs of the three alternates. The costs overwhelmingly favor the use of Alternate 2 - individual heat pumps and cooling towers at each building. The operating costs, including energy, will also favor the use of individual systems. Alternate 1 will have high thermal losses in the piping network and will require the operation and maintenance of a large central system whenever any of the buildings require services. Alternate 1 is also less energy efficient because the central system cannot be operated as a heat pump. Comparison of the energy consumption of a central system (Alternate 1) versus individual systems (Alternate 2) shows the advantages of individual systems on an annual energy consumption basis.

Individual Systems

3,810,000 KWH/YR

Central Plant

4,190,000 KWH/YR + 11,148 Therms

CONCLUSION

On the basis of initial economics and estimated energy consumption, it is recommended that individual heat pumps be utilized to provide heating and cooling for the RH, TRU and Administration buildings.

SUMMARY ESTIMATE SEEFT	#91#F5 A ##R#EF. [M	0 45 045	1010 QQ 1010 QQ	3-15-77	SEFEKCO DATE
1001 DESER-PITO*	Wanings	18893		**************************************	70° #1
ALTERNATE !	6468	221 380	338 500	150 000	71488
ALTERNATE 2	700	24.500	217 900	23 800	266 200
ALTER NATE 3	3324	116 340	320.840	36.500	173 200
NOTE!! ABOVE ALE DIREC.					
	TJHAL				
TO THE SECOND PROPERTY OF THE	LANT	LGCATI	0 N		

DESCRIPTION LITERNATE I TON CHILLER COOLUGIOWER CALLER PARCE PUMPE PARCE PUMPE PETORS -YALVE FIGS IN	3. 3. 4. 2. 3. 4. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 4. 3. 4. 4. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	<i>E39</i>	TING	300 6- 120	.480 R	AMOU	N T	MA COST UNIT	TERTAL AMOUNT			ONTRACT AMOUN	1	TOTA	-
TON CHILLER TON CHILLER QUOLING TOWER O AIRC PUMPS OF/HE BOILER YERTOR 3 - YALVE FTG 8 IN	3 3 6 2 3	<i>E39</i>		300 6- 120	RAYE	AMOU	μT		AMOU	NT.	COST		1	34.4	190
TON CHILLER TON CHILLER QUOLING TOWER O AIRC PUMPS OF/HE BOILER YERTOR 3 - YALVE FTG 8 IN	3 3 6 2 3	<i>E39</i>		300 0 120	RAYE HR.	AMOU	¥ T	COST			COST	MUGNA		cos	Ī
TON CHILLER COOLUG TOWER CAIRC PUMPS AF/HE BOILER YERTOR? - YALVE FTGS IN	3 2 2 3			120	, a				111.	000					
QUOLINGTOWER QUIRC PUMPS HITHE BOILER YERTOR ? - YALVE FIGS IN	3 2 2 3			120					111	000					
O DIRC PUMPE THING BOILER VERTORS -YALVE FIGS IN	2 3	7		120											
HHE BOILER VERTORS -YALVE FTGS IN	2. 3	7			1							17.	500	1	
YERTORS -YALVE FTGS IN	3	7								000				1	
YERTORS -YALVE FTGS IN		1	1	300					Control of the Control of	500				-	
		I amount		60					4	500					100
ILDING						1	<u> </u>								
	49	-		1500	-				15	000					
TEIBUTION PIPE	!														
PTL. PIPE INSULATED	3720			2250						500					
	1860			750			-			500			-		
11	1860	1		600					33	606				1.	
NCH & BACKFILL															
W X 3'0"0	2200	CY				_			+=			19	500	+	
DING PEEFAS									1=					7.7	
CRETE			1	-				1-1						-	
CIRICAL	49	1		_			1		-			50	000	-	-
\$ SUPY	-	-	1	588						-					
		-		1418	35-	12	4 380		228	500		150	990	714	88
				1			750	1	538	500		/50			
	J		1	<u> </u>			1	لـــــا	1	1					
STE ISGLATION	PILOT	PLI	ent												
	NCH & BACKFILL NX 3'0"0 DING PLEFAB SETE CIRICAL \$SIF ISOLATION	" 1860 NCH \$ BACKFILL NX 3'0"0 2200 DING PREFAB 2400 REFTE 150 CIRICAL 42 STE 150 LATION PILOT	" NCH \$ BACKFILL NX 3'0"0 2200 CY DING PLEFAB 2900 JF CELEICAL 49 SUPY	" NCH & BACKFILL NX 3'0"0 2200 CY DING PLEFAB 2400 9F CIRCAL 42 CIRCAL 41 STE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT	" 1860 Y 600 NCH & BACKFILL N X 3'0"0 2200 CY — DING PREFAB 2400 SF — SEETE 150 QY — CIRICAL 43 — SAB 6468	"	"	" 1860 400 1860	"	" 1860 400 33 1860 400 33 1860 400 4	" 1860 600 33 500 NCH 840KFILL N X 3'0"0 2200 CY	" 1860 600 33 500 NCH & BACKFILL ALX J'O"D 2200 CY SOUNC PEFFAB 2400 SF CELETE 150 CY CIPICAL 41 588 SOUNC PEFFAB 2400 SF CIPICAL 41 588 CIPICAL 41 588 CALB 35 126 380 238 500 STE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT	" 1860 400 33 506 19	" 1860 T 600 33 500 19 500 19 500 19 50 600 19 50 600 19 50 600 19 50 600 19 50 600 19 50 600 19 50 600 19 50 600 19 50 600 19 50 600 19 50 600 19 600 19 60 600 19 60	" 1860 T 600 33 500 NCM \$ BACKFILL NCM \$

0 8	TAIL ESTIMATE SHEET				& NAR				PPICEO		no,		579	CHECKED		4TE
	and the second s	·							EXTENSI		-		170401			
1000				1400k						TEFTAL		SUBCON	TRACE	à	101	
NO.	DE SCRIPTION	1 BUANT TY	UNIT	AGER UN 7	TOTAL MARE	RATE HR.	AMC IN		COST	AMOU	NT G	OST	AMOUN	T	COS	Τ.
	ALTERNATE IL		-										1		1	
	TRU BLOC	1													-	
	225 TON HEAT PUMPS	2	EP		160					. 87	000		1		1	
	" COOLING TWE	1. 2.	11.		1-	L				<u> </u>			8	400	-	
	25 HP PUMPS	4	-	1	64					4	600		1			
	HEAT EXCHANGER	1	1		16			,			000		+		1-	
	PH BLOG				Ž.											
mm: 14 11-45	150 TON HEAT PUMPS	2	EM		120	1	-+	 		76	800		+		+	-
	" COOLING TOWERS	2		ļ	1-	1					-		6	600	+	
	15 HP PUMPS	4			160	11					800		-		1	
	HEMT EXCHANGER	1	1-		16		-	1			900	\pm	-			
	ADM. BLOC	1	ļ		ļ		-			-						
	TSTON RECIPHERT PUMP	2	EM		100				-	40	000		+ ,	110	+	
	" COOLING TOWER	1 2	1	ļ									4	600	+	-
	IDHP PUMP	+	10	ļ	48	ļ		ļ		3	000		+		-	-
	HEAT EXCHANGEZ	1	1	-	16					-	800	-				
	TEST & SUPY	43			100										-	
	CONCRETE	18	cy		6								4	002		
and an interest of the second of the second			-	 	 											
					700	7500	24	500		210	900		13	800	111	20
			1	1	100	30	1	300		411	100		1			
EACILIT	WASTE ISD LATION	PILOT	PLA	20-				-								
					print a					-						
TYPE OF	ESTIMATE OF STUDY JOB N	10. 825/	10		SHEET N	0.3	DF 4		PRIN	CIPAL	SUB ACC	T. NO.	146	711		

				1500				1 MAT	ERIAL	SUBCONTRACTO			791	Y 41
AUGT.	MC!T9!PJZ3D	CHAN ITY	UNIT	LASUF UNIT	TOTAL MERS	RATE	NHODN I	C037	MOUNT	COST	AMOUN		CO ST	
	ALTERNATET													
	300 TON COOLING TWE	3	EA		-0-						. 12	40		
	SOUTON COOLING TWE	3	1		. 72				4 600		1			72
	10'4 PIPE IN SUCATED	3720	LE		2604				104 160					
114 (4.14) (4.44)	TRENCH & BACKFILL										: 16	600		
9-4-03-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-	TEUBLOC								1					
	225 TON HEAT PUMPS	1	272	1	160				87000					
	25 HP PUMPS				32	5 5			2 300					1000
	HERT EXCHANGER	1	1		16				1000				1000	
	RH BLOC													
	150 TON HEAT PUMP	1	ER		120				76 800					
	15 HP PUMP	1 2	11		30				1900					
	HEATEXCHANGER	1	b	T	16				900					
	APM. BLOC		1						72					
	15 TON HEAT PUMP	2	ETA	11-12	100				40 000				1 29	
	10 HP PUMP	2			24	1			1500					
	HEAT ENCHANGER	1	-		16	1			700	1		lata 🧵		
	HEAL ENCHANGE	1	++	t	1	1								
	CONCRETE	11	icy		-0-		18 1 E S	1 100			2	500	1 200	
						-							-	100
	TEST & SUPV	49			300			++		-			-	-
				-										
		-			7777	720	116 390		320 860		3/	500	473	20
. da especiale			1	+	2344	20	//6 390		20 000	1	36		1	
					1									
	WASTE ISOLATION A	DILAT DI	121	. 7					1 = 1 de 1					

APPENDIX B

LIFCY 1 COMPUTER RUNS

++INPUT DATA++

BL105: RH #1 PROJ. BIR. NO.: 4-01-77

LOCATION: WIPP

DESIGNER: M. KLATSKIN

COST OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY USED, PER MILLION BTU = \$7.9990
COST OF STEAM, GAS OR OTHER ENERGY USED, PER MBTU = \$.0000
YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR ELECTRICITY IS 5.7 %
YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR STEAM, GAS OR OIL IS .0 %
YEARLY DISCOUNT RATE IS 8.0 %
NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES BEING CONSIDERED ARE 2 ALTERNATES

RESULTS OF ECONOMIC STUDY

ALTERNATE NO. 1 DATA (CENT HEAT PUMP)

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 39219.1 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 120399. DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 1.28523E+6 DOLLARS

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 30092.2 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 110730. DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 1.18202E+6 DOLLARS

◆◆◆DISCOUNTED PAYBACK PERIODS◆◆◆

TO GO FROM ALTERNATE 1+ TO ALTERNATE 2 PAYBACK IS 7.2 YEARS
FINAL (YEAR 8) YEARS ENERGY SAVINGS/COST IS 1.422E+1 DOLLARS
WITH 1,141 MILLIONS OF BTU SAVED PER YEAR.
SAVINGS/INVESTMENT RATIO IS 3.064
ENERGY SAVINGS IS 570,500 BTU PER ANNUAL DISCOUNTED INVESTMENT DOLLAR.

++INPUT DATA++

BLDG: RH #2 PROJ. DIR. NO.: 4-01-77

LOCATION: WIPP

DESIGNER: M. KLATSKIN

COST OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY USED, PER MILLION BTU = \$7.9990
COST OF STEAM, GAS OR OTHER ENERGY USED, PER MBTU = \$.0000
YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR ELECTRICITY IS 5.7 %
YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR STEAM, GAS OR OIL IS .0 %
YEARLY DISCOUNT RATE IS 8.0 %
NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES BEING CONSIDERED ARE 2 ALTERNATES

RESULTS OF ECONOMIC STUDY

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 30092.2 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 110730. DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 1.18202E+6 DOLLARS

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR EMERGY COST IS 22061.2 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 99589.1 DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 1.06309E+6 DOLLARS

◆◆◆DISCOUNTED PAYBACK PERIODS◆◆◆

TO GO FROM ALTERNATE 1+ TO ALTERNATE & PAYBACK IS 3.5 YEARS
FINAL (YEAR 4) YEARS ENERGY SAVINGS/COST IS 1.002E+1 DOLLARS
WITH 1,004 MILLIONS OF BTU SAVED PER YEAR.
SAVINGS/INVESTMENT RATIO IS 5.955
ENERGY SAVINGS IS 1,045,833 BTU PER ANNUAL DISCOUNTED INVESTMENT DOLLAR.

INPUT DATA

BLDG RH-3 PROJ. DIR. NO.: 4-6-77

LOCATION: WIPP
DESIGNER: H-N

COST OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY USED, PER MILLION BTO = \$7.9990.

COST OF STEAM, GAS OR OTHER ENERGY USED, PER MBTO = \$.0000

YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR ELECTRICITY IS 5.7 %

YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR STEAM, GAS OR OIL IS .0 %

YEARLY DISCOUNT RATE IS 8.0 %

NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES BEING CONSIDERED ARE 2 ALTERNATES

◆◆◆RESULTS OF ECONOMIC STUDY◆◆◆

ALTERNHIE NU. 1 DATA (BASE SYSTEM)

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 22061.2 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 99589.1 DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 1.06309E+6 DOLLARS

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 11374.6 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 95908. DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 1.02380E+6 DOLLARS

◆◆◆DISCOUNTED PAYBACK PERIODS◆◆◆

TO GO FROM ALTERNATE 1. TO ALTERNATE 2 PHYBHCK IS 18.3 YEARS
FINAL (YEAR 19) YEARS ENERGY SAVINGS/COST IS 3.064E+1 DOLLARS
WITH 1,336 MILLIONS OF BTU SAVED PER YEAR.
SAVINGS/INVESTMENT RATIO IS 1.321
ENERGY SAVINGS IS 272,875 BTU PER ANNUAL DISCOUNTED INVESTMENT DOLLAR.

```
PROGRAM LIFCY1
04040 DATA "WIPP"
04050 Pero "
04050 DATA "4-01-77"
04060 DATA "M. KLATSKIN"
04070 DATA 7.999
04080 DATA 0
04090 DATA 5,7
04100 DATA 0
04110 DATA 8
04120 DATA 2
04130 DATA "CENT HEAT PUMP"
04140 DATA 375000
04150 DATA 15000
04160 DATA 4903
04170 DATA 0
04180 DATA 25
04190 DATA "CENT HEAT PUMP WZHEAT RECOVERY"
04200 DATA 425000
04210 DATA 17000
04220 DATA 3762
04230 DATA 0
04240 DATA 25
```

77/04/01. 10.37.05.

06350 END READY.

```
PROGRAM LIFCY1
04030 DATA "RH
04040 DATA "WIPP"
04050 DATA "4-01-77"
04060 DATA "M. KLATSKIN"
04070 DATA 7.999
04080 DATA 0
04090 DATA 5.7
04100 DATA 0
04110 DATA 8
04120 DATA 2
04130 DATA "CENT HEAT PUMP W/HEAT RECOVERY"
04140 DATA 425000
04150 DATA 17000
04160 DATA 3762
04170 DATA 0
04180 DATA 25
04190 DATA "CENT HEAT PUMP W/HEAT RECDY & EVAP COOL"
04200 DATA 449000
94210 DATA 18000
04220 DATA 2758
04230 DATA 0
04240 DATA 25
06350 END
READY.
```

77/04/01. 10.40.55.

```
77/04/06. 09.31.52.
PROGRAM RH3
04030 DATA "RH-3"
04040 DATA "WIPP"
04050 DATA "4-6-77"
04060 DATA "H-N"
04070 DATA 7.999
04080 DATA 0
04090 DATA 5.7
04100 DATA 0
04110 DATA 8
04120 DATA 2
04130 DATA "BHSE SYSTEM"
04140 DATA 449000
04150 DATA 18000
04160 DATA 2758
04170 DATA 0
04180 DATA 85
04190 DATA "BASE WITH SOLAR ASSIST"
04200 DATA 571400
04210 DATA 22000
04220 DATA 1422
04230 DATA 0
04240 DATA 25
READY.
```

◆◆INPUT DATA◆◆

BLDG TRU-1 PROJ. DIR. NO.: 4-7-77

LOCATION: WIPP > DESIGNER: H-N

COST OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY USED, PER MILLION BTU = \$7.9800 COST OF STEAM, GAS OR OTHER ENERGY USED, PER MBTU = \$.0000 YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR ELECTRICITY IS 5.7 % YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR STEAM, GAS OR OIL IS .0 % YEARLY DISCOUNT RATE IS 8.0 % NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES BEING CONSIDERED ARE 2 ALTERNATES

◆◆◆RESULTS OF ECONOMIC STUDY◆◆◆

ALTERNATE NO. 1 DATA (HEAT PUMP)

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 53665.5 DOLLARS
TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 203096. DOLLARS
TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 2.16800E+6 DOLLARS

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 41176.8 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 190746. DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 2.03617E+6 DOLLARS

◆◆◆DISCOUNTED PAYBACK PERIODS◆◆◆

TO GO FROM ALTERNATE 1+ TO ALTERNATE 2 PAYBACK IS 8.2 YEARS
FINAL (YEAR 9) YEARS ENERGY SAVINGS/COST IS 2.057E+1 DOLLARS
WITH 1,565 MILLIONS OF BTU SAVED PER YEAR.
SAVINGS/INVESTMENT RATIO IS 2.758
ENERGY SAVINGS IS 521,666 BTU PER ANNUAL DISCOUNTED INVESTMENT DOLLAR.

INPUT DATA

BLDG: (IRU-2) PROJ. DIR. NO.: 4-7-77

LOCATION: WIPP
DESIGNER: H-N

COST OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY USED, PER MILLION BTU = \$7.9800 COST OF STEAM, GAS OR OTHER ENERGY USED, PER MBTU = \$.0000 YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR ELECTRICITY IS 5.7 % YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR STEAM, GAS OR OIL IS .0 % YEARLY DISCOUNT RATE IS 8.0 % NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES BEING CONSIDERED ARE 2 ALTERNATES

-+++RESULTS OF ECONOMIC STUDY+++

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR EMERGY COST IS 41176.8 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 190746. DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 2.03617E+6 DOLLARS

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 25121. DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 168375. DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 1.79737E+6 DOLLARS

◆◆◆DISCOUNTED PAYBACK PERIODS◆◆◆

TO GO FROM ALTERNATE 1+ TO ALTERNATE 2 PAYBACK IS 3.5 YEARS
FINAL (YEAR 4) YEARS ENERGY SAVINGSZODST IS 2.004E+1 DOLLARS
WITH 2.012 MILLIONS OF BTU SAVED PER YEAR.
SAVINGSZINVESTMENT RATIO IS 5.975
ENERGY SAVINGS IS 1.047.916 BTU PER ANNUAL DISCOUNTED INVESTMENT DOLLAR.

++INPUT DATA++

BLDG: TRU-3 PROJ. DIR. NO.: 4-7-77

LOCATION: WIPP DESIGNER: H-N

COST OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY USED, PER MILLION BTU = \$7.9800 COST OF STEAM, GAS OR OTHER ENERGY USED, PER MBTU = \$.0000 YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR ELECTRICITY IS 5.7 % YEARLY DISCOUNT RATE FOR STEAM, GAS OR OIL IS .0 % YEARLY DISCOUNT RATE IS 8.0 % NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES BEING CONSIDERED ARE 2 ALTERNATES

RESULTS OF ECONOMIC STUDY

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 25121. DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 168375. DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 1.79737E+6 DOLLARS

SOLAR ASSIST

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 14459.8 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNALIZED COST IS 164839. DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 1.75962E+6 DOLLARS

◆◆◆DISCOUNTED PAYBACK PERIODS◆◆◆

FINAL (YEAR 19) YEARS ENERGY SAVINGS/COST IS 3.057E+1 DOLLARS
WITH 1,336 MILLIONS OF BTU SAVED PER YEAR.
SAVINGS/INVESTMENT RATIO IS 1.308
ENERGY SAVINGS IS 272,875 BTU PER ANNUAL DISCOUNTED INVESTMENT DOLLAR.

```
77/04/08. 08.25.52.
PROGRAM LIFCY1
04030 DATA "TRU-1"
04040 DATA "WIPP"
04050 DATA "4-7-77"
04060 DATA "H-N"
04070 DATA 7.98
04080 DATA 0
04090 DATA 5.7
04100 DATA 0
04110 DATA 8
04120 DATA 2
04130 DATA "HEAT PUMP"
04140 DATA $00000
04150 DATA 32000
04160 DATA 6725
04170 DATA 0
04180 DATA 25
04190 DATA "HEAT PUMP WARECOVERY"
04200 DATA 875000
04210 DATA 35000
04220 DATA 5160
04230 DATA 0
04240 DATA 25
06350 END
READY.
```

```
77/04/08. 08.34.20.
PROGRAM LIFCY1
04030 DATA "TRU-2"
04040 DATA "WIPP"
04050 DATA "4-7-77"
04060 DATA "H-N"
04070 DATA 7.98
04080 DATA 0
04090 DATA 5.7
04100 DATA 0
04110 DATA 8
04120 DATA 2
04130 DATA "HEAT PUMP W∠RECOVERY"
04140 DATA 875000
04150 DATA 35000
04160 DATA 5160
04170 DATA 0
04180 DATA 25
04190 DATA "HEAT PUMP W/RECOVERY & EVAP COOLING"
04200 DATA 923000
04210 DATA 36900
04220 DATA 3148
04230 DATA:0
04240 DATA 25
READY.
```

```
77/04/08. 08.45.40.
PROGRAM LIFCY1
04030 DATA "TRU-3"
04040 DATA "WIPP"
04050 DATA "4-7-77"
04060 DATA "H-N"
04070 DATA 7.98
04080 DATA 0
04090 DATA 5.7
04100 DATA 0
04110 DATA 8
04120 DATA 2
04130 DATA "HEAT PUMP WARECOVERY & EVAP COOLING"
04140 DATA 923000
04150 DATA 36900
04160 DATA 3148
04170 DATA 0
04180 DATA 25
04190 DATA "HEAT PUMP W/RECOVERY & EVAP CODLING & SOLAR ASSIST"
04200 DATA 1045400
04210 DATA 41000
04220 DATA 1812
04230 DATA 0
04240 DATA 25
06350 END
READY.
```

++INPUT DATA++

BLDG: ADMINISTRATION BLDG.

PROJ. DIR. NO.: CONCEPTUAL DESIGN

KLATSKIN / BROWN

LOCATION: WIPP

DESIGNER:

COST OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY USED, PER MILLION BTU = \$9.0243
COST OF STEAM, GAS OR OTHER ENERGY USED, PER MBTU = \$.0000
YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR ELECTRICITY IS 5.7 %
YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR STEAM, GAS OR OIL IS .0 %
YEARLY DISCOUNT RATE IS 8.0 %
NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES BEING CONSIDERED ARE 2 ALTERNATES

RESULTS OF ECONOMIC STUDY

ALTERNATE NO. 1 DATA (RECIP HEAT PUMP)

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 22416.1 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 54199.3 DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 578566. DOLLARS

ALTERNATE NO. 2 DATA (RECIP H.P. WZEVAP)

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 17157. DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 46781.8 DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 499385. DOLLARS

DISCOUNTED PAYBACK PERIODS

TO GO FROM ALTERNATE 1+ TO ALTERNATE 2 PAYBACK IS 3.3 YEARS
FINAL (YEAR 4) YEARS ENERGY SAVINGS/COST IS 6.565E+0 DOLLARS
WITH 582 MILLIONS OF BTO SAVED PER YEAR.
SAVINGS/INVESTMENT RATIO IS 6.279
ENERGY SAVINGS IS 971,283 BTO PER ANNUAL DISCOUNTED INVESTMENT DOLLAR.

8888 LIFE-CYCLE COST COMPARISONS 8888

++INPUT DATA++

BLDG: ADMINISTRATION PROJ. DIR. NO.: 4-6-77

LOCATION: WIPP
DESIGNER: H-N

COST OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY USED, PER MILLION BTU = \$9.0200
COST OF STEAM, GAS OR OTHER ENERGY USED, PER MBTU = \$.0000
YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR ELECTRICITY IS 5.7%
YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR STEAM, GAS OR OIL IS .0%
YEARLY DISCOUNT RATE IS 8.0%
NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES BEING CONSIDERED ARE 2 ALTERNATES

+++RESULTS OF ECONOMIC STUDY+++

ALTERNATE NO. 1 DATA (HEAT PUMP)

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR EMERGY COST IS 12050.7 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 21591.4 DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 230483. DOLLARS

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR CHERGY COST IS 0 DOLLARS
TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 15466.3 DOLLARS
TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 165099. DOLLARS

◆◆◆DISCOUNTED PAYBACK PERIODS◆◆◆

TO GO FROM ALTERNATE 1+ TO ALTERNATE 2 PAYBACK IS 15.5 YEARS
FINAL (YEAR 16) YEARS EMERGY SAVINGS/COST IS 2.926E+1 DOLLARS
WITH 1,336 MILLIONS OF BTU SAVED PER YEAR.
SAVINGS/INVESTMENT RATIO IS 1.534
EMERGY SAVINGS IS 872,875 BTU PER ANNUAL DISCOUNTED INVESTMENT DOLLAR.

```
PROGRAM LIFCY1
04030 DATA "ADMINISTRATION "
04040 DATA "WIPP"
04050 DATA "4-6-77"
04060 DATA "H-N"
04070 DATA 9.02
04080 DATA 0
04090 DATA 5.7 .
04100 DATA 0
04110 DATA 8
04120 DATA 2
04130 DATA "HEAT PUMP"
04140 DATA 0
04150 DATA 0
04160 DATA 1336
04170 DATA 0
04180 DATA 25
04190 DATA "HEAT PUMP W/SOLAR ASSIST"
04200 DATA 122400
04210 DATA 4000 ·
04220 DATA 0
04230 DATA 0
04240 DATA 25
06350 END
READY.
```

77/04/06. 14.09.14.

INPUT DATA

BLDG: LAUNDRY HOT WATER PROJ. DIR. NO.: 4-6-77

LOCATION: WIPP DESIGNER: H-N

COST OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY USED, PER MILLION BTU = \$17.3450
COST OF STEAM, GAS OR OTHER ENERGY USED, PER MBTU = \$.0000
YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR ELECTRICITY IS 5.7 %
YEARLY ESCALATION RATE FOR STEAM, GAS OR OIL IS .0 %
YEARLY DISCOUNT RATE IS 8.0 %
NUMBER OF ALTERNATIVES BEING CONSIDERED ARE 2 ALTERNATES

RESULTS OF ECONOMIC STUDY

ALTERNATE NO. 1 DATA (ELECTRIC HEATER)

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 2220.16 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 3977.88 DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 42462.9 DOLLARS

RESULTS

TOTAL FIRST YEAR ENERGY COST IS 0 DOLLARS TOTAL ANNUALIZED COST IS 2419.94 DOLLARS TOTAL PRESENT WORTH IS 25832.3 DOLLARS

◆◆◆DISCOUNTED PAYBACK PERIODS◆◆◆

TO GO FROM ALTERNATE 1 TO ALTERNATE 2 PAYBACK IS 12.3 YEARS
FINAL (YEAR 13) YEARS ENERGY SAVINGS/COST IS 4.564E+0 DOLLARS
WITH 128 MILLIONS OF BTU SAVED PER YEAR.
SAVINGS/INVESTMENT RATIO IS 1.906
ENERGY SAVINGS IS 174,291 BTU PER ANNUAL DISCOUNTED INVESTMENT DOLLAR.

```
77/04/06. 14.16.00.
PROGRAM LIFCY1
  04030 DATA "LAUNDRY HOT WATER"
  04040 DATA "WIPP"
  04050 DATA "4-6-77"
  04060 DATA "H-N"
  04070 DATA 17.345
  04080 DATA 0
  04090 DATA 5.7
  04100 DATA 0
  04110 DATA 8
  04120 DATA 2
  04130 DATA "ELECTRIC HEATER"
  04140 DATA 0
  04150 DATA 0
  04160 DATA 128
  04170 DATA 0
  04180 DATA 25
  04190 DATA "SOLAR HTR.-BOOSTER"
  04200 DATA 18360
  04210 DATA 700
  04220 DATA 0
  04230 DATA 0
  04240 DATA 25
  06350 END
: READY.
```