A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.

CONF-8309172--2

LA-UR--83-2930

DEGA 001720

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36

TITLE: SOME OBSERVABLE INDICATORS OF s-PROCESS ENVIRONMENTS?

AUTHOR(S): Keith H. Despain

SUBMITTED TO IAU Symposium #105 on Observational Tests of Stellar Evolution Theory, Sept. 12 - 16, 1983, Geneva, Switzerland

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

NOTICE

PORTIONS OF THIS REPORT ARE ILLEGIBLE.
It has been reproduced from the best available copy to permit the broadest possible availability.

MASTER

By acceptance of this article the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

Los Alamos Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

SOME OBSERVABLE INDICATORS OF s-PROCESS ENVIRONMENTS?

Keith H. Despain
Los Alamos National Laboratory

1. ABSTRACT

By definition, the chemically peculiar stars have element abundances that are non-solar. Many of these stars show peculiarities in s-process elements. This paper discusses observable indicators of the neutron environment for the s-process in these stars.

2. ISOTOPIC INDICATORS?

The neutron sources usually considered for producing s-process elements are ^{13}C and ^{22}Ne (Cameron, 1955; Burbidge, Burbidge, Fowler, and Hoyle, 1957...). Cowan and Rose (1977) have suggested that under certain conditions ^{14}C might be produced in observable quantities if ^{13}C were the neutron source. However, they ignored neutron reactions on all elements heavier than ^{16}O (Despain, 1977), which cause a reduction in ^{14}C production of at least a factor of about 50 (Despain, unpublished).

Scalo and Miller (1981) proposed that ^{99}Tc could be used as an indicator of the nucleosynthesis and mixing processes in thermally-pulsing red giants, using ^{22}Ne as a neutron source. However, they did not take into account the enhanced beta decay of ^{99}Tc in the hot stellar interior, which places their interpretation of its detection in doubt (Cosner, Despain, and Truran, 1984).

3. ELEMENTAL INDICATORS

Elemental indicators have previously been discussed by Butcher (1976) and Cowley & Downs (1981); their indicators give a measure of the total neutron exposure, τ --defined by Clayton, Fowler, Hull, and Zimmerman (1961)--but not of the neutron density, n . The following presents an s-process indicator for measuring both τ and n . Assuming the peculiar abundance observed, $[X_*] \equiv (X_*/\text{Fe}_*)/(X_\odot/\text{Fe}_\odot)$ is the consequence of mixing an ordinary (i.e., "solar like") envelope of mass M_e with abundances

X_e , with a shell of mass M_s , with s-process abundances. X_s , where X_r is the abundance in a reference star, $\{X_s\}$ is given, after some algebra, by

$$\{X_s\} - R = fR (X_s/X_e - 1) \quad (1)$$

where $f = M_s/(M_e + M_s)$ and $R = (X_e/Fe_e)/(X_r/Fe_r)$. Assuming $(X_e/Fe_e) = (X_r/Fe_r)$, $R = (Fe_e/Fe_r)$. A reasonable range for R is $1 \leq R \leq (1-f)^{-1}$, the limits being given by $Fe_s/Fe_e = 1$ and $y Fe_s/Fe_e = 0$. To eliminate the factor fR in Eq. (1) we examine ratios of elements. For the s-process, the proposed observables are

$$S_{12} \equiv \langle Sr+Y+Zr \rangle / \langle Ba+La+Ce \rangle \text{ and } S_{23} \equiv \langle Ba+La+Ce \rangle / \langle Nd+Sm+Eu+Gd \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $\langle X_i \rangle \equiv \Sigma(\{X_i\} - R)/N$. S_{12} is the ratio of the average enhancement of elements in the first s-process element peak, determined by the closed nuclear neutron shell at 50 to those in the second closed shell at 82. It is a measure of the neutron exposure, τ . S_{23} is the ratio of the average enhancement of elements in the second peak to a group of elements on the "plateau" after the peak. Since at a higher neutron density a nuclear shell will generally close at a lower atomic weight and (eventually) atomic number, this can serve as a measure of n .

4. COMPARISON WITH OBSERVATIONS

Figure 1. Theory vs Observation (see text for discussion)

Figure 1 compares observations and calculations for FG S stars (a), barium stars (a), and CH stars (b). The dashed curves are the observations with $R = 1, 1.1, 1.25$ and 1.5 . The numbers correspond to the stars in Table I. The solid curves are calculations at constant neutron density, given across the top. The dotted curves connect the points on the curve at constant τ , as indicated on the left. These values of τ correspond to the number of neutrons per ion seed captured on all elements heavier than, and including, ^{22}Ne in the range from roughly 400 to 1000 (top to bottom). The chain dash curve is the loci of models of the thermally-pulsed ^{22}Ne source, as it operates in the AGB stars (Iben, 1976, Cosner,

1982, etc.). As can be seen, these stars appear to show a large range in neutron densities, but virtually all show neutron exposures too heavy for the AGB ^{22}Ne source. Note that a different set of elements is used in the definition of S_{12} and S_{23} than in section (4) because one (or more) abundance is missing for some of the stars.

Table I

# Star	Reference (see reference section)							
1	HD 46407	W	6	HD 178717	W	11	HD 204075	CD
2	HD 83548	W	7	HD 183915	W	12	HD 46407	RR
3	HD 92626	W	8	HD 204075	W	13	HD 204075	T
4	HD 116713	W	9	HD 211594	W	14	HD 116713	D
5	HD 175674	W	10	HR 774	C	15	HD 83548	D
							FG Sge	LKA
							CH Stars	
							1	HD 26
							2	HD 176201
							3	HD 204613
								WG
								SB
								SR

5. CONCLUSIONS

1. There are some potentially useful, observable s-process indicators.
2. Abundance measurements on as many of the elements in each of the sets (Sr,Y,Zr), (Ba,Lu,Ce), and (Nd,Sm,Eu,Gd) would be helpful in characterizing the s-process environment.
3. Barium stars, FG Sge, and CH stars have all apparently produced their s-process elements in quite rich neutron environments, with significant star to star variations.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the help of Jim Truran and Ken Cosner, who made available their computer code for computing the s-process, which was modified and used in the calculations reported here. This research was supported by USDOE contract W-7405-ENG. 36.

7. REFERENCES

- Burbidge, EM and Burbidge, GR 1957, ApJ, 126, 357. (RR)
 Burbidge, EM, Burbidge, GR, Fowler, WA, and Hoyle, F 1957, RMP, 29, 547.
 Butcher, HR 1976, ApJ, 210, 489.
 Cameron, AGW 1955, ApJ, 121, 144.
 Clayton, D, Fowler, W, Hull, T, and Zimmerman, B 1961, Ann Phys, 12, 331.
 Cosner, KR 1982, PhD thesis, Univ. of Ill.
 Cowan, JJ, and Rose, WK 1977, ApJ, 212, 149.
 Cowley, CR, 1968 ApJ, 153, 169. (C)
 Cowley, CR, and Downs, PL 1980, ApJ, 236, 648. (CD)
 Danziger, J 1965, MNRAS, 131, 51. (D)
 Despain, KH 1977, ApJ, 212, 774.
 Iben, I 1975, ApJ, 196, 549
 Langer, G, Kraft, R, and Anderson, K 1974, ApJ, 189, 509. (LKA)
 Scalo, J, and Miller, G 1981, ApJ, 246, 251.
 Sneden, C, and Bond, H 1976, ApJ, 204, 810. (SH)
 Tech, JL 1971, NRS Monograph 119. (T)
 Wallerstein, G, and Greenstein, J 1964, ApJ, 169, 1163. (WG)
 Warner, B 1965, MNRAS, 129, 263. (W)