J. Goree, M. Ono, P. Colestock, R. Horton,
D. McNeill, and H. Park

JULY 1985

**PLASMA
PHYSICS
LABORATORY**

**PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY**

**PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,
UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76-CED-3073.**

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

FAST WAVE CURRENT DRIVE

J. Goree, M. Ono, P. Colestock, R. Horton[†],
D. McNeill, and H. Park

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08544

ABSTRACT

Fast wave current drive is demonstrated in the Princeton ACT-I toroidal device. The fast Alfvén wave, in the range of high ion-cyclotron harmonics, produced 40 A of current from 1 kW of rf power coupled into the plasma by a fast wave loop antenna. This wave excites a steady current by damping on the energetic tail of the electron distribution function in the same way as lower-hybrid current drive, except that fast wave current drive is appropriate for higher plasma densities.

PPPL--2238

DE85 015307

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

[†]Current address: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA. 94550

Radio frequency (rf) current drive has become a familiar feature of toroidal plasma experiments,¹ beginning in 1966 with the observation of a steady current excited by the ion-cyclotron wave in the C-Stellarator² and more recently with the demonstration of lower-hybrid current drive (LHCD) in 1980 in the Princeton Advanced Concept Torus (ACT-I) toroidal device.³ Recently, large currents made by electron Landau damping of the lower-hybrid wave have been sustained, and even started up, in tokamaks.⁴⁻⁷ For tokamak reactor operation, LHCD shows promise, but there remain problems such as a density limit⁸ and scattering by density fluctuations^{9,10} that might be overcome by using other rf waves. The fast Alfvén wave can interact with the superthermal tail of the electron distribution function to maintain a current in much the same way as LHCD.

Since the fast wave propagates at higher densities and has a longer wavelength perpendicular to the magnetic field, it may serve better than the lower-hybrid wave in a tokamak reactor. The lower-hybrid wave is ultimately limited to plasmas less dense than the lower-hybrid resonance density (see Fig. 1). Its short wavelength makes wave penetration more sensitive to the qualities of the plasma edge. This Letter reports for the first time an observation of toroidal fast wave current drive (FWCD).

The experiment was run on the ACT-I device, a torus with the following parameters: major radius 59 cm, minor radius $a = 7.6 - 8.6$ cm, toroidal field $B_0 = 4.5$ kG, electron temperature < 17 eV, and density $\bar{n}_e = 3 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. This machine's magnetic field has no poloidal component, and there is no toroidal electric field. Lines of force have a slight vertical pitch. As they circle toroidally around the device, they make spirals that form vertical cylindrical surfaces. The lines are not closed; therefore, collisionless electron orbits are not confined indefinitely.

An intense 40-A, 400-eV unidirectional pulsed electron beam, injected into the plasma along the field, makes a fast electron tail and ionizes the plasma as well. A lanthanum-hexaboride cathode inserted into the bottom of the torus produces the beam, with the plasma serving as the anode. Numerical simulations by Okuda *et al.*,¹¹ indicate that the beam's contribution to the velocity distribution function takes a smooth negative slope, $f'_0(v_{\parallel}) < 0$, and that this distribution extends to velocities much higher than the velocity of the electrons injected by the cathode. In the central portion of the plasma,

the beam contains one percent or more of the electron density. Such a prominent feature in $f_0(v_1)$ is expected to be an ideal target for unidirectional damping of an rf wave and for the production of a unidirectional rf-driven current. In fact, a single element waveguide launcher was previously used for successful LHCD using this discharge.¹²

For the present FWCD experiment, a single 140°-turn loop antenna excited the fast wave. This antenna is of the same type as those commonly used for fast wave ion cyclotron heating in tokamaks. It is covered with Faraday shields to suppress electrostatic interaction with the plasma. A number of rf diagnostics (magnetic loop probes, Langmuir probes, and far-infrared laser scattering¹³) were used to detect the rf power in the plasma, thereby identifying the fast wave and confirming that this mode was present everywhere in the torus. The dispersion relation indicates that propagation requires a sufficiently high wave frequency ω , mass density $n_i m_i$, B^{-1} , and a . We therefore used a He^+ plasma, for its ion mass, making it possible to fit an eigenmode into the small ACT-I cavity. The frequency was $\omega = 0(10 \Omega_{ci})$.

Fast wave current drive was observed by monitoring the signal from a Rogowski loop while the rf power was pulsed on. The circulating current increased by 40 A when 1 kW of rf power coupled into the plasma, as shown in Fig. 2. The following issues are examined to ascertain that the current increase is caused by true FWCD.

The density declines during the application of rf power, but that does not by itself promote a change in the total circulating current. As the density drops, the injected beam becomes less collisional; therefore, it circulates more times around the torus before its momentum expires in collisions. Meanwhile, the current leaving the cathode decreases, as required by the sheath condition. Taking these two effects into consideration, the total circulating current of the beam is proportional to the injected current divided by the collisional drag, and that ratio remains constant as the density changes.

Only rf-induced velocity space diffusion, i.e., true rf current drive, can shift the beam's distribution function to have more density at higher velocities and thereby augment the circulating current. Heating of the electrons lowers the plasma resistivity and would raise the ohmically driven current if there were any, but we operated the plasma without a toroidal

electric field to avoid this complication. Without a change in the shape of the electron distribution function, a change in the beam cannot account for the observed increase in circulating current.

Modification of the plasma edge by the rf does not make the current increase. By sustaining a steady current for a long period of time, we checked that the current increase is not produced by a momentary imbalance in particle fluxes when the rf is turned on. As another test, we pushed the outboard side limiter in from its usual radius of 7.6 cm, which matches the depth of the antenna, to a radius of 4.5 cm; this caused little change in either the current increase or in the antenna loading.

The fast wave cutoff coincides with a threshold of the driven current. The threshold is seen when the plasma density is gradually lowered while holding all other parameters steady; the extra current during rf becomes smaller and vanishes. The wave cutoff is determined from the magnitude of signals from magnetic loop probes located far from the antenna. As the density is lowered, first there are a few broad peaks in the amplitude, suggesting the presence of various eigenmodes, and then the amplitude disappears. The densities at which the driven current and the probe signals begin to vanish are plotted in Fig. 3 as a function of wave frequency. From the fast wave's dispersion relation, it is expected that the relationship of the inverse frequency of the wave cutoff with density is linear. That dependence is seen in the wave data, and the driven current data coincides with it. From all of these tests, we conclude that FWCD has been observed.

The current increase diminishes with neutral density in the partially (≈ 50 percent) ionized ACT-I plasma. Figure 4 demonstrates this with the results of a neutral pressure scan. The electron density $\bar{n}_e$ was allowed to increase with the neutral pressure, while all other parameters were held constant. Provided that the electron density is high enough to allow eigenmodes with the appropriate k_z into the plasma, current drive is expected to be less at higher neutral densities and higher bulk electron densities, since fast electrons are collisional with the bulk electrons and neutrals.

An anisotropy is required to attain unidirectional current generation and not merely heating. In a tokamak, the favored way is the use of a phased array of antenna structures that excites waves with a single direction of parallel phase velocity. All reported demonstrations of high-power LRCD in

tokamaks have used this method, as will future FWCD experiments. The original stellarator rf current drive² occurred because of a structural anisotropy in the device; it had a magnetic beach (to heat ions) in one direction. Waves were launched equally into the opposite direction, where only electrons could damp the wave. In the present experiment, the unidirectional electron beam promotes the damping and velocity space diffusion required for rf current generation; the anisotropy in the target distribution function is responsible for the unidirectional current.

Comparing our experiment to LHCD using the same ACT-I device,¹² the efficiencies of FWCD and LHCD are comparable. We believe that a detailed comparison of FWCD and LHCD efficiencies will be of interest in future tokamak experiments. Our experiment demonstrates that FWCD is physically possible, but the efficiency cannot be extrapolated directly to a tokamak.

Performing FWCD and LHCD in the same tokamak promises to be an exciting future experiment. An outstanding problem of explaining the success of LHCD is the question of how waves of high parallel phase velocity can excite a large current when there are virtually no electrons in the velocity gap between the antenna's spectrum of $\omega/k_{\parallel}$ and the thermal bulk. Having current drive data for two different waves, one might separate the effects of wave propagation and electron kinetics. The fast wave also offers a chance to exceed the LHCD density limit and to operate a tokamak without needless attention to the exact qualities of the edge plasma. This Letter reports a step in this direction in the development of steady-state current drive for tokamaks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors delightfully acknowledge discussions with N. Fisch, R. Motley, F. Skiff, and J. Stevens, and the use of codes written by J. Stevens and H.R. Thompson. We thank W. Kineyko and J. Taylor for invaluable technical assistance. This work was supported by U.S. Department of Energy Contract # DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073.

REFERENCES

- ¹M. Porkolab, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. PS-12, 103 (1984).
- ²S. Yoshikawa and H. Yamamoto, Phys. Fluids 9, 1814 (1966).
- ³K.L. Wong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 117 (1980).
- ⁴S. Bernabei, C. Daughney, P. Efthimion, W. Hooke, J. Hosea, F. Jobes, A. Martin, E. Mazzucato, E. Meservey, R. Motley, J. Stevens, S. von Goeler, and R. Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1255 (1982).
- ⁵S. Kubo, M. Nakamura, T. Cho, S. Nakao, T. Shinozuma, A. Ando, K. Ogura, T. Maekawa, Y. Terumichi, and S. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1994 (1983).
- ⁶F. Jobes, J. Stevens, R. Bell, S. Bernabei, A. Cavallo, T.K. Chu, S. Cohen, B. Denne, P. Efthimion, E. Hinnov, W. Hooke, J. Hosea, E. Mazzucato, R. McWilliams, R. Motley, S. Suckewer, G. Taylor, J. Timberlake, S. von Goeler, and R. Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1055 (1984).
- ⁷M. Porkolab, J.J. Schuss, B. Lloyd, Y. Takase, S. Texter, P. Bonoli, C. Fiore, R. Parker, and P. Pribyl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 450 (1984).
- ⁸J.G. Wegrowe and F. Engelmann, Comments Plasma Phys. and Controlled Fusion 8, 211 (1984).
- ⁹E. Ott, Phys. Fluids 22, 1732 (1979).
- ¹⁰P.L. Andrews and F.W. Perkins, Phys. Fluids 26, 2537 (1983).
- ¹¹H. Okuda, R. Horton, M. Ono, and K.L. Wong, submitted to Phys. Fluids.
- ¹²R. Horton, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 1985.
- ¹³J. Goree, D.K. Mansfield, M. Ono, and K.L. Wong, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A, May/June 1985 (in print).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1. Dispersion relation of the fast and slow waves. The unbounded cold plasma dispersion relation solutions are shown for $B_0 = 4.47$ kG, $f = 18$ MHz, and $m_i = 4$ AMU. This plot is made of two log-log plots joined together; one for positive, i.e., propagating values of $k_{\perp}^2$, and one for negative values. The upper horizontal dashed line gives an estimate of the density required to fit a half-wavelength across the diameter of the ACT-I vessel. (The density actually required is less because rounded density profiles allow certain eigenmodes to propagate with less density.) The lower-hybrid resonance density is indicated by arrows.
- Fig. 2. Circulating current I_{ROG} , and density $\bar{n}_e$, during the main discharge. The 18-MHz rf power is on for a duration of 5 msec in alternate discharges. The discharge without rf is indicated by the dashed curve. The injected electron beam produces the current that circulates normally, and FWCD augments that current when rf power is applied. The discharges are highly repeatable.
- Fig. 3. Fast wave cutoff and FWCD threshold densities. Their coincidence, and their identical scaling with frequency, show an interrelation of fast wave propagation and the current increase illustrated in Fig. 2. A point between the two lowest identifiable peaks in a magnetic probe's amplitude is used for the wave cutoff, and the half-maximum level of the current increase is used for the FWCD threshold. The difference in slopes are not physically meaningful. Adjusting slightly the criteria for the cutoff or threshold could give the lines identical slopes. The neutral pressure was held constant for this measurement.
- Fig. 4. Current drive scaling with neutral pressure. The increase in circulating current diminishes as the neutral pressure (and consequently the neutral density) increases, as shown by the open circles. The electron density follows the neutral density, as indicated by the top scale and the solid circles. The parameters

were: $f = 18$ MHz, $B_0 = 4.6$ kG, and a larger vertical pitch was applied to the field lines than for Fig. 2.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

85X0857

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-20

Plasma Res Lab, Austro Nat'l Univ, AUSTRALIA
 Dr. Frank J. Paoloni, Univ of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ., AUSTRALIA
 Prof. M.H. Brennan, Univ Sydney, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. F. Cap, Inst Theo Phys, AUSTRIA
 Prof. Frank Verheest, Inst theoretische, BELGIUM
 Dr. D. Palumbo, Og XII Fusion Prog, BELGIUM
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab de Phys Plasmas, BELGIUM
 Dr. P.H. Sakaraka, Univ Estadual, BRAZIL
 Dr. C.R. James, Univ of Alberta, CANADA
 Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ of Montreal, CANADA
 Dr. M.M. Skarvgaard, Univ of Saskatchewan, CANADA
 Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, University of Calgary, CANADA
 Prof. Turlor W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA
 Dr. Hannes Barnard, Univ British Columbia, CANADA
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MEB Technologies, Inc., CANADA
 Chalk River, Nucl Lab, CANADA
 Zhengou Li, SW Inst Physics, CHINA
 Library, Tsing Hua University, CHINA
 Librarian, Institute of Physics, CHINA
 Inst Plasma Phys, Academia Sinica, CHINA
 Dr. Peter Lukac, Komenského Univ, CZECHOSLOVAKIA
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND
 Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice, FRANCE
 J. Radet, CEN-BP6, FRANCE
 AM Dupas Library, AM Dupas Library, FRANCE
 Dr. Tom Mual, Academy Bibliographic, HONG KONG
 Preprint Library, Cent Res Inst Phys, HUNGARY
 Dr. S.K. Trehan, Panjab University, INDIA
 Dr. Indra Mohan Lal Das, Banaras Hindu Univ, INDIA
 Dr. L.K. Chavda, South Gujarat Univ, INDIA
 Dr. R.K. Chhajlani, Vikram Univ, INDIA
 Dr. B. Dasgupta, Saha Inst, INDIA
 Dr. P. Kaw, Physical Research Lab, INDIA
 Dr. Phillip Rosenau, Israel Inst Tech, ISRAEL
 Prof. S. Cuperman, Tel Aviv University, ISRAEL
 Prof. G. Rostagni, Univ Di Padova, ITALY
 Librarian, Int'l Ctr Theo Phys, ITALY
 Miss Clelia De Palo, Assoc EURATOM-ENEA, ITALY
 Biblioteca, del CNR EURATOM, ITALY
 Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Dev, JAPAN
 Direc. Dept. Ig. Tokamak Dev. JAERI, JAPAN
 Prof. Nobuyuki Inoue, University of Tokyo, JAPAN
 Research Info Center, Nagoya University, JAPAN
 Prof. Kyoji Nishikawa, Univ of Hiroshima, JAPAN
 Prof. Sigeru Mori, JAERI, JAPAN
 Library, Kyoto University, JAPAN
 Prof. Ichiro Kawakami, Nihon Univ, JAPAN
 Prof. Satoshi Itoh, Kyushu University, JAPAN
 Dr. D.I. Choi, Adv. Inst Sci & Tech, KOREA
 Tech Info Division, KAERI, KOREA
 Bibliotheek, Font-Inst Voor Plasma, NETHERLANDS
 Prof. B.S. Lilley, University of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND
 Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Inst Superior Tecn, PORTUGAL
 Dr. Octavian Petrus, ALI OJZA University, ROMANIA
 Prof. M.A. Hellberg, University of Natal, SO AFRICA
 Dr. Johan de Villiers, Plasma Physics, Nuoor, SO AFRICA
 Fusion Div. Library, JEN, SPAIN
 Prof. Hans Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ Tech, SWEDEN
 Dr. Lennart Stenflo, University of UMEA, SWEDEN
 Library, Royal Inst Tech, SWEDEN
 Centre de Recherches, Ecole Polytech Fed, SWITZERLAND
 Dr. V.T. Toldk, Kharkov Phys Tech Ins, USSR
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Acad Sci, USSR
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, Kurchatov Institute, USSR
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Inst Electro-Physical, USSR
 Institute Gen. Physics, USSR
 Prof. T.J.M. Boyd, Univ College N Wales, WALES
 Dr. K. Schindler, Ruhr Universität, W. GERMANY
 Nuclear Res Estab, Jülich Ltd, W. GERMANY
 Librarian, Max-Planck Institut, W. GERMANY
 Bibliothek, Inst Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY
 Prof. R.K. Janev, Inst Phys, YUGOSLAVIA