CONF-820614--7

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U. S. Government under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U. S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U. S. Government purposes.

PLASTIC DEFORMATION OF A POLYGON

CONF-820614--7

by

DE84 003676

Carl K. Youngdahl
Components Technology Division
Argonne National Laboratory

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MASTER

HP

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

ABSTRACT

A closed-form solution is derived for the large plastic deformation of a polygonal frame loaded by a uniform internal pressure. The material of the frame is assumed to be rigid, perfectly-plastic, and the effects of large geometric changes are included. A comparison is made between theoretical results and available experimental data for a pressurized hexagonal tube.

INTRODUCTION

Long, thin-walled, polygonal tubes have numerous uses in nuclear reactors and their associated components, such as for fuel subassembly cans, control rod shrouds, instrumentation shrouds, and in-core experiment containments. In addition, polygonal tubes have a potential application as energy absorbing devices for alleviating severe pressure surges in reactor piping systems.

The purpose of this paper is to derive a closed-form solution for the large plastic distortion of a thin-walled polygonal tube subjected to quasi-static internal pressurization, i.e., the loading is slow enough that inertia effects can be neglected. The material of the tube is assumed to be rigid, perfectly plastic. The effect of large geometry changes on redistribution of the loading is included in the analysis. The closed-form solution is useful for providing design estimates for the performance of polygonal tubes subjected to severe loadings and for providing a building block in the development of fluid-structure interaction analyses. It may also be

applicable to the validation of finite element computer programs which have a large displacement, plastic deformation capability.

A comparison of calculated results with experimental data for a pressurized reactor fuel subassembly can is presented, following the derivation of the theoretical solution. Since the subassembly can is made of a material which strain-hardens considerably, the comparison provides a means of evaluating the applicability of the perfect-plasticity assumption.

Finally, an approximate solution, corresponding to a simpler displacement field, is given in the Appendix. The approximate solution gives a good prediction of the deformed shape of the polygon, but violates the yield condition at some points.

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

Consider a long, thin-walled tube which has a cross section that is a J-sided, regular polygon and which is loaded by a uniform internal pressure P. The effect of axial variation of the deflection is neglected compared with the large distortion of the cross section, so the problem is equivalent to finding the plastic deformation of a J-sided polygonal frame, shown in Fig. 1 for the case J=4. The wall thickness is H, the length of a side of the polygon is L, and the central angle subtended by a side is 2β . Thus,

$$\beta = \pi/J \quad (1)$$

The polygonal frame is assumed to be made of a rigid, perfectly plastic material having yield stress σ_y and satisfying the yield condition.

$$f(M,N) \equiv \frac{|M|}{M_o} + \frac{N^2}{N_o^2} - 1 \leq 0 \quad (2)$$

Here N and M are, respectively, the resultant membrane force per unit axial length and bending moment per unit axial length of the original tube, and N_o and M_o are defined by

$$N_o = \sigma_y H, \quad M_o = \frac{\sigma_y H^2}{4} \quad (3)$$

The equality in (2) holds at points where plastic hinges form in the deformed polygon, and the inequality holds at points that remain rigid. The plastic flow rule states that, during plastic flow, the generalized strain rate vector

has the direction of the exterior normal to the yield surface at the considered generalized stress point [1]. Let Δ and θ be the stretch and angle change at a plastic hinge; then

$$\begin{aligned} d\Delta/d\theta &= \frac{\partial f / \partial N}{\partial f / \partial M} \\ &= \pm \frac{NH}{2N_o}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the sign depends on the sign of M .

The polygon remains undeformed for pressures below the yield pressure P_y . At $P = P_y$, plastic hinges develop at the corners and midpoints of the sides. As the pressure is increased above P_y , the corner hinges remain fixed, but each center hinge splits, resulting in two hinges that move outward. Figure 2 shows a typical half-side, with the corner hinge at A and the other hinge having moved from its initial position at B to its final position at $s = \Lambda$, where s is the curvilinear coordinate measured along the side. The half-side is divided into a rotating rigid straight portion and a curved portion that is developed by the moving hinge as the pressure is increased. The hinge conditions are thus

$$f = 0 \text{ at } s = L/2$$

$$f = 0, \quad \frac{df}{ds} = 0 \text{ at } s = \Lambda$$

$$f < 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq s < \Lambda \text{ and } \Lambda < s < L/2 \quad (5)$$

Figure 3 is a free-body diagram of an undeformed and deformed half-side. The coordinates ξ , η are measured from the center of the side and define the location of the hinge at Λ . The symmetry of the polygon requires that the deflection of the corner A and midside B are radial and that the resultant forces Q_A and Q_B are perpendicular to OA and OB, respectively. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} N_A &= Q_A \cos (\beta - \theta), \\ N_B &= Q_B, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where θ is the angle of rotation of the straight portion.

The equilibrium equations for the half-side are

$$\begin{aligned} P\xi + P\left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda\right) \cos \theta - Q_A \sin \beta &= 0, \\ -Q_B + P\eta + P\left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda\right) \sin \theta + Q_A \cos \beta &= 0, \\ M_B - M_A + Q_B \eta - Q_A \left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda\right) \sin (\beta - \theta) \\ &+ \frac{P}{2} \left[\left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda\right)^2 - \xi^2 - \eta^2 \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In the region $\Lambda \leq s \leq L/2$, we have, using equation (6) and (7).

$$\begin{aligned} N(s) &= P \frac{\cos(\beta - \theta)}{\sin \beta} \left[\xi + \left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda\right) \cos \theta \right], \\ M(s) &= P \frac{\sin(\beta - \theta)}{\sin \beta} \left[\xi + \left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda\right) \cos \theta \right] \left(\frac{L}{2} - s\right) \\ &- \frac{P}{2} \left(\frac{L}{2} - s\right)^2 + M_A \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The stretch of the half sides at the hinges has been neglected in deriving the equilibrium equations in order to permit a closed-form solution to be obtained. This assumption is reasonable since the results show that the increase in length of a side is small compared to the length. However, the plastic stretch is readily incorporated into the geometric compatibility equation for the deformed shape. Since $N_A = N_B$, the stretches at both hinges are equal and the total stretch of a half-side is found from equation (4) to be

$$\Delta = \frac{H}{N_0} \int_0^\theta N_A d\theta, \quad (9)$$

where N_A varies as the pressure is increased from P_y to its final value P . If we let δ_A and δ_B denote the outward radial displacement of the corner and midside, geometric compatibility then requires that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_A \sin\beta &= \left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda + \Delta\right) \cos\theta + \xi - \frac{L}{2}, \\ \delta_B &= \delta_A \cos\beta + \left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda + \Delta\right) \sin\theta + \eta. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Figure 4 shows the curved portion of the side generated as the pressure is increased from P_y to P . At an intermediate pressure the hinge is at point s with coordinates x, y . The slope of the curved side at s is $\tan\phi$. Differential geometry then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{d\phi} &= \frac{ds}{d\phi} \cos\phi, \\ \frac{dy}{d\phi} &= \frac{ds}{d\phi} \sin\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Since bending occurs only at the hinges, the previously curved part of the side does not change shape as the hinge moves towards its final position Λ . Consequently, determining s and ϕ as functions of intermediate pressure generates the shape of the curved part of the side.

SOLUTION

The hinge condition $df/ds = 0$ at $s = \Lambda$ implies, using equations (2) and (8), that

$$\xi = \left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda\right) \sin\theta \cot(\beta - \theta) . \quad (12)$$

From the first of equations (8), we have that

$$N_A = N_\Lambda = P \left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda\right) \cot(\beta - \theta) . \quad (13)$$

Since $f = 0$ at both $s = 0$ and $s = \Lambda$ and since $N_A = N_\Lambda$, then $|M_A| = |M_\Lambda|$ and the second of equations (8) gives

$$M_\Lambda = -M_A = \frac{P}{4} \left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda\right)^2 . \quad (14)$$

The yield condition at a hinge therefore implies

$$\frac{P}{\sigma_y} \left[\frac{P}{\sigma_y} \cot^2(\beta - \theta) + 1 \right] \left(\frac{L}{2} - \Lambda\right)^2 - H^2 = 0 . \quad (15)$$

It is more convenient to consider θ to be the independent variable rather than P . Consequently, equation (15) is solved for P to give

$$\frac{P}{\sigma_y} = \frac{8 \left(\frac{H}{L - 2\Lambda}\right)^2}{1 + \left\{ 1 + 16 \left[\frac{H \cot(\beta - \theta)}{L - 2\Lambda} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2}} . \quad (16)$$

At the yield pressure P_y , both θ and Λ are zero. Therefore, P_y for a J-sided polygon is

$$\frac{P_y(J)}{\sigma_y} = \frac{8H^2}{L^2 + L(L^2 + 16 H^2 \cot^2 \beta)^{1/2}} \quad (17)$$

Consider an equivalent circular ring which has the same wall thickness and perimeter as the polygonal frame; let its radius be R_o and its yield pressure be P_{yo} . Using (1),

$$R_o = \frac{JL}{2\pi} = \frac{L}{2\beta} \quad (18)$$

and

$$P_{yo} = \frac{H\sigma_y}{R_o} \quad (19)$$

From equations (17) and (19),

$$P_{yo} = \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} P_y(J) \quad (20)$$

The hinge location Λ remains to be determined as a function of θ . The relationship (12) between ξ , Λ , and θ holds between x , s , and ϕ (Figure 4) at a lower pressure; i.e.,

$$x = \left(\frac{L}{2} - s\right) \sin \phi \cot(\beta - \phi) \quad (21)$$

Substitution into the first of equations (11) and integration over the whole curve then gives

$$\int_0^{\Lambda} \frac{ds}{\frac{L}{2} - s} = \frac{1}{\sin \beta} \int_0^{\theta} \left[\cos \phi \cos(\beta - \phi) + \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin(\beta - \theta)} \right] d\phi \quad (22)$$

The solution of equation (22) for Λ as a function of θ is

$$\Lambda = \frac{L}{2} \left[1 - \frac{\sin(\beta - \theta)}{\sin \beta} e^{-G(\theta)} \right] , \quad (23)$$

where

$$G(\theta) \equiv \frac{\sin \theta \cos(\beta - \theta) - \theta \cos \beta}{2 \sin \beta} . \quad (24)$$

The substitution of equation (23) into equation (16) gives P as a function of θ ,

$$P(J, \theta) = \frac{8\sigma_y H^2 \sin^2 \beta e^{-2G(\theta)}}{L^2 \sin^2(\beta - \theta) + L \left[L^2 \sin^4(\beta - \theta) + 16H^2 \sin^2 \beta \cos^2(\beta - \theta) e^{2G(\theta)} \right]^{1/2}} . \quad (25)$$

To complete the solution, we use equations (9), (11), (12), and (23) to obtain

$$\xi = \frac{L \sin \theta \cos \beta - \theta}{2 \sin \beta} e^{-G(\theta)} ,$$

$$\eta = \frac{L}{2 \sin^2 \beta} \int_0^{\theta} [\sin(\beta - \phi) \cos(\beta - \phi) \cos \phi + \sin \phi] \sin \phi e^{-G(\phi)} d\phi ,$$

$$\Delta = \frac{L}{2\sigma_y \sin \beta} \int_0^{\theta} P(J, \phi) \cos(\beta - \phi) e^{-G(\phi)} d\phi . \quad (26)$$

The corner and midside displacements can then be calculated from equations (10).

The obtained solution is valid for the range $P_y \leq P \leq P_m$. At $P = P_m$, a new hinge begins to form at the midside point B and the last of conditions (5) is no longer satisfied.

RESULTS

Results are shown in this section for $R_0/H = 20$, which, from equation (19), corresponds to

$$\frac{L}{H} = \frac{40\pi}{J} \quad . \quad (27)$$

In Fig. 5, θ is drawn as a function of P/P_{y0} for various polygonal frames, as computed from equation (25). The circled points correspond to P_m , and the dashed portions of the curves are the approximate solution discussed in the Appendix. Near $P = P_y$, the angular deformation changes rapidly for small increases in P if J is small, but for larger J more of the load is balanced by the membrane force. Since Λ is known as a function of θ , equation (23) can be combined with the above result to give hinge location as a function of pressure, as shown in Fig. 6.

Figure 7 shows the deformed shape of a triangular frame for $P = 1.5 P_y$ and $P = P_m = 14.3 P_y$. The moving hinges are near the corners for the larger load and the deformed shape is nearly circular. The deformation of a square frame is shown in Fig. 8.

The radial locations of the corner and midside of the triangular frame are plotted in Fig. 9 as a function of P/P_y . We see that as P increases both radii approach a value just slightly larger than R_0 because of the small stretch in the side (about 0.7% at P_m).

Figure 10 shows the bending moment and membrane force distribution in the half-side of a triangular frame when $\theta = 30^\circ$; the dot indicates the location of the moving hinge. Although N varies for s between 0 and Λ , the variation is so small as to be unnoticeable. Consistent with equations (5), the magnitude of M is a maximum at Λ and $L/2$ and has zero slope at the hinge. Figure 11 shows the yield condition and f at both the midside and the moving hinge for $P = kP_y$, with $k = 1, 2, \dots, 13, 14.3$; f_A is the mirror image of f_Λ in the N axis. (The triangles belong to the approximate solution discussed later.) We see that the midside is rigid for $P > P_y$ until a new hinge with opposite sign forms there at $P = 14.3 P_y$.

COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

SRI International pressurized reactor subassembly cans made of several compositions of stainless steel [2]. The cans are long, thin-walled tubes with hexagonal cross sections and so satisfy the geometrical assumptions of this paper. However, stainless steel significantly strain hardens, and the assumption here of perfect plasticity therefore is not realistic for the can material. From the range of measured strains in the subassembly cans, a value of $\sigma_y = 60,000$ psi would appear to be a reasonable value of yield stress for an equivalent perfectly plastic material to model the stainless steel used in the experiment discussed here. Figure 12 shows changes in radius with pressure at the corners and midside for both the theoretical solution and one of the experiments. The stainless steel does not have a distinct yield point and so the measured deformation at B changes less abruptly at low pressures than the theory predicts. Strain hardening spreads the plastic hinges into plastic zones, so less angle change at the corners would be expected in the experiment. Because the corners then do not pull in as much, more of the load is equilibrated by the membrane force and more stretching of the sides occurs at high pressures. The agreement at high pressures would likely be better if the tube were made of a material with less strain hardening, such as mild steel.

APPENDIX

The curved part of the deformed polygonal frame is very close to a circular arc with radius R_0 . Assuming this is the exact deflection shape gives a somewhat simpler solution than obtained previously, which may be more convenient for incorporation into complex analyses such as for fluid-structure interaction. However, the approximate solution violates the yield condition on part of the side of the polygon.

Denoting the approximate solution by asterisked variables, we will put

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^* &= R_0 \theta = \frac{L\theta}{2\beta}, \\ \xi^* &= \frac{L}{2\beta} \sin\theta, \\ \eta^* &= \frac{L}{2\beta} (1 - \cos\theta).\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

Substitution into equations (5-8) then gives

$$P^*(J, \theta) = \frac{8\sigma_Y H^2 \beta^2 \sin\beta}{L^2 F_1 + L [L^2 F_1^2 + 16 H^2 \beta^2 F_2]^1/2},\tag{29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}F_1 &\equiv 2(\beta - \theta) \sin\theta \sin(\beta - \theta) + (\beta - \theta)^2 \sin(\beta - 2\theta), \\ F_2 &\equiv \cos^2(\beta - \theta) [\sin\theta + (\beta - \theta) \cos\theta]^2.\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

The plot of equation (29) is indistinguishable from that of equation (25) in Fig. 5 and can be extended on to $\theta = \beta$ when the moving hinge approaches the corner.

Figure 13 shows a comparison between the approximate and exact deflection shapes for the half-side of the triangular frame with $P = P^* = 14.3 P_y$. It is apparent that the exact shape is very close to a circular arc. However, as evidenced in Figures 10 and 11, the approximate solution, denoted by the dashed lines, violates the yield condition in the deformed segment.

REFERENCES

1. W. Prager, An Introduction To Plasticity, Addison-Wesley (1959).
2. D. J. Cagliostro and C. M. Romander, Experiments on the Response of Hexagonal Subassembly Ducts to Radial Loads, SRI Project PYD-1960, Third Interim Report, December 1975.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. Polygonal frame, $J = 4$
2. Half-side of deformed frame
3. Free-body diagram
4. Nomenclature for curved portion of half-side
5. Angle θ as a function of pressure for J -sided polygons
6. Hinge location Λ as a function of pressure for J -sided polygons
7. Deformed triangular frame for $P = 1.5 P_y$ and $P = P_m = 14.3 P_y$
8. Deformed square frame for $P = 1.5 P_y$ and $P = P_m = 10.8 P_y$
9. Corner and midside locations as functions of pressure for triangular frame
10. Bending moment and membrane force distribution in triangular frame for $\theta = 30^\circ$.
11. Yield function $f(M, N)$ at hinge and corner for triangular frame
12. Comparison of theoretical and experimental deformation of hexagonal tube.
13. Deformed half-side of triangular frame for approximate and exact solutions

$$J = 4$$

$$R_0/H = 20$$