PPPL-1939

UC20-A,F

I-10363

PPPL-1939

Dr. 1607-1

201/
7-27-83 95

CURRENT-DRIVE EXPERIMENTS ON THE PLT TOKAMAK

By

F. Jobes, S. Bernabei, P. Efthimion, W. Hooke, J. Hosea,
E. Mazzucato, E. Meservey, R. Motley, J. Stevens,
and S. von Goeler

JUNE 1983

PLASMA
PHYSICS
LABORATORY

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

MASTER

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,

UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76-PO-0023

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

CURRENT-DRIVE EXPERIMENTS ON THE PLT TOKAMAK

F. Jobes, S. Bernabei, P. Efthimion, W. Hooke, J. Hosea,

PPPL--1939

E. Mazzucato, E. Meservey, R. Motley, J. Stevens,

DE83 014216

and S. von Goeler

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University

Princeton, New Jersey 08544

ABSTRACT

Lower hybrid current-drive experiments have been carried out on the PLT Tokamak. Steady currents up to 175 kA have been maintained for three seconds and 400 kA for 0.3 sec by the rf power alone. The principal current carrier appears to be a high-energy (~ 100 -keV) electron tail, concentrated in the central 20-40 cm diameter core of the 20-cm PLT discharge. Effective current drive is observed only for $\bar{n}_e < 8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. This limitation may be a wave-propagation phenomenon and not a fundamental plasma-physics effect.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

I. INTRODUCTION

Lower hybrid experiments have been carried out on the PLT Tokamak (major radius 1.3 m and minor radius 0.4 m),¹ and up to 500 kW of power at 800 MHz has been delivered to the plasma by means of a radiating antenna consisting of a six-element stainless steel waveguide grill.² Each waveguide, measuring 22 cm x 3.5 cm, is driven by a klystron with independent adjustment for power and phase, as shown schematically in Fig. 1. The phase control allows us to vary the $n_{||}$ -spectrum up to a maximum of $n_{||} = 4.5$ and to launch the waves in one preferred direction, i.e., co or counter to the plasma current. Coupling into the plasma load is excellent, with reflection typically 10-20% and is in very good agreement with linear theory.³ Steady currents up to 175 kA have been maintained for three seconds and 400 kA for 0.3 seconds by the rf power alone. The principal current carrier appears to be a high energy (~ 100 keV) electron tail concentrated in the central 20-40 cm diameter core of the 80 cm PLT plasma. Effective current drive is observed only for $\bar{n}_e \lesssim 8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

In this experiment, the discharge is initiated in the normal fashion using the ohmic heating transformer, but the external inductive power is turned off (by holding the primary current constant) starting about 200 msec after breakdown. Occasionally the primary current is turned completely off instead of being held constant. The population of superthermal electrons in the target plasma is kept as low as possible by imposing a small initial loop voltage and by bringing the density up to about $8\text{-}10 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ before it is reduced to the level used for the current drive -- typically $2 \text{ to } 8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. This early density rise serves to decrease the number of run-away electrons when the plasma is initiated.

The plasma current is initially 300-400 kA and typically decays about 100 kA before the rf is applied. This initial decay is approximately exponential; however, after the rf is applied, the rate of change of the current is approximately constant. With sufficient power, the current can be made constant or even increased. The rf pulse is sufficiently long to allow the current radial distribution to reach an equilibrium so that the effects of internal inductance variations are negligible. However, it often takes 300 ms or longer to reach the final steady state. The pulse durations in these experiments are limited by heating in the rf equipment and not by any plasma related phenomena.

Figure 2 is an example of this procedure. In this case the rf was left on for longer than the computerized data taking, and so the end of the discharge is not recorded. The OH primary current is held constant at ~ 2.2 kA after 300 msec, and the rf, which comes on at 200 msec is sufficient to maintain the current with a very slight increase. The internal inductance settles very quickly after the rf comes on and then increases slightly.

Long pulse operation is shown in Fig. 3. Current and density are displayed for three different shots, in which the rf was on for 0, 2 and 3.5 seconds, starting at 0.4 sec. The effect of the rf was to delay completely the decay of the current until the end of the rf. In the 3.5 sec case, the equilibrium field was turned off immediately after the end of the rf, thus abruptly terminating the discharge. It appears from Fig. 3 that, rf circuitry permitting, the tokamak pulse could be extended indefinitely.

Plasma currents of up to 400 kA have been driven for 300 msec, and as shown in Fig. 4, the plasma current can also be made to increase.

III. POWER FLOW MEASUREMENTS

The power flow equation for the tokamak current can be written as:

$$\eta P_{RF} + P_{ext} = I^2 R + \frac{d}{dt} (I^2 L/2) \quad (1)$$

Here ηP_{RF} is the rf power driving the electrons and, in equilibrium, is the collisional power dissipated by these electrons, P_{ext} is the power dissipated by these electrons, P_{ext} is the power flowing into the plasma from the tokamak equilibrium field coils, $I^2 R$ is the collisional dissipation of the rf driven current and $I^2 L/2$ is the total inductive energy of the plasma current.

All of the quantities in Eq. 1 can be measured experimentally with the exception of η , the efficiency, and R . In practice, we can make our measurements during the time the rf is on and after the rf is turned off. Under the assumption that R immediately before and after the rf turn-off is constant, we have, in effect, two equations in two unknowns.

In his 1978 paper Fisch⁴ gives formulae giving the ratio of dissipation power to plasma current expressed in terms of the plasma and wave parameters. In terms of the parameters here, this ratio, in equilibrium is

$$\frac{\eta P_{RF}}{I} = IR \equiv V_d \quad (2)$$

where V_d has the dimensions of volts and corresponds physically to the energy lost through collisions by the fast electrons in one turn around the torus.

In order to compare the experimental observations with the theory, we identify the quantity V_d above with the ratio P/I which is computed by Fisch. In Fig. 5, for example, we plot V_d vs. density. The line is a rough fit to the data. The slope of the line is about 2 to 3 times greater than the

theoretical value from Karney and Fisch⁵ for $Z_{\text{eff}} = 5$. The Z_{eff} in these low density discharges has not been measured directly, but indirect estimates indicate that Z_{eff} is in this range. The theoretical value itself could vary by a factor of two or even more, however, depending upon the assumptions made about the rf spectrum in the core of the plasma and the containment time of the tail electrons. Relativistic effects (which are ignored here) would also increase the slope.

The theory does not explain the sharp density limit at $\sim 8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, nor does it explain the mechanism by which the superthermals are generated in a relatively cold ($\sim 800 \text{ eV}$) plasma. However, the rough agreement with the above very simple plasma model indicates that the steady-state current drive we see is very closely related to the theory involving collisions between lower hybrid waves and plasma electrons.

Figure 6 is a plot of the efficiency, η , as a function of density corresponding to the data of Fig. 5. It should be noted that at densities at which V_d falls below predicted values, the efficiencies are quite low.

IV. SUPERTHERMAL ELECTRONS

Besides the gross behavior of the plasma there is other ample evidence that there is a large population of superthermal electrons. This evidence is found in both unusual synchrotron radiation signals and in numerous X-ray studies. The synchrotron radiation is shown in Fig. 7. The amplitude of the signals emitted at various frequencies takes a sudden jump when the rf is turned on. The frequencies are here expressed in terms of the radius at which that frequency equals the electron cyclotron frequency (for low energy thermal electrons) and the amplitudes are calibrated in terms of blackbody electron temperatures. (Since the plasma is not a blackbody at these densities, these

signals do not represent true electron temperatures.) The signal at 191 cm is of special interest, because that (major) radius lies outside the vacuum vessel. That signal must have been doppler-shifted, and, indeed, if the signal originated in the center of the plasma column, then the electrons must have been streaming at energies of between 50 and 200 keV. The bottom trace in Fig. 7 is emission at the second harmonic of the electron cyclotron frequency. This radiation is not sensitive to parallel streaming; its slow growth would indicate a gradual build-up of electrons with high perpendicular energies.

The X-ray evidence for superthermal electrons can be seen in Figs. 8, 9 and 10. Figure 8a shows an X-ray spectrum before the rf is turned on. It shows an exponential fall-off with energy which matches the approximately 1 keV Thomson-scattering temperature measurements which are insensitive to a high energy tail. (The plasma conditions are shown in Fig. 2.) After the rf is turned on, on the other hand, there is indeed a tail, as shown in Fig. 8b. This tail lasts for the duration of the rf and for a few hundred msec afterward. The spectra taken at different times during rf are virtually identical. The tail is also shown in Fig. 9, on a much larger energy scale. Figure 9 is a relatively high density case - $6 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The current was held constant in this case, so that there was no E-field to drive the electrons up to high energies. The tail spectra of lower density cases are about the same, both in amplitude and in tail "temperature," about 50 keV. Also shown is the X-ray signal from a similar plasma shot in which there was no rf current drive. No rf shots differ fundamentally from the current drive shots in that there is a finite dI/dt which provides an E-field which could drive run-away electrons to very high energies. In these non-rf cases at the higher densities there were essentially no X rays in the tail region, but at lower densities there were many.

Further evidence concerning the superthermal electrons comes from the spatial distribution of the X-ray emission, shown in Fig. 10. This graph shows the radial variation of 14 keV X-ray intensity after Abel inversion for three different plasma conditions; $q(a)$ decreases from case A to case C. The X-ray profiles can be seen to broaden in a fashion similar to what one would expect of the current profile.

So far, all the X-ray measurements have been perpendicular to the magnetic field; we have no direct evidence that the electrons are a beam. However, the X-ray intensity is lower than we expect for a nearly isotropic high energy electron distribution with a sufficient number of electrons to carry all the plasma current. On the other hand, the intensity is about right if the electrons are in a beam.

V. HIGH DENSITY LIMIT

At high densities ($n_e > 8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) the current drive seems to disappear. Unlike the lower density cases there is no observable effect of the rf power. In part, this problem is caused by the dissipation factor, V_d , which increases in proportion to density. At higher density more power is needed, and with a fixed power less current can be driven. However, this is not all of the problem. Figure 6 shows that the efficiency η falls off sharply at these higher densities. The efficiencies are shown again in Fig. 11, plotted as a function of the ratio of the lower hybrid frequency to the driving frequency (the lower hybrid frequency is calculated using the line average density). We have an indication here that the high density limit may be a wave propagation problem and not a density problem because the efficiency falls off as the lower hybrid frequency approaches one-half the driving frequency, which is where one would expect parametric decay, ion interactions, and shifts in the n_i spectrum to become important competing effects.

Another indication that the density limit may be caused by wave problems is shown in Fig. 12 which is two wave spectra picked up by an antenna in the PLT control room. These spectra are essentially identical to spectra picked up on Langmuir probes in the plasma periphery. The low density case shows only the 800 MHz rf signal (plus some pickup from commercial TV stations), but the high density ($9 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) case has a very rich spectrum. The power in these sideband frequencies increases dramatically with density. This radiation is very likely scattered by plasma turbulence which could well be the cause of the reduced efficiencies at higher densities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to acknowledge the fundamental contribution of J.Q. Lawson and his staff of engineers and technicians and the technical assistance of W. Mycock and his staff. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073.

REFERENCES

- ¹D. Grove et al., Conf. on Plasma Physics and Nuclear Fusion Research, Vol. I., p. 21 (IAEA, Vienna, 1977).
- ²P. Lallia, Proc. of 2nd Topical Conference on rf Plasma Heating, Lubbock, Texas, (1974) Texas Tec. University, Lubbock, Texas.
- ³M. Brambilla, Nucl. Fusion 16, 47 (1976).
- ⁴N. J. Fisch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 873 (1978).
- ⁵C.F.F. Karney, and N.J. Fisch, Phys. Fluids 22, 1 (1979).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- FIG. 1 A schematic diagram of PLT and the lower hybrid apparatus.
- FIG. 2 The waveforms of important plasma parameters in an rf current-drive discharge. The rf drive extended to 1000 msec.
- FIG. 3 Current and density waveforms for 3 discharges, with rf lasting 0, 2 and 3.5 seconds, starting at 0.4 sec. The density scale is 1×10^{13} per major division. In the 3.5 second case, the discharge was terminated at 3.9 sec, 0.1 sec after the end of the rf pulse. The rf power was 100 kW.
- FIG. 4 Current and voltage waveforms for a discharge in which the current was made to increase. The net rf power was 370 kW and it was on from 300 to 500 msec.
- FIG. 5 The ratio of dissipation power [calculated just after rf shut-off from $d/dt (1/2 LI^2) = I^2 R$] to plasma current as a function of density for a variety of discharges. The ratio is a voltage. The line is a rough fit to the data.
- FIG. 6 The efficiency of the rf drive as a function of density. This efficiency is the ratio of power required to drive the current, as measured after the rf pulse, to the rf power.

FIG. 7 Ordinary mode radiation near the electron cyclotron frequency for an rf driven current. The rf is on from 250 to 750 msec. The amplitudes of the signals are calibrated in terms of blackbody electron temperatures; however, the plasma density was too low in the case for the radiation to be blackbody. The values of major radius corresponds to the location of resonance field in the absence of any Doppler shift.

FIG. 8 Plasma X-ray spectra in the 1 to 25 keV energy range. Before rf (top) and after rf (bottom). The temperature shown in each graph refers to the slope of the line shown through the points.

FIG. 9 Plasma X-ray spectrum from NaI detector. $B_t = 31$ kG, $I_p = 200$ kA, $P_{RF} = 200$ kW, $\bar{n}_e = 6 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

FIG. 10 Radial variation of X-ray intensity at 14 keV from a moveable silicon detector, after Abel inversion, $\bar{n}_e = 5 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. A: $P_{RF} = 200$ kW, $I_p = 200$ kA, $B_t = 31$ kG; B: $P_{RF} = 250$ kW, $I_p = 210$ kA, $B_t = 15.7$; C: $P_{RF} = 320$ kW, $I_p = 290$ kA, $B_t = 15$ kG.

FIG. 11 Efficiencies of rf current drive as a function of the ratio of the lower hybrid frequency, calculated from the line average density, to the driving frequency. The efficiencies are the same as in Fig. 6.

FIG. 12 RF spectra picked up by an antenna near the PLT device for discharges at densities of 9 (top) and 3 (bottom) $\times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^3$. These spectra are similar to those picked up by Langmuir probes in the plasma periphery.

#P2X0165

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

82X0161

Fig. 4

U-LOSS VS DENSITY

Fig. 5

EFF- λ VS DENSITY

Fig. 6

ORDINARY MODE ECE $\omega = \Omega_{ce}$ EXTRAORDINARY MODE ECE $\omega = 2\Omega_{ce}$

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

82X0332

Fig. 9

82X0333

Fig. 10

EFF-% VS OMEGA-LH

Fig. 11

Fig. 12

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO TIC UC-20

Plasma Res Lab, Austre Nat'l Univ, AUSTRALIA
 Dr. Frank J. Paoloni, Univ of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ., AUSTRALIA
 Prof. M.H. Brennan, Univ Sydney, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. F. Cap, Inst Theo Phys, AUSTRIA
 Prof. Frank Verheest, Inst theoretische, BELGIUM
 Dr. D. Polumbo, Dg XII Fusion Prog, BELGIUM
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab de Phys Plasmas, BELGIUM
 Dr. P.M. Sakanaka, Univ Estadual, BRAZIL
 Dr. C.R. James, Univ of Alberta, CANADA
 Prof. J. Telchmann, Univ of Montreal, CANADA
 Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ of Saskatchewan, CANADA
 Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, University of Calgary, CANADA
 Prof. Tudor W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA
 Dr. Hannes Barnard, Univ British Columbia, CANADA
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA
 Zhengwu Li, SW Inst Phys, CHINA
 Library, Tsing Hua University, CHINA
 Librarian, Institute of Physics, CHINA
 Inst Plasma Phys, SW Inst Physics, CHINA
 Dr. Peter Lukac, Komenského Univ, CZECHOSLOVAKIA
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND
 Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice, FRANCE
 J. Radet, CEN-BP6, FRANCE
 AM Dupas Library, AM Dupas Library, FRANCE
 Dr. Tom Muai, Academy Bibliographic, HONG KONG
 Preprint Library, Dent Res Inst Phys, HUNGARY
 Dr. A.K. Sundaram, Physical Research Lab, INDIA
 Dr. S.K. Trehan, Panjab University, INDIA
 Dr. Indra, Mohan Lal Das, Banaras Hindu Univ, INDIA
 Dr. L.K. Chavda, South Gujarat Univ, INDIA
 Dr. G.K. Chhajlani, Var Ruchi Marq, INDIA
 P. Kaw, Physical Research Lab, INDIA
 Dr. Phillip Rosenau, Israel Inst Tech, ISRAEL
 Prof. S. Cupperman, Tel Aviv University, ISRAEL
 Prof. G. Rostagni, Univ DI Padova, ITALY
 Librarian, Int'l Ctr Theo Phys, ITALY
 Miss Ciella De Palo, Assoc EURATOM-CNEN, ITALY
 Biblioteca, del CNR EURATOM, ITALY
 Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Dev, JAPAN
 Prof. M. Yoshikawa, JAERI, Tokai Res Est, JAPAN
 Prof. T. Uchida, University of Tokyo, JAPAN
 Research Info Center, Nagoya University, JAPAN
 Prof. Kyoji Nishikawa, Univ of Hiroshima, JAPAN
 Prof. Sigeru Mori, JAERI, JAPAN
 Library, Kyoto University, JAPAN
 Prof. Ichiro Kawakami, Nihon Univ, JAPAN
 Prof. Satoshi Itoh, Kyushu University, JAPAN
 Tech Info Division, Korea Atomic Energy, KOREA
 Dr. R. Enoland, Ciudad Universitaria, MEXICO
 Bibliotheek, Fon-Inst Voor Plasma, NETHERLANDS
 Prof. B.S. Liley, University of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND
 Dr. Suresh C. Sharma, Univ of Calabar, NIGERIA
 Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Inst Superior Tech, PORTUGAL
 Dr. Octavian Petrus, ALI CUZA University, ROMANIA
 Dr. R. Jones, Nat'l Univ Singapore, SINGAPORE
 Prof. M.A. Heilberg, University of Natal, SO AFRICA
 Dr. Johan de Villiers, Atomic Energy Bd, SO AFRICA
 Dr. J.A. Togle, JEN, SPAIN
 Prof. Hans Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ Tech, SWEDEN
 Dr. Lennart Stenflo, University of UMEA, SWEDEN
 Library, Royal Inst Tech, SWEDEN
 Dr. Erik T. Karlson, Uppsala Universitet, SWEDEN
 Centre de Recherches, Ecole Polytech Fed, SWITZERLAND
 Dr. W.L. Welse, Nat'l Bur Stand, USA
 Dr. W.M. Stacey, Georg Inst Tech, USA
 Dr. S.T. Wu, Univ Alabama, USA
 Prof. Norman L. Olsson, Univ S Florida, USA
 Bonjoni, Univ of Toledo, USA
 Prof. Jagne Kristiansen, Texas Tech Univ, USA
 Dr. Raymond Askew, Auburn Univ, USA
 Dr. V.T. Tolok, Kharkov Phys Tech Ins, USSR
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Acad Sci, USSR
 Dr. M.S. Rabinovich, Lebedev Physical Inst, USSR
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, Kurchatov Institute, USSR
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Inst Electro-Physical, USSR
 Prof. T.J. Boyd, Univ College N Wales, WALES
 Dr. K. Schindler, Ruhr Universitat, W. GERMANY
 Nuclear Res Estab, Jülich Ltd, W. GERMANY
 Librarian, Max-Planck Institut, W. GERMANY
 Dr. H.J. Kaeppler, University Stuttgart, W. GERMANY
 Bibliothek, Inst Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY