LA-UR 92-474

LA-UR--92-474

DE92 008449

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36

TITLE Photocathode Transfer and Storage Techniques Using
Alkali Vapor Feedback Control

AUTHOR(S): R.W. Springer
B.J. Cameron

SUBMITTED TO Conference Proceedings of the 13th International Free-
Electron Laser Conference.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

By acceptance of this article the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution or to allow others to do so for U.S. Government purposes.

The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

DISTRIBUTION

MASTER

PHOTOCATHODE TRANSFER AND STORAGE TECHNIQUES USING ALKALI VAPOR FEEDBACK CONTROL

R.W. Springer and B.J. Cameron

MS E-549, MST-7

Box 1663, Los Alamos National Laboratory

Los Alamos, NM 87545

Photocathodes of quantum efficiency above 1% at the doubled YAG frequency of 532 nm are very sensitive to the local vacuum environment. These cathodes must have a band gap of less than 2.3 eV, and a workfunction that is also on the order of ~2 volts or less. As such, these surfaces are very reactive as they provide many surface states for the residual gases that have positive electron affinities such as oxygen and omnipotent water. Attendant to this problem is that the optimal operating point for some of these cesium based cathodes is unstable. Three of the cesium series were tried, the Cs-Ag-Bi-O, the Cs₃Sb and the K₂CsSb. The most stable material found is the K₂CsSb. The vacuum conditions can be met by a variety of pumping schemes. The vacuum is achieved by using sputter ion diode pumps, and baking at 250C or less for whatever time is required to reduce the pump currents to below 1 uA at room temperature. To obtain the required partial pressure of cesium, a simple very sensitive diagnostic gauge has been developed that can discriminate between free alkali and other gases present. This Pressure Alkali Monitor (PAM) can be used cesium sources to provide an a low partial pressure using standard feedback techniques. Photocathodes of arbitrary composition have been transferred to a separate vacuum system and preserved for over 10 days with less than a 25% loss to the QE at 543.5 nm.

INTRODUCTION

Photocathodes used in photoinjectors can produce very high current densities, i.e. $> 1000 \text{ A/cm}^2$. These cathodes can be made from a variety of materials. However, if a CW injector is to be fabricated, it is found very early that the limiting technology is the quantity of mode-locked laser light in the 2.3 eV range and above. At this point in time, obtaining a mode-locked, crystal doubled, CW laser at 30 watts is a major development effort. In order to obtain ~8-10 nC/u pulse, a cathode of about 3% QE at 2.3 eV is required. The cathodes in this class are of the multi-alkali antimonide¹ or gallium arsenide² type. Aside from the difficulty in cathode fabrication, the cathode lifetime in the injector is a problem. The chief difficulty is the contamination from the residual gases. The major effort on improving the vacuum has been to remove the residual water from the system. A number of experiments have been carried out to verify that cathode transfer and storage can be accomplished. The goal for the hardware is to produce a 1/e storage lifetime of 240 hours or 10 days. With these results, it becomes feasible to produce a cassette of cathodes for use on photoinjectors. This development then provides improved operating conditions in that the fabrication of photocathodes can be

separated from the accelerator, and the cathodes can be stock piled for later use.

A ten day storage time meeting these requirements has been demonstrated on two separate pieces of hardware. Both were brought on line *new*, which means that the vacuum components had not been previously used in ultra high vacuum. We found that the cathodes could be preserved if the vacuum system was baked 2 to 3 times at a temperature not exceeding 250 C for 24 hours each bake. The criteria for a successful bake was the establishment of a stable, low Cs alkali pressure, i.e. low to mid 10^{-10} Torr region, and a residual ion pump current less than 1uA when room temperature was reached ($P_{\text{res}} = 2-3 \times 10^{-9}$ Torr). An alkali monitor was used, and temperature cycling on the cesium source observed to determine that the partial pressure of cesium in the unit had reached an equilibrium value. The estimate of the partial pressure of cesium in the chamber, based on a calculation for the detector sensitivity, is about a few on the 10^{-10} Torr range.

EXPERIMENT

The experiments have been carried out using the equipment schematic shown in Figure 1. One of the 20 l/s pumps has been modified into a pump pipe, and the other simply bolted onto the storage cube. An alkali monitor/feed back system has been added to the top of the cube. Figure 2 shows the schematic arrangement of this device. The detector probe, similar to a Bayard-Alpert gauge tube, is mounted on one end and a typical cesium source is mounted on the other end of the vacuum tee. The detector works by heating the grid wire to incandescence using a transformer. A standard ion gauge controller was used in the degas mode. When the wire is hot, and has a work function that exceeds the ionization potential of the alkali, the atoms that strike the wire will leave ionized. The ionization probability is nearly unity, according to the Saha-Langmuir equation.³ The ions are then collected on the collector wire of the tube. The biases used in the tube are the same as for a normal ionization gauge. The sensitivity is then calculated using the thermodynamic equation for the number of molecules/cm²-s, the area of the collector wire, and the relationship between the current on the ion gauge controller and the pressure read. For the systems used, the conversion factor was ~570 (see Appendix A for details). Thus, for a pressure read at 4×10^{-7} Torr, the partial pressure of cesium was about 7×10^{-10} Torr. This is the pressure at the detector, but the actual pressure at the cathode surface is unknown. It is suspected to be much lower. This is because the only volume that needs to become saturated is in the tee itself. Further investigation is needed to determine if it is a true reflection of the partial pressure of cesium over the cathode face. However, the literature suggests that the partial pressure of alkali metals exists in photocathode tubes.^{4,5} The presence of a slight excess alkali may enhance cathode lifetime, or represent the partial pressure over the cathode surface. If there is benefit from an excess alkali, it may be due to three effects: 1) the partial pressure of cesium compensates for the evaporation at the cathode surface; 2) the partial pressure of cesium is consumed by the excess water vapor present in the vacuum system, thus acting as a getter pump; 3) both mechanisms are at work. Until further measurements are made, this and other measurements concerning the over pressure of

cesium with the multialkali cathodes could simply reflect a slight excess of cesium from final processing, and the complete lack of water to react and bind it to the walls.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The methodology of the experiment was to find the minimum requirements and processing necessary to move and preserve a photocathode. The very first transfer attempts were done with the minimal treatment to the storage cube. The base pressure was just what was obtained without a bake out of the pumps and system. According to the pump controllers, the base pressure was in the mid 10^{-7} Torr range. The first cathodes had only tens of minutes to an hour for lifetimes.

After the first attempt, an alkali monitor feed back system was adopted. The system was again pumped, but no bake out effected. The cesium generator feed back system was allowed to equilibrate, by coming to a temperature and cycling. The equilibrium of temperature cycling occurs when the temperature to produce a given value at the monitor is well below the previous reaction temperatures on source start up. The cesium sources were often operated at temperatures as high as 595 C to begin to obtain a partial pressure in the 10^{-10} Torr range. Once this pressure was obtained, the temperature of the source would drop with time. In a well baked system, this temperature can be as low as 200 C, or oscillate about 400 C with 30 second to 1 minute periods. Figure 3 shows the cathode lifetime using only the feed back system and no bake. Again, the cathode lifetime is improved, but is unsatisfactory.

After several bake outs on the vacuum system, a lifetime and cesium profile was found as in figure 4. The cathode after fabrication had only a slightly greater than 3% QE, but rose to nearly 5% QE. The QE then began a gradual decline through the first 100 hours. At this time, the cesium partial pressure was increased by about 20%. The QE then rose to nearly 5% again, and then began a gradual decline. At about 250 hours, the heater on the cesium source was turned off, and just the cesium pressure monitored. As can be seen, the cathode QE rose again slightly, and then began to drop until the experiment was terminated at 330 hours or nearly 14 days.

A final test for system 1 was carried out by monitoring the cathode life, while beginning the test on system 2. Figure 5 shows how the QE varied of 130 hours for the last test run on system 1. There is no real significant drop in the QE.

System 2 begins with a large scatter plot as shown in figure 6. The laser seems to have had a lot of noise in it during this test. From the view of the "envelope" of the data, it is clear that the cathode life was very poor and tended to decay over about 3 hours. Figure 7 shows another bake out and attempt at the storage lifetime. We have improved the cathode life by about an order of magnitude, but have still not done as well as the first system. Figure 8 shows another test where the data appears to be as good as system 1. Figure 9 shows one last run obtained on system 2. The data had significant fluctuation due to the changing power of the test laser.

Figure 10 shows a calculated lifetime⁶ for photocathodes based on the change in work function versus exposure to H₂O. It was assumed that the change in work function increased the height of the surface barrier to the vacuum, and thus reduced the surface escape probability. This chart is likely to be accurate to a factor of 2 or 3. The curve represents the working time to 1/e change in QE. This calculation was used to estimate the base water pressure in the system.

A summary of the data is shown in Table 1. The system number, test start date, estimated 1/e times, and estimated partial pressure of water obtained from figure 10 are given.

System	Date of Test	Estimated hours to 1/e	Estimated H ₂ O pressure from Figure 10 in Torr
1	4/5/91	3.4	2×10^{-9}
1	4/19/91	547	8×10^{-12}
1	5/17/91	392-800	$2 \times 10^{-11} - 7 \times 10^{-12}$
2	5/20/91	3.3	2×10^{-9}
2	6/13/91	130	4×10^{-11}
2	6/17/91	865	7×10^{-12}
2	6/27/91	312-1000	$2 \times 10^{-11} - 6 \times 10^{-12}$

TABLE 1

CONCLUSIONS

The preliminary conditions, shown in Table 2, for the cathode transfer and storage have been established. The vacuum pressure in the two pumps on the storage system must be reading less than 1uA of current. On our one experiment, the current was in excess of 20 uA due to internal leakage. While we were able to succeed in making this system work, there was always the nagging doubt that the currents may have been across a ceramic insulator, and thus providing a constant source of oxygen which would shorten the photocathode life. The photocathodes can be made and moved one at a time into a storage system. We have not yet produced and moved multiple cathodes. We do not know the composition of the cathodes produced. Both of these questions will be the subject of the next phase of our investigation.

Pump Size	Pump Current	Estimated Pressure	Estimated PAM Pressure	PAM Control Temperature Cycling Period
Vaclon, 20 l/s	$I < 1 \mu A$	$P < 10^{-9}$ Torr	$P \sim 7 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr	> 60 sec.

TABLE 2

APPENDIX A

The Pressure of Alkali Monitor (PAM) sensitivity was calculated as follows. The equation for the length of the wire of the ionizer is shown in Eq. 1.

$$\{\text{Eq. 1}\} \quad l = n2\pi\sqrt{r^2+a^2} \text{ cm}$$

Equation 2 represents the flux of molecules per $\text{cm}^2\text{-s}$ as derived from thermodynamics.

$$\{\text{Eq. 2}\} \quad \phi = 3.5 \times 10^{22} \frac{P}{\sqrt{mT}} \text{ molecules/cm}^2\text{-s}$$

If the particles are charged, the current then becomes the area of the wire (eq. 4) times the electron charge times the flux shown in eq. 3.

$$\{\text{Eq. 3}\} \quad I = \phi e A, \text{ or current in amperes}$$

$$\{\text{Eq. 4}\} \quad A = \pi D^2 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ (area of wire)}$$

The ion gauge sensitivity is .1 ampere per Torr, thus the conversion between the partial pressure of the cesium and the ion gauge can be readily determined as in eq. 5,

$$\{\text{Eq. 5}\} \quad \text{Torr}_{\text{Cs}} = \frac{\text{Torr}_m}{10} \left(\frac{\sqrt{mT}}{3.5 \times 10^{22} e A} \right) = \frac{\text{Torr}_m}{572}$$

where the variables are defined as follows:

e =electron charge

A =area of wire

ϕ =molecular flux

I =current

Torr_m =measured pressure from ion gauge controller

Torr_{Cs} =actual partial pressure of cesium

m =atomic mass

T =temperature in degrees K

D =wire diameter, .0254 cm

l =wire length

n =number of turns

a =pitch per 2π

r =radius of coil.

REFERENCES

1. A.I. Sommer, *Photoemissive Materials*, (Huntington, New York: Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company, 1980).
2. R.L. Bell, *Negative Electron Affinity Devices*, (Clarendon Press, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1973).
3. D. Hillecke, *Zeit. Phys. A*, Vol. 225, No. 1, 1969, (pp76-78).
4. J.V. Florio, *J.A.P.*, Vol. 39, No. 7, 1968, (pp3121-3129).
5. M. Oliver, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, Vol. 4, 1971, (pp 962-966).
6. To be published.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. This is a schematic layout of the transfer system. The photocathodes are made in a system (not shown) that docks adjacent to the turbo pump, used for roughing. The two ion pumps are used to provide a "guard vacuum" against accidental gas leakage across the valve seats. The PAM (not shown) is normally located on the top of the cube. Once the cathodes are moved into the cube, they are illuminated with laser light through a glass viewport, and the emission current is collected and measured from a biased copper ring.
2. The Pressure Alkali Monitor (PAM) is placed in a vacuum tee that is mounted on the top of the cube. The cesium source is temperature controlled and alkali pressure controlled through an "or" circuit. If the pressure of the alkali is too low, the source may be heated until a maximum temperature is reached, to prevent an alkali spill. When the desired pressure is reached, the temperature is lowered to just maintain this pressure. If there is any significant water present, the cesium will be react with it at the walls of the chamber, thus lowering the partial pressure of cesium, and causing the circuit to call for more cesium. No more cesium is used than is necessary to maintain a partial pressure at the detector.
3. System was allowed to pump and the benefit of the PAM. The lifetime had improved over the system with no bakeout, but the lifetime is still too short.
4. This was the first extended successful storage. A trace amount of water present probably accounts for the initial increase in the QE. However, the continued absorption of water would cause the lifetime to decrease. The effect of changing the partial pressure of cesium in the tee was very small during this run.
5. The next test on this system indicates that the cathode lifetime is getting better with time. The specific reasons for this improvement are still under investigation, but likely involve the absence of water and low partial pressure of cesium.
6. The first test in the second system shows a lifetime of about 3 hours. The scatter in the data was due to a noisy laser near the end of its life. In spite of the scatter, it is clear that there is still too much water present in this system.
7. The lifetime has improved after a second bakeout. The $1/e$ time is about 130 hours.
8. Further bakeout of the system has improved the cathode life to a level comparable with the first vacuum system. The $1/e$ time is in excess of 800 hours.
9. The last test on this system provided a lifetime ~ 800 hours.
10. The cathode lifetime has been calculated by knowing the change in the workfunction versus water exposure. With this measurement, a surface escape probability can be computed, and a time to $1/e$ determined. This graph was used to estimate the water partial pressure. Two points indicate the scant data obtained to date. Further detailed measurements are the subject of future work.

Figure 1

Vacuum Tee

Figure 2

Figure 3

Arcom July 5, 1991 9:09:41 AM

Proof-of-Principle Transfer Chamber Lifetime Test PAM on

Figure 4

5/17/91
System 1

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9

Lifetime Vs. Partial Pressure of H₂O