176/85 85-1
5-24
PPPL-2210

UC20-F

DR-1027-8
I-21086

PPPL-2210

TOTAL SCATTERING CROSS SECTIONS AND INTERATOMIC POTENTIALS
FOR NEUTRAL HYDROGEN AND HELIUM ON SOME NOBLE GASES

By

D.N. Ruzic and S.A. Cohen

APRIL 1985

PLASMA
PHYSICS
LABORATORY

Total Scattering Cross Sections and Interatomic Potentials
for Neutral Hydrogen and Helium on some Noble Gases

David N. Ruzic[†] and Samuel A. Cohen,

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University

Princeton, NJ 08544

Measurements of energy-dependent scattering cross sections for 30 to 1800 eV D incident on He, Ne, Ar, and Kr, and for 40 to 850 eV He incident on He, Ar, and Kr are presented. They are determined by using the charge-exchange efflux from the Princeton Large Torus tokamak as a source of D or He. These neutrals are passed through a gas-filled scattering cell and detected by a time-of-flight spectrometer. The cross section for scattering greater than the effective angle of the apparatus (≈ 20 mrad) is found by measuring the energy-dependent attenuation of D or He as a function of pressure in the scattering cell. The interatomic potential is extracted from the data.

[†] present address: Fusion Studies Laboratory, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MASTER

REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Neutral-neutral cross sections are important to basic atomic physics. They provide a way to extract the interatomic potential over a narrow range in interatomic distances and thus check theoretical models of atom/atom interactions. From the point-of-view of fusion research, knowledge of certain atomic cross sections increases our understanding of edge effects, allows improved designs and models of divertors and refined calculations of neutral density profiles.¹ For these applications the differential cross section at each energy for each process (elastic, reactive, ionization, etc.) should be known. However, total scattering cross sections as a function of energy do provide the necessary data to calculate first order effects. In the energy and density range of interest to magnetic confinement, the elastic scattering cross section is much larger than all the other neutral-neutral processes. The energy range investigated in this experiment is 30 eV to 1800 eV. The potentials thus determined are in the repulsive wall region, roughly 0.5 Å to 2.0 Å depending on the pair. The pairs investigated are: deuterium on helium, neon, argon, and krypton, and helium on helium, argon, and krypton. The technique employed marks the first time a magnetic fusion device has been used to provide basic data of atom/atom collisions. Spectroscopic studies of electron/atom interactions are, of course, commonly performed in these devices.

The cross sections are determined by passing energetic neutral atoms through a gas-filled scattering cell and monitoring the attenuation as a function of pressure in the cell. A complete description of the apparatus and techniques can be found in Ref. 2. The source of the energetic neutrals is the charge-exchange efflux from the Princeton Large Torus (PLT) tokamak.³ The PLT tokamak provides 1-sec "shots" of nearly constant 2×10^{15} atoms/cm²·s efflux generated by charge exchange of cold gas atoms with hotter plasma

ions. The energy spectrum of these charge-exchange atoms is roughly Maxwellian with an average energy of 250 eV. The neutrals are all in the ground state because of the velocity-induced Stark effect on metastable states and the high decay rate for allowed transitions. The great advantage of using the PLT tokamak for studying certain atomic processes is the purity of the efflux and the "brightness" of the emission in the desired energy range as compared with atomic beam techniques.

The detector of the energetic neutrals is the Low-Energy Neutral-Atom Spectrometer (LENS).^{4,5} The LENS is a time-of-flight spectrometer with an energy range of 10 eV to 2000 eV for deuterium. The minimum detectability threshold for deuterium at 100 eV is 1.0×10^{10} atoms/cm²·eV·s·ster when the time resolution is 1 msec.^{2,6} Since the flux over a wide energy range is measured virtually simultaneously, and since elastic neutral/neutral collisions dominate at these energies,⁷⁻¹⁰ the dependence of cross section on energy for a particular pair of atoms can be determined during one-second, orders-of-magnitude less time than by other techniques.

Using a pulsed gas valve, a scattering cell between the PLT tokamak and the LENS can be filled with a selected gas within milliseconds. The attainable steady-state pressure range is 1×10^{-4} torr to 1×10^{-1} torr as measured by a calibrated Schultz-Phelps gauge. When a scattering gas of density n is present in the cell, some of the neutrals from the tokamak that were directed toward the LENS detector are scattered from the beam. The intensity of neutrals at a given energy, $I(E)$, after traversing a length, L , in the scattering gas is simply $I(E) = I_0(E)\exp[-nL\sigma(E)]$, where $I_0(E)$ is the initial intensity at energy E , and $\sigma(E)$ is nominally the cross section at that energy. What is actually measured is $\bar{\sigma}_{sc}(E)$, the averaged effective-angle-dependent total scattering cross section. It is an averaged

quantity because the scattering cell has finite dimensions; it is effective-angle dependent because deflections smaller than a certain angle are still detected. Further complications arise from scattering events that add particles into the beam.

Defining the z-axis as the direction along the beam of neutrals, the relationship between the "background" beam intensity observed when no gas is in the scattering cell, I_{bkg} , and when a gas is present, I_{gas} , is given by an integral over the entire beam path:

$$-\ln \frac{I_{gas}}{I_{bkg}} = \int_0^a n(z) \sigma dz + \int_a^{a+b} n(z) \sigma dz + \int_{a+b}^{a+b+c} n(z) \sigma dz \quad (1)$$

where a is the length of the detector, b is the length of the scattering cell, and c is the length of the duct to the PLT tokamak.

The density, $n(z)$, was calculated at all z for each pressure using the vacuum system transient simulator (VSTS) code.¹¹ The code and pressure gauges show the density in the scattering cell, n_{sc} , is constant. The scattering cross section, σ , is not constant along z because σ is a function of the angular transmission of the apparatus. Letting $d\sigma(\theta, \phi)/d\Omega$ be the differential scattering cross section and θ_{ef} be the effective resolution angle, $\bar{\sigma}_{sc}$ is defined:

$$\bar{\sigma}_{sc} = \frac{1}{b} \int_a^{a+b} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\theta_{ef}}^{\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\theta, \phi) \sin\theta \, d\theta d\phi dz \quad (2)$$

Equation (1) can now be written:

$$-\ln \frac{I_{\text{gas}}}{I_{\text{bkg}}} = n_{\text{sc}} \sigma_{\text{sc}} L \quad (3)$$

where $L = b(1+a)$ and

$$\alpha = \frac{\int_0^a n(z) \sigma dz + \int_{a+b}^{a+b+c} n(z) \sigma dz}{n_{\text{sc}} b \bar{\sigma}_{\text{sc}}} \quad (4)$$

The correction term, α , accounts for scattering outside the scattering cell. It was calculated using the VSTS code and following Amdur and Jordan^{2,12} for each pressure and pair of atoms. The value of α was 0.15 ± 0.015 for all combinations except for helium on helium where it was 0.20 ± 0.02 .

The error in the measured quantity, $\bar{\sigma}_{\text{sc}}$, is due to uncertainty in effective length, pressure measurement, and counting statistics. Arrival time uncertainty produces an error in energy. At 100 ± 5 eV, for example, the uncertainty in effective length is 2.0%; the uncertainty in pressure measurement is 5.0%; and the uncertainty due to counting statistics is 7.9%. The counting statistic uncertainty lessens at higher energies, but the uncertainty in energy becomes greater.

When different pressures of the same gas are used in the scattering cell, $\ln(I_{\text{gas}}/I_{\text{bkg}})$ should be directly proportional to the pressure if no multiple scattering or experimental artifact² occurs. Figure 1 shows this linear dependence for deuterium incident on helium. Figures 2a and 2b show $\bar{\sigma}_{\text{sc}}$ as a function of energy for all the gas pairs measured. For values of $\bar{\sigma}_{\text{sc}}$ to be useful, the effective angle must be known. The calculation is elaborate for a divergent beam.

Two events can change the intensity of a beam of particles when it heads toward a detector through a scattering gas:

(a) Particles that were going to hit the detector are scattered out of the way. Following Jordan,¹³ the cross section for this is called σ_p .

(b) Some particles that were going to miss the detector are scattered into it. This cross section is denoted σ_p^* . When a well-collimated beam is incident on the scatterers, this cross section is ignorably small. Here, where the incident beam that will be detected is conically shaped with its apex at the end of the scattering cell and its base at the tokamak, σ_p^* can be a major perturbation.

The total cross section determined in this experiment, $\bar{\sigma}_{sc}$, is:

$$\bar{\sigma}_{sc} = \frac{1}{b} \int_b^{a+b} (\bar{\sigma}_p - \bar{\sigma}_p^*) dz \quad . \quad (5)$$

If the problem is treated classically,¹⁴ an analytic expression for $\bar{\sigma}_{sc}$ can be found assuming the interaction is governed by a spherical potential $V(r) = Kr^{-s}$.^{2,12,15,16} For the energies and masses of the atomic pairs considered, the analytic expressions for $\bar{\sigma}_p$ and $\bar{\sigma}_p^*$ are:

$$\sigma_p = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{KF(s)}{E} \right]^{\frac{2}{s}} \int_0^{2\pi} \theta_d^{-\frac{2}{s}} d\phi \quad (6)$$

and

$$\sigma_P^* = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{KF(s)}{E} \right] s^{\frac{2}{s}} \int_{\phi_{\min}}^{\phi_{\max}} \left(\theta_{d \min}^{-\frac{2}{s}} - \theta_{d \max}^{-\frac{2}{s}} \right) d\phi \quad (7)$$

where $\phi_{\min}$, $\phi_{\max}$, θ_d , $\theta_{d \min}$, and $\theta_{d \max}$ refer to scattering angles in the gas cell that are generally functions of position, incident and azimuthal scattering angles,² and

$$F(s) = (\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} \quad (8)$$

Averaging (6) and (7) over the incident angles and area of the cell, and substituting them into (5) gives the expression for $\bar{\sigma}_{sc}$:

$$\bar{\sigma}_{sc} = \pi \left[\frac{KF(s)}{E} \right] s^{\frac{2}{s}} \langle \theta^{-\frac{2}{s}} \rangle \quad (9)$$

where

$$\langle \theta^{-\frac{2}{s}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi b} \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \int \theta_{d \min}^{-\frac{2}{s}} d\phi d\vec{r} - \int \int_{\phi_{\min}}^{\phi_{\max}} \left(\theta_{d \min}^{-\frac{2}{s}} - \theta_{d \max}^{-\frac{2}{s}} \right) d\phi d\vec{r}}{\int d\vec{r}} dz \quad (10)$$

Note that $d\vec{r}$ has four dimensions: two angles to define the incident beams' direction, and the x and y dimensions of the scattering cell. The effective scattering angle, θ_{ef} , can now be defined:

$$\theta_{ef} = \langle \theta_s \rangle - \frac{s}{2} \quad (11)$$

The integral in Eq. (10) requires a quantum correction for very small θ_s because the classical differential cross section goes to infinity at $\theta = 0$. When the deflection angle becomes smaller than a critical angle, θ_c , the classical treatment is no longer valid. Critical angles typically have values of 1 to 5 mrad, but classical results still mimic the quantum results for even lower angles.¹⁷ A semiclassical cut-off angle,^{18,19} θ_{co} , somewhat below θ_c was used in the calculation of θ_{ef} . Any deflection angle less than θ_{co} was treated as θ_{co} . The use of such an angle was essential, but its exact value mattered little to the result since the effective scattering angle is much greater than the cut-off angle.

For very simple geometries Eq. (10) can be solved analytically.²⁰ But for the geometry used in this experiment the integral was broken into 81 regions and evaluated² with the aid of the National Magnetic Fusion Energy Computer Center Cray I computer.

To find s from the data, Eq. (9) can be put into linear form and solved graphically. In principle, K can also be found, but the error associated with the extrapolation to find the intercept value of a logarithmic quantity is quite large. The validity limits for the interatomic potential's range come from the minimum and maximum scattering cross section observed.¹⁴ The effective angles and the potential parameters for all the pairs of atoms studied are listed in Table 1. The range of possible K 's is large. The results overlap ab initio theoretical calculations,^{21,22} but the error bars are too large to confirm these theoretical results to better than 50%.

In summary, total scattering cross sections for neutral deuterium on helium, neon, argon, and krypton, and for neutral helium on helium, argon, and krypton, have been determined in the energy range of 30 eV to 1800 eV for an effective angle of approximately 20 mrad. The experiment shows the utility of the tokamak for studying atomic scattering processes at low energies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Dr. J. Hosea for his encouragement and the PLT staff and crew for their technical support. This work was supported by the Fannie and John Hertz Foundation and US DOE Contract

DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073.

REFERENCES

- ¹D. Post, "The Role of Atomic Collisions in Fusion," in Physics of Ion-Ion and Electron-Ion Collisions, ed. F. Brouillard and J.W. McGowan (Plenum Press, NY, 1983).
- ²D. N. Ruzic, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, (1984).
- ³D. Grove et al. Proc. 6th Inter. Conf. on Physics and Controlled Thermonuclear Fusion Research, Barchtesgaden, West Germany, 21, (1976).
- ⁴D. E. Voss, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, (1980).
- ⁵D.E. Voss and S.A. Cohen, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 53, 1969 (1982).
- ⁶D. Ruzic, T.K. Chu, S. Cohen, D. Heifetz, and J. Stevens, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 27, 1070 (1982).
- ⁷R. Morgenstern, M. Barat, and D.C. Lorents, J. Phys. B: 6, L330, (1973).
- ⁸C. Benoit and J.P. Gauiacq, J. Phys. B: 6, L391, (1976).
- ⁹N. Noda, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 41, 625 (1976).
- ¹⁰B. Van Zyl, T.Q. Le, H. Neumann, and R.C. Amme, Phys. Rev. A. 15, 1871 (1977).
- ¹¹J. Sredniawski, S.F. Medley, and H. Fishman, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Report PPPL-TM-326, (1979).
- ¹²I. Amdur and J.E. Jordan, Adv. Chem. Phys. 10, 29 (1967).
- ¹³J.E. Jordan and I. Amdur, J. Chem. Phys. 46, 165 (1967).
- ¹⁴E.A. Mason and J.T. Vanderslice, "Atomic and Molecular Processes," D.R. Bates, Ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1962) Chap. 17.
- ¹⁵I. Amdur and H. Pearlman, J. Chem. Phys. 9, 503 (1941).
- ¹⁶B.H. Bransden and C.J. Joachain, "Physics of Atoms and Molecules," (Longman, London, 1983) Chap. 13.
- ¹⁷E.A. Mason, J.T. Vanderslice, and C.J.G. Raw, J. Chem. Phys. 40, 2153 (1964).
- ¹⁸K. W. Ford and J. A. Wheeler, Ann. Phys. 7, 259 (1959).
- ¹⁹R.B. Bernstein, Adv. Chem. Phys. 53, 50 (1970).
- ²⁰I. Amdur, J.E. Jordan, and S.O. Colgate, J. Chem. Phys. 34, 1525 (1961).
- ²¹W.D. Wilson and C.L. Bisson, Sandia Laboratories Report SCL-DC-70-45, (1970).
- ²²K.T. Tang and J.P. Toennies, J. Chem. Phys. 66, 1496 (1977).

Table 1:

incident atom	target atom	θ_{ef} (mrad)	s ± 0.5	$X(max)$ $\text{\AA}^3 \cdot \text{eV}$	$X(min)$ $\text{\AA}^3 \cdot \text{eV}$	r_{max} $\text{\AA}$	r_{min} $\text{\AA}$
D	He	19.5 ± 2.7	2.60	4.02	0.662	1.29	0.33
D	Ne	22.1 ± 4.0	3.45	14.2	3.96	2.33	0.71
D	Ar	23.9 ± 5.4	4.45	14.9	5.06	2.19	0.81
D	Kr	24.7 ± 6.4	5.20	12.4	4.53	1.31	0.84
He	He	19.5 ± 2.7	2.60	5.74	0.946	1.18	0.38
He	Ar	22.6 ± 4.2	3.70	28.4	8.6	2.39	1.06
He	Kr	24.1 ± 5.9	4.90	47.5	16.9	2.19	1.16

Effective scattering angles, θ_{ef} , for the total scattering cross sections presented in Fig. 2, and interatomic potential parameters for the form $V(r) = K r^{-s}$. The potentials are valid between r_{min} and r_{max} . The values of r have about a 10% error.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIG. 1 Comparison of "gas" to "background" charge-exchange efflux ratio vs. pressure for five energies of incident deuterium neutrals on six different pressures of helium. The linearity of these curves on the semi-log plot indicates that single-event elastic scattering is the dominant attenuation process. The slope of these lines is inversely proportional to $-\bar{\sigma}_{sc}L$.

FIG. 2 (a) The error bars for neon and argon at a given energy are the same size as the ones shown for helium at that energy. The krypton error is proportionally larger along the entire curve. (b) The error bars for argon and krypton are the same as the ones shown for helium.

#83X1419

Fig. 1

#85X0377

Fig. 2(a)

#85X0376

Fig. 2(b)

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-20

Plasma Res Lab, Austr Nat'l Univ, AUSTRALIA
 Dr. Frank J. Paoloni, Univ of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ., AUSTRALIA
 Prof. M.H. Brennan, Univ Sydney, AUSTRALIA
 Prof. F. Cap, Inst Theo Phys, AUSTRIA
 Prof. Frank Verheest, Inst theoretische, BELGIUM
 Dr. D. Palumbo, Dg XII Fusion Prog, BELGIUM
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab de Phys Plasmas, BELGIUM
 Dr. P.M. Sakanaka, Univ Estadual, BRAZIL
 Dr. C.R. James, Univ of Alberta, CANADA
 Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ of Montreal, CANADA
 Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ of Saskatchewan, CANADA
 Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, University of Calgary, CANADA
 Prof. Tudor W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA
 Dr. Hannes Barnard, Univ British Columbia, CANADA
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MEB Technologies, Inc., CANADA
 Chalk River, Nucl Lab, CANADA
 Zhengwu Li, SW Inst Physics, CHINA
 Library, Tsing Hua University, CHINA
 Librarian, Institut of Physics, CHINA
 Inst Plasma Phys, Academia Sinica, CHINA
 Dr. Peter Lukac, Komenského Univ, CZECHOSLOVAKIA
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND
 Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice, FRANCE
 J. Radet, CEN-EP6, FRANCE
 AM Dupas Library, AM Dupas Library, FRANCE
 Dr. Tom Mual, Academy Bibliographic, HONG KONG
 Preprint Library, Cent Res Inst Phys, HUNGARY
 Dr. S.K. Trehan, Panjab University, INDIA
 Dr. Indra Mohan Lal Das, Banaras Hindu Univ, INDIA
 Dr. L.K. Chavda, South Gujarat Univ, INDIA
 Dr. R.K. Chhajlani, Vikram Univ, INDIA
 Dr. B. Dasgupta, Saha Inst, INDIA
 Dr. P. Kaw, Physical Research Lab, INDIA
 Dr. Phillip Rosenau, Israel Inst Tech, ISRAEL
 Prof. S. Ouperman, Tel Aviv University, ISRAEL
 Prof. G. Rostagni, Univ Di Padova, ITALY
 Librarian, Int'l Ctr Theo Phys, ITALY
 Miss Clelia De Palo, Assoc EURATOM-ENEA, ITALY
 Biblioteca, del CNR EURATOM, ITALY
 Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Dev, JAPAN
 Direc. Dept. Ig. Tokamak Dev. JAERI, JAPAN
 Prof. Nobuyuki Inoue, University of Tokyo, JAPAN
 Research Info Center, Nagoya University, JAPAN
 Prof. Kyoji Nishikawa, Univ of Hiroshima, JAPAN
 Prof. Sigeru Mori, JAERI, JAPAN
 Library, Kyoto University, JAPAN
 Prof. Ichiro Kawakami, Nihon Univ, JAPAN
 Prof. Satoshi Itoh, Kyushu University, JAPAN
 Dr. D.I. Choi, Adv. Inst Sci & Tech, KOREA
 Tech Info Division, KAERI, KOREA
 Bibliotheek, Fom-Inst Voor Plasma, NETHERLANDS
 Prof. B.S. Liley, University of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND
 Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Inst Superior Tecn, PORTUGAL
 Dr. Octavian Petrus, ALI CIEZA University, ROMANIA
 Prof. M.A. Heilberg, University of Natal, SO AFRICA
 Dr. Johan de Villiers, Plasma Physics, Nucor, SO AFRICA
 Fusion Div. Library, JEN, SPAIN
 Prof. Hans Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ Tech, SWEDEN
 Dr. Lennart Stenflo, University of UMEA, SWEDEN
 Library, Royal Inst Tech, SWEDEN
 Centre de Recherches, Ecole Polytech Fed, SWITZERLAND
 Dr. V.T. Tolok, Kharkov Phys Tech Ins, USSR
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Acad Sci, USSR
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, Kurchatov Institute, USSR
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Inst Electro-Physical, USSR
 Institute Gen. Physics, USSR
 Prof. T.J.M. Boyd, Univ College N Wales, WALES
 Dr. K. Schindler, Ruhr Universität, W. GERMANY
 Nuclear Res Estab, Jülich Ltd, W. GERMANY
 Librarian, Max-Planck Institut, W. GERMANY
 Bibliothek, Inst Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY
 Prof. R.K. Janev, Inst Phys, YUGOSLAVIA