317
1-7-82
JWC

I-739

(1)

189

ONWI-248
USAE-WES SL-81-6

ONWI--248

DE82 006004

Examination of Samples of Grout After 63 Years Exposure Underground

Technical Report

May, 1981

Jay E. Rhoderick

**Structures Laboratory
U.S. Army Engineer
Waterways Experiment Station
P.O. Box 631
Vicksburg, MS 39180**

ONWI
Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation

BATTELLE Project Management Division

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA

U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, 1981. *Examination of Sample of Grout After 63 Years Exposure Underground*, ONWI-248, Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH.

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Printed in the United States of America
Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

NTIS price codes
Printed copy: A02
Microfiche copy: A01

ONWI-248
USAE-WES SL-81-6
Distribution Category UC-70

Examination of Sample of Grout After 63 Years Exposure Underground

Technical Report

May, 1981

Jay E. Rhoderick

**Structures Laboratory
U.S. Army Engineer
Waterways Experiment Station
P.O. Box 631
Vicksburg, MS 39180**

This report was prepared by the Structures Laboratory of the U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station under Contract No. DE-AI97-81ET46633 with the U.S. Department of Energy. This contract was administered by the Battelle Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation.

17-60

Preface

The work described in this report was funded by the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AI97-81ET46633. The title of the investigation was "Investigation of Composition, Constitution, Properties, and Interactions of Materials Considered for Use in Plugging Boreholes and Shafts in Connection with Nuclear Waste Isolation." Mr. Floyd L. Burns, Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, Ohio, was Project Manager.

The work was done in the Structures Laboratory of the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) under the direction of Mrs. Katharine Mather. Messrs. Bryant Mather and John M. Scanlon, Jr., were Chief, Structures Laboratory, and Chief, Concrete Technology Division, respectively. This report was prepared by Mr. Jay E. Rhoderick.

COL N. P. Conover, CE, was Director of WES during this period. Mr. F. R. Brown was Technical Director.

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Preface	iii
Background	1
Sample Description	1
Test Procedure	2
Results	2
Conclusions	3

EXAMINATION OF SAMPLE OF GROUT AFTER
63 YEARS EXPOSURE UNDERGROUND

Background

1. Two major concerns with plugging boreholes to isolate nuclear waste repositories are (a) the plug-host rock contact* or plug-steel casing contact** and (b) the longevity of the plug in an adverse environment.† The sample described in this report provided an opportunity to study a grout that was in contact with foundation rock as well as a steel anchor bolt while being located below the groundwater table for 63 years.

Sample Description

2. A sample of grout estimated to be 63 years old was examined to determine its phase composition and microstructure and whether it had been affected adversely by exposure conditions during this period of service. The sample was obtained in 1978 as part of a condition survey of Troy Lock and Dam†† for the U. S. Army Engineer District, New York, by the Structures Laboratory of the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. The lock and dam were built in 1915 on the Hudson River in New York.

* Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation. 1979. "Development of Plan and Approach for Borehole Plugging Field Testing," Report No. ONWI-3, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, Ohio.

** U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 1980. "Information Base for Waste Repository Design; Borehole and Shaft Sealing," NUREG/CR-0495, Volume 1, Washington, D. C.

† Office of Nuclear Waste Isolation. 1980. "Repository Sealing: Evaluation of Materials Research Objectives and Requirements - 1980," Report No. ONWI-108 (preprint), Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, Ohio.

†† Pace, C. E., Campbell, R., and Wong, S. 1981 "Engineering Condition Survey and Evaluation of Troy Lock and Dam, Hudson River, New York; Evaluation and Rehabilitation," Miscellaneous Paper C-78-6, Report 2, U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

3. The grout was part of material recovered from core D-33 drilled through monolith No. 33 into the foundation rock. The core intersected an anchor bolt surrounded by grout embedded in the foundation rock at an elevation of 3.7 m (12 ft) below mean sea level.

Test Procedure

4. A piece of the grout was removed and ground to pass a 45- μ m (No. 325) sieve. The ground grout was examined by X-ray diffraction as a tightly packed powder under a vapor hood containing a beaker of hot barium hydroxide solution and a static nitrogen atmosphere.

5. Another piece of grout was removed and, after preparation, was examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM). The grout was dried in a vacuum dessicator using a vacuum pump at 60°C (140°F) for 16 hours. A fresh fracture surface was made on the dried sample, and the piece was mounted on an SEM stub. A conductive coating was applied using a vacuum evaporator. The conductive coating consisted of a layer of carbon about 5 nm thick and a layer of gold-palladium alloy about 15 nm thick. The sample was then examined using the SEM.

Results

6. Visual inspections showed that the bond of grout to the metal anchor was good and that the grout was hard and appeared to be in good condition (see Figure 74 in Pace, Campbell, and Wong 1981).

7. X-ray diffraction examination showed the grout to consist of calcium hydroxide (CH), calcite, tetracalcium aluminate hemicarboxylate-12-hydrate (hemicarboxylate) ($C_4\bar{A}C_{0.5}H_{12}$), and tetracalcium aluminate carboxylate-11-hydrate (monocarboxylate) ($C_4\bar{A}CH_{11}$), with traces of ettringite, tetracalcium aluminate monosulfate-12-hydrate ($C_4\bar{A}SH_{12}$), hydrogarnet, and unhydrated aluminoferrite as crystalline phases. Calcium silicate hydrate (CSH) was also present but was not well defined by X-ray diffraction since it is usually only poorly crystalline. This is a normal composition for mature grout that had probably carbonated

during exposure to laboratory air for a year or more before it was examined. The presence of a little unhydrated cement as aluminoferrite is common in old portland cement paste and grout.

8. Twenty scanning micrographs were made of the piece of grout that was prepared for SEM examination. Five of these were selected and are shown in Figures 1-5. They represent three areas in the piece of grout. Figure 1 (60X) shows a large void partially filled with crystals. Figures 2-4 are different magnifications of one area (440X to 17,600X) and show typical microstructural features of the grout. Figure 5 is from the third area and may show carboaluminate crystals. The micrographs indicated two specific findings:

- a. The microstructure was normal for hydrated portland cement. There was no sign of detrimental change with exposure in service under the lock wall for 63 years.
- b. Comparison of the representative microstructure and void space in Figure 3 with the representative dense microstructure of 1-year-old hydrated portland cement with a low water content (see Figure 4 in Mather et al.*) indicates that this grout had a fairly high water content. This is also indicated by the presence of voids such as the one shown in Figure 1. It is to be expected that grout would have a higher water content in order for it to be placed in 1915, before the use of chemical admixtures that reduce water demand at equal consistency. No data are available on the mixture proportions of the grout made in 1915.

Conclusions

9. The 63-year-old grout has a normal phase composition and microstructure.

10. The exposure in a hole in rock, adjacent to steel, under a lock wall in the Hudson River for this length of time has not appreciably changed or harmed this grout.

11. The water content of the original mixture was fairly high.

* Mather, K., Burkes, J. P., Wong, G. S., and Reinhold, R. E. 1978. "Effects of Accelerated Curing on Hydration Products of Cement and Cement-Fly Ash Pastes," Miscellaneous Paper C-78-11, U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

Figure 1. Needles of ettringite and crystalline plates that are probably calcium hydroxide inside a void (micrograph 050880-4, X60)

Figure 2. Typical microstructure of the grout (micrograph 050880-19, X440)

Figure 3. An enlargement of the central portion of Figure 2 showing massive calcium hydroxide (lower right) and CSH (micrograph 050880-17, X1760)

Figure 4. An enlargement of the upper center portion of Figure 3. CSH with some larger plates of calcium hydroxide (micrograph 050880-14, X17,600)

Figure 5. Narrow platelets that were sheared when the sample was split. These may be either $C_4AC_{0.5}H_{12}$ or C_4ACH_{11} or both. The dense material is CSH (micrograph 050880-6, X3700)