UCRL--53742

DE87 000203

MASTER

Calculating Electron Beam Properties in an Ionized Benzene Channel

D. R. Goosman

Manuscript date: August 1986

LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY
University of California • Livermore, California • 94550

Available from: National Technical Information Service • U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road • Springfield, VA 22161 • A02 • (Microfiche A01)

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

END

Contents

Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Laser Ionization	2
Collisional Ionization	3
Deriving the Beam Envelope Equation	4
Betatron Wavelength	7
Formulas for Flat Distributions of Laser or Electron Beams	8
The Spreadsheet Program	9
Conclusions	18
Acknowledgments	18
References	18

Calculating Electron Beam Properties in an Ionized Benzene Channel

Abstract

We have derived formulas for the equilibrium-beam radius and other properties of an electron beam propagating in an ionized benzene channel. These formulas have been determined for two special cases. The first was for Gaussian spatial profiles for both the electron and laser beams. We obtained an analytical result for the equilibrium-beam radius, even though we included both KrF laser-induced and collisional sources of ionization of different sizes. The second case we considered was for laser and electron beams with flat radial profiles. These calculations were performed to determine if a laser-guided electron beam could reduce the focal size of an electron accelerator. We also developed a personal-computer spreadsheet program that receives 10 inputs and calculates 26 dependent quantities relating to the beam and ionization properties.

Introduction

We are currently attempting to improve the radiographic resolution of the B Division linear-induction accelerator (FXR). One way is to employ laser guiding of the electron beam rather than the magnetic solenoid focusing presently used, in the hope that we can reduce emittance growth in the accelerator. The source of the present threefold growth in emittance is also under investigation. Our interest came about because of a recent success at the advanced test accelerator (ATA) in using laser guiding to reduce transverse beam instabilities at 8000 A (Refs. 1 and 2). Our primary purpose is to reduce beam emittance at the bremsstrahlung target, rather than reduce transverse beam instabilities, because the FXR operates at 2000 A.

As described in Ref. 1, laser guiding involves filling the accelerator with low-pressure gas (usually benzene) and firing a laser beam down the axis before the electron beam. The laser causes two-photon ionization of the benzene, which lasts considerably longer than 100 ns. Then, the hard electron beam is injected into the ionized benzene column, resulting in expulsion of the soft electrons from the gas and leaving the positive heavy ions to focus the fast electron beam. In addition, the beam itself ionizes benzene because of collisions. Both sources of ionization contribute to beam focusing.

Lee and Cooper developed formulas for describing the equilibrium-beam radius of electron beams in situations similar to ours.³ They derive an expression for the beam-envelope equation in terms of a beam-averaged product of the square of the particle radius and the betatron frequency. We intend to begin with Eq. (60) of Ref. 3 and derive an explicit formula for the equilibrium-beam radius that includes both sources of ionization in a manner that can be readily understood by persons not in the field of beam propagation. For the assumption of a Gaussian-shaped laser beam and electron beam of equal or unequal size, the integral relationship of Eq. (60) can be solved explicitly for the radius R , even though the two sources of ionization have different spatial shapes. In addition, expressions for the betatron wavelength and other quantities of interest are derived.

Finally, we describe a numerical spreadsheet program written on Symphony for a personal computer that incorporates all of these results. This program has been extensively used to explore various configurations for laser guiding of the FXR, subject to various constraints.

Laser Ionization

We can derive expressions for the first source of benzene ionization, laser ionization. We let

J = the total energy in joules of the laser pulse,

T_L = the width in seconds of the pulse (assumed rectangularly shaped in time),

R_L = the RMS radius of the Gaussian-shaped laser beam,

L = the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the laser beam = $1.66R_L$,

I_A = photons $\cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ on axis.

Then the number of 4.989-eV KrF photons $\cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ is given by

$$I_A e^{-r^2/R_L^2} = 1.251 \times 10^{18} \left(\frac{J e^{-r^2/R_L^2}}{T_L \pi R_L^2} \right) \quad (1)$$

and the peak intensity in W/cm^2 is given by

$$I_p = \frac{J}{1.14 L^2 T_L} \quad (2)$$

Reilly and Kompa derive a four-level rate equation for the two-photon ionization of benzene, assuming that the laser beam has a flat spatial shape.⁴ Their result for the number of C_6H_6^+ ions/volume is

$$\frac{\text{C}_6\text{H}_6^+}{\text{vol}} = \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 I_0^2 A_{1g}}{\gamma_+ - \gamma_-} \left(\frac{e^{\gamma_+ T_L}}{\gamma_+} - \frac{e^{\gamma_- T_L}}{\gamma_-} + \frac{1}{\gamma_-} - \frac{1}{\gamma_+} \right), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = 3.7 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2,$$

$$\sigma_2 = 4.4 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2,$$

$$I_0 = \text{number of laser photons} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1},$$

$$A_{1g} = \text{number of neutral benzene atoms}/\text{cm}^3 \text{ before photon arrival} = 2.47 \times 10^{14} P \text{ (} P = \text{benzene gas pressure in pascals at } 20^\circ\text{C)},$$

$$T_L = \text{time duration of the laser},$$

$$\gamma_{\pm} = \frac{-\alpha_1 \pm \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 - 4\alpha_2}}{2},$$

$$\alpha_1 = (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3) I_0 + 1/\tau,$$

$$\alpha_2 = (\sigma_2 I_0 + 1/\tau) \sigma_1 I_0,$$

$$\tau = \text{the intermediate-state lifetime} = 4.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}.$$

We have used the recent results of Bischel *et al.*⁵ for the cross sections and the intermediate-state lifetime.

For laser intensities above a few megawatts/ cm^2 , benzene is fragmented significantly.⁶ This is not good for laser guiding because the heaviest mass for the positively charged ion is desired to minimize ion motion during passage of the electron beam. In addition, for low power levels, the ionization varies as the square of the laser intensity. At 0.2 to 6 MW/cm^2 , which would probably be used in laser guiding, the ionization varies as the 1.9 power of the intensity.

Equation (3) was derived for the case of a spatially flat laser profile. To infer the result for a Gaussian-shaped spatial profile, note that a spatially flat laser beam of energy J , radius R_L , and axial intensity I_p has the same energy content as a Gaussian beam of RMS radius R_L of the same axial intensity. Because of the quadratic dependence of the ionization on intensity, the Gaussian-shaped beam produces fewer ions than the flat laser beam of the same energy content. The Gaussian beam, however, produces the same axial value of ionization per unit volume. Therefore, the Gaussian-shaped laser beam will produce an axial ionization per unit volume given by Eq. (3), if the I_0 in Eq. (3) is set equal to the I_A of Eq. (1). The spatial

dependence of ionization is Gaussian with an RMS radius of $R_L \times 1.9^{-1/2}$. Thus, the desired expression for the laser-induced $C_6H_6^+$ per unit volume for a Gaussian shaped laser beam is

$$\rho_{L,ion}(r) = \frac{C_6H_6^+}{vol} \Big|_{Eq. (3)}^{I_0 = I_A} \times e^{-r^2/(R_L^2/1.9)} \quad (4)$$

where the value of I_0 used is equal to I_A of Eq. (1).

Collisional Ionization

The second source of benzene ionization results from particle collisions, and we can also derive representative expressions. Rieke and Prepechal have measured electron collisional ionization cross sections for benzene and other gases⁷:

$$\sigma = \frac{3.29 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2 [\ln(\beta^2 \gamma^2) - \beta^2 + 9.26]}{\beta^2} \quad (5)$$

where we have evaluated both of the displayed constants for benzene. Here beta and gamma for the electrons are the usual expressions

$$\beta = \frac{v}{c} \quad (6a)$$

and

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} = \frac{\text{kinetic energy in MeV}}{0.511} + 1 \quad (6b)$$

where v and c are the velocities of the electron and light, respectively.

To determine how ionization varies as the electron beam passes, we will consider the following. Let ρ be the density of the neutral benzene atoms before arrival of the fast electron beam. Each electron in the beam will make one ionized benzene after traveling $1/(\sigma \times \rho)$ in the gas. If this distance equals the physical length of the electron beam, $\beta \times c \times T$, where T is time duration of the beam, then the last part of the electron beam will be completely neutralized by ions made by the rest of the beam that precedes it. This means that the ions/volume seen by the end of the beam will equal the electrons/volume within the beam. This model assumes a flat beam versus time profile, but could easily be generalized.

One can then define a time to neutralize, t_0 , by equating the two distances defined above and solving for T . This gives

$$t_0 = \frac{1}{\beta c \rho \sigma} \quad (7)$$

Here ρ is assumed to remain essentially constant during passage of the fast electron beam. This will be true if ρ is large enough previous to beam arrival (our spreadsheet program checks for this).

For 1 Pa (7.52 μm) benzene at 20°C, the time to complete neutralization varies from 35 ns at 2 MeV to 27 ns at 18 MeV, and is given by

$$T_N = t_0(1 \text{ Pa}, 20^\circ\text{C}) = \frac{412 \beta}{\ln(\gamma^2 \beta^2) - \beta^2 + 9.26} \text{ ns} \quad (8)$$

The ion density will build linearly with time during passage of the electron beam, and thus the result for the collisional-induced ion density is:

$$\rho_{c,ion} = \rho_{beam} \left[\frac{\text{time} \times P \text{ (in Pa, } 20^\circ\text{C)}}{T_N} \right], \quad (9)$$

where

$$0 \leq \text{time} \leq \text{beam duration.}$$

It is assumed (see Ref. 7, for example) that the slow electrons from ionization are expelled quickly compared to the beam duration (70 ns for the FXR).

Deriving the Beam Envelope Equation

Reference 3 gives us a starting point for deriving explicit expressions of the beam envelope equation for the two special cases of laser and electron beam profiles. Therefore, we make the same assumptions as given in Ref. 3:

- The electron-beam transverse velocity is small compared to the longitudinal velocity.
- The beam and fields are azimuthally symmetric.
- There is no energy spread with a transverse beam segment.
- The magnetic field (B_z) is independent of radius.

In addition, the assumption of self-similar expansion is invoked.³ This means that the spatial profile of the beam as it propagates along the accelerator has a constant analytical form, but with varying size. For the special case of a Gaussian-beam considered here, the beam remains Gaussian, but with an RMS radius that may vary with position along the beam. The formalism includes the effects of "space charge" and special relativity.

Our starting point for the special case of a Gaussian electron and laser beam with both sources of ionization included is Eq. (60) of Ref. 3. We set the cyclotron frequency = 0 because $B_z = 0$ by assumption. This results in

$$R^2 = \frac{E^2 + P_\theta^2}{\gamma^2 U}, \quad (10)$$

where P_θ is the canonical angular momentum and is zero if there is no B_z field at the cathode, R is the equilibrium electron-beam RMS radius, and γ is defined by Eq. (6b). The E is the RMS normalized emittance of the electron beam multiplied by c . The relationship between the E and the unnormalized RMS emittance often quoted, which is the product of the RMS beam half-angular divergence angle and the RMS radius at a waist, is:

$$E = \beta \gamma c E_{un}. \quad (11)$$

The U in Eq. (10) is defined by Eqs. (32) and (16) of Ref. 3, and is easily shown to be

$$U = \frac{2\pi q}{\gamma m I_b} \int_0^\infty [\beta c B_\theta(r) - E_r(r)] r^2 J_b(r) dr. \quad (12)$$

Here I_b is the beam current and m and q are the electron mass and charge, respectively. Also, J_b is the electron-beam current density, which for the Gaussian case considered here is

$$J_b(r) = \frac{I_b}{\pi R^2} e^{-r^2/R^2}. \quad (13)$$

Equation (10) for R appears simple, but a complication is concealed in Eq. (12) for U . The J_b and the E and B fields in Eq. (12) are dependent upon the equilibrium radius R . The two fields can be calculated from a knowledge of the strength and shape of the laser-induced ion channel and from the collisional ionization produced by the fast electron beam. This makes Eq. (10) a double integral relationship for the desired radius R , as will be evident below.

The azimuthal B field is related to the current densities of the electron beam and any ion current by means of

$$B_\theta(r) = \frac{\mu_0 I(r)}{2\pi r} = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi r} \int_0^r 2\pi r' dr' [J_b(r') + J_{ion}(r')] \quad (14)$$

Here we now assume that the ion current density is negligible, because the laser power is kept low to minimize benzene fragmentation. Otherwise, light fragments would contribute more to the ion current. The net current density, then, is related to the electron-beam charge density by

$$J_b(r) = \beta c \rho_b(r) \quad (15)$$

We can determine the desired expression for E_r in Eq. (12) by using the Maxwell relation for the divergence of the E field:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(rE_r) &= \frac{\rho_b + \rho_{ion}}{\epsilon_0} \quad , \\ rE_r &= \int_0^r \frac{r'}{\epsilon_0} \left[\frac{J_b(r')}{\beta c} + \rho_{ion}(r') \right] dr' \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here J_b and ρ_b for electrons are negative quantities. The results of Eqs. (14) and (16) are inserted into Eq. (12). Using the relationship

$$\epsilon_0 \mu_0 c^2 = 1 \quad , \quad (17)$$

and carrying out one of the integrals, one arrives at the simplified result for U ,

$$U = \frac{-U_b}{\gamma^2 \beta^2} - \frac{q \mu_0 c^2 \langle \lambda \rangle}{2\pi \gamma m} \quad , \quad (18)$$

where U_b is defined as

$$U_b = \frac{q \beta c \mu_0 I_b}{4\pi \gamma m} \quad , \quad (19)$$

and the beam-averaged ion charge per unit length is defined as

$$\langle \lambda_{ion} \rangle = \frac{1}{I_b} \int_0^\infty 2\pi r J_b(r) dr \int_0^\infty 2\pi r' \rho_{ion}(r') dr' \quad . \quad (20)$$

The quantity U_b is positive. It arises from the near cancellation of the electric and magnetic self-forces of the beam in the absence of any ion charge. This first term of Eq. (18) has a negative contribution to U , and from Eq. (10) we see that no equilibrium radius would exist in the absence of the ion charge. The second term contributes positively to U , because for electrons, q is negative and λ is positive. Inserting

these results back into Eq. (10) and using the more usual definition of normalized emittance, which is that of Ref. 3 divided by c , we deduce that

$$R^2 = \frac{2\pi m}{|q|\mu_0\gamma} \left(\frac{E_N^2 + P_b^2/c^2}{\langle \lambda_{\text{ion}} \rangle - |\lambda_{\text{beam}}/2\gamma^2|} \right). \quad (21)$$

Here, E_N is the normalized RMS emittance (radian $\times$ cm) and λ_{beam} is the radially integrated beam charge per unit length along the beam direction. The complexity of Eq. (21) is the R dependence of λ_{ion} . Equation (20) depends on R through I_b and through the collisional part of ρ_{ion} . The focusing action of λ_{ion} in Eq. (21) is a beam-averaged value of the ion-charge density integrated radially out to a particular beam radius. This is because the electrons in the beam at radius r are not affected by the part of the ion charge distribution exterior to r , because of the assumed azimuthal symmetry of the charge distribution.

Equation (21) has a simple interpretation when written in terms of the unnormalized emittance, rearranged, and the canonical angular-momentum term is dropped (it is zero for the $B_z = 0$ cathode of the FXR). This results in

$$E_{\text{un,RMS}}^2 = R_{\text{RMS}}^2 \theta_{\text{RMS}}^2 = R_{\text{RMS}}^2 \frac{q\mu_0}{2\pi M \gamma \beta^2} \left(\langle \lambda_{\text{ion}}(R) \rangle - \frac{\lambda_{\text{beam}}}{2\gamma^2} \right). \quad (22)$$

Because the unnormalized RMS emittance is simply the product of the RMS radius and angular divergence at a waist in the beam, the right-hand factor of Eq. (22) is an expression for the square of the RMS angular divergence. That divergence is large if q and λ_{ion} are large, and small if M and γ are large. The λ_{ion} has to overcome the slightly repulsive nature of the λ_{beam} term, which is very small for high γ , to provide net focusing.

To derive an explicit formula for the equilibrium-beam radius R , we have substituted the Gaussian expression Eq. (13) for the electron-beam current density and the charge density of Eq. (15) into the above expressions. Then we substituted the sum of the laser-induced ionization given by Eq. (4) and the collision-induced ionization given by Eq. (9) into Eq. (20) and carried out the integrals. The resulting expression can be solved for R , and after some work results in the final form of the beam envelope equation:

$$\text{FWHM} = 1.66 \sqrt{N + \sqrt{N^2 + M}}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$M = \frac{17\beta E_N^2 L^2}{I_b \gamma \bar{F}_c 10.5},$$

$$N = \frac{1}{4\gamma \bar{F}_c} \left(\frac{17E_N^2 \beta}{I_b} + \frac{0.191 L^2}{\gamma} \right) - \frac{L^2 T P}{20.9 \bar{F}_c T_N},$$

$$\bar{F}_c = \frac{\lambda_{\text{L,ion}} + 0.5 \lambda_{\text{c,ion}}}{|\lambda_{\text{beam}}|} - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2},$$

and

FWHM = the FWHM of the equilibrium-electron beam,

I_b = electron-beam current in kA,

P = benzene pressure in pascals at 20°C,

T_N = self-neutralization time for 1 Pa of benzene at 20°C from Eq. (8),

T = time during passage of the electron beam,

E_N = normalized RMS emittance of the electron beam,

L = the FWHM of the laser beam,

$\lambda_{L,ion}$ = laser-induced ion charge per unit length integrated over radius—for a Gaussian-shaped laser profile it is $0.600 \times L^2 \times C_0 H_0^2$ [axial, from Eq. (3)],

$\lambda_{c,ion}$ = collision-induced ion charge per unit length integrated over radius that follows from Eq. (9),

λ_{beam} = electron-beam charge per unit length integrated over radius that is determined from Eq. (13),
 β, γ = the beta and gamma of the beam defined by Eq. (6a) and (6b).

In the limit of a very small laser beam, but with the power being adjusted so that λ_{ion} is constant, Eq. (23) reduces to

$$FWHM = 1.66 E_N \sqrt{\frac{17 \beta}{2 I_b \gamma F_c}} \quad (24)$$

Betatron Wavelength

The betatron frequency defined by Eq. (16) or Ref. 3 is independent of r for a flat charge and current distribution and represents the radial oscillation frequency of the beam about the axis. For more complicated distributions, the above frequency is dependent upon r and therefore not a constant in time for a given particle.

The equilibrium betatron frequency defined by Eq. (61) of Ref. 3 can be converted to a characteristic betatron wavelength representing the approximate distance along the beam between successive radial oscillations about the axis. This number is useful for checking if the equilibrium-beam radius is changing significantly over a distance of less than a few betatron wavelengths. Otherwise, the equilibrium formalism of Ref. 3 is not valid. Using Eq. (10) and the definition of emittance, Eq. (11), the betatron wavelength can be written simply as

$$L_\beta = \frac{R^2}{E_{un}} = \frac{R_{RMS}}{\theta_{RMS}} \quad (25)$$

The last form of Eq. (25) is merely the equilibrium RMS radius divided by the angular divergence. Here, we offer another way for estimating an approximate betatron wavelength. It is calculated by using the definition of the betatron frequency [Eq. (16) of Ref. 3] and evaluating the E and B fields from the assumed Gaussian current and charge distributions (including both the laser and collision-induced ion distributions). The resulting frequency is then converted to a length by dividing it into the velocity of the beam. The length is then determined for a radius equal to the equilibrium-beam radius R . The result is

$$L(R) = \frac{\beta c}{\omega_\beta(R)} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta^3 \gamma I_r R^2}{2 I_b [F_{laser c} \{1 - \exp[-R^2/(R^2/1.9)]\} - 0.632(1/\gamma^2 - TP/T_N)]}} \quad (26)$$

where

$$F_{laser c} = \frac{\lambda_{L,ion}}{\lambda_{beam}}$$

and

$$I_r = 17.045 \text{ kA}$$

Although Eq. (26) is evaluated for $r = R$, the result for an arbitrary r can be reconstructed from Eq. (26) simply by substituting r for R and $1 - \exp(-r^2/R^2)$ for the 0.632 factor in Eq. (26). The spread in the approximate betatron wavelength as a function of radius r is related to the growth rate of an emittance

source in the ion channel. For example, in a harmonic channel where the restoring force is linear, the betatron wavelength would be independent of r and coherent motion would be preserved. If the restoring force is nonlinear, then there will be a dependence of the betatron wavelength upon r , which will damp out coherent motion. For most of the cases we have calculated, the difference between values resulting from Eqs. (26) and (25) is less than 10%.

Formulas for Flat Distributions of Laser or Electron Beams

In many situations, the radial profiles of the laser or electron beam may be nearly flat. We can calculate these results in the formalism used above, and the results are simply stated.

When both distributions are flat, the beam-averaged ion charge of Eq. (20) from laser and self-ionization is given by:

$$\langle \lambda_{\text{ion}} \rangle = \lambda_{\text{L,ion}} \left(1 - \frac{R_L^2}{2R_b^2} \right) + \frac{\lambda_{\text{c,ion}}}{2}, \quad R_L \leq R_b, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\langle \lambda_{\text{ion}} \rangle = \lambda_{\text{L,ion}} \left(\frac{R_b^2}{2R_L^2} \right) + \frac{\lambda_{\text{c,ion}}}{2}, \quad R_L \geq R_b, \quad (28)$$

where R_L and R_b are the radii of the laser and electron beams, respectively, and $\lambda_{\text{L,ion}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{c,ion}}$ are the laser- and collision-induced ion charges per unit length integrated over radius, respectively. Note that the beam-averaged ion charge from collisions is half of $\lambda_{\text{c,ion}}$, regardless of whether the electron beam profile is Gaussian or flat.

If the laser profile is flat and the electron profile Gaussian, then

$$\langle \lambda_{\text{ion}} \rangle = \lambda_{\text{L,ion}} \frac{R_{\text{RMS}}^2}{R_L^2} \left(1 - e^{-R_L^2/R_{\text{RMS}}^2} \right) + \frac{\lambda_{\text{c,ion}}}{2}, \quad (29)$$

where R_{RMS} is the RMS value of the electron beam radius. In the two limiting cases where one of the radii of Eq. (29) is very large compared to the other, Eq. (29) reduces to either of Eq. (27) or (28), as long as the RMS radius of the Gaussian beam is equated to $R_b/1.414$, which is the effective RMS value of a flat distribution.

If the laser profile is Gaussian and the electron profile flat, then

$$\langle \lambda_{\text{ion}} \rangle = \lambda_{\text{L,ion}} \left[1 - \frac{R_{\text{L,RMS}}^2}{R_b^2} \left(1 - e^{-R_b^2/R_{\text{L,RMS}}^2} \right) \right], \quad (30)$$

where $R_{\text{L,RMS}}$ is the RMS radius of the laser-induced ion channel, and R_b is the radius of the flat electron-beam profile. The limiting cases reduce Eq. (30) to (27) or (28) with the same interpretation as above.

Substituting these expressions into Eq. (21), one can solve for the size of the electron beam analytically if both profiles are flat or both are Gaussian. The result of the latter calculation was given by Eq. (23). For the flat case, the results for the circular radius are derived keeping in mind that the left side of Eq. (21) is the square of the RMS electron-beam radius, which for a flat profile will be half the square of its actual radius. The results are

$$R_b^2 = \frac{I_b \beta E_N^2 / I_b \gamma + R_L^2 \lambda_{\text{L,ion}} / 2 \lambda_b}{F_c}, \quad R_L \leq R_b, \quad (31)$$

and

$$R_b^2 = \frac{R_L}{\sqrt{f}} \left[\left(\frac{2I_r f \beta E_N^2}{I_b \gamma R_L^2} + H^2 \right)^{1/2} - H \right]^{1/2}, \quad R_L \geq R_b, \quad (32)$$

where, again we define $I_r = 17.045$ kA, and H is given by

$$H = \frac{TP}{2T_N} - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} = \frac{\lambda_{c,ion}}{2\lambda_{beam}} - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} = \bar{F}_c - f \quad (33)$$

and f is given by

$$f = \frac{\lambda_{L,ion}}{\lambda_{beam}} = \frac{\pi R_L^2 C_6 H_6^+ (\text{axial})}{\lambda_{beam}} \quad (34)$$

All the radii for the beam and laser in Eqs. (31) to (34) are the circular values, not RMS values. The normalized emittance is the RMS value, which is the RMS value of the radius at a waist (of the flat profile) times the RMS value of the half-angular divergence divided by $\beta \times \gamma$.

If one takes the R_L of the flat laser beam here to be equal to the RMS radius of the Gaussian laser beam considered in *Laser Ionization* above, and if both beams have the same energy and time duration, they will both have the same axial intensity and number of laser photons integrated over radius. The flat laser beam will, however, produce more ions by a factor of 1.9 than the Gaussian beam. The laser-induced neutralization fraction f of Eq. (34) uses Eq. (3) for the axial ionization per unit volume.

We obtain simplified results for Eqs. (31) and (32) when they are expressed in terms of RMS beam and laser radii (for flat profiles), RMS unnormalized emittance, and we use a limit where the collisional ionization is negligible and γ is large:

$$R_{b,RMS}^2 = \frac{R_{L,RMS}^2}{2} + \frac{E_{un,RMS}^2 I_r \gamma}{2f I_b}, \quad R_{L,RMS} \leq R_{b,RMS} \quad (35)$$

and

$$R_{b,RMS}^2 = R_{L,RMS} E_{un,RMS} \left(\frac{I_r \gamma}{I_b f} \right)^{1/2}, \quad R_{L,RMS} \geq R_{b,RMS} \quad (36)$$

The Spreadsheet Program

We have created a Symphony spreadsheet program for the IBM PC to calculate quantities of interest involving laser-guided beam propagation and ion channels (Fig. 1). The user inputs the following information in the indicated columns. (The columns in Fig. 1 are labeled in the Symphony program as columns A to Z for columns 1 to 26, and from AA to AP for columns 27 to 44.)

- A. Electron beam current in kiloamperes.
- B. The RMS normalized emittance in radian-centimeters.
- C. Laser energy in joules.
- D. Benzene pressure at 20°C in pascals.
- E. The FWHM of the laser beam in centimeters.
- F. Kinetic energy of the electron beam in megaelectron volts.
- G. Time in nanoseconds since passage of the electron beam front (not to exceed beam duration).
- O. Cross section σ_2 in square centimeters (see Ref. 4).
- P. Cross section σ_3 in square centimeters (see Ref. 4).
- AI. Time duration of the KrF laser pulse in seconds.

LASER GUIDING PROGRAM INCLUDING BOTH COLLISIONAL AND LASER IONIZATION

See Part. Accel. 7, p83(1976), J. Chem. Phys. 73, p5468(1980), Opt. Soc. Am. 2, p877

Assumptions: Starred columns apply only to Gaussian laser & elect beams

Benzene ion channel RMS radius = .725*Laser RMS radius,

Flat laser time profile, sig1=3.7e-19cm², tau = 45ns for B2u

Ibeam kAmp	Norm Rad cm	Emit cm	Elaser Joules	Pressure Pa, 20degC	DiamLaser cm FWHM	K.E. MeV	Time ns	EquilFWHM Ebeam cm
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	2	0	1.624872	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	2	20	1.528697	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	2	40	1.447539	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	2	60	1.377850	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	4	0	1.001714	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	4	20	0.959491	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	4	40	0.921944	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	4	60	0.888270	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	6	0	0.829948	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	6	20	0.794893	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	6	40	0.763619	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	6	60	0.735496	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	8	0	0.737698	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	8	20	0.705352	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	8	40	0.676530	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	8	60	0.650845	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	10	0	0.677092	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	10	20	0.646117	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	10	40	0.618579	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	10	60	0.593899	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	12	0	0.633052	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	12	20	0.602869	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	12	40	0.576100	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	12	60	0.552166	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	14	0	0.599031	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	14	20	0.569336	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	14	40	0.543065	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	14	60	0.519633	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	16	0	0.571642	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	16	20	0.542256	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	16	40	0.516322	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	16	60	0.493248	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	18	0	0.548925	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	18	20	0.519735	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	18	40	0.494035	
2	0.15	0.1	0.01073	0.7	18	60	0.471220	
2	0.15	0.1	0.02	0.7	18	0	0.446047	
2	0.15	0.1	0.02	0.7	18	20	0.418824	
2	0.15	0.1	0.02	0.7	18	40	0.395023	
2	0.15	0.1	0.02	0.7	18	60	0.374068	

Figure 1. Symphony spreadsheet that displays beam and ionization properties for an electron beam propagating in an ionized benzene channel.

Last calc 6 25 13 30 59
 Month Day Hour Minute Second
 (1985), and Chem. Phys. Lett. 120, p118 (1985)
 and Phys. Rev. A6, 1972, p. 1507
 , unstarred apply to flat case also Selfneut. Peak
 (Gaussian case) Total fraction IPEAK
 Total axial rhoion/ tP/.133to Watt/cm2 These are part
 axial OK if rhoion/ OK if OK if
 Betatron rhoion/ smaller rhoC6H6o smaller less than Sigma2 Sigma3
 dist cm rho beam than one <<1 ?? than one 7.00E+06 cm2 cm2
 *** ***
 I J K L M N O P
 30.73939 0.456761 0.044557 0.024297 0 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 27.20820 0.410378 0.050645 0.024664 0.006087 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 24.39592 0.374677 0.056732 0.025115 0.012174 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 22.10360 0.346701 0.062819 0.025651 0.018262 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 21.29857 0.176164 0.045217 0.024297 0 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 19.54092 0.168240 0.051830 0.025294 0.006613 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 18.04148 0.162451 0.058443 0.026456 0.013228 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 16.74763 0.158362 0.065056 0.027786 0.019839 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 21.17401 0.121338 0.045369 0.024297 0 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 19.42311 0.118278 0.052344 0.025823 0.006974 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 17.92480 0.116667 0.059318 0.027605 0.013949 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 16.62881 0.116215 0.066293 0.029646 0.020923 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 21.89520 0.095986 0.045428 0.024297 0 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 20.01720 0.094998 0.052673 0.026308 0.007245 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 18.41476 0.095218 0.059918 0.028669 0.014490 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 17.03256 0.096404 0.067163 0.031388 0.021735 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 22.79402 0.080913 0.045456 0.024297 0 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 20.75621 0.081140 0.052917 0.026764 0.007460 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 19.02458 0.082453 0.080377 0.029679 0.014921 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 17.53678 0.084633 0.067838 0.033056 0.022382 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 23.72485 0.070754 0.045472 0.024297 0 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 21.51643 0.071807 0.053111 0.027197 0.007639 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 19.64807 0.073875 0.060751 0.030649 0.015279 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 18.04945 0.076747 0.068391 0.034669 0.022919 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 24.64460 0.063367 0.045481 0.024297 0 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 22.26177 0.065033 0.053274 0.027613 0.007792 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 20.25471 0.067665 0.061067 0.031587 0.015585 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 18.54454 0.071060 0.068860 0.036240 0.023378 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 25.53930 0.057713 0.045488 0.024297 0 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 22.98101 0.059858 0.053414 0.028015 0.007926 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 20.83541 0.062936 0.061340 0.032499 0.015852 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 19.01462 0.066747 0.069266 0.037778 0.023778 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 26.40497 0.053222 0.045492 0.024297 0 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 23.67133 0.055757 0.053537 0.028404 0.008044 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 21.38823 0.059199 0.061581 0.033388 0.016089 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 19.45843 0.063354 0.069626 0.039287 0.024133 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 17.43493 0.065503 0.084795 0.024297 0 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 15.37171 0.072746 0.099790 0.030622 0.014994 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 13.67429 0.081383 0.114784 0.038517 0.029989 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19
 12.26188 0.091051 0.129779 0.048084 0.044983 6.39E+06 4.4E-18 3.7E-19

Figure 1. (Continued.)

Last calculation done

86

6

Year

Month

of laser-induced ionization calculation

Alpha 1 Alpha2 Gamma + Gamma - Factor
 Same definition as in Journal

Laser- On axis Axial
 induced laser- Laser-
 CSH6+ on induced Laser-
 axis in Benzene rhoion/
 atoms/cm3 ionfract rhoibeam

Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.456761
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.404291
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.362503
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.328439
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.176164
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.161626
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.149224
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.138522
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.121338
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.111304
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.102718
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.095291
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.095986
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.087753
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.080728
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.074669
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.080913
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.073679
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.067532
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.062251
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.070754
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.064168
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.058596
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.053828
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.063367
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.057240
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.052079
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.047682
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.057713
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.051932
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.047083
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.042969
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.053222
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.047712
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.043110
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	6.4E+10	0.024297	0.039221
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	1.2E+11	0.024297	0.065503
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	1.2E+11	0.024297	0.057751
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	1.2E+11	0.024297	0.051374
6.31E+07	1.69E+14	-2807479	-6.0E+07	1.34E-08	1.2E+11	0.024297	0.046067

Figure 1. (Continued.)

25 Day	13 Hour	30 Minute	59 Second	Time to Neutral- ize beam for one Pascal20d				Factors FWHM calc
FClaser Qion/beam	FClaser/ FCtotal	FCbar total	pressure TN, ns	Betatron L(R) cm	Gamma electrons	Beta elect.	N	
*** Y	*** Z	*** AA	AB	*** AC	AD	AE	*** AF	
0.044557	1	0.023850	35.25341	31.93847	4.913894	0.979073	0.440047	
0.044557	0.879803	0.026894	35.25341	27.32667	4.913894	0.979073	0.384939	
0.044557	0.785401	0.029938	35.25341	23.85436	4.913894	0.979073	0.341037	
0.044557	0.709294	0.032981	35.25341	21.23647	4.913894	0.979073	0.305238	
0.045217	1	0.038801	32.45001	19.22947	8.827788	0.993583	0.146428	
0.045217	0.872408	0.042107	32.45001	17.51530	8.827788	0.993583	0.131245	
0.045217	0.773688	0.045414	32.45001	16.08748	8.827788	0.993583	0.118275	
0.045217	0.695040	0.048721	32.45001	14.87869	8.827788	0.993583	0.107068	
0.045369	1	0.042289	30.78913	18.68954	12.74168	0.998915	0.091865	
0.045369	0.866756	0.045777	30.78913	17.10808	12.74168	0.998915	0.081295	
0.045369	0.764846	0.049264	30.78913	15.77358	12.74168	0.998915	0.072221	
0.045369	0.684378	0.052751	30.78913	14.63061	12.74168	0.998915	0.064347	
0.045428	1	0.043825	29.61987	19.20438	16.65557	0.998195	0.067616	
0.045428	0.862451	0.047248	29.61987	17.56685	16.65557	0.998195	0.058837	
0.045428	0.758168	0.050870	29.61987	16.17907	16.65557	0.998195	0.051308	
0.045428	0.676380	0.054493	29.61987	14.98618	16.65557	0.998195	0.044780	
0.045456	1	0.044274	28.76381	19.97197	20.56947	0.998817	0.053687	
0.045456	0.859010	0.048004	28.76381	18.22541	20.56947	0.998817	0.045871	
0.045456	0.752864	0.051735	28.76381	16.74325	20.56947	0.998817	0.039183	
0.045456	0.670065	0.055465	28.76381	15.46838	20.56947	0.998817	0.033394	
0.045472	1	0.044638	28.08983	20.81010	24.48336	0.999165	0.044586	
0.045472	0.856157	0.048457	28.08983	18.93232	24.48336	0.999165	0.037375	
0.045472	0.748491	0.052277	28.08983	17.33914	24.48336	0.999165	0.031218	
0.045472	0.664880	0.056097	28.08983	15.96994	24.48336	0.999165	0.025899	
0.045481	1	0.044861	27.53851	21.66025	28.39728	0.999379	0.038154	
0.045481	0.853725	0.048758	27.53851	19.63984	28.39728	0.999379	0.031358	
0.045481	0.744782	0.052654	27.53851	17.92765	28.39728	0.999379	0.025567	
0.045481	0.660497	0.056550	27.53851	16.45880	28.39728	0.999379	0.020575	
0.045488	1	0.045009	27.07477	22.50048	32.31115	0.999520	0.033358	
0.045488	0.851609	0.048972	27.07477	20.33082	32.31115	0.999520	0.026884	
0.045488	0.741568	0.052935	27.07477	18.49548	32.31115	0.999520	0.021342	
0.045488	0.656710	0.056898	27.07477	16.92475	32.31115	0.999520	0.016590	
0.045492	1	0.045111	26.67635	23.32222	36.22504	0.999618	0.029641	
0.045492	0.849736	0.049134	26.67635	20.99912	36.22504	0.999618	0.023378	
0.045492	0.738735	0.053156	26.67635	19.03843	36.22504	0.999618	0.018059	
0.045492	0.653382	0.057178	26.67635	17.36515	36.22504	0.999618	0.013490	
0.084795	1	0.084414	26.67635	15.67890	36.22504	0.999618	0.015840	
0.084795	0.849736	0.091911	26.67635	13.86593	36.22504	0.999618	0.010723	
0.084795	0.738735	0.099408	26.67635	12.35474	36.22504	0.999618	0.006378	
0.084795	0.653382	0.106906	26.67635	11.08484	36.22504	0.999618	0.002643	

Figure 1. (Continued.)

Last calculation done				86	6	25	13	30
				Year	Month	Day	Hour	Minute
in beam				These entries apply to the case of a				
M	Electron Theta RMS rad	Flat- Profiled Tlaser sec	Laser- induced Rion/ Relectron	Circular laser diameter cm	Circular Ebeandiam cm	Previous column divided by 1.205	Fc total	
***	***	***	***					
AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	
0.074763	0.031873	2.80E-08	0.312332	0.8435	1.689469	1.402049	0.084659	
0.066302	0.033879	2.80E-08	0.331981	0.8435	1.650646	1.369831	0.090747	
0.059561	0.035778	2.80E-08	0.350595	0.8435	1.614382	1.339736	0.096834	
0.054065	0.037588	2.80E-08	0.368327	0.8435	1.580408	1.311542	0.102921	
0.025959	0.028359	2.80E-08	0.506631	0.8435	1.211415	1.005324	0.085912	
0.023921	0.029607	2.80E-08	0.528926	0.8435	1.186981	0.985046	0.092525	
0.022179	0.030813	2.80E-08	0.550467	0.8435	1.163967	0.965948	0.099139	
0.020674	0.031981	2.80E-08	0.571334	0.8435	1.142243	0.947919	0.105752	
0.016557	0.023635	2.80E-08	0.611483	0.8435	1.043548	0.866015	0.086202	
0.015296	0.024677	2.80E-08	0.638449	0.8435	1.022324	0.848401	0.093177	
0.014213	0.025688	2.80E-08	0.664598	0.8435	1.002344	0.831820	0.100151	
0.013273	0.026670	2.80E-08	0.690010	0.8435	0.983491	0.816175	0.107126	
0.012294	0.020316	2.80E-08	0.687950	0.8435	0.951756	0.789839	0.086313	
0.011351	0.021247	2.80E-08	0.719498	0.8435	0.931991	0.773436	0.093558	
0.010543	0.022152	2.80E-08	0.750150	0.8435	0.913408	0.758014	0.100803	
0.009842	0.023034	2.80E-08	0.779994	0.8435	0.895894	0.743480	0.108048	
0.009815	0.017911	2.80E-08	0.749527	0.8435	0.892611	0.740758	0.086368	
0.009052	0.018770	2.80E-08	0.785480	0.8435	0.873686	0.725051	0.093827	
0.008399	0.015606	2.80E-08	0.820428	0.8435	0.855916	0.710304	0.101288	
0.007835	0.020420	2.80E-08	0.854522	0.8435	0.839168	0.696405	0.108749	
0.008182	0.016089	2.80E-08	0.801671	0.8435	0.850933	0.706168	0.086397	
0.007537	0.016895	2.80E-08	0.841807	0.8435	0.832411	0.690797	0.094036	
0.006986	0.017680	2.80E-08	0.880923	0.8435	0.814380	0.675834	0.101676	
0.006510	0.018446	2.80E-08	0.919106	0.8435	0.796800	0.661245	0.109316	
0.007020	0.014656	2.80E-08	0.847200	0.8435	0.819116	0.679764	0.088415	
0.006459	0.015421	2.80E-08	0.891389	0.8435	0.799624	0.663588	0.094208	
0.005981	0.016167	2.80E-08	0.934510	0.8435	0.780631	0.647827	0.102001	
0.005569	0.016896	2.80E-08	0.976850	0.8435	0.762168	0.632504	0.109793	
0.006150	0.013496	2.80E-08	0.887792	0.8435	0.792562	0.657728	0.086427	
0.005653	0.014228	2.80E-08	0.935904	0.8435	0.772124	0.640767	0.094353	
0.005229	0.014942	2.80E-08	0.982912	0.8435	0.752260	0.624282	0.102280	
0.004865	0.015641	2.80E-08	1.028897	0.8435	0.733004	0.608302	0.110206	
0.005474	0.012535	2.80E-08	0.924533	0.8435	0.769844	0.638875	0.086438	
0.005026	0.013239	2.80E-08	0.976459	0.8435	0.748535	0.621190	0.094480	
0.004645	0.013928	2.80E-08	1.027254	0.8435	0.727873	0.604044	0.102525	
0.004319	0.014802	2.80E-08	1.076989	0.8435	0.707899	0.587468	0.110589	
0.002925	0.015428	2.80E-08	1.137772	0.8435	0.658394	0.546385	0.161111	
0.002886	0.016429	2.80E-08	1.211725	0.8435	0.633850	0.525851	0.176105	
0.002484	0.017419	2.80E-08	1.284733	0.8435	0.609985	0.506195	0.191100	
0.002310	0.018395	2.80E-08	1.356709	0.8435	0.587414	0.487481	0.208095	

Figure 1. (Continued.)

59
Second

flat laser and electron beam profil

K.E. MeV	Circular edge		
	EbeamdianEbeamdian		
	Gaussian if laser=if laser=		
	EquilFWHM	smaller	larger
MeV	Ebeam cm	cm	cm
AO	AP	AQ	AR

2	1.624872	1.689469	1.335692
2	1.528097	1.650646	1.325115
2	1.447539	1.614382	1.314808
2	1.377850	1.580408	1.304188
4	1.001714	1.211415	1.117366
4	0.959491	1.186881	1.104635
4	0.921944	1.163967	1.092043
4	0.886270	1.142243	1.079595
6	0.829948	1.043548	1.009824
6	0.794893	1.022324	0.995310
6	0.763619	1.002344	0.981006
6	0.735496	0.983491	0.966921
8	0.737898	0.951756	0.940417
8	0.705352	0.931991	0.924406
8	0.676530	0.913408	0.908676
8	0.650645	0.895894	0.893241
10	0.677092	0.892611	0.890013
10	0.646117	0.873686	0.872704
10	0.618579	0.855916	0.855750
10	0.593899	0.839188	0.839188
12	0.633052	0.850933	0.850868
12	0.602869	0.832551	0.832411
12	0.576100	0.815312	0.814360
12	0.552166	0.799101	0.796800
14	0.599031	0.819826	0.819116
14	0.569336	0.801821	0.799624
14	0.543065	0.784952	0.780631
14	0.519633	0.769106	0.762168
16	0.571642	0.795852	0.792562
16	0.542258	0.777919	0.772124
16	0.516322	0.761322	0.752260
16	0.493246	0.745743	0.733004
18	0.548925	0.776291	0.769844
18	0.519735	0.758761	0.748535
18	0.494035	0.742367	0.727873
18	0.471220	0.726992	0.707899
18	0.448047	0.698517	0.688394
18	0.418824	0.662775	0.633650
18	0.395023	0.628051	0.609985
18	0.374066	0.654240	0.587414

Figure 1. (Continued.)

The last three inputs above are separated from the others because they remain relatively constant. This leaves room in the printout from a standard-width printer running in compressed mode for the seven important inputs along with seven of the most important derived quantities.

The calculated quantities are as follows.

- H. Equilibrium electron-beam FWHM in centimeters.
 - I. Betatron wavelength in centimeters [Eq. (25)].
 - J. Ratio of ions per unit volume from all sources to the electron beam charge per unit volume. This should be less than one.
 - K. The FC total, which is the ratio of ion charge per unit length (from both laser and collisional sources) integrated over radius, divided by the ion charge per unit length of the electron beam. This should also be less than one.
 - L. Ratio of ion charge per unit volume (from both laser and collisional sources) to the density of neutral benzene atoms before the laser pulse arrives. If this is small compared to unity, the linear relation is valid [Eq. (9)].
 - M. Fraction of the beam charge density that is self-neutralized by collisions. This should be less than one.
 - N. Axial (peak) value of laser power in watts/square centimeter. It should be less than 7 MW/cm² to minimize fragmentation of benzene.
 - Q to T. These are related to the laser ionization calculation and are given in the definition of terms for Eq. (3) as well as being defined in Ref. 4.
 - U. Right-hand factor in Ref. 4 for $C_6H_6^+$ for laser ionization.
 - V. Laser-induced number of $C_6H_6^+$ ions/volume on axis.
 - W. Fraction of original benzene density on axis that has been laser ionized.
 - X. Axial ratio of laser-induced ion density to electron beam density.
 - Y. Ratio of laser-induced charge per unit length to electron beam charge per unit length.
 - Z. Ratio of laser-induced charge per unit length to laser- plus collision-induced charge per unit length.
 - AA. The $\bar{F}_e$ as described under the definition of terms for Eq. (23).
 - AB. Time in nanoseconds to completely neutralize the electron beam in 1 Pa of benzene at 20°C.
 - AC. Betatron wavelength evaluated at the equilibrium radius, as given by Eq. (26).
 - AD and AE. Values of gamma and beta for the electron-beam energy.
 - AF and AG. Values of N and M as defined in Eq. (23).
 - AH. The RMS electron angular divergence in radians, derived from the beam emittance and equilibrium radius.
 - AJ. Ratio of laser-induced RMS ion-channel radius to the equilibrium RMS radius of the electron beam.
- The subsequent columns pertain to a flat laser and electron-beam profile. The diameter of the flat laser profile is set equal to twice the RMS radius of the Gaussian laser profile entered by the user into column E. The energy, time, and axial intensity of the laser beam as given by columns C, AI, and N are valid for either the flat- or Gaussian-laser cases. All starred columns up to this point are valid only for the Gaussian case.
- AK. Circular diameter of the flat laser beam, derived from the entry in column E.
 - AL. Equilibrium diameter in centimeters of the electron beam, taken from Eq. (31) or (32) (whichever applies).
 - AM. The previous column divided by 1.205. This is included for direct comparison with the Gaussian FWHM result. Column AM is 1.66 times half of the circular beam diameter.
 - AN. Total neutralization factor, analogous to the FC total of column K for the Gaussian case. Column AN will exceed column K because the flat laser beam produces more ions.
 - AO. Kinetic energy of the electron beam from column F.
 - AP. The FWHM of the Gaussian beam taken from column H.
- The sample spreadsheet of Fig. 1 is for an electron beam varying from 2 to 18 MeV with a fixed emittance, laser diameter, and gas pressure. The beam properties are evaluated at four times (0, 20, 40, and 60 ns) during the 60-ns pulse of the FXR. If collisional ionization is significant, then the equilibrium-beam FWHM will decrease throughout the beam pulse. The betatron distance divided by c is less than 1 ns, whereas the time steps are 20 ns apart. The equilibrium-beam radius does not change more than 12%

Column	Formula
H	$1.66*\text{SQRT}(AF+\text{SQRT}(AF^2+AG))$
I	$+AE*AD*0.363*H^2/B$
J	$+X+H$
K	$+Y+H$
L	$+H+H*A/(1352*AE*D*H^2)$
M	$+G*D/(AB)$
N (S2)	$+C/AI*1.14*E^2$
Q (S2)	$(3.700E-19+O+F)*1.251E+18*N+22000000$
R (S2)	$0.463*N*(1.251E+18*O*N+22000000)$
S (G)	$(-Q+\text{SQRT}(Q^2-4*R))/2$
T	$(-Q-\text{SQRT}(Q^2-4*R))/2$
U (S2)	$\text{EXP}(S*AI)/S-\text{EXP}(T*AI)/T+1/T-1/S$
V	$1.4307E+32*O*N^2*D*U/(S-T)$
W	$+V/(2.47E+14*D)$
X	$+Y*(H/(0.725*E))^2$
Y	$+V*0.6*E^2*AE/208000000000*A)$
Z	$+Y/K$
AA	$+Y-1/(2*AD^2)+G*D/(2*AB)$
AB	$+AE*412/((\text{LN}(AE^2*AD^2)-AE^2+9.259))$
AC	$\text{SQRT}(AE^3*3.08*AD*H^2/(A*(Y*(1-\text{EXP}(-1.9*H^2/E^2))-0.632/AD^2+0.632*M)))$
AD	$+(F/0.511)+1$
AE	$\text{SQRT}(1-1/AD^2)$
AF	$((17*B^2*AE/A+0.191*E^2/AD)/(4*AD*AA))-E^2*G*D/(A*20.9*AB)$
AG	$(B^2*0.191*E^2*AE*17)/(2*AD*AA*A)$
AH	$+B/(AE*AD*0.602*H)$
AJ	$0.725*E/H$
AK	$1.205*E$
AL	$\text{IF}(AQ>AK,AQ,AR)$
AM	$+AL/1.205$
AN	$1.9*Y+M$
AO	$+F$
AP	$+H$
AQ	$2*\text{SQRT}(((17*AE*B^2)/(A*AD)+(AK^2*0.2375*Y))/(1.9*Y+0.5*M-0.5/AD^2))$
AR	$+AK*\text{SQRT}((\text{SQRT}(AT^2+258.4*Y*AE*B^2/(A*AD*AK^2))-AT)/(1.9*Y))$
AT	$+M/2-0.5/AD^2$

Figure 2. Symphony formulas for the dependent variables of Fig. 1 spreadsheet for an electron beam in an ionized benzene channel.

during the pulse once the beam reaches 4 MeV. The last four rows of Fig. 1 involve higher benzene pressures.

Results for the flat-profile case show that for this set of input parameters, the FWHM of the flat-beam profile (column AM) is greater than the FWHM of the beam for the Gaussian case (column AP). This is despite the fact that the flat laser beam produces more ionization. It is not the total ions per unit length, but the beam-averaged ionization that matters in Eq. (21) for the beam size. Figure 2 shows the actual Symphony formulas for each of the dependent variables.

This program requires about 35 s to calculate a full three-page output (compressed print) on an IBM PCXT without the math co-processor.

Conclusions

We have derived beam envelope equations for two special cases of radial beam profiles, which include two sources of ionization, and have written a spreadsheet that is available for evaluating many properties of the beam and the ionization. We have found our spreadsheet to be convenient for exploring various combinations of input parameters in designing laser-guided configurations. The results of this work will be compared with experimental data from the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ATA as they become available.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge G. Caporaso and V. Neil of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, who developed a simple form of Eq. (23) and provided many helpful discussions and references.

References

1. W. E. Martin, G. J. Caporaso, W. M. Fawley, D. Prosnitz, and A. G. Cole, "Electron-Beam Guiding and Phase-Mix Damping by a Laser-Ionized Channel," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**(7), 685 (1985).
2. D. S. Prono and G. J. Caporaso, "Electrostatic Channel Guiding: A Technological Breakthrough," *Energy Technol. Rev.* **1** (March 1985), Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, UCRL-52000-85-3.
3. E. P. Lee and R. K. Cooper, "General Envelope Equation for Cylindrically Symmetric Charged-Particle Beams," *Part. Accel.* **7**, 83 (1976).
4. J. P. Reilly and K. L. Kompa, "Laser Induced Multiphoton Ionization Mass Spectrum of Benzene," *J. Chem. Phys.* **73**, 5468 (1980).
5. W. K. Bischel, L. E. Jusinski, M. N. Spencer, and D. J. Eckstrom, "Absolute Two-Photon Ionization Yields for Selected Organic Molecules at 248 nm," *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **B2**, 877 (1985).
6. M. Rossi and D. J. Eckstrom, "Quantitative Aspects of Benzene Photoionization at 248 nm," *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **120**, 118 (1985).
7. F. F. Rieke and W. Prepejchal, "Ionization Cross Sections of Gaseous Atoms and Molecules for High-Energy Electrons and Positrons," *Phys. Rev. Sect. A* **A6**, 1507 (1972).