159
8/5/85

③ 2-1238-6

LBL-19592
UC-21

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

**Accelerator & Fusion
Research Division**

LBL--19592

DE85 016489

PROGRAM PLAN FOR THE MBE-4 MULTIPLE BEAM EXPERIMENT

R.T. Avery

February 1985

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

PROGRAM PLAN FOR
THE MBE-4 MULTIPLE BEAM EXPERIMENT*

Prepared by
Robert T. Avery
on behalf of the

Heavy Ion Fusion Accelerator Research Group
Accelerator and Fusion Research Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

February 1985

* This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Dept. of Energy, under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00058.

MASTER

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
ACCELERATOR & FUSION RESEARCH DIVISION

Heavy Ion Fusion Accelerator Research (HIFAR) Group
Fiscal Year 1985

Group Leader: D. Keefe
Deputy Leader: T. Fessenden

Scientific Staff: J. Bisognano, V. Brady, C. Celata,
W. Chupp, T. Fessenden, D. Judd, D. Keefe,
C. Kim, G. Krafft, L. Laslett, E. Lee,
L. Smith, M. Tiefenback, A. Warwick.

Engineering/Design Staff:

Mechanical: R. Avery, T. Chan, O. Fredriksson,
T. Henderson, J. Hovingh, J. Meneghetti,
J. Shkredka, D. Vanecek.

Electronics: D. Brodzik, C. Chavis, A. Faltens, D. Gough,
E. Hartwig, E. Hazelton, C. Pike,
S. Rosenblum.

Technical Staff:

Mechanical: Z. Daigian, E. Edwards, W. Ghiorso,
W. Greenway, R. Hipple, (C. Kemp-Supercon),
B. MacDonnell, H. Meyer, S. Ryce, M. Towler.

Electronics: C. Houston, A. Kruser, S. Meintz, T. Purtell,
R. Rice, G. Stoker.

Administrative/Clerical Staff (Shared):

J. Kono, S. Mesetz, J. Mohrman, A. Tidwell,
O. Wong, J. Zelter.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 1981 scientists at LBL, LANL, and SLAC developed a research plan⁽¹⁾ to explore both the rf/storage ring and induction linac approaches to heavy ion inertial fusion power. Recognizing that funding for both in parallel would not be available, a more detailed 1983 plan⁽²⁾ identified a High Temperature Experiment (HTE) based on a multiple-beam induction linac as a major near term programmatic objective for the U.S. Heavy Ion Inertial Fusion program. A cost goal for the facility was set at 60-80 M\$ (FY84) with construction anticipated during FY87-FY89. The HTE will be able to develop technology at a scale adequate for an accurate fusion driver cost assessment and verify the physics of the interaction of heavy ion beams with matter by heating solid targets to temperatures of 50 to 100 eV. The linac will accelerate, for example, 16 sodium ion beams to 125 MeV at a total current of 100 A, increased to 1000 A at the target by final compression. The experiment will reach, remarkably, within a factor of three of testing at full scale many of the important features of a power plant driver.

The 1983 plan also recognized that a pilot multiple-beam accelerator that would test on a much shorter scale as many features of the HTE accelerator as possible was the logical first step toward HTE. A Multiple Beam Experiment (MBE-16) was designed^(3,4) that would test the production, acceleration, and handling of 16 parallel cesium and sodium beams at currents and energies similar to those that will exist in the front end of the HTE accelerator. These designs were presented at the DOE Review in June 1984. The sizes of the MBE-16 induction units were chosen to be of a large enough scale that they would simulate the largest units needed in HTE. Thus, this experiment was designed as a direct route to the HTE in that it would both demonstrate much of the accelerator physics and develop much of the HTE accelerator technology. During the summer of 1984, it became clear that budget appropriations required to construct the MBE-16 on a timely schedule would not be forthcoming. As a consequence, the Multiple Beam Experiment was redefined with a reduced number of beams (from 16 down to 4) and with cores of much smaller radius, and the designation was changed to MBE-4 to reflect the change to four ion beams. This is a much smaller experiment that models much of the physics of the HTE accelerator and, indeed, can provide experimental answers to accelerator physics questions earlier than could MBE-16. However, MBE-4

will do little to develop technology at the scale necessary for the HTE; the technology scale-up must await a better budgetary climate in a later fiscal year.

MBE-4

The MBE-4 experiment will use four beams of cesium at an injection energy of 0.2 MV. These beams will be accelerated to nearly 1.0 MV by 24 linear induction accelerating units. In the most aggressive acceleration schedules being contemplated, the beamlet current will increase from approximately 10 mA at injection to nearly 40 mA at the end of the experiment. Thus, the MBE-4 will demonstrate significant current amplification resulting from both an increase in particle speed and a shortening of the length of the beam bunch. Moreover, as in HTE, fields must be provided at the head and tail of the beam that prevent space charge from spreading the bunch length. In examining the scaling with injection energy, and with quadrupole size, we have been careful to preserve space charge domination of the beams, both transversely (depends on beam current density) and longitudinally (depends on line density of charge). We note that the total beam-power amplification in MBE-4 could be a factor of eighteen.

In some respects the MBE-4 is a one-tenth scale version of the MBE-16 which was described in the June 84 review. The minor transverse dimensions of the electrostatic lattice are the same as those of the MBE-16 design. However, since there are only apertures for four beams in the MBE-4 design, the major lattice diameter is reduced to less than 12 inches. A second principal difference is a reduction of the beam injection energy by a factor of ten which permits a corresponding reduction in the amount of magnetic material contained in the induction accelerator units to achieve the same ratio of output to input energy.

The technical description in subsequent chapters treats the arrangement of the Injector System (made up of an existing High Voltage Marx Generator, a new Four-beam Source array and a Beam Conditioning Unit for matching and steering) and the Accelerator Apparatus which contains the 24 shaped-pulse accelerating units. Flexibility in diagnostic capability and physics experiments has been maintained insofar as possible.

PROGRAM PLAN FOR THE MBE-4 MULTIPLE BEAM EXPERIMENT

February 1985

CONTENTS

Heavy Ion Fusion Accelerator Research (HIFAR) Group	(i)
Executive Summary	(ii)
List of Figures	(vi)
List of Tables	(viii)
A INTRODUCTION	1
B MBE-4 PRINCIPAL PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS	3
C MBE-4 GENERAL ARRANGEMENT	5
D MBE-4 INJECTOR SYSTEM	10
D1 Marx HV Generator	10
D2 Four-Beam Source	10
D3 Beam Conditioning Unit	14
D3.1 Collimating Apertures	14
D3.2 Steering Arrays	17
D3.3 Matching Quadrupoles	17
D3.4 Diagnostic Boxes	25
D3.5 Faraday Cup Array	27
D3.6 Capacitive Pickup Array	27
D3.7 Emittance Sensors	27
D3.8 Harps	32
D3.9 Support and Alignment	32
D4 Vacuum System	35
D5 Control and Monitoring	35
D6 Installation	35
E ACCELERATOR APPARATUS	40
E1 Induction Acceleration	40
E1.1 Induction Insulator	40
E1.2 Induction Cores	43
E1.3 Induction Power System	45

E2	Timing System	45
E3	Accelerator Quadrupoles	48
E4	Diagnostics	48
	E4.1 Beam Sensing Boxes	50
	E4.2 Faraday Cup Array	50
	E4.3 Emittance Sensors	50
	E4.4 Harps	50
	E4.5 Energy Analyzer	50
	E4.6 Steering Arrays	50
E5	Support and Alignment	51
E6	Vacuum System	51
E7	Control and Monitoring	52
E8	Installation	52
F	SCHEDULE	53
G	EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM	55
	G1 Accelerator Physics Addressed by MBE-4	57
	G1.1 Effects Produced by Multiple Beams	57
	G1.2 Bunch Length Control	57
	G1.3 Induction Acceleration and Current Amplification of Ion Beams	57
	G1.4 Longitudinal Ion Beam Dynamics	57
	G1.5 Longitudinal/Transverse Coupling	58
	G1.6 6-Dimensional Emittance Growth	58
	G1.7 Beam Position Control and Steering	58
	G1.8 Reduced Voltage Injection	59
	G2 Issues Not Fully Addressed by MBE-4	59
	G2.1 Number of Pulsers	59
	G2.2 Transition to Magnetic Focussing	59
	G2.3 Fabrication and Alignment Errors	60
	G2.4 Injection Energy	60
	G2.5 Full-Scale Components	60
	G3 MBE-4 Future Modifications	60
	G3.1 Change in Lattice Period	60
	G3.2 Magnetic Quadrupoles	61
	G3.3 Additional Length	61
	G3.4 Many Low-Voltage Pulsers	61
	REFERENCES	63

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
Fig. C-1 Plan View Showing MBE-4 Located in Existing Building 58.	6
Fig. C-2 Cross-Section of Building 58 Hi-Bay Looking West Showing Location of MBE-4.	7
Fig. C-3 MBE-4 Diagrammatic Layout.	8
Fig. C-4 Side View of Entire MBE-4 Apparatus.	9
Fig. D2-1 MBE-4 Injector System; Four Beam Source	11
Fig. D2-2 Ion Trajectories for One of Four Cesium Ion Beams.	13
Fig. D3-1 Tentative Locations of Diagnostic Devices	16
Fig. D3.2-1 Isometric View of Steering Array for Ion Beams	18
Fig. D3.2-2 Steering Array Electrical Power Diagram for One of Four Ion Beams.	19
Fig. D3.3-1 Transverse Cross-Section of Matching Quadrupole Array.	20
Fig. D3.3-2 Longitudinal Cross-Section of Matching Quadrupole <i>Doublet</i> Array.	21
Fig. D3.3-3 An Example of Quadrupole Voltages Producing Suitable Matching from the MBE-4 Injector to the Accelerator Sections. 10 mA, 200 keV Cesium Ion Beam having Emittance of 1.5×10^{-7} m-rad.	23
Fig. D3.3-4 Power Schematic for MBE-4 Quadrupole Focussing Systems.	24
Fig. D3.4-1 Transverse Cross-Section (Looking Upstream) of Diagnostic Box #4A with Four Diagnostic Devices Installed.	26

Fig. D3.5-1	Configuration of Faraday Cup Array.	28
Fig. D3.5-2	Electrical Circuit for Faraday Cup Array and Capacitive Pickup Array.	29
Fig. D3.6-1	Configuration of Capacitive Pickup Array.	30
Fig. D3.7-1	Configuration of an Emittance Sensor Array for Measuring Emittance in One Plane.	31
Fig. D3.7-2	Electrical Circuit for an Emittance Sensor Array.	33
Fig. D3.8-1	Electrical Circuit Schematic for the Harps.	34
Fig. D4-1	MBE-4 Vacuum Schematic Injector System and First Accelerator Section.	36
Fig. D6-1	MBE-4 Phase 1A Injector Installation and Test Configuration.	38
Fig. D6-2	MBE-4 Phase 1B Installation/Test Configuration (through Box #M1).	39
Fig. E1-1	MBE-4 Induction Acceleration Diagram.	41
Fig. E1.1-1	Induction Insulator and Core Configuration.	42
Fig. E1.3-1	Examples of Theoretical and Real World Waveforms Being Considered for Incorporation into MBE-4.	46
Fig. E2-1	Electrical Circuit Schematic for the Timing System.	47
Fig. F-1	MBE-4 Schedule Objectives.	54

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
Table B-1 Principal Parameters of MBE-4 Multiple Beam Experiment with Corresponding Values for MBE-16 and HTE Included for Comparison.	4
Table D2-1 MBE-4 Injector Four-Beam Source Principal Parameters.	12
Table D3-1 Diagnostic Devices in Beam Conditioning Unit.	15
Table D3.3-1 Injector System Beam Conditioning Unit Electrostatic Matching Quadrupole Array Parameters.	25
Table E1.2-1 Induction Cores and Core Material Available for Use in MBE-4	44
Table E4-1 Diagnostic Devices in MBE-4 Accelerator Apparatus	49
Table G-1 Comparison of the MBE-4 and the HTE Accelerators	56

A. INTRODUCTION

The Heavy Ion Fusion Accelerator Research (HIFAR) program⁽⁵⁾ at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (LBL) is a research and development effort directed towards collection of a database regarding heavy ion accelerators that could be applicable for future heavy ion inertial fusion power generation. Present HIFAR plans call for several steps leading in a few years to the construction of a High Temperature Experiment (HTE) which would produce significant heating of a target. The accelerator portion of HTE will incorporate several innovations including (a) generation of multiple high current ion beams of low emittance, (b) simultaneous induction acceleration and transport of the multiple ion beams without sacrifice of emittance, and (c) current amplification which demands shaped accelerating voltage waveforms to give greater velocity to the tail of the ion bunch relative to the head.

Before embarking on construction of HTE, it was considered prudent to design and build a Multiple Beam Experiment (MBE) which would simulate in a pilot apparatus at a smaller scale as many as possible of the innovative accelerator features that are contemplated for HTE. The goal of MBE is to more fully establish the credibility of the HTE design concepts.

Until June 1984, the MBE effort was directed towards a sixteen-beam configuration (MBE-16) with injection at 2 MeV. The MBE-16 experiment would have been the bulk of the accelerator research to prepare the way for the High Temperature Experiment and was intended to address simultaneously both the beam physics of accelerating 16 beams to modest energy as well as demonstrating technology components at a scale suitable for HTE. This configuration was presented at the June 1984 DOE Review at LBL and is set forth in a separate report.⁽³⁾

In mid-July 1984, it was learned that the Senate/House Conference Committee had reduced the 9M\$ recommended in the President's Budget and by the House Appropriations Committee for the U.S. HIFAR program in FY85 to 5.5M\$. It was immediately clear that the MBE-16 plan as presented could not proceed in FY85 because that plan called for significant expansion in the engineering design effort and substantial front-end costs for tooling of the

focussing-arrays, large induction cores and large insulators. Consequently, the HIFAR group's effort switched to re-defining the program plan to be consistent with the FY85 budget. This resulted in the following plan for FY85 and beyond:

- 1) Proceed as rapidly as possible with a scaled-down Multiple Beam Experiment (called MBE-4, reflecting the choice of 4 beams) to constitute a proof-of-principle accelerator which can produce some results in FY85 and significant results in FY86. MBE-4 is described further in the following sections of this report.
- 2) Maintain the 2 MeV, 16-beam, high-current injector program at LANL in its present form as a technology development demonstration for HTE, but with a slip in schedule to late FY86. Postpone fabrication of the 16-beam matching/diagnostics section until FY86.
- 3) Postpone the development, fabrication and testing of large 16-beam HTE-size accelerating units until FY86 and FY87. In FY85, small-scale component development will continue, but at a modest pace.
- 4) Continue experiments with the Single Beam Transport Experiment (SBTE) until the end of FY85.

The foregoing plan should put us in a position in FY87 in which most of the novel physics of HTE will have been demonstrated at reduced scale on MBE-4 and in which many of the large-scale components for HTE (16-beam injector, matching/diagnostics section plus some accelerating /transport) will have been proven. Thus, one may then be in a position to proceed with confidence to build HTE.

The remainder of this report describes the anticipated performance and the major components of the MBE-4 Multiple Beam Experiment. The experimental program using this MBE-4 is described later together with a discussion of the physics and engineering issues which MBE-4 will be able to address.

B. MBE-4 PRINCIPAL PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS

The principal performance parameters for MBE-4 are set forth in Table B-1 together with the corresponding values for MBE-16 and the reference HTE design for comparison. We note the following with respect to Table B-1:

- 1) The beam-to-beam spacing (and therefore, the electrode-to-electrode pitch) are the same as were planned for MBE-16 and the ion beam transverse dimensions are comparable to those of MBE-16. Consideration was given to decreasing the transverse dimensions of the focussing arrays, but this was not done because it would have led to reduced line charge density, λ , which would have foreclosed exploring longitudinal strong-space-charge effects foreseen for HTE.
- 2) It should be noted that MBE-4 will be capable of demonstrating significant energy amplification (x4.5), current amplification (x4) and hence, power amplification (x18) towards that required for HTE (Ex60, Ix20).
- 3) The accelerating voltage impulses in MBE-4 are relatively large because of the lower injection energy. Thus, effects which might be seen in MBE-4 will be exaggerated compared to HTE which should facilitate observation and understanding of the phenomena.
- 4) Voltage "ears" will be applied to the head and tail of the bunch to limit bunch lengthening.
- 5) The last entry, $1 - (\sigma/\sigma_0)^2$, is the ratio of the space charge defocussing force to the mean restoring force. In all three cases it is close to unity, implying that all of the ion beams are heavily space-charge dominated.

TABLE B-1

PRINCIPAL PARAMETERS OF MBE-4 MULTIPLE BEAM EXPERIMENT WITH
CORRESPONDING VALUES FOR MBE-16 AND HTE INCLUDED FOR COMPARISON.

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>MBE-4</u>	<u>MBE-16</u>	<u>HTE</u>
Number of beams	4	16	16
Injection energy (MeV)	0.2	2	2
Ion (Charge +1)	Cs	Na(Cs)	Na
Injection current/beam (mA)	5-10	150(300 max)	300
Final current/beam (mA)	20-40	300(600)	6,000
Lattice 1/2-period (m)	0.23	0.32	> 0.3(varies)
Peak gap voltage (kV)	33	250	250
Accelerating gaps	24	25	~ 500
Cores/gap, max	~ 8	14	-
Length (m)	16	20	450
Length/Injected pulse length	12	< 3.5(B)	18
Final energy (variable) (MV)	0.5-1	< 8	125
Perveance (K_0) Injection:	4.2×10^{-4}	1.7×10^{-4}	3×10^{-4}
per beam Final:	1.2×10^{-4}	4×10^{-5}	1.3×10^{-5}
Minimum value of:			
$\frac{\omega^2}{p} \frac{2}{\omega_0^2} = (1 - (\sigma/\sigma_0)^2)$	0.94	0.94	0.98

C. MBE-4 General Arrangement

This and succeeding chapters give a technical description of the MBE-4 apparatus. These are followed by a description of the phased schedule for construction, installation and test such that experiments can be done with the early part of MBE-4 while the rest of MBE-4 is being built. The budget restraints mentioned earlier dictate that MBE-4 incorporate existing apparatus and components to a large degree and also dictate that the components be kept as simple and inexpensive as is consistent with meeting the basic objectives.

The MBE-4 Multiple Beam Experiment will be located in the existing Building 58 Hi-Bay as shown in Figures C-1 and C-2. The existing large 1 ampere Cesium Source and associated Marx generator^(6,7) which operate reliably at 200 to 400 kV will be converted to four small Pierce sources with alumino-silicate emitter buttons as described further in Section D2. This will be followed by a Beam Conditioning Unit consisting of four quadrupole doublets interspersed with diagnostic boxes and a vacuum isolation valve. The foregoing forms the Injector System of MBE-4.

Following the Injector System is the Accelerator Apparatus which comprises six Accelerator Sections each consisting of five quadrupole doublets interspersed with four accelerating gaps and associated induction cores for acceleration. A beam sensing box is located at the exit of each Accelerator Section. An energy analyzer can be placed after the final beam sensing box. The foregoing arrangement is shown diagrammatically in Figure C-3 and is shown in more detail in Figure C-4 and subsequent figures. Control and monitoring of MBE-4 will be performed at the control racks alongside of MBE-4, making a convenient arrangement for the experimenters. The Accelerator Apparatus is developmental because one of the main purposes of the experiment is to learn what induction waveforms are suitable and how to obtain them with arrangements of induction cores and pulser circuitry.

FIG. C-1

PLAN VIEW SHOWING MBE-4 LOCATED IN EXISTING BUILDING 58.

XBL 854-2075

CROSS-SECTION OF BUILDING 58 HI-BAY LOOKING WEST
SHOWING LOCATION OF MBE-4

FIG. C-2

MBE-4
DIAGRAMMATIC LAYOUT

FIG. C-3

MARX H.V. GENERATOR
& FOUR-BEAM SOURCE

DIAGNOSTICS BOX

ELECTROSTATIC QUADRUPOLE
F/D DOUBLET

ISOLATION VALVE

BEAM SENSING BOX

INDUCT

BEAM CONDITIONING UNIT

"A"

"B"

INJECTOR SYSTEM
3.46m(11.34')

SIX AC

ACCELERATOR APPARATUS:

SIX ACCELERATOR SECTIONS AT 2.286m(7'6") EQUALS 13.716m (45'0")

MBE-4 MULTIPLE BEAM EXPERIMENT

FIG. C-4

XBL 854-2190

D. MBE-4 Injector System

The Injector consists of the existing Marx HV Generator, a Four-beam Source, a Beam Conditioning Unit and the related diagnostic, electronic and vacuum components. These are described in the following subsections.

D1 Marx HV Generator

The existing Marx HV Generator and associated ceramic HV column of the large 1-Ampere Cesium Source^(6,7) will now be used for MBE-4. This generator has operated reliably at voltages to 400 kV. For MBE-4, the nominal source voltage will be 200 kV although, for certain experiments, a lower or higher voltage may be used.

D2 Four-Beam Source

The Four-beam Source is shown in Figure D2-1. It is connected to the end of the existing HV column. There is provision for adjusting the position and angle of the source to obtain correct alignment with the MBE-4 axis. The principal parameters of the four-beam source are given in Table D2-1. The source consists basically of four separate emitters of the Zeolite type. Each emitter is set into specially-shaped recesses in the graphite anode to provide focussing. The separate heaters for each emitter are series-connected to the external AC power source. The emitters operate at temperatures of 1000-1200°C in the space-charge-limited mode so accurate control of emitter temperature should not be required.

MBE-4 INJECTOR SYSTEM
FOUR BEAM SOURCE

FIG. D2-1

XBL 854-2078

TABLE D2-1

**MBE-4 INJECTOR FOUR-BEAM SOURCE
PRINCIPAL PARAMETERS**

Diode voltage, nominal	200 kV
Diode voltage, range	100-300 kV
Emitter type	Alumino-silicate Zeolite
Emitter diameter	38.1 mm (1.50")
Emitter temperature	1000-1200 C
Emitted ion specie	Cesium +1
Emitted current, nominal at 200 kV	20 mA (before collimation)
Emitted current density at 200 kV	2.0 mA/cm ²
Perveance	4.2 x 10 ⁻⁴
Beam-to-beam spacing (between axes)	66.68 mm (2.625")

The EGUN program⁽⁸⁾ has been used to calculate trajectories of emitted ions such as those shown in Figure D2-2. Each source is not completely symmetrical with respect to its own beam axis because it has three neighboring beams on the "inboard" side while there are no beams on the "outboard" side. Trajectory analyses suggest that only small aberrations are present and that azimuthal asymmetries are not significant.

The ground-potential cathode plate is located 133 mm (5.25") from the emitter surfaces and has 38.1 mm (1.50") diameter holes for each of the four beams. As shown in Figure D2-2, the ion beams easily pass through these holes in the cathode plate without beam loss. The cathode plate is water-cooled to absorb heat radiating from the high-temperature Four-beam Source.

Four-beam ion sources have not been built before, so this source is developmental in nature. A single source of the contemplated type has been constructed and tested. Each of the four zeolite emitters will be individually tested in a test stand before installation in the source. As mentioned elsewhere, the Four-beam Source will be installed and tested early in the schedule so that there should be ample time to incorporate modifications should such be required.

FIG. D2-2

ION TRAJECTORIES FOR ONE OF FOUR CESIUM ION BEAMS

XBL 854-2079

D3. Beam Conditioning Unit

The Beam Conditioning Unit (sometimes called the matching section) accepts the four ion beams from the Four-beam Source and by appropriate collimation, steering and focussing matches the beams so that they can be delivered in a variety of configurations to the subsequent periodic transport quadrupole arrays in the Accelerator Apparatus. It also contains significant diagnostic capabilities, as given in Table D3-1 and Figure D3-1, which are described more fully later. Thus, the ion beams entering the MBE-4 Accelerator Apparatus are fully characterized. All of the Beam Conditioning Unit components utilize known technologies.

D3.1 Collimating Apertures

A pencil-beam collimator is located just downstream of the cathode plate and immediately upstream of Diagnostic Box #M0, as shown in earlier Figure D2-1. In its normal position, this collimator does not intercept beam. However, when actuated manually, small aperture holes in the collimator disk are rotated into all four beams simultaneously. This forms small low-current pencil-beams for diagnostic purposes.

Beam-defining apertures are located at the exit of Diagnostic Box #M0 and are also shown in earlier Figure D2-1. The 20 mA of total ion current per beam impinges on these apertures, but only the central portion passes through. Initial operation of MBE-4 will utilize 5mA beams obtained by collimating the ~22 mm diameter beam down to ~11 mm (0.42") diameter. For 10 mA beam current, apertures of ~15 mm (0.60") diameter will be used. These apertures define the beam position, beam current and beam emittance. The apertures are accurately aligned relative to the MBE-4 axis.

TABLE D3-1

DIAGNOSTIC DEVICES IN BEAM CONDITIONING UNIT

DEVICE	POSITION	PURPOSE
Pencil-Beam Collimator	Box #M0	Reduce space charge loading of beam to measure single-particle effects.
Faraday Cup Array	Box #M4	Measure beam current independently in all four ion beams. (Destructive)
Capacitive Pickup Array	Box #M4	Measure beam current independently in all four ion beams. (Non-destructive)
Emittance sensors, vertical	Box #M4	Horizontal slits to measure vertical emittance of all four ion beams.
Emittance sensors, horizontal	Box #M4	Vertical slits to measure horizontal emittance of all four ion beams.
Harps, vertical (2 total)	Boxes #M1 & M2	Horizontal wire arrays to measure vertical position and height of all four ion beams.
Harps, horizontal (2 total)	Boxes #M1 & M2	Vertical wire arrays to measure horizontal position and width of all four ion beams.

FIG. D3-1

TENTATIVE LOCATIONS OF DIAGNOSTIC DEVICES

D3.2 Steering Arrays

Electrostatic steering arrays are fixed within Diagnostic Box #40 as shown in earlier Figure D2-1. They provide independent steering of all four beams in both the x and y transverse directions. The configuration of the steering electrodes is shown in Figure D3.2-1. The triangular configuration of each electrode provides a nearly-equal integrated steering impulse independent of beam trajectory through the array. This arrangement has been demonstrated successfully on the Single Beam Transport Experiment (SBTE). A voltage differential of 4 kV total applied between opposing electrodes will provide an angular deflection of 12 mrad to the 200 keV ion beams. Figure D3.2-2 shows the electrical arrangement for powering the steering arrays.

D3.3 Matching Quadrupoles

As mentioned earlier, the heavy ion beams in MBE-4 at full current are subjected to very strong space-charge defocussing forces. In MBE-4, these are counteracted transversely by frequent quadrupole focusing arrays. Because of low ion velocity, the quadrupoles are electrostatic rather than magnetic.

The configuration of the quadrupole arrays for the Beam Conditioning Unit are shown in Figures D3.3-1 and D3.3-2. The beam-to-beam spacing is 66.7 mm (2.625") which is the same as in the Four-beam Source and as was planned for MBE-16. Thus, each of the four beams is coaxial with its respective ion source so no beam offsets are required between the Four-beam Source and the Accelerator Apparatus. The aperture hole for each beam (also the clear distance inside of the quadrupole electrodes) is 54.1 mm (2.128") in diameter which can accommodate beam lateral displacements of at least 15 mm (0.6") without striking the aperture. The quadrupole array consists of round-ended hollow cylindrical electrodes fabricated of aluminum alloy with electroless nickel coating. The diameter of the electrodes is 40.2 mm (1.584") which was selected on the basis of particle simulation computations which included the effects of quadrupole field errors (primarily 12-pole) and of image charges on the focussing electrodes. Each quadrupole array contains nine

FIG. D3.2-1

ISOMETRIC VIEW OF STEERING ARRAY FOR ION BEAMS

XBL 854-2081

MBE-4 INJECTOR SYSTEM, BEAM CONDITIONING UNIT

CAP. BOX

FIG. D3.2-2

STEERING ARRAY ELECTRICAL POWER DIAGRAM
FOR ONE OF FOUR ION BEAMS

XBL B54-2082

FIG. D3.3-1

**TRANSVERSE CROSS-SECTION OF MATCHING
QUADRUPOLE ARRAY**

XBL 854-2083

FIG. D3.3-2
LONGITUDINAL CROSS-SECTION OF MATCHING
QUADRUPOLE DOUBLET ARRAY

XBL 854-2084

electrodes. In the "F" quadrupole array, five electrodes are attached to a plate which is electrically grounded while the other four electrodes are attached to a plate which is at negative high voltage. In the "D" quadrupole array this is reversed with four at ground potential and five at negative high voltage. An "F" quadrupole array is defined as one producing horizontal focussing for the two ion beams on the MBE-4 horizontal axis (the "left" and "right" beams looking downstream). It also produces vertical focussing for the two ion beams on the vertical axis (the "top" and "bottom" beams). A "D" quadrupole array produces defocussing in the corresponding ion beams. Ceramic insulators space the high voltage plate from the ground plate. An "F" quadrupole array and a "D" quadrupole array are both mounted to a common quadrupole doublet housing to form a quadrupole doublet unit. All of the foregoing are done with high precision to provide accurate alignment of the electrodes relative to the four beams within 0.1 mm (.004") or less.

In the Beam Conditioning Unit, the four quadrupole doublet housings each have two high-voltage feedthroughs so that separate voltages can be applied to the "F" and "D" quadrupole arrays. Thus, all eight quadrupole arrays in the matching section can be separately powered in order to obtain the desired matching of the ion beams into the Accelerator Apparatus. An example solution of the K-V envelope equations providing a suitable matching is shown in Figure D3.3-3 for 10 mA, 200 keV Cesium ion beams from the source. Table D3.3-1 presents the principal parameters for the matching quadrupoles of the Beam Conditioning Unit.

The focussing quadrupole arrays are each separately powered by an adjustable d.c. supply which can be set at up to 30 kV. A typical arrangement is shown in Figure D3.3-4. Suitable voltage monitoring is provided. Beams passing through the apertures of a quadrupole array will induce some current in the power circuit, tending to change the effective voltage of the array. To minimize this effect, a low impedance capacitive bypass circuit is provided.

FIG. D3.3-3

AN EXAMPLE OF QUADRUPOLE VOLTAGES PRODUCING SUITABLE MATCHING FROM THE MBE-4 INJECTOR TO THE ACCELERATOR SECTIONS. 10 mA, 200 keV CESIUM ION BEAM HAVING EMITTANCE OF $1.5 \times 10^{-7} \pi$ m-rad.

BEAM CONDITIONING UNIT

LINAC

SAME AS ABOVE
BUT (1) P.S./QUAD PAIR

FIG. D3.3-4

POWER SCHEMATIC FOR MBE-4 QUADRUPOLE
FOCUSSING SYSTEMS

XBL 854-2086

Table D3.3-1

**Injector System Beam Conditioning Unit
Electrostatic Matching Quadrupole Array Parameters**

Beam aperture diameter	54.06 mm (2.128")
Electrode diameter	40.24 mm (1.584")
Beam-to-beam centerline spacing	66.68 mm (2.625")
Electrode length	107.4 mm (4.230")
Electrode-end gap	10.2 mm (0.400")
Quadrupole array overall length	134.9 mm (5.310")
Spacing between arrays (clear):	
F to D (mid-doublet)	36.58 mm (1.440")
D to F (diagn. box/accel. gap)	150.9 mm (5.940")
Cell length (one period)	457 mm (18.00")
Applied voltage (electrode-to-electrode)	variable to 30 kV

D3.4 Diagnostic Boxes (Beam Conditioning Unit)

In the Beam Conditioning Unit, vacuum enclosures called "diagnostic boxes" (or just "boxes" for short) connect between adjacent quadrupole doublets. These vacuum enclosures have four ports located at top, bottom, and both sides to accommodate diagnostic devices as well as vacuum system components. All ports are the same size so that devices can be moved to a different port or to a different box as indicated by experimental needs. Unless otherwise noted, all devices can be moved into or out of the beams so that several devices can be located at a given box even though they may not be inserted into the beams simultaneously. Also, unless noted, the devices are moved manually by precision ball-screw drives of the type used successfully on SBTE. In the Beam Conditioning Unit, there are four diagnostic boxes labeled #M0, #M1, #M2 and #M4. Box #M0 differs from the remainder because of its proximity to the four-beam source. An isolation valve replaces Box #M3. An example of installed diagnostic devices is shown in Figure D3.4-1 where four devices (Faraday Cup Array, Capacitive Pickup Arrays, V emittance and H emittance sensors) are accommodated in Diagnostic Box #M4.

FIG D3.4-1

TRANSVERSE CROSS-SECTION (LOOKING UPSTREAM) OF DIAGNOSTIC BOX #M4 WITH FOUR DIAGNOSTIC DEVICES INSTALLED.

XBL 854-2087

D3.5 Faraday Cup Array (Beam Conditioning Unit)

The Faraday Cup Array configuration is shown in Figure D3.5-1. It consists of four Faraday cups, each with a bias guard ring to suppress secondary electron emission which would jeopardize the proper measurement of the intercepted ion beam current. This type of cup has been used successfully on SBTE. The electrical measurement circuitry for the Faraday cups is shown in Figure D3.5-2.

D3.6 Capacitive Pickup Array (Beam Conditioning Unit)

The Capacitive Pickup Array configuration is shown in Figure D3.6-1. This array, sometimes called a charge monitor, senses the capacitive charge induced as the four ion beams pass through their respective pickup electrodes. The beams pass through this array without beam loss, which is not true for the Faraday Cup Arrays. If these Capacitive Pickup Arrays prove as useful as is anticipated, the Faraday Cup Arrays will likely be replaced by these or perhaps by Capacitive Pickup Arrays similar in arrangement to the steering arrays so that beam position can be detected as well as beam current. The electrical circuit for the Capacitive Pickup Array is shown in Figure D3.5-2 also.

D3.7 Emittance Sensors (Beam Conditioning Unit)

An Emittance Sensor array is shown in Figure D3.7-1 which is suitable for measuring emittance in one plane (e.g. vertical or horizontal). It consists of an upstream plate with four narrow slits (one for each beam) and of a downstream plate with four narrow slits but also with beam current collectors behind the downstream slits. The plates are approximately 120 mm (4.8") apart axially. The upstream and downstream slit sizes are 0.010" and 0.005" respectively. The two slit plates can each be precisely and independently driven by their own external stepping motors. In use, the upstream slit plate is set at one position while the downstream slit plate is scanned to obtain angular divergence. This process is repeated at successive upstream positions to obtain the beam emittance. One array with horizontal slits is used to sense vertical

FIG. D3.5-1
CONFIGURATION OF FARADAY CUP ARRAY

XBL 854-2088

MBE-4

FARADAY CUP ARRAY

CAPACITIVE PICKUP ARRAY

FIG. D3.5-2
ELECTRICAL CIRCUIT FOR FARADAY CUP ARRAY
AND CAPACITIVE PICKUP ARRAY

XBL 854-2089

FIG. D3.6-1
CONFIGURATION OF CAPACITIVE PICKUP ARRAY

XBL 854-2090

FIG. D3.7-1

CONFIGURATION OF AN EMITTANCE SENSOR ARRAY FOR
MEASURING EMITTANCE IN ONE PLANE.

XBL 854-2091

emittance while a separate array with vertical slits is used to measure horizontal emittance. This arrangement has worked successfully for a single ion beam on SBTE. An additional feature is that the upstream vertical slit can be used in conjunction with the upstream horizontal slit to create the equivalent of a pinhole aperture that can be scanned over the beam cross-section to assess local current densities within the beam. The electrical circuitry for the emittance sensors is shown schematically in Figure D3.7-2.

D3.8 Harps (Beam Conditioning Unit)

An array of parallel wires, called a harp, will be used to sense the transverse size and position of each beam. Each harp consists of 0.010" tungsten wires on 0.050" centers. Harps for four beams are mounted onto a common frame which can be inserted simultaneously into all four beams. One array of four harps with horizontal wires is used to measure the beams vertically while a separate array of four harps with vertical wires is used to measure the beams horizontally. Harps also have proven effective on SBTE. The wires are flexibly connected to feedthroughs at the vacuum wall. The electrical circuitry for the harps is shown in Figure D3.8-1.

D3.9 Support and Alignment (Beam Conditioning Unit)

The elements of the Beam Conditioning Unit are mounted onto a support girder structure which resembles a lathe bed. Diagnostics Boxes #M0, M1, M2 and M4 will be accurately positioned by shims on the support girder. Quadrupole doublets MQF1/MQD1, MQF2/MQD2, MQF3/MQD3 and MQF4/MQD4 are accurately keyed to the end openings of the Diagnostic Boxes. A precision electronic level establishes the correct rotational position about the MBE-4 axis. A special surveying fixture that indexes to either end of a quadrupole doublet and another fixture that indexes to the end openings in the Diagnostic Boxes will be used to assure correct alignment within 0.1 mm (0.004") or less. The support girder, in turn, is mounted and aligned to a support stand at both ends in a manner so that the whole girder with all of its equipment can be realigned as a unit, if needed. The support stands rigidly support the components and are strong enough to resist a major earthquake, should such occur.

FIG. D3.7-2
ELECTRICAL CIRCUIT FOR AN EMITTANCE SENSOR ARRAY

XBL 854-2092

MBE-4 HARPS

VERTICAL

(HORIZONTAL SIMILAR BUT WILL
INITIALLY USE SAME ELECTRONICS)

TYPICAL

FIG. D3.8-1
ELECTRICAL CIRCUIT SCHEMATIC FOR THE HARPS

XBL 854-2093

D4 Vacuum System (Injector System)

The vacuum housing for the Four-beam Source is the one from the 1-Ampere large Cesium Source except that the beams are extracted at 90^0 to the former direction which required modification of the coverplates. The vacuum housing for the Beam Conditioning Unit consists of the quadrupole doublet housings plus the diagnostic boxes. Vacuum joints are sealed with Viton gaskets. A 10-inch Viton-sealed gate valve occupies the position of Diagnostic Box #M3 to permit isolation of the Injector and upstream portion of the Beam Conditioning Unit from the remainder of MBE-4.

The vacuum pumping arrangement is shown schematically in Figure D4-1. The source housing is pumped by two existing turbo-molecular pumps backed by a single mechanical pump. Experience has shown that this amount of pumping should achieve a pressure in the upper 10^{-7} Torr range. Diagnostic Box #M0 is relatively isolated vacuum-wise from the source housing because the apertures for the four beams provide only a modest vacuum conductance. The Beam Conditioning Unit is pumped by cryopumps located at Boxes #M0, #M2 and #M4. This should result in a pressure of less than 3×10^{-7} Torr for much of the Unit. An additional pump can be added at Box #M1, if needed. Valves are located for regeneration of the cryopumps and for roughing of the vacuum system. Ionization and thermocouple vacuum gauges are located appropriately to monitor pressures.

D5 Control and Monitoring (Injector System)

A stand-alone control and monitoring arrangement will be used for the Injector System. Existing components of the Marx HV Generator will be incorporated. Most of the equipment will be located in the electronics racks adjacent to the Injector System. The control and monitoring will be compatible with the subsequent equipment for the Accelerator Apparatus and, where feasible, will be compatible with upgrading to a computerized system..

D6 Installation (Injector System)

The Injector System will be installed in phases. Phase 1A will permit initial testing of the new Four-beam Source at an early time so that modifications, if any, can be accommodated without jeopardizing the subsequent

XBL 854-2094

MBE-4 experimental program. The Phase 1A configuration is shown in Figure D6-1. It comprises the Marx HV Generator, the Four-beam Source and Diagnostic Box #M0. The steering array in Box #M0 is removed temporarily to accommodate a Faraday Cup Array, a Capacitive Pickup Array and Emittance Sensors, both vertical and horizontal. These will permit monitoring of the current, size and emittance of the four ion beams.

The configuration for Phase 1B is shown in Figure D6-2. The vacuum system will be extended to include a quadrupole doublet housing plus Diagnostic Box #M1. The Faraday Cup Array, the Capacitive Pickup Array and the emittance sensors (V+H) will be moved from Box #M0 to Box #M1. The Steering Arrays and the Collimating Apertures will be placed in Box #M0. These will permit generation and characterization of ion beams that are steered and collimated.

Phase 1C completes the installation of the Injector System, including the balance of the Beam Conditioning Unit components. The Beam Conditioning Unit components will be precisely aligned to the MBE-4 centerline and to the Four-beam Source. This arrangement will permit a full characterization of the Injector System and its Four-beam Source at a relatively early stage of the construction of the MBE-4 experiment so that modifications to the Injector System, if any, should not jeopardize the installation and testing of the downstream Accelerator Apparatus and the subsequent MBE-4 experimental program.

FIG. D6-1

MBE-4 PHASE 1A INJECTOR INSTALLATION AND TEST CONFIGURATION.

XBL 854-2095

FIG. D6-2

MBE-4 PHASE 1B INSTALLATION/TEST CONFIGURATION (through BOX #M1).

XBL 854-2096

E. Accelerator Apparatus

The Accelerator Apparatus (Ref: Fig. C3) accepts the four ion beams from the Beam Conditioning Unit and then, by pulsed induction, accelerates the beams to higher energy with voltage waveforms that also shorten the time duration of the beam pulses as well. Electrostatic quadrupoles provide focussing for the ion beams. In its planned configuration, the MBE-4 Accelerator Apparatus will consist of six Accelerator Sections, each containing five quadrupole doublets interspersed with four accelerating gaps. Each accelerating gap has a vacuum-tight insulator assembly around which are placed the induction cores used for acceleration. A beam-sensing box is located at the exit of each Accelerator Section. The six Accelerator Sections are labeled "A" through "F". The six Sections contain a total of 24 accelerating gaps which are capable of accelerating the beams to energies approaching 1.0 MeV. Further Accelerator Sections could be added, if desired.

E1 Induction Acceleration

The beam is accelerated by voltage induction at the four accelerating gaps that are interspersed between the five quadrupole doublets in each of the six Accelerating Sections. This gives a total of 24 accelerating gaps. The induction acceleration configuration at one gap is shown schematically in Figure E1-1. The induction cores can be viewed as pulse transformers with the induction pulsers supplying pulsed power to the primary windings. A strap around the induction core group (all of the cores at one gap) forms a secondary circuit which applies a voltage to the accelerating gap that is equal to the sum of the primary voltages of the core group.

E1.1 Induction Insulator

Insulators of the configuration shown in Figure E1.1-1 are built into the vacuum enclosure wall to permit the induction voltage to reach the accelerating gaps. They consist of Re-X* glass-ceramic tubular insulators into which stainless steel tubulations are imbedded at both ends during manufacture (*Re-X is a trademark of General Electric Co). Metal flanges incorporating metal chevron vacuum seals are added to both ends of each insulator assembly so that they can be

FIG. E1-1
MSE-4 INDUCTION ACCELERATION DIAGRAM

XBL 854-2097

FIG. E1.1-1
INDUCTION INSULATOR AND CORE CONFIGURATION

joined to the adjacent quadrupole doublet housings. The metal chevron seals have been fully qualified by numerous tests.

E1.2 Induction Cores

The budgetary restraints mentioned earlier suggest that existing induction cores and core material should be used to the maximum extent practical. Table E1.2-1 lists the cores and core material that are now on hand and can be considered for use in MBE-4. The approximate flux swing, in millivolt-seconds, available from these cores is also listed. Current plans contemplate using 48 Astron nickel-iron cores in Accelerator Section "A" but with a small amount of material removed from the inside diameter to permit them being installed around the induction insulator assemblies with adequate clearance for insulation, primary windings, and a voltage-measuring resistive divider. Separate primary connections will be available for each core permitting various hookups to the pulsed. This core arrangement is also shown in Figure E1.1-1. It is planned that most of the remainder of the core material listed in Table E1.2-1 will be used for cores in Accelerator Sections "B" through "F" with many of these having to be rewound into new cores from the existing material.

TABLE E1.2-1

INDUCTION CORES AND CORE MATERIAL
AVAILABLE FOR USE IN MBE-4

<u>MATERIAL</u>	<u>DIMENSIONS</u> (ODxIDxW)	<u>QUANTITY</u>	<u>APPROXIMATE</u> <u>MILLIVOLT-SECONDS</u>	
			<u>EACH</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Metglas* 2605SC .001"	36"x24"x2"	2	11.6	23.
Metglas* 2605C0 .001"	36"x24"x2"	2	16.6	33.
Metglas* 2605S-2 .001"	36"x24"x2"	2	12.8	26.
Metglas* 2605S-3A .001"	36"x24"x2"	2	7.7	15.
Metglas* 2605C0 .001"	2"w Tape	700 kg	-	100.
Astron Ni Iron .001"	33.5"x13"x0.5"	92	6.8	626.
Astron Ni Iron .001"	24"x9.25"x0.5"	20	4.9	98.
3.25% Si Steel .002"	33"x13"x1.37"	26	23.9	621.
3.25% Si Steel .002"	Rectangular: 33.5"x18.5"inside x 4" build	6	13.7	82.
3.25% Steel .002"	14"w Tape	8000 lbs	-	764.
				<hr/>
			TOTAL	2388.

*Metglas is Allied Corporation's registered trademark for amorphous alloys of metals.

E1.3 Induction Power System

The accelerating voltage waveforms for MBE-4 are under active study by the HIFAR staff and will continue to be studied, developed and improved throughout the duration of the MBE-4 program. Some examples of accelerating waveforms being considered for incorporation into MBE-4 are shown in Figure E1.3-1. Analysis has suggested that ideal waveforms would be a slightly-upcurved ramp at the first few gaps, a rising trapezoid at the succeeding few gaps and a squarish pulse for the remaining gaps. In particular, the analysis indicated that discontinuities in slope (e.g., firing of a pulser during beam transit) produce klystron-like ion current spikes, which should be avoided. The ideal shapes are not readily achieved in real circuits. It appears that combinations of conventional "flat top" pulses plus upward curved " $1 - \cos$ at" pulses might produce adequate approximations to the desired shapes. For Accelerator Section "A", it is planned that several of both types of pulsers will be built for the initial MBE-4 experiments. Subsequent induction pulsers may be of the same or of different configuration depending on the results of further analysis and experimentation.

E2 Timing System

A comprehensive timing system is required to properly time and coordinate the many devices including the Marx HV Generator, diagnostic/beam sensing devices and the induction pulsers. The system needs to be flexible so that timings can be changed readily and so that additional devices can be accommodated.

The planned timing system is shown schematically in Figure E2-1. The master unit is synchronized to line frequency so that MBE-4 will be triggered at the same, but variable, phase relative to the AC mains. The master unit can be triggered externally, for example by computerized diagnostic equipment, or internally at a fixed repetition rate period variable from one to five seconds. The master unit provides clock and gate pulses to the time delay units.

MBE-4 ACCELERATING WAVEFORMS

FIG. E1.3-1

EXAMPLES OF THEORETICAL AND REAL WORLD WAVEFORMS BEING
CONSIDERED FOR INCORPORATION INTO MBE-4.

XBL 854-2099

MBE-4 TIMING

FIG. E2-1
ELECTRICAL CIRCUIT SCHEMATIC FOR THE TIMING SYSTEM

XBi 854-2100

The time delay units are modularized with eight time delay units in one CAMAC module. Current plans call for 64 units; eight units for each of the six Accelerator Sections plus 16 units for diagnostics and the Marx HV Generator. The system can readily accommodate additional units, if needed. The time delay of each unit can be adjusted from 0-99 micro-second delay with 10 ns resolution. The input to a time delay unit can come from the master unit or from the output of another timing unit, thus providing flexibility. Output buffering is provided because of the noisy electrical environment. Setting of time delays will be done manually initially, but this can be computer-controlled at a later time.

E3 Accelerator Quadrupoles

The configuration and dimensions of the accelerator quadrupole arrays are tentatively planned to be identical to the matching quadrupole arrays described in Section D3.3. Ongoing analysis may indicate a change in dimensions, perhaps of electrode and aperture diameters. Each accelerator quadrupole doublet will have only one high-voltage feedthrough at the vacuum wall because both the F and D arrays of a doublet operate at the same voltage. As the ion beams gain energy down the length of MBE-4, an ever greater quadrupole voltage is required. To provide these voltages, five HV power supplies are included for Accelerator Section A and four HV power supplies for each of Accelerator Sections B through F, for a total of 25 HV power supplies. Only four supplies are provided for the later sections because the first quadrupole of these sections can operate at the same voltage as the last quadrupole of the previous section since the beam-sensing box between them provides no acceleration. The electrical circuit for these power supplies is the same as shown in Figure D3.3-4 for the matching quadrupoles, except that those for the later Accelerator Sections will have voltage ratings higher than the 30 kV shown.

E4 Diagnostics (Accelerator Apparatus)

Beam-sensing devices are provided to determine that the ion beams are being transmitted properly along the length of MBE-4 and to assess the beam characteristics at the exit of MBE-4. These devices are listed in Table E4-1, shown in Figure D3-1, and are discussed in more detail hereafter. The diagnostic devices can be relocated to other beam-sensing boxes, if desired.

TABLE E4-1
DIAGNOSTIC DEVICES IN MBE-4 ACCELERATOR APPARATUS

DEVICE	POSITION	PURPOSE
Faraday Cup Array (6 total)	Box #5, 10, 15, 20, 25, & 30	Measure beam current independently in all four ion beams.
Emittance Sensors, vertical	Box #30	Horizontal slits to measure vertical emittance of all four ion beams.
Emittance Sensors, horizontal	Box #30	Vertical slits to measure horizontal emittance of all four ion beams.
Harps, vertical (5 total)	Boxes #5, 10, 15, 20, & 25	Horizontal wire arrays to measure vertical position and height of all four ion beams.
Harps, horizontal (5 total)	Boxes #5, 10, 15, 20, & 25	Vertical wire arrays to measure horizontal position and width of all four ion beams.
Energy Analyzer	After Box #30	Ninety degree electrostatic spectrometer to measure energy of one of the four ion beams.
Steering Arrays	Boxes #20 & 25	Test steering of ion beams with momentum gradient along beam bunch length

E4.1 Beam Sensing Boxes (Accelerator Apparatus)

These boxes are tentatively planned to be similar to those described in Section D3.4 for the Beam Conditioning Unit. They can accommodate the same types of devices.

E4.2 Faraday Cup Array (Accelerator Apparatus)

It is planned that the Faraday Cup Array and its associated electrical circuitry will be similar to the four-cup arrangement described earlier in Section D3.5. As mentioned there, the Faraday Cup Array may be superseded by the Capacitive Pickup Array if the latter proves fully successful in use.

E4.3 Emittance Sensors (Accelerator Apparatus)

It is contemplated that the Emittance Sensor Arrays and their associated electrical circuitry will be similar to the Emittance Sensor Arrays described earlier in Section D3.7.

E4.4 Harps (Accelerator Apparatus)

The Harps and their associated electrical circuitry are contemplated to be similar to those described in Section D3.8. One 120-channel track-and-hold unit will be switched manually among the five vertical and five horizontal arrays.

E4.5 Energy Analyzer

An electrostatic energy analyzer with fast time resolution is being constructed. It incorporates 90-degree deflection with a plate separation of 1.00 inch and a bending radius of 18.0 inches. Provisions will be made at the exit of MBE-4 so that this spectrometer can be accommodated. As currently planned, it can analyze Cesium ion beams up to approximately 400 keV energy. With power supplies of higher rating it may be capable of handling energies approaching 1.0 MeV.

E4.6 Steering Arrays (Accelerator Apparatus)

It is planned that electrostatic steering arrays similar to those described in Section D3.2 and shown in Figure D3.2-1 will each be

mounted on a probe drive and then installed at the selected beam sensing box. The probe drive will permit the steering array to be withdrawn from the ion beams so that other diagnostic devices can be used at the same location. These steering arrays can be used for dynamic steering tests as discussed in Section 61.7. Each steering array will require independent variable pulsed for both x and y transverse directions of each of the four ion beams.

E5 Support and Alignment (Accelerator Apparatus)

The five quadrupole doublets and the four induction insulator assemblies of each Accelerator Section are bolted together into a rigid pre-aligned unit. Metal chevron seals are used to provide accurate alignment of these components as well as forming a vacuum seal. Tests have demonstrated the effectiveness of these seals for this purpose. Each end of each Accelerator Section is supported by a structural stand fixed to the heavy concrete floor. Longitudinal compliance is provided by a bellows at one end of each Accelerator Section. Alignment within a tolerance of approximately 0.1 mm (0.004") will be achieved relative to monuments in the concrete floor either by bore-sighting or by taking vertical and horizontal offsets from the accurately machined outside diameters of the quadrupole doublet housings. The induction core groups are supported by separate stands to the floor because the cores need not be accurately aligned.

E6 Vacuum System (Accelerator Apparatus)

The walls of the quadrupole doublet housings, the induction insulator assemblies and the beam sensing boxes make up the vacuum enclosure for the Accelerator Sections. The Accelerator Sections are pumped by cryopumps located at each beam sensing box. This should result in a pressure of less than 3×10^{-7} Torr. Valves are included for regeneration of the cryopumps and for roughing of the vacuum system. Ionization and thermocouple gauges to measure pressure are located at each beam sensing box. The arrangement for Accelerator Section "A" is shown schematically in Figure D4-1. A similar arrangement occurs for the succeeding Accelerator Sections. Control and power for the vacuum system will be coordinated with those for the Injector System.

E7 Control and Monitoring (Accelerator Apparatus)

MBE-4 will initially operate in a manual mode with a hardwired interlock system to preclude high voltage hazards. On/off switches, time delays, voltage level controls and system status will be provided and grouped at the central electronic racks. Computer control has been provided for and will be implemented as time permits, but the interlocks will remain hardwired.

Monitoring of analog voltages will be accomplished by a data logger located near the level controls. The time delay monitoring is by digital displays located in the module front panels. Computer monitoring of the foregoing has been provided for and will be implemented as time permits. Induction voltages, as well as the voltage generated across acceleration gaps, will be monitored using a voltage divider and an oscilloscope.

E8 Installation (Accelerator Apparatus)

Phases 1A, 1B and 1C for installation of the Injector System (including Beam Conditioning Unit) were described in Section D6. It is contemplated that the Accelerator Sections will be installed in phases also. Phase 2A will include installation of Accelerator Sections "A", "B" and "C", but with induction acceleration limited to the first four gaps. After acceleration in Accelerator Section "A", the ion beams will "drift" to the end of Accelerator Section "C". This arrangement will permit early observation of the four ion beams, including longitudinal bunching effects. Phase 2A will conclude the FY85 installation work.

The remainder of the Accelerator Sections will be fabricated and installed as part of Phase 2B as well as a significant addition of induction cores and pulsers. Phase 2C includes fabrication and installation of the remainder of the induction cores and pulsers.

F SCHEDULE.

The schedule objectives for fabrication and installation of MBE-4 are shown in Figure F-1. The work is subdivided into phases as described in Sections D6 and E8. This schedule is brisk and optimistic, but achievable. Since some of the components are developmental, there is a chance that unforeseen events may cause a slippage in the schedule.

MBE-4

SCHEDULE OBJECTIVES

SCHEDULE OBJECTIVES

PHASE 1A

Marx + Source + Box + MO
with emittance sensors
+ current monitors.

PHASE 1B

Add Steering + Box *M1

PHASE 1C

Add remainder of Beam
Conditioning Unit
(Through Box #M4)

ACCELERATOR APPARATUS

APPARATUS

PHASE 2A

Through Box #15 with
limited acceleration

PHASE 2B

Through Box #30 with
significant acceleration

PHASE 2C

Through Box #30 with
full acceleration

Figure F-1

XBL 854-2101

G. MBE-4 Experimental Program

The objective of MBE-4 is to conduct tests on a pilot scale addressing the feasibility and credibility that the multiple beams in the future HTE will be able to achieve the current, energy, phase space density, current amplification, etc., needed for significant pellet heating.

A comparison of the parameters of the MBE-4 and the HTE accelerators is presented in Table G-1. Note that the actual length of MBE-4 is about 3% of that of HTE, but the lengths of the two facilities measured in units of the injected pulse length are comparable. This implies that similar longitudinal beam dynamics issues will be encountered in the two experiments. The granularities due to (a) spaced acceleration kicks, and (b) quantized voltage steps are more severe than for HTE. Thus, we can study effects resulting from strong voltage kicks and the effectiveness of plasma waves in smoothing out these granularities.

A longitudinal computer code has been developed by means of which acceleration schedules can be designed. Voltage waveforms and timing are adjustably varied in a calculation which predicts current waveforms ($I(t)$) and kinetic energy waveforms ($E(t)$) at specified locations (z) down the machine. This is in exact analogy to the experimental procedure, by which a given set of voltage waveforms will be employed and the diagnostics will yield measured values of $I(t)$ and $E(t)$.

The transverse behaviour of the beam will be studied by means of profile and emittance measurement. The extent of the transverse oscillations induced during acceleration will be crucial to the determination of optimum acceleration schedules.

Table G-1

COMPARISON OF THE MBE-4 AND THE HTE ACCELERATORS

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>MBE-4</u>	<u>HTE</u>
Number of beams	4	16
Injection energy	0.2 MV	2.0 MV
Final Energy	0.5-1 MeV	125 MeV
Energy Amplification	2.5-5	62
Injection pulse duration	2.5 μ sec	6.0 μ sec
Final pulse duration	0.5 μ sec	0.3 μ sec
Injection current/beam	5-10 mA	300 mA
Final current/beam	20-40 mA	6 Amp
Current amplification	4	20
Ion	Cs	Na
Lattice period	0.46 m	0.6 m (and up)
Peak gap voltage	33 kV	250 kV
Accelerating gaps	24	500 (approx.)
Cores/gap	2-8	15-20
Length	16 m	450 m
Length/Injected pulse length	12	18
Perveance: Injection	4.2×10^{-4}	3.3×10^{-4}
Final	1.2×10^{-4}	1.3×10^{-5}

61 Accelerator Physics Addressed by MBE-4

The MBE-4 experiment will address many of the ion induction linac issues expected to be encountered in the HTE. A partial listing of these follows.

61.1 Effects Produced by Multiple Beams

In the region between focussing elements, the self field of one beam can influence its neighbors. Theory indicates that effects due to this beam-beam coupling are negligible, which the experiment is expected to confirm.

61.2 Bunch Length Control

MBE-4 will be able to achieve a current amplification factor through the experiment of as much as 4 or more. This will require that the length of the bunch be carefully controlled, implying that longitudinal beam spreading due to space charge must be properly compensated.

61.3 Induction Acceleration and Current Amplification of Ion Beams

HIF linacs must not only accelerate beams, but must axially compress them as well. This requires carefully shaped accelerating waveforms to keep the bunch length short yet avoid overbunching the beam causing a loss of particles. In scaled parameters, MBE-4 will be able to accelerate beams much more aggressively than necessary for HTE. Obtaining initial practical compromises between the ideal accelerating waveforms and those that can be reasonably synthesized in the laboratory will be one of the most important experimental results.

61.4 Longitudinal Ion Beam Dynamics

It is believed that the longitudinal dynamics of ion beams is understood, both with and without space charge effects. However, it is important to confirm this experimentally, which can be done on MBE-4.

61.5 Longitudinal/Transverse Coupling

Will longitudinal bunching and waves produce transverse effects or vice versa? None is anticipated, but there may be surprises so it is important to demonstrate the absence of these effects experimentally.

61.6 6-Dimensional Emittance Growth

Experiments on SBTE thus far have been directed at determining the transverse emittance growth of drifting space-charge-dominated ion beams. MBE-4 will begin to study the transverse and longitudinal emittance growth of beams with a head-to-tail axial velocity shear. Errors in acceleration can produce axial heating and longitudinal emittance growth. These will be damped to some extent by plasma or space charge waves. The experiment will provide the initial answers on the effectiveness of these waves in damping errors produced by imperfect acceleration.

61.7 Beam Position Control and Steering

Unavoidable fabrication and alignment errors may cause problems in longer ion accelerators such as HTE. Satisfactory techniques for guiding or steering the beams through the accelerator must be developed. The steering is complicated by the fact that, after the start of acceleration, the beam head and tail will have different energies. Thus, an imposed steering impulse must account for this change in beam rigidity. The situation is still further complicated by the fact that different energies from head to tail of the beam produce different transverse oscillation wavelengths. Some ways down the length of the accelerator, this can result in different transverse positions and angles for the head, middle and tail of the beam. Consequently, the desired steering impulse may need to vary significantly during the transit of the beam pulse through a steering array. MBE-4 will provide a scaled experiment for assessing some of the difficulties in steering beams with axial velocity shear.

61.8 Reduced Voltage Injection

By reducing the Marx HV Generator voltage, ion beams of reduced energy and current can be produced. With the planned induction acceleration capability, this would permit achievement of still greater energy and current amplification ratios.

62 Issues Not Fully Addressed by MBE-4

There are several issues that will not be well modeled by the MBE-4 experiments.

62.1 Number of Pulsers

A long accelerator such as the HTE will contain thousands of individual pulsers that contribute to the accelerating waveforms and thus to the final beam energy. The cumulative effects of the pulser switching transients on the beam current and energy are expected to be damped by both beam space charge and the statistics of large numbers. MBE-4 is expected to have 50-100 pulsers and can provide important modeling results, but clearly cannot be totally definitive.

62.2 Transition to Magnetic Focussing

At low energies electrostatic quadrupole focussing allows the largest beamlet currents to be accelerated. However, for HTE, as soon as the particle speeds exceed approximately .05 c, a transition to magnetic quadrupole focussing will be made. The favorable scaling with velocity allows shortening of the beam bunch as well as acceleration which allows current amplification to proceed at a rate faster than the beam speed. MBE-4 is not well suited for examining the transition from electrostatic to quadrupole focussing or for studying the physics of ion beam focussing in magnetic quadrupoles. (Note that MBE-16 was designed to reach an energy where the transition to a magnetic lattice was possible and could be tested.)

G2.3 Fabrication and Alignment Errors

Issues associated with alignment errors and tolerance buildup in long accelerating structures are addressed only in a scaled way. Although some information on the effects produced by these errors and ways of overcoming them will be gleaned, the extrapolation to HTE will require careful simulation studies and further engineering and component development.

G2.4 Injection Energy

The tests on MBE-4 will be at one-tenth the injection energy contemplated for HTE. It is strongly believed that the physics issues addressed by MBE-4 are directly scalable to higher energies, however, one can have absolute confidence only after they have been actually done at the higher energies.

G2.5 Full-Scale Components

MBE-16 would have demonstrated pulsers, quadrupoles, insulators, etc., of the size and performance contemplated for HTE. In contrast, MBE-4 utilizes scaled down components. Thus, the issue of technology demonstration is only partially addressed and will need to be more fully addressed at a later time.

G3 MBE-4 Future Modifications

Modifications and additions to MBE-4 could provide still further results relative to HTE. Some of these are described below. Such extensions of MBE-4 are not part of the presently-planned scope of MBE-4. The results of the MBE-4 experimental program described earlier will have a significant bearing on the nature and scope of any modifications to MBE-4 that might be considered.

G3.1 Change in Lattice Period

In HTE, the quadrupole lattice period increases in length stepwise in order to provide optimal focussing as the ion beam energy increases down the length of HTE. At such step points, special voltages need to be applied to the adjacent quadrupoles to provide

matching of the ion beams from the earlier lattice period to the longer lattice period. The greater energy of the tail of the beam pulse relative to the head necessitates that the voltages on the adjacent quadrupoles be time-varying if perfect matching is to be achieved. A test of this process can be performed on MBE-4 by inserting spacer rings into the modular spacing of MBE-4 to produce a longer lattice period, say in Accelerator Section "F".

63.2 Magnetic Quadrupoles

As mentioned in Section 62.2, an ion beam velocity of the order of 0.05 c is needed for magnetic quadrupoles to be effective. The cesium ion beams in MBE-4 do not approach this. However, replacement of the cesium source with a proton source would provide ions of nearly 0.05 c. Adding a few magnetic quadrupoles to the end of MBE-4 would demonstrate the magnetic quadrupole technology (probably using NdFe permanent magnets) as well as testing the transition from electrostatic quadrupole focussing to magnetic quadrupole focussing. These are directly relevant to HTE as such a transition occurs relatively early in HTE.

63.3 Additional Length

It is possible to extend MBE-4 out into the Building 5B parking area. It might be enclosed in a temporary shelter. Continuing the quadrupole transport arrays for an additional 10 m would provide a drift space in which to study longitudinal bunching after the full acceleration of MBE-4 has been applied. Naturally, if more induction cores and pulsers were added, higher ion beam energies can be reached. Such additions also would improve the statistics relative to fabrication/alignment errors and to pulsers (if they are added).

63.4 Many Low-Voltage Pulsers

A possible way of producing specific accelerating waveforms would be to sequentially fire a multitude of low voltage pulsers. For example, at a given accelerating gap, thirty 1.0 kV pulsers or

sixty 0.5 kV pulsters might replace three 10 kV pulsters. Whether the ripple impulses produced by such sequential firing are benign to the ion beams would need to be determined. Perhaps semi-conductor technology might be suitable for such low-voltage pulsters. If such pulsters were installed along the length of MBE-4, it would provide a quantity of pulsters comparable to that contemplated for HTE so that questions relevant to the large numbers of pulsters in HTE can be better addressed.

REFERENCES

1. Accelerator Inertial Fusion - A National Plan for the Development of Heavy-Ion Accelerators for Fusion Power (compiled by R. O. Bangerter), Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LA-UR-81-3730 (1981).
2. Heavy Ion Fusion Accelerator Research Program Plan for FY 84-FY 89. Heavy Ion Fusion Staffs at LANL and at LBL, Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LA-UR-83-1717 (1983).
3. Multiple Beam Experiment (MBE) Conceptual Design and Program Description, D. L. Judd (Editor), Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report PUB-5123, (October, 1984).
4. Induction Linacs for Heavy Ion Fusion Research, T. J. Fessenden, Proc. 1984 Linear Accel. Conf., GSI-Darmstadt Report GSI-84-11.
5. U.S. Accelerator Research for Heavy Ion Fusion, J. E. Leiss and T. F. Godlove, Proceedings of the 1984 INS Intl. Symposium on Heavy Ion Accelerators and their Applications to Inertial Fusion, Inst. for Nucl. Studies, Tokyo, Japan, 31 (1984).
6. Large Aperture Contact Ionized Cs⁺¹ Ion Source for an Induction Linac, S. Abbott, et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-26, 3095 (1979).
7. Operating Experience with a High Current Cs⁺¹ Injector for Heavy Ion Fusion, W. Chupp, et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-28, 3389 (1981).
8. Electron Trajectory Program, W. B. Herrmannsfeldt, SLAC-226 (1979).

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.