٩

LA-UR--91-2852 DE91 017826

L

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36.

TITLE: THE 11L1 NEUTRON HALO RADIUS FROM PION DOUBLE CHARGE EXCHANGE

AUTHOR(S): Anna C. Hayes, T-2 Postdoc

SUBMITTED TO: The Workshop on Pions in Nuclei, Peniscola, Spain, June 1991 (Proceedings)

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

I CU HE DOIL - 1301 ()

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government relains a nonexclusive, royally free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes

The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy



amos National Laboratory Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 NA TEL DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

FORMING BIA BA ST NO 2829 5/81

The ¹¹Li Neutron Halo Radius from Pion Double Charge Exchange

A.C. Hayes

Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545

Abstract

We have analyzed the pion double charge exchange data for the direct population of the ground state of ¹¹Li by the ¹¹B(π^- , π^+)¹¹Li reaction and find that the measured cross section determines the rms radius of the last two neutrons in ¹¹Li to be $5.1^{+0.6}_{-0.8}$ fm. It is shown that the pion cross-section falls off as the sixth power of the assumed neutron halo radius, so that a radius greater than about 6 fm is ruled out. Indeed, pion double charge is found to act as an unusually sensitive probe of the properties of this exotic neutron rich nucleus.

Recently, there have been a number of experiments strongly suggesting that the neutron-rich nucleus ¹¹Li exhibits a 'neutron halo', in which the radius of the last two neutrons is several fermi larger than the radius of the ⁹Li core. The existence of the halo has been inferred from the anomalous total reaction crosssection on various light targets¹, which is usually interpreted as arising from an anomalously large matter radius for the system. Furthermore, very narrow momentum distributions of the outgoing ⁹Li² and neutron³ fragments in (¹¹Li,⁹Li) dissociation experiments confirm the large neutron radius and support the idea that it is indeed the last two neutrons which are largely responsible for the halo. However, the necessary model dependence involved in extracting the size of the halo from these experiments leaves a large uncertainty as to its magnitude, and results for ¹¹Li range from an total neutron rms radius¹ of 3.21 ± 0.17 fm to a neutron halo with a radius³ of 12 fm. In this paper I report on calculations of the DCX cross-section for the ${}^{11}B(\pi^-,\pi^+)$ reaction which show that the recent LAMPF data⁴ can be used to make a very accurate determination of the radius of the neutron halo in ¹¹Li.

The DCX cross-section is determined by the wave functions of the exchanged neutrons and protons, and thus acts as a direct probe of the neutron halo. In particular, the cross-section for the ¹¹B $(\pi^-, \pi^+)^{11}$ Li reaction will be significant (or measurable) only if the transition density, obtained from the overlap of the wave functions for the initial protons and final neutrons, is sizeable. There is a very large difference in the binding energies of the last two (exchanged) protons of ¹¹B and the exchanged neutrons of ¹¹Li, and the resulting difference in the shape of

the radial wave functions in the two cases causes the DCX cross-section to be quite sensitive to size of the neutron halo.

At a pion energy of $T_{\pi}=164$ MeV, the DCX reaction is dominated by two sequential single charge exchanges. We have performed calculations of the DCX reaction using finite range distorted waves and a closure approximation for the intermediate states. The calculational technique has already been described elsewhere⁵. The nuclear structure input was expressed in term of shell model two-body density matrix elements derived from a p-shell calculation⁶. In a p-shell model ¹¹Li_{g,s} is described as a single $p_{3/2}$ proton with the neutrons forming a closed shell. The magnetic moment is then just the Schmidt $p_{3/2}$ value, 3.79 μ_n , which is in reasonable agreement with the measured value of $3.667\mu_n$. The wave function for ¹¹B_{g,s}, obtained by diagonalizing the Cohen-Kurath (8-16)2BME effective interaction, reproduces the ground state magnetic and quadrupole moments reasonably well.

In calculating the DCX reaction the rms radius of the last two neutrons in ¹¹Li (R_{2n}) was varied in order to determine the value giving the best representation of the data⁴. This was done by adjusting the size of the Woods-Saxon well used to obtain the single particle wave functions, while keeping the binding energy of the ¹¹Li neutrons fixed at 200 keV. The rms radius of the two protons on which the reaction proceeds was held fixed at 2.65 fm, a value suggested by the difference in charge radius between ¹¹B and ⁹Li. The ¹¹B protons were bound by 11 MeV.

As the volume in which the exchanged neutrons are to be found increases the cross section deceases since the reaction only has significant strength when the overlap of the wave function of the final neutrons with the initial protons is large. In the limit as the radius of the two final neutrons becomes very large, it is the initial wave function alone which controls the volume over which the reaction takes place. When this limiting situation is reached the shape of the transition density no longer changes and the cross section scales as the inverse volume squared or as $1/R_{2n}^6$. The results of the calculation are shown in Figure 1 for a range of rms radii covering those that have been suggested in the literature. We note that a radius of 12 fm, as suggested by Anne *et al.*³, would imply a cross section 3 orders of magnitude smaller than that observed.

We estimated the uncertainty in the calculated cross sections from systematic studies of nuclear structure and DCX for nuclei in this mass region. The use of p-shell wave functions may be too restrictive, particularly in the case of the ¹¹Li nucleus where di-neutron clustering is important. The description of a di-neutron cluster state in a harmonic oscillator shell model basis would require a large multi- $\hbar\omega$ calculation. The inclusion of states of very high excitation is necessary in order to give a realistic description of the relative motion wave function. While the problem is mitigated by our use of Weods-Saxon single-particle wave functions, and by the fact that our p-shell neutrons are strongly correlated⁷, additional correlations can be introduced by increasing the model basis to allow sd-shell or higher excitations, and these have been shown to give up to a factor of two in the DCX cross sections between isobaric analog states. In the present non analog transition these effects will be reduced by roughly $\sqrt{2}$ since two nucleon clustering is important only in the final (¹¹Li) wave function.

The second source of possible error lies in the calculation of the distortions of the pion. We can estimate the accuracy of the calculated distortions by comparing with the analog state transition in ¹⁴C. Using wave functions obtained by diagonalizing the same Cohen-Kurath interaction we find that the data for the ¹⁴C(π^+, π^-)¹⁴O reaction are correctly reproduced around 20⁰ but are over estimated by almost a factor of 2 at 5⁰. Thus we assign a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ error from the distortion. The corrections from nuclear structure and pion dynamics have approximately equal but opposite effect, with the former increasing and the latter decreasing the calculated cross section. Combining the two we estimate that the the uncertainty in the calculated cross sections has a one standard deviation error of 40%. Allowing this uncertainty we conclude that the measured cross section determines the radius of the last two neutrons in ¹¹Li to be $5.1^{+0.6}_{-0.8}$ fm.

We now turn to a comparison of the present extracted radius with other determinations. Bertsch *et al.*⁸ find a matter radius of ¹¹Li to be 2.846 fm and that of ⁹Li to be 2.224 fm leading to an R_{2n} of 4.72 fm, a value consistent with the present result. From the neutron radii of Tanihata *et al.*¹ determined from interaction cross section measurements, assuming that the ⁹Li core neutron radius remains fixed at 2.39 fm, we find R_{2n} to be 4.91 fm. The dissociation experiments tend to show a larger radius but they are not inconsistent with our result if we use a cluster model for the last two neutrons. Consider a di-neutron bound to the ⁹Li core and assume that the two neutrons are produced at zero relative momentum in the dissociation process. We implemented this model by solving for the bound state wave function of a particle with a two-nucleon mass in a Woods-Saxon potential holding the binding energy fixed at 190 keV, and varying the size of the well to allow the choice of different rms radii for the di-neutron.

If we compare the recoil distribution measured by Kobayashi et $al.^2$ with the transverse momentum obtained from the di-neutron wave function described above the result is in basic agreement for either of the 5.4 or 6.2 fm cases shown in Figure 2a. Note that if the ⁹Li were recoiling against two independent neutrons the width of the peak would be narrower by $1/\sqrt{2}$. We also show a comparison with the results of Anne et al. ³ in fig. 2b for the angular distribution of the neutrons arising from dissociation. Because of the di-neutron assumption used above, each neutron carries half of the momentum of the ⁹Li so that there should be a factor of 2 between the widths of the distributions in Ref. 2 and 3, while if the neutrons were uncorrelated there would be a factor of $\sqrt{2}$. The agreement is marginally satisfactory, except for the first point. Hüfner and Nemes⁹ point out that for a reliable extraction of a momentum distribution the energy/nucleon should exceed 500 MeV/u, a condition met in ref 2 but not in ref. 3.

In summary, an analysis of the DCX reaction on ¹¹B leading to the ground state of ¹¹Li shows that the measured cross section places a strong limit on the size of the neutron halo in ¹¹Li: $R_{2n} \approx 5.1^{+0.6}_{-0.8}$ fm. For sufficiently large neutron radii the calculated cross section falls off as $1/R_{2n}^6$, so that an rms radius for the last two neutrons greater than about 6 fm is ruled out. We emphasize that this is not a model dependent statement, but can be understood in terms of geometric arguments alone. The extracted radius is in agreement with results from the interaction cross section measurements¹, and with Hartree-Fock calculations⁸ which explicitly take account of the loose binding of the last neutrons. Agreement with the dissociation experiment of Ref. 2 (and to a lesser extent of ref. 3) is achieved if and only if we assume that the last two neutrons behave as a dineutron cluster.

This work was carried out in collaboration with Bill Gibbs, and was supported by the U.S. Dept. of Energy.

- 1. I. Tanihata, et al., Phys. Lett. B206, 592 (1988).
- 2. T. Kobayashi, et al. Phys. Lett. B232, 51 (1989).
- 3. Anne, et al. Phys. Lett B 250, 19 (1990).
- 4. T. Kobayashi, et al., submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
- 5. N. Auerbach, W. R. Gibbs, J. N. Ginocchio and W. B. Kaufmann, Phys. Rev.
- C 38, 1277 (1988); M. J. Leitch, et al., Phys. Rev. C 39, 2356 (1989).
- 6. A.C. Hayes and D. Strottman, Phys. Rev. C42, 2248 (1990)
- 7. A.C. Hayes, Phys. Lett **B254**, 15 (1991).
- 8. G. Bertsch, B.A. Brown and II. Sagawa, Phys. Rev. C39 (1989) 1154.
- 9. J. Hüfner and M.C. Nemes, Phys. Rev. C23, 2538 (1981).



Figure 1. The angular distribution of the cross section for the DCX reaction for several values of R_{2n} . The insert shows the comparison of the 5° cross section (band defined by the dotted horizontal lines) with the theoretical calculation (band defined by the solid lines).



Figure 2. Comparison of the model described in the text with the data of Ref. 2(a) and Ref. 3(b).