SUMMARY REPORT
OF
AVAILABILITY OF GEOTHERMAL DATA FOR
POTENTIAL DIRECT HEAT APPLICATION
IN NEVADA

Submitted To
U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration
Division of Geothermal Energy, HQ
Washington, DC

As Required Under
Modification No. A001
Contract No. EY-76-S-08-0671

NOTICE
This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

by

Dennis T. Trexler
Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology
University of Nevada, Reno
Reno, NV 89557

September, 1977

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

INTRODUCTION

An assessment of available data on geothermal springs and wells was performed by first ascertaining which agencies both State and Federal maintain files which have water temperatures. The principle files are maintained by the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, U. S. Geological Survey, and Water Resources Center - Desert Research Institute. The State Engineer's office maintains files of driller's logs. Requirements for completing driller's logs under subsection 5 states water temperature will be reported, if thermometer is unavailable an estimated temperature will be given as cold, warm or hot.

The second phase of the assessment of available data was to determine the quality of the data in each file. This was performed by inventory of files and by cross-correlating files by cursory examination. An estimate of the number of entries in the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology (NBMG) file with inferred temperatures that could be associated with confidence to replicate data was also made.

RESULTS

Geothermal data available on Nevada resides in several data banks. The principal sources are Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, U. S. Geological Survey, and Water Resource Center's Water Analysis Data System (WADS).

Table 1

Existing Data >20°C for Nevada in Principle Data Files

	Entries
NBMG	>1100
U.S.G.S. GEOTHERM	559
WRC-DRI	250*

*Increasing because of data derived from Lawrence Livermore Laboratory uranium study in Nevada.

At the inception of this study a cut-off temperature of 35°C was used. Subsequent discussion with ERDA, Division Geothermal Energy, HQ and Jim Swanson of the U. S. Geological Survey confirm that the cut-off temperature for geothermal waters for direct heat utilization is now 20°C. This lower value coincides with the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology's file and the U. S. Geological Surveys GEOTHERM file.

The inventory of existing data considered 35°C as the cut-off temperature and the subsequent tables and figures were prepared using the 35°C criteria. The number of data sheets in the NBMG file (>1100) is a factor of 2 greater than the number of entries (538) using the 35°C cut-off temperature. This factor probably applies to all parameters considered in this evaluation.

Data in the NBMG file for springs and wells >35°C were inventoried and such parameters as the completeness of the data, chemical analyses, flow rate (discharge) and depth of wells was considered. Table 2, (shown graphically in Figure 1) provides a tabular listing by county of data in the NBMG file.

Table 2 indicates that 78 percent of all springs and a slightly higher proportion of the wells (87%) have measured temperatures. Approximately one-half of all entries (51%) have chemical analyses. Approximately 50 percent of the entries have ancillary data such as flow rate, well depth and other remarks, 52%, 49% and 48% respectively.

A comparison was made between the NBMG geothermal file and the U. S. Geological Survey, Conservation Division, Geothermal Land Classification Map to ascertain the quality of data contained in separate data files. Table 3 is a comparison of the NBMG geothermal file and data presented on U. S. Geological Survey, Conservation Division, Geothermal Land Classification Map. Of the 196 springs and wells located on the Land Classification Map, 31 springs and 2 wells have disagreement in location, temperatures and/or type of occurrence, i.e.

TABLE 2
GEOHERMAL DATA STATEWIDE
WITH TEMPERATURES >35°C
OR INDICATED AS HOT OR WARM

County	Spring	Well	Total Data Sheets	Chem. Analysis	Flow Rate	Depth	Other
Carson City	2(1)*	3(1)*	7	4	1	2	1
Churchill	5(11)	10(2)	28	5	10	8	23
Clark	(5)	3	8	2	0	3	4
Douglas	6	1	7	5	3	1	5
Elko	36(13)	5(3)	57	18	23	7	35
Esmeralda	7	1(1)	9	4	4	2	6
Eureka	33	9	42	24	22	5	22
Humboldt	55(11)	13	79	46	31	9	40
Lander	22(4)	5	31	16	16	2	21
Lincoln	4(1)	4(4)	13	7	2	5	6
Lyon	4	11(4)	19	12	6	10	7
Mineral	4(1)	6(1)	12	7	4	2	3
Nye	53(9)	20(2)	84	38	47	18	32
Pershing	27(5)	6(1)	39	19	14	2	21
Storey	1(mine)	-	1	-	-	-	1
Washoe	26(18)	45(2)	91	65	11	4	30
White Pine	7(3)	1	11	3	5	1	3
Total	292(82)	143(21)	538	275	199	81	260

* Temperature indicated as Hot or Warm

NBMG DATA >35°C

Figure 1. Graphic representation of the quantity and type of data in the NBMG Geothermal file.

TABLE 3

COMPARISON OF NBMG AND U.S.G.S.
CONSERVATION DIVISION GEOTHERMAL
LAND CLASSIFICATION MAP

County	NBMG		USGS Geothermal Land Classification	
	Spring	Well	Spring	Well
Carson City	2(1)*	3(1)*	1(1)*	
Churchill	5(11)	10(2)	3(5)	
Clark	(5)	3	(3)	2
Douglas	6	1	2(2)	
Elko	36(13)	5(3)	15(8)	1(1)*
Esmeralda	7	1(1)	2(2)	1
Eureka	33	9	11(2)	
Humboldt	55(11)	13	18(11)	5(1)
Lander	22(4)	5	8(5)	1
Lincoln	4(1)	4(4)	2	3
Lyon	4	11(4)	2(4)	
Mineral	4(1)	6(1)	2(1)	2
Nye	53(9)	20(2)	10(8)	7
Pershing	27(5)	6(1)	7(3)	4
Storey	1(mine)	-	1	
Washoe	26(18)	45(2)	10(4)	7
White Pine	7(3)	1	5(3)	
Total	292(82)	143(21)	99(62)	33(2)

*Temperature indicated as hot or warm

spring or well. Twelve of the 31 springs have disagreement in location and 7 have disagreement in temperature. Three springs on the USGS map are wells in the NBMG geothermal files and 2 wells in Las Vegas Valley are apparently mislocated on the map.

It is apparent from the above comparison that many discrepancies between various sources of geothermal information exist. A further analysis was made on data within the NBMG geothermal file to ascertain the amount of additional data that will have to be generated to make the assessment of potential geothermal energy for direct utilization and production of a complete and comprehensive map. A cursory examination of those springs and wells which had inferred temperatures (hot or warm) was made to determine if they were replicate data and had measured temperatures or if they are located in an area which has measured temperatures. The findings of this cursory examination are presented in Appendix A. A total of 103 springs and wells within the NBMG geothermal file have inferred temperatures of these approximately 75 percent could not be associated with other data sheets with confidence. Further research, consulting the original references, large scale maps and in some cases field measurement of temperature will have to be made.

Considerable research and cross-referencing and correlation of existing data will have to be made on the Waring data to validate the location and temperatures of the described springs. There are approximately 30 data sheets in the NBMG geothermal file which are Waring references and will require further research to confirm the locations so that duplicate data or mislocated springs will not be included.

Duplication between NBMG data and that contained in GEOTHERM will probably not exceed 30 percent. All data on water with temperatures $>20^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the U. S. Geological Survey's Water Resources Division computer file have been incorporated

into GEOTHERM. The NBMG file contains only data of the Water Resources Division file that has been published or used in open-file reports. Also GEOTHERM contains many entries which are from the personal files of Don White, U. S. Geological Survey, Menlo Park. These data do not reside in the NBMG file.

APPENDIX A

Examination of 103 Springs and Wells with Inferred Temperatures

NOTES ON INDICATED TEMPERATURES

Carson City

Well 6C Wells in same section have 112°F Temp.

Churchill

Spring 13 Waring, general location
Spring(M)14 No data
Spring 15 Waring general location
Spring 17 Waring, appears to be Dixie Hot Spring >100°F
Spring 33 Waring, no data
Well 36 Drill Hole to 3700' Temp. probably exceeds 100°F
Spring 49 Waring, probably incorrectly located

Clark

Spring 12 If same as Spring 11 Temp=90°F Discharge 3240gpm
Spring 36 Apparently 81°F from adjoining data w/same name
Springs 95,96,97 No correlative data

Elko

Spring 26 Same location as Spring 25 Temp=194°F
Well 29 Same location as Well 28 Temp=138°F
Spring 31 No correlative data
Spring 38 No correlative data
Wells 41,44 No correlative data
Spring 55 Spring 2 miles away Temp=102°F
Spring 62 Spring in same section 70°F
Spring 63 No correlative data
Wells 70,71,72 Encountered hot water and were abandoned
Springs 74,75 No correlative data
Spring 78 No correlative data
Springs 87,88 Are located near Spring 86 Temp=149°F

Esmeralda

Well 12 No correlative data

Humboldt

Spring 12 Other spring and wells in area 200°F
Springs 19,20 No correlative data
Springs 41,42,55 Waring ref. Location uncertain
Spring 61 Waring ref. Location uncertain
Spring 72 In Double Hot Springs area probably >94°F
Springs 87,89 Location uncertain. No correlative data
Spring 27 Well in same sect. 85°F
Spring 29 Waring, location uncertain
Spring 32 Waring, location uncertain. Indicated as hot
Spring 43 Waring, location uncertain. Indicated as hot

Lincoln

Wells 12,17,20,21	Wells in area generally <90°F
Spring 50	No correlative data
Wells 37-42	Other wells in area >100°F

Mineral

Spring 1	Waring location uncertain. Indicated as warm
Well 2	No correlative data

Nye

Spring 1	No correlative data
Spring 5,11	>100°F personal knowledge
Spring 27	Probably <94°F from nearby data
Spring 32	No correlative data
Well 39	In Darrough Hot Spring area. Hot water cemented off.
Spring 45	Waring, location uncertain
Well 101	No correlative data
Spring 102	Waring, location uncertain. No temp.
Springs 113,114	No data.

Pershing

Spring 4	No data from nearby springs
Spring 13	Numerous springs, Waring location vague
Spring 25	No data. Location questionable
Springs 36,38	Probably >94°F, in area of high temp. Drill hole 41B >100°F near spring w/141°F.

Washoe

Spring 7	>190°F Steamboat Springs area
Spring 9	>190°F Steamboat Springs area
Spring 26	Waring, location uncertain.
Spring 27	No data, map ref.
Spring 28	Waring, location uncertain.
Springs 30,31	Waring, no data
Spring 33	Waring, no data
Spring 34	Waring, no data
Spring 36	Waring, no data
Spring 38	No correlative temp. data
Spring 39	Waring, no data
Spring 40	Waring, no data
Spring 46	Waring, no data
Springs 55,56	Adjacent springs and wells >100°F
Well 57	
Spring 94	>100°F Garside
Well 95	?>100°F by association in Moana area
Well 98	>100°F north of Steamboat Springs
Spring 123	Remarks indicate boiling mud

White Pine

Spring 17	Waring, poor location, no data
Spring 27	Waring, poor location, no data
Spring 38	Waring, poor location, no data