

March 1991

Monopoles of $SU(15)$ grand unification

Palash B. Pal

Institute of Theoretical Science

University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA

ABSTRACT

In a recently analyzed grand unified model based on the gauge group $SU(15)$, monopoles are automatically consistent with the cosmological mass density bound. The Parker bound of monopole flux puts some constraints on the model which can be easily satisfied.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MASTER
JMO

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

The interesting idea of gauging symmetries like baryon number (B) and lepton number (L) was incorporated [1] in grand unification models based on the group $SU(16)$. In these models, B and L are violated spontaneously. The resulting proton decay rate is much slower [1,2] compared to the prediction of more popular unification models based on $SU(5)$ or $SO(10)$.

Interest in such models has recently been revived by some discussion of a unification model [3] based on $SU(15)$. The difference of this model with those based on $SU(16)$ is that in the fundamental representation containing the fermions, there is no right handed neutrino. Baryon number is still part of the gauge symmetry although lepton number is not. The spontaneous symmetry breaking process breaks B [4,5], giving rise to baryon violating processes. It has been shown that, in a particular chain of symmetry breaking, the unification scale can be as low as 10^7 GeV. This prediction is very different from those of popular grand unification models where the unification scale is 10^{14} GeV or higher. However, a low unification scale in the context of $SU(15)$ does not conflict with data on proton stability because proton decay is very suppressed [6] in this model, just as it is in $SU(16)$.

Here, we want to point out another aspect of the $SU(15)$ model which has not been emphasized before. Because of the possibility of low unification scale, gauge monopoles in this model can be easily consistent with cosmological bounds. This characteristic, again, is very different from that of $SU(5)$, where gauge monopoles violate cosmological energy density bounds by many orders of magnitude.

We start by outlining the derivation of the cosmological bound on the monopoles [7]. At the present era, the energy density of the monopoles is given by $\rho_M = m_M n_M^0$, where m_M is the mass of a monopole and n_M^0 is their present number density. This energy density must satisfy

$$m_M n_M^0 \lesssim 10^{-5} h^2 \text{ GeV} / \text{cm}^3, \quad (1)$$

where h is the Hubble parameter in units of $100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. We can parametrize the monopole mass by

$$m_M = \xi V_M / \alpha_M, \quad (2)$$

where $\xi \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$, α_M is the fine structure constant at the scale V_M of symmetry breaking which gives rise to the monopoles. To estimate the number density of the monopoles, we use Kibble's picture [8] in which monopoles are produced when domains of the broken symmetry phase coalesce. This picture gives rise to about one monopole within the horizon at the time of the creation of the monopoles. Formally, one can write the monopole number density n_M at that era as:

$$n_M = \beta / \ell_M^3, \quad (3)$$

where ℓ_M is the horizon length at the time of monopole creation, and $\beta \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$. Using $\ell_M = 0.6 g_*^{-1/2} M_P / T_M^2$ where g_* is the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom and T_M is the temperature at that era, we obtain

$$n_M/n_\gamma \simeq 20\beta g_*^{3/2} (T_M/M_P)^3, \quad (4)$$

since the photon number density is related to temperature by $n_\gamma = 0.24 T^3$. Since that era, the total number of monopoles has not changed noticeably since monopole-antimonopole annihilation cross sections are negligible [9]. Therefore, the number density of monopoles has changed only due to the expansion of the universe. The photon number density, on the other hand, has changed also due to annihilations of particle-antiparticle pairs. Denoting the increase of photon number density due to these reheatings by a factor f_{RH} , we obtain

$$n_M^0 = \frac{20\beta g_*^{3/2}}{f_{RH}} \left(\frac{T_M}{M_P}\right)^3 n_\gamma^0, \quad (5)$$

where $n_\gamma^0 \approx 400 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ is the present number density of photons. Using these estimates and introducing the parameter $a_{sc} = T_M/V_M$, we obtain

$$\rho_M = 8 \times 10^{-54} \frac{\xi \beta g_*^{3/2} a_{sc}^3}{\alpha_M f_{RH}} \left(\frac{V_M}{1 \text{ GeV}}\right)^4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3. \quad (6)$$

The bound in Eq. (1) then translates to

$$V_M \lesssim \left(\frac{\alpha_M f_{RH} h^2}{\xi \beta g_*^{3/2} a_{sc}^3}\right)^{1/4} \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV}. \quad (7)$$

Observational limits on the value of Hubble parameter imply $\frac{1}{2} \leq h \leq 1$. The parameters ξ and β are of order unity. The fine structure constant is typically $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$. The quantities f_{RH} and g_* depend on the number of degrees of freedom in the model, and are typically $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$. The factor a_{sc} denotes the supercooling needed below the symmetry breaking scale before monopoles can appear. For second order or weakly first order phase transitions, one would naturally expect this to be not much smaller than unity. Then, Eq. (7) clearly shows why monopoles of $SU(5)$ unification model were inconsistent with cosmology. One has to invoke either a large supercooling as may be possible in the case of a strongly first order transition, or inflation, in order to make $SU(5)$ monopoles acceptable.

For the recently discussed $SU(15)$ model of grand unification, the situation is very different. The symmetry breaking chain which gives low unification scale is as follows:

$$SU(15) \xrightarrow{M_G} SU(12)_q \times SU(3)_l$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{M_B}{\longrightarrow} & SU(6)_L \times SU(6)_R \times U(1)_B \times SU(3)_l \\
\frac{M_A}{\longrightarrow} & SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \\
\frac{M_W}{\longrightarrow} & SU(3)_c \times U(1)_Q.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

At the scale M_B , a $U(1)$ factor appears, which gives rise to monopoles. Thus, the monopole scale V_M in the earlier discussion should be identified with the scale M_B in this model. Renormalization group analysis of the model shows that the scale M_B can easily satisfy Eq. (7), as we discuss below.

Introducing the notation $M_X = 10^{n_X}$ GeV for any mass scale M_X , one gets the following relations connecting different mass scales [3,4]:

$$\begin{aligned}
792n_G - 264n_B - 308n_A - 220n_W &= 3K \{0.375 - \sin^2 \theta_W(M_W)\} \\
792n_G - 440n_B - 220n_A - 132n_W &= K \{0.375 - [\alpha(M_W)/\alpha_{3c}(M_W)]\},
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $K = 32\pi/[\alpha(M_W) \ln 10]$. Using $\alpha^{-1}(M_W) = 128$, $\sin^2 \theta_W(M_W) = 0.228$ and $\alpha_{3c}^{-1} = 9.35$ and putting $n_W = \log_{10} 81$, one can solve n_G and n_B in terms of n_A :

$$n_B = \frac{1}{2}n_A + 5.34, \quad n_G = \frac{5}{9}n_A + 5.42. \tag{10}$$

It was emphasized [4] that the above solution for n_B , together with the constraint $M_B \geq M_A$, implies $M_B \leq 5 \times 10^{10}$ GeV. If M_B is far below this upper limit, the monopoles are easily consistent with cosmological bounds. On the other hand, if M_B is close to the allowed upper limit, the monopoles can constitute bulk of the energy density in the universe and therefore can solve the dark matter problem.

We now discuss the Parker bound [10] on monopole fluxes in our galaxy, which derives from the consideration that the galactic magnetic field B_{gal} is not destroyed by the acceleration of the monopoles. For monopoles with magnetic charge \mathcal{G} , the energy dissipation rate is of order $\mathcal{G}n_M^0 v_M B_{\text{gal}}$, where v_M denotes their average velocity. Demanding that the energy density in the galactic magnetic field, $B_{\text{gal}}^2/8\pi$, is not depleted in time $\tau \sim 10^8$ yr which is the time needed to regenerate the field, one obtains

$$\frac{n_M^0 v_M}{4\pi} \lesssim \frac{B_{\text{gal}}}{32\pi^2 \mathcal{G} \tau} \approx 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}, \tag{11}$$

using $B_{\text{gal}} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-6}$ Gauss and $\mathcal{G} \sim (2e)^{-1}$. The coherence length of this magnetic field within our galaxy is $\mathcal{O}(10^{21} \text{ cm})$. For monopoles with masses in the range of 10^{11} GeV or less, it is easy to see that a monopole crossing one coherence length can obtain velocities of order of the speed of light. Thus, using $v_M \sim 1$ in Eq. (11), we obtain

$$n_M^0 \lesssim 10^{-25} \text{ cm}^{-3}. \tag{12}$$

It should be noted that this bound on number densities is much stronger than that obtained for $SU(5)$ monopoles. The reason is that the $SU(5)$ monopoles are much heavier so that their average velocity is much smaller. In the present context, using the estimate of n_M^0 from Eq. (5), we obtain the bound

$$V_M \lesssim \left(\frac{f_{\text{RH}}}{\beta g_*^{3/2} a_{\text{sc}}^3} \right)^{1/3} \times 10^9 \text{ GeV}. \quad (13)$$

This can put nontrivial constraints on the mass scales of the model. Through renormalization group analysis and the values of the gauge coupling constants at the weak scale, the scales M_B and M_G can be expressed as functions of the scale M_A . For example, if we take the prefactor in Eq. (13) to be unity, we get $M_B \lesssim 10^9 \text{ GeV}$, which implies $M_A \lesssim 2 \times 10^7 \text{ GeV}$ and $M_G \lesssim 3 \times 10^9 \text{ GeV}$ from Eq. (10). This is stronger than the bounds from any other consideration. If the local density of monopoles is higher in our galaxy, the bound becomes stronger.

To summarize, we have shown that the monopoles appearing the course of symmetry breaking of $SU(15)$ grand unified group are consistent with the cosmological energy density bound. In sharp contrast with monopoles arising, e.g., in $SU(5)$ grand unified model, one does not have to invoke large supercooling to achieve this. The Parker bound on monopole flux in our galaxy can put some constraints on the scales of the model. These constraints are consistent with renormalization group calculations.

References

- [1] J. C. Pati, A. Salam and J. Strathdee, *Nuovo Cim.* **26A**, 77 (1975); *Nucl. Phys.* **B185**, 445 (1981).
- [2] R. N. Mohapatra and M. Popović, *Phys. Rev.* **D25**, 3012 (1982);
A. Raychaudhuri and U. Sarkar, *Phys. Rev.* **D26**, 3212 (1982).
- [3] P. H. Frampton and B-H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 619 (1990).
- [4] P. B. Pal, *Phys. Rev.* **D43**, 236 (1991).
- [5] U. Sarkar, A. Mann and T. G. Steele, Report No. PRL-TH-90-18, 1990 (unpublished).
- [6] P. H. Frampton and T. W. Kephart, *Phys. Rev.* **D42**, 3892 (1990).
- [7] For reviews and extensive references, see e.g. J. Preskill, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **34**, 461 (1984).
- [8] T. W. B. Kibble, *J. Phys.* **A9**, 1387 (1976).
- [9] J. P. Preskill, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 1365 (1979).
- [10] E. N. Parker, *AstroPhys. J.* **150**, 383 (1970).

END

**DATE
FILMED**

7 / 6 / 92

