✓
MASTER

PREPRINT UCRL- 79769

CONF-770538--3

Lawrence Livermore Laboratory

BRILLOUIN SCATTER IN LASER-PRODUCED PLASMAS

D. W. Phillion, W. L. Kruer, and V. C. Rupert

July 18, 1977

This paper was presented as an invited paper at the 7th Annual
Anomalous Absorption Conference, Ann Arbor, Michigan, May 1977.

18-20

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

BRILLOUIN SCATTER IN LASER-PRODUCED PLASMAS^{*}

D. W. Phillip, W. L. Kruer, and V. C. Rupert

Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, University of California
Livermore, CaliforniaAbstract

The absorption of intense laser light is found to be reduced when targets are irradiated by 1.06 μm light with long pulse widths (150-400 psec) and large focal spots (100-250 μm). Estimates of Brillouin scatter which account for the finite heat capacity of the underdense plasma predict this reduction. Spectra of the back reflected light show red shifts indicative of Brillouin scattering.

NOTICE
This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

^{*} Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration, contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

In laser fusion applications it is important to understand the absorption of laser light with intensity $\geq 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2$ (1.06 μ light). In such experiments,¹⁻⁷ it was generally found that Brillouin scatter⁸⁻¹⁰ limits at a low level, an effect which has been attributed theoretically^{9,10} to the small mass and heat capacity of the small underdense plasma. With the advent of more powerful lasers, it is becoming common to investigate the absorption of intense light in experiments with long pulses and large focal spots. These experiments, characterized by a much larger region of underdense plasma, more closely approximate future experiments with shaped pulses. For example, a simple estimate shows that $L \approx R$, where R is the radius of the focal spot, provided the pulse length is long enough for plasma to expand that far.

With large regions of underdense plasma, stimulated scattering of the incident laser light becomes a concern. First we briefly present a simple estimate for Brillouin scattering, which takes into account the finite heat capacity of the underdense plasma. Although crude, this model suffices to estimate magnitudes and also to emphasize the strong ion heating concomitant with the scatter. Then we present results of experiments in which discs are irradiated with intense, 1.06 μ light using long pulse lengths and large focal spots. The absorption is found to be significantly degraded, as predicted.

At very high intensities such that the light pressure is much greater than the plasma pressure, the induced reflectivity can self-limit by simply pushing the underdense plasma aside.¹⁰ However, in general

we must consider the complementary regime in which the light pressure is less than the plasma pressure. For example, this regime is obtained when $I_L \sim 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2$ and the plasma with density $\leq 1/3-1/2 n_{cr}$ is rather hot ($\theta_e \sim 3-5 \text{ keV}$, as indicated by the high energy x-rays). Some simple estimates of the induced reflectivity can then be obtained by postulating that long-term ion heating controls the level of the scatter. In the scattering, a small fraction of the incident light energy is transferred to an ion wave and then damped into the ions. Since the massive ions transport energy slowly, even a small energy deposition drives them to a high temperature; i.e., $\theta_i \sim 0(\theta_e)$. This heating, in turn, reduces the reflectivity by making the ion waves heavily damped.

A simple description illustrates the numbers. Assuming that the intensity is well above the threshold set by gradients, we model the underdense plasma as uniform with size L . We consider only back-scatter and anticipate that strong ion heating will lead the ion waves to be heavily damped in the final state. Then an analytic solution of the coupled wave equations gives for the induced reflectivity r :^{9,11}

$$\frac{B}{r} = \frac{1-r}{\exp [x(1-r)]} \quad , \quad (1)$$

where

$$x = 1/4 \left(\frac{n_p}{n_{cr}} \right) k_o L \left(\frac{v_L}{v_e} \right)^2 \frac{v_i}{\omega_i} \left(1 + \frac{3\theta_i}{\theta_e} \right) .$$

Here B is the noise level of the back-scattered wave, $n_p(L)$ is the plasma density (size), v_L is the oscillation velocity of electrons in the incident light wave with free-space wavenumber k_0 , and v_e is the electron thermal velocity. $\theta_e(\theta_i)$ is the electron (ion) temperature, and $\nu_i(\omega_i)$ is the ion wave damping (frequency).

To close this description, we need to estimate the ion temperature. The simplest assumption is that all the ions are heated and that they carry away energy as rapidly as possible; i.e. in a free-streaming limit. We balance this energy flux with that deposited into ion waves, giving

$$r I_L \frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} = n_p \theta_i v_i, \quad (2)$$

where $\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} = 2k_0 v_s / \omega_0$ is the fraction of the reflected light energy given to the ion waves, and v_s is the ion sound velocity.

The solid lines in Fig. 1 show θ_i and r as a function of plasma size from this simple model. In this example $(v_L/v_e)^2 = 0.4$, which corresponds to $I_L = 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2$ and $\theta_e = 3 \text{ keV}$. Note from Fig. 1a the strong ion heating as anticipated. Even a modest reflectivity deposits sufficient energy into the ions to drive them to a mean temperature comparable to the electron temperature. Fig. 1b provides an estimate for the magnitude of the induced reflectivity. For $L \approx 10 \lambda_0$, $r \approx 10\%$. For $L \approx 50-100 \lambda_0$, $r \approx 50\%$. Note that r does not increase very rapidly with L in this model. As r increases, the ion heating increases, which acts to reduce the increase in r .

Improved models for the ion heating give similar results. Simulations⁹⁻¹⁰ have shown that in general only a fraction of the ions

are heated (via trapping in the ion waves). To model this effect, we balance the energy deposition into ion waves with that carried off by a fraction of the ions (n_h/n_p) heated to an effective temperature $\theta_h \approx Mv_s^2$, where M is the ion mass. The dashed line in Fig. 1b shows the reflectivity then obtained. Note the magnitudes are similar. Finally we carried out some simulations of this model using a code with particle ions and fluid electrons. These simulations account for the microscopic nature of the heating as well as its spatial dependence. The calculated reflectivities in the heated state are denoted by the crosses in Fig. 1b.

These one-dimensional estimates are probably conservative since several effects are overlooked. First both back and side-scatter occur. Well above threshold, the scattered light is expected to come back in a broad range of angles as the side-scattered light refracts out of the plasma. This side-scatter occurs primarily out of the plane of polarization, which is another signature for Brillouin scatter. Secondly, it takes some time for the ions in the underdense plasma to heat to a steady state. During this time, the reflectivity is larger than that shown in Fig. 1b.

Experiments were carried out at the Argus¹² laser facility with 1.064 μm light focused by $f/1$ lenses. The pulses were Gaussian with a duration of 150 ps - 400 ps measured between half intensity points and had little sub-structure. The laser beam was only a few times diffraction-limited, and little aberration was visible at the spot sizes used in these experiments. The minimum detectable energy in any pre-pulse is 70 to 73 dB lower than the main pulse energy. No

pre-pulse was detected on any shot for which data is presented here, although for a couple of the shots in Fig. 2, no pre-pulse photo was obtained. The spot diameters (100-250 μm) are measured between half-intensity points, which in the near field corresponds to the ray cone having 18° half-angle. Roughly 60% of the laser energy was contained within this half-angle, while 90% of the beam energy was contained within the ray cone of 24.5° half-angle. The targets were Parylene disks (C_8H_8 , 22 μm thick, 300 μm diameter), which were oriented normal to the beam.

The light absorption was measured in two independent ways. One technique was to measure the non-absorbed light using a box calorimeter.¹³ An innermost glass box, which was thermally isolated from the rest of the calorimeter, just transmitted the scattered light, not x-rays or particles. Calorimeters measured both the incident light and the light collected by the focusing lenses. Even though the box calorimeter was rotated by 15° from perfect alignment with the laser electric field, the two side panels out of the plane of polarization saw almost twice as much energy as the other two side panels. On similar shots with the box calorimeter not in place, an array of photodiodes confirmed this strong polarization dependence, which is expected of Brillouin scattering.

The second technique was to measure the energy in the plasma blowoff and x-rays using an array of plasma calorimeters.¹³ These calorimeters were positioned both in and out of the plane of incidence; however no polarization dependence in the ion blowoff was observed. Since no plasma calorimeter could be placed closer than 52.5° to the incident beam direction due to mechanical interference with the focusing lens assembly,

the general shape of the plasma blowoff energy distribution must be known. To obtain this information, on one shot the parylene disk was tilted 26° from the normal and the distribution was mapped by a ring of calorimeters in the plane of incidence. Assuming the plasma blow-off to have remained symmetric about the normal, we found the form $A+B\cos^5$ to fit the data for the blowoff to the front. The angle θ is measured away from the target normal. To obtain the energy in the blowoff to the back, the calorimeter measurements there were averaged and multiplied by 2π solid angle.

Fig. 2 shows the measured absorption efficiency as a function of focal spot diameter. The results are grouped into two intensity regimes - one in the $2-7 \times 10^{15}$ W/cm² intensity range and the other in the $1-5 \times 10^{16}$ W/cm² range. In each case the circles are previous results⁴ obtained with the Janus laser using 80 ps pulses of 1.06 μ light. The data at the large spot sizes are those obtained with the Argus laser. Note that in each intensity regime, the absorption is degraded by a factor of ≈ 2 in the experiments with larger spots and longer pulses. As expected, in the higher intensity regime this factor of 2 reduction takes place at smaller spot diameters.

In the Argus experiments, a large fraction of the incident light ($\sim 30-50\%$) is reflected back into the f/l focusing lens. The frequency spectrum of this light provides additional evidence for the role of stimulated scattering. Fig. 3a shows the spectrum obtained for a 140 μ m diameter glass ball irradiated by two opposing beams (north beam: 220 ps FWHM, 220 J and south beam: 150 ps FWHM, 198 J). The light collected by the north focusing lens was imaged onto the 25 μ m slit of a 5/4-meter Czerny-Turner type spectrograph. The spectrum was

recorded by an optical multichannel analyzer with a resolution of about one angstrom. At least 85% of the back-reflected light was shifted to the red side of the laser line. If the critical density surface moved outward during most of the laser pulse, this result implies almost all this light was Brillouin scattered. As additional support for this conclusion, the light absorption was measured to be only $12 \pm 5\%$ by an array of calorimeters measuring the energy in the particle blowoff and x-ray emission.

In general, the doppler shift due to plasma expansion can overcome that due to the ion waves. This is the case with the disk experiments. In Fig. 3b the spectrum for a parylene disk shot (100 μ diameter spot, 148 J, 198 ps FWHM) is shown. Note the reflected line is rather broad and extends only partially to the red. The line has a width of $\sim 2 k_{\perp} v_{\perp}$ as expected, since the ion waves are heavily damped. In contrast, in a disk experiment in which little Brillouin scatter was expected (28 ps pulse length, $\sim 50\mu$ diameter focal spot), the back-reflected line was more narrow (11 $\text{\AA}$ wide) and had its center shifted farther (23 $\text{\AA}$) to the blue. We therefore regard the broad spectrum in Fig. 3b, which is in part red-shifted, as evidence for Brillouin scatter.

We are grateful for the encouragement and support of J. Emmett, J. Nuckolls, H. Ahlstrom, and C. Hendricks. We thank F. Rainer, C. Swift, and the others who helped with these experiments. We acknowledge useful discussions with W. Mead, K. Estabrook, and J. Larsen.

This research was performed under the auspices of the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, Contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

REFERENCES

1. B. H. Ripin, J. M. McMahon, E. A. McLean, W. M. Manheimer and J. A. Stamper, Phys. Rev. Letters 33, 634 (1974).
2. M. Lubin, et al. in Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1974) Vol. II, p. 459.
3. P. Lee, D. V. Giovannelli, R. P. Godwin, and G. H. McCall, Appl. Phys. Lett. 24, 406 (1974).
4. R. Haas, et al., Phys. Fluids 20, 322 (1977); R. Haas et al., Lawrence Livermore Laboratory UCRL-78927 (1977).
5. C. Yamanaka, T. Yamanaka, T. Sasaki, J. Mizui, and H. B. Kang, Phys. Rev. Letters 32, 1038 (1974).
6. A. A. Offenberger, M. R. Cervenak, A. M. Yam, and A. W. Pasternak, J. Appl. Phys. 47, 1451 (1976).
7. Larger Brillouin scatter was reported by A. Offenberger and S. Bodner at the Anomalous Absorption Conference, Ann Arbor, Mich. (1977).
8. C. S. Liu, M. N. Rosenbluth, and R. B. White, Phys. Fluids 17, 1211 (1974); and many references therein.
9. D. W. Forslund, J. M. Kindel, and E. Lindman, Phys. Fluids 18, 1002 (1975); *ibid* 18, 1017 (1975).
10. W. L. Kruer, E. J. Valeo, and K. G. Estabrook, Phys. Rev. Letters 35, 1076 (1975).
11. C. L. Tang, J. Appl. Phys. 37, 3945 (1959).
12. D. R. Speck and W. W. Simmons, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 21, 1173 (1976).
13. S. R. Gunn, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory (UCID 17308, 1976).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1 Estimates for a) the mean ion temperature and b) the induced reflectivity versus plasma size. $n_p/n_{cr} = .5$ and $B = 10^{-4}$.
- Fig. 2. Absorption fraction f_{ABS} for parylene disk targets.
- box calorimeter, Janus laser facility, - plasma calorimeters, Argus, - box calorimeter, Argus.
- Fig. 3. Spectrum of the back-reflected light for a) a glass microshell and b) a parylene disk irradiated by the Argus laser.

NOTICE

"This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research & Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately-owned rights."

"Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Energy Research & Development Administration to the exclusion of others that may be suitable."

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3