ORNL/TM-11828

10/019195() 11/019195() 00001

7

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

MARTIN MARIETTA

Corrosion of SiC and Oxide-Composite Ceramics by a Simulated Steam-Reformer Atmosphere

J. I. Federer H. E. Kim A. J. Moorhead

MANAGED BY MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC. FOR THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement. recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

١.

ORNL/TM--11828 DE92 002391

Metals and Ceramics Division

CORROSION OF SiC AND OXIDE-COMPOSITE CERAMICS BY A SIMULATED STEAM-REFORMER ATMOSPHERE

J. I. Federer, H. E. Kim, and A. J. Moorhead

Date Published: September 1991

NOTICE: This document contains information of a preliminary nature. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Renewable Energy Office of Industrial Technologies ED 01 12 00 0

Prepared by the OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6285 managed by MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC. for the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400

MASTER

S

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF TABLES	'ii
ABSTRACT	1
1. INTRODUCTION	2
2. MATERIALS AND SPECIMENS	4
3. CORROSION CONDITIONS	5
4. RESULTS	7
4.1 WEIGHT CHANGE	7
4.2 MICROSTRUCTURE AND IDENTIFICATION OF SURFACE LAYERS	0
4.3 FLEXURE STRENGTH	:0
5. DISCUSSION	22
6. CONCLUSIONS	25
7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 2	25
8. REFERENCES	25

.

LIST OF FIGURES

٠

۲

.

Figure		Page
1	Schematic design of HiPHES tube within a tube steam reformer	3
2	Flexure bars after an exposure of 100 h to a simulated steam-reformer atmosphere at 1260°C	8
3	Weight changes of materials exposed to the simulated steam-reformer atmosphere	9
4	Cumulative weight changes versus √time	11
5	SA and RBSC before and after 500-h exposure to simulated steam-reformer atmosphere at 1260°C	12
6	CHP and CSP before and after 500-h exposure to simulated steam-reformer atmosphere at 1260°C	13
7	ST and SNSC before and after 500-h exposure to simulated steam-reformer atmosphere at 1260°C	14
8	CAS and LAS before and after 500-h exposure to simulated steam-reformer atmosphere at 1260°C	15
9	Scanning electron microscope photographs of fracture surfaces of materials corroded for 2000 h: (a) SA and (b) RBSC210	17
10	Scanning electron microscope photographs of fracture surfaces of LAS: (a) as polished, (b) exposed 100 h, (c) exposed 500 h, and (d) exposed 2000 h	. 18
11	Scanning electron microscope photographs of the corroded surfaces of LAS: (a) as polished, (b) exposed 100 h, (c) exposed 500 h, and (d) exposed 2000 h	. 19
12	Flexure strengths of materials exposed to a simulated steam-reformer atmosphere	. 21

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Fage
1	Materials in corrosion test	4
2	Composition of corrosion atmosphere	6
3	Summary of specimens used for corrosion exposure and flexure testing	7
4	Flexure strengths and standard deviations of materials	20

ŀ

vii

CORROSION OF SiC AND OXIDE-COMPOSITE CERAMICS BY A SIMULATED STEAM-REFORMER ATMOSPHERE*

J. I. Federer, H. E. Kim, and A. J. Moorhead

ABSTRACT

To achieve higher process efficiency by using pressurized reactants and/or heat transfer fluids, the U.S. Department of Energy is promoting development of high-pressure heat exchanger systems under cost-sharing agreements with industrial contractors. Toward this end, Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation (Boston, MA) proposes to use a pressurized heat exchanger/reactor for steam-reforming of natural gas. The proposed steam reformer would contain more than 600 tubes. Because the combination of high temperature and pressure differential of 12.7 kg/cm² (180 psig) across the tube wall is too severe for metallic tubes, ceramic materials are being considered for reformer tubes. Their use is expected to increase the efficiency of steam reformers by about 19%.

At Oak Ridge National Laboratory, four SiC ceramics, a SiC-TiB₂ composite, a Si₃N₄-bonded SiC ceramic, and two alumina-matrix composites were selected as candidate materials for heat exchanger/steam-reformer tubes. These commercially available materials were exposed to a simulated steamreformer atmosphere for up to 2000 h at 1260°C to assess their corrosion behavior and the effect of the exposure on their flexure strength (in air) at 20 and 1260°C. The approximate partial pressures (in atmosphere) of the constituents of the gas mixture at 1 atm total pressure were 0.54 H₂, 0.13 CO, 0.03 CO₂, 0.004 CH₄, and 0.30 H₂O. All but one material had net weight gains during the exposure test. One composite material initially lost weight, then gained weight with increasing time, and probably would have exhibited a net weight gain for longer exposure times. The flexure strengths of the SiC and Si₃N₄ ceramics and the SiC-TiB₂ composite at 20 and 1260°C were not changed significantly by corrosion. In fact, the strengths at 1260°C of the SiC ceramics exposed for 2000 h were slightly higher after exposure. The strengths of the alumina-matrix composites were decreased by corrosion; however, the strength of one of these (reinforced with SiC whiskers) was still higher than that of any other material after 500 h. The other alumina composite (containing SiC particles) exhibited the largest strength decrease of any material. The strength retention of the SiC ceramics and the SiC-TiB₂ composite and the strength loss of the composites were associated with surface layers caused by corrosion. The SiC ceramics formed silica layers with smooth exterior surfaces that caused retention or increase of strength. The alumina composites, however, formed rough crystalline layers containing numerous stress concentration points that apparently decreased the strength of the material.

^{*}Research sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Renewable Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies, Industrial Energy Efficiency Division, under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 with Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Development of high-pressure heat exchanger systems (HiPHES) is being promoted by the U.S. Department of Energy under cost-sharing agreements with industrial contractors.¹⁻³ The goal of these systems is to achieve higher process efficiency by using pressurized reactants and/or heat transfer fluids.¹⁻⁵ For example, Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation (SWEC), Boston, MA, proposes to use a pressurized heat exchanger/reactor for steamreforming of natural gas.^{1,2} In this process (see Fig. 1), steam and natural gas are reacted at elevated temperatures and pressures in catalyst-filled ceramic tubes of the reformer to form synthesis gas composed mainly of H₂, CO, and residual H₂O that, subsequently, can be converted to methanol. An integral gas turbine, driven by the methanol plant-generated fuel gas and methane, supplies pressurized air to the external combustor of the reformer, which produces a flue gas temperature of 1260°C. The resulting pressure of the combustion products of about 8.4 kg/cm² (120 psia) increases the efficiency of heat transfer to the reformer tubes. Efficiency of conversion of methane to synthesis gas inside the tubes is favored by higher reaction temperature and lower pressure; however, because the synthesis gas must be compressed in the subsequent methanol conversion process, the reaction pressure in the reformer tubes is selected to optimize the overall process. Thus, the reforming conditions in SWEC's design involve a reaction temperature of about 1040°C and a pressure of 21.1 kg/cm² (300 psia). The reaction temperature is supplied as the aforementioned pressurized flue gases (at 1260°C) pass counter-current to the reformer/rea tor tubes. The combination of high temperature and pressure differential of 12.7 kg/cm² (180 psia) across the tube wall is too severe for metallic tubes, which are typically limited to a lower temperature. Ceramic materials, therefore, are being considered for reformer reaction tubes in order to sustain the higher flue gas temperature of 1260°C and a higher process operating temperature inside the tubes. Their use is expected to increase the efficiency of steam reformers by about 19%.^{1,2}

Silicon carbide-based ceramics have physical and mechanical properties that recommend their use for reformer tubes. These properties include high strength and thermal conductivity, low coefficient of thermal expansion, and high resistance to oxidation (in air) and thermal shock. Toughened-alumina ceramics are also candidate materials. Monolithic alumina is strong and resistant to oxidation and corrosion, but unless toughened with whiskers, particles, or filaments, is too susceptible to damage by creep or thermal shock for this type of application.

The proposed steam reformer will contain more than 600 tubes measuring about 8.9 cm (3.5 in.) OD by about 12.1 m (40 ft) in length. Because reformer tubes will be subjected to high-pressure, steam-containing atmospheres at high temperatures, a corrosion study of



Fig. 1. Schematic design of HiPHES tube within a tube steam reformer.

4

.

-

.

candidate materials was conducted. Previous studies have indicated that oxidation of SiC is accelerated by steam in the atmosphere. The results of some of these investigations will be discussed in the following sections. In this study, the high-temperature corrosion behavior of several candidate materials in a simulated steam-reformer atmosphere was determined. Changes in weight, flexural strength, and microstructure were the main indicators of the effects of corrosion. To represent a worst-case situation in case of loss of temperature control, the conditions of the corrosion test were deliberately chosen to be more severe with respect to temperature than the actual application. The anticipated flue gas temperature of 1260°C was used even though the anticipated inner wall temperature is 1040°C. The pressure, however, was only 1.03 kg/cm² (14.7 psia) instead of the anticipated value of 21.1 kg/cm² (300 psia).

2. MATERIALS AND SPECIMENS

Initially, eight materials selected by SWEC were corrosion tested (Table 1). These included four SiC ceramics, a toughened SiC-TiB₂ ceramic composite, a Si₃N₄-bonded SiC, and two alumina-matrix composites. Hexoloy SA^{*} is pressureless, sintered, alpha SiC that is approximately 99% pure and has a density of about 3.10 g/cm³ (theoretical density of

Name	Designation this study	Manufacturer
Hexoloy SA	SA	The Carborundum Company
Hexoloy ST	ST	The Carborundum Company
Crystar high-purity SiC	CHP	Norton Company
Crystar standard-purity SiC	CSP	Norton Company
Reaction-bonded SiC	RBSC	Coors Ceramics Company
Nitride-bonded SiC	SNSC	Ferro Corporation
SiC whisker-toughened aluminum Oxide	CAS	Coors Ceramics Company
SiC/alumina-matrix composite	LAS	DuPont/Lanxide Corporation

Table 1. Materials in corrosion test

*The Carborundum Company, Niagara Falls, New York.

3.210 g/cm³). Hexoloy ST* is a composite consisting of an alpha SiC matrix containing 20 wt % TiB₂ particles that, compared with Hexoloy SA, improve the machinability and room-temperature fracture toughness. The two Crystar materials[†] (i.e., CSP and CHP) are similar in that both consist of interpenetrating matrices of SiC and approximately 15 vol % Si. The CHP material contained a lower Fe content than CSP; otherwise, their compositions were similar. RBSC210[‡] is a reaction-bonded material containing 10 to 15 vol % Si in a SiC matrix. NiBond^{**} (designated SNSC in this work) consists of SiC grains bonded by a Si₃N₄ matrix. The two composites (designated CAS^{††} and LAS^{‡‡} in this work) are both toughened ceramics having aluminum oxide matrices. CAS is reinforced with approximately 25 vol % SiC whiskers, while LAS is toughened with approximately 55 vol % SiC particles. LAS also contains Al metal, a residue from the manufacturing process.

Flexure bars measuring approximately $2.5 \times 3.5 \times 25$ mm were prepared by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) from sheet material supplied by SWEC. Specimens were ground to thickness with diamond-impregnated wheels and sawed to width and length with diamondimpregnated circular blades. One major surface was polished scratch-free with 3-µm grit diamond paste on a polishing wheel. The long edges of the polished surface were slightly beveled to remove chips and other flaws visible at 10× magnification. These small, nonstandard flexure bars were dictated by the size of the starting stock and by the desire to expose a large number of specimens simultaneously to the corrosive atmosphere in a small laboratory furnace.

3. CORROSION CONDITIONS

Initially, 16 specimens of each material except SNSC (i.e., 11 specimens) were exposed to a simulated steam-reformer atmosphere (synthesis gas) at 1260° C and 14.7 psia pressure. The atmosphere consisted of H₂, CO, CO₂, CH₄, and H₂O. The composition of the gas mixture corresponded to the equilibrium mixture that would be obtained at 1040°C and 300 psia, while the temperature of 1260°C represented the anticipated maximum flue gas temperature at the bottom of the reformer tubes. The four gases were metered at room temperature with

^{*}The Carborundum Company, Niagara Falls, New York.

[†]Norton Company, Worcestshire, Massachusetts.

^{‡,††}Coors Ceramics Company, Golden, Colorado.

^{**}Ferro Corporation, Buffalo, New York.

^{‡‡}DuPont/Lanxide Corporation, Newark, Delaware.

conventional tube and float flow meters into a common line leading to a heated stainless steel chamber in which water (metered with a peristaltic pump) was vaporized at about 250°C. The mixture of gases and H₂O vapor with the partial pressures (calculated from flow rates) shown in Table 2 then passed over the specimens located in a 60-mm-ID by 1.5-m-long mullite tube in a resistance-heated furnace. The specimens rested on Al₂O₃ rails on an Al₂O₃ setter at the midpoint of the furnace where the temperature was 1255 to 1260°C.

			Dential		
Component	cc/min at 20°C	g/min	mole %	pressure (atm)	
На	1280	0.106	53.9	0.539	
CÔ	305	0.354	13.2	0.132	
CO_2	75	0.137	3.0	0.030	
CH₄	10	0.007	0.4	0.004	
H ₂ O		0.53*	29.5	0.295	

Table 2. Composition of corrosion atmosphere

*Metered as a liquid into a vaporizer.

The number of specimens corrosion tested and flexure tested is summarized in Table 3. Specimens of the original eight materials were exposed for 100 h; weight changes were determined and half of the specimens were subjected to flexure testing. The remaining specimens were exposed for a total of 500 h then treated in the same manner. Based upon these results and further discussions with the manufacturers concerning the fabricability of materials in the required tubular shapes and sizes, four materials (ST, CHP, SNSC, and CAS) were eliminated by SWEC from further consideration for this part of their HiPHES project. Subsequently, 20 new specimens of the remaining four materials were exposed for 2000 h and then weighed and tested in flexure. In addition, polished cross sections of typical specimens were examined by optical microscopy, and both exposed and fracture surfaces were examined by scanning electron microscopy* and X-ray diffraction.

^{*}S-800, Hitachi, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan.

	Number of specimens exposed/flexure tested for the exposure times (h)					
Material	100	500	2000			
SA	16/8	8/8	20/16			
CSP	16/8	8/8	20/16			
RBSC	16/8	8/8	20/16			
LAS	16/8	8/8	20/16			
CHP	16/8	8/8	0			
SΓ	16/8	8/8	0			
SNSC	11/6	5/5	0			
CAS	16/8	8/8	0			
	·					

Table 3. Summary of specimens used for corrosion exposure and flexure testing

4. RESULTS

4.1 WEIGHT CHANGE

Figure 2 shows specimens of the original eight materials still resting on the Al_2O_3 rails after an exposure of 100 h. A glassy, transparent, or white film had formed on the Si-based specimens, while the original polished surfaces of the two alumina-based composite materials had become dull. A white material, identified as Al_2O_3 by X-ray diffraction, had formed on surfaces of the LAS specimens and some particles had migrated to other specimens (Fig. 2). Evidently, residual Al had exuded to the surface and oxidized. Most of this material was easily removed with a wire brush before weighing. The appearance of the four materials exposed for 2000 h was similar to that after exposure for 100 h.

All materials had net weight gains during exposure except the LAS (Fig. 3). The bars for each material exposed for 100 h represent an average weight of 16 specimens (except only 11 for SNSC); those exposed for 500 h, an average weight of 8 specimens (except only 5 for SNSC); and those exposed for 2000 h, an average weight of 20 specimens. Two of the SiC ceramics (SA and RBSC) gained weight between 500 and 2000 h, while the other (CSP) did not change significantly between 100 and 2000 h. In the case of the SiC ceramics, each incremental weight gain of 0.1% is approximately equivalent to a calculated uniform surface recession (by oxidation) of only about 1 μ m. Each material had an oxide layer on the surface. In contrast, the LAS material lost weight, but the magnitude of the net loss decreased during



Fig. 2. Flexure bars after an exposure of 100 h to a simulated steam-reformer atmosphere at 1260°C.

8





successive exposure periods. The initial high weight loss associated with loss of Al was followed by oxidation, which eventually would have probably caused a net weight gain. During the 500-h exposure, CAS gained more weight than most of the SiC ceramics. This behavior was attributed to the oxidation of the SiC whiskers in the Al_2O_3 matrix and the formation of new phases (discussed in Subsection 4.2).

Cumulative weight changes per unit area are plotted versus the square root of exposure time in Fig. 4 to determine if the data conform to the parabolic expression $x^2 = kt$, where x is the weight of oxidation product, k is a constant, and t is oxidation time.⁶ The plot for CHP is linear, and the plots for SNSC and RBSC are approximately linear; this indicates that oxidation of these materials was controlled by diffusion of (probably) H₂O through the oxide layer to the SiC. The corrosion of the CSP was linear during the first 500 h, but oxidation occurred more slowly in the interval of 500 to 2000 h. SA, ST, and CAS each exhibited "slow" kinetics during the first 100 h, but the plot for SA is approximately linear for the period of 100 to 2000 h. The LAS plot exhibits the results of weight loss caused by loss of Al and simultaneous oxidation. A linear weight change might eventually occur during a longer exposure than 2000 h.

4.2 MICROSTRUCTURE AND IDENTIFICATION OF SURFACE LAYERS

Optical photomicrographs of polished cross sections of specimens before and after the 500-h exposure to the simulated steam-reformer atmosphere at 1260°C are shown in Figs. 5 through 8. Each material exhibits a reaction layer on the surface that varied in thickness from about 10 μ m for the SiC ceramics to about 50 μ m for SNSC, CAS, and LAS. The reaction layer on the SiC ceramics (Figs. 5 and 6) appears to be a simple oxide. The Si phase in these materials was not attacked significantly faster than the SiC phase. Figure 7 indicates that the TiB₂ particles in ST were attacked preferentially to the SiC matrix and that the Si₃N₄ matrix was attacked preferentially to the SiC particles in SNSC. The reaction layers on both ST and SNSC appear to contain new phases that might have precipitated during cooling. The two oxide composites (i.e., CAS and LAS) in Fig. 8 exhibit relatively thick reaction layers containing apparent voids and new phases. The CAS material, as previously mentioned, consists of SiC whiskers in an Al₂O₃ matrix, while LAS consists of SiC particles and residual Al in an Al₂O₃ matrix. Aluminum, the phase having the lightest shading in the LAS material, is still present after 2000 h. Pores in the Al indicate shrinkage on cooling from the molten state or loss of material by evaporation.



Fig. 4. Cumulative weight changes versus \sqrt{time} .



Fig. 5. SA and RBSC before and after 500-h exposure to simulated steam-reformer atmosphere at 1260°C.

ORNL-PHOTO 6120-91



CHP BEFORE

ين ر CHP AFTER



Fig. 6. CHP and CSP before and after 500-h exposure to simulated steam-reformer atmosphere at 1260°C.



Fig. 7. ST and SNSC before and after 500-h exposure to simulated steam-reformer atmosphere at 1260°C.

ORNL-PHOTO 6118-91





15

After 2000-h exposure, the three SiC ceramics (i.e., SA, CSP, and RBSC) had corrosionproduced layers with thicknesses in the range of 20 to 25 μ m, while the layer on the LAS was about 80-µm thick. Using layer thicknesses after 500 h to aid in projection, the estimated layer thickness on SA, CSP, and RBSC would be 2.3 mm (0.09 in.) after 10,000 h. Similarly, the estimated layer thickness on LAS would be 8.4 mm (0.33 in.). The layer thickness is substantially larger than the thickness of original material consumed. For example, the calculated thickness of SiC consumed and thickness of the SiO₂ layer on SA are about 1.8 and $3.6 \,\mu\text{m}$, respectively, based on a weight change of 2.5 mg and the theoretical densities of SiC and SiO₂, but the actual layer thickness was about 25 µm. Evolution of CO during reaction and formation of bubbles of this gas in the layer might be the reason for this discrepancy. Additional insight into the nature of the surface layers can be obtained from the scanning electron microscope photographs in Figs. 9 through 11. Fracture surfaces of SA and RBSC after an exposure of 2000 h in Fig. 9 reveal an irregular interface between the layer and the parent material. Porosity is evident at the interface and isolated porosity occurs within the layers. Otherwise, the layers appear to be quite dense. The appearance of CSP was similar to that of SA and RBSC. X-ray diffraction revealed only one new compound, tridymite, in the layers on SA, CSP, and RBSC. Tridymite is a high-temperature, crystalline form of silica (SiO_2) . The presence of SiC in the diffraction patterns for the three materials was attributed to penetration of the X-ray beam through the surface layer to the underlying parent material.

Fracture surfaces of the LAS in Fig. 10 show gradual growth of the surface layer with increasing time of exposure. Porosity is evident both wit' in the layer and the adjacent parent material. Thickening of the layer would minimize release of residual Al. The weight change data for LAS in Figs. 3 and 4 show that weight loss, presumably caused by loss of Al, occurred during the first 100 h of exposure; thereafter, the material gained weight because of thickening of the surface layer.

The morphology of the surface layer on the LAS is shown in Fig. 11. The original surface, which consisted of a mixture of phases, appears to consist of a rough glass containing needle-like crystals after an exposure of 100 h. Substantial crystal growth, which is evident after 500 and 2000 h, produced very irregular surfaces. The layer contained Al₂O₃, mullite (3Al₂O₃•2SiO₂), and cordierite (2MgO•2Al₂O₃•5SiO₂). Formation of cordierite was possible because the LAS contained a small amount of MgO as an impurity or fabrication aid. Comparison of the composition of the layer after 2000 h with that of the layer after 500 h indicated that the amount of mullite had decreased and that the amount of cordierite had



Fig. 9. Scanning electron microscope photographs of fracture surfaces of materials corroded for 2000 h: (a) SA and (b) RBSC210.







Fig. 11. Scanning electron microscope photographs of the corroded surfaces of LAS: (a) as polished, (b) exposed 100 h, (c) exposed 500 h, and (d) exposed 2000 h.

increased. Although the composition of the layer on the CAS was not investigated, Fig. 8 clearly shows that the layer was similar to that on the LAS (i.e., crystalline and rough on the outer surface).

Thus, as a result of corrosion for 2000 h, the materials SA, CSP, and RBSC formed simple silica layers. These layers were smooth on the exterior surface but were irregular at the interface and contained porosity. The LAS material, however, formed a crystalline layer that was quite rough on the exterior surface and contained considerable porosity. The nature of these surface layers probably influenced the flexure strengths of these materials.

4.3 FLEXURE STRENGTH

The results of flexure testing are summarized in Table 4 and in Fig. 12. Corrosion testing of CHP, SNSC, ST, and CAS was stopped after only 500 h because the manufacturers indicated that these materials probably would not be available in the form of tubes of the required size within the time frame of this project. In addition, CHP and SNSC had relatively low flexure strengths (Fig. 12). The other four materials (i.e., SA, CSP, RBSC, and LAS)

Average flexure strength, MPa (standard deviation)*								
	As polished		Exposed 100 h		Exposed 500 h		Exposed 2000 h	
Material	20°C	1260°C	20°C	1260°C	20°C	1260°C	20°C	1260°C
SA	342 (36)	391 (32)	267 (39)	ND**	334 (33)	ND	313 (31)	423 (45)
ST	389 (39)	ND	397 (47)	ND	362 (27)	ND	ND	ND
CSP	201 (14)	191 (24)	173 (44)	ND	227 (36)	ND	187 (23)	213 (22)
CHP	106 (14)	ND	123 (11)	ND	140 (14)	ND	ND	ND
RBSC	193 (57)	280 (19)	238 (23)	ND	240 (23)	ND	257 (33)	351 (19)
SNSC	75 (5)	ND	74 (5)	ND	67 (8)	ND	ND	ND
CAS	554 (70)	ND	627 (69)	ND	495 (92)	ND	ND	ND
LAS	463 (51)	218 (37)	197 (20)	ND	215 (37)	ND	177 (39)	186 (23)

Table 4. Flexure strengths and standard deviations of materials

*Average of eight or ten specimens except fewer for SNSC.

**ND - Not determined.





were tested for 2000 h. In most cases, eight specimens of each material were broken in flexure to assess the effects of corrosion. A four-point loading fixture having 6.4- and 19.1-mm inner and outer spans, respectively, was used at a loading rate of about 4.6 kg/s. Specimens were broken at 20 and 1260°C in both the as-polished and corroded conditions. Specimens tested at 1260°C were heated to temperature in about 60 min, held at temperature for about 30 min, and then broken. Figure 12 shows that the strengths of exposed materials (except LAS) at either 20 or 1260°C were not greatly different from that of the unexposed, as-polished materials. The increases and decreases of exposed materials relative to the as-polished condition may be explained by the standard deviations associated with the average values in Table 4. The strength of the LAS, however, was substantially less after corrosion. In addition, the LAS was substantially weaker in the as-polished condition at 1260°C compared with that at 20°C.

5. DISCUSSION

Among the four materials corroded for 2000 h, the behavior of the SiC ceramics differed from that of the LAS in terms of changes in weight and flexure strength and in the nature of the surface layer. The SiC ceramics gained weight by oxidizing to form a silica (i.e., tridymite) layer. The atmosphere (see Table 2) contained two oxidants, H₂O and CO₂, along with H₂, CO, and CH₄. Another possible source of oxygen is the equilibrium concentration associated with H₂-H₂O and CO-CO₂ reactions. However, our calculations showed that the partial pressure of oxygen derived from these reactions at 1260°C is only about 10⁻¹⁰ atm or less. We conclude, therefore, that the SiC materials oxidized by reaction with H₂O and CO₂. Several possible reactions can be written to show formation of silica such as:

$$SiC + 3H_2O = SiO_2 + CO + 3H_2$$
 (1)

$$\operatorname{SiC} + 3\operatorname{CO}_2 = \operatorname{SiO}_2 + 4\operatorname{CO}, \qquad (2)$$

and

$$SiC + CO_2 + 2H_2O = SiO_2 + 2CO + 2H_2$$
 (3)

Although thermodynamically possible, these reactions require diffusion of H_2O or CO_2 through the growing silica layer to the interface with SiC and might involve formation of intermediate compounds such as SiO, CH_2 , CH_4 , etc. The fact that the weight increases did not always follow the parabolic relationship $x^2 = kt$ (Fig. 4) suggests that diffusion of several species is involved. These reactions also produce compounds already present in the

atmosphere, particularly H_2 and CO. However, the exposure test was conducted in flowing gases; thus, newly formed H_2 , CH_4 , and CO would be swept from the reaction site and their partial pressures would remain approximately constant. The formation of silica layers on SiC exposed to steam and to air containing H_2O vapor has been previously studied.^{7.9} Jorgensen et al.⁷ studied the oxidation of SiC powder in Ar- H_2O at 1 atm where the concentration ratio of H_2O to Ar ranged from 0 to 0.0024. At temperatures of 1218 to 1514°C, they found that the oxidation rate depended on the H_2O partial pressure, the oxidation product was either tridymite or cristobalite, and the diffusing species (although not determined) were probably the same in either the Ar- H_2O atmospheres or an Ar- O_2 atmosphere. Suzuki⁸ and Yoshimura et al.⁹ oxidized SiC powder at 400 to 800°C in steam at 10 and 100 MPa (about 100 and 1000 atm). At the higher pressure, oxidation increased with temperature from 0 at 400°C. Amorphous silica formed at 500°C, but cristobalite and tridymite crystallized above 700°C after only a few hours. Reactions at the lower pressure were similar but slower. These authors postulated several possible reactions to explain their results:

$$SiC + 4H_2O = SiO_2 + CO_2 + 4H_2$$
, (4)

$$4H_2 + 2O_2 = 4H_2O, (5)$$

or

$$SiC + 2H_2O = SiO_2 + CH_4, \qquad (6)$$

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 = CO_2 + 2H_2O$$
. (7)

The first two reactions are thermodynamically favored at higher temperatures. They further postulated that since H_2O diffuses faster than O_2 in silica, the combined reactions are responsible for faster oxidation in steam than in air, although the final products are the same. Although the exact reaction mechanism responsible for the results described in this report cannot be specified, we are confident that the atmosphere was oxidizing to SiC and that silica was the condensed product.

The specific volumes of tridymite and SiC are about 0.44 and 0.31 cm³/g, respectively; thus, some disruption of the silica layer during growth could be expected. Figure 9 shows that the interface between the silica layer and the SiC ceramics is irregular and contains considerable porosity, an indication of the misfit that occurred when silica formed from the parent SiC. The temperature of 1260°C, however, apparently was high enough for surface diffusion to produce a relatively smooth outer surface, also evident in Fig. 9.

Reaction of the atmosphere with the LAS was more complex. Initially, the SiC particles probably oxidized (at least partially) to SiO_2 in a manner similar to the oxidation behavior of SiC ceramics. The SiO_2 then reacted with the Al_2O_3 matrix and with MgO, a minor constituent of the matrix, to form mullite ($3Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2$) and cordierite ($2MgO \cdot 2Al_2O_3 \cdot 5SiO_2$). The crystalline nature and associated porosity of the layer (Fig. 11) probably provided numerous paths for the oxidants to reach the SiC; this resulted in a thicker layer than occurred on the SiC ceramics.

The retention of flexure strength of the SiC ceramics is attributed to the nature of the silica layer on the surface. The relatively smooth outer surface of the silica layer apparently did not contain significantly more or larger flaws than the as-polished surface; thus, no loss in strength occurred. Conversely, the crystalline, highly flawed surface of the LAS provided numerous stress concentrations during flexure testing. Results obtained by Kim and Moorhead¹⁰ support these interpretations. They showed that weight change, flexural strength, and morphology of SA after exposure to H₂-H₂O mixtures at 1300 and 1400°C are strongly dependent on the partial pressure of water ($^{P}H_{2}O$) in the range 5×10^{-6} to 5×10^{-3} atm. The strength was not affected at the lower value and was actually higher than that of as-received material at the higher value; however, at intermediate values of $^{P}H_{2}O$, the strength was substantially decreased. The strength decrease was attributed to defects in the corrosion layer, and the strength increase was attributed to crack healing or blunting by formation of a silica layer, both morphologies being readily discernible by scanning electron microscopy. Kim and Moorhead^{11,12} also obtained results for Nicalon* SiC fibers and Si₃N₄ showing that flexure strengths were highly dependent on the nature of the corroded surface.

Figure 12 shows that the strength of the LAS in the as-polished condition was lower at 1260°C than at 20°C. Although the flexure test at 1260°C involved only about 1 h at temperature, we assume that migration of Al to the surface, followed by oxidation and reaction with silica, formed strength-limiting defects, but this phenomenon has not been thoroughly investigated.

Figure 12 also shows that the strength of the CAS increased after exposure for 100 h but decreased after 500 h. This behavior was probably caused by the initial growth of a beneficial silica layer (which increased strength) followed by the formation of crystals within the thickening layer (which decreased strength).

^{*}Nippon Carbon Company, Tokyo, Japan.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The most important conclusions to be derived from these corrosion studies at 1260°C and 1 atm pressure are as follows:

- 1. The simulated steam-reformer atmosphere was oxidizing to SiC ceramics that gained weight by forming a silica layer.
- 2. The silica layer, being adherent and continuous, protected the SiC ceramics from catastrophic oxidation.
- 3. The silica layer did not significantly affect the flexure strength (in air) of SiC ceramics at 20 or 1260°C.
- 4. Oxide composites containing SiC as a strengthening and toughening agent were susceptible to oxidation in the steam-reformer atmosphere.
- 5. Oxidation of SiC in the composites was followed by reaction with the oxide matrix to form new crystalline phases.
- 6. The new crystalline phases and associated porosity that formed during oxidation decreased the strength of the composites.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their appreciation to J. J. Williams and R. A. Rosenberg (SWEC) for providing the ceramic test materials and guidance for test conditions; to P. J. Jones (ORNL) for assembling corrosion test equipment, conducting the exposure tests, and performing flexure tests; to J. R. Mayotte for preparing optical micrographs; to O. B. Cavin for identifying phases by X-ray diffraction; to M. A. Karnitz for providing technical guidance; to D. P. Stinton and R. A. Lowden for performing a technical review; to H. R. Livesay for preparing figures; to K. Spence for editing; and to G. R. Carter for preparing the final version of this report.

REFERENCES

1. Development of a High Pressure Heat Exchange System (HiPHES) for Reforming of Methane, DOE/ID/12797-1, U.S. DOE, May 1990.

2. J. J. Williams, R. A. Rosenberg, and L. J. McDonough, "High Temperature Ceramic-Tubed Reformer," presented at the AIChE 1990 Spring National Meeting, Orlando, Fla., Mar. 22, 1990.

1

3. B. Harkins and M. Ward, "High Pressure Heat Exchange Systems," *Final Report* for the Period October 1, 1988-September 30, 1989, DOE/ID/12799-1, U.S. DOE, Mar. 30, 1990.

4. Technology Assessment and Research and Development Needs, U.S. DOE, October 1987 (Assessment of High Pressure Heat Exchange Systems Technology, DOE/ID/12799-1, Vol. 1).

5. Appendices, U.S. DOE, October 1987 (Assessment of High Pressure Heat Exchange Systems Technology, DOE/CE/40716-2, Vol. 2).

6. O. Kubaschewski and B. E. Hopkins, *Oxidation of Metals and Alloys*, Butterworths, London, 1962, p. 35.

7. Paul J. Jorgensen, Milton E. Wadsworth, and Ivan B. Cutler, "Effects of Water Vapor on Oxidation of Silicon Carbide," J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 44(6), 258-61 (1961).

8. H. Suzuki, "Study of Oxidation of Silicon Carbide Powders: II, Effects of Steam on Oxidation of Silicon Carbide of Various Colors and Crystal Structures," *Ceram. Abstr.* 113e (1960).

9. Masahiro Yoshimura, Jun-ichiro Kase, and Shigeyuki Somiya, "Oxidation of SiC Powders by High-Temperature, High-Pressure H₂O," *J. Mater. Res.* 1(1) (Jan./Feb. 1986).

10. Hyoun-Ee Kim and Arthur J. Moorhead, "Effects of Hydrogen-Water Atmospheres on Corrosion and Flexural Strength of Sintered-Silicon Carbide," J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **73**(3), 694-99 (1990).

11. Hyoun-Ee Kim and Arthur J. Moorhead, "Strength of Nicalon Silicon Carbide Fiber Exposed to High-Temperature Gaseous Environments," J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 74(3), 666-69 (1991).

12. Hyoun-Ee Kim and A. J. Moorhead, "High-Temperature Gaseous Corrosion of Si₃N₄ in H₂-H₂O and Ar-O₂ Environments," J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **73**(10), 3007-14 (1990).

ORNL/TM-11828

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- 1-2. Central Research Library
- 3. Document Reference Section
- 4-5. Laboratory Records Department
- 6. Laboratory Records, ORNL RC 7. ORNL Patent Section
- 8-10. M&C Records Office
 - 11. R. L. Beatty
 - 12. P. F. Becher
 - 13. G. C. Bell
 - 14. T. M. Besmann
 - 15. R. S. Carlsmith
 - 16. P. T. Carlson
 - 17. N. C. Cole
 - 18. D. F. Craig
 - 19. J. H. DeVan
- 20-24. J. I. Federer
 - 25. E. L. Fuller, Jr.
 - 26. W. A. Gabbard
 - 27. L. L. Horton
 - 28. C. R. Hubbard
 - 29. R. R. Judkins
 - 30. M. J. Kania
 - 31. J. R. Keiser

- 32-36. H. E. Kim
 - 37. O. F. Kimball
 - 38. E. L. Long, Jr.
 - 39. R. A. Lowden
 - 40. R. C. Martin
- 41. J. R. Mayotte
- 42-46. A. J. Moorhead
 - 47. T. A. Nolan
 - 48. O. O. Omatete
 - 49. P. S. Sklad
 - 50. G. M. Slaughter
 - 51. D. P. Stinton
 - 52. R. A. Strehlow
 - V. J. Tennery 53.
 - 54. T. N. Tiegs 55. J. R. Weir

 - 56. D. F. Wilson
 - 57. A. D. Brailsford (Consultant)
 - 58. Y. A. Chang (Consultant)
 - 59. H. W. Foglesong (Consultant)
 - 60. J. J. Hren (Consultant)
 - 61. M. L. Savitz (Consultant)
 - 62. J. B. Wachtman, Jr. (Consultant)

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

63. AIRESEARCH MANUFACTURING COMPANY, 2525 W. 190th Street, Torrance, CA 90509

D. M. Kotchick

64. AMERCOM, 8928 Fullbright Avenue, Chatsworth, CA 91311

W. E. Beyermann

65. BABCOCK AND WILCOX, R&D Division, 1562 Beeson Street, Alliance, OH 44601

C. L. DeBellis

- 66-67. BABCOCK AND WILCOX, P.O. Box 11165, Lynchburg, VA 24505
 - E. A. Barringer
 - D. L. Hindman

- 68-69. CARBORUNDUM COMPANY, P.O. Box 337, 1625 Buffalo Ave., Niagara Falls, NY 14302
 - C. Ebel R. Storm
 - 70. CARBORUNDUM COMPANY, P.O. Box 1054, Niagara Falls, NY 14302

M. C. Kerr

71. CONSOLIDATED NATURAL GAS, 11001 Cedar Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106

J. Bjerklie

72. COORS CERAMICS CO., 17750 West 32 Ave., Golden CO 80401

J. Sibold

73. COORS PORCELAIN COMPANY, 600 Ninth Street, Golden, CO 80501

R. Kleiner

74. DOW CORNING CORPORATION, 3901 South Saginaw Road, Midland, MI 48640

W. H. Atwell

75. DREXEL UNIVERSITY, Building 27-439, Philadelphia, PA 19104

F. Ko

- 76-78. GAS RESEARCH INSTITUTE, 8600 W. Bryn Mawr Ave., Chicago, IL 60631
 - S. Freedman M. A. Lukasiewicz C. Stala
 - 79. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, GE Corporate Research and Development, 11120 S. Norwalk Blvd., Sante Fe Springs, CA 90670

J. A. Mears

80-81. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, GE Corporate Research and Development, P.O. Box 8, Schenectady, NY 12301

> H. W. Lake, Bldg. KW, Rm. C258 F. N. Mazandarany, Bldg. K-1, MB-259

82. GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, Allison Gas Turbine Division, BF Goodrich, Aerospace, P.O. Box 420, Indianapolis, IN 46206-0420

C. Wilkes

B. W. Brown

84. MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 77 Mass. Ave., Rm. 12-009, Cambridge, MA 02139

J. S. Haggerty

85-86. NORTON COMPANY, 1 New Bond Street, Worcester, MA 01606

B. D. Foster K. Green

87. PAR ENTERPRISE, INC., 12601 Clifton Hunt Lane, Clifton, VA 22024

W. J. Rebello

88. PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, 227 Hammond Building, University Park, PA 16802

H. T. Hahn

89. PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, 408 Walker Building, University Park, PA 16802

J. R. Hellmann

90. POWER GENERATION CONSULTANTS, 1261 Post House Lane, Media, PA 19063

M. DeCorso

91-92. REFRACTORY COMPOSITES, INC., 12220-A Rivera Rd., Whittier, CA 90606

K. Kratsch E. L. Paquette

93-94. SOLAR TURBINES INCORPORATED, P.O. BOX 85376, San Diego, CA 92138-5376

> B. Harkins M. Van Roode

95-97. STONE & WEBSTER ENGINEERING CORPORATION, 245 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02107

> L. J. McDonough R. A. Rosenberg J. J. Williams

98. SULLIVAN MINING CORPORATION, P.O.Box 4615, San Diego, CA 92121

T. M. Sullivan

99. TEXTRON, INC., 2 Industrial Ave., Lowell, MA 01851

B. N. Thompson

100. UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, P.O. Box 4348, Chicage, IL 60680

M. J. McNallan

101. UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE SPACE INSTITUTE, MS 3, Tullahoma, TN 37388

W. H. Boss

102-103. U.S. DOE, CHICAGO FIELD OFFICE, 9800 S. Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439

> J. E. Jonkouski J. Mavec

104. U.S. DOE, DIVISION OF WASTE ENERGY REDUCTION, 1000 Independence Ave., S.W., Washington, DC 20585

J. N. Eustis

105. U.S. DOE, IDAHO OPERATIONS OFFICE, 550 Second Street, Idaho Falls, ID 83401

G. R. Peterson

106-114. U.S. DOE, MORGANTOWN ENERGY TECHNOLOGY CENTER, P.O. Box 880, Morgantown, WV 26505

R. A. Bajura	W. J. Huber
R. C. Bedick	M. J. Mayfield
D. C. Cicero	J. E. Notestein
F. W. Crouse, Jr.	J. S. Wilson
N. T. Holcombe	

115. U.S. DOE, OFFICE OF BASIC ENERGY SCIENCES, Materials Sciences Division, ER-131 GTN, Washington, DC 20545

J. B. Darby

116. U.S. DOE, OFFICE OF CONSERVATION AND RENEWABLE ENERGY, CE-12 Forrestal Building, Washington, DC 20545

J. J. Eberhardt

117-119. U.S. DOE, OFFICE OF FOSSIL ENERGY, Washington, DC 20545

D. J. Beecy (FE-14) GTN J. P. Carr (FE-14) GTN F. M. Glaser (FE-14) GTN

120-122. U.S. DOE, OFFICE OF INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES, 1000 Independence Avenue, Forrestal Building, Washington, DC 20585

> J. Eustis W. P. Parks, Jr. S. L. Richlen

123-126. U.S. DOE, PITTSBURGH ENERGY TECHNOLOGY CENTER, P.O. Box 10940, Pittsburgh, PA 15236

A. H. Baldwin G. V. McGurl R. Santore T. M. Torkos

127-128. U.S. DOE, OAK RIDGE FIELD OFFICE, P.O. Box 2001, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8600

> M. J. Rohr Assistant Manager for Energy Research and Development

129. U.S. DOE, OAK RIDGE FIELD OFFICE, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6269

E. E. Hoffman

130-139. U.S. DOE, OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831

> For distribution by microfiche as shown in DOE/OSTI-4500, Distribution Category UC-310 [Industrial Programs (General)]

FILMED

DATE

12 109 191