PPPL-2257

I. 23348

PPPL-2257

DC20-A,D,F

192
10/8/85

M.L.R.

(2)

(25)

DR-1340-4

VERIFICATION OF THE TAYLOR (MINIMUM ENERGY) STATE IN
THE S-1 SPHEROMAK

By

G.W. Hart, A. Janos, D.D. Meyerhofer, and M. Yamada

MASTER

SEPTEMBER 1985

PLASMA
PHYSICS
LABORATORY

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,

UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073

REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Page 9, Figure 3:

Labels on curves a and b should be reversed: a = broken line.
b = solid line.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

VERIFICATION OF THE TAYLOR (MINIMUM ENERGY) STATE IN THE S-1 SPHEROMAK

G.W. Hart, A. Janos, D. D. Meyerhofer, and M. Yamada

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
Princeton, N.J. 08544

ABSTRACT

Experimental measurements of the equilibrium in the S-1 Spheromak by use of magnetic probes inside the plasma show that the final magnetic equilibrium is one which has relaxed close to the Taylor (minimum-energy) state, even though the plasma is far from that state during formation. The comparison is made by calculating the two-dimensional μ profile of the plasma from the probe data, where μ is defined as $\mu_0 j_{||} / B$. Measurements using a triple Langmuir probe provide evidence to support the conclusion that the pressure gradients in the relaxed state are confined to the edge region of the plasma.

Of fundamental importance to understanding the physics of spheromaks is the concept of the Taylor minimum energy state. J. B. Taylor [1] showed that the minimum energy configuration for a given global magnetic helicity, defined as $\int dV \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}$, where the integration is over the whole plasma volume, was the state where $\vec{j} = (\mu / \mu_0) \vec{B}$, where μ is a constant in space and μ_0 is the permittivity of free space. It is expected that a plasma has a tendency to approach this state through some sort of energy dissipative process. The purpose of this paper is to show that the measured magnetic structure of the S-1 Spheromak plasma in its equilibrium state is close to the Taylor minimum energy state.

The reversed-field pinch and the spheromak are the two major divisions in the class of configurations based on the Taylor state. They differ mainly in that the toroidal field in the spheromak is generated by plasma currents rather than externally imposed by toroidal field coils, and therefore the spheromak need have no conductors or transformers that link the plasma torus.

The S-1 Spheromak was designed to produce a spheromak configuration by the inductive generation of both poloidal and toroidal currents [2]. As these currents are injected into the plasma, the configuration relaxes to the one with the minimum magnetic energy allowed by the constraints on the

system. The conjecture that the primary constraint is the conservation of the injected helicity leads to the Taylor state. It is important in gaining an understanding of the physics of spheromaks to see how close this condition is to being fulfilled in the many different ways that spheromaks can be formed.

Several authors have studied force-free states theoretically [3,4,5]. Experimentally, Turner *et al.* [6] examined the field profiles in their gun-generated spheromak and found that they matched those expected for the Taylor state. Conversely, Hart *et al.* [7] in their combination $z - \theta$ pinch-formed spheromak found by comparison of both field and $j_{||}/B$ profiles that the inclusion of pressure gradient terms was important in their equilibrium. Recently, Knox *et al.* [8] have used the image currents in the flux conserver of their gun spheromak to characterize their force-free equilibrium and have found deviations from the Taylor state.

Earlier measurements [9] on S-1 indicated that there was a preferred state for the final equilibrium which was very insensitive to the initial currents injected into the plasma. Recently, it was found [10] that resistive tearing modes caused conversion of poloidal flux to toroidal flux, thus allowing the relaxation of the plasma to its preferred state. The amplitude of these magnetic fluctuations dropped to the noise level of $\leq 2\%$ after the formation phase of the discharge. These measurements are in accord with Taylor's hypothesis of a global relaxation to a minimum-energy state constrained by a single conserved quantity.

The clearest way to determine how close this relaxed plasma is to the Taylor state is to measure the μ profile, where μ is defined by $\mu_0 \vec{j} \cdot \vec{B}/B^2$. If μ is constant in space, then the plasma is in the Taylor state. Solving the axisymmetric Grad-Shafranov equation, it can be shown that

$$\mu = \mu_0 \frac{\vec{j} \cdot \vec{B}}{B^2} = I'(\psi) + \mu_0 \frac{p'(\psi)I(\psi)}{B^2} \quad (1)$$

where $I(\psi) = RB_t$ is the poloidal current stream function, $p(\psi)$ is the pressure, and the prime indicates differentiation with respect to ψ . In order for μ to be a spatial constant, the second term must vanish. Since its numerator is a function of ψ only, and the B^2 in the denominator is not, in general that term will not even be constant on a flux surface and, therefore, cannot be constant in the whole plasma volume as required by the Taylor state. Therefore, the constancy of μ requires that I be a linear function of ψ , so that $I'(\psi)$ is constant and $p'(\psi) = 0$.

We report on this measurement for a typical case in S-1 [11]. Because the measurements were made with magnetic probes inserted directly into the plasma, we were limited to measuring a plasma with an electron temperature of less than about 30 eV. The electron density was in the range of $3 - 8 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and the peak poloidal field was 1.8 kilogauss.

The magnetic probe was supported by a boom along the symmetry axis of the machine and extended radially into the plasma. Full profiles of the magnetic field in both the radial and axial directions could be obtained by moving the probe in the axial direction on a shot-to-shot basis. The probe was also rotated toroidally to confirm the axisymmetry of the fields. The reproducibility required was possible because of the installation of figure-8 coils [11] to stabilize the $n=1$ shift mode during the initial part of the discharge. The data were integrated using active integrators, and then digitized and read by the computer system.

From these two-dimensional plots of the magnetic field structure many of the quantities essential for characterizing the equilibrium can be calculated, including the poloidal flux, ψ , the poloidal current, RB_θ , all three components of the current density, j , the q profile, and the μ profile.

A set of contour plots of the poloidal flux showing the time history of a discharge from 0.2 msec to 0.5 msec is shown in Fig. 1. Formation is completed by 0.4 msec. After 0.5 msec the $n=1$ shift mode sets in and terminates the discharge. If the flux core were not present, the separatrix radius in the midplane at 0.5 msec would be about 1 meter.

As a first check on whether the plasma is in a Taylor state at 0.5 msec, we examine a plot of I versus ψ as shown in Fig. 2, where $\psi = 0$ represents the symmetry axis of the configuration. As can be seen, the fit is indeed linear. This is not true during formation; at 0.2 msec, the slope of the $I(\psi)$ curve is 2.5 times greater near the magnetic axis than near the separatrix. This indicates that the plasma is far from the Taylor state initially.

In a Taylor state, the slope of the I vs. ψ curve is equal to the eigenvalue of the equilibrium. For a given plasma shape, the eigenvalue is inversely proportional to the midplane separatrix radius. The value of 5.5 for the eigenvalue, taken from the I versus ψ plot, combined with the length to radius ratio of 0.53, implies a separatrix radius of 1.0 meters, based on the Taylor theory. This is in good agreement with that from the flux plot.

In order to calculate the μ profile, the current density must be calculated. The magnetic field data are first interpolated using a polynomial fit. This facilitates taking the curl of the fields to calculate the current density.

The calculation assumes axisymmetry. Using this result to calculate the μ profile, we get the results shown in Fig. 3. Because of the limitations of the numerical differentiation, the calculation of μ is only valid to $\pm 18\%$.

At 0.2 msec, shown as curve a in Fig. 3, there is a deficit of current density near the symmetry axis because the driving electric fields are strongest near the flux core. This is also reflected in the previously mentioned deviations of the $I(\psi)$ curve from linearity at this time. But by 0.5 msec into the discharge, the current has diffused into the center to produce a flat μ profile (curve b). The straight line (curve c) indicates the value of the slope of the I vs. ψ curve at this time. The variation of curve b from the expected value is within the error bars of the measurement.

Figure 4 is a contour plot of μ across the plasma cross section at the same two times as in Fig. 3. At early times, the μ profile peaks near the flux core and has a current hole near the symmetry axis. At 0.5 msec, the profile is basically flat throughout the plasma volume, with the gradients all occurring near the separatrix. The shaded areas are those that are within 15% of the nominal value of 5.5 m^{-1} .

An independent check of whether the plasma is in the Taylor state is the pressure gradient in the plasma. Evidence supporting the conclusion that the pressure gradient is near zero in the plasma interior has been obtained by using a triple Langmuir probe [12] to measure the temperature and density as a function of time and space in a set of discharges similar to those discussed above. The discharges for this measurement differ in that these discharges are slightly less oblate than the case shown in Fig. 1. As shown in Fig. 5, the triple probe shows the pressure to be flat along z (parallel to the symmetry axis of the device at $R=45 \text{ cm}$) within the error bars of the measurement across the plasma volume, dropping off near the separatrix, which is at $z=50 \text{ cm}$.

By examination of the two-dimensional field structure of the S-1 Spheromak, we have determined that the equilibrium established in these low temperature discharges is well described by the Taylor state model, with the deviations from the force-free state occurring near the edge of the plasma. Additional supporting evidence for this has been obtained by measuring the pressure profile directly. The experimental data for the S-1 Spheromak equilibrium describe a state which is very similar to the quiescent phase of RFP discharges. In higher temperature plasmas, transport effects will be a mechanism tending to drive the plasma away from the Taylor state.

This work supported by USDOE Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073.

References

- [1] J. B. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33**, 1139 (1974).
- [2] M. Yamada, J. Sinnis, H. P. Furth, M. Okabayashi, G. Sheffield, T. H. Stix, and A. M. M. Todd, in *Proceedings of the US-Japan Symposium on Compact Toruses and Energetic Particle Injection* (Princeton, N.J., 1979), p. 171.
- [3] T.H. Jensen and M.S. Chu, Phys. Fluids **27**, 2881 (1984).
- [4] S. Riyopoulos, A. Bondeson, and D. Montgomery, Phys. Fluids **25**, 107 (1982).
- [5] P. M. Bellan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 1381 (1985).
- [6] W. C. Turner, G. C. Goldenbaum, E. H. A. Granneman, J. H. Hammer, C. W. Hartman, D. S. Prono, and J. Taska, Phys. Fluids **26**, 1965 (1983).
- [7] G. W. Hart, C. Chin-Fatt, A. W. DeSilva, G. C. Goldenbaum, R. Hess, and R. S. Shaw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1558 (1983).
- [8] S. O. Knox, C. W. Barnes, G. J. Marklin, T. R. Jarboe, I. Henins, H. W. Hoida, and B. L. Wright, in *Proceedings of the 7th US Symposium on Compact Toroid Research* (Santa Fe, New Mexico, 1985).
- [9] A. Janos, in *Proceedings of the Sixth U.S. Symposium on Compact Toroid Research and the Fifth U.S.-Japan Joint Symposium on Compact Toroid Research* (Princeton, N.J., 1984), p. 97; Phys. Fluids (submitted to).
- [10] A. Janos, G. W. Hart, C. H. Nam, and M. Yamada, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Report No. PPPL-2214, May 1985; Phys. Fluids (in press).
- [11] M. Yamada, R. Ellis, Jr., H. P. Furth, G. Hart, A. Janos, S. Jardin, F. Levinton, D. Meyerhofer, M. Mimura, C. H. Nam, S. Paul, A. Sperduti, S. von Goeler, F. Wysocki, and P. Young, in *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference of Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research* (IAEA, Vienna, 1985), Vol. II, p. 535.
- [12] S. L. Chew and T. Sekiguchi, J. Appl. Phys **36**, 2363 (1965).

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Contour plot of poloidal flux in S-1 showing the time evolution of this discharge. The contour increments are 10 mWb. After 0.5 msec, the discharge terminates in an $n=1$ shift instability.

Figure 2. Experimentally determined measurement of $I(\psi) = RB_t$ versus ψ . The slope of this curve is expected to be equal to μ in the Tayler state.

Figure 3. A plot of $\mu = \mu_0 \vec{j} \cdot \vec{B} / B^2$ across the midplane of the S-1 device. Curve (a) is at 0.2 msec, which is during the formation phase. Curve (b) is at 0.5 msec, which is after the equilibrium is established, and curve (c) is the expected value of curve (b), based on the slope in Fig. 2.

Figure 4. A contour plot of μ both during formation and after. The shaded areas are those that are within $\pm 15\%$ of the nominal value of 5.5. The dashed line across the midplane shows where the curves of Fig. 3 were taken.

Figure 5. Experimentally measured pressure profile, made by use of a triple Langmuir probe. The plasma separatrix is located near $z=50$ cm.

85 x 1231

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-20

Plasma Res Lab, Austra Nat'l Univ, AUSTRALIA
Dr. Frank J. Paoloni, Univ of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA
Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ., AUSTRALIA
Prof. M.H. Brennan, Univ Sydney, AUSTRALIA
Prof. P. Cao, Inst Theo Phys, AUSTRIA
Prof. Frank Verheest, Inst theoretische, BELGIUM
Dr. D. Palumbo, Dg XII Fusion Prog, BELGIUM
Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab de Phys Plasmas, BELGIUM
Dr. R.H. Sakanaka, Univ Estadual, BRAZIL
Dr. C.R. James, Univ of Alberta, CANADA
Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ of Montreal, CANADA
Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ of Saskatchewan, CANADA
Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, University of Calgary, CANADA
Prof. Tuxor W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA
Dr. Hannes Barnard, Univ British Columbia, CANADA
Dr. M.P. Pachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA
Chalk River, Nucl Lab, CANADA
Zhengwu Li, SW Inst Physics, CHINA
Library, Tsing Hua University, CHINA
Librarian, Institute of Physics, CHINA
Inst Plasma Phys, Academia Sinica, CHINA
Dr. Peter Lukac, Komenského Univ, CZECHOSLOVAKIA
the Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND
Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice, FRANCE
J. Radet, CEA-BP6, FRANCE
AM Dupas Library, AM Dupas Library, FRANCE
Dr. Ton Mual, Academy Bibliographic, HONG KONG
Preprint Library, Cent Res Inst Phys, HUNGARY
Dr. S.K. Trehan, Panjab University, INDIA
Dr. Indra Mohan Lal Das, Banaras Hindu Univ, INDIA
Dr. L.K. Chavda, South Gujarat Univ, INDIA
Dr. R.K. Chhajlani, Vikram Univ, INDIA
Dr. B. Dasgupta, Saha Inst, INDIA
Dr. P. Kew, Physical Research Lab, INDIA
Dr. Phillip Rosenau, Israel Inst Tech, ISRAEL
Prof. S. Oepman, Tel Aviv University, ISRAEL
Prof. G. Rostagni, Univ Di Padova, ITALY
Librarian, Int'l Ctr Theo Phys, ITALY
Miss Clelia De Palo, Assoc EURATOM-ENEA, ITALY
Biblioteca, del CNR EURATOM, ITALY
Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Dev, JAPAN
Direc. Dept. Lg. Tokamak Dev. JAERI, JAPAN
Prof. Nobuyuki Inoue, University of Tokyo, JAPAN
Research Info Center, Nagoya University, JAPAN
Prof. Kyoji Nishikawa, Univ of Hiroshima, JAPAN
Prof. Sigeru Mori, JAERI, JAPAN
Library, Kyoto University, JAPAN
Prof. Ichiro Kawakami, Nihon Univ, JAPAN
Prof. Satoshi Itoh, Kyushu University, JAPAN
Dr. D.I. Choi, Adv. Inst Sci & Tech, KOREA
Tech Info Division, KAERI, KOREA
Bibliotheek, Pan-Inst Voor Plasma, NETHERLANDS
Prof. B.S. Liley, University of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND
Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Inst Superior Tech, PORTUGAL
Dr. Octavian Petrus, ALI CUGA University, ROMANIA
Dr. E. M.A. Hellberg, University of Natal, SO AFRICA
Dr. Johan de Villiers, Plasma Physics, Nucor, SO AFRICA
Fusion Div. Library, JEN, SPAIN
Prof. Hans Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ Tech, SWEDEN
Dr. Lennart Stenflo, University of Umea, SWEDEN
Library, Royal Inst Tech, SWEDEN
Centre de Recherches, Ecole Polytech Fed, SWITZERLAND
Dr. V.T. Tolck, Kharkov Phys Tech Ins, USSR
Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Acad Sci, USSR
Dr. G.A. Eliseev, Kurchatov Institute, USSR
Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Inst Electro-Physical, USSR
Institute Gen. Physics, USSR
Prof. T.J.M. Boyd, Univ College N Wales, WALES
Dr. K. Schindler, Ruhr Universitat, W. GERMANY
Nuclear Res Estab, Julich Ltd, W. GERMANY
Librarian, Max-Planck Institut, W. GERMANY
Bibliothek, Inst Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY
Prof. R.K. Janev, Inst Phys, YUGOSLAVIA