UCRL 1 3 791

One and Two Electron Integrals over

Cartesian Gaussian Functions

Larry E. McMurchie

and

Ernest R. Davidson Department of Chemistry BG-10 University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98195

# P.O. 354 9003

This super: was proport as an account of work, promotely do Island Stress Germannes. Notice the United Stores are the United States Operations: of Energy, inc. and the United States Operations: of Contractors, which contracts, or their complexity, and way warming, stapment or implied, or petroses may legilishing or compressibility for the Accounty, complexents of warming and the internation, appendix on the proton information of the internation, appendix of proton information of the proton information and path infinite protonial works glatin.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

### Abstract

A formalism is developed which allows overlap, kinetic energy, potential energy and electron repulsion integrals over cartesian Gaussian functions to be expressed in a very compact form involving easily computed auxiliary functions. Similar formulas involving the same auxiliary functions are given for the common charge moments, electric-field operators, and spin-interaction operators. Recursion relations are given for the auxiliary functions which make possible the use of Gaussian functions of arbitrarily large angular momentum. An algorithm is described for the computation of electron repulsion integrals.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Cartesian Gaussian functions of the form  $x_{\Delta}^n y_{\Delta}^{\ell} z_{\Delta}^m \exp(-\alpha_{\Delta} r_{\Delta}^2)$ were first proposed as basis functions by Boys. 1 The obvious exploitable advantage of Gaussian functions over Slater type orbitals (STO's) is the ease with which a product of Gaussians on two different centers can be written as a simple function on a common center.<sup>2</sup> In the 1960's when calculations on diatomic systems were already common, the intractability of the four-center integral over STO's appeared to present a major block to polyatomic calculations.<sup>3</sup> Gaussians began to enjoy increased popularity when it was found that a fixed linear combination (a so-called "contracted Gaussian") could be used to approximate an atomic orbital to good accuracy. Initially the trend was to use combinations of simple Gaussian "lobes" (n=1===0).<sup>4</sup> The resulting electron repulsion integral had been shown by Boys<sup>1,2,3</sup> to involve only a square root, an exponential, and the error function. Functions of p or d type were approximated by differences of Gaussian lobes displaced slightly from each other. For high angular momentum basis functions this approach becomes intractable both because of the large numbers of lobes involved and because of large differencing errors in combining the integrals over the basic lobes.

The obvious alternative to contracted gaussian lobes was contracted cartesian Gaussians. Basis sets involving these functions are now more-or-less standardized.<sup>5</sup> Formulas for integrals over p-type Gaussians were easily derived<sup>2</sup> and programmed. Standard program packages such as POLYATOM<sup>6</sup> and GAUSSIAN 70<sup>6</sup> have been available for some time. Some versions of these packages have included d and

-2-

f orbitals. The formulas available for these integrals,<sup>2</sup> while completely general, do not allow systematic calculation of integrals for higher angular momentum. In this paper we present auxiliary functions and recursion relations from which integrals for all values of n+1+m can be systematically (and efficiently) computed

#### II. CHARGE DISTRIBUTIONS

An unnormalized Gaussian function on center A will be given by

$$\phi(n, \ell, m, \alpha_A, A) = x_A^n y_A^{\ell} z_A^m \exp(-\alpha_A r_A^2)$$
 (2.1)

where  $(x_A, y_A, z_A)$  are the components of the vector  $\underline{r}_A = \underline{r} - \underline{A}$ with norm  $r_A$ . The normalization constant for this function is

$$N_{n\ell m}(\alpha_A) = N_n(\alpha_A) N_\ell(\alpha_A) N_m(\alpha_A)$$
(2.2)

where

$$I_{k}(\alpha) = (2\alpha/\pi)^{1/4} (4\alpha)^{k/2} [(2k-1)!!]^{-1/2}$$
(2)

.3)

Such functions are referred to as s, p, d, ... when L = n + l + m is 0, 1, 2,..., respectively. Contracted basis functions can be formed from the  $\phi$ 's in various ways. For example, it is usual to define

$$f_{n \ell m A} = \sum_{\alpha_A} C^{L}(\alpha_A) \Im_{n \ell m}(\alpha_A) \phi(n, \ell, m, \alpha_A, A)$$
(2.4)

where  $C^{\perp}(a_{\underline{\lambda}})$  is independent of  $n, \ell, m$  (for fixed L). Alternatively a more general

$$g_{LMA} = \sum_{\alpha_A} C^L(\alpha_A) \sum_{n \neq m} B_{n \neq m}^{LM} \phi(n, \ell, m, \alpha_A, A)$$
(2.5)

can be considered which allows true angular momentum eigenfunctions to be formed (with the  $\alpha$  dependent part of  $N_{n\ell m}(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha^{(2L+3)/4}$ , absorbed in  $C^{L}(\alpha_{A})$  and the  $\alpha$  independent factors absorbed into  $B_{n\ell m}^{LM}$ ).

Efficient computation of integrals requires that  $f_{n\ell mA}$  or  $g_{LMA}$  which involve the same  $\alpha$ 's and same nucleus be treated as sets.

For maximum efficiency these sets should be large enough that calculation of the auxiliary functions common to a block of integrals becomes insignificant. On the other hand, excessively large blocks of integrals which result from treating all fifteen L=4 functions as one set should be avoided.

For the sake of simplicity, the formulas in this paper will be given only for integrals over  $\phi$ 's rather than f's or g's, in order to avoid writing explicitly the sums over  $\alpha$ . To this end it is convenient to define the charge distribution  $\Omega_{IJ}$  of two functions  $\phi_I(n, \ell, m, \alpha_A, A)$  and  $\phi_J(\overline{n}, \overline{\ell}, \overline{m}, \alpha_B, B)$  as

$$n_{IJ} = \phi_{I}\phi_{J} = x_{A}^{n}x_{B}^{\overline{n}}y_{A}^{\ell}y_{B}^{\overline{\ell}}z_{A}^{\overline{m}}z_{B}^{\overline{m}} \exp[-(\alpha_{A}r_{A}^{2} + \alpha_{B}r_{B}^{2})]. \qquad (2.6)$$

The key to the utility of Gaussians is the well-known identity which transforms the above exponential to a single exponential about a center P on the line segment  $\overline{AB}$ :

$$\exp[-(\alpha_A r_A^2 + \alpha_B r_B^2)] = E_{IJ} \exp(-\alpha_P r_P^2)$$
(2.7)

where

$$\underline{P} = (\alpha_{A}\underline{A} + \alpha_{B}\underline{B})/(\alpha_{A} + \alpha_{B}) , \qquad (2.8)$$

$$\alpha_{\rm P} = \alpha_{\rm A} + \alpha_{\rm B} , \qquad (2.9)$$

and

$$E_{IJ} = \exp \{-\alpha_A \alpha_B (\alpha_A + \alpha_B)^{-1} |\underline{A} - \underline{B}|^2\}.$$
 (2.10)

Since molecular calculations usually require absolute rather than relative accuracy, all integrals involving  $\Omega_{IJ}$  can be neglected if the constant  $E_{IJ}$  is sufficiently small.

The products  $x_A^n x_B^{\overline{n}}$ ,  $y_A^\ell y_B^{\overline{\ell}}$ , and  $z_A^m z_B^{\overline{m}}$  could be converted into polynomials in  $x_p$ ,  $y_p$  and  $z_p$  using relations like

-5-

$$x_A = x_p + \overline{PA}_x$$
, (2.11)

where

$$x_{A} = x - A_{x} , \qquad (2.12)$$

$$x_{p} = x - P_{x}$$
, (2.13)

and

$$\overline{PA}_{\mathbf{x}} = P_{\mathbf{x}} - A_{\mathbf{x}}$$
(2.14)

It is more convenient in what follows, however, to define

$$A_{j}(x_{p};\alpha_{p})\exp(-\alpha_{p}x_{p}^{2}) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{x}}\right)^{j}\exp(-\alpha_{p}x_{p}^{2}) , \qquad (2.15)$$

from which it follows that  $\Lambda_{j}$  is related to the Hermite polynomial  $H_{j}$  by

$$\Lambda_{j}(x_{p}; \alpha_{p}) = \alpha_{p}^{j/2} \Xi_{j}(\alpha_{p}^{1/2} x_{p})$$
 (2.16)

The utility of the  $\Lambda$ 's is obvious - they will allow the charge distribution to be written as a sum of derivatives with respect to the coordinates of 2 and these derivatives can be taken outside of any integral over electronic coordinates.

Now let us find the coefficients for expanding  $x_A^n x_B^{\overline{n}}$  in the A's:

$$\mathbf{x}_{A}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{B}^{\overline{n}} = \sum_{N=0}^{n+\overline{n}} d_{N}^{n\overline{n}} A_{N}(\mathbf{x}_{p}; \alpha_{p}) .$$
(2.17)

The recursion relation for the Hermite polynomials is

$$\xi H_N(\xi) = N H_{N-1}(\xi) + \frac{1}{2} H_{N+1}(\xi)$$
 (2.18)

Consequently

$$(\mathbf{A}^{\Lambda}_{N}(\mathbf{x}_{p};\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{p}) = N\Lambda_{N-1} + \overline{PA}_{X}\Lambda_{N} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{N+1}/\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{p} . \qquad (2.19)$$

-6-

The recursion relations on the  $d_N^{n\overline{n}}$  are then easily seen to be

$$d_N^{n+1,\overline{n}} = (2\alpha_p)^{-1} d_{N-1}^{n\overline{n}} + \overline{PA}_X d_N^{n\overline{n}} + (N+1) d_{N+1}^{n\overline{n}}$$
, (2.20)

$$d_N^{n,\overline{n}+1} = (2\alpha_p)^{-1} d_{N-1}^{n\overline{n}} + \overline{PB}_X d_N^{n\overline{n}} + (N+1) d_{N+1}^{n\overline{n}}$$
, (2.21)

where

$$b_{0}^{00} = 1$$
 (2.22)

Similarly we can write

$$y_{A}^{\ell} y_{B}^{\overline{\ell}} = \sum_{L=0}^{\ell+\overline{\ell}} e_{L}^{\ell\overline{\ell}} \Lambda_{L}(y_{P}; \alpha_{P})$$
(2.23)

and

$$\int_{A}^{m} Z_{B}^{\overline{m}} = \int_{M=0}^{m+\overline{m}} f_{M}^{m\overline{m}} \Lambda_{M}(z_{p}; \alpha_{p})$$
(2.24)

so that

2

$$\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{\overline{1}\overline{3}} = \mathbb{E}_{\overline{1}\overline{3}} \sum_{N \perp M} \frac{z_{\overline{1}} \overline{r}_{M}}{z_{\overline{2}}} f_{M}^{\overline{m}\overline{n}} \Lambda_{N}(\mathbf{x}_{p}) \Lambda_{L}(\mathbf{y}_{p}) \Lambda_{M}(z_{p}) \exp(-\alpha_{p} r_{p}^{2}) \qquad (2.25)$$

It is often convenient to write  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{\mathrm{I}}\boldsymbol{\mathrm{J}}}$  as

$$\Omega_{\overline{1}\overline{y}} = \sum_{k}^{D} \Lambda_{N_{k}}(x_{p}) \Lambda_{\overline{\lambda}}(y_{p}) \Lambda_{M_{k}}(z_{p}) \exp(-\alpha_{p}r_{p}^{2}) , \qquad (2.26)$$

where

$$D_{k} \approx E_{IJ} d_{N_{k}}^{n\overline{n}} e_{L_{k}}^{\ell \overline{n}} f_{M_{k}}^{m\overline{n}} .$$
(2.27)

This allows generalization to include spherical harmonic basis functions when  $D_k$  is replaced by

$$D_{k} = E_{IJ} \sum_{n,\ell,m} \sum_{\overline{n},\overline{\ell},\overline{m}} B_{n\ell m}^{\lambda\mu} B_{\overline{n},\overline{\ell}}^{\overline{\lambda}\overline{\mu}}, \overline{m}^{d} N_{k}^{n\overline{n}} e_{L_{k}}^{\ell\overline{\ell}} f_{M_{k}}^{m\overline{m}}, \qquad (2.28)$$

In these equations k indexes all (N,L,M) combinations for which D is non-zero and the charge distribution is specified by a list of the non-zero  $D_k$  and the corresponding  $(N_k, L_k, M_k)$ . In practice the  $C^L(\alpha_A)C^{\overline{L}}(\alpha_B)$  and normalization are also incorporated into the  $D_k$ . Some integrals which must be evaluated involve derivatives of the basis functions. Consequently, it is convenient to define the additional charge distributions

$$G_{IJ} = \phi_{I} \underline{\nabla} \phi_{J}$$
(2.29)

$$T_{IJ} = \underline{\nabla}\phi_{I} \cdot \underline{\nabla}\phi_{J}$$
 (2.30)

and

$$\mu_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{J}} = (\underline{\nabla}\phi_{\mathbf{I}}) \times (\underline{\nabla}\phi_{\mathbf{J}})$$
(2.31)

The x component of  $G_{IJ}$  is easily obtained from

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi(\overline{n},\overline{\ell},\overline{n},\alpha_{B},B) = \overline{n}\phi(\overline{n}-1,\overline{\ell},\overline{m},\alpha_{B},B) - 2\alpha_{B}\phi(\overline{n}+1,\overline{\ell},\overline{m},\alpha_{B},B) \quad (2.32)$$

so that

$$S_{TJ}^{(x)} = \sum_{k} g_{k}^{(x)} h_{N,k} \sum_{k=k} h_{N,k} \exp(-\alpha_{p} r_{p}^{2})$$
(2.33)

with

$$\Xi_{k}^{(\mathbf{x})} = E_{IJ}(\overline{n}d_{N_{k}}^{n,\overline{n-1}} - 2\alpha_{B}d_{N_{k}}^{n,\overline{n+1}})e_{L_{k}}^{\ell \overline{\ell}}f_{M_{k}}^{m \overline{n}}$$
(2.34)

The distribution  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{T}}_{IJ}$  may be expanded in an analogous manner to give

$$IJ = \sum_{k} t_k \Lambda_{N_k} \Lambda_{L_k} \Lambda_{M_k} \exp(-\alpha_p r_p^2)$$
 (2.35)

with

Т

$$t_k = (t_k^{XX} + t_k^{YY} + t_k^{ZZ})E_{IJ}$$
, (2.36)

$$\mathbf{f}_{k}^{xx} = [nnd_{N_{k}}^{n-1}, \overline{n-1} - 2n\alpha_{B}d_{N_{k}}^{n-1}, \overline{n+1} - 2\overline{n}\alpha_{A}d_{N_{k}}^{n+1}, \overline{n-1} + 4\alpha_{A}\alpha_{B}d_{N_{k}}^{n+1}, \overline{n+1}] \mathbf{e}_{L_{k}}^{k\overline{k}}\mathbf{f}_{M_{k}}^{n\overline{n}\overline{n}}$$

$$(2.37)$$

and similar expressions for  $t_k^{yy}$  and  $t_k^{zz}$ . The z component of  $Q_{IJ}$  may be similarly shown to be of the form

- 8-

where

$$q_{k}^{(z)} = f_{M_{k}}^{m\overline{m}} I_{J} q_{k}^{xy}$$
 (2.39)

The  $q_k^{xy}$  obtained directly from  $\partial \phi_I / \partial x \ \partial \phi_J / \partial y - \partial \phi_I / \partial y \ \partial \phi_J / \partial x$ involve new  $\phi$ 's of total powers of x, y, and z higher by two than the starting ones. These highest powers cancel since

$$\phi(n+1,\ell,m,\alpha_A,A)\phi(\vec{n},\hat{\ell}+1,\vec{m},\alpha_B,B) - \phi(n,\ell+1,m,\alpha_A,A)\phi(\vec{n}+1,\vec{\ell},\vec{m},\alpha_B,B)$$

$$= (x_A y_B - y_A x_B)\phi(n,\ell,m,\alpha_A,A)\phi(\vec{n},\vec{\ell},\vec{m},\alpha_B,B)$$

$$= [\overline{AB}_y \phi(n+1,\ell,m,\alpha_A,A) - \overline{AB}_x \phi(n,\ell+1,m,\alpha_A,A)]\phi(\vec{n},\vec{\ell},\vec{m},\alpha_B,B)$$
(2.40)

Consequently,

$$q_{k}^{xy} = \overline{\iota}(nd_{N_{k}}^{n-1},\overline{n}-2\alpha_{A}d_{N_{k}}^{n+1},\overline{n})e_{L_{k}}^{\ell}-2n\alpha_{B}d_{N_{k}}^{n-1},ne_{L_{k}}^{\ell},\overline{\iota}+1$$

$$-\iota(\overline{n}d_{N_{k}}^{n,\overline{n}-1}-2\alpha_{B}d_{N_{k}}^{n,\overline{n}+1})e_{L_{k}}^{\ell-1,\overline{\iota}}-2\overline{n}\alpha_{A}d_{N_{k}}^{n,\overline{n}-1}e_{L_{k}}^{\ell+1,\overline{\iota}}$$

$$+\alpha_{A}\alpha_{B}^{\alpha}\left[\overline{AB}_{y}d_{N_{k}}^{n+1,\overline{\iota}},\overline{\epsilon}_{-\overline{\iota}}^{\ell},\overline{\iota}-\overline{AB}_{x}d_{N_{k}}^{n,\overline{n}}e_{L_{k}}^{\ell+1,\overline{\iota}}\right] \qquad (2.41)$$

It is important to note that different sets of  $(N_k, L_k, M_k)$ appear in 2.27, 2.28, 2.33, 2.35, and 2.38. Also, larger values of N+L+M appear in the derivatives than in the charge distribution.

-9-

III. BASIC INTEGRALS

From the previous section it is clear that the one-electron integrals to be evaluated all reduce to the form

$$[\text{NLM}|\theta] = \int \theta(\underline{r}_1) \Lambda_N(\mathbf{x}_{1P}; \alpha_P) \Lambda_L(\mathbf{y}_{1P}; \alpha_P) \Lambda_M(\mathbf{z}_{1P}; \alpha_P)$$
$$exp(-\alpha_P r_{1P}^2) d\tau_1 \qquad (3.1)$$

Likewise the basic two-electron integrals all take the form

$$NLM[\theta|NLM] = \int \theta(\underline{r}_{1}, \underline{r}_{2}) \Lambda_{N}(x_{1P}; \alpha_{P}) \Lambda_{L}(y_{1P}; \alpha_{P}) \Lambda_{M}(z_{1P}; \alpha_{P})$$

$$exp(-\alpha_{P}r_{1P}^{2}) \Lambda_{N}(x_{2Q}; \alpha_{Q}) \Lambda_{L}(y_{2Q}; \alpha_{Q}) \Lambda_{M}(z_{2Q}; \alpha_{Q})$$

$$exp(-\alpha_{Q}r_{2Q}^{2}) d\tau_{1} d\tau_{2} \qquad (3.2)$$

The one electron integrals can be further classified as (a) those that can be done in closed form and (b) those that require the same numerically approximated auxiliary functions as the twoelectron integrals.

A. One electron integrals, closed form

The basic integral of this type is the one dimensional integral

$$\int dx_{\Lambda_N}(x_{p;\alpha}) \exp(-\alpha x_p^2) = \delta_{N,0}(\pi/\alpha)^{1/2}$$
(3.3)

(the  $\delta_{N,0}$  arises from the orthogonality of the Hermite polynomials). Thus the overlap integral is simply

$$[NLM]1] = \delta_{N,0}\delta_{L,0}\delta_{M,0}(\pi/\alpha_{\rm P})^{3/2}$$
(3.4)

The relation

$$C^{\Lambda_N}(x_P; \alpha_P) = N\Lambda_{N-1} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{N+1}/\alpha_P + \overline{PC}_{\chi}\Lambda_N$$

. (3.5)

-10-

then gives

$$[NLM]_{x_{c}}] = (\delta_{N,1} + \overline{PC}_{x}\delta_{N,0})\delta_{L,0}\delta_{M,0}(\pi/\alpha_{p})^{3/2}$$
(3.6)

with similar results for  $y_c$  and  $z_c$ . The second moments are just

$$[\text{NLM}|\mathbf{x}_{c}^{2}] = [2\delta_{N,2}^{2}+2\overline{PC}_{x}\delta_{N,1}^{2}+(\overline{PC}_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{P}^{-1})\delta_{N,0}^{3}]\delta_{L,0}\delta_{M,0}^{3}(\pi/\alpha_{P}^{3})^{3/2}$$
(3.7)

and

 $[\text{NLM}|x_{c}y_{c}] = (\delta_{y,2} + \overline{PC}_{x}\delta_{N,0})(\delta_{L,1} + \overline{PC}_{y}\delta_{L,0})\delta_{M,0}(\pi/\alpha_{p})^{3/2} \quad (3.8)$ with similar results for  $y_{c}^{2}$ ,  $z_{c}^{2}$ ,  $x_{c}z_{c}$  or  $y_{c}z_{c}$ .

The kinetic energy and gradient matrix elements are given directly by the formula for [NLM|1]. Notice that only the  $t_k$ (and  $g_k$ ) coefficients for  $(N_k, L_k, M_k) = (0,0,0)$  are required to evaluate the kinetic energy and gradient matrix elements.

#### B. Other one electron integrals

The basic integral in this category is the nuclear attraction integral [NLM $\{r_{C}^{-1}\}$ ]. From the definition of the A's, this may be written as

$$[NLM|r_{C}^{-1}] = (\partial/\partial P_{x})^{N} (\partial/\partial P_{y})^{L} (\partial/\partial P_{z})^{M} [000|r_{C}^{-1}]$$
(3.9)

The integral  $[000|r_{c}^{-1}]$  was shown by Boys to be given by

$$[000|r_{\rm C}^{-1}] = (2\pi/\alpha_{\rm P})F_{\rm o}({\rm T}), \qquad (3.10)$$

where

$$T = \alpha_{p} \overline{CP}^{2}, \qquad (3.11)$$

and

$$F_{o}(T) = \int_{0}^{1} \exp(-Tu^{2}) du.$$
 (3.12)

-11-

If we define the auxiliary function  $\bar{R}_{\rm NLM}$  by

$$R_{NLM} = (\partial/\partial P_{x})^{N} (\partial/\partial P_{y})^{L} (\partial/\partial P_{z})^{M} F_{o}(T)$$
(3.13)

then

$$[NLM|r_{\rm C}^{-1}] = (2\pi/\alpha_{\rm P})R_{\rm NLM}.$$
(3.14)

The computation of R<sub>NLM</sub> will be described in a later section.

Matrix elements of the components of the electric field, such as  $x_C r_C^{-3}$  can be evaluated in two ways which illustrate the tricks needed for more complicated integrals. First

$$x_{C}r_{C}^{-3} = \partial r_{C}^{-1}/\partial C_{x},$$
 (3.15)

where it should be noted that differentiation is with respect to the muclear position. Therefore

$$IMLM[x_{C}r_{C}^{-3}] = (5.3C_{x})[NLM]r_{C}^{-1}]$$
(3.16)

But since T depends on Cy-Py,

 $(\partial/\partial C_{\chi})g(T) = -(\partial/\partial P_{\chi})g(T)$ (3.17)

for any function g(I).

Hence,

$$NLM[x_{C}r_{C}^{-3}] = -(2\pi/\alpha_{P})R_{N+1,L,M}$$
 (3.18)

Alternatively this integral can be evluated by noting that

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{C}}^{-3} = -\partial \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1} / \partial \mathbf{x}.$$

Hence, by integration by parts,

$$[NLM] \times_{C} r_{C}^{-3}] = [3(NLM)/3 \times [r_{C}^{-1}].$$

But, from the definition of  $\Lambda_N$ ,

(3.20)

(3.19)

-12-

$$\partial(N,L,M)/\partial x = -(N+1,L,M),$$

so

$$[NLM] x_{C} r_{C}^{-3}] = -(2\pi/\alpha_{P}) R_{N+1, L, M}$$
 (3.21)

The components of the electric field gradient are similarly obtained using

$$(\vartheta^2/\vartheta c_x^2 - \vartheta^2/\vartheta c_y^2)r_C^{-1} = \vartheta(x_C^2 - y_C^2)r_C^{-5}$$
 (3.22)

$$2\partial^2/\partial c_z^2 - \partial^2/\partial c_x^2 - \partial^2/\partial c_y^2)r_c^{-1} = 3(3z_c^2 - r_c^2)r_c^{-5}$$
 (3.23)

and

$$(a^2/ac_x ac_y)r_c^{-1} = 3x_c y_c r_c^{-5}$$
 (3.24)

Notice these formulas are written so as to avoid problems with the calta function which arises in  $\nabla^2 r_C^{-1}$ . Hence the electric field predient integrals are given by

$$[NLM] (3r_{C}^{2} - r_{C}^{-5}] = (2\pi/\alpha_{P})(R_{N+2,L,M}^{-R}R_{N,L+2,M})$$
(3.25)  
$$[NLM] (3r_{C}^{2} - r_{C}^{-5})r_{C}^{-5}] = (2\pi/\alpha_{P})(2R_{N,L,M+2}^{-R}R_{N+2,L,M}^{-R}R_{N,L+2,M})/(3.26)$$
(3.26)

$$[NLM] 3x_{c} y_{c} r_{c}^{-5}] = (2\pi/\alpha_{p}) R_{N+1, L+1, M}$$
(3.27)

Matrix elements over the one-electron spin-orbit operator may be evaluated by two different methods. If the space part of the basic spin-orbit operator is considered to be  $r_C^3 \underline{r}_C \times \underline{\nabla}$  then the z component, for example, is  $r_C^{-3}(\underline{x}_C \partial/\partial y - \underline{y}_C \partial/\partial x)$ . Matrix elements of this operator can be evaluated by combining the results given above for the electric field with the  $\underline{g}_k$  expansion coefficients given previously for the gradient. Alternatively integration by parts gives

$$\left\langle \phi_{I} \left| r_{C}^{-3} \left( x_{C} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y_{C} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right| \phi_{J} \right\rangle = \left[ \frac{\partial \phi_{I}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{J}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \phi_{I}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_{J}}{\partial x} \left| r_{C}^{-1} \right]$$
 (3.28)

The spin-orbit integral then reduces to using the  $q_k$  coefficients in summing [NLM $|r_c^{-1}]$  matrix elements.

# C. Two electron integrals

The simplest integral in this category is the electron repulsion

$$[NLM]r_{12}^{-1}|N'L'M'] = (\partial/\partial P_x)^N (\partial/\partial P_y)^L (\partial/\partial P_z)^M (\partial/\partial Q_x)^{N'} (\partial/\partial Q_y)^{L'}$$

$$(\partial/\partial Q_y)^M [OQO]r_{10}^{-1}|QOO] \qquad (3.29)$$

Boys evaluated the basic integral as

$$[000]r_{12}^{-1}[000] = \lambda F_{0}(T)$$
(3.30)

where

$$= 2\pi^{5/2} \alpha_{\rm p}^{-1} z_{\rm p}^{-1} (\alpha_{\rm p}^{+} \alpha_{\rm Q})^{-1/2}$$
(3.31)

and

$$T = \alpha_{p} \alpha_{Q} (\alpha_{p}^{+} \alpha_{q})^{-1} \overline{PQ}^{2}$$
(3.32)

(3.33)

Because T involves only the combination P-Q,

$$(\partial/\partial Q_{,})^{N}g(T) = (-\partial/\partial P_{,})^{N}g(T)$$

for any function g(T).

Непсе

$$[NLM]r_{12}^{-1}[N'L'M'] = \lambda(-1)^{N'+L'+M'}R_{N+N',L+L',M+M'}$$
(3.34)

Just as for the electric field and field gradient, integrals over  $x_{12}r_{12}^{-3}$ ,  $x_{12}y_{12}r_{12}^{-5}$ , etc., are easily evaluated. For example  $[NLM] x_{12}r_{12}^{-3} | N'L'M'] = -\lambda(-1)^{N'+L'+M'} R_{N+N'+1,L+L',M+M'}$ (3.35)  $[NLM] 3 \times_{12} y_{12} r_{12}^{-5} [N'L'M'] = \lambda (-1)^{N'+L'+M'} R_{N+N'+1}, L+L'+1, M+M'$ (3.36)

 $[NLM]3(x_{12}^{2}-y_{12}^{2})r_{12}^{-5}]N'L'M'] = \lambda(-1)^{N'+L'+M'}(R_{N+N'+2},L+L',M+M')$ 

-R<sub>N+N',L+L<sup>1</sup>+2,M+M'</sub>) (3.37)

 $[NLM](3z_{12}^2 - r_{12}^2)r_{12}^{-5}|N'L'M'] = \lambda(-1)^{N'+L'+M'}(2R_{N+N'}, L+L', M+M'+2)$ 

$$-R_{N+N'+2,L+L',M+M'}-R_{N+N',L+L'+2,M+M'}$$
 (3.38)

Integrals over these  $r_{12}^{-5}$  operators appear in calculation of spin-spin interaction matrix elements.

The space part of the two electron spin-orbit operator has the form  $r_{12}^{-3}r_{12}^{-3}r_{12}^{-3}$ . The z component of this operator is then

 $\mathbb{P}_{11}^{-3} \left( \mathbf{x}_{12} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - \mathbb{P}_{12} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) \ .$ 

Integration by parts fields a result similar to that obtained for the one-electron integral,

$$\left\langle \phi_{\underline{I}}(1)\phi_{\underline{K}}(2) | r_{\underline{I}2}^{-2} \left( x_{\underline{I}2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\underline{I}}} - y_{\underline{I}2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\underline{I}}} \right) | \phi_{\underline{J}}(1)\phi_{\underline{L}}(2) \right\rangle$$

$$= \left[ \frac{\partial \phi_{\underline{I}}}{\partial x_{\underline{I}}} \frac{\partial \phi_{\underline{J}}}{\partial y_{\underline{I}}} - \frac{\partial \phi_{\underline{I}}}{\partial y_{\underline{I}}} \frac{\partial \phi_{\underline{J}}}{\partial x_{\underline{I}}} | r_{\underline{I}2}^{-1} | \phi_{\underline{K}}\phi_{\underline{L}} \right]$$

$$(3.39)$$

Consequently, this integral is given simply as a sum over  $[NLM|r_{12}^{-1}|N'L'M']$  using coefficients  $q_k$  for the IJ orbitals and  $D_k$  for the KL orbitals. Alternatively, of course, the integral could be written as a sum over integrals like  $[NLM|x_{12}r_{12}^{-3}|N'L'M']$  with  $g_k$  coefficients for the IJ orbitals.

-15-

IV. AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS

A. R<sub>NLM</sub>

The function R<sub>NLM</sub> is defined as

$$R_{\rm NLM} = (\partial/\partial a)^{\rm N} (\partial/\partial b)^{\rm L} (\partial/\partial c)^{\rm M} \int_0^{\rm L} e^{-{\rm Tu}^2} du \qquad (4.1)$$

where

Ŧ

$$= \alpha(a^2+b^2+c^2)$$
 (4.2)

By direct chain-rule differentiation an explicit formula for R can be found:

$$R_{NLM} = \sum_{n=0}^{[N/2]} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \ k=0}}^{[M/2]} m=0 \sum_{m=0}^{[M/2]} a^{N-2n} b^{L-2k} c^{M-2m}$$

 $\frac{N!}{(2n)!!(N-2n)!} \frac{1!}{(2l)!(1-2l)!} \frac{M!}{(2m)!!(M-2m)!} F_{N+L+M-n-l-m}$ (4.3)

For generating = table of all R<sub>NLM</sub> up to some maximum N+L+M, as is needed in doing blocks of integrals, recursion relations are more useful. These can be found from introduction of the more general integral:

 $R_{NLMj} = (-\sqrt{\alpha})^{N+L+M}(-2\alpha)^{j} \int_{0}^{1} u^{N+L+M+2j} H_{N}(\sqrt{\alpha}au) H_{L}(\sqrt{\alpha}bu) H_{M}(\sqrt{\alpha}cu) e^{-Tu^{2}} du$ Let us first note that

$$R_{000j} = (-2\alpha)^{j}F_{j}(T)$$
, (4.4)

where

$$F_{1}(T) = \int_{0}^{1} u^{2\bar{j}} \exp(-Tu^{2}) du.$$
 (4.5)

From the recursion relations for the Hermite polynomials, it follows that

$$R_{0,0,M+1,j} = cR_{0,0,M,j+1} + MR_{0,0,M-1,j+1}$$
 (4.6)

 $R_{0,L+1,M,j} = bR_{0,L,M,j+1} + LR_{0,L-1,M,j+1}$  (4.7)

-16-

$$R_{N+1,L,M,j} = a R_{N,L,M,j+1} + N R_{N-1,L,M,j+1}$$

Thus the desired  $R_{NLM}$  (given as  $R_{NLM0}$ ) can be generated from a table of  $F_{i}(T)$  for all j between 0 and the maximum N+L+M.

Shavitt<sup>2</sup> has given several formulas useful for evaluating  $F_j(T)$ . Rapid and accurate evaluation of this function for a wide range of j and T requires some care, however. Our best program at present evaluates  $F_j(T)$  by different formulas depending on T.

For 0<T<12 and  $0 \le j \le J$ ,  $F_J(T)$  is first evaluated using the seven term Taylor expansion

$$F_{-}(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{6} F_{T+k}(T^{*})(T^{*}-T)^{k}/k! , \qquad (4.9)$$

where  $\Gamma_{\mu^{\pm}K}(T^*)$  has been pretabulated for  $T^*$  at intervals of 0.1. The downwards recursion relation

$$E_{4}(T) = [2TE_{4+1}(T) + exp(-T)]/(2j+1)$$
 (4.10)

can then be used to obtain all  $F_{i}(T)$ .

For the range 12<T<30 we note that

$$F_{c}(T) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}/\sqrt{1} - \int_{1}^{\infty} \exp(-Tu^{2}) du . \qquad (4.11)$$

If the integral  $\int_{1}^{\infty} \exp(-Tu^2) du$  is now expressed as  $\exp(-T)g/T$ , then g can be computed from

$$12 < T < 15$$

$$g = 0.4999489092 - 0.2473631686 T^{-1}$$

F,

Β.

+ 0.321180909  $T^{-2}$  - 0.3811559346  $T^{-3}$ 

-17-'

. (4.8)

(4.12)

15 < T < 18

 $g = 0.4998436875 - 0.24249438 T^{-1} + 0.24642845 T^{-2}$  (4.13) 18 < T < 24

 $g = 0.499093162 - 0.2152832 T^{-1}$  (4.14) 24 < T < 30

$$g = 0.490,$$
 (4.15)

Upwards recursion, which is unstable for small T or large j, can be used to obtain  $F_j(T)$  with a relative accuracy of 3 x  $10^{-12}$ for j≤16 and T≥12.

For T>30,  $F_o(T) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}/\sqrt{T}$  combined with upwards recursion is accurate to fourteen significant figures. Finally, for T>2J+35 the exact upwards recursion

$$F_{j+2}(T) = (2T)^{-1}[(2j+1)F_j(T) - exp(-T)]$$
(4.16)

can be replaced by

 $F_{j+1}(T) = (2T)^{-2}(2j+1)F_{j}(T)$ 

(4.17)

without loss of accuracy.

#### V. COMPUTATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

The tractability of the two electron integral formulas hinges upon doing all integrals involving four sets of basis functions concurrently, as all require the same R table. If the sets are large enough (say all four are p's) then the calculation of R requires only a small fraction of the total time. It then becomes important to perform the loops over basis functions and sums over contraction terms efficiently.

Table 1 shows one such scheme. A significant advantage is gained by forming the intermediate array I which allows the actual integral to be formed outside the sums over  $\alpha_{C}$  and  $\alpha_{D}$ . It is noteworthy that with only obvious minor modifications this scheme may be employed to calculate spin-spin and spin-orbit two electron integrals.

A program has been written employing the scheme in table 1 for the repulsion intervals. Explicit formulas for the elements of I were added for certain s and p integrals. The computation time for a STO-3G set on hydrogen peroxide is 38.5 sec on a. CDC 6400. Dupuis, Rys, and King,<sup>7</sup> performing the same calculation on a CDC 6400, obtained times of 8.4 sec for GAUSSIAN 70; 39.9 sec for HONDO, a program of their own; and, 132.7 sec for PHANTOM 75, the most recent version of POLYATOM. Upon adding two sets of d functions to the STO-3G set we obtained a computation time of about 124.3 sec, somewhat less than HONDO's 152.2 sec and considerably less than PHANTOM's 775.6 sec.

It is obvious from these running times that GAUSSIAN 70 is clearly superior for integrals over s and p functions. There are three reasons for this superiority: (1) GAUSSIAN 70's integrals are

-19-

accurate to only 8 figures owing to a less accurate but faster calculation of  $\Gamma_m(T)$ , (2) a coordinate transformation is employed to maximize the local symmetry of the integral over primitive Gaussians, a distinct advantage for highly contracted basis sets,<sup>8</sup> and (3) s and p basis functions are combined into one set. GAUSSIAN 70 and HONDO, like our program, compute all integrals over four sets of basis functions (or "shells" in the terminology of Dupuis, Rys and King) concurrently. Judging from the running times this structure has a clear advantage over the one-integralat-a-time method of PHANTOM 75. Our method and the quadrature scheme employed by HONDO seem to be roughly equivalent, at least for s's and p's.

-20-



 ${}^{a}F_{iIA}$  denotes the i<sup>th</sup> member of set I on center A.

#### References

1.	S.F. Boys, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A200, 542 (1950).
2.	See, for example, Isaiah Shavitt, in <u>Methods in Computational</u> <u>Physics</u> , Vol. 2, edited by B. Alder, S. Fernback, and <u>M. Rotenberg</u> (Academic Press, New York, 1963) pp. 1-45.
3.	For a good review, see S. Huzinaga, Supp. Prog. Theo. Phys. 40, 52 (1967).
4.	J. L. Whitten, J. Chem. Phys. <u>39</u> , 349 (1963).
5.	S. Huzinaga, J. Chem. Phys. 42, 1293 (1965); T. H. Dunning, Jr. and P.J. Hay, in <u>Modern Theoretical Chemistry</u> , Vol. 3, edited by H. F. Schaefer III; T. H. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys. 53, 2823 (1970); R. Ditchfield, W. J. Hehre, and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys. 52, 5001 (1970); W. J. Hehre, R. F. Stewart, and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys. <u>51</u> , 2657 (1969).
6.	Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange, Indiana University, Blocmington, Indiana.
7.	M. Eupuis, J. Evs. and H. F. King, J. Chem. Phys. <u>65</u> , 111 (1976).
8.	J. A. Pople and W. J. Hehre, to be published.
•	

#### NOTICE

NOTICE "This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Governmen, Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or heir employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumet any legal liability or respon-sibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately-owned rights."