10-15-91850

LBL-29400 UC-251



EARTH SCIENCES DIVISION

TOUGH2—A General-Purpose Numerical Simulator for Multiphase Fluid and Heat Flow

K. Pruess

May 1991



DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE Contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831 Prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

LBL--29400 LBL-20400 DE92 000755

TOUGH2---A General-Purpose Numerical Simulator for Multiphase Fluid and Heat Flow

Karsten Pruess

Earth Sciences Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720

May 1991

This work was supported in large part by the Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Renewable Energy, Office of Renewable Energy, Geothermal Technology Division, with additional support from the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Engineering and Geosciences Division; the Yucca Mountain Project of Sandia National Laboratories; and the Manager, Repository Technology Program, Repository Technology and Transportation Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.



DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

in an i

and a state of the second s I and a state of the second s



Abstract

TOUGH2 is a numerical simulation program for nonisothermal flows of multicomponent, multiphase fluids in porous and fractured media. The chief applications for which TOUGH2 is designed are in geothermal reservoir engineering, nuclear waste disposal, and unsaturated zone hydrology. A successor to the TOUGH program, TOUGH2 offers added capabilities and user features, including the flexibility to handle different fluid mixtures, facilities for processing of geometric data (computational grids), and an internal version control system to ensure referenceability of code applications.

This report includes a detailed description of governing equations, program architecture, and user features. Enhancements in data inputs relative to TOUGH are described, and a number of sample problems are given to illustrate code applications.

1	
	Table of Contents
	and a second second A second
	and a set of the providence of the protocol oc
Li	ist of Figures
Li	ist of Tables
ें 1.	Introduction
2 2.	Methodology and Architecture of MULKOM and TOUGH2
	2.1. Scope and Methodology
Ś.	2.2 Program Architecture
3.	TOUGH2 Array Structure and Handling
	3.1 Primary Thermodynamic Variables
	3.2 Thermophysical Property Arrays
	3.3 Linear Equation Setup
	3.4 Dimensioning of Major Arrays
4.	Equation-of-State Modules
	4.1 EOS1 (Water, Water with Tracer)
	4.2 EOS2 (Water, CO ₂)
	4.3 EOS3 (Water, Air)
	4.4 EOS4 (Water, Air)
	4.5 EOS5 (Water, Hydrogen)
5.	User Features
	5.1 Specification of Flow Geometry
	5.2 Initial Conditions and Restarting
	5.3 Boundary Conditions and "Inactive" Elements
	5.4 Heat Exchange with Confining Beds
	5.5 Future Code Developments
6.	5.5 Future Code Developments Preparation of Input Data
5.	 5.5 Future Code Developments Preparation of Input Data 6.1 Enhancements in TOUGH Data Blocks
6.	 5.5 Future Code Developments Preparation of Input Data 6.1 Enhancements in TOUGH Data Blocks

 7.2 Problem No. 2—Heat Pipe in Cylindrical Geometry 7.3 Problem No. 3—Heat Sweep in a Vertical Fracture 7.4 Problem No. 4—Five-spot Geothermal Production/Injection 	
8. Concluding Remarks	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Acknowledgements	
Nomenclature	•••••
References	
Appendix A. Mass and Energy Balances	j do servo
Appendix B. Space and Time Discretization	State . C
Appendix B. Space and Time Discretization	

•

and the stand of the stand of the stand of the stand

and the second second

and the second second second second

and the second state of th

an a ta Maang ga Bod

C S	Pagi bergebare ere aneraliser an Orresta barren eraberek. Anorske Merikabare	n an a r an 1 an taonachta
	sense three sets List of Figures and the state of the set	en. 1812
(15°		
1	า และเหตุ ของหลวมที่ พระเจริด 1. ก็ชุวยาตร์ รูฟร์ร่างไม่การการได้ เมษาย	page
Figure 1.	Modular architecture of MULKOM and TOUGH2.	2
Figure 2.	Structure of thermophysical property arrays in MULKOM and TOUGH2.	. 11
Figure 3.	Linear equation structure.	12
Figure 4.	User options for supplying geometry data.	29
Figure 5.	TOUGH input formats with TOUGH2 extensions.	42
Figure 6.	Input formats for new TOUGH2 data blocks.	43
Figure 7.	Input formats for MESHMAKER module.	47
Figure 8.	TOUGH2 input file for sample problem 1 – code demonstration.	54
Figure 9.	Selected output for problem 1.	55
Figure 10.	Input file for problem 2 – heat pipe in cylindrical geometry	57
Figure 11.	Profiles of temperature, pressure, liquid saturation, and air mass fraction for problem 2.	58
Figure 12.	MESHMAKER input for finer gridding in problem 2.	59
Figure 13.	Comparison of TOUGH2 results with similarity solution.	60
Figure 14.	Calling sequence of program units in problem 2.	61
Figure 15.	Selected output for problem 2, run with EOS4.	62-63
Figure 16.	Schematic diagram of injection-production system in vertical fracture. (I and I' are injection points, P and P' production points.)	64
Figure 17.	Input file for problem 3 – heat sweep in a vertical fracture.	66
Figure 18.	TOUGH2 printout of mesh pattern in problem 3.	67
Figure 19.	Produced fluid temperature versus time for vertical fracture problem.	69
Figure 20.	Five-spot well pattern with grid for modeling a 1/8 symmetry domain.	71
Figure 21.	Input file for problem 4 – five-spot production/injection. (Only part of ELEME and CONNE data blocks are shown.)	73
Figure 22.	Output from MINC processing of problem 4.	74
Figure 23.	Selected output from problem 4 flow simulation.	76
Figure 24.	Temperature profiles for problem 4 along a line from injection to production well after 36.5 yrs.	77

- vii -

page Weighting procedures for absolute (k) and relative permeability Figure 25. (k,) at grid block interfaces. 80 Figure 26. Space discretization and geometry data in the integral finite difference method. 96 Idealized "double-porosity" model of a fractured porous Figure 27. medium. **9**9 Subgridding in the method of "multiple interacting continua" Figure 28. (MĬNC). 100 Flow connections in the "dual permeability" model. 102 Figure 29.

. . . .

List of Tables

		page
Table 1.	TOUGH2 Fluid Property Modules	1
Table 2.	Summary of Major Common Blocks	16
Table 3.	Summary of EOS1	20
Table 4.	Summary of EOS2	21
Table 5.	Summary of EOS3	23
Table 6.	Summary of EOS4	25
Table 7.	Thermophysical Properties of Hydrogen	26
Table 8.	TOUGH2 Disk Files	27
Table 9.	New Data Blocks in TOUGH2 (all optional)	41
Table 10.	Specifications for Generation Types	44
Table 11.	Parameters for Fracture Flow Problem	65
Table 12.	Parameters for Five-spot Problem	72

Made not in the such constraint properties covering between a constraint of \$12.0000
 Introduction
 Introduction
 Introduction
 Introduction

TOUGH2 is a numerical simulation program for multi-dimensional coupled fluid and heat flows of multiphase multicomponent fluid mixtures in porous and fractured media. It belongs to the MULKOM family of codes (Pruess, 1983b, 1988) and is a more general version of the TOUGH simulator (Pruess, 1987) to which it is closely related in methodology, architecture, and input/output formats. TOUGH2 includes a number of fluid property modules (also referred to as "equation-of-state" or "EOS" modules), which make the code applicable to a variety of subsurface flow systems, including groundwater aquifers, unsaturated zones, and geothermal reservoirs (see Table 1).

Table 1. TOUGH2 Fluid Property Modules

Module	Capabilities
EOS1	water, water with tracer*
EOS2	water, CO ₂
EOS3	water, air ^{*†}
EOS4	water, air, with vapor pressure lowering
EOS5	water, hydrogen

optional constant-temperature capability [†]similar to the EOS-module of TOUGH

Additional fluid property modules that have been developed for MULKOM are being adapted for future inclusion in the TOUGH2 program package. Applications of the simulator are facilitated by a number of user features. These include flexible dimensioning of major arrays, capabilities for internal processing of flow geometry data (mesh generation), and enhanced facilities for specifying initial and boundary conditions. TOUGH2 input formats are upward compatible with those of TOUGH. Thus, TOUGH input files can be run with TOUGH2, so that existing TOUGH applications can be maintained. Note, however, that some default parameter settings are different in TOUGH2, so that minor adjustments in TOUGH input files may be advisable.

TOUGH2 implements a flexible general-purpose architecture (see Fig. 1) for simulating fluid and heat flow in systems in which any number of components or species can be distributed among several coexisting phases. In this report we provide information on architecture and user features of TOUGH2, and we describe the various fluid property (EOS) modules included in the present TOUGH2 package. A key feature of the code architecture is an array structure that allows for flexible interfacing between the module that sets up and solves the fluid flow equations and the EOS modules, which represent fluid mixtures with different numbers of components and phases. A basic understanding of this structure and some familiarity with the source code is necessary for successful applications.



Figure 1. Modular architecture of MULKOM and TOUGH2.

The TOUGH2 program consists of a number of functional units with flexible and transparent interfaces. Much of what program units do is spelled out in internal

- 2 -

comments and in printed output. It is hoped that this "open" architecture will facilitate applications, and will encourage TOUGH2 users to further develop and enhance the code. At the same time TOUGH2 provides mechanisms, by means of a tight and visible "version control" system, for meeting stringent demands on reliability and referenceability of code applications. Each program unit, when first called during a TOUGH2 simulation run, writes a one-line message specifying its name, version number and date, and purpose. All version messages are optionally printed to OUTPUT at the end of a simulation run. (See the example in Fig. 14). Users who wish to modify the code can maintain a referenceable record of code changes and applications by appropriately updating the version messages.

The development of TOUGH2 was carried out on CDC-7600 and Cray X-MP computers. The coding complies with the ANSI X3.9-1978 (FORTRAN 77) standard.* 64bit word length is required for successful execution. The present document provides essential information needed for TOUGH2 applications. It is not intended as a "stand alone" report, but should be used in conjunction with the TOUGH User's Guide (Pruess, 1987). The source code is being distributed together with several INPUT files for sample problems (see section 7). Besides providing a check on proper code installation, the sample problems illustrate code capabilities and serve as a brief tutorial for applications.

*For linking with default input and output files "INPUT" and "OUTPUT," the code has a "CALL LINK (...)" statement in the main (TOUGH2) program. This is peculiar to the Cray computer at the National Energy Research Supercomputer Center, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, and should be removed for installation at other computers.

- 3 -

and the second and a second Device the second process of the second s Second s Second s server and the server of the $e^{-i\omega t} = e^{-i\omega t} e^$ and a second of the second second standard in the second second second second second second second second secon

and the second and the second secon

a or state for the second of the construction of a subscription of the formation of the second second second second 2. Methodology and Architecture of MULKOM and TOUGH2

Numerical simulators for nonisothermal multiphase flows have been under development at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory for more than ten years. This work was focussed primarily on geothermal reservoir simulation. Additional incentives were provided by flow problems arising in the context of high-level nuclear waste isolation, oil and gas recovery and storage, and the protection of groundwater resources. The desire to model systems containing different fluid mixtures led to the development of a flexible generalpurpose simulator MULKOM. TOUGH2 is essentially a subset of MULKOM, consisting of a selection of the better tested and documented MULKOM program modules.

(1998), and a contract from the second for a second s

2.1 Scope and Methodology

MULKOM and TOUGH2 solve mass and energy balance equations that describe fluid and heat flow in general multiphase multicomponent systems (Appendix A). Fluid flow is described with a multiphase extension of Darcy's law; in addition there is diffusive mass transport in the gas phase. Heat flow occurs by conduction and convection, the latter including sensible as well as latent heat effects. The description of thermodynamic conditions is usually based on the assumption of local equilibrium of all phases (liquid, gaseous, and solid). (MULKOM has also been used to model nonequilibrium conditions, such as chemical reactions proceeding with finite rates.) All fluid and formation parameters can be arbitrary non-linear functions of the primary thermodynamic variables. je skoj se posta kon protoko je s

For numerical simulation the continuous space and time variables must be discretized. In all members of the MULKOM family of codes, space discretization is made directly from the integral form of the basic conservation equations, without converting them into partial differential equations (Appendix B). This "integral finite difference"

- 5 -

method (Edwards, 1972; Narasimhan and Witherspoon, 1976) avoids any reference to a global system of coordinates, and thus offers the advantage of being applicable to regular or irregular discretizations in one, two, or three dimensions. The method also makes it possible, by means of simple preprocessing of geometric data, to implement double- and multiple-porosity methods for fractured media, as well as higher-order differencing methods (Pruess and Narasimhan, 1982, 1985; Pruess, 1983a; Pruess and Bodvarsson, 1983). For a system of regular grid blocks referred to a global coordinate system the integral finite difference method is completely equivalent to conventional finite differences. Time is discretized fully implicitly as a first-order backward finite difference. This together with 100% upstream weighting of flux terms at interfaces is necessary to avoid impractical time step limitations in flow problems involving phase (dis-) appearances, and to achieve unconditional stability (Peaceman, 1977).

The discretization results in a set of strongly coupled non-linear algebraic equations (Appendix B). These are solved completely simultaneously, using Newton-Raphson iteration. Time steps can be automatically adjusted (increased or reduced) during a simulation run, depending on the convergence of the iteration process. The linear equations arising at each iteration step are solved with the MA28 package from the Harwell program library, which implements a sparse version of LU-decomposition and backsubstitution (Duff, 1977).^{*} The accuracy of MULKOM has been tested by comparison with many different analytical and numerical solutions, and with results from laboratory experiments (Pruess and Bodvarsson, 1984; Pruess and Narasimhan, 1985; Verma, 1986; Pruess, 1987; Pruess *et al.*, 1987; Lam *et al.*, 1988; Doughty and Pruess, 1990; Pruess, 1990b; Doughty and Pruess, 1991).

2.2 Program Architecture

MULKOM has a modular architecture (Fig. 1), which was built on the recognition

*Other solvers may be used.

- 6 -

that the mass- and energy-balance equations governing multiphase flow have the same mathematical structure, regardless of the number and nature of fluid components and phases present in a flow system. It is this modular architecture which gives MULKOM the flexibility to handle a wide variety of multicomponent multiphase flow systems. The nature and properties of specific fluid mixtures enter into the governing equations only through thermophysical parameters, such as fluid density, viscosity, enthalpy, etc. Different fluid mixtures can therefore be simulated with the same flow module, the thermophysical properties (or "PVT properties") of the specific fluid mixture of interest being provided by an appropriate "EOS" (equation-of-state) module.

Although the basic concepts used in the design of MULKOM are simple and straightforward, the code has never been easy to use because various research applications have led to a proliferation of specialized program modules and options. This situation led to the development and release of a specialized version of MULKOM for non-isothermal flow of water and air, named TOUGH (*). TOUGH is an acronym for "transport of unsaturated groundwater and heat," and is also an allusion to the tuff formations at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, which are presently being evaluated by the U.S. Department of Energy for their suitability as a host medium for a high-level nuclear waste repository.

The TOUGH User's Guide (Pruess, 1987) includes a technical description of the code and its architecture. It also provides complete documentation for preparing input files, and includes a set of sample problems which illustrate code applications. TOUGH can perform "conventional" (water only) geothermal reservoir simulations simply by setting air mass fraction equal to zero in the input file.

With TOUGH2 we are releasing a considerably more general subset of MULKOM-modules. TOUGH2 can interface with different EOS modules and thereby model different fluid mixtures that consist of a variable number of NK components distri-

-7-

^(*) The TOUGH code and associated documentation is available from the National Energy

Software Center, c/o Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S. Cass Ave., Argonne, Ill. 60439.

buted among NPH phases. It also contains facilities for mesh generation and internal processing of geometric data. Except for this added flexibility the code is subroutine-for-subroutine actually very similar to TOUGH. The TOUGH2 input structure is upwardly compatible with that of TOUGH itself (see below); users should refer to the TOUGH User's Guide for the applicable input formats. A cautionary remark is in order here: even though TOUGH input decks will run with TOUGH2, they will not necessarily produce the exact same results even with the water-air EOS because a number of default parameter settings are different.

stafe estimate a subscription of the state o

n 🛃 de la constante de la constante

The second second well a compared builder and

of the second of the second second

tene and global

andra. Artestation (artestation) (artestation)

- 8 -

3.	TOUGH2	Array St	tructure a	nd Handling	i na maganai	2011 - J. C. 4. 4.	a Bolthine 🦚 🖍	
ta ji sh	e black te bra			i in bhilter guirt	in of Bree	1992 - 1991 1992 - 1993	a sa	•

3.1 Primary Thermodynamic Variables and approximately and the second sec

-inev to Traditional optimal according

MULKOM has been used for modeling processes in which all (fluid and solid) phases are in local thermodynamic equilibrium, as well as for processes involving non-equilibrium conditions with kinetic rate expressions. The version released as TOUGH2 implements the assumption that locally all phases are in thermodynamic equilibrium. Let us now consider the number of primary thermodynamic variables that are needed to completely specify the thermodynamic state of a flow system consisting of NK components, which are distributed according to local thermodynamic equilibrium among NPH phases.

From Gibbs' phase rule it follows that the number of thermodynamic degrees of freedom in such a system is

$$dialogous consistent de deservants différences à social particular consistent transmission de financial de$$

(1)

(3)

In addition there are (NPH-1) saturation degrees of freedom, because the NPH phase saturations (or phase volume fractions) S_{β} are constrained by the relationship

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^{NPH} S_{\beta} = i \mathbf{1}$$
 where $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is $\mathbf{S}_{\beta} = i \mathbf{1}$ is the formula of the second (2)

The total number of primary thermodynamic variables (degrees of freedom) is therefore the votal number of primary thermodynamic variables (degrees of freedom) is therefore they still due to be vulbilized, while of Florger there all on the provide states of the other still

$$\begin{array}{l} NK1 = f + NPH - 1 \\ = NK + 1 \end{array}$$

which is equal to the total number of balance equations per grid block, namely, NK mass balance and one energy balance equation. The thermodynamic state of a discretized flow system consisting of NEL volume elements, or grid blocks, is then completely specified by a set of NEL*NK1 primary thermodynamic variables, to which correspond an equal number of mass and energy balance equations. For transient flow systems, these primary variables are time-dependent, and they represent the unknowns to be calculated in each time step.

An important consideration in the modeling of fluid and heat flow processes with phase change is the choice of the primary variables that define the thermodynamic state of the system. When a phase appears or disappears, the set of appropriate thermodynamic variables may change. In single-component flows involving water, for example, appropriate thermodynamic variables for describing single-phase conditions (subcooled liquid or superheated steam) are temperature T and pressure P. However, in two-phase conditions pressure and temperature are not independent, but are related by the vaporpressure relationship $P = P_{sat}(T)$. (When vapor pressure lowering effects are considered, the more complicated relationship Eq. A.9 applies.)

There are two alternative ways for dealing with this problem. One possibility is to use a set of "persistent" variables such as (pressure, enthalpy) or (density, internal energy), which remain independent even as phase conditions change, so that they can be used throughout the single- and two-phase regions. This approach has been successfully implemented in a number of multiphase codes (Pritchett, 1975; Faust and Mercer, 1975; Pruess *et al.*, 1979; Pruess and Schroeder, 1980). A drawback of this approach is that parametric relationships for thermophysical properties are usually formulated in terms of the "natural" variables pressure and temperature, so that their computation as functions of "persistent" variables becomes either more difficult (requiring solution of implicit equations) or entails some sacrifice in accuracy. The other possibility is to use the variables (pressure, temperature) only for single-phase conditions, and to "switch" to variables (pressure, saturation) when a transition to two-phase conditions occurs. Experience has proven this variable-switching approach to be a very robust method for treating multiphase systems, and it has been implemented in the MULKOM, TOUGH, and TOUGH2 codes.

The choice of primary variables and the switching procedures for phase transitions are different in different equation-of-state modules (see below).

3.2 Thermophysical Property Arrays

In TOUGH2 all of the NEL*NK1 primary variables are stored sequentially in a one-dimensional array X; first the NK1 variables for grid block # 1, then the NK1 variables for grid block # 2, and so on (see Fig. 2). The starting location for primary variables for grid block N is NLOC + 1, where NLOC = (N - 1)*NK1.

EI OW		Maneos an Ya	alanga a sh	
Module		Module		
	PAR			

VOLUME ELEMENT	PRIMARY VARIABLES	ng ng Al ng ng ng	VOLUME ELEMENT		SECONDAR	as phase	liquid phase
#1	X(1) : X(NK1)		#1	phase saturation relative permeability viscosity	S kr µ	PAR(1) PAR(2) PAR(3)	PAR(NBK+1)
#2	X(NK1+1) : X(2*NK1)	⇒	n	density specific enthalpy capillary pressure	ρ h P _c	PAR(4) PAR(5) PAR(6)	
#N	X(NLOC+1)	ji na tao tao		mass fractions component 1 component NK	X ¹ : X ^{NK}	PAR(NB+1) ; PAR(NB+NK)	PAR(2*NBK)
	X(NLOC+NK1)		an a	temperature (void)	Τ	PAR(NSEC-1) PAR(NSEC)	- · · ·
and the second				[second set of secondar	ry parameters	X(1) incremented] PAR(NSEC+1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
#NEL	: X(NEL*NK1)			•		: PAR(2*NSEC)	4
<u> </u>	L				가진별인한 35%~ 	: PAR((NEQ+1)*NSEC)	
			#2 #NEL		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

XBL 908-2884

Figure 2. Structure of thermophysical property arrays in MULKOM and TOUGH2.

There are two additional arrays DX and DELX with structure identical to X. While X holds the primary variables corresponding to the last successful (converged) time step,

DX holds the latest increments calculated during the Newton-Raphson iteration process. Thus the latest updated primary variables are the quantities X + DX. The array DELX holds small increments of the X themselves (typically of order $10^{-7} * X$), which are used to calculate incremented parameters needed for the numerical calculation of the derivatives in the Jacobian matrix $J = -\partial R_n / \partial x_i$ (see Fig. 3; x_i denotes the collection of all primary independent thermodynamic variables). At the conclusion of a converged time step, the primary variables X are updated, $X \rightarrow X + DX$.

st hot



Figure 3. Linear equation structure.

It was stated above that the number of mass- and energy-balance equations per grid block is the same as the number NK1 of primary thermodynamic variables. In many

- 12 -

applications, however, the heat effects may be so small that temperature changes would be insignificant, and it would be sufficient to consider just the mass balance equations. The simplest way of forcing temperature changes to zero would be to assign the solid matrix an overwhelmingly large heat capacity, so that finite rates of heat exchange will cause negligible temperature change. This approach is perfectly acceptable and useable with TOUGH2, but it has the disadvantage that the full number of balance equations must be solved, even though the energy balance reduces to the trivial statement $\partial T/\partial t = 0$. For certain EOS modules, TOUGH2 offers a more elegant way of running problems without temperature changes, at a considerable savings of computing time. By convention we always take the first NK equations per grid block to represent mass balances, while the energy balance equation comes last as # NK1. TOUGH2 uses a parameter NEQ, distinct from NK, to number the balance equations per grid block, and normally we would have NEQ = NK + 1. However, the user can choose to assign NEQ = NK in the INPUT file; then no energy equations are set up or solved and the number of coupled equations is reduced by one per grid block, or a total of NEL. For the smaller set of NK equations we only solve for NK primary variables; thus the option NEO = NK can only be used with those EOS modules that have temperature as primary variable # NK1 (see Table 1), sobre often Require in budder yashing to harrage script be group and

The EOS module calculates all thermophysical properties ("secondary parameters") needed to assemble the mass- and energy-balance equations for the latest updated primary variables X + DX. These parameters are then stored sequentially in a large array "PAR" (see Fig. 2). The number of secondary parameters other than component mass fractions is NB (usually NB = 6); in addition there are NK mass fractions so that the total number of secondary parameters per fluid phase is NBK = NB + NK. The PAR array structure is shown in Fig. 2 for the case of two fluid phases; however, the coding permits any number of phases, as specified by the parameter NPH. The NPH*NBK phasespecific parameters are followed by temperature T and a void (unused) array member, so that the total number of secondary parameters is NSEC = NPH*NBK + 2.

Note that the thermophysical properties are needed not only for calculating the residuals of the mass- and energy-balance equations (B.6), but also for calculating their derivatives in the Jacobian matrix (Eqs. B.7 and B.8). Thus, we require secondary parameters not only at the "state point" (latest X + DX), but also for the NEQ additional sets of primary variables in which one of the primary variables at a time is incremented by DELX. Therefore, the total number of secondary parameters per grid block is (NEQ + 1)*NSEC. Secondary parameters for grid block #N start after location #NLOC2 = (N - 1)*(NEQ + 1)*NSEC of the PAR array.

endere i de realité l'end aux contractions de la contraction de la contraction de la contraction de la contract

3.3 Linear Equation Setup states and set of the set of the states and the set of the set

The data provided by the PAR-array are used in the flow module of TOUGH2 to assemble the linear equations (B.8) that are solved at each step of the Newton-Raphson iteration procedure. These equations are arranged and numbered sequentially, as shown in Fig. 3, with the first NK equations per grid block representing component mass balances, while the last equation (# NK1) represents the energy balance. The row indices of the Jacobian matrix correspond to the component balance equations, while the column indices correspond to the sequence of primary variables in array X. If the option NEQ = NK is chosen, only NK mass balance equations will be set up per grid block. In this case only the first NK primary variables per grid block will contribute matrix columns, while variable # NK1, which must be temperature, remains passive and is not engaged or altered in the linear equation handling. However, all thermophysical parameters will be calculated at the temperature values specified in variable #NK1.

Note that the accumulation terms of the balance equations depend only on primary variables for one grid block, so that they will generate non-zero derivative terms only in an NEQ*NEQ submatrix that is located on the diagonal of the Jacobian J. The flow terms, being dependent on primary variables of two grid blocks, will generate two non-

- 14 -

zero NEQ*NEQ submatrices of derivatives, which are located in the off-diagonal matrix locations corresponding to the two grid blocks.

In TOUGH2 all Jacobian matrix elements as well as the entries in the vector R of residuals are calculated in subroutine "MULTI." The calculation first assigns all matrix elements arising from the accumulation terms, of which there are NEQ*NEQ. These are stored sequentially in a one-dimensional array CO; matrix elements for grid block N begin after location (N-1)*NEQ*NEQ in CO. The corresponding row and column indices are stored separately in arrays IRN and ICN, respectively. Calculation of the derivatives demands that each accumulation term is calculated NEQ + 1 times; once for the state point (X + DX), and NEQ times for each of the NEQ primary variables incremented (X, + DX + DELX). Additional contributions to diagonal terms in the Jacobian J may arise from sink and source terms if present; these are assigned in subroutine QU called from MULTI. Subsequently all flux terms are evaluated. These depend in general on the 2*NEQ primary variables of the two connected grid blocks, so that a total of 2*NEQ + 1 flux terms need to be evaluated for calculation of the state point as well as of all derivative terms.

After all matrix elements and members of the right-hand side vector of residuals have been assembled, the subroutine package MA28 (Duff, 1977) is called to solve the linear equations (B.8). The resulting increments in the primary variables are added to the array DX, and the process of linear equation setup and solution is repeated for the primary variables X + DX. This process continues until the residuals are reduced below a preset convergence tolerance. If convergence is not achieved within a specified maximum number of iterations (usually 8) the time step is repeated with reduced time increment.

3.4 Dimensioning of Major Arrays

The major problem-size dependent arrays reside in COMMON blocks, which are

dimensioned by means of a PARAMETER statement in the main (TOUGH2) program. An informational statement on permissible problem size (number of grid blocks, etc.) is provided in the printed output of a TOUGH2 run. When problem specifications exceed array dimensions the execution stops with a diagnostic printout. The user must then increase PARAMETER assignments accordingly, recompile the main program and relink. A list of major arrays used in TOUGH2 and their dimensions is given in Table 2 below.

a Ngalan ka perina ang kana ang kana ang ang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang ka

Reference to	Common blocks	Length
Elements	E1 – E6 VINWES, AHTRAN	NEL (= number of elements)
Primary variables	P1 – P7	NEL*NK1 [†]
Connections (interfaces)	C1 – C11 COMPO, PORVEL	NCON (= number of connections) NCON*NPH
Linear equations	L1 L2, L3 L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	<pre>> NZ = NEL*NEQ**2 + 2*NCON*NEQ**2 > (2-4) *NZ NEL*NEQ NEL*5*NEQ NEL*5*NEQ NZ NEL*5*NEQ</pre>
Secondary parameters	SECPAR	NEL*(NEQ + 1) *NSEC

energia a contro de la gran de la contro de la contra de la

the second s

化化化化化化化化化化化化物物 化化物物物 化化物物物

一般的 化二磷酸盐 医白

Table 2. Summary of Major Common Blocks and the second states of the sec

[†]NK1 \equiv NK + 1

estate site and appoint of a provide sensitive sensities when a sensities and the test of a sensities and the te

4. Equation-of-State Modules asign of CHOMPS with the Big module of the state of the state of the state of the

The thermophysical properties of fluid mixtures needed in assembling the governing mass- and energy-balance equations are provided by "equation-of-state" (EOS) modules. There is nothing in the MULKOM formulation to restrict the number of fluid components and phases that may be present. The flow module of TOUGH2 is coded in general fashion for calculating mass balances of an arbitrary number of NK components that are distributed among NPH phases. The EOS-modules included in the present release of TOUGH2, however, are all limited to flow systems with at most two components and two phases. More complex modules for three or more components and phases are in use at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, and source codes and documentation for these are expected to become available in the future.

Besides providing values for all secondary (thermophysical) parameters as functions of the primary variables, the EOS module must fulfill three additional important functions:

(i) the phase conditions pertaining to a given set of primary variables must be recognized (element-for-element),

and the state of the second second

- (ii) the appearance or disappearance of phases must be diagnosed as primary variables change during the Newton-Raphson iteration process, and
- (iii) primary variables must be switched in response to a change of phase.

The primary variables/secondary parameters concept as implemented in MULKOM and TOUGH2 essentially eliminates any direct connection between the choice of primary variables, and the secondary parameters that are used to set up the flow equations. This provides maximum flexibility and convenience in the choice of primary variables, because only secondary parameters are used in the flow equations. There is one single exception to this separation, namely, pressure (of a reference phase) is by convention always the first primary variable, and it is used directly in the flow equations. The choice of all other primary variables is completely free. Note that of the several EOS modules described below, only one at a time should be linked with the other TOUGH2 modules.

4.1 EOS1 (Water, Water with Tracer)

This is the first, most basic EOS-module developed for MULKOM. It provides a description of pure water in its liquid, vapor, and two-phase states suitable for geothermal reservoir studies, and has a capability of representing "two waters" of identical physical properties, which contain different trace constituents. The default parameter settings for a single water component are (NK, NEQ, NPH, NB) = (1, 2, 2, 6). The option NEQ = 1 is available for running problems that involve only liquid water, or only superheated steam, under constant temperature conditions. The primary variables are (P, T) for single-phase points, (P_g, S_g) for two-phase points. For the convenience of the user it is possible to initialize two-phase points as (T, S_g); a numerical value of the first primary variable less than 374.15 will automatically be taken to mean temperature (in °C) instead of gas pressure, and will cause variables to be internally converted from (T, S_g) to (P_{sat}(T), S_g) prior to execution.

The two-waters capability can be invoked by specifying (NK, NEQ, NPH, NB) = (2, 3, 2, 6) in data block "MULTI" (see below). With this option, two water mass balances will be set up, allowing separate tracking of the two components. For example, one could specify the water initially present in a flow system as "water 1," while water being injected is specified as "water 2." The primary variables in this case are (P, T, X) for single-phase points, and (P_g, S_g, X) for two-phase points, where X is the mass fraction of "water 2" present. All thermophysical properties (density, specific enthalpy, viscosity) are assumed independent of the component mixture; i.e., independent of the mass fraction X. This approximation is applicable for problems in which the identity of different waters is distinguished by the presence of different trace constituents, which

occur in concentrations low enough to not appreciably affect the thermophysical propereties. An Usailential electron erectors of the tradestock and even ended to rectain a distance of the second s

All water properties (density, specific enthalpy, viscosity, saturated vapor pressure) are calculated from the steam table equations as given by the International Formulation Committee (1967). The formulation includes subregion 1 (subcooled water below $T = 350^{\circ}$ C), subregion 2 (superheated steam), and subregion 6 (saturation line up to $T = 350^{\circ}$ C). Within these regions, density and internal energy are represented within experimental accuracy. Viscosity of liquid water and steam are represented to within 2.5% by correlations given in the same reference. For details of the formulation, its accuracy and range of validity, refer to the original publication.

The phase diagnostic procedures are as follows. When initializing a problem, each grid block has two primary variables, (X1, X2). Whether X2 means gas saturation (two-phase) or temperature (single phase) is decided from the numerical value: For X2 > 1.5, X2 is taken to be temperature in °C, otherwise it is gas saturation. (Although physically saturation is restricted to the range 0 < S < 1, it is necessary to allow saturations to exceed 1 during the Newton-Raphson iteration). If X2 is temperature, we have single phase conditions; specifically, for P (= X1) > P_{sat}(T) we have single phase liquid, otherwise we have single phase steam. Subsequent to initialization, the phase condition is identified simply based on the value for S_g, as stored in the array PAR. S_g = 0: single phase liquid, S_g = 1: single phase vapor, $0 < S_g < 1$: two-phase.

Phase change is recognized as follows. For single phase points the temperature (second primary variable) is monitored, and the corresponding saturation pressure is compared with P. For a vapor (liquid) point to remain vapor (liquid), we require that $P < P_{sat}$ ($P > P_{sat}$); if this requirement is violated, a transition to two-phase conditions takes place. The primary variables are then "switched" to (P_g , S_g), and these are initialized as $P_g = P_{sat}(T)$, $S_g = 0.999999$ if the point was in the vapor region, and $S_g = 0.000001$ if it was in the liquid region. For two-phase points S_g is monitored; we require that $0 < S_g < 1$

for a point to remain two-phase. If $S_g < 0$ this indicates disappearance of the gas phase; the primary variables are then switched to (P, T), and the point is initialized as single phase liquid, with T taken from the last Newton/Raphson iteration, and P = 1.000001 * $P_{sat}(T)$. For $S_g > 1$ the liquid phase disappears; again the primary variables are switched to (P, T), and the point is initialized as single phase vapor, with T taken from the last Newton/Raphson iteration, and $P = 0.999999 * P_{sat}$ (T). Note that in these transitions we preserve temperature rather than pressure from the last iteration. This is preferable because in most flow problems temperature tends to be more slowly varying than pressure. A summary of EOS1 specifications and parameters is given in Table 3 below.

Table 3. Summary of EOS1

relation three shows a show the two second

a dia mampina dia mandritra dia mandritra dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina Ny INSEE dia mampina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kao
one water component, non-isother- mal (default)
only liquid, or only vapor, isothermal wo waters, non-isothermal

ure, temperature, [mass fraction of water 2]) two-phase conditions

 $(\hat{P}_{g}, S_{g}, [X]) - (gas phase pressure, gas saturation, [mass fraction of water 2][†])$

and the stand of the second second

化化出版 化学校 化磷酸盐 网络人名德国马德 网络白垩

two waters cannot be run in isothermal mode, because in this case temperature is not the last primary variable [†]optional, for NK = 2 only set to be a set of a set of a set of the set of

4.2 EOS2 (Water, CO₂)

This fluid property module was developed by O'Sullivan et al. (1985) for describing fluids in gas-rich geothermal reservoirs, which often contain CO₂ mass fractions from a few percent to occasionally 80% or more (Atkinson et al., 1980). It accounts for nonideal behavior of gaseous CO_2 , and dissolution of CO_2 in the aqueous phase according to Henry's law with heat-of-solution effects. The thermophysical property correlations are based on the model of Sutton and McNabb (1977); a formulation due to Pritchett *et al.* (1981) is used for the viscosity of vapor- CO_2 mixtures.

Specifications and parameters of EOS2 are summarized in Table 4. A more detailed description and applications to geothermal reservoir problems are given in the paper by O'Sullivan *et al.* (1985).

local of

Table	4.	Sumr	nary of	EOS2
-------	----	------	---------	------

Components Net of Argentistic the Batter, the study	# 1: water # 2: CO ₂
Parameter Choices	greek a angeo fa kanna si si si si si
(NK, NEQ, NPH, NB) =	(2, 3, 2, 6) no other options are available
Primary Variables	
single phase conditions $(P, T, PCO_2) - (pressure, tempe)$	rature, CO ₂ partial pressure)
two-phase conditions (P_g , S_g , PCO_2) – (gas pressure,	gas saturation, CO ₂ partial pressure)

to be a second best of an an a part of the star particle beauty of the second second second second second second

4.3 EOS3 (Water, Air) hie wall det de seleter et staater offe be an set seleter offe the

This module is an adaptation of the EOS module of TOUGH for the TOUGH2 program, and implements the same thermophysical properties model (see Pruess, 1987). Thus, all water properties are represented by the steam table equations as given by the International Formulation Committee (1967). Air is approximated as an ideal gas, and additivity is assumed for air and vapor partial pressures in the gas phase, $P_g = P_a + P_v$. The viscosity of air-vapor mixtures is computed from a formulation given by Hirschfelder *et al.* (1954). The solubility of air in liquid water is represented by Henry's law; i.e., dissolved air mole fraction $x_1^{(air)}$ is proportional to air partial pressure in the gas phase.

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{H}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{l}^{(\mathrm{air})} \tag{4}$$

and the second second

Here $K_{\rm H}$ is Henry's constant, which is a slowly varying function of temperature, varying from 6.7×10^9 Pa at 20°C to 1.0×10^{10} Pa at 60°C and 1.1×10^{10} Pa at 100°C (Loomis, 1928). Because air solubility is small, this kind of variation is not expected to cause significant effects, and a constant $K_{\rm H} = 1.0 \times 10^{10}$ Pa was adopted.

EOS3 differs from the EOS module of TOUGH in one important respect, namely, the choice of primary thermodynamic variables. In TOUGH we have (P,T,X) for single phase conditions, (P_g, S_g, T) for two-phase conditions. The choice made in EOS3 is (P,X,T) for single phase, $(P_g, 10.+S_g, T)$ for two-phase. The rationale for the seemingly "bizarre" choice of $10.+S_g$ as a primary variable is as follows. As an option, we wish to be able to run isothermal two-phase flow problems with the specification NEQ = NK, so that the then superfluous heat balance equation needs not be engaged. This requires that temperature T be the third primary variable. The logical choice of primary variables would then appear to be (P,X,T) for single phase and (P_g,S_g,T) for two-phase conditions. However, both X and S_g vary over the range (0,1), so that this would not allow a distinction of single phase from two-phase conditions solely from the numerical range of primary variables. By taking the second primary variable for two-phase conditions to be X2 = $10.+S_g$, the range of that variable is shifted to the interval (10,11), and a distinction between single and two-phase conditions can be easily made. As a convenience to TOUGH users, primary variables can optionally be initialized identical to TOUGH specifications by setting MOP(19) = 1. A summary of EOS3 specifications is given in Table 5.

the state of the state

RETAN.	Components #1: water
noie.	<u>anda teri breha da sea meirane edi a a sir #2; airae bai di watan Bauga a di a a</u>
્તે દેલ	Parameter Choices
	(NK, NEQ, NPH, NB) = (2,3,2,6) water and air, noniso- thermal (default) (2,2,2,6) water and air, isothermal
ารั้งมัน (กร.)	Primary Variables [*] State 2000 2000 A State (Mathematication and a state were a state to a state of single phase conditions

*By setting MOP(19) = 1, initialization can be made with TOUGH-style variables (P,T,X) for single phase, (P_g, S_g, T) for two-phase.

of a carrier second that all the distance in a balance in the

4.4 EOS4 (Water, Air, with Vapor Pressure Lowering Capability)

The specification of thermophysical properties in this EOS differs from EOS3 in that provision is made for vapor pressure lowering effects. Vapor pressure is expressed by Kelvin's equation (Eq. A.9); it is a function not only of temperature, but depends also on capillary pressure, which in turn is a function of saturation. The primary variables are (P,T,P_a) for single phase conditions and (P_g,S_g,P_a) for two-phase conditions. Note that in two-phase conditions temperature is not among the primary variables. It is implicitly determined from the relationship $P_g - P_a = P_v$, with $P_v = P_v(T,S_l)$ as given in Eq. A.9.

It would be possible to use other sets of primary variables, in particular, temperature could be used also in two-phase conditions. Our test calculations for a number of examples indicated, however, that the choice (P_g, S_g, P_a) usually led to better convergence behavior than the choice (P_g, S_g, T) . The reason for the numerically inferior behavior of the latter set is in the air mass balance. With the variables (P_g, S_g, T) , the amount of air present in a grid block becomes controlled by the difference between total gas pressure
P_g and effective vapor pressure $P_v = P_{sat}(T) \cdot f_{VPL}(T,S_l)$, which can be subject to very severe numerical cancellation. From the applications viewpoint, however, initialization of a flow problem with the set (P_g, S_g, T) may be much more physical and convenient. EOS4 allows to initialize two-phase points as (P_g, S_g, T) ; this capability can be selected by specifying MOP(19) = 1 in the INPUT file. The default option for MOP(19) = 0 is (P_g, S_g, P_a) .

As a further convenience to users, the choice MOP(19) = 2 allows EOS4 to be initialized with EOS3 variables of (P, X, T) for single phase, (P_g, S_g + 10, T) for two-phase. This way continuation runs with EOS4 can be made from EOS3-generated conditions.

Note that, when using MOP(19) $\neq 0$ options, data block or file INCON *must* terminate on a blank record (' '). If '+++' is encountered in INCON, it is assumed that primary variables are provided in agreement with internal usage; MOP(19) is then reset to zero and an informative message is printed.

Vapor pressure lowering effects raise new issues because it is now possible for a liquid phase to be present under conditions where vapor partial pressure and gas phase total pressure are less than the saturation pressure. What is the appropriate pressure at which liquid phase density, enthalpy and viscosity are to be evaluated? We believe that a physically plausible choice is to take $P_I = \max(P_g, P_{sat})$, and this has been implemented in EOS4. The implementation faces a difficulty, however, because temperature is not among the primary variables in two-phase conditions, so that P_{sat} is only implicitly known; moreover, vapor pressure lowering effects are functionally dependent on liquid phase density, which is also a function of temperature. This leads to a potentially unstable situation with regard to the choice of liquid phase pressure under conditions where $P_g \approx P_{sat}$, which happens to be a common occurrence in boiling regions. In order to avoid this problem we evaluate liquid water density in the Kelvin equation for vapor pressure lowering (Eq. A.9) always at $P_I = P_{sat}$, which will be an excellent approximation due to the small compressibility of liquid water. In all accumulation and flow terms, the

density of liquid water is evaluated at $P_i = max(P_g, P_{sat})$. Vapor pressure lowering can be optionally suppressed by setting MOP(20) = 1. A summary of EOS4 specifications is given in Table 6. at entire of leadern and ear amonthed its will define a terr being

1 Cox estanda

Components	# 1: water # 2: air
Parameter Choices	
(NK, NEQ, NPH, NB) =	(2, 3, 2, 6) water and air, no isothermal
an any sine of a second s	130thornat
fraitean that is a	(no other choices available)
MOP (20) = 1: optionally	(no other choices available) v suppress vapor pressure lowering effect
MOP (20) = 1: optionally Primary Variables ^{*†}	y suppress vapor pressure lowering effect
MOP (20) = 1: optionally <u>Primary Variables</u> ^{*†}	y suppress vapor pressure lowering effect
MOP (20) = 1: optionally <u>Primary Variables</u> ^{*†} single phase conditions (P. T. P.) – (pressure)	(no other choices available) y suppress vapor pressure lowering effect s e. temperature, air partial pressure)
MOP (20) = 1: optionally <u>Primary Variables</u> ^{*†} single phase conditions (P, T, P _a) – (pressure two-phase conditions	(no other choices available) y suppress vapor pressure lowering effect s e, temperature, air partial pressure)

*By setting MOP (19) = 1, initialization of two-phase conditions can be made with (P_g , S_g , T). *By setting MOP(19) = 2, initialization can be made with EOS3-style variables of (P,X,T) for single phase, (P_g , S_g + 10, T) for two-phase.

in drive minodom O'Wendf 83.4178 = 9 militaroo ang kulo o ing WHOLE according

4.5 EOS5 (Water, Hydrogen)

1.19638

pailer no. A contribution of coursely function to generate? In a number of waste disposal projects, corrosive metals are to be emplaced in geologic formations beneath the water table. These will evolve a mixture of gases, with hydrogen being the chief constituent. The EOS5 fluid property module was developed to study the behavior of groundwater systems in which hydrogen release is taking place. It is a close "cousin" of EOS3, the main difference being that the air component is replaced by hydrogen, with considerably different thermophysical properties (see Table 7). The assignment and handling of primary thermodynamic variables in EOS5 is identical to EOS3 (see Table 5). The main differences in the assignment of secondary parameters are as follows. Density of gaseous hydrogen is computed from the ideal gas law. Viscosity and water solubility of hydrogen are interpolated from the data given in Table 7. For temperatures in excess of 25°C, the solubility at 25°C is used. The parameter specifications of EOS5 are identical to those of EOS3 as given in Table 5, with "air" replaced by "hydrogen."

	sity at P = 1 bar	Experimental	Ideal Gas Law [†]
	T = 280 K	.086546 kg/m ³	.08660 kg/m ³
	T = 300 K	.080776 kg/m ³	$.08082 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Visc	osity [*]	н. 1997 - Пред Станција 1997 - Пред Станција	• Comparison of the second se second second sec
		$\mathbf{T} = 0^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$	$\mathbf{T} = 100^{\circ}\mathbf{C}$
	P = 1 bar	8.40×10^{-6} Pa·s	10.33×10^{-6} Pa·s
5	P = 100 bar	8.57×10^{-6} Pa·s	10.44×10^{-6} Pa·s
Solu	bility in water at	$P = 1 bar^{\$}$	
	$T = 0^{\circ}C$	1.92×10^{-6} g H ₂ /g H	,0
	$T = 25^{\circ}C$	1.54×10^{-6} g H ₂ /g H	,0
fror [†] uni	n Vargaftik (197: versal gas constar	5), p. 39. nt R = 8314.56 J/mol/°C	C; molecular weight of
fror [†] univ hyc	n Vargaftik (1975 versal gas constar lrogen 2.0160.	5), p. 39. nt R = 8314.56 J/mol/°C	C; molecular weight of
fror [†] univ hyc [§] afte	n Vargaftik (1975 versal gas constar lrogen 2.0160. r Dean (1985).	5), p. 39. nt R = 8314.56 J/mol/°C	C; molecular weight of
fron [†] univ hyc [§] afte Sol	n Vargaftik (197 versal gas constar lrogen 2.0160. r Dean (1985). ubility at differen	5), p. 39. nt R = 8314.56 J/mol/°C nt pressures is computed	C; molecular weight of
fror [†] univ hyc [§] afte Sol	n Vargaftik (1975 versal gas constan lrogen 2.0160. r Dean (1985). ubility at differen	5), p. 39. nt R = 8314.56 J/mol/°C nt pressures is computed	C; molecular weight of
fror [†] uni hyd [§] afte Sol	n Vargaftik (197 versal gas constan lrogen 2.0160. r Dean (1985). ubility at differen	5), p. 39. nt R = 8314.56 J/mol/°C nt pressures is computed	C; molecular weight of
fror [†] univ hyd [§] afte Sol	n Vargaftik (197 versal gas constan lrogen 2.0160. r Dean (1985). ubility at differen	5), p. 39. Int R = 8314.56 J/mol/°C Int pressures is computed	C; molecular weight of
fror [†] univ hyc [§] afte Sol	n Vargaftik (1973 versal gas constan lrogen 2.0160. ar Dean (1985). ubility at differen	5), p. 39. Int $R = 8314.56 \text{ J/mol/°C}$ Int pressures is computed int pressure $R = 8314.56 \text{ J/mol/°C}$	C; molecular weight of C; molecular weight of I from Henry's law. I solution to the first state of the solution of the solu
fror [†] univ hyc [§] afte Sol	n Vargaftik (1973 versal gas constan lrogen 2.0160. r Dean (1985). ubility at differen	5), p. 39. Int $R = 8314.56 \text{ J/mol/°C}$ Int pressures is computed int	C; molecular weight of
fror [†] uni hyc [§] afte Sol	n Vargaftik (197 versal gas constan lrogen 2.0160. r Dean (1985). ubility at differen	5), p. 39. nt R = 8314.56 J/mol/°C nt pressures is computed	C; molecular weight of

30

i e r a

Table 7. Thermophysical Properties of Hydrogen

nder nie operatent hasse soarzentet Bille an einze og schiptet UMP hilling af een slope adaa ooraada, eesto caadiia waxii waxaa ay 1995 milii kuudaya aayaa ay kuuaa ay kuu<mark>ad</mark>ii (1997) mil<mark>he</mark> waxii waxaa tii maxaa ay 1993 waxii ahe suado yaraang ay xaraang ah<mark>araangaa</mark> 5. User Features instructive cen

Much of the data handling in TOUGH2 is accomplished by means of a number of disk files, which are written in a format of 80 characters per record, so that code users can edit and modify them with any normal text editor. Table 8 summarizes the disk files other than (default) INPUT and OUTPUT used in TOUGH2. Most of these are also used in TOUGH, and files with the same names in both codes have identical formats. The use and function of these files is described in the following sections as well as in the sample problems; further information is available in the TOUGH User's Guide.

Table 8. TOUGH2 Disk Files

belienen (seinen dienennale ben sinellingelen einer annande prosterier einer alspara in e

File	$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{U}}$, which is the set of the set
MESH	written in subroutine INPUT from ELEME and CONNE data, or in module MESHMAKER from mesh specification data read in RFILE to initialize all geometry data arrays used to define the discretized flow problem
GENER	written in subroutine INPUT from GENER data read in RFILE to define nature, strength, and time-dependence of sinks and sources
INCON	written in subroutine INPUT from INCON data read in RFILE to provide a complete specification of thermodynamic con- ditions
SAVE	written in subroutine WRIFI to record thermodynamic conditions at the end of a TOUGH2 simulation run compatible with formats of file or data block INCON for initializing a con- tinuation run
MINC	written in module MESHMAKER with MESH-compatible specifications, to provide all geometry data for a fractured-porous medium mesh (double porosity, dual permeability, etc.) read (optionally) in subroutine RFILE to initialize geometry data for a fractured-porous system
LINEQ	written in linear equation solver "MA28", to provide informative mes- sages on linear equation solution
TABLE	(optional; available only with certain EOS modules) written in subroutine QLOSS to record data on heat exchange with impermeable confining layers, or heat and fluid exchange with embedded matrix blocks in a fractured-porous medium

read in QLOSS in a continuation run

VERS written in all TOUGH2 program units with informational message on version number, date, and function read in main program "TOUGH2" and printed to default OUTPUT at the conclusion of a TOUGH2 simulation run; printing of version information is suppressed when keyword 'NOVER' is present in INPUT file

de namen de factorem canado (1912 de la contante da parte de la contente de la contente de la contente de la c

and the second second second second second second

5.1 Specification of Flow Geometry

lo chan

Handling of flow geometry data in TOUGH2 is upward compatible with TOUGH input formats and data handling. As in other "integral finite difference" codes (Edwards, 1972; Narasimhan and Witherspoon, 1976), flow geometry is defined by means of a list of volume elements ("grid blocks"), and a list of flow connections between them. This formulation can cope with regular and irregular flow geometries in one, two, and three dimensions. Single- and multiple-porosity systems (porous and fractured media) can be specified, and higher order methods, such as seven- and nine-point differencing, can be implemented by means of appropriate specification of geometric data (Pruess and Bodvarsson, 1983).

Volume elements in TOUGH2 are identified by five-character names, such as "ELE10." Flow connections are specified as ordered pairs of elements, such as "(ELE10,ELE11)." A variety of options and facilities are available for entering and processing the corresponding geometric data (see Fig. 4). As in TOUGH, element volumes and domain identification can be provided by means of a data block "ELEME" in the INPUT file, while a data block "CONNE" can be used to supply connection data, including interface area, nodal distances from the interface, and orientation of the nodal line relative to the vertical. These data are internally written to a disk file MESH, which in turn initializes the geometry data arrays used during the flow simulation. The data formats on file MESH are identical with the format specifications for data blocks ELEME and CONNE.

TOUGH2 offers additional avenues for defining flow system geometry. By means of the keyword 'MESHMAKER' in the INPUT file, a special program module can be



Figure 4. User options for supplying geometry data.

invoked to perform a number of mesh generation and processing operations. The MESH-MAKER module itself has a modular structure; present sub-modules include "RZ2D" for generating two-dimensional radially symmetric (R-Z) meshes, and "XYZ" for one-, two-, and three-dimensional rectilinear (Cartesian) grids. Multiple-porosity processing for simulation of flow in naturally fractured reservoirs can be invoked by means of a keyword 'MINC,' which stands for "multiple interacting continua" (Pruess and Narasimhan, 1982, 1985; Pruess, 1983a; see Appendix C). The "MINC"-process operates on the data of the "primary" (porous medium) mesh as provided on disk file "MESH," and generates a "secondary" mesh containing fracture and matrix elements with identical data formats on file "MINC." (The file MESH used in this process can be either directly supplied by the user, or it can have been internally generated either from data in INPUT blocks ELEME and CONNE, or from RZ2D or XYZ mesh-making; see Fig. 4.) As a convenience for users desiring graphical display of data, the internal mesh generation process will also write nodal point coordinates on file MESH. These data are written in 3E10.4 format into columns 51-80 of each grid block entry in data block ELEME. At the present time, no internal use whatsoever is made of nodal point coordinates in TOUGH2.

In TOUGH2 elements are referenced by names consisting of a string of five characters, '12345.' These are arbitrary, except that the last two characters (#4 and 5) must be numbers. Specific naming conventions have been adopted in the internal mesh generation process. For RZ2D, the last two characters directly number the radial grid blocks, from 1 through 99. Character #3 is blank for the first 99 radial blocks, and then runs through the sequence 1, 2, ..., 9, A, B, ..., Z for a maximum total of 3599 radial blocks. The second character counts up to 35 grid layers as 1, 2, ..., 9, A, B, ..., Z. The first character is 'A' for the first 35 layers, and is incremented to B, C, ..., Z, 1, 2, ..., 9 for subsequent groups of 35 layers.

For rectilinear meshes generated by XYZ, characters 4 and 5 together number the

grid blocks in X-direction, while character #3 = 1, 2, ..., 9, A, B, ..., Z numbers Ydirection grid blocks, and character #2, running through the same sequence as #3, numbers grid blocks in Z direction. "Overflows" in any of these (more than 99 X-blocks, more than 35 Y- or Z-blocks) advance character #1 through the sequence A, B, C, ..., Z. Both RZ2D and XYZ assign all grid blocks to domain #1 (first entry in block "ROCKS"); a user desiring changes in domain assignments must do so "by hand," either through editing of the MESH file, or by appropriate source code changes in subroutines WRZ2D and GXYZ.

2

TOUGH2 runs that involve RZ2D or XYZ mesh generation will produce a special printout, showing element names arranged in their actual geometric pattern. An example is given in Fig. 18.

The naming conventions for the MINC process are somewhat different from those originally adopted in the GMINC program (Pruess, 1983a), and are as follows. For a primary grid block with name '12345,' the corresponding fracture subelement in the secondary mesh is named '2345' (character #1 replaced with a blank for easy recognition). The successive matrix continua are labeled by running character #1 through 2, ..., 9, A, B, ..., Z. The domain assignment is incremented by 1 for the fracture grid blocks, and by 2 for the matrix grid blocks. Thus, domain assignments in data block ''ROCKS'' should be provided in the following order: the first entry is the single (effective) porous medium (POMED), then follows the effective fracture continuum (FRACT), and then the rock matrix (MATRX). An example is given in sample problem 4.

Users should beware that the MINC process may lead to ambiguous element names when the "inactive" element device (see below) is used to keep a portion of the primary mesh as unprocessed porous medium.

Mesh generation and/or MINC processing can be performed as part of a simulation run. Alternatively, by closing the INPUT file with the keyword 'ENDFI' (instead of 'ENDCY'), it is possible to skip the flow simulation and only execute the MESHMAKER

- 31 -

module to generate a MESH or MINC file. These files can then be used, with additional user-modifications "by hand" if desired, in a subsequent flow simulation. MESH-MAKER input formats are described in Section 6, and examples of practical applications are given in the sample problems. Execution of MESHMAKER produces printed output which is self-explanatory.

全に始める 心当ら やっとうがく ビルト しょうとう 清潔さ

en alteration de la presentation de

5.2 Initial Conditions and Restarting

As in the TOUGH code, initial thermodynamic conditions for the volume elements in the flow domain can be assigned to identical default values for all elements, or they can be prescribed for each element individually by means of a data block "INCON." A file "INCON," written to the same specifications as data block "INCON," can also be used for initialization.

A simulation problem can be conveniently run in several segments. At the end of a simulation run TOUGH2 writes the primary thermodynamic variables of all elements on a disk file "SAVE" with format specifications identical to "INCON." For a subsequent continuation run, file "SAVE" can be merged into the INPUT file as data block "INCON," or it can be renamed as file "INCON." In the latter case no data block INCON can be present in the INPUT file, as this would cause the INCON file to be overwritten.

TOUGH2 offers the additional facility of assigning initial conditions uniformly throughout selected zones of the simulation grid. This is invoked by means of a data block "INDOM," which provides information on the thermodynamic conditions in user-defined domains. The format specifications for block "INDOM" are similar to those used in "INCON" (see Section 6). Thermodynamic conditions given in block "INDOM" take precedence over default assignments for the entire flow domain; specifications for individual grid blocks in "INCON" supercede all other assignments.

The "normal" way of defining initial conditions is by directly providing the primary thermodynamic variables. Note that these variables are generally different for different EOS modules. The thermodynamic state variables that are used internally in TOUGH2 as primary dependent variables may not always be the most convenient variables for a user to initialize a flow problem. The parameter MOP(19) offers a variety of choices, which permit initialization with variables different from the internally used primary variables. These choices are different for different EOS modules, and are documented in the one-page informational printout produced by each EOS.

At the end of a simulation run, file "SAVE" will always be written with the internal primary variables of the EOS module used. When modifying an INPUT file for a continuation run, MOP(19) might therefore have to be changed to its default value MOP(19) = 0 for proper initialization. To minimize the possibility of user error, an automatic "switch" has been implemented in TOUGH2, as follows. The file "SAVE" as internally written by TOUGH2 terminates on a record with '+++' in the first three columns, followed by one record with restart information. When the data block "INCON" or file "INCON" terminates on '+++' rather than on a blank line, it is assumed that this "INCON" was internally generated in a previous TOUGH2 run and that, therefore, it is written with the internally used set of primary variables. Accordingly, when '+++' is encountered in INCON the switch MOP(19) is reset to zero, and an informative message to this effect is printed.

5.3 Boundary Conditions and "Inactive" Elements

Boundary conditions can be of two basic types. Dirichlet conditions prescribe thermodynamic conditions, such as pressure, temperature, etc. on the boundary, while Neumann conditions prescribe fluxes of mass or heat crossing boundary surfaces. A special case of Neumann boundary conditions is "no flux," which in the integral finite difference framework is handled with simplicity itself, namely, by not specifying any

nie rekaranse hener i sen ein en en in die handige het die gehener in service i war der die eine eine

flow connections across the boundary. More general flux conditions are prescribed, as in TOUGH, by introducing sinks or sources of appropriate strengths into the elements adjacent to the boundary.

TOUGH did not offer any special means of prescribing Dirichlet boundary conditions. Such conditions were implemented simply by assigning very large volumes, 10^{50} m³, say, to certain grid blocks, so that their thermodynamic conditions would remain practically unaltered during a simulation run. TOUGH2 offers an alternative means for implementing Dirichlet conditions, which provides savings in computational work along with added user conveniences in running simulation problems. This is accomplished with the simple device of "active" and "inactive" elements.

By convention, elements encountered in data block "ELEME" (or files "MESH" or "MINC") are taken to be "active" until the first element entry with a zero or negative volume is encountered. The first element with volume less than or equal to zero, and all subsequent elements, are taken to be "inactive." For the inactive elements no mass or energy balance equations are set up, and their primary thermodynamic variables are not included in the list of unknowns. Otherwise, however, inactive elements can appear in flow connections and initial condition specifications like all other elements. This feature can be conveniently used to specify Dirichlet boundary conditions, by gathering all elements beyond the desired flow domain boundary at the end of the ELEME-block, and inserting a "dummy" volume element of zero volume in front of them. Thermodynamic conditions for the inactive elements will be rigorously maintained during a simulation run. Their computational overhead is moderate because they do not increase the dimensionality of the flow problem (number of equations and unknowns; see Fig. 3).

The inactive-element concept can be conveniently used for simulating flow problems that evolve through different process segments. For example, in many reservoir simulation problems it is desired to first calculate a "natural" steady state, corresponding to gravitational (or gravity-capillary) equilibrium, or to steady mass and heat flows produced by certain pressure and temperature conditions at the flow system boundaries. Subsequently one wishes to simulate the transient changes in response to man-made perturbations or to naturally occurring changes, while maintaining gravity-equilibrated pressure conditions at the boundary. Such boundary conditions can be implemented by including elements beyond the desired boundary in the gravity equilibration run, and making these elements inactive in a subsequent continuation run involving production or injection operations.

The specification of inactive elements can also be used in the MESHMAKER module to steer the MINC-process of subgridding volume elements. By convention, inactive elements will remain unpartitioned, i.e., they will be treated as a single porous medium.

· 输出了,我们的时候就是不能够好。你好错的

5.4 Heat Exchange with Confining Beds

One possibility for modeling heat exchange with confining beds is to simply extend the computational grid into the cap- and base-rock, which would be given small or vanishing permeability. In this approach heat exchange would be treated no different than flow in the reservoir. A drawback of this approach is that even for modest accuracy requirements, the number of grid blocks in the heat flow domain could easily become larger than the number of grid blocks in the reservoir, leading to a very inefficient calculation. A much more efficient alternative is application of a semi-analytical method, which requires no grid blocks outside of the fluid flow domain, and permits better accuracy for short- and long-term heat exchange. TOUGH2 provides an option to use the method of Vinsome and Westerveld (1980), which gives excellent accuracy for heat exchange between reservoir fluids and confining beds such as may arise in geothermal injection and production operations.

Observing that the process of heat conduction tends to dampen out temperature variations, Vinsome and Westerveld suggested that cap- and base-rock temperatures

- 35 -

would vary smoothly even for strong and rapid temperature changes at the boundary of the conduction zone. Arguing that heat conduction perpendicular to the conductive boundary is more important than parallel to it, they proposed to represent the temperature profile in a semi-infinite conductive layer by means of a simple trial function, as follows:

$$T(x,t) - T_i = (T_f - T_i + px + qx^2) \exp(-x/d)$$
 (5)

Here x is the distance from the boundary, T_i is initial temperature in cap- or base-rock (assumed uniform), T_f is the time-varying temperature at cap- or base-rock boundary, p and q are time-varying fit parameters, and d is the penetration depth for heat conduction, given by

$$d = \frac{(\theta t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \tag{6}$$

where $\theta = K/\rho C$ is the thermal diffusivity, K the thermal conductivity, ρ the density of the medium, and C the specific heat. In the context of a finite-difference simulation of nonisothermal flow, each grid block in the top and bottom layers of the computational grid will have an associated temperature profile in the adjacent impermeable rock as given by Eq. (5). The coefficients p and q will be different for each grid block; they are determined concurrently with the flow simulation from the physical constraints of (1) continuity of heat flux across the boundary, and (2) energy conservation for the reservoir/confining layer system.

There is no separate input data block for specifying a semianalytical heat exchange calculation. Instead, a number of parameters have to be specified in different blocks to engage this option, as follows. A semi-analytical heat loss calculation will be performed only when the parameter MOP(15) in record PARAM.1 is set equal to 1. Initial temperature as well as heat capacity and conductivity of the confining beds is specified by means of data provided for the very last volume element in data block ELEME. The initial temperature is taken as the temperature with which the last element is initialized. Heat capacity and conductivity are taken from data provided in block ROCKS for the particular

domain to which the last element belongs. Thus, if a semianalytical heat exchange calculation is desired, the user would append an additional inactive element in block ELEME, and provide the desired parameters as initial conditions and domain data, respectively, for this element. Finally, it is necessary to specify which elements have an interface area with the confining beds, and to give the magnitude of this interface area. This information is input as parameter AHTX in columns 31–40 of grid block data in block ELEME. Volume elements for which a zero-interface area is specified will not be subject to heat exchange.

In the present version of TOUGH2, a semi-analytical heat exchange calculation can be performed only when the "geothermal" EOS modules EOS1 or EOS2 are used. An implementation for other EOS modules, and an extension to heat exchange with finitesize impermeable rock matrix blocks embedded in the flow domain, will be included in a future release (Pruess and Wu, 1989).

At the termination of a run the data necessary for continuing the heat exchange calculation in a TOUGH2 continuation run are written onto a disk file TABLE. When restarting a problem, this file has to be provided under the name TABLE. If file TABLE is absent, TOUGH2 assumes that no prior heat exchange with confining beds has taken place, and takes their temperatures to be uniform and equal to the temperature of the very last volume element in block ELEME.

e securities (C. a splithing, plane a plana chili constitute) pla file classification pladae describe to sa cel

5.5 Future Code Developments the least loss spartable of the data set depletions but

It had been mentioned above that the present release of TOUGH2 as described in this report includes a selection of some of the better tested and useable MULKOM program modules. The LBL group has developed a large number of additional modules and descendants of MULKOM and TOUGH which are expected to be made available in future releases. These include additional EOS modules for multiphase fluid mixtures containing hydrocarbon and non-Newtonian fluids, capabilities for modeling rock-fluid interactions with dissolution and precipitation processes and associated porosity and permeability change, modules for production and injection well operations and scheduling, preprocessor programs for higher-order differencing schemes to diminish space discretization errors, and specialized routines for the study of multiphase flow processes in fractured media, including hysteresis effects. Many developments in the TOUGH code have also been made by users outside LBL to enhance process descriptions, improve execution speed, and add user conveniences (Pruess, 1990b).

Further improvements in TOUGH2 are desirable to represent certain processes in a more accurate and complete fashion. For example, the present coding represents only advection and does not include any diffusive or dispersive processes in the liquid phase. Appropriate flux terms could be added in a continuum-based formulation; alternatively, marker particles could be employed to model species transport. The description of gas flow processes includes Knudsen (slip flow) effects only in a rough approximation due to Klinkenberg (1941); a more unified treatment of Darcy, Knudsen, and diffusive effects is desirable. Such future enhancements in process description are expected to be readily feasible by adding appropriate modules to the existing TOUGH2 structure. Also, many user features such as a more interactive and graphically oriented interface could be added to facilitate code applications (see the discussion in Pruess, 1990a).

When making changes in the code, it is essential to preserve a continuous dependence of all secondary parameters on the primary thermodynamic variables. True numerical discontinuities, such as a non-zero capillary air entry pressure, are inadmissible. They may lead to an unstable situation in which the residuals in the governing equations (B.6) become discontinuous functions of the primary variables, so that it may be impossible to reduce them to small values. A finite transition region for continuous variation of parameters must be provided. For example, if a user wishes to define a capillary pressure function with air entry effects, (s)he must provide a finite interval, from $S_1 = 1$ to $S_1 = 0.99$, say, over which capillary pressure changes from 0 to $P_{a,c}$. For ease of convergence during the non-linear Newton/Raphson process, it is actually desirable that the derivatives of secondary parameters with respect to primary variables also be continuous.

Future releases of TOUGH2 will remain upward compatible with the present version. We will strive to maintain a transparent and accessible source code that will facilitate applications to complex flow problems, and will be amenable to further enhancements. Users making code modifications are urged to utilize the simple version control system provided in TOUGH2. Any time changes in the code are made, the dates in the WRITE(11,899) statement appearing at the top of the affected program units should be updated, so that a traceable and referenceable record of source code developments and applications may be maintained (see the discussion in the Introduction, and the example given in Fig. 14).

(a) reacting an antitude of the approximation of the Artificity of the article of the second seco

en al 1997 en la companya de la comp Al 1997 en la companya de la company La companya de la comp

ja konstruktionen eta erreita eta erreita eta 1000 konstruktionen eta erreita eta erreita eta erreita (ken 1000 konstruktionen eta erreita eta erre 1000 konstruktionen eta erreita eta erre

6. Preparation of Input Data

TOUGH2 input is to be provided through a file INPUT, organized into data blocks which are labeled by five-character keywords. Apart from a few exceptions, discussed below, the order of the data blocks in the INPUT file is arbitrary. As has been mentioned before, the TOUGH2 input formats are upward compatible with those of TOUGH. Figure 5 gives a listing of TOUGH input formats, and indicates a small number of optional additional parameters that in TOUGH2 are provided through the same data blocks. TOUGH2 has a number of new, optional data blocks. These are listed in Table 9, and the corresponding input formats are shown in Fig. 6.

1	2	÷,	T	able	9. New	Data	Blocks	in TOU	GH2 (al	l optional)
6			i. A	10 mg (1 - 1 - 1 - 1	الم الجبر المراد ال			and the second second		
1.			2.0.00		2 14 1 # A		- 19 a			

وماليه فالمائد والمعالية فالمراجع والمساد الأرامية والمعادة فالمراجع المسهاد ويقاد والمعظور والمعار

keyword	function
MESHM	invokes internal mesh generation and processing facilities
MULTI	allows to select number of fluid components and balance equations per grid block; applicable only with certain EOS modules that offer different options
INDOM	permits domain-specific initialization of thermodynamic conditions
NOVER	if present, optionally suppresses a printout of versions and dates of the program units executed in a TOUGH2 run
ENDFI	alternative to "ENDCY" for closing a TOUGH2 input file; will cause flow simulation to be skipped; useful if only mesh generation is desired

We shall first summarize the new parameters and options of TOUGH2 in the "old" (TOUGH) data blocks. Subsequently, we shall discuss the input formats and choices available through the new data blocks. For a detailed discussion of those input variables that are identical to the ones used in TOUGH, refer to the TOUGH User's Guide.

6.1 Enhancements in TOUGH Data Blocks

As a convenience to the user, comments or text can be inserted between data blocks anywhere in a TOUGH2 input file. Such records will generate a one-line printed output

n spinker be

and the second state of th

	TOUGH-Input with TOUGH2 Extensions
	#
1	T;)17.4.16;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;
	RIOCIKS
	IRP RP(1) RP(2) RP(3) RP(4) RP(5) RP(6) RP(7)
	CICP (
	สร้างในสารรถน้ำมาก เป็นสามน้ำที่มีเป็นให้สำคัญสารแห่น สารกับการในการและสารการการการการการการการการการการการการก
	PIRRAM
HO	TEI INCYC INSEC INCYPR INDP(1), 1=1,24 DIFFO TEXP EE
	TSTART TIMAX DELTEN OF DELTMX ELST 6F REDLT SCALE
	DLT(1) OLT(2) DLT(3)
	DLT(N) (n<8*NDLT)
	RE1 RE2 U NUP NNR DFAC
	DEP(1) DEP(2) DEP(3)
	<u>+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</u>
	(optional) RiPiCiRiPi i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
	IRP
	1CP CP(1) CP(2) CP(3) CP(4) CP(5) CP(6) CP(7)
	(optional) Ministration of the state of the
	ITI ITE DELAF TINTER
	TIS(1) TIS(2) TIS(3)
ł	<u>ארך דער ביין עד ברוענו בארגענו אין ארגענו אין עד בארגענען ביין אין אין דער אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין א</u> אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין
ł	CIDININIE I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
	<u>+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + </u>
1	EL NE SL NS NSEQ NADD NADS LTAB TYPE GX EX HG
ł	F1(1) F1(2) - F1(2) F1(2
1	F2(1) F2(2)
ł	F3(1) F3(2) F3(2) F3(2)
$\left \right $	
┢	
┟	
}	
	สีสต์ผู้หมายน้ำมาน้ำการให้เห็นขึ้นหมายใหม่เป็นกำให้หน้าเห็นในเกิดที่สาวการแบบไปไม่ได้เป็นไปการที่ได้การได้
- [

Figure 5. TOUGH Input Formats with TOUGH2 Extensions.



Figure 6. Input Formats for new TOUGH2 data blocks.

"Have read unknown block label '[first five characters]' – ignore this, and continue reading input data," but will otherwise be ignored. (In TOUGH, execution simply stopped when an unknown block label was encountered.)

Several of the "MOP" parameters (first record in block 'PARAM'), that control optional printout and some calculational choices, have different options and settings than in TOUGH. Each TOUGH2 run will produce a one-page informative printout of available selections and options chosen. Additional parameters provided through TOUGH data blocks are as follows (see Fig. 5). The second (optional) record in block 'ROCKS' has a parameter GK which is the Klinkenberg parameter b in the gas phase permeability relationship $k = k_0(1 + b/P)$. In partially saturated media, vapor diffusion can be considerably enhanced in comparison to the expression given in Eq. (A.7), due to phase change effects (condensation/evaporation) at the pore level. Such enhanced diffusion can be modeled by specifying a suitable value, typically of order 1, for the parameter $B = \phi S_g \tau$. This is to be entered as parameter BE in the first record in block 'PARAM.' In block 'ELEME,' AHTX is the contact area of a grid block with the top or bottom boundary of

the flow system. This can be used with certain EOS modules for a heat exchange calculation with semi-infinite half spaces that represent the confining beds of a flow system (see example problem 3, below). The X,Y,Z-data in element records are nodal point coordinates. These are not used at all in TOUGH2, but can be optionally provided in the 'ELEME' block to facilitate plotting.

When working with different EOS modules, there is a need to be able to specify injection of different fluid components (or heat). Table 10 lists the TYPE specifications that can be used in data block GENER in the input file.

Component	Code Words (variable "TYPE"	u.	C	omponen	ţ.	
Index	in block GENER)	EOS1	EOS2	EOS3	EOS4	EOS5
#1	COM1, MASS, WATE	water1	water	water	water	water
#2	COM2, AIR, WATR	water2	CO,	air	air	H,
#3*	COM3	-	-		:	-
#4*	COM4			<u></u>	- 4. <u>-</u> - 17	
#NK1 [†]	HEAT	heat	heat	heat	heat	heat

 Table 10.
 Specifications for Generation Types

na 1919 - Maria Maria, ang kanala ang kanala na ang kanganakan kanala kanala kanala.

*not used in EOS1 through EOS5

 † NK1 = NK + 1

Thus, a user working with the "two waters" option of EOS module EOS1 would specify TYPE = COM1 (or MASS, or WATE) to inject "water 1," while specification of TYPE = COM2 (or AIR, or WATR) would allow injection of "water 2."

6.2 New TOUGH2 Data Blocks (see Fig. 6)

MESHMAKER introduces parameters for internal mesh generation and processing. The MESHMAKER input has a modular structure, which is explained in section 6.3. The MESHMAKER data block has a variable number of records; its end is indicated by a blank record.

物 医肠骨膜 医水白 医乳门 法法公司的 正式成年代 计目标分析 机制度管理 建合成系统

the anti-manifester of the second state of the

MULTI SE O SEERAMIS	permits ance eq EOS mo a single	the user to select options as to the number and nature of bal- uations solved. Available options are different for different odules (see Tables 3-6). The keyword 'MULTI' is followed by data record.
hophendica	i ja ste	ale advances of 1973, a teacher and the second
Record MULTI.1	Format	o Cobalysis and CAL Science Yest Sectore of the sectore (415)
tina no districtiva	NK, NE	Qanph, nb iyaasta di kui taisigre dekke ka organiseekko oleh yooda ester. Ah
- presidente de la companya de la compan	NK	number of mass components and the state of t
gabaacai oloii	NEQ	number of balance equations per grid block (usually NEQ = NK + 1, for an energy equation in addition to NK mass balance equations)
Short, Short	NPH	number of phases that can be present
, k an Le g Paleo.	NB	number of secondary parameters in PAR-array other than component mass fractions (NB = 6 for all presently available).
	a da kara a sana a s A sana a sana	able EOS modules)
INDOM	introduc	es domain-specific initial conditions.
Record INDOM.	1	和自己的意义和社会。 人名德里曼塔 使于自己的过去式和过去分词 医外外外的
	Format(MAT	A5) (About the second
	MAT	name of a reservoir domain, as specified in data block 'ROCKS'
Record INDOM.	2	
amando dit	Format(X1, X2,	4E20.13) (** 85 start 76 start 76 start 77 start 1995) X3, X4 start stratt set to 10 start (************************************
- Anno 10 Alis (n. 1 1813) - Charles Charles 1914 - Charles Charles	A set of specifie	f primary variables assigned to all grid blocks in the domain d in record INDOM.1
Record INDOM.	y est tativ Bani tarra	ให้เห็นของที่ไปเห็นที่ 1925 มีหรือเป็นหมายไม่ไปของที่ได้ได้ได้ได้ได้ได้ได้ได้ได้ได้ได้ได้ได้ไ
alifectus cudes 2017 - considente 8 a. convenience	A bland INDOM ing is ar	k record closes the INDOM data block. Repeat records 1.1 and INDOM.2 for as many domains as desired. The order- bitrary and need not be the same as in block 'ROCKS'.
NOVEDSION	Contiona	\mathbf{U}_{i} is substituting the first of the first product of \mathbf{U}_{i} is substituting the first product of \mathbf{U}_{i} is the first product of \mathbf{U}_{i}

NOVERSION	(optional)		
그러운 유가로 눈을 먹니는 것	One record with 'NOVI	ER' typed in columns 1	-5 will suppress print-
and the start	ing of a summary of yet	rsions and dates of the	program units used in a
of which it is a set		sions and dates of the	program units used in a
a province intervention of the second second			

ENDFILE 1330

(optional) One record with 'ENDFI' typed in columns 1–5 will terminate reading of the INPUT file, and will cause the flow simulation to be skipped. This option can be used when only mesh processing is desired. a sender a la

- 45 -

6.3 Input Formats for MESHMAKER

At the present time there are three sub-modules available in MESHMAKER (see Fig. 7): keywords 'RZ2D' or 'RZ2DL' invoke generation of a one or two-dimensional radially symmetric R-Z mesh; 'XYZ' initiates generation of a one, two, or threedimensional Cartesian X-Y-Z mesh; and 'MINC' calls a modified version of the 'GMINC'' program (Pruess, 1983a) to sub-partition a ''primary'' porous medium mesh into a ''secondary'' mesh for fractured media, using the method of ''multiple interacting continua'' (Pruess and Narasimhan, 1982, 1985). The meshes generated under keyword 'RZ2D' or 'XYZ' are internally written to file MESH. The 'MINC' processing operates on the data in file MESH, so that invoking the 'RZ2D' or 'XYZ' options, or assignment of 'ELEME' and 'CONNE' blocks in the INPUT file must precede the MESHMAKER/MINC data. We shall now separately describe the preparation of input data for the three MESHMAKER sub-modules.

Generation of radially symmetric grids

RZ2D

(or RZ2DL)

invokes generation of a radially symmetric mesh.

When RZ2D is specified, the mesh will be generated "by columns;" i.e., in the 'ELEME' block we will first have the grid blocks at smallest radius for all layers, then the next largest radius for all layers, and so on. With keyword 'RZ2DL' the mesh will be generated "by layers;" i.e., in the 'ELEME' block we will first have all grid blocks for the first (top) layer from smallest to largest radius, then all grid blocks for the second layer, and so on. Apart from the different order-ing of elements, the two meshes for 'RZ2D' and 'RZ2DL' are identical. The reason for providing the two alternatives is as a convenience to users in implementing boundary conditions by way of "inactive" elements (see Section 5.3). Assignment of inactive elements would be made by using a text editor on the RZ2D-generated "MESH" file, and moving groups of elements towards the end of the 'ELEME' block, past a "dummy" element with zero volume. 'RZ2D' makes it easy to declare a vertical column inactive, facilitating assignment of boundary conditions in the vertical, such as a gravitationally equilibrated pressure gradient. 'RZ2DL' on the other hand facilitates implementation of "areal" (top and bottom layer) boundary conditions.

RADII

is the first keyword following 'RZ2D'; it introduces data for defining a set of interfaces (grid block boundaries) in the radial direction.



, est	MESHMAKER-Rectilinear Grids												
•	1	*-	2	*	-3			-5	*5		78		
MIEISIHIM	I. RIKIEIRI		u uuu	näh				ш'n	uuu				
		1.1.4	1-0-0-3		Safata.		성상 것 같은 것 같이.						
XIYIZI I NF		<u> </u>		1111									
								1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 4				
	NOT	DEL							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
		1		THE F	114114	â i i î î i	i i i i i i i i i	i rri i	ia in ia	สี่สั่งสาวสา			
OX	(n)	DX(2)		DX(3)				- 0>	((H01)				
1111	11111	Luuu	ulu	1111	ulu	<u> I I I I I I</u>	<u>luuu</u>	uliu	սսսվ	mum	ليتبيينيا		
	NO2	DEL									1. 1. 8. 14 A. 14		
MIX	Luu				цци		<u>LIIII.</u>						
DX(N	01+1)	UX(MUI+	2)				6 1 1 1 6 5 6 7 G		1860 te ji	-UX(NUI + NU	J2)		
	$\frac{1}{1}$		шн		ццц	11111		шш	min				
لباس	no						• • • • • • • • • •			2011 	1		
	////	DY(2)		DY(3)	┶╈┷┷╝				V(ND)		┵╁┺┺┺┹┺╇┻┸┵┥		
			1.		24.3			11 I I I I I		Frencia	diamind		
	NO	DEL											
	1	1	iri lei	11111	i n'n	11111	er er er er er	nnn	n i î î	វិធីណៈ អា			
DZ	!(1)	DZ(2)	يذيبها وإرجاعا	DZ(3)					Z(NO)				
1111	uй	hini	ulu	1111	ப்ப	<u> IIIII</u>	luuu	nha		1111111			
	5 1 14	1			ge ster i		1				2		
يتبلت	uiii	เป็นไปไปไ		THELL.	<u>ta ji n</u>	11111	1111111	<u>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </u>					
l n je po sete	a ay etj	e l'arrene de	Freedor	5. 1966 - 1977		N. Calu	. Sector	Sec. 10					
1111	<u>nair</u>	111111	11111	<u>1997)</u>	11111	<u>1111</u>	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		шц				
			14272-4		et e file		이 공기에 가지?						

	ir toğr		3				ŕ.	- 19 2-1		ΪE	S	H	(A	K	R		÷	M	I	NC		P	rc	C	e	33	i	ng		[o	r.	F	'n	ct	u	ŗ.	d	ļ	le	d	i a						/							
	- *			1:	•	•••			•	- 7	2 -	•			-	• •		3	-			*	-	_	-	4	÷ -	-	- 1	•	• 4	•	5		•		•			6 ·	• •	• •		-			7		-	- 1		•••	•	8
HIEIS	НИ	A,K	ER	L.L.	11	.L.	<u>г</u>	T	Ļ	ĻĻ	L		Ļ		ப	L.		L.	ப்	1	L	1	i L	1				Ľ	L	L		u	1	L	i	Ļ	Lt	ij	Ľ	ц		E I	L		L IL	.1.	11		11		L	LL.	Ú	Ц
MILLIN	CL			-	11	1	Ц	Ţ	Ļ	Ļ	4	Ĺ	ü	1	Ŭ	LË	Í.	Ľ	Ц	1	1	1	IJ	í	ì	ù	ùr.	13	Ĩ	Ľ	Ĺ	L	1	11	1	L	Ļ	: 	11	L		ĻL	1	Ц			Ļ	1	Ļ			ų.	i.	Ц
PIAIR	Ц		771 	4	11	J	Ţ	ት ት	ц Ц			11	Ļ	ļ	Ļ	Ļł	1		н	1	1	1	ų	ł	Ľ,	4	1	Ц	1	Ļ	Å	Ľ	1	Û		Ļ	Ļ	1	ப	-	ù	Ľ,	Ļ	IJ	4	1	4	1	Ц		ŗ,	4	ш	
		Ľ,	HEP LL		L		пл 1,1	Ť.	i) L	L.L.		Ľ	, r		IJ	2) Ц	<u>_</u>	i.	L	1	1	1	нц	د. 	, 1	Ľ	L	Ц		<u>п(</u>	1) 1	11		 111	1	ГП []	n () 	, 1.1		Ľ		1		1	, L	1	1	Ľ	1	н(11		Ļ	
11			占	4	11	3 9 1		(2 (2	2	() Lat		ù		1		بد ل		e L		1	1	Ļ	Ľ	Ţ		í	1	Ц	1	сь, Ц	1	Ļ	3	ц	, l	Ļ	L.	1	Ļ		Ĺ	ù	L	1	Т	1	Л	1	1.1	1	ù	ú	ù	
	ш	u.	11		11	1	11	L	L		1	Ē		1	L	ū	1	1	<u> </u>	1	1	L	. 1	1	1	E	-	П	1		1	L	T	- (.()	nv Li	1	.) 	1	a Ú	ji L	L	ú		ŕ	L	1	11	L	u	1	L	
L		LŁ	1.1.		L	1	Ц	T	J		T	L		1	ப		1	Ĺ	ப	1	i	1	L	1	1	Ц	1			1.1	1	ц Ц	i	ப	1	Ļ	u	1	11	.1	L	1	1	L	L	1	11	1	11				Ļ	

Figure 7. Input formats for MESHMAKER module.

Record RADII.1

Format(A5)	the the Attor
NRAD number of radius data that will	l be read.

Record RADII.2

Format(8E10.4) RC(I), I = 1, NRAD RC(I) a set of radii in ascending order

EOUIDISTANT introduces data on a set of equal radial increments.

Record EQUID.1

Format(I5, 5X, E10.4) NEQU, DR

NEQU number of desired radial increments.

DR magnitude of radial increment.

Note: At least one radius must have been defined via block 'RADII' before 'EQUID' can be invoked.

LOGARITHMIC introduces data on radial increments that increase from one to the next by the same factor $(\Delta R_{n+1} = f \cdot \Delta R_n)$.

Record LOGAR.1

Format(A5, 5X, 2E10.4) NLOG, RLOG, DR

and the second second

NLOG number of additional interface radii desired.

RLOG desired radius of the last (largest) of these radii.

DR reference radial increment: the first ΔR generated will be equal to $f \cdot DR$, with f internally determined such that the last increment will bring total radius to RLOG. (If DR is set equal to zero, or left blank, the last increment DR generated before keyword 'LOGAR' will be used as default.)

Additional blocks 'RADII', 'EQUID', and 'LOGAR' can be specified in arbitrary order.

Note: At least one radius must have been defined before 'LOGAR' can be invoked. If DR = 0, at least two radii must have been defined.

LAYER

introduces information on horizontal layers, and signals closure of RZ2D input data.

Record LAYER.1

Format(I5)

NLAY

CALL HANGES

riyin. Dinef whith (defit) (here but) in this solution.

NLAY number of horizontal grid layers.

Record LAYER.2

style a valia compérant

BSY SALARA

Format(8E10.4)

H(I), I = 1, NEAY st. With others states of spatia - 4.8 Mittain

star Idaa sin besteve **HO**p at S a set of layer thicknesses, from top layer downward. By default, zero or blank entries for layer thickness will result in assignment of the last preceding non-zero entry. Assignment of a zero layer thickness, as needed for inactive layers, can be accomplished by specifying a negative value.

Sn & P

the region of the LAYER data close the RZ2D data block. States by several approximation Note that one blank record must follow to indicate termination of the MESHM data block. Alternatively, keyword 'MINC' can appear to invoke MINC-processing for fractured media (see below). sight a tractic sector (grant a sector of the large sector) and the contract of the large sector (sector) and the sector of the

医试验 化压缩 经基金经济公司 计输出性理论

and some for the states

XYZ

invokes generation of a Cartesian (rectilinear) mesh.

Record XYZ.1 Constrained at Mariate spatial Bar bacegood take and Weather viewee, while bet works the to encode the test of the sector of

Format(E10.4)

souther claimable for a defailed scale and the

DEG

angle (in degrees) between the Y-axis and the horizontal. DEG tul si sesti ve utivel

GADIC FASSIONA

PALOT TO PRIME

If gravitational acceleration (parameter GF in record PARAM.2) is specified positive, $-90^{\circ} < DEG < 90^{\circ}$ corresponds to grid layers going from top down. Grids can be specified from bottom layer up by setting GF or BETA negative. Default (DEG = 0) corresponds to a vertical Z-axis. X-axis is always horizontal.

Record XYZ.2

The states to the states of th

nerodzieć zda ostaća

vine the second

eer Pakeroneer, with Saide Barger Hiller Format(A2, 3X, I5, E10.4) STATES (STATES NTYPE, NO, DEL ST

NTYPE set equal to 'NX,' 'NY' or 'NZ' for specifying grid increments in X, Y, or Z direction. a contain and a ballies

NO number of grid increments desired.

COMPANIES OF LESSING

destances and DEL constant grid increment for NO grid blocks, if set to a nonzero value.

Record XYZ.3

lineer of

(optional, DEL = 0. or blank only)

Format(8E10.4) DEL(I), I = 1, NO

DEL(I) a set of grid increments in the direction specified by NTYPE in record XYZ.2.

Ald the A

Additional records with formats as XYZ.2 and XYZ.3 can be provided, with X, Y, and Z-data in arbitrary order.

Record XYZ.4 a blank record closes the XYZ data block.

Note that the end of block MESHMAKER is also marked by a blank record. Thus, when MESHMAKER/XYZ is used, there will be two blank records at the end of the corresponding input data block.

MINC processing for fractured media

invokes postprocessing of a primary porous medium mesh from file MESH. The input formats in data block MINC are identical to those of the GMINC program (Pruess, 1983a), with two enhancements: there is an additional facility for specifying global matrix-matrix connections ("dual permeability"); further, only "active" elements will be subjected to MINC-processing, the remainder of the MESH remaining unaltered as porous medium grid blocks. See Appendix C for further discussion.

PART

MINC

es harrie

is the first keyword following 'MINC'; it introduces information on the nature of fracture distributions and matrix-matrix connections.

a set that are set

Format(2A5, 5X, A5)

'PART,' TYPE, DUAL

'PART' identifier of data block with partitioning parameters for secondary mesh.

TYPE a five-character word for selecting one of the six different proximity functions provided in MINC.

ONE-D: a set of plane parallel infinite fractures with matrix block thickness between neighboring fractures equal to PAR(1).

TWO-D: two sets of plane parallel infinite fractures, with arbitrary angle between them. Matrix block thickness is PAR(1) for the first set, and PAR(2) for the second set. If PAR(2) is not specified explicitly, it will be set equal to PAR(1).

THRED: three sets of plane parallel infinite fractures at right angles, with matrix block dimensions of PAR(1), PAR(2), and PAR(3), respectively. If PAR(2) and/or PAR(3) are not explicitly specified, they will be set equal to PAR(1) and/or PAR(2), respectively. STANB: proximity function for the five bottom layers of Stanford large reservoir model.

STANT: proximity function for top layer of Stanford large reservoir model. 19.29. E 11364 5563

Note:

-activitation arithmeticalia

goog with norm that it was the form

. Addiele were die datafi

医骨骨 的复数形式 化酸化化物

shiet fil and y this agent will take

a user wishing to employ a different proximity function than provided in MINC needs to replace the function subprogram PROX(x) with a routine of the form:

97 (J. 107

FUNCTION PROX(x)

PROX = (arithmetic expression in x)

WALLY SOL LOUGH RETURN THE SOLAR STATE 网络 新闻 化化

ukaapa kuloon ka uliteurian araan aa meeskin uranti ka kuloo ada ka ku Rahammo shinnaa minjiri (Cookus **END**ii) ada uhisu ka du minimukinoo

It is necessary that PROX(x) is defined even when x exceeds the maximum possible distance from the fractures, and that PROX = 1 in this case. Also, when the user supplies his/her own proximity function subprogram, the parameter TYPE has to be chosen equal to 'ONE-D,' 'TWO-D,' or 'THRED,' depending on the dimensionality of the proximity function. This will assure proper definition of innermost nodal distance (Pruess, 1983a).

DUAL

a five-character word for selecting the treatment of global matrixmatrix flow.

blank: (default) global flow occurs only through the fracture continuum, while rock matrix and fractures interact locally by means of "interporosity" flow ("double-porosity" model).

- 'MMVER': global matrix-matrix flow is permitted only in the vertical; otherwise like the double-porosity model; for internal consistency this choice should only be made for flow systems with one or two predominantly vertical fracture sets.
- 'MMALL': global matrix-matrix flow in all directions; for internal consistency only two continua, representing matrix and fractures, should be specified ("dual-permeability").

Record PART.1

Format (2I3, A4, 7E10.4)

J, NVOL, WHERE, (PAR(I), I = 1, 7)

 $J = total number of multiple interacting continua (J \leq 36).$

NVOL total number of explicitly provided volume fractions (NVOL \leq J). If NVOL \leq J, the volume fractions with indices NVOL+1, ..., J will be internally generated; all being equal and chosen such as to yield proper normalization to 1.

specifies whether the sequentially specified volume fractions begin with the fractures (WHERE = 'OUT') or in the interior of the matrix blocks (WHERE = 'IN').

PAR(I), I = 1, 7 holds parameters for fracture spacing (see above).

and a second state of the second state of the

Record PART.2.1, 2.2, etc.

WHERE

And A States

he and a second second

2014년 1월 48 17 1

ar a ballst - N

e de destate

Format (8E10.4)

(VOL(I), I = 1, NVOL)

VOL(I) volume fraction (between 0 and 1) of continuum with index I (for WHERE = 'OUT ') or index J+1-I (for WHERE = 'IN '). NVOL volume fractions will be read. For WHERE = 'OUT ,' I = 1 is the fracture continuum, I = 2 is the matrix continuum closest to the fractures, I = 3 is the matrix continuum adjacent to I=2, etc. The sum of all volume fractions must not exceed 1.

1964

7. Sample Problems

7.1 Problem No. 1 – Code Demonstration and Comparison with TOUGH

This problem is identical to sample problem 1 from the TOUGH User's Guide, and can serve as a check on proper installation of TOUGH2 as well as for cross-referencing to TOUGH. It involves a number of one- and two-element subproblems, which are entirely independent of each other (no flow connections between subproblems), except that being run together they all must go through the same sequence of time steps. The sub-problems perform flow and/or injection and withdrawal of water, air, and heat, with highly non-linear phase and component (dis-)appearances that engage some subtle numerical procedures. A more detailed description is available in the TOUGH User's Guide. The input file for running with the EOS3 fluid properties module is almost completely identical to that of TOUGH sample problem 1, with a few MOP-parameters (first record in data block PARAM) set differently because of different defaults in TOUGH2 (see Fig. 8). The differences are: MOP(14) = 2, to suppress new matrix decomposition when the linear equation solver MA28 encounters a small pivot; MOP(17) = 7, to apply a scaling to the linear equation matrix; MOP(18) = 1, to compute fluid densities at grid block interfaces by averaging; and MOP(19) = 1, to permit initialization of the EOS3 module with TOUGH-style primary variables of (P,T,X) for single phase, (P,S,T) for two-phase.

Figure 9 gives some printed output. The results are virtually identical to TOUGH, as they should be. Minor differences occur in the maximum residuals during the iteration process. These residuals, being computed as {left hand side} - {right hand side} of the governing balance equations (see Eq. B.6), involve severe numerical cancellations and therefore constitute a very sensitive check on the numerics. Time step 2 also produces results closely identical to those of TOUGH (not shown), but subsequently TOUGH2 takes different time steps because of different default settings.

· A sherr an A show -) and any demonstrate the share figure it is an an

	*SAM1	COD THIS OF TO RE F	E DEI INPU UGH. OLLO MOP	MONSTR T FILE THESE WED BY -OPTIO	ATION: F IS SLIG COMMENT A COLUN NS ARE S	PHASE BHTLY IS ARE AN COU SET DI	TRANSITI DIFFEREN INSERTE NTER TO FFERENTL	IONS, COMP IT FROM THE D TO NOTE FACILITAT	PONENT HAT FOR E THE D TE PROP ECTION	(DIS- SAMP IFFER PER AL 7.1 1) APPEAR PLE PROB ENCES. IGNMENT N TOUGH	ANCES LEM 1 KEYWO OF D 2_GUI	RDS ATA. DE).	
	TRANS	·1		265Ø.	*	-3 50 50	1.E-14) # -	6-	2.	10	1000.	
	SHUME 3	2		.40	1	.8 10 2	1.6-14				2007 - 2 4 0 1966 - 1967 - 196	10	1000.	,
	START-			1.20		· 2 - 3				6-	*	_7	8	
	PARAM-	1 4	1	11000	30100000	-3	_+4- 11	····	5*-	8-	*	-7	-*8	
		1.E2		5.E3	-1.		1120 C	1.		. ar l	e e ette		i i i	
-		en la Recla	معاري	45.E5		- 		ر روما المعالية المعالي		250.	- 1 - 14 - 1	<u> </u>		
	RPCAP-	1	.30	2	.05	-3	**4*	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*	6-	*	-7	-+8	
	TIMES-	1		2	↓ • * 2	<u>_3</u>	+4-	*	5*-	6-	* -	-7	-*8	
 13	ELEME-	1.E3		2	•	-3	4-		1980. 	6-	*	-7	- s 8	
	F 1 SHO 1 SHO11	9 	1 تەرىپە 1	TRANS SHOME SHOME	1 1 1	Ø. Ø. E4			1 1 -	÷.	2 4 - 25 - 4			·
	CONNE-	1	4	2		-3-ii	-*4-		5*-	6-		-7	- * 8	۰.
٦Ĩ	F 3F	4			2 4 J. D. C.	1	5. 5.	5.		1. 1. 1.	sait is bota ya sa	i.		
	INCON-	1	+	2	*	-3	-*4-	*5	5#-	6-	\$	-7	*8	
	F 91			1.E5			20.			1.	i sula su	•		
		ť	!	1.E6			170.		an de la	ø.				
f	F 4.	2		1.E5			.001		1 - 1 1	99.5	di s		an princip	-
	F 5			99.E5			.999	5. I.		31Ø.				
	F 6	:		1.E6			100.		i in	Ø.	* ÷			
	F 3 7	÷11.		10.E6	· .		100.			1.		•		
	F. 8			1.E5		- 41	20.	-3.1		ø.				
	F 9			1.E5			.99			90.				
	F 1Ø	1.44		4Ø.E5			280.		· ±	ø.				
:	SH011	· .	î. L	5Ø.E5			240.	;				$r_{n,j} = r_{2,j}$		
i	SH012	e ji e		40.E5			100.		. '					
	GENER- F 7A	1- IR	*	2-		-3	*4- AIR	*5 5.E-3	9.8	6- 82E4	******	-7	*8	. ;
	F 8W F 9H	EL OT					MASS HEAT	-1.5E-2 2.E6					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	F 10C SHO 1P	OL 1	۴.,				HEAT MASS1	-5.E5 -1.		1.E6	e kji tve	an a		
4	SHO 2P SHO 3P	23	2	1	1	4	FUNY MASS	-1.					مراجع میں در میڈ چین	۰. ۱۰
	CHU ED		ାଡ. -ଡି. 1 ୨		-Ø.2		2.E -Ø.	3	4.E3 -1.1					
	SHO OF		Ø. Ø.1		1.E2 -Ø.2	-	2.E	2	4.E3	5 F. (2 B		2011	i Antarig A	1
٩.,	SHO 9P	9	.E6		2.E6	1	3.E DELV	6 1.E-12	1.1E7	1.E6	1	(11) ² (a far sa a	:.
	SH01ØP	1Ø	ø.		1.E2	4	WATE1	2	3.E3	· .	,	12	an state at the	ť
	CU01 1 M	5 51 <i>aa</i> -	1.1 .E6		1.Ø 1.2E6	•	0.1.4E	9 6 1 E 10	Ø.1 3.ØE6			-		
ა	SH012W	ELØØ		',,;∧*'		2	DELV	1.E-12 2.E-12		1.E6	1.6	2	e san fin	
	ENDCY-	1-	*	2-		-3	*4-	*5	*	6		7	*8	. [

Figure 8. TOUGH2 input file for sample problem 1 – code demonstration.

- 54 -

and the second secon	n segura in an	ing real second	
1********* VOLUME- AND MASS-BALANCES ************************************	******	**************	
*********** [KCYC,]]ER] = [0, 0] *****	THE TINE IS O. SECONDS, OR	O. DAYS	
PHASE VOLUMESTIN PLACE of an interaction of the state of	ile ja senag se lituzat er tana. T		
MASS IN PLACE GAS 0.14263e+04 KG; LIQUID 0.89195e+07 KG; AIR 0.56359e+03 KG	ij VAPOR 0.86436e+03 KGj LIQUID WAT	ER 0.89195e+07 KB	

ITERATING AT [1, 1] DELTEX = 0.100000e+03 MAX. RES. = \$ LIQUID PHASE EVOLVES AT ELEMENT #F 1# \$\$\$\$\$ \$ BAS PHASE EVOLVES AT ELEMENT #F 5# \$\$\$\$\$\$ XAIR = \$	0.373471=+01 AT ELEMENT SHD 9 EQUATION 0.120557=+05 PSAT = 0.233787=+04 0.679569=-03 PX = 0.240507=+07 P6 = 0.100168=-03 PX = 0.320895=+06 P6 = 0.863043=+00 AT ELEMENT SHD 9 EQUATION 0.803526=-02 AT ELEMENT SHD 9 EQUATION X2= 0.979793=+01 T = 20.006 P = 10234	2 0.433013e+07 0.625505e+06 2 2 7. S = 0.999928e+00	
1+SAM1+ CODE DEMONSTRATION: PHASE TRANSITIONS, COMPONENT (DIS-)APPEARAN	ES, part and the second second second		
OUTPUT DATA AFTER (1. 4)-2-TIME STEPS	THE TIME	IS 0.11574e-02 DAYS	
	「「大学業」を入る。 予約2章 と「後」「大学業」を 「		
	@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@	222222222222222222222222222222222222222	
TOTAL TINE KCYC ITER ITERC KON DX1N DX2N	DX3H MAX. RES. NER K	ER DELTEX	
0.10000e+03 million to a 4 million 4. (52.2) a 50.16455e+07 (0.10000e+02	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19	2 0.10000e+03	
	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 Refereeleefeeteeteefeeteeteeteeteetee	2 0.10000e+03 200000e+03	
0.10000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 OEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 REEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE	2 0.10000e+03 PG DL G/K++3) (KG/M++3)	
0.10000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 0000ee+02 0.10000e+02 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 0000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 0000e+02 0.16455e+00 0.10000e+02 0000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.99993e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 REPEREPEREPEREPEREPEREPEREPEREPEREPEREP	2 0.10000e+03 eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 0eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 RECECCECCECCECCECCECCECCECCECCECCECCECCE	2 0.10000e+03 eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 0eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PREPREPREPREPREPREPREPREPREPREPREPREPREP	2 0.10000e+03 eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 0eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PREEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE	2 0.10000e+03 eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.10000e+03 0.10000e+03 0.10000e+03 00000e+02 0.97973e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 1 1 0.10235e+06 0.20006e+02 0.97973e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 1 1 0.10235e+06 0.20006e+02 0.97973e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 1 1 0.10235e+06 0.97971e+02 0.65930e-03 0.99934e+00 0.84784e-01 F 3 0.10570e+06 0.99971e+02 0.65930e-03 0.99934e+00 0.84784e-01 F 3 0.10570e+06 0.999727e+00 0.73379e-03 0.32622e-02 F 5 0.26455e+07 0.10005e+03 0.37436e-03 0	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERERERERERERERERERERERERERERERERERERER	2 0.10000e+03 eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 0.10000e+02 00000e+02 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.10000e+02 00000e+02 0.99993e+00 0.71501e=04 0.98545e+00 0 </td <td>0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERFERENCE PERFERENCE PERFE</td> <td>2 0.10000e+03 eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee</td>	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERFERENCE PERFERENCE PERFE	2 0.10000e+03 eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.10000e+02 00000e+02 0.999973e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 1 1 0.10235e+06 0.20006e+02 0.999973e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 1 1 0.10235e+06 0.20006e+02 0.999973e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 1 1 0.10235e+06 0.20006e+02 0.999972e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 2 0.84410e+06 0.16999e+03 0.99973e+00 0.9734e+00 0.84784e-01 F 3 0.10570e+06 0.99972re+03 0.99934e+00 0.97540e+00 F 5 0.264535e+07 0.10005e+03 0.37436e-03 0.99986e+00 0.97540e+00 F 5	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 E2020202020200000000000000000000000000	2 0.10000e+03 eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.10000e+02 0.10000e+02 00000e+01 0 1 5 5 5 1 0.10235e+06 0.20006e+02 0.999973e+00 0.71501e=-04 0.98565e+00 F 1 1 0.10235e+06 0.20006e+02 0.999973e+00 0.71501e=-04 0.98565e+00 F 2 0.84410e+06 0.16999e+03 0. 0.10000e+01 0. F 3 0.10570e+06 0.99591e+02 0.65930e=-03 0.99934e+00 0.84784e=-01 F 3 0.10570e+06 0.99927e+00 0.73379e=-03 0.32622e=-02 F 5 0.26453e+07 0.99984e+02 0.10000e+01 0. 0.10000e+01 F 5 0.26453e+07 0.99984	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERFERENCE	2 0.10000e+03 eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 0.10000e+01 0.10000e+02 00000e+01 0.10000e+01 0.10000e+01 0.10000e+01 <td>0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERFERENCE PERFERENCE</td> <td>2 0.10000e+03 effeffeffeffeffeffeffeffeffeffeffeffeff</td>	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERFERENCE	2 0.10000e+03 effeffeffeffeffeffeffeffeffeffeffeffeff	
0.10000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 5 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 0000e+03 0.10000e+02 0000e+03 0.10000e+02 0000e+01 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 1 1 0.10235e+06 0.20006e+02 0.999973e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 2 2 0.84410e+06 0.16999e+03 0. 0.10000e+01 0. F 3 0.10570e+06 0.99591e+02 0.65930e-03 0.99934e+00 0.84784e-01 F 4 0.98861e+07 0.30990e+03 0.99927e+00 0.73379e-03 0.32622e-02 F 5 5 0.26455e+07 0.10005e+03 0.37436e-03 0.99934e+00 0.97540e+00 F 6 6 0.99566e+07 0.99984e+02 0.10000e+01 0. 0.10000e+01 F 7 7 0.61923e+06 0.20005e+02 0.13611e-03 0.99986e+00 0.99765e+00 F 8 8 0.98110e+07 0.29995e+03 0. 0.10000e+01 0. F 9 9 0.15045e+06 0.10465e+03 0.99017e+00 0.98254e-02 0.29149e+00 F 10 10 0.39597e+07 0.27628e+03 0.10000e+01 0. 0. SHD 1 11 0.44873e+07 0.25017e+03 0.52552e+00 0.47448e+00 0.14192e+00	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 P2828888888888888888888888888888888888	2 0.10000e+03 EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE	
0.10000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 5 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 0000e+03 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 P202020202020202000 19 P20202020202020000 19 P202020202020000 19 XAIRL PSAT PCAP (PA) (PA) (PA) 0.16076e-04 0.23375e+04 0. 0.1 0. 0.79179e+06 0. 0. 0.793960e-06 0.98562e+05 0. 0.6 0.40887e-03 0.10152e+06 0. 0.2 0. 0.10127e+06 0. 0.7 0. 0.10127e+06 0. 0.7 0. 0.23373e+04 0. 0.7 0. 0.88652e+07 0. 0. 0.409379e-05 0.11936e+06 0. 0.9 0.47979e-05 0.11936e+06 0. 0.9 0. 0.60674e+07 0. 0.1 0.80067e-04 0.83971e-04 0.39776e+07 65690e+05 0.2	2 0.10000e+03 EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 00000e+03 00000e+03 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 0.10000e+02 00000e+02 0.99993e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98642000e+02 0.10000e+01 F 1 0.10235e+06 0.20006e+02 0.99993e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 1 0.10235e+06 0.99993e+00 0.71501e-04 0.98565e+00 F 1 0.10235e+06 0.99997e+03 0.10000e+01 0. F 2 0.84410e+06 0.49997e+03 0.10000e+01 0.10000e+01 0. F 2 0.84410e+06 0.4999727e+00 0.73379e-03 0.32622e-02 F 5 0.26455e+07 0.99976e+03 <td c<="" td=""><td>0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERFERENCE PERFERENCE</td><td>2 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 222222222222222222222222222222222222</td></td>	<td>0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERFERENCE PERFERENCE</td> <td>2 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 222222222222222222222222222222222222</td>	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERFERENCE	2 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 222222222222222222222222222222222222
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000000000000000000000000000000000	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERERERERERERERERERERERERERERERERERERER	2 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 222222222222222222222222222222222222	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 062886888888888888888888888888888888888	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERFERENCE	2 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 222222222222222222222222222222222222	
0.10000e+03 1 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 OEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 PERFERENCE	2 0.10000e+03 20000e+	
0.10000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 5 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+01 0. F 1 1 0.10235e+06 0.20006e+02 0.99993e+00 0.71501e=04 0.98565e+00 F 2 2 0.84410e+06 0.16999e+03 0. 0.10000e+01 0. F 3 3 0.10570e+06 0.99591e+02 0.65930e=03 0.99934e+00 0.84784e=01 F 4 4 0.98861e+07 0.30990e+03 0.99927e+00 0.73379e=03 0.32622e=02 F 5 5 0.26455e+07 0.10005e+03 0.37436e=03 0.99934e+00 0.84784e=01 F 4 4 0.98861e+07 0.30990e+03 0.99927e+00 0.73379e=03 0.32622e=02 F 5 5 0.26455e+07 0.10005e+03 0.37436e=03 0.99986e+00 0.97540e+00 F 6 6 0.99566e+07 0.99984e+02 0.10000e+01 0. 0.10000e+01 F 7 0.61923e+06 0.20005e+02 0.13611e=03 0.99986e+00 0.99765e+00 F 8 8 0.98110e+07 0.29995e+03 0. F 9 9 0.15045e+06 0.10465e+03 0.99017e+00 0.98254e=02 0.29147e+00 F 10 .10 0.39597e+07 0.27628e+03 0.10000e+01 0. F 9 9 0.15045e+07 0.25017e+03 0.52552e+00 0.47448e+00 0.14192e+00 SH0 1 11 0.44873e+07 0.25017e+03 0.5000e+00 0.50000e+00 0.11472e+00 SH0 1 11 0.44873e+07 0.24902e+03 0.50401e+00 0.49597e+00 0.12258e+00 SH0 1 13 0.43239e+07 0.24902e+03 0.50401e+00 0.49597e+00 0.12258e+00 SH0 3 13 0.43239e+07 0.24902e+03 0.50401e+00 0.49597e+00 0.12258e+00 SH0 4 14 0.43239e+07 0.24902e+03 0.50401e+00 0.49597e+00 0.12258e+00 SH0 5 15 0.43239e+07 0.24902e+03 0.50405e+00 0.49597e+00 0.12258e+00 SH0 6 16 0.44764e+07 0.24971e+03 0.50405e+00 0.49597e+00 0.12258e+00 SH0 8 18 0.44764e+07 0.24971e+03 0.50405e+00 0.49597e+00 0.14789e+00 SH0 8 18 0.44764e+07 0.24971e+03 0.50405e+00 0.49595e+00 0.14	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 E2020202020200000000000000000000000000	2 0.10000e+03 20000e+	
0.10000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 E2020202020200000000000000000000000000	2 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 2055e+01 0.97982e+03 0.89734e+03 4424e+00 0.95842e+03 4424e+00 0.95842e+03 4424e+02 0.95931e+03 2941e+02 0.97951e+03 2941e+02 0.97951e+03 2941e+02 0.97951e+03 2941e+02 0.97951e+03 2941e+02 0.97979e+03 2941e+02 0.80110e+03 23560e+02 0.80110e+03 2393e+02 0.80110e+03 2393e+02 0.80110e+03 2393e+02 0.80110e+03 2393e+02 0.80021e+03 3336e+02 0.80021e+03 3336e+02 0.80021e+03 3336e+02 0.80021e+03 23356e+02 0.80021e+03 2356e+02 0.80	
0.10000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 00000e+03 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 2202020200 PSAT PCAP (PA) (PA) (PA) (K 0.16076e-04 0.23375e+04 0. 0.1 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	2 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2	
0.10000e+03 1 4 4 2 0.16455e+07 0.10000e+02 0eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	0.14654e+02 0.11514e-05 19 EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE	2 0.10000e+03 22 0.10000e+03 222222222222222222222222222222222222	

Figure 9. Selected output for problem 1.

Ţ

7.2 Problem No. 2 – Heat Pipe in Cylindrical Geometry

Heat pipes are systems in which an efficient heat transfer takes place by means of a liquid-vapor counterflow process, with vaporization and condensation occurring at the hot and cold ends, respectively. Heat pipe processes occur naturally on a large scale (kilometers) in two-phase geothermal reservoirs, and they may be induced artificially if heat-generating nuclear waste packages are emplaced above the water table in partially saturated geologic formations.

The present problem models such high-level nuclear waste emplacement in an approximate way. The TOUGH2 input file for use with the EOS3 fluid property module is shown in Fig. 10. It specifies a cylindrical heater of 0.3 m radius and 4.5 m height, that provides a constant output of 3 kW into a porous medium with uniform initial conditions of 18°C temperature, 1 bar pressure, and 20% gas saturation. The MESHMAKER module is used to generate a one-dimensional radial grid of 120 active elements extending to a radius of 10,000 m (practically infinite for the time scales of interest here), with an additional inactive element of zero volume representing constant boundary conditions. Properly speaking, the problem represents one unit of an infinite linear string of identical heaters; if a single heater were to be modeled, important end effects would occur at the top and bottom, and a two-dimensional R-Z grid would have to be used.

Most of the formation parameters are identical to data used in previous modeling studies of high-level nuclear waste emplacement at Yucca Mountain (Pruess *et al.*, 1990). As we do not include fracture effects in the present simulation, heat pipe effects would be very weak at the low rock matrix permeabilities (of order 1 microdarcy) encountered at Yucca Mountain. To get a more interesting behavior, we have arbitrarily increased absolute permeability by something like a factor 10,000, to 20 millidarcy, and for consistency have reduced capillary pressures by a factor $(10,000)^{1/2} = 100$ in comparison to typical Yucca Mountain data.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			6 C		
的目的	, adama -	nation.	나는 말 바라 가지 않는 것이다.		en para printa de la	÷.

RHP 1-D F	ADIAL HEA	T PIPE			o cyhoes jus	2 de Colegeration
MESHMAKER1- RZ2D		*3	4 4		ertable or essa	••••••••**••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	2 - 2 - 543 C - 75		n 19. 19. Stand - Asia	to X (15)	kersji gode ^k r	an an the state of
LOGAR	.3	an shaa	1961:1515	t frige sm	ilan Asal	en de la companya de
99 Logar 20	1.E2	55 y. 496 (f.		Vice to M	n an airte an	
	0.0		antes de		na an a	<u></u>
1 1 4.5	:× *× :	 0		ar :{-;	entre states en el	n a na na sina a na sina sa sina sina sina sina sina sina sina s
ROCKS1-	*2	* 3				-*
START1-		- 3	4	\$5-	····*····6	-+ <u>7</u> +{
PARAM1- 2 250	2500000	*3 30000000002 -1	47 1		*6	-*7*{
1.E3 1.E-5	9.E3 1.EØØ 1.E5	9.E4	4.E5		1.E-7 18.	
RPCAP1	Ø.45000 Ø.45000	9.6E-4 1.ØE-3	4 1. 8.0E-05	\$5- 5.E8	·····6 1.	-*7*{
TIMES1- 3	1.055058	* 3		*5-	*6	-*7*{
INCON1-		3.105/020	4		6	-*7*{
SENER1-	*2	*3	HEAT	5- 3.E3	*6	-*{
ENDCY1-	*2-		4	5-	*6	-+7+{

Figure 10. Input file for problem 2 - heat pipe in cylindrical geometry.

The reason for choosing a constant rate of heat generation rather than accounting for the natural decline of high-level wastes is that this way the heat pipe problem admits a semi-analytical solution in terms of the similarity variable $r/(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (O'Sullivan, 1981; Doughty and Pruess, 1990): Under the stated conditions, the partial differential equations for this complex transient two-phase flow problem can be transformed into a set of ordinary differential equations in the variable $r/(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which can be easily solved to any degree of accuracy desired by means of one-dimensional numerical integration. Comparison with the similarity solution affords a rather comprehensive code verification, as all of the non-linearities of two-phase flow behavior (relative permeability and capillary pressure) and of fluid and heat flow coupling are rigorously described by the similarity solution. Figure 11 shows profiles of temperature, gas phase pressure, liquid saturation, and air mass fraction as a function of $z = \log[r/(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$. The dotted line labeled "coarse mesh" represents the conditions obtained from TOUGH2 with the input file of Fig. 10 after ten years $(3.15576 \times 10^8 \text{ sec})$. In order to examine space discretization effects, two additional runs were made, labeled "medium mesh" and "fine mesh," respectively. The MESH-MAKER input data for these runs are shown in Fig. 12; otherwise the input file was the same as in Fig. 10 (except for unimportant differences in printout times). Figure 13 compares the fine mesh results (points) with the exact similarity solution as calculated by C. Doughty (Doughty and Pruess, 1991). The agreement is excellent.



Figure 11. Profiles of temperature, pressure, liquid saturation, and air mass fraction for problem 2.

and the second second

al star star a line.

AND THE STREET STREET

- 58 -



methics year and an and an and a state and a state of the second s

Figure 12. MESHMAKER input for finer gridding in problem 2.

serversher and the Harden of the star with the light of the star with the total and the total and the

Figure 14 reproduces the summary of program units used in sample problem 2, as printed at the end of the output file. Besides documenting the versions and dates of subroutines, this listing is instructive as a record of the calling sequence of program units during execution.

The input file as shown in Fig. 10 can also be executed with the EOS4 fluid property module, which includes vapor pressure lowering effects. Part of the output generated


Figure 13. Comparison of TOUGH2 results with similarity solution.

onandh Lugard Ó

when running with EOS4 is shown in Fig. 15; this can serve as a benchmarking reference for the EOS4 module. The results are actually quite similar to those obtained with EOS3, except that because of very strong vapor pressure lowering effects, drying-out near the heater is slowed.

rengen en en van mar het diese waarde viere die eerste die eerste die kerke die kerke die kerke gebeerde.

and the second of the second second

and the second second strategies and

- 60 -

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM UNITS USED VERSION DATE COMMENTS UNIT 15 APRIL OPEN FILES #VERS#, #MESH#, #INCON#, #GENER#, #SAVE#, #LINE@#, AND #TABLE# 10 1.0 1991 化物合物起义 法财产成为行人群 TOUGH2 1.0 29 MARCH 1991 MAIN PROGRAM READ ALL DATA PROVIDED THROUGH FILE +INPUT+ INPUT 1.0 11 APRIL 1991 4. 6 EXECUTIVE ROUTINE FOR INTERNAL MESH GENERATION MESHM 24 MAY 1990 1.0 CALCULATE 2-D R-Z MESH FROM INPUT DATA RZ2D 1.0 9 APRIL 1991 WRZ2D 1.0 26 MARCH 1991 NRITE DATA FOR 2-D R-Z MESH ON FILE #MESH# PRZ2D 1.0 27 MARCH 1991 NAKE STRUCTURED PRINTOUT OF 2-D R-Z MESH CALCULATE NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT DIGITS FOR FLOATING POINT ARITHMETIC 11 APRIL 1991 FLOP 1:0 INITIALIZE DATA FROM FILES #MESH: OR #MINC+, #GENER+, AND #INCON# 23 APRIL 1991 RFILE 1.0 8. - A - A CYCIT 1.0 5 MARCH 1991 EXECUTIVE ROUTINE FOR MARCHING IN TIME *EDS3* ... THERNOPHYSICAL PROPERTIES NODULE FOR WATER/AIR 28 NARCH 1991 EOS 1.0 ÷. 1,0 22 JANUARY 1990 STEAM TABLE EQUATION: SATURATION PRESSURE AS FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE SAT CONAT 22 JANUARY 1990 LIQUID WATER DENSITY AND INT. ENERGY AS FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURE 1.0 VAPOR DENSITY AND INTERNAL ENERGY AS FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURE SUPST 1.0 29 JANUARY 1990 VISCOSITY OF LIQUID WATER AS FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURE VISW 1.0 22 JANUARY 1990 . VISCO CALCULATE VISCOSITY OF VAPOR-AIR MIXTURES 1.0 1 FEBRUARY 1990 COVIS 1.0 1 FEBRUARY, 1990 COEFFICIENT FOR GAS PHASE VISCOSITY CALCULATION 22 JANUARY 1990 **VISCOSITY OF VAPOR AS FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURE** VISS 1.0 LIQUID AND GAS PHASE RELATIVE PERNEABILITIES AS FUNCTIONS OF SATURATION RELP 1.0 25 JANUARY 1990 PCAP 1991 CAPILLARY PRESSURE AS FUNCTION OF SATURATION 4 NARCH 1.0 Sugar C BALLA 1991 PERFORM SUMMARY BALANCES FOR VOLUME, MASS, AND ENERGY 1.0 **5 MARCH** 4 MARCH 1991 ADJUST TIME STEPS TO COINCIDE WITH USER-DEFINED TARGET TIMES TSTEP 1.0 والأرقاب والمراجعات . . ASSEMBLE ALL ACCUMULATION AND FLOW TERMS 30 MARCH 1991 KULTI 1.0 QU 1.0 22 JANUARY 1990 ASSEMBLE ALL SOURCE AND SINK TERMS General LINER : - 1.0 22 JANUARY 1990 INTERFACE FOR THE LINEAR EQUATION SOLVER MA28. CTESSO NCIPA HARWELL SUBROUTINE FOR SCALING MATRIX 8-50 1991 UPDATE PRIMARY VARIABLES AFTER CONVERGENCE IS ACHIEVED CONVER : 1.0 4 MARCH CALCULATE VAPOR PRESSURE, DENSITY, INT. ENERGY AS F(P,T,X) PP 1.0 1 FEBRUARY 1990 1.041.1.1 13-3-1-1-1-4-5(2)-4-5-5-1-1-5-2.2.2.2 OUT 1.0 5 MARCH 1991 PRINT RESULTS FOR ELEMENTS, CONNECTIONS, AND SINKS/SOURCES 1990 AT THE COMPLETION OF A TOUGH2 RUN, WRITE PRIMARY VARIABLES ON FILE *SAVE* WRIFI 1.0 22 JANUARY

Figure 14. Calling sequence of program units in problem 2.

- 61 -



Figure 15. Selected output for problem 2 run with EOS4.

- 62 -

RHP 1-D RADIAL HEAT PIPE

DUTPUT DATA AFTER (28, 5)-2-TIME STEPS

 TOTAL TIME
 KCYC
 ITER
 ITERC
 KON
 DX1N
 DX2N
 DX3N
 RERM
 NER
 KER
 DELTEX

 0.31558e+08
 28
 5
 163
 2
 0.686916e+03
 0.190436e-01
 0.263553e+04
 0.709968e-05
 2
 1
 0.105760e+07

OELEND (INDEX CORP. BUCKWENT TO BER LISGOPPORTO SLAFARD XAIRGOR OVAIRLO) AND PAIR AND PORP. CORP. DOB PERSON DL

A1 12111 1 0.14349e+06 0.16750e+03 0.99874e+00 0.12594e-02 0.39765e-24 0.57648e-29 0.35863e-19-0.30149e+09 0.71286e+00 0.89983e+03 0. A1 2 2 0.14349e+06 0.11394e+03 0.99678e+00 0.32193e-02 0. 0. -0.21872e+08 0.81859e+00 0.94765e+03 0. A1 3 3 0.12974e+06 0.10710e+03 0.86159e+00 0.13841e+00 0. 0. -0.14028e+06 0.75318e+00 0.95289e+03 4 0.11847e+06 0.10445e+03 0.60744e+00 0.39056e+00 0.28847e-15 0.34780e-20 0.21637e-10-0.36757e+05 0.69187e+00 0.95487e+03 A1 4 A1 `5 5 0.10947e+06 0.10218e+03 0.41314e+00 0.58686e+00 0.26427e-10 0.29414e-15 0.18299e-05-0.19639e+05 0.64255e+00 0.95654e+03 A1 6 6 0.10179e+06 0.10013e+03 0.22292e+00 0.77708e+00 0.26538e-05 0.27444e-10 0.17073e+00-0.10691e+05 0.60028e+00 0.95803e+03 A1 7 7 0.10004e+06 0.92618e+02 0.17379e+00 0.82621e+00 0.31731e+00 0.36439e-05 0.22669e+05-0.88079e+04 0.68029e+00 0.96334e+03 A1 8 0.10004e+06 0.84644e+02 0.17497e+00 0.82503e+00 0.54566e+00 0.69191e-05 0.43044e+05-0.88525e+04 0.76792e+00 0.96869e+03 9 0.10004e+06 0.77696e+02 0.17612e+00 0.8238Be+00 0.67787e+00 0.91519e-05 0.56934e+05-0.88957e+04 0.83381e+00 0.97309e+03 A1 9 10 0.10004e+06 0.71563e+02 0.17724e+00 0.82276e+00 0.76157e+00 0.10724e-04 0.66715e+05-0.89379e+04 0.88515e+00 0.97678e+03 A1 10 A1 11 11 0.100048+06 0.660978+02 0.178338+00 0.821678+00 0.817798+00 0.118608-04 0.737828+05-0.897938+04 0.926308+00 0.979908+03 A1 12 12 0.10004e+06 0.61193e+02 0.17941e+00 0.82059e+00 0.85718e+00 0.12698e-04 0.78997e+05-0.90200e+04 0.96008e+00 0.98256e+03 A1 13 13 0.10004e+06 0.56766e+02 0.18048e+00 0.81952e+00 0.88570e+00 0.13329e-04 0.82921e+05-0.90604e+04 0.98840e+00 0.98483e+03 A1 14 14 0.10004e+06 0.52757e+02 0.18154e+00 0.81846e+00 0.90688e+00 0.13811e-04 0.85917e+05-0.91004e+04 0.10125e+01 0.98678e+03 A1 15 15 0.10004e+06 0.49116e+02 0.18259e+00 0.81741e+00 0.92292e+00 0.14184e-04 0.88238e+05-0.91402e+04 0.10333e+01 0.48847e+03 A1 16 16 0.10004e+06 0.45806e+02 0.18363e+00 0.81637e+00 0.93528e+00 0.14476e-04 0.90055e+05-0.91798e+04 0.10515e+01 0.98992e+03 17 0.10004e+06 0.42794e+02 0.18467e+00 0.81533e+00 0.94493e+00 0.14707e-04 0.91494e+05-0.92192e+04 0.10674e+01 0.99118e+03 A1 17 18 0.10004e+06 0.40052e+02 0.18571e+00 0.81429e+00 0.95258e+00 0.14892e-04 0.92645e+05-0.92584e+04 0.10816e+01 0.99227e+03 A1 18 A1 19 19 0.10004e+06 0.37558e+02 0.18673e+00 0.81327e+00 0.95869e+00 0.15041e-04 0.93573e+05-0.92974e+04 0.10942e+01 0.99321e+03 A1 20 20 0.10004e+06 0.35291e+02 0.18775e+00 0.81225e+00 0.94362e+00 0.15163e-04 0.94327e+05-0.93359e+04 0.11054e+01 0.99402e+03 A1 21 21 0.10004e+06 0.33235e+02 0.18875e+00 0.81125e+00 0.96763e+00 0.15262e-04 0.94944e+05-0.93739e+04 0.11155e+01 0.99472e+03 A1 22 22 0.10004e+06 0.31374e+02 0.18973e+00 0.81027e+00 0.97092e+00 0.15343e-04 0.95452e+05-0.94112e+04 0.11244e+01 0.99533e+03 A1 23 23 0.10004e+06 0.29693e+02 0.19069e+00 0.80931e+00 0.97363e+00 0.15411e-04 0.95872e+05-0.94476e+04 0.11325e+01 0.99585e+03 A1 24 24 0.10004e+06 0.28181e+02 0.19161e+00 0.80839e+00 0.97587e+00 0.13467e-04 0.96221e+05-0.94828e+04 0.11397e+01 0.99629e+03 A1 25 25 0.10004e+06 0.26824e+02 0.19250e+00 0.80750e+00 0.97774e+00 0.15514e-04 0.96511e+05-0.95166e+04 0.11461e+01 0.99667e+03 A1 26 26 0.10004e+06 0.25613e+02 0.19334e+00 0.80666e+00 0.97930e+00 0.15553e-04 0.96755e+05-0.9548Be+04 0.11518e+01 0.99700e+03 A1 27 27 0.10004e+06 0.24534e+02 0.19414e+00 0.80586e+00 0.98060e+00 0.15586e-04 0.96959e+05-0.95792e+04 0.11569e+01 0.99728e+03 28 0.10004e+06 0.23579e+02 0.19488e+00 0.80512e+00 0.98170e+00 0.15613e-04 0.97130e+05-0.96076e+04 0.11614e+01 0.99752e+03 A1 28 29 0.10004e+06 0.22736e+02 0.19557e+00 0.80443e+00 0.98262e+00 0.15636e-04 0.97274e+05-0.96337e+04 0.11653e+01 0.99772e+03 A1 29 A1 30 30 0.10004e+06 0.21998e+02 0.19620e+00 0.80380e+00 0.98339e+00 0.15656e-04 0.97395e+05-0.96577e+04 0.1168Be+01 0.99789e+03 31 0.10004e+06 0.21354e+02 0.19676e+00 0.80324e+00 0.98404e+00 0.15672e-04 0.97497e+05-0.96794e+04 0.11718e+01 0.99803e+03 A1 31 A1 32 32 0.10004e+06 0.20796e+02 0.19727e+00 0.80273e+00 0.98458e+00 0.15686e-04 0.97582e+05-0.96987e+04 0.11744e+01 0.99816e+03 A1 33 33 0.10004e+06 0.20315e+02 0.19772e+00 0.80228e+00 0.98503e+00 0.15697e-04 0.97653e+05-0.97159e+04 0.11766e+01 0.97826e+03 A1 34 34 0.10004e+06 0.19903e+02 0.19811e+00 0.80189e+00 0.98541e+00 0.15707e-04 0.97713e+05-0.97309e+04 0.11785e+01 0.99834e+03 A1 35 35 0.10003e+06 0.19554e+02 0.19845e+00 0.80155e+00 0.98573e+00 0.15715e-04 0.97762e+05-0.97438e+04 0.11802e+01 0.99841e+03 A1 36 36 0.10003e+06 0.19259e+02 0.19874e+00 0.80126e+00 0.98599e+00 0.15721e-04 0.97803e+05-0.97549e+04 0.11815e+01 0.99847e+03 A1 37 37 0.10003e+06 0.19012e+02 0.19898e+00 0.80102e+00 0.98621e+00 0.15727e-04 0.97837e+05-0.97643e+04 0.11827e+01 0.99852e+03 A1 38 38 0.10003e+06 0.18806e+02 0.19919e+00 0.8008ie+00 0.98638e+00 0.1573ie-04 0.97865e+05-0.97722e+04 0.11836e+01 0.97856e+03 A1 39 39 0.10003e+06 0.18638e+02 0.19936e+00 0.80064e+00 0.98653e+00 0.15735e-04 0.97887e+05-0.97787e+04 0.11844e+01 0.99859e+03 A1 40 40 0.10003e+06 0.18500e+02 0.19950e+00 0.80050e+00 0.98665e+00 0.15738e-04 0.97905e+05-0.97841e+04 0.11851e+01 0.99862e+03 A1 41 41 0.10003e+06 0.1838Be+02 0.19961e+00 0.80039e+00 0.98674e+00 0.15740e-04 0.97920e+05-0.978B4e+04 0.11856e+01 0.99864e+03 A1 42 42 0.10003e+06 0.18298e+02 0.19970e+00 0.80030e+00 0.98681e+00 0.15742e-04 0.97931e+05-0.97918e+04 0.11860e+01 0.99866e+03 A1 43 43 0.10003e+06 0.18227e+02 0.19977e+00 0.80023e+00 0.98687e+00 0.15743e-04 0.97940e+05-0.97946e+04 0.11863e+01 0.99867e+03 A1 44 44 0.10003e+06 0.18171e+02 0.19983e+00 0.80017e+00 0.98692e+00 0.15745e-04 0.97947e+05-0.97967e+04 0.11866e+01 0.99868e+03

Figure 15. (continued) Selected output for problem 2 run with EOS4.

7.3 Problem No. 3 - Heat Sweep in a Vertical Fracture

In many geothermal fields there is evidence of rapid migration of injected fluids along "preferential flow paths," presumably along fractures. The present problem is designed to study thermal interference along such paths, by modeling nonisothermal injection into and production from a single vertical fracture, as illustrated in Fig. 16 (from Pruess and Bodvarsson, 1984). The fracture is bounded by semi-infinite halfspaces of impermeable rock, which provide a conductive heat supply. Initial temperature is 300°C throughout. Water at 100°C temperature is injected at one side of the fracture at a constant rate of 4 kg/s. Production against a specified wellbore pressure occurs at the other side, at a distance of 240 m from the injection point. Problem parameters are given in Table 11, and the TOUGH2 input file for injecting at point I' and producing at point P is shown in Fig. 17.



Figure 16. Schematic diagram of injection-production system in vertical fracture. I and I' are injection points, P and P' production points.

1. 1 . 1. 1. 7	Rock	<u></u>
para di seri dan Barana di seri dan Ta	Thermal conductivity	2.1 W/m°C
	Specific heat	1000 J/kg°C
	Density	2650 kg/m^3
t and the second the	Permeability	Andreas O range State
	Fracture	
	Height	200 m
	Length	240 m and 240 m
n an an an Anna an Anna. Francasa an Anna an Anna	Aperture	0.04 m
المتكلم والمكرم والمراجع	Permeability	$200 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2 (\approx 200 \text{ darcy})$
na an a	Porosity	50 %
	Initial Conditions	
	Temperature	300°C
	Pressure	hydrostatic profile
gina ¹ ana ang P	Average pressure	100 bar
	Injection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Enthalpy	4.2×10^5 J/kg (appr. 100°C)
	Rate The tradition attended	an we get the 4 kg/s recently the state
	Production	
	Productivity index	$4 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{m}^3$
い。 北海村、30、67日3	Flowing pressure	96.5 bar distance interest

Table 11. Parameters for Fracture Flow Problem

1.53

A special feature of the problem is that the semi-analytical method is used to describe heat conduction in the confining layers (see Section 5.4), reducing the dimensionality of the problem from 3-D to 2-D. Water remains in single-phase liquid conditions throughout, so that no data block 'RPCAP' for relative permeabilities and capillary pressures is needed. We will be a set of and a set of a set o

The problem uses the EOS1 fluid property module, and is run in three separate seg-지않는 ments. A first run performs mesh generation only, using the MESHMAKER/XYZ module. For this run, the data records from 'MESHM' through 'ENDFI' in the input file are inserted right behind the first record with the problem title. The mesh consists of 12 horizontal by 10 vertical blocks of 20 m \times 20 m. Ordinarily, we would specify NX = 12

ACT	265Ø.	.50	200.E-12	200.E-12	200.E-12	0.00	1000
NBD	2650.	.00	Ø.E-12	Ø.E-12	Ø.E-12	2.1	1000
ART1	-+2	-*3	*4	*5-	*6	*7	*
RAM1	-+2	-*3	*4	*5-	*	*7	*
1 40	991000000	00000021				- 2	
1.E2	9.E2	9.E3	9.E4	9.E5	9.81 2.E6	5.E6	
1.6-5	100 F5	-1	300		a de la companya de la		
NER1	-+2	-*3	*4	*5-	*6	+7	*
8 1INJ 1		-	MASS	4.	4.2E5		
312PRO 1			DELV	4.E-12	9.65E6		
h av		1999 - Barrison Barrison († 1999) 1997 - Barrison († 1999) 1997 - Barrison († 1999)					
				E.			
CON1							
LTI1	-+2	-*3		+5-	*6	7	*
1 1	2 6		an a fair i		and the second		
DCY1	-*2	-*3	*4	*5-		+7	*
SHMAKER1	-*2	-*3	*4	*5-	*6	*7	*
2 08							
12	20.					. (
10	20.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			د و دهورو	2	
1	.04				· / · · · ·		
		2	с. К.		1 - L		

Figure 17. Input file for problem 3 – heat sweep in a vertical fracture.

and NZ = 10 to make such a mesh; however, special considerations arise here because we desire appropriate surface areas for heat conduction to be placed in the MESH file. By default, in the MESHMAKER/XYZ module the interface areas with impermeable confining beds are always taken to be in the X-Y plane, so that in a mesh with vertical Z-axis the interface areas for conductive heat transfer will be assigned to the top and bottom boundaries. To properly assign the desired lateral heat transfer areas, the mesh is generated as an X-Y mesh (NX = 12, NY = 10, NZ = 1), and the Y-axis is specified to make an angle of 90° with the horizontal, i.e., to point in the vertical direction. The MESHMAKER input terminates on 'ENDFI', to bypass the flow simulation and to limit processing to mesh generation only. Figure 18 shows the mesh pattern printout generated by TOUGH2. The elements 'A13 1' and 'A18 1' correspond to the injection points I and I', respectively, in Fig. 16, while 'A1312' and 'A1812' correspond to the production points P and P'. The MESH file is then edited, and a "dummy" element of zero volume is appended at the end of the ELEME block, to provide the thermal data for the conductive boundaries. This element belongs to domain # 2 (specify MA2 = 2); and is initialized with the default conditions of 300°C temperature.

events, or filler of each tradition and the contract the contract management to an

self pellapone more prize the College of the animal first in them no concern much en-

Verrais as the say, get as similared have a straight merical in these sets of the series of Maria

IN EACH MESH SLICE, ROWS WILL BD FROM J = 1 TO J = NY = 10

TN EACH ROW, COLUMNS WILL GO FROM

SLICE WITH K = 1

- 이번을 내려요.

.18 19 COLUMN I = 1 20 ROWS A11 1 A11 2 A11 3 A11 4 A11 5 A11 6 A11 7 A11 8 A11 7 A1110 A1111 A1112 1 = 21 A12 1 A12 2 A12 3 A12 4 A12 5 A12 6 A12 7 A12 8 A12 9 A1210 A1211 A1212 CE 20 A12 1 1 = A13 1 A13 2 A13 3 A13 4 A13 5 A13 6 A13 7 A13 8 A13 9 A1310 A1311 A1312 1 = 3 A14,1 A14 2 A14 3 A14 4 A14 5 A14 6 A14 7 A14 8 A14 9 A1410 A1411 A1412 Area and a and a and a and a and a and a 3 = 5 A15 1 A15 2 A15 3 A15 4 A15 5 A15 6 A15 7 A15 8 A15 9 A1510 A1511 A1512 3 = 6 A16 1 A16 2 A16 3 A16 4 A16 5 A16 6 A16 7 A16 8 A16 9 A1610 A1611 A1612 3 = 7 A17 1 A17 2 A17 3 A17 4 A17 5 A17 6 A17 7 A17 8 A17 9 A1710 A1711 A1712 .1 = 8 A18 1 A18 2 A18 3 A18 4 A18 5 A18 6 A18 7 A18 8 A18 9 A1810 A1811 A1812 3 . 9 PAIP 1 A19 2 A19 3 A19 4 A19 5 A19 6 A19 7 A19 8 A19 9 A1910 A1911 A1912 TETELOGI CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR] = 10 AIA 1 AIA 2 AIA 3 AIA 4 AIA 5 AIA 6 AIA 7 AIA 8 AIA 9 AIAI0 AIAI1 AIAI2 uter s Mille sheater cohequers whit here we cliste backets, while and she shaked as a prevers

MESH GENERATION COMPLETE --- EXIT FROM MODULE +MESHNAKER*

er minstehte genamissen och en tropassio sambrodiasi modanika jabiliko koho (s. 1956) 204 (st

Figure 18. TOUGH2 printout of mesh pattern in problem 3.

motion protocount include in the distance of the protocol fraction by an information of

The next processing step calculates a hydrostatic pressure equilibrium in the fracture under isothermal conditions. This calculation uses the modified MESH file obtained above, and requires several small modifications in the input file of Fig. 17. The time step counter MCYC is changed from 40 to 4, as 4 time steps are sufficient to obtain an accurate gravity equilibrium. The generation items need to be removed, which can be achieved by deleting them from the input file or, more simply, by changing the 'A' in the host element names to 'B', so that generation will then occur in elements B18 1 and B1312, which are "unknown" (not present in the MESH data) and hence will be ignored. The convergence tolerance RE1 is changed from 1×10^{-5} to 1×10^{-8} , to achieve a tighter control on gravity equilibrium. The 'ENDCY' statement preceding the 'INCON' record is removed, to enforce default initial conditions by way of an empty INCON data block, and to engage the MULTI data block with specifications of (NK,NEQ,NPH,NB) = (1,1,2,6) for an isothermal calculation (mass balance only). We also set MOP(15) = 0, to disengage the semi-analytical heat exchange calculation. Gravity equilibration results in a pressure trend ranging from 106.34175 bars in the bottom row of grid blocks (A1A 1, A1A 2, ..., A1A12), to 93.70950 bars in the top row (A11 1, ..., A1112).

The subsequent production/injection run uses the input file exactly as given in Fig. 17, with the MESH file as used in the gravity equilibration. The SAVE file produced by the gravity equilibration run is renamed file INCON, and used for initialization, after removing the last two records and replacing them with a blank record, to reset time step and simulation time counters to zero. The specified maximum time of 1.57788×10^8 seconds (5 years) is reached after 37 time steps; at this time production occurs with a rate of 3.9998 kg/s and an enthalpy of 0.87031 MJ/kg; temperature in the producing element is 203.25°C. A plot of the transient temperature changes at the producing element is given in Fig. 19.

The fracture production/injection problem lends itself to several interesting extensions and variations. These can be implemented by means of small modifications in the input file and are mentioned here without giving calculational results. For example, the problem could be restarted with a zero injection rate, to examine the rate of temperature recovery in the production block. Initial conditions could be chosen appropriate for



通知学 翻りた動きを重要する 真ない 海洋運転 となからしがた 受触ぬ時の とうさか との取っ 気味

Figure 19. Produced fluid temperature versus time for vertical fracture problem.

depleted zones in vapor-dominated reservoirs, e.g., $T = 240^{\circ}C$, P = 8 bars, to examine injection response with strong vaporization effects. Note that for injection into vapordominated systems, two-phase conditions will evolve and a data block 'RPCAP' with relative permeability and capillary pressure data will be required. We point out that strong grid orientation effects may arise when modeling water injection into vapordominated resevoirs and careful mesh design or inclusion of diffusive effects (capillary pressures) is required to obtain realistic results (Pruess, 1991). Parameters (NK,NEQ,NPH,NB) = (2,3,2,6) could be used with injection type 'COM2' instead of 'MASS', to inject ''water 2'' and thereby track the advance and arrival of injected water at the production point. The problem could also be run with the EOS2 fluid property module, with some CO_2 initially present in the reservoir fluid, to study the changes in non-condensible gas content of produced fluids in response to injection.

7.4 Problem No. 4 - Five-Spot Geothermal Production/Injection

In geothermal reservoir development, production and injection wells are often sited in more or less regular geometric patterns. The present problem considers a "large" well field with wells arranged in a "five-spot" configuration (Fig. 20). Because of symmetry only 1/8 of the basic pattern needs to be modeled. The computational grid was generated by means of a separate preprocessor program which has not yet been integrated into the TOUGH2 package. The grid has six rows, each containing between one and eleven elements, for a total of thirty-six volume elements (see Fig. 20); for simplicity, only a single layer of 305 m thickness is modeled. The problem specifications as given in Table 12 correspond to conditions that may typically be encountered in deeper zones of hot and fairly tight fractured two-phase reservoirs (Pruess, 1983c; Pruess and Narasimhan, 1985).



Figure 20. Five-spot well pattern with grid for modeling a 1/8 symmetry domain.

1

- 71 -

실험 한 것 수 없는 것 같아. 같이 많이 했다.
 Table 12.
 Parameters for Five-spot Problem
 使使 化乙酰胺 Formation Strates ... 2650 kg/m^3 Rock grain density Specific heat 1000 J/kg°C Heat conductivity 2.1 W/m°C Permeable volume fraction 2% 50% Porosity in permeable domain Impermeable blocks: cubes with side length 50 m, 250 m $6.0 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}^2$ Effective permeability 305 m Thickness Relative permeability: Corey curves with $S_{lr} = 0.30, S_{vr} = 0.05$ Initial temperature 300°C Initial liquid saturation 0.99 Initial pressure 85.93 bar Production/Injection 1 km^2 Pattern area Distance between producers and injectors 707.1 m Production rate 30 kg/s Injection rate 30 kg/s Injection enthalpy 500 kJ/kg

Full well basis

The INPUT file for use with the EOS1 fluid property module (Fig. 21) models the system as a fractured medium with embedded impermeable matrix blocks in the shape of cubes (partition type 'THRED' with three equal fracture spacings). The matrix blocks were assigned a non-vanishing porosity of 10^{-10} , so that they will contain a small amount of water. This will have no noticeable impact on fluid and heat flows, but it prevents the

	ROCK	S	[• *****	2	****	3	4 1 2 12	4 4 -	4-	وخفة	≓ ≢ + ⁵	e ⇒12 €	5	*		6-		• ••• •• •	- 7 -		1
	POME	2		2650	•		.01		6.	E-15		6.	2-18	5	6	. E-	-15			2.1		100
	MATR	I Xirana i	a en este	265Ø 265Ø		1.1	.50 E-10		0.1	E-15 E-15	, it	Ø.1	E-18 E-18	> 5{:1	ø	Ē	-15			2 - 1 2 - 1 - 1	1	100
	STAR	T		* -	2		3-	,		4.			E	5	*		6-		*	7-		*
	PARA	N	وسترتب	• • ::::-:-:-	- 2		3.	ب فر				****	4E	5	•		- 6-		*	7-		<u> </u>
۲.	1	99	1.15	99000 1852F	0000 9	0000	-1	47	Ø 1551	76E7	KA	1										
	مرجع	_1_E	Soli is	ind.	5.43		Ġ.	283) 283				- 72 yr	300		÷.	1 6	i de la composición d El composición de la c	e * 14	3,45			
		1.6-0	•	300	<u>.</u>				(0.01						5	E5			_		
	RPCA	8 1 8 2000	.30	aadii	2 .Ø5	••• • •• [15]	3-		-+-	4 -	777	••		 	•		6-		•	7-)
	TTME	1			1.		3-			4-		- - -	F	5	~ - •		6-			7-		•
	TIME	2 _	2		-	• •	3-							,			0					
£2 (1) 4	1.5 GENEI	7788E8 310303	3 7,8 [1111]	894ØE	8 2		3-	أستجا	⊾¥≆-		An	n. (1 . 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	6	5		نه بيد جا	-8-	(الم الله الله ال	.	7-		j - i
	AA :	INJ		*13-63	, Nor		dia.		: M	ASS	pt E.		3.75	5 :	12.	5.0	9E5		(- M2	9e.		
125	KA	LPRO 1	<u>و دورو</u> ا	6 (4) 7 8 1	ijet i	21 8 4 4 1	n týr t		M/	ASS	4 k na	on i	3.7E	5	5.50	<u>144</u>	1.	a₹- i	. 212		i se te	rud (s
	ELEM	E1	L	+	2		3-		- #	4-		•	6	;			-6		• <u>-</u>	-7-		
	- AA] - DA 1	L .		POUC	レタ・1 Dタ 7	825L	-+000	9.12 3 64	2001 0000	-+104				Ø.	707	18-	0,20	•		0	152	:0C+ /5F-
	CA	i -		POME	DØ.7	625E	E+Ø60	9.50	øøø	E+Ø4				õ.	141	4E+	030			Ø	.152	25E+
	DA	ī		POME	DØ.7	625E	+060	3.50	0001	E+04				0.1	212	1E+	030			0	.152	25E+
	EA 1	L		POME	DØ.7	625E	+060	3.50	0001	E+Ø4				Ø.	282	8E.	030	•		Ø	.152	:5E+
, n.	FA	L PER LIPE	1.1462.	POME	DØ:7	625	+060	5.50	000	E+Ø4	ЦС.	1:0	in stij	Ø.	353	6E+	030	•		Ø	.152	25E+
	GA	L	<u>-</u> -	PUME	00.7	0200	:+000 	2.5	0001 8888	-+04				0	47.4 105	SE+ RE-	030	•		0	104	.0C+
		L		POME	DØ 7	6255	-+1/01 0R0).01 5 60	aaat	-04		신문	i de	0	585 585	764	030	•		ø	152	25E+
				POME	00.7	625F	+060	5.60	aaaf	+01				ø.	536	4E+	030	•		ŏ	.152	25E+
	KA	Ì		POME	DØ.1	906E	+060	5.1	25Ø	+04				ø.	707	1E+	030			Ø	.152	5E+
	BB 1	L		POME	DØ.7	625E	E+Ø60	9.50	ØØØ8	E+Ø4				Ø.	7Ø7	1E+	020	. 70	71E+	020	.152	25E+
	CB 1	L. S.		POME	00.1	525E	+070	5.10	0008	E+Ø6	n art ar		* <u>3</u> - 1,	0.	141	4E+	030	.70	11E+	020	.152	'2E+
	•••																					
	GF 1	p di te		PONE	โØ⊡7	625F	+060	1. 60	aaar	+014	י י יער יי אר	11:11:11	i i strite	0.0	124	3F+	030	28	8F4	030	152	5F+
	FF			POME	00.3	8126	+060	.28	500E	+Ø4			en en les les les les	Ø.:	353	6Ē+	030	.35	86E+	030	.152	:5E+
	HTXØ	5		POME)	n de Frit Le t	Ø.															
	CONNE	1			2		3-					•	5	1		44. A	-6-			-7-		
	AA 3	BA 1		1.1	1	2. S	10	3.3	536t	+020	1.35	361	:+02	0	07	81.+	05	÷., 1				
	DA J						20	1.30	5 3 6 F	;+W20 :+020	1.00	300	102	0.	215	751	05			÷.		-
	CAI	DA 1		< ?<;	1271 I.	. P.	10	.3	536E	+020	1.35	36E	+02	0.1	07	8E+	Ø5	· .				
	CA 1	CB 1					20	.36	536E	+020	.35	36E	+02	0.1	215	7E+	05	C		4.7		
· '.	• • •			; ĉ l		a la	4.1	`*§\^	4. U	ç e							e de la composición de					
	• • •											filise.				- y."	5. Y			1.7		÷
		05 1			G297.		10	់ខ្ល	38F	1020	36	265	100	0		75.	ØE				14	
	FE 1	FF 1					20	.35	536E	+020	.35	366	+Ø2	ø.	215	7E+	Ø5			2		Δ.
	INCON	1			2		3-				- 	•	5				-6-			-7-		جر غم میں
	MESHN	AKER1	: 1	2	2		3-		- #	4-		*	5				-6-	(-7-	*	
	MINC			·					1.1		1. 1.						÷ (*)	17	t d	É. S	$\{ e_{i}^{(i)} \}^{i}$	$v_{j,1}v_{j}$
	PART	THRED	NY AR	DELT	ÉNF.		n na stan References Na stan		13		, d	, 725.	1 1 1 1	i k	1912		С. С				15 7	
	D		ng ¹ na -	00	• R • •		20		бн., Б	.35				÷	÷* ,		1. 			· ···	e di s	
			 Max 201 		<u>،</u> ۷													141 J	調査者	$\{g_i\}$	1.1.1	Q1 - 5

Figure 21. Input file for problem 4 – five-spot production/injection. (Only part of ELEME and CONNE data blocks are shown.)

and have been and the second of the second of the second

water mass balance equation from degenerating into the singular form 0 = 0. The MESH-MAKER module is used to perform MINC-partitioning of the primary grid. The first MINC continuum, corresponding to the fracture domain, occupies a volume fraction of 0.02 and has an intrinsic porosity of 50%, for an effective fracture porosity of 1%. By inserting an 'ENDCY' record in front of the MESHMAKER data block, the MINC process can be disabled and the problem run as an effective porous medium. Figures 22 and

FILE *MINC* EXISTS --- OPEN AS AN OLD FILE Choice of Matrix-Matrix flow Mandling: "DFLT "

> THE OPTIONS ARE: " (DEFAULT), NO GLOBAL MATRIX-MATRIX FLOW; GLOBAL FLOW ONLY THROUGH FRACTURES "MMVER", GLOBAL MATRIX-MATRIX FLOW IN VERTICAL DIRECTION ONLY "MMALL", GLOBAL MATRIX-MATRIX FLOW IN ALL DIRECTIONS

CONTINUUM	IDENTIFIER	VOLUME	NODAL DISTANCE	INTERFACE AREA	INTERFACE DISTANCE FRON FRACTURES
1-FRACTURES	** .	0.20000e-01	0.		
			1	0.11760e+00	0.
2-MATRIX	*2 *	0.80000e-01	0.34984e+00		
		., .		0.11111e+00	0.69967e+00
3-KATRIX	#3#	0.20000e+00	0.97637e+00		
				0.93970e-01	0.26524e+01
4-MATRIX	#4#	0.35000e+00	0.23051e+01		
				0.59197e-01	0.72627e+01
5-NATRIX	±5+	0.35000e+00	0.35475e+01		

READ PRIMARY MESH FROM FILE *MESH*

THE PRIMARY MESH HAS 37 ELEMENTS (36 ACTIVE) AND 55 CONNECTIONS (INTERFACES) BETWEEN THEM

WRITE SECONDARY MESH ON FILE #MINC#

THE SECONDARY NESH HAS 181 ELEMENTS (180 ACTIVE) AND 199 CONNECTIONS (INTERFACES) BETWEEN THEM

NESH GENERATION COMPLETE --- EXIT FROM NODULE *NESHMAKER*

Figure 22. Output from MINC processing of problem 4.

23 show part of the printout of the MINC simulation run, and Fig. 24 gives temperature profiles along the line connecting the injection and production wells after 36.5 years. It is seen that the MINC results for 50 m fracture spacing are virtually identical to the porous medium results, while another MINC run for 250 m fracture spacing shows lower tem-

peratures, indicating a less complete thermal sweep.

We mention again a number of problem variations, that can be easily realized with small modifications in the input file. For example, heat exchange with confining beds can

be studied by setting MOP(15) = 1 (an appropriate inactive element to represent thermal

parameters has already been included in the input file). This would be expected to be of

minor significance for the porous medium and the D = 50 m fracture spacing cases, but could have major effects when fracture spacing is as large as 250 m. The problem could

be run with permeable matrix blocks; typical matrix permeabilities in fractured geother-

mal reservoirs are of the order of 1 to 10 microdarcies $(10^{-18} \text{ to } 10^{-17} \text{ m}^2)$. It would also be of interest to compare a "production only" case with various different injection

scenarios. The input file as is can also be run with the EOS2 module; the third primary

1. 6. 6

and the second of the

<u>nia 1913 (</u>

 $a_{i}\in I$

per la standard de la

variable would then specify a CO_2 partial pressure of 5 bars.

 $:\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$

te standelie

·输出,在于1993年1月

的现在分词 化合金合金合金

新的运行, 可能有可能的

n de la sector de la deservação Sector de la deservação de

la lorger (1 moldskor) og Samet som det som er

无论:"这个时间,这些这个问题。"

使用的过去式和过去分词

2. 经济资料的公式和利益

2.5

and the contraction of the second structure of the second s

建筑的 计选择公司通

an stà

14403512

1-14-54

4.44

KA 1(1, 6) ST = 0.100000e+06 DT = 0.100000e+06 DX1= -.187193e+06 DX2= 0.199814e+00 T = 298.440 P = 8405499. S = 0.209814e+00 KA 1(2, 4) ST = 0.200000e+06 DT = 0.100000e+06 DX1= -.299719e+06 DX2= 0.772233e-01 T = 295.886 P = 8105780. S = 0.287037e+00 KA 1(3, 5) ST = 0.400000e+06 DT = 0.200000e+06 DX1= -.633811e+06 DX2= 0.393106e-01 T = 290.238 P = 7471969. S = 0.326348e+00 KA 1(4, 4) ST = 0.600000e+06 DT = 0.200000e+06 DX1= -.748398e+06 DX2= 0.348865e-01 T = 283.077 P = 6723571. S = 0.361234e+00 KA 1(5, 6) ST = 0.100000e+07 DT = 0.400000e+06 DX1= -.995672e+06 DX2= 0.279254e-01 T = 272.539 P = 5727899. S = 0.389160e+00 KA 1(6, 4) ST = 0.140000e+07 DT = 0.400000e+06 DX1= -.198871e+06 DX2= -.139943e-01 T = 270.269 P = 5529028. S = 0.375165e+00 KA 1(7, 4) ST = 0.220000e+07 DT = 0.800000e+06 DX1= 0.148974e+06 DX2= -.263612e-01 T = 271.975 P = 5678001. S = 0.348804e+00 KA 11 8, 4) ST = 0.380000e+07 DT = 0.160000e+07 DX1= 0.104575e+06 DX2= -.140159e-01 T = 273.153 P = 5782577. S = 0.334788e+00 KA 1(9, 4) ST = 0.700000e+07 DT = 0.320000e+07 DX1= -.380368e+05 DX2= -.576194e-02 T = 272.726 P = 5744540. S = 0.329026e+00 KA 1(10, 5) ST = 0.134000e+08 DT = 0.640000e+07 DX1= -.264969e+05 DX2= -.714147e-02 T = 272.428 P = 5718043. S = 0.321885e+00 KA 11 11, 4) ST = 0.198000e+08 DT = 0.640000e+07 DX1= 0.268126e+06 DX2= -.181076e-01 T = 275.399 P = 5986169. S = 0.303777e+00 KA 1(12, 5) ST = 0.326000e+08 DT = 0.128000e+08 DX1= -.434483e+03 DX2= -.184620e-02 T = 275.394 P = 5985735. S = 0.301931e+00 KA 1(13, 4) ST = 0.454000e+08 DT = 0.128000e+08 DX1= -.720791e+05 DX2= 0.817454e-03 T = 274.606 P = 5913656. S = 0.302749e+00 KA 1(14, 5) ST = 0.710000e+08 BT = 0.256000e+08 BX1= -.277185e+06 BX2= 0.741485e-02 T = 271.503 P = 5636471. S = 0.310163e+00 KA 1(15, 5) ST = 0.966000e+08 DT = 0.256000e+08 DX1= -.108444e+06 DX2= 0.274836e-03 T = 270.257 P = 5528026. S = 0.310438e+00 KA 1(16, 5) ST = 0.122200e+09 BT = 0.256000e+08 DX1= 0.612782e+05 DX2= -.580427e-02 T = 270.964 P = 5589304. S = 0.304634e+00 KA 1(17, 4) ST = 0.147800e+09 DT = 0.256000e+08 DX1= -.139462e+06 DX2= 0.430498e-02 T = 269.348 P = 5449842. S = 0.308939e+00 KA 1(18, 4) ST = 0.157788e+09 DT = 0.998800e+07 DX1= 0.257186e+05 DX2= -.260333e-02 T = 269.648 P = 5475561. S = 0.306336e+00

1*RFP* - 36 BLOCKS PARALLEL FIVE-SPOT GRID (CF. SPE-18426)

OUTPUT DATA AFTER (18, 4)-2-TIME STEPS

THE TIME IS 0.18262e+04 DAYS

글러 글 아파 소리 가격 옷을 주셨다.

에 나는 나는 사람 주말

TOTAL TINE	KCYC	ITER	ITERC	KON	DXIN	DX2M	DX3M	1.1	
0.15779e+09	18	4	82	2	0.255909e+06	0.299964e+03 0.	ı.		0

RERM NER KER DELTEX 714387e-05 51 2 0.998800e+07

OELEN.	INDE	X, (* 1913)	T	SG	SN -	X1 -		12		PCAP		DG	DW
		(PA)	(DE6-C)							(PA)		(KG/M++3)	(K6/M++3)
AA 1	í	0.10330e+08	0.13000e+03	0.	0.10000e+01	0.10000e+01	0.	ł	ŧ, °	0.	24	0.14965e+01	0.93986e+03
2AA 1	2	0.28107e+06	0.13133e+03	0.24453e+00	0.75547e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.15534e+01	0.93343e+03
JAA 1	3	0.32719e+06	0.13652e+03	0.24093e+00	0.75907e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.	. • •	0.17914e+01	0.92894e+03
4AA 1	4	0.47645e+06	0.15004e+03	0.23100e+00	0.76900e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.25504e+01	0.91674e+03
5AA 1	5	0.87244e+06	0.17404e+03	0.21110e+00	0.78890e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.45195e+01	0.89315e+03
BA 1	6	0.93997e+07	0.18657e+03	0.	0.10000e+01	0.10000e+01	٥.			0.	1997 - 1997 - 1 997	0.59490e+01	0.88555e+03
28A 1	7	0.12052e+07	0.18816e+03	0.19786e+00	0.80214e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.61530e+01	0.87807e+03
3BA 1	8	0.13719e+07	0.19410e+03	0.19189e+00	0.80811e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.69688e+01	0.87144e+03
4BA 1	9	0.18396e+07	0.20819e+03	0.17669e+00	0.82331e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.92592e+01	0.85499e+03
5BA 1	10	0.2768Be+07	0.22944e+03	0.15047e+00	0.84953e+00	0.10000e+01	٥.			0.		0.13852e+02	0.82807e+03
CA 1	11	0.91032e+07	0.25414e+03	0.	0.10000e+01	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.21467e+02	0.79877e+03
2CA 1	12	0.43280e+07	0.25505e+03	0.11175e+00	0.88825e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.21806e+02	0.79159e+03
3CA 1	13	0.45723e+07	0.25838e+03	0.10597e+00	0.89403e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.23089e+02	0.78645e+03
4CA 1	14	0.51563e+07	0.26584e+03	0.92249e-01	0.90775e+00	0.10000e+01	٥.			0.		0.26202e+02	0.77450e+03
SCA 1	15	0.60320e+07	0.27590e+03	0.71795e-01	0.92821e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.31007e+02	0.75773e+03
DA 1	16	0.89585e+07	0.28753e+03	0.	0.10000e+01	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.37596e+02	0.74005e+03
2DA 1	17	0.72141e+07	0.28784e+03	0.43867e-01	0.95613e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.37787e+02	0.73620e+03
3DA 1	18	0.73324e+07	0.28895e+03	0.41029e-01	0.95897e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.38486e+02	0.73410e+03
4DA 1	19	0.75915e+07	0.29133e+03	0.34773e-01	0.96523e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.40032e+02	0.72954e+03
5DA 1	20	0.79249e+07	0.29431e+03	0.26639e-01	0.97336e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.42048e+02	0.72372e+03
EA 1	21	0.88555e+07	0.29740e+03	0.	0.10000e+01	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.44250e+02	0.71878e+03
2EA 1	22	0.82914e+07	0.29748e+03	0.17570e-01	0.98243e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.44305e+02	0.71739e+03
3EA 1	23	0.83229e+07	0.29774e+03	0.16784e-01	0.98322e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.44501e+02	0.71684e+03
4EA 1	24	0.83887e+07	0.29830e+03	0.15136e-01	0.98486e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.44911e+02	0.71571e+03
SEA 1	25	0.84666e+07	0.29895e+03	0.13181e-01	0.98682e+00	0.10000e+01	0.			0.		0.45399e+02	0.71438e+03

Figure 23. Selected output from problem 4 flow simulation.



ĩ

Q.

Figure 24. Temperature profiles for problem 4 along a line from injection to production well after 36.5 yrs.

prieskawać pli processi of ubitar preimerali primula primula su sedator a ne processa primula presidente su su su su primula presidente su presiden

With TOUGH2 we are releasing a code that provides a flexible capability for simulating multicomponent multiphase fluid and heat flows in permeable media. TOUGH2 implements the general MULKOM architecture that separates and interfaces the flow and transport aspects of the problem (which do not depend on the nature and number of fluid components and phases) from the fluid property and phase composition aspects (which are specific to the particular fluid mixture under study). Another important aspect of TOUGH2 is the integral finite difference method used to discretize the flow system. This method provides a high degree of flexibility in the description of flow geometry. One-, two-, and three-dimensional flow problems with regular or irregular gridding can be treated on the same footing, and special discretization schemes for fractured media, or for higher-order differencing approximations, can be implemented through appropriate preprocessing of geometric data. For regular grid systems, the integral finite differences method is equivalent to conventional finite differences.

The emphasis in the development of the MULKOM concept, and its implementation in the TOUGH2 code, has been on flexibility and robustness. TOUGH2 is an adaptable research tool, that in the present form can handle a wide variety of flow problems in the fields of geothermal reservoir engineering, nuclear waste isolation, and hydrology. Fluid property modules to be included in future releases would allow applications to problems in petroleum engineering, natural gas recovery and storage, and environmental monitoring and remediation efforts.

verste strang

TOUGH2 is intended to be a "general purpose" simulator. Applications to many different kinds of flow problems are possible, but should be made with caution. The diversity of multiphase fluid and heat flow problems is enormous, and careful consideration must be given to the peculiar features of any given problem if a reasonably accurate and efficient solution is to be obtained. A case in point is multiphase flow in composite (layered) media, in which discontinuous permeability changes occur at the boundaries between different geologic units. It is well known that for single phase flow, the appropriate interface weighting scheme for absolute permeability is harmonic weighting. For two-phase flow, the added problem of relative permeability weighting arises; it has been established that for transient flow problems in uniform media, relative permeability must be upstream weighted, or else phase fronts may be propagated with erroneous speed (Aziz and Settari, 1979). Recent studies at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory have shown that for transient two-phase problems in composite media, both absolute and relative permeability must be fully upstream weighted to avoid the possibility of gross errors (Tsang and Pruess, 1990; Wu, Pruess, and Chen, 1990). The applicable weighting schemes for different flow problems are summarized in Fig. 25. Our somewhat disturbing conclusion



Figure 25. Weighting procedures for absolute (k) and relative permeability (k_r) at grid block interfaces.

- 80 -

is that there is no single weighting scheme for general two-phase flows in composite media that would at the same time preserve optimal accuracy for single-phase or steady two-phase flows. Another interesting problem is the weighting scheme for interface densities. For proper modeling of gravity effects, it is necessary to define interface density as the arithmetic average between the densities of the two adjacent grid blocks, regardless of nodal distances from the interface. An unstable situation may arise when phases (dis-)appear, because interface density may then have to be "switched" to the upstream value when the phase in question is not present in the downstream block. For certain flow problems spatial interpolation of densities may provide more accurate answers.

Issues of interface weighting and associated discretization errors are especially important when non-uniform or irregular grids are used, as is often done within an integral finite difference approach because of the convenience and ease of implementation. Additional complications related to interface weighting arise in flow problems that involve hydrodynamic instabilities. Examples include immiscible displacements with "unfavorable mobility ratio" where a less viscous fluid displaces a fluid of higher viscosity (viscous instability), and flow problems where a denser fluid invades a zone with less dense fluid from above (gravity instability). These instabilities can produce very large grid orientation errors, i.e., simulated results can depend strongly on the orientation of the computational grid (Yanosik and McCracken, 1979; Pruess and Bodvarsson, 1983; Pruess, 1991; Brand *et al.*, 1991).

These examples are by no means exhaustive; they are simply intended to illustrate potential subtle and not so subtle pitfalls in the modeling of multiphase flows. Generally speaking, in the design and implementation of numerical schemes for such flows, there appears to be a trade-off between accuracy and efficiency on the one hand, and flexibility and robustness on the other. For any given problem, small modifications in the source code will often allow substantial gains in accuracy and efficiency. In many cases it may be advisable to use higher-order differencing schemes. The present version of TOUGH2

- 81 -

does not provide built-in capabilities for higher-order differencing; however, the integral finite difference methodology used in TOUGH2 makes it possible to implement such schemes through simple preprocessing of geometric data. Generally speaking, higher-order differencing schemes can be implemented by assigning additional flow connections, with appropriate weighting factors, between elements of the computational grid (Pruess and Bodvarsson, 1983).

est de seure estreten private de la estreten de la seure de la

e e o si articlario di e d'alco di si della bolto erile.

Acknowledgements

The development and documentation of MULKOM and TOUGH2 was supported in large part by the Geothermal Technology Division, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098, with additional funding from the DOE office of Basic Energy Science, the Yucca Mountain Project of Sandia National Laboratories, and the Repository Technology and Transportation Program, U.S. Department of Energy. During the long incubation period of TOUGH2, the author has benefited from many discussions and suggestions by his colleagues at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and elsewhere. T. N. Narasimhan of LBL and M. J. O'Sullivan of the University of Auckland made important contributions to the development of capabilities for simulating flow in fractured media and to the modeling of fluid mixtures. Y. Tsang and C. Doughty of LBL, M. Fewell and S. Webb of Sandia National Laboratories, M. J. O'Sullivan and D. Bullivant of the University of Auckland, and D. Holford of PNL assisted in testing the program, and made suggestions for improvements. C. Doughty provided the similarity solution for sample problem 2 (heat pipe). The documentation was reviewed by Y. Tsang, C. Doughty, C. Oldenburg, M. Fewell, S. Webb and A. H. Treadway. P. Fuller provided generous advice on matters of FORTRAN 77 programming. The manuscript was prepared by Mark Jasper. The author is grateful to all these individuals and organizations for their support. Configuration of the second

and the second secon

(Including Constants of States of States) Charles and States and States of States

Nomencia	ture anno 1990 a milión mail a constatuísta, apolitada en general actor.	, , , ,
Α	area, m ²	2 () :
b	Klinkenberg parameter, Pa	iz iz kis niterational
В	effective vapor diffusion strength parameter, replaces the group ϕ (A.7), dimensionless	S _g τ in Eq.
C	specific heat, J/kg·°C	
d j	penetration depth for heat conduction, m	,
D	diffusion coefficient, m ² /s	
D	distance, m	
DELX	small increments of primary variables for computing numerical der	ivatives
DX	increments of primary variables during Newton-Raphson iteration	
f	diffusive flux, $kg/m^2 \cdot s$	
f _{VPL}	vapor pressure lowering factor, dimensionless (Eq. A.9)	
F	mass or heat flux, kg/m ² \cdot s or W/m ² address flag and flag in the	
g	gravity acceleration, m/s ²	e e
h	specific enthalpy, J/kg	
i	index of primary thermodynamic variable the automore all the	н 1997 - Мариянан 1997 - Мариянан
J ·	Jacobian matrix	
k	intrinsic permeability, $m^2 (10^{-12} m^2 \approx 1 \text{ darcy})$	
k	time level index	
k _r	relative permeability, dimensionless	
K	thermal conductivity, W/m, °C	t Sig
K _H	Henry's constant, Pa di su constant di constant di constant, Pa di su constant di constant di constant di const	2
m	index of volume element (grid block)	
m _{air}	molecular weight of air	i de Se Jer
m _{H20}	molecular weight of water	ă.
m _l	molecular weight of liquid	
М	accumulation term in mass or energy balance equation, kg/m ³ or J/	m ³

n	index of volume element (grid block)	
N	index of volume element (grid block)	
NB	number of secondary parameters other than mass fractions in PAR (usually $NB = 6$)	array
NBK	NB + NK	
NEL	number of volume elements (grid blocks) in flow domain	
NEQ	number of balance equations per volume element; NEQ = NK1 or NEQ = NK	9 ⁴ * *
NK	number of mass components present	
NK1	NK + 1	
NLOC	storage location after which primary variables start for grid block N; NLOC = $(N - 1)$ *NK1	
NLOC2	storage location after which secondary parameters start for grid block $NLOC2 = (N - 1)*(NEQ + 1)*NSEC$	N;
NPH	number of phases	· · · · · ·
NSEC	number of secondary parameters per volume element; NSEC = NPH*NBK + 2	• • •
p	index in Newton-Raphson iteration	2000 - 1990 1990 1990
Р	pressure, Pa	
P _{a.e.}	air entry pressure, Pa	
P _c	capillary pressure, Pa	
P _{sat}	saturated vapor pressure, Pa	
q	volumetric sink or source rate, $kg/m^3 \cdot s$ or W/m^3	1 . 19
r	radius, m	
R	residuals in mass or energy balance equations, kg/m ³ or J/m ³	
R	universal gas constant, 8314 J/°C · mole	·
S	saturation (void fraction occupied by a fluid phase), dimensionless	а С
t	time, s	9111 11
T	temperature, °C	
u	specific internal energy, J/kg	
v	volume, m ³	-
X	distance, m	

- 84 -

x,X	primary thermodynamic variable	*.
κ) Χ _β	mol fraction of component κ in phase β	
Χ ^(κ)	mass fraction of component κ in phase β	
$z = \log[$	$[r/(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ similarity variable for cylindrical flow geo	metry

Greek

2

β	phase index (β = liquid, gas)
θ	thermal diffusivity, m ² /s
κ	component index
ρ	density, kg/m ³
Г	area, m ²
φ	porosity, dimensionless
τ	tortuosity factor, dimensionless
μ	viscosity, Pa · s

Subscripts

a	air
β	phase
C	capillary
f	fracture
g	gas
i	initial
I and $\gamma_{\rm sc}$	liquid
r	relative
R	rock
V	vapor

and the second second

🖞 sadi oʻris absigat balleteli talasi 👘

i de la contra de la

a and the state of the second of the second of the Press of the second of the second

and a state of the second s Second s

ระบบขึ้น เช่าเป็น เช่าเป็น เป็นเป็น และเป็น เช่าเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็น เป็น เป็น และเป็น เป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็น

References

Atkinson, P. G., R. Celati, R. Corsi and F. Kucuk, 1980. Behavior of the Bagnore Steam/CO₂ Geothermal Reservoir, Italy, Soc. Pet. Eng. J., 228-238, August.

· 学校、高融出版符 (5-24)

- Aziz, K. and A. Settari, 1979. Petroleum Reservoir Simulation, Elsevier, London and New York.
- Brand, C. W., J. E. Heinemann and K. Aziz, 1991. The Grid Orientation Effect in Reservoir Simulation, paper SPE-21228, presented at Society of Petroleum Engineers Eleventh Symposium on Reservoir Simulation, Anaheim, CA.
- Dean, J. A. (ed.), 1985. Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, McGraw Hill, Thirteenth Edition, New York, NY.
- Doughty, C. and K. Pruess, 1990. A Similarity Solution for Two-Phase Fluid and Heat Flow Near High-level Nuclear Waste Packages Emplaced in Porous Media, Int. J. Heat and Mass Transfer, 33, (6) 1205-1222.
- Doughty, C. and K. Pruess, 1991. A Similarity Solution for Two-Phase Water, Air and Heat Flow Near a Linear Heat Source in a Porous Medium, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-30051.
- Duff, I. S., 1977. MA28 A Set of FORTRAN Subroutines for Sparse Unsymmetric Linear Equations, AERE Harwell Report R 8730.
- Edlefsen, N. E. and A. B. C. Anderson, 1943. Thermodynamics of Soil Moisture, Hilgardia, 15, (2), 31-298.
- Edwards, A. L., 1972. TRUMP: A Computer Program for Transient and Steady State Temperature Distributions in Multidimensional Systems, National Technical Information Service, National Bureau of Standards, Springfield, VA.
- Faust, C. R. and J. W. Mercer, 1975. Summary of Our Research in Geothermal Reservoir Simulation, Proc. Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, report SGP-TR-12, Stanford, CA.
- Hirschfelder, J. O., C. F. Curtiss and R. B. Bird, 1954. Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.
- International Formulation Committee, 1967. A Formulation of the Thermodynamic Properties of Ordinary Water Substance, IFC Secretariat, Düsseldorf, Germany.
- Klinkenberg, L. J., 1941. The Permeability of Porous Media to Liquids and Gases, in: API Drilling and Production Practice, pp. 200–213.
- Lam, S. T., A. Hunsbedt, P. Kruger and K. Pruess, 1988. Analysis of the Stanford Geothermal Reservoir Model Experiments Using the LBL Reservoir Simulator, Geothermics, 17, (4), 595-605.
- Loomis, A. G., 1928. Solubilities of Gases in Water, in: E. W. Washburn (ed.), International Critical Tables, Vol. III, pp. 255-257, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
- Narasimhan, T. N. and P. A. Witherspoon, 1976. An Integrated Finite Difference Method for Analyzing Fluid Flow in Porous Media, *Water Resour. Res.*, 12, (1), 57-64.

地球的方面的工具成了。此外,这些方面的一种重要的。这些正式是有效的工具。

and a strategic the states as a

meaning of the meanwork of the NA and the State

- O'Sullivan, M. J., 1981. A Similarity Method for Geothermal Well Test Analysis, Water Resour. Res., 17, (2), 390-398, April 1981.
- O'Sullivan, M. J., G. S. Bodvarsson, K. Pruess and M. R. Blakeley, 1985. Fluid and Heat Flow in Gas-rich Geothermal Reservoirs, Soc. Pet. Eng. J., 25, (2), 215–226, April 1985.
- Peaceman, D. W., 1977. Fundamentals of Numerical Reservoir Simulation, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Pritchett, J. W., 1975. Numerical Calculation of Multiphase Fluid and Heat Flow in Hydrothermal Reservoirs, Proc. Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, report SGP-TR-12, pp. 201–205, Stanford, CA.
- Pritchett, J. W., M. H. Rice and T. D. Riney, 1981. Equation-of-state for Water-carbon Dioxide Mixtures: Implications for Baca Reservoir, report DOE/ET/27163-8, Systems, Science and Software, La Jolla, CA, February 1981.
- Pruess, K., 1983a. GMINC—A Mesh Generator for Flow Simulations in Fractured Reservoirs, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory report LBL-15227, Berkeley, CA.
- Pruess, K., 1983b. Development of the General Purpose Simulator MULKOM, Annual Report 1982, Earth Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory report, LBL-15500.
- Pruess, K., 1983c. Heat Transfer in Fractured Geothermal Reservoirs with Boiling, Water Resour. Res., 19, (1), 201–208.
- Pruess, K., 1987. TOUGH User's Guide, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, report NUREG/CR-4645 (also Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory report LBL-20700, Berkeley, CA).
- Pruess, K., 1988. SHAFT, MULKOM, TOUGH: A Set of Numerical Simulators for Multiphase Fluid and Heat Flow, *Geotermia, Rev. Mex. Geoenergia, 4*, (1), 185–202 (also Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory report LBL-24430).

Pruess, K., 1990a. Modeling of Geothermal Reservoirs: Fundamental Processes, Computer Simulations, and Field Applications, *Geothermics*, 19, (1), 3–15.

- Pruess, K. (ed.), 1990b. Proceedings of the TOUGH Workshop, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-29710.
- Pruess, K., 1991. Grid Orientation Effects in the Simulation of Cold Water Injection into Depleted Vapor Zones, presented at 16th Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-30117.
- Pruess, K. and G. S. Bodvarsson, 1983. A Seven-point Finite Difference Method for Improved Grid Orientation Performance in Pattern Steam Floods, paper SPE-12252, presented at the Seventh Society of Petroleum Engineers Symposium on Reservoir Simulation, San Francisco, CA.
- Pruess, K. and G. S. Bodvarsson, 1984. Thermal Effects of Reinjection in Geothermal Reservoirs with Major Vertical Fractures, J. Pet. Tech., 36, (10), 1567–1578.

하게 있게 되는 것 같은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것 같은 것은 것 같아요. 것 같아요. 이 속 있는 것 않는 것 같은 것 같은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것이 같아요. 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 수 없다.

- Pruess, K., C. Calore, R. Celati and Y. S. Wu, 1987. An Analytical Solution for Heat Transfer at a Boiling Front Moving Through a Porous Medium, *Int. J. Heat and Mass Trans.*, 30, (12) 2595-2602.
- Pruess, K. and T. N. Narasimhan, 1982. On Fluid Reserves and The Production of Superheated Steam from Fractured Vapor-dominated Geothermal Reservoirs, J. Geophys. Res., 87, (B11), 9329-9339.
- Pruess, K. and T. N. Narasimhan, 1985. A Practical Method for Modeling Fluid and Heat Flow in Fractured Porous Media, Soc. Pet. Eng. J., 25, 1, 14–26.
- Pruess, K. and R. C. Schroeder, 1980. SHAFT79 User's Manual, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory report LBL-10861, Berkeley, CA.
- Pruess, K., R. C. Schroeder, P. A. Witherspoon and J. M. Zerzan, 1979. SHAFT78, A Two-phase Multidimensional Computer Program for Geothermal Reservoir Simulation, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory report LBL-8264, Berkeley, CA.
- Pruess, K., J. S. Y. Wang and Y. W. Tsang, 1990. On Thermohydrological Conditions Near High-level Nuclear Wastes Emplaced in Partially Saturated Fractured Tuff. Part 1: Simulation Studies with Explicit Consideration of Fracture Effects, Water Resour. Res., 26, (6), 1235-1248.
- Pruess, K. and Y. S. Wu, 1989. A New Semianalytical Method for Numerical Simulation of Fluid and Heat Flow in Fractured Reservoirs, paper SPE-18426, presented at Tenth SPE Symposium on Reservoir Simulation, Houston, TX, February.
- Sutton, F. M. and A. McNabb, 1977. Boiling Curves at Broadlands Field, New Zealand, N. Z. J. Sci., 20, 333-337.
- Tsang, Y. W. and K. Pruess, 1990. Further Modeling Studies of Gas Movement and Moisture Migration at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, report LBL-29127, Berkeley, CA.
- Vargaftik, N. B., 1975. Tables on the Thermophysical Properties of Liquids and Gases, 2nd Ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.
- Verma, A. K., 1986. Effects of Phase Transformation on Steam-Water Relative Permeabilities, Doctoral Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, CA, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-20594.
- Vinsome, P. K. W. and J. Westerveld, 1980. A Simple Method for Predicting Cap and Base Rock Heat Losses in Thermal Reservoir Simulators, *J. Canadian Pet. Tech.*, pp. 87–90, July–September.
- Yanosik, J. L. and T. A. McCracken, 1979. A Nine-Point, Finite Difference Reservoir Simulator for Realistic Prediction of Adverse Mobility Ratio Displacements, Soc. Pet. Eng. J., 253-262.
- Warren, J. E. and P. J. Root, 1963. The Behavior of Naturally Fractured Reservoirs, Soc. Pet. Eng. J., 245-255, Transactions, AIME, 228.
- Wu, Y. S., K. Pruess and Z. X. Chen, 1990. Buckley-Leverett Flow in Composite Media, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-28937.

- n An sulain a' ann an straight tha thail an thairtean aire an 1000 an teanach An thaigean airean agus an straightean an suite fithers an straightean straightean airean An thairtean air an thairtean airean an thairtean airean airean airean airean airean airean airean airean airean
- ي جانبي در اين آن در مراجع به در الله المراجع بين الدر الدر المراجع في الاربي والعام الألوبي. الألاح الحالة العالم العربة بينية الدر الألف بين أن ياري در الحالة.
- and a second second
- an ar the second second second second second second sets and second second second second second second second s And and the transfer and the second A the second s A the second s
- annels and it and the second of the period of the second second second second second second second second secon Also examples that the second secon Manufactures the second sec Manufactures the second Manufactures the second Manufactures the second second
- (A Strack Constrainty) and a set of the particular of the set of the set
- n naar 10 met in de leer van de leer van de leer van de leer te de leer te de leer van de

- and a second tensor of point of the construction of the paper of the family figures of the construction of the methods and the construction of the methods and the construction of the methods are constructed as a second s
- and the second secon Second second

Appendix A. Mass and Energy Balances

The basic mass- and energy-balance equations solved by MULKOM, TOUGH and TOUGH2 can all be written in the following general form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{M}^{(\mathbf{k})}d\mathbf{V} = \int_{\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{F}^{(\mathbf{k})}\cdot\mathbf{n}\,d\mathbf{\Gamma} + \int_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{q}^{(\mathbf{k})}\,d\mathbf{V} \tag{A.1}$$

N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

The integration here is over an arbitrary subdomain V_n of the flow system under study, which is bounded by the closed surface Γ_n . The quantity M appearing in the accumulation term denotes mass or energy per unit volume, with $\kappa = 1, ..., NK$ labeling the mass components, and $\kappa = NK + 1$ for the heat "component."

The general form of the mass accumulation term is the second seco

$$M^{(\kappa)} = \phi \sum_{\beta=1}^{NPH} S_{\beta} \rho_{\beta} X_{\beta}^{(\kappa)} . \qquad (A.2)$$

The total mass of component κ is obtained by summing over all fluid phases $\beta = 1, \ldots, \beta$ NPH. S_{β} is the saturation (volume fraction) of phase β , ρ_{β} is density of phase β , and $X_{\beta}^{(\kappa)}$ is the mass fraction of component κ present in phase β . Similarly, the heat accumulation term in a multi-phase system is Cristing and a statistic of a statistic and the first house of a source of a source of the

$$M^{(NK+1)} = \phi \sum_{R=1}^{NPH} S_{\beta} \rho_{\beta} u_{\beta} + (1-\phi) \rho_R C_R T , \qquad (A.3)$$

where u_{β} denotes internal energy of fluid phase β .

The mass flux term is a sum over phases

$$\mathbf{F}^{(\mathbf{k})} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} = 1}^{\mathbf{NPH}} \mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\mathbf{k})} \mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$
(A.4)

and an internal second and the second second

for $\kappa = 1$, ..., NK. Individual phase fluxes are given by a multi-phase version of

Darcy's law:

$$\mathbf{F}_{\beta} = -\mathbf{k} \, \frac{\mathbf{k}_{r\beta}}{\mu_{\beta}} \, \rho_{\beta} \, (\nabla \, \mathbf{P}_{\beta} - \rho_{\beta} \, \mathbf{g}) \tag{A.5}$$

Here k is absolute permeability, $k_{r\beta}$ is relative permeability of phase β , μ_{β} is viscosity, and

$$P_{\beta} = P + P_{c,\beta} \tag{A.6}$$

is the pressure in phase β , which is the sum of the pressure P of a reference phase, and the capillary pressure of phase β relative to the reference phase. g denotes the vector of gravitational acceleration. Gas phase permeability can be specified to depend on pressure, according to the Klinkenberg relationship $k = k_0(1 + b/P)$, where k_0 is absolute permeability at high pressure (Klinkenberg, 1941). In addition to Darcy flow, MULKOM and TOUGH also include binary diffusion in the gas phase for fluids with two gaseous (or volatile) components κ , κ'

$$\mathbf{f}_{\beta=gas}^{(\kappa)} = -\phi \, \mathbf{S}_g \, \tau \, D_{\kappa\kappa'} \, \rho_g \, \nabla \, \mathbf{X}_g^{(\kappa)} \tag{A.7}$$

 $D_{\kappa,\kappa'}$ is the coefficient of binary diffusion which depends on the nature of the gaseous components and on pressure and temperature. τ is a tortuosity factor. When binary diffusion is present the flux-term (A.7) simply gets added to that of (A.4).

Heat flux contains conductive and convective components (no dispersion)

$$\mathbf{F}^{(\mathbf{N}\mathbf{K}+1)} = -\mathbf{K}\nabla\mathbf{T} + \sum_{\beta}\mathbf{h}_{\beta}\mathbf{F}_{\beta}$$
(A.8)

where K is thermal conductivity of the medium, and $h_{\beta} = u_{\beta} + P/\rho_{\beta}$ is the specific enthalpy of phase β .

MULKOM and TOUGH2 can model vapor pressure lowering due to capillary and phase adsorption effects. This is represented by Kelvin's equation (Edlefsen and Anderson, 1943):

$$P_{v}(T, S_{l}) = f_{VPL}(T, S_{l}) \cdot P_{sat}(T)$$
(A.9a)

where

$$f_{VPL} = \exp\left\{\frac{m_l \cdot P_c(S_l)}{\rho_l R (T + 273.15)}\right\}$$
(A.9b)

is the vapor pressure lowering factor. P_{sat} is saturated vapor pressure of bulk liquid, P_c is the difference between liquid and gas phase pressures, m_l is the molecular weight of the liquid, and R is the universal gas constant.

1987

and and the company to the second figure of a second conduct the formation of the second field of the and the formation is the first and second conduct of the second conduct the field of the field of the field of t and a second field of the field of the field of the second conduct of the second conduct of the field of the field

Appendix B. Space and Time Discretization

The continuum equations (A.1) are discretized in space using the "integral finite difference" method (Edwards, 1972; Narasimhan and Witherspoon, 1976). Introducing appropriate volume averages, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{V}_n} \mathbf{M} d\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_n \, \mathbf{M}_n \tag{B.1}$$

where M is a volume-normalized extensive quantity, and M_n is the average value of M over V_n . Surface integrals are approximated as a discrete sum of averages over surface segments A_{nm} :

$$\int_{\Gamma_n} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\Gamma = \sum_m A_{nm} \, F_{nm} \quad . \tag{B.2}$$

Here F_{nm} is the average value of the (inward) normal component of F over the surface segment A_{nm} between volume elements V_n and V_m . The discretization approach used in the integral finite difference method and the definition of the geometric parameters are illustrated in Fig. 26. The discretized flux is expressed in terms of averages over parameters for elements V_n and V_m . For the basic Darcy flux term, Eq. (A.5), we have

$$F_{\beta,nm} = -k_{nm} \left(\frac{k_{r\beta} \rho_{\beta}}{\mu_{\beta}} \right)_{nm} \left[\frac{P_{\beta,n} - P_{\beta,m}}{D_{nm}} - \rho_{\beta,nm} g_{nm} \right]$$
(B.3)

where the subscripts (nm) denote a suitable averaging (interpolation, harmonic weighting, upstream weighting). D_{nm} is the distance between the nodal points n and m, and g_{nm} is the component of gravitational acceleration in the direction from m to n.

The discretized form of the binary diffusive flux in the gas phase is the state that

$$f_{\beta=gas,nm}^{(\kappa)} = -\phi_{nm} S_{g,nm} \tau_{nm} (D_{\kappa\kappa'})_{nm} \rho_{g,nm} \frac{X_{g,n} - X_{g,m}}{D_{nm}} . \qquad (B.4)$$

Substituting Eqs. (B.1) and (B.2) into the governing Eq. (A.1) a set of first-order


Figure 26. Space discretization and geometry data in the integral finite difference method.

ordinary differential equations in time is obtained.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{n}^{(\kappa)}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{V}_{n}} \sum_{m} \mathrm{A}_{nm} \, \mathrm{F}_{nm}^{(\kappa)} + \mathrm{q}_{n}^{(\kappa)} \tag{B.5}$$

Time is discretized as a first order finite difference, and the flux and sink and source terms on the right hand side of Eq. (B.5) are evaluated at the new time level, $t^{k+1} = t^k + \Delta t$, to obtain the numerical stability needed for an efficient calculation of multi-phase flow. This treatment of flux terms is known as "fully implicit," because the fluxes are expressed in terms of the unknown thermodynamic parameters at time level t^{k+1} , so that these unknowns are only implicitly defined in the resulting equations; see e.g. Peaceman (1977). The time discretization results in the following set of coupled non-linear, algebraic equations:

$$R_{n}^{(\kappa)k+1} \equiv M_{n}^{(\kappa)k+1} - M_{n}^{(\kappa)k} - \frac{\Delta t}{V_{n}} \left\{ \sum_{m} A_{nm} F_{nm}^{(\kappa)k+1} + V_{n} q_{n}^{(\kappa)k+1} \right\}$$

= 0 (B.6)

The entire geometric information of the space discretization in Eq. (B.6) is provided in the form of a list of grid block volumes V_n , interface areas A_{nm} , nodal distances D_{nm} , and components g_{nm} of gravitational acceleration along nodal lines. There is no reference whatsoever to a global system of coordinates, or to the dimensionality of a particular flow problem. The discretized equations are in fact valid for arbitrary irregular discretizations in one, two or three dimensions, and for porous as well as for fractured media. This flexibility should be used with caution, however, because the accuracy of solutions depends upon the accuracy with which the various interface parameters in equations such as (B.3, B.4) can be expressed in terms of average conditions in grid blocks. A general requirement is that there exists approximate thermodynamic equilibrium in (almost) all grid blocks at (almost) all times (Pruess and Narasimhan, 1985). For systems of regular grid blocks referenced to global coordinates (such as r - z, x - y - z), Eq. (B.6) is identical to a conventional finite difference formulation (e.g. Peaceman, 1977).

For each volume element (grid block) V_n there are NEQ equations ($\kappa = 1, 2, ...,$ NEQ; usually, NEQ = NK + 1), so that for a flow system with NEL grid blocks (B.6) represents a total of NEL \cdot NEQ coupled non-linear equations. The unknowns are the NEL \cdot NEQ independent primary variables { x_i ; $i = 1, ..., NEL \cdot NEQ$ } which completely define the state of the flow system at time level t^{k+1} . These equations are solved by Newton/Raphson iteration, which is implemented as follows. We introduce an iteration index p and expand the residuals $R_n^{(\kappa)k+1}$ in Eq. (B.6) at iteration step p + 1 in a Taylor series in terms of those at index p:

$$R_{n}^{(\kappa)k+1}(x_{i,p+1}) = R_{n}^{(\kappa)k+1}(x_{i,p}) + \sum_{i} \frac{\partial R_{n}^{(\kappa)k+1}}{\partial x_{i}} \Big|_{p} (x_{i,p+1} - x_{i,p}) + \dots = 0$$
(B.7)

Retaining only terms up to first order, we obtain a set of NEL \cdot NEQ linear equations for

the increments $(x_{i,p+1} - x_{i,p})$: the second state of the post of the second state to the second state of the second st

a the state of the second second

ander in det

s.'

 $(\sqrt{2})^{-1}$

$$-\sum_{i} \frac{\partial R_{n}^{(\kappa)k+1}}{\partial x_{i}} \bigg|_{p} (x_{i,p+1} - x_{i,p}) = R_{n}^{(\kappa)k+1} (x_{i,p})$$
(B.8)

The Marte Barry

All terms $\partial R_n / \partial x_i$ in the Jacobian matrix are evaluated by numerical differentiation. Eq. (B.8) is solved with the Harwell subroutine package "MA28" (Duff, 1977). Iteration is continued until the residuals $R_n^{(\kappa)k+1}$ are reduced below a preset convergence tolerance (see Pruess, 1987).

enter la contrata de la contrata de

医骨骨骨骨 化二乙基乙酰胺 化丁基氟酸钠医丁基丁酮 网络白垩鹭

elle et eller et de l'entrece : le contra entre d'armenemps a company

n and a share of a second second second term and a second second second second second second second second seco

tra rowold forlable viewn, erd fordi gewonde the glasian stateness of west on order of an append Remognated all statege insiduate sets descile conditions to be been been and different of Appendix C. Description of Flow in Fractured Media

Figure 27 illustrates the classical double-porosity concept for modeling flow in fractured-porous media as developed by Warren and Root (1963). Matrix blocks of low permeability are embedded in a network of inter-connected fractures. Global flow in the reservoir occurs only through the fracture system, which is described as an effective porous continuum. Rock matrix and fractures may exchange fluid (or heat) locally by means of "interporosity flow," which is driven by the difference in pressures (or temperatures) between matrix and fractures. Warren and Root approximated the interporosity flow as being "quasi-steady," with rate of matrix-fracture interflow proportional to the difference in (local) average pressures.



XBL 813-2725

Figure 27. Idealized "double porosity" model of a fractured porous medium.

The quasisteady approximation is applicable to isothermal single phase flow of fluids with small compressibility, where pressure diffusivities are large, so that pressure changes in the fractures penetrate quickly all the way into the matrix blocks. However, for multiphase flows or coupled fluid and heat flows, the transient periods for interporosity flow can be very long (tens of years). In order to accurately describe such flows it is necessary to resolve the driving pressure and temperature gradients at the matrix/fracture interface. In the method of "multiple interacting continua" (MINC; Pruess and Narasimhan, 1982, 1985), resolution of these gradients is achieved by appropriate subgridding of the matrix blocks, as shown in Fig. 28. The MINC concept is based on the notion that changes in fluid pressures, temperatures, phase compositions, etc. due to the presence of sinks and sources (production and injection wells) will propagate rapidly through the fracture system, while invading the tight matrix blocks only slowly. Therefore, changes in matrix conditions will (locally) be controlled by the distance from the fractures. Fluid and heat flow from the fractures into the matrix blocks, or from the matrix blocks into the fractures, can then be modeled by means of one-dimensional strings of nested grid blocks, as shown in Fig. 28.



Figure 28. Subgridding in the method of "multiple interacting continua" (MINC).

In general it is not necessary to explicitly consider subgrids in all of the matrix blocks separately. Within a certain reservoir subdomain (corresponding to a finitedifference grid block), all fractures will be lumped into continuum # 1, all matrix material within a certain distance from the fractures will be lumped into continuum # 2, matrix material at larger distance becomes continuum # 3, and so on. Quantitatively, the subgridding is specified by means of a set of volume fractions VOL(i), i = 1, ..., J, into which the "primary" porous medium grid blocks are partitioned. The MINC-process in the MESHMAKER module operates on the element and connection data of a porous medium mesh to calculate, for given data on volume fractions, the volumes, interface areas, and nodal distances for a "secondary" fractured medium mesh. The information on fracturing (spacing, number of sets, shape of matrix blocks) required for this is provided by a "proximity function" PROX(x) which expresses, for a given reservoir domain V_0 , the total fraction of matrix material within a distance x from the fractures. If only two continua are specified (one for fractures, one for matrix), the MINC approach reduces to the conventional double-porosity method. Full details are given in a separate report (Pruess, 1983a).

The MINC-method as implemented in the MESHMAKER module can also describe global matrix-matrix flow. Figure 29 shows the most general approach, often referred to as "dual permeability," in which global flow occurs in both fracture and matrix continua. It is also possible to permit matrix-matrix flow only in the vertical direction. For any given fractured reservoir flow problem, selection of the most appropriate gridding scheme must be based on a careful consideration of the physical and geometric conditions of flow. The MINC approach is not applicable to systems in which fracturing is so sparse that the fractures cannot be approximated as a continuum.

»- 101 -



¹ Internet and a second classic endinesis.

and the second second

and the second second second second second

and the second second

a an fuith the standard of the second and the second standard standards and

e da alemán de la companya de la com

Figure 29. Flow connections in the "dual permeability" model.

gent stands in march

and the second second

and the second second