30
10/22/65 WB

(2)

Cat. # 11
I-23654

(12)

Ph- 1370-6

DOE/NV/10162-20

DESERT RESEARCH INSTITUTE
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

DOE/NV/10162--20

DE86 001456

NEVADA TEST SITE
RADIONUCLIDE INVENTORY AND DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM:
REPORT #2. AREAS 2 AND 4

by
Richard D. McArthur
and
Joseph F. Kordas

September 1985

WATER RESOURCES CENTER

This document is
PUBLICLY RELEASABLE
Lang E Williams
Authorizing Official
Date: *08/09/2006*

Publication # 45041

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

**NEVADA TEST SITE
RADIONUCLIDE INVENTORY AND DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM:
REPORT #2. AREAS 2 AND 4**

by

**Richard D. McArthur
Water Resources Center
Desert Research Institute
University of Nevada System
Las Vegas, Nevada**

and

**Joseph F. Kordas
Environmental Sciences Division
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Livermore, California**

September 1985

**Prepared for
the U.S. Department of Energy
Nevada Operations Office
under Contract DE-AC08-81NV10162**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Publication # 45041

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

ABSTRACT

Radionuclide activity was measured by *in situ* spectrometry at 349 locations in Areas 2 and 4 of the Nevada Test Site. The data were analyzed by kriging and other methods to estimate the total inventory and distribution of six man-made radionuclides that were present in measurable amounts. Isotope ratios in soil samples were then used to infer the inventories of three other radionuclides. The estimated inventories were: ^{241}Am , 8 curies; ^{238}Pu , 18 curies; $^{239,240}\text{Pu}$, 51 curies; ^{60}Co , 7 curies; ^{137}Cs , 34 curies; ^{90}Sr , 71 curies; ^{152}Eu , 35 curies; ^{154}Eu , 6 curies; and ^{155}Eu , 3 curies.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i i
TABLES	i v
FIGURES.	i v
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
INTRODUCTION	1
DATA COLLECTION.	1
SOIL ANALYSES	5
INVENTORY AND DISTRIBUTION ESTIMATES	5
<i>In Situ</i> Measurements	5
Inventory Estimates	9
<i>Methods</i>	9
<i>Results</i>	19
Distribution Patterns	21
CONCLUSION	21
REFERENCES	29
Appendix A: Locations of Soil Samples	30
Appendix B: Radionuclide Concentrations in Soil Samples	31
Appendix C: Quality Assurance for Measurements of Soil Radioactivity	34

FIGURES

<u>Number</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Exposure rates in Areas 2 and 4	3
2	Locations of <i>in situ</i> measurements	4
3	Locations of soil samples	6
4	Measured ^{241}Am activities	10
5	Measured ^{60}Co activities	11
6	Measured ^{137}Cs activities	12
7	Measured ^{152}Eu activities.	13
8	Measured ^{154}Eu activities.	14
9	Measured ^{155}Eu activities.	15
10	Sample variograms and fitted models.	17
11	Polygons of influence	18
12	Isopleths of ^{241}Am activity	22
13	Isopleths of ^{60}Co activity	23
14	Isopleths of ^{137}Cs activity	24
15	Isopleths of ^{152}Eu activity	25
16	Isopleths of ^{154}Eu activity	26
17	Isopleths of ^{155}Eu activity	27

TABLES

<u>Number</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Atmospheric Nuclear Tests in Areas 2 and 4	2
2	Calculated Inverse Relaxation Lengths	7
3	Radionuclide Concentrations and Ratios in Soil Samples	8
4	Parameters Used to Compute Conversion Factors.	8
5	Estimates of Mean Activity in Two GZ Areas.	19
6	Estimated Inventories in Areas 2 and 4	20
7	^{137}Cs Estimates in the Kepler and Whitney Areas	21

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Most of the Radionuclide Inventory and Distribution Program reports will list only one or two authors, but they represent the work of many people. Those who have made major contributions to the project include:

U.S. Department of Energy, Nevada Operations Office (DOE/NV)

JoAnne C. Burrows
Bruce Church
Paul Dunaway
Thomas M. Humphrey

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL)

Lynn R. Anspaugh
Joseph F. Kordas
S. Warren Mead

EG&G, Inc.

William McGlothen
Ron Reiman
W. John Tipton

Desert Research Institute, University of Nevada System (DRI)

Stan Hutchinson
Richard McArthur
Forest L. Miller

Reynolds Electrical and Engineering Co., Inc. (REECO)

Virginia Hawkins
C.E. Rosenberry, Jr.
Earl Sorom

It is impossible to mention individually the many other professional and technical people who have worked on the project, but their collective efforts are gratefully acknowledged. Special appreciation is extended to those EG&G employees involved in the often tedious job of recording the gamma spectra in the field.

NEVADA TEST SITE
RADIONUCLIDE INVENTORY AND DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM:
REPORT #2. AREAS 2 AND 4

INTRODUCTION

The Radionuclide Inventory and Distribution Program (RIDP) is a five-year project to determine the total amount and the distribution of man-made radionuclides in the surface soil of the Nevada Test Site. The Test Site is so large (about 1,350 sq mi or 3,500 sq km) that the program cannot rely only on laboratory analysis of soil samples to provide the necessary data. Instead, it uses aerial surveys for an overall picture of radionuclide distributions and *in situ* spectrometry for detailed information about specific areas such as the ground zeros (GZs) of atmospheric nuclear tests. With this combination of methods, the RIDP expects to complete its inventory and distribution studies by the end of 1986.

The program's strategy is to first identify areas of high activity from an aerial survey of external exposure rate. Sampling points for these areas are then selected, and a spectrum of the gamma radiation given off by radionuclides in the soil is recorded at each sampling point. Several soil samples are also collected in each area and analyzed to determine radionuclide distributions with depth in the soil and to measure radionuclides that do not emit strong gamma rays. Parameters computed from the soil measurements are used to calculate radionuclide activities from the gamma-ray spectra, and the activity data are then analyzed statistically to estimate the total inventory and distribution of each radionuclide.

The first RIDP report (McArthur and Kordas, 1983) gives a complete description of all methods used by the program. This report and the others to follow will serve mainly to summarize the data and report the estimated inventories and distribution patterns. They will not describe in detail the collection, processing, and analysis of the data unless the details differ from those previously reported. Also, these reports will no longer include a complete list of the *in situ* measurement results. The DRI will provide the data, either as printed copy or on magnetic tape, to interested researchers on request. A RIDP database is also being developed on the DOE/NV computer system, and both the *in situ* and the soil data should be accessible through this database by the end of 1985.

DATA COLLECTION

The 11 atmospheric nuclear tests conducted in Areas 2 and 4 are listed in Table 1. The Nevada Grid Coordinates listed for each test give the distance in feet of the GZ east

TABLE 1. ATMOSPHERIC NUCLEAR TESTS IN AREAS 2 AND 4

Event	Date	Location	Yield (kT)	Nevada Grid Coordinates	
<u>Area 2</u>					
Diablo	7/15/57	T-2b	17	E662634	N874146
How	6/05/52	T-2	14	E659989	N869835
Badger	4/18/53		23	E659989	N869835
Turk	3/07/55		43	E660058	N869840
Whitney	9/23/57		19	E660103	N869823
Shasta	8/18/57	T-2a	17	E663323	N866030
<u>Area 4</u>					
Fox	5/25/52	T-4	11	E664462	N854233
Nancy	3/24/53		24	E664462	N854233
Apple I	3/29/55		14	E664462	N854233
Kepler	7/24/57		10	E664462	N854233
Ray	4/11/53	T-4a	0.2	E667452	N855494

and north of an arbitrary reference point. For convenience, the two GZs at which several tests occurred will be referred to as Whitney and Kepler.

Figure 1 shows the exposure rate isopleths in Areas 2 and 4 produced by Fritzsche (1982) from a 1978 aerial survey of Yucca Flat. These isopleths were used as the basis for selecting 349 *in situ* measurement locations in a 21.5 sq mi region surrounding the five GZs (Figure 2). The dashed lines in Figure 2 show how the region was partitioned into smaller areas when the inventories were estimated. The Kepler area is in Area 4, while the Shasta, Whitney, and Diablo areas are in Area 2.

The measurements were made with a high-purity germanium detector suspended above the ground. During each 15-minute measurement period, pulses from gamma rays reaching the detector were fed into a pulse-height analyzer and sorted to produce an energy spectrum. At the end of the measurement, the spectrum was stored on magnetic tape and sent to LLNL, where the computer package GAMANAL (Gunnink and Niday, 1971) was used to analyze the spectrum and convert the raw counts per minute data to estimated activities (in nCi/m²) for each radionuclide.

Most of the measurements were made between October 1981 and June 1982, although 18 points along the eastern edge of the region were sampled in October 1982.

Figure 1. Exposure rates ($\mu\text{R/hr}$) in Areas 2 and 4. The double rectangle shows approximately the region covered in this report. (From a map produced for the U.S. Department of Energy by REECo.)

Figure 2. Locations of *in situ* measurements in Areas 2 and 4. The dashed lines indicate the partitioning of the region into four areas for inventory estimation.

● = ground zero

Nine points in the SW corner of the Shasta area were measured in June 1983 after initial measurements showed unexpectedly high activity there.

SOIL ANALYSES

The 38 locations at which soil samples were collected are shown in Figure 3 and listed in Appendix A. At each location, samples were taken in four increments to a total sampling depth of 15 cm. Each sample was oven-dried, homogenized with a ball mill, and sieved through a 10-mesh screen before analysis.

Radionuclide concentrations in the fine fraction of the soil samples, as measured by gamma-ray spectroscopy at LLNL and the REECO Analytical Laboratory, are given in Appendix B. If the concentration is assumed to vary exponentially with depth in the soil, the depth distribution can be parametrized by the inverse relaxation length in cm^{-1} . Table 2 gives the inverse relaxation lengths computed from the data in Appendix B by the methods described in McArthur and Kordas (1983).

The fine fraction of the top increment from several locations was analyzed for plutonium and strontium by the REECO laboratory. Table 3 gives the results of these analyses. The ratios in this table were used to infer inventories of plutonium and strontium from the estimated ^{241}Am and ^{137}Cs inventories.

The parameters used to compute the factors for converting photopeak counts to radionuclide activities are summarized in Table 4. For some radionuclides, the inverse relaxation lengths used for points near the GZs differed from those used for points farther away. The points considered "near" the GZs are outlined in Figure 3. The mass attenuation coefficients for all energies of interest were obtained by interpolation from Beck *et al.* (1972).

Appendix C describes a quality assurance study that confirms the validity of the radiochemical and spectroscopic analyses of the soil samples.

INVENTORY AND DISTRIBUTION ESTIMATES

In Situ Measurements

The results of the *in situ* measurements of six radionuclides are plotted in Figures 4 through 9. These six were the only man-made radionuclides found at measurable levels, although trace amounts of ^{133}Ba were reported from several points near the eastern edge of the area. Results are shown as "none detected" (n.d.) when the gamma-ray spectrum did not contain the peaks associated with a particular radionuclide. Such results were actually reported as "upper limit values" calculated from the background levels in the

Figure 3. Locations of soil samples. The dotted lines enclose the points considered "near" the ground zeros when choosing an inverse relaxation length (see Table 4).

TABLE 2. CALCULATED INVERSE RELAXATION LENGTHS

Point	Inverse relaxation length ($\text{cm}^{-1} \pm 1 \text{ s.d.}$)					
	^{60}Cs	^{137}Cs	^{152}Eu	^{154}Eu	^{155}Eu	^{241}Am
3	----	0.43 ± 0.09	----	----	----	----
4	----	$0.27 \pm 0.03^*$	----	----	----	----
5	$0.16 \pm 0.02^*$	0.41 ± 0.16	0.12 ± 0.02	----	0.067 ± 0.044	----
6	0.33 ± 0.07	0.31 ± 0.06	$0.12 \pm 0.06^*$	----	0.34 ± 0.08	0.34 ± 0.06
7	$0.080 \pm 0.014^*$	0.19 ± 0.03	$0.062 \pm 0.005^*$	----	0.14 ± 0.03	0.19 ± 0.05
8	----	1.35 ± 0.07	----	----	----	----
9	0.28 ± 0.07	1.11 ± 0.15	$0.10 \pm 0.009^*$	----	0.48 ± 0.16	0.65 ± 0.27
10	----	0.52 ± 0.07	$0.091 \pm 0.008^*$	----	----	----
11	0.54 ± 0.03	0.75 ± 0.12	$0.083 \pm 0.004^*$	----	0.65 ± 0.09	0.70 ± 0.05
12	----	0.085 ± 0.07	----	----	0.77 ± 0.07	----
13	0.12 ± 0.03	0.64 ± 0.14	0.13 ± 0.004	----	0.11 ± 0.02	----
14	0.51 ± 0.06	0.51 ± 0.07	$0.14 \pm 0.04^*$	----	0.47 ± 0.16	0.36 ± 0.09
15	$0.052 \pm 0.0009^*$	0.24 ± 0.06	$0.050 \pm 0.002^*$	$0.045 \pm 0.005^*$	----	----
16	$0.14 \pm 0.003^*$	0.64 ± 0.02	$0.099 \pm 0.008^*$	$0.13 \pm 0.004^*$	0.26 ± 0.08	0.21 ± 0.02
17	$0.096 \pm 0.01^*$	$0.10 \pm 0.01^*$	$0.080 \pm 0.004^*$	$0.081 \pm 0.002^*$	$0.093 \pm 0.010^*$	$0.12 \pm 0.04^*$
18	$0.11 \pm 0.01^*$	0.49 ± 0.07	$0.068 \pm 0.024^*$	$0.076 \pm 0.023^*$	0.48 ± 0.007	0.65 ± 0.02
19	$0.094 \pm 0.005^*$	0.92 ± 0.17	$0.084 \pm 0.012^*$	$0.10 \pm 0.01^*$	0.46 ± 0.19	0.43 ± 0.17
20	0.25 ± 0.01	0.49 ± 0.06	$0.098 \pm 0.003^*$	$0.13 \pm 0.001^*$	0.52 ± 0.008	0.51 ± 0.01
21	0.030 ± 0.04	0.64 ± 0.005	$0.15 \pm 0.01^*$	0.25 ± 0.03	0.52 ± 0.06	0.43 ± 0.06
22	0.28 ± 0.03	0.29 ± 0.04	0.21 ± 0.03	----	0.17 ± 0.03	----
23	0.33 ± 0.05	0.35 ± 0.02	0.29 ± 0.01	----	0.26 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.08
24	0.24 ± 0.02	0.73 ± 0.02	$0.12 \pm 0.009^*$	----	0.39 ± 0.08	0.44 ± 0.13
25	0.28 ± 0.05	0.66 ± 0.08	$0.12 \pm 0.02^*$	----	0.15 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.06
26	0.56 ± 0.19	1.14 ± 0.40	$0.10 \pm 0.003^*$	----	1.13 ± 0.45	1.28 ± 0.49
27	0.40 ± 0.03	0.65 ± 0.13	$0.067 \pm 0.019^*$	----	0.61 ± 0.03	0.69 ± 0.13
28	0.33 ± 0.07	0.87 ± 0.29	$0.11 \pm 0.0002^*$	----	0.48 ± 0.15	0.69 ± 0.24
29	0.37 ± 0.03	0.49 ± 0.18	$0.20 \pm 0.01^*$	----	0.32 ± 0.05	0.30 ± 0.06
30	$0.063 \pm 0.006^*$	$0.068 \pm 0.001^*$	$0.073 \pm 0.002^*$	----	0.093 ± 0.028	----
31	$0.14 \pm 0.003^*$	0.67 ± 0.13	$0.077 \pm 0.012^*$	$0.084 \pm 0.015^*$	0.34 ± 0.02	0.51 ± 0.17
32	$0.096 \pm 0.037^*$	$0.13 \pm 0.07^*$	$0.081 \pm 0.029^*$	$0.081 \pm 0.031^*$	$0.14 \pm 0.07^*$	$0.13 \pm 0.07^*$
33	$0.25 \pm 0.09^*$	$0.29 \pm 0.14^*$	$0.082 \pm 0.016^*$	$0.075 \pm 0.013^*$	$0.30 \pm 0.16^*$	$0.28 \pm 0.13^*$
34	$0.019 \pm 0.003^*$	0.24 ± 0.07	$0.011 \pm 0.0003^*$	$0.011 \pm 0.00005^*$	0.016 ± 0.06	0.35 ± 0.15
35	0.50 ± 0.13	0.68 ± 0.13	$0.12 \pm 0.01^*$	$0.08 \pm 0.01^*$	0.65 ± 0.13	0.99 ± 0.099
36	$0.15 \pm 0.03^*$	0.91 ± 0.23	$0.080 \pm 0.009^*$	$0.098 \pm 0.009^*$	0.60 ± 0.17	0.82 ± 0.31
37	$0.24 \pm 0.04^*$	0.67 ± 0.08	$0.10 \pm 0.005^*$	$0.13 \pm 0.01^*$	0.54 ± 0.08	0.50 ± 0.18
38	0.25 ± 0.03	0.54 ± 0.02	$0.11 \pm 0.002^*$	----	$0.15 \pm 0.05^*$	----

+ A blank entry indicates that a value could not be calculated because of insufficient data. No results could be obtained at Points 1 and 2.

* These inverse relaxation lengths are overestimates due to insufficient sampling depth.

TABLE 3. RADIONUCLIDE CONCENTRATIONS AND RATIOS IN SOIL SAMPLES

Area	Point	Concentration (pCi/g)*					Ratio		
		^{239,240} Pu	²³⁸ Pu	²⁴¹ Am	¹³⁷ Cs	⁹⁰ Sr	^{239,240} Pu/Am	²³⁸ Pu/Am	Sr/Cs
Diablo	6	340	160	82	320	550	4.1	2.0	1.7
	9	61	28	9.1	43	100	6.7	3.1	2.3
	11	83	39	14	49	110	5.9	2.8	2.2
	12	160	80	28	120	230	5.7	2.9	1.9
							Mean: 5.6	2.7	2.0
Whitney	17	150	26	9.3	90	310	16	2.8	3.4
	18	240	140	35	320	330	6.9	4.0	1.0
	19	190	53	17	130	380	11	3.1	2.9
	21	94	23	7.2	73	290	13	3.2	4.0
							Mean: 12	3.3	2.8
Shasta	24	46	17	4.8	31	82	9.6	3.5	2.6
	26	180	79	24	120	340	7.5	3.3	2.8
	28	26	11	4.8	20	49	5.4	2.3	2.4
							Mean: 7.5	3.0	2.6
Kepler	32	110	--	16	42	66	6.9	--	1.6
	33	560	--	190	420	400	2.9	--	0.95
	35	1600	--	230	520	590	7.0	--	1.1
	36	540	150	76	290	410	7.1	2.0	1.4
							Mean: 6.0	2.0	1.3

* Most concentration values are an average of several measurements.

TABLE 4. PARAMETERS USED TO COMPUTE CONVERSION FACTORS

Air density		0.001204 g/cm ³
Soil wet density		1.5 g/cm ³
Soil moisture		10%
Examples of mass attenuation coefficients (cm ² /g)		
	<u>Air</u>	<u>Soil</u>
60 keV	0.177	0.248
662 keV	0.0770	0.0781
1332 keV	0.0550	0.0558
Inverse relaxation lengths (cm ⁻¹)		
²⁴¹ Am	0.8	(0.6 near the Kepler GZ)
⁶⁰ Co	0.4	(0.1 near the Whitney GZ)
¹³⁷ Cs	0.4	
¹⁵² Eu	0.3	(0.05 near the GZs)
¹⁵⁴ Eu	0.3	(0.05 near the GZs)
¹⁵⁵ Eu	0.6	

region of the spectrum where the peak should have been.

There is no entirely satisfactory method of dealing with upper limit values in the data. They are clearly less reliable than the other measurements, yet they do provide information that should not be ignored completely. When these data were analyzed, the upper limit values were not treated differently from the other data except as described below.

Inventory Estimates

Methods

The estimation of radionuclide inventories was complicated by the limitations of the kriging program (BLUEPACK; Delfiner *et al.*, 1978) and by the presence of large areas in which only upper limit values were reported. The procedure was as follows:

1. Because BLUEPACK can handle at most 100 data points when estimating the average over a territory, the data were first divided into four sets corresponding to the four areas indicated by the dashed lines in Figure 2. The data from each area were then analyzed separately.
2. For each radionuclide, one or more rectangular regions (shown by solid lines in Figures 4 through 9) were defined in each area to include most of the points at which the radionuclide was found at levels above the detectable minimum. The inventory within each of these regions (the "above-background" regions) and outside them (the "background" region) was estimated separately.

Inventories in the background regions were estimated by computing the arithmetic mean of the data in each region, then multiplying the mean (nCi/m^2) by the area (ft^2) and the conversion factor ($9.29 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2\text{Ci/ft}^2\text{nCi}$). Because most of the data in these regions are upper limit values, the estimated inventories are presumably higher than would have been obtained from more accurate measurements.

This straightforward estimation method is less suitable for the above-background regions, where the concentration of sampling points near the GZs makes the arithmetic mean of the data a very biased estimate of the true mean. The estimates in these regions were based on kriging, a geostatistical estimation method that takes into account the relative locations of the data points (Journel and Huijbregts, 1978).

Use of kriging requires an estimate of the variogram, a function which describes the covariance structure of the data. Sample variograms were computed with the GAMMA program of Chiles (1975) from the above-background data only, since including the relatively constant upper limit values from background regions would make the

Figure 4. Measured ^{241}Am activities.

^{60}Co (nCi/m²)

- o n.d
- + <100
- 1 100-200
- 2 200-300
- 3 300-400
- 4 400-600
- 5 600-800
- 6 800-1000
- 7 1000-2000
- 8 2000-3000
- 9 3000-4000
- x 4720
- * 7770

Figure 5. Measured ^{60}Co activities.

^{137}Cs (nCi/m²)

- 0 n.d
- + <100
- 1 100-200
- 2 200-300
- 3 300-400
- 4 400-600
- 5 600-800
- 6 800-1000
- 7 1000-2000
- 8 2000-5000
- 9 5000-10,000
- x 10,000-20,000
- * 20,800

Figure 6. Measured ^{137}Cs activities.

Figure 7. Measured ^{152}Eu activities.

Figure 8. Measured ^{154}Eu activities.

Figure 9. Measured ^{155}Eu activities.

activity appear less variable than it actually is. A sample variogram was not computed for ^{154}Eu , which was present at measurable levels at only 24 points. Sample variograms for the other five radionuclides are shown in Figure 10.

The sample variograms are all characterized by large fluctuations, and fitting a smooth model to them with any degree of confidence is difficult. In all five cases the fitted curve is a spherical model, which expresses the variability between measurements at points separated by a distance h as a function of h and three parameters:

- the nugget effect, the variance of repeated measurements at the same point;
- the range, the distance beyond which measurements are independent; and
- the sill, the value of the variogram as h goes to infinity.

The parameter values used are summarized below.

	<u>nugget</u>	<u>range (ft)</u>	<u>sill</u>
^{241}Am	30,480	400	1,130,480
^{60}Co	57,000	1,500	657,000
^{137}Cs	48,000	500	6,048,000
^{152}Eu	2.7×10^7	1,200	8.27×10^8
^{155}Eu	46,000	600	120,000

The nugget effect was estimated from the data at 17 points where repeat measurements were made. (The average values at these points were used in all subsequent computations.) The other two parameters were chosen to give a curve which seemed to fit the sample variogram reasonably well, keeping in mind that the sample variograms are biased upward from the concentration of data points in regions of rapidly changing activity.

Once the variogram models were chosen, the "Territory" option of BLUEPACK was used to estimate the average activity in the above-background regions in each area. All the data were used in these computations. For example, in estimating the above-background ^{241}Am inventory in the Whitney area, all 59 data values (including the many upper limit values) were included in the BLUEPACK input file. However, by suitably choosing the parameters of the BLUEPACK run, the average activity was estimated only in the small region around the GZ (Figure 4).

The use of kriging assumes that the average level of activity is constant over the territory being estimated. (In statistical terms, the observed radionuclide distribution is assumed to be a realization of a stationary random function.) This assumption is highly questionable when radionuclides are locally concentrated around a GZ, and it is therefore

Figure 10. Sample variograms and fitted models.

desirable to confirm the kriging estimates with less sophisticated methods that are probably more robust in situations where the kriging model is not realistic. The polygons of influence method was used for this purpose with the Galileo data (McArthur and Kordas, 1983), but determining the polygons of influence in Areas 2 and 4 is prohibitively tedious because many of the sampling points are not on a regular grid. The vicinities of the Kepler and Whitney GZs were sampled with a regular pattern, however, and polygons for these regions are easily drawn (Figure 11) if a point NW of the Kepler GZ is ignored and if the other points are assumed to lie on the nodes of a regular grid (even though several points are 30 to 40 feet from their assumed locations).

The polygons of influence method is intuitively reasonable, but it does not necessarily give unbiased estimates. If the two points labeled "a" in the Whitney GZ region in Figure 11 are ignored, the remaining points form a regular 3 x 3 grid over the area, and the average of these nine points does give an (almost) unbiased estimate of the mean activity in the region. The estimate is not statistically unbiased, in the randomization sense, because the origin of the grid is the Whitney GZ and not a randomly chosen point. Nevertheless, the estimate will be called "unbiased" to emphasize that it is derived from a sample that does not have a higher sampling density close to the GZ. Similar estimates can be derived for the Kepler GZ region by ignoring the five points labeled "a" and using an arbitrary 1.5 times the mean of the four points labeled "b" (200 feet from the GZ) to estimate the activity at the GZ.

Figure 11. Polygons of influence near the Whitney and Kepler GZs.

Results

Table 5 shows the results of four estimation methods with the data from the Kepler and Whitney GZ areas. The estimated mean activities are presented here instead of inventories to make comparison with the data in Figures 4 to 9 easier. As expected, the arithmetic mean of the data overestimates the average activity because of the concentration of sampling points in regions of high activity. The polygons of influence estimates also tend to be high for the same reason. The unbiased means and the kriging estimates generally agree well, providing some evidence that the kriging results are reasonable.

The estimated radionuclide inventories are given in Table 6. Inventory estimates for plutonium and ^{90}Sr were derived by multiplying the ^{241}Am and ^{137}Cs estimates by the mean ratios in Table 3. The unbiased means in Table 5 were used to estimate the ^{154}Eu inventory because there were not enough data for kriging.

The initial kriging results for ^{137}Cs showed about 29 Ci in the Kepler area, 10 Ci in the Shasta area, and 11 Ci in the Whitney area. These values did not seem consistent with the data in Figure 6, which suggest that the Shasta inventory should be larger than the Whitney inventory and that the Kepler inventory is probably not three times the Shasta inventory. Table 5 tends to confirm the kriging results in the GZ regions, so it seems logical to check the kriging results outside the GZ regions. The sampling points in these outer regions are fairly uniformly distributed, and the means of the data should give nearly unbiased estimates of the true means there. These means are compared with the kriging estimates in Table 7. (Again, all the data from an area were used in estimating the mean in any territory within that area by kriging.) Kriging appears to overestimate the inventory outside these two GZ regions, and the estimates from the unbiased means were therefore used in Table 6.

TABLE 5. ESTIMATES OF MEAN ACTIVITY IN TWO GZ AREAS

	^{241}Am	^{60}Co	Activity (nCi/m^2) ^{137}Cs	^{152}Eu	^{154}Eu	^{155}Eu
Kepler GZ						
arithmetic mean	720	700	2,980	18,330	1,150	200
unbiased mean	310	350	1,400	8,830	610	100
polygons	330	380	1,640	9,570	680	110
kriging	350	360	1,600	8,490	--	110
Whitney GZ						
arithmetic mean	740	2,460	6,870	41,600	2,230	520
unbiased mean	320	1,620	3,890	27,100	1,380	250
polygons	560	2,080	5,570	35,200	1,870	400
kriging	280	1,940	3,120	29,800	--	250

TABLE 6. ESTIMATED INVENTORIES IN AREAS 2 AND 4

		Background Region			Above-Background Regions		
	Area	Mean (nCi/m ²)	Area (ft ² x 10 ⁶)	Inventory (Ci)	Mean (nCi/m ²)	Area (ft ² x 10 ⁶)	Inventory (Ci)
²⁴¹ Am	Kepler	38	59.967	0.2	280	213.993	5.6
	Shasta	41	97.01	0.4	89	39.79	0.3
	Whitney	39	67.92	0.2	250	7.68	0.2
	Diablo	36	59.52	<u>0.2</u> 1.0	170	52.08	<u>0.8</u> 6.9
²³⁸ Pu	Kepler	--	59.967	0.4	--	213.993	11.2
	Shasta	--	97.01	1.2	--	39.79	0.9
	Whitney	--	67.92	0.7	--	7.68	0.7
	Diablo	--	59.52	<u>0.5</u> 2.8	--	52.08	<u>2.2</u> 15.0
^{239,240} Pu	Kepler	--	59.967	1.2	--	213.993	33.6
	Shasta	--	97.01	3.0	--	39.79	2.3
	Whitney	--	67.92	2.4	--	7.68	2.4
	Diablo	--	59.52	<u>1.1</u> 7.7	--	52.08	<u>4.5</u> 42.8
⁶⁰ Co	Kepler	38	53.879	0.2	180	220.081	3.7
	Shasta	23	44.84	0.1	67	91.96	0.6
	Whitney	14	29.82	0.0	380	45.78	1.6
	Diablo	17	72.88	<u>0.1</u> 0.4	74	38.72	<u>0.3</u> 6.2
¹³⁷ Cs	Kepler	--	--	--	430	273.96	11.0
	Shasta	--	--	--	820	136.8	10.4
	Whitney	--	--	--	570	75.6	4.0
	Diablo	--	--	--	870	111.6	<u>9.0</u> 34.4
⁹⁰ Sr	Kepler	--	--	--	--	273.96	14.3
	Shasta	--	--	--	--	136.8	27.0
	Whitney	--	--	--	--	75.6	11.2
	Diablo	--	--	--	--	111.6	<u>18.0</u> 70.5
¹⁵² Eu	Kepler	61	257.96	1.5	8500	16.0	12.6
	Shasta	54	118.56	0.6	150	18.24	0.3
	Whitney	44	52.08	0.2	8900	23.52	19.4
	Diablo	48	104.49	<u>0.5</u> 2.8	540	7.11	<u>0.4</u> 32.7
¹⁵⁴ Eu	Kepler	83	257.96	2.0	610	16.0	0.9
	Shasta	91	136.8	1.2	--	--	--
	Whitney	82	69.84	0.5	1380	5.76	0.7
	Diablo	107	111.6	<u>1.1</u> 4.8	--	--	<u>--</u> 1.6
¹⁵⁵ Eu	Kepler	36	257.96	0.9	110	16.0	0.2
	Shasta	37	84.48	0.3	66	52.32	0.3
	Whitney	37	69.84	0.2	250	5.76	0.1
	Diablo	37	107.31	<u>0.4</u> 1.8	190	4.29	<u>0.1</u> 0.7

TABLE 7. ^{137}Cs ESTIMATES IN THE KEPLER AND WHITNEY AREAS

	Area ² (ft x 10 ⁶)	Mean (nCi/m ²)		Inventory (Ci)	
		unbiased	kriging	unbiased	kriging
<u>Kepler</u>					
GZ area	16.00	1400	1600	2.1	2.4
outside GZ	<u>257.96</u>	370	1130	<u>8.9</u>	<u>27.0</u>
	273.96			11.0	29.4
<u>Whitney</u>					
GZ area	5.76	3890	3120	2.1	1.7
outside GZ	<u>69.84</u>	300	1400	<u>1.9</u>	<u>9.1</u>
	75.60			4.0	10.8

Distribution Patterns

The distributions of the six radionuclides measured by *in situ* spectrometry were estimated by using kriging to interpolate the activities on a 500-foot grid of points over the entire region. There is no restriction on the number of data values when BLUEPACK is used to estimate individual points, so the data set was not partitioned as it was when inventories were estimated. Estimates for ^{154}Eu were made using a generalized polynomial covariance model, $g(h) = 5.16 \times 10^6$ for all h , fitted automatically by BLUEPACK.

After the arrays of interpolated values were generated, isopleths of activity were drawn by the NCAR subroutine CONREC (Wright, 1977). The isopleths are shown in Figures 12 through 17.

CONCLUSION

Kriging has been used to estimate radionuclide distributions since before the RIDP began (see, for example, Barnes *et al.*, 1980). The method is appealing because it takes the correlation of the data at nearby points into account to produce unbiased estimates with the smallest estimation error. However, the optimal properties of kriging depend on a statistical model that does not realistically describe the distribution of radionuclides at many places on the Nevada Test Site, and the kriging results should not be accepted blindly as being more accurate or more precise than results from other methods.

Analysis of the data from Areas 2 and 4 shows that kriging can give reasonable results even when radionuclides are concentrated around a ground zero, but it also demonstrates that problems can occur. The sample points near the GZs tend to be closely surrounded by other sample points, and kriging should give relatively small weight to

Figure 12. Isopleths of ^{241}Am activity (nCi/m^2).

Figure 13. Isopleths of ^{60}Co activity (nCi/m^2).

Figure 14. Isopleths of ^{137}Cs activity (nCi/m^2).

Figure 15. Isopleths of ^{152}Eu activity (nCi/m^2).

Figure 16. Isopleths of ^{154}Eu activity (nCi/m^2).

Figure 17. Isopleths of ^{155}Eu activity (nCi/m^2).

such points when estimating the mean over the entire area. With the ^{137}Cs data, however, the large values near two of the GZs were given too much weight, possibly because the wrong variogram model was used (all the variogram models were derived from very erratic sample variograms computed from relatively few data). Ideally the data from the GZ areas and the data from the outlying areas should be analyzed separately (as was done with the unbiased means), but the GZ areas are usually too small to produce enough data for a kriging analysis.

Research into the performance of kriging and other estimators with the kinds of data encountered by the RIDP is continuing. For the present, the strategy of obtaining inventory estimates by kriging, then confirming them by other methods when possible and modifying them as appropriate, seems to be the most workable.

REFERENCES

- Barnes, M.G., J.J. Giacomini, R.T. Reiman, and B. Elliot, 1980. NTS Radiological Assessment Project: Results for Frenchman Lake region of Area 5. DOE/DP/01253-17, Desert Research Institute, Reno, Nevada.
- Beck, H.L., J. Decampo, and C. Gogolak, 1972. *In Situ* Ge(Li) and Na(Tl) gamma-ray spectrometry. HASL-258, Health and Safety Laboratory, Atomic Energy Commission, New York.
- Chiles, J.P., 1975. GAMMA: A program for computing semi-variograms. Center for Geostatistics, Fontainebleau, France.
- Delfiner, P., J.P. Delhomme, and J.P. Chiles, 1976. BLUEPACK. Ecole des Mines de Paris, Fontainebleau, France.
- Fritzsche, A.E., 1982. An aerial radiological survey of Areas 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15 and 17, Yucca Flat, Nevada Test Site. EGG-1183-1808, EG&G, Las Vegas, Nevada.
- Gunnink, R., and J.B. Niday, 1971. Computerized quantitative analysis by gamma-ray spectrometry. Vol. 1-5, UCRL-51061, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California.
- Journel, A.O., and Ch.J. Huijbregts, 1978. *Mining Geostatistics*. Academic Press, New York. 600 pp.
- McArthur, R.D., and J.F. Kordas, 1983. Radionuclide Inventory and Distribution Program: The Galileo Area. DOE/NV/10162-14, Publication #45035, Water Resources Center, Desert Research Institute, University of Nevada System, Las Vegas, Nevada.
- Wright, T., ed., 1977. *NCAR Graphics Software*. National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado.

Appendix A: Locations of Soil Samples

This table gives the Nevada Grid Coordinates and original point numbers of the locations shown in Figure 3. To simplify presentation of the results, the locations have been numbered sequentially in this report. However, the original numbers will be used to identify the location in the RIDP database.

Point #	Nevada Grid Coordinates		Original Point #
	E	N	
1	660394	876041	Diablo 37
2	666819	876057	Diablo 40
3	661233	874851	Diablo 27
4	664843	874855	Diablo 31
5	661680	873810	Whitney 11
6	662071	874059	Diablo 14
7	662477	874214	Whitney 1
8	663635	874057	Diablo 18
9	662522	873820	Whitney 12
10	662451	873668	Diablo 6
11	663310	873836	Whitney 13
12	663234	873669	Diablo 8
13	662500	873031	Whitney 24
14	658507	872222	Whitney 34
15	659311	870618	Whitney 53
16	660900	870627	Whitney 55
17	660500	870229	Whitney 63
18	660025	869837	Whitney 68
19	659701	869920	Whitney 78
20	659289	869029	Whitney 80
21	660913	869027	Whitney 82
22	661710	868236	Whitney 91
23	658158	866220	Whitney 115
24	663302	866610	Whitney 110
25	664102	866612	Whitney 111
26	663399	866221	Whitney 119
27	663306	865834	Whitney 122
28	664082	865814	Whitney 123
29	664516	865411	Whitney 133
30	664464	855834	Kepler 19
31	663664	854234	Kepler 10
32	664443	854428	Kepler 2
33	664264	854234	Kepler 5
34	664462	854233	Kepler 1
35	664664	854234	Kepler 3
36	664464	854035	Kepler 4
37	665264	854234	Kepler 12
38	664462	852622	Kepler 15

Appendix B: Radionuclide Concentrations in Soil Samples

The depth increments are: a = 0 - 2.5 cm, b = 2.5 - 5 cm, c = 5 - 10 cm, d = 10 - 15 cm. The values in parentheses are one standard deviation in percent. Concentrations with 100% error are upper limit values. Concentrations have been omitted when none of the values for a particular radionuclide exceeded the detection limit.

Sample Pt. & Increment	Weight (g)			Concentration (pCi/g)						
	wet	dry	sieved	⁶⁰ Co	¹³⁷ Cs	¹⁵² Eu	¹⁵⁴ Eu	¹⁵⁵ Eu	²⁴¹ Am	
1 a	376	367	220	-- --	1.59 (5.8)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
b	316	299	209	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
c	832	777	665	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
d	561	542	476	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
2 a	253	250	214	-- --	0.27 (20)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
b	332	319	293	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
c	638	605	574	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
d	620	588	563	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
3 a	371	366	250	0.21 (13)	2.66 (4.6)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
b	417	405	230	-- --	1.68 (5.4)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
c	737	700	495	-- --	0.19 (21)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
d	725	699	468	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
4 a	204	202	165	0.23 (12)	6.32 (4.4)	-- --	-- --	0.61 (16)	1.25 (16)	-- --
b	368	364	294	-- --	4.35 (5.0)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
c	668	644	569	-- --	1.50 (6.3)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
d	647	622	590	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
5 a	468	441	163	0.20 (14)	1.75 (3.9)	0.41 (16)	-- --	0.11 (100)	-- --	-- --
b	434	383	346	0.04 (100)	0.58 (7.4)	0.54 (12)	-- --	0.17 (41)	-- --	-- --
c	984	916	430	0.04 (100)	0.05 (100)	0.38 (14)	-- --	0.19 (34)	-- --	-- --
d	1262	1176	527	0.07 (42)	0.05 (100)	0.11 (100)	-- --	0.13 (100)	-- --	-- --
6 a	293	283	250	5.19 (4.8)	327 (4.2)	2.27 (19)	-- --	24.7 (4.7)	80.3 (4.6)	-- --
b	275	258	231	3.56 (5.2)	231 (4.2)	-- --	-- --	17.7 (4.8)	52.4 (4.8)	-- --
c	545	509	488	0.73 (8.2)	51.0 (4.2)	1.22 (14)	-- --	3.20 (7.8)	10.7 (6.8)	-- --
d	565	539	474	-- --	4.14 (4.7)	1.10 (9.2)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
7 a	338	302	257	0.53 (9.7)	19.5 (1.3)	4.03 (3.3)	-- --	1.31 (11)	3.45 (9.5)	-- --
b	370	317	267	0.69 (8.5)	19.2 (1.3)	4.45 (3.0)	-- --	1.61 (6.6)	4.49 (8.2)	-- --
c	830	734	540	0.75 (7.6)	11.9 (3.0)	5.58 (2.9)	-- --	1.11 (12)	1.85 (20)	-- --
d	860	758	583	0.45 (13)	0.09 (100)	5.26 (100)	-- --	0.22 (100)	0.25 (100)	-- --
8 a	248	242	184	-- --	4.71 (4.7)	-- --	-- --	0.62 (18)	-- --	-- --
b	435	414	355	-- --	0.15 (18)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
c	618	590	500	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
d	526	509	433	-- --	-- --	0.46 (12)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
9 a	269	241	193	1.33 (6.2)	42.0 (1.0)	3.75 (3.3)	-- --	3.38 (4.2)	9.43 (8.1)	-- --
b	495	437	293	0.35 (13)	2.18 (4.1)	3.69 (3.4)	-- --	0.35 (23)	0.26 (100)	-- --
c	980	843	719	0.16 (22)	0.06 (100)	3.19 (3.7)	-- --	0.16 (100)	0.31 (100)	-- --
d	1097	933	794	0.20 (27)	0.08 (100)	2.16 (5.2)	-- --	0.19 (100)	0.23 (100)	-- --
10 a	263	263	237	0.32 (15)	12.0 (4.4)	1.80 (6.8)	-- --	1.32 (11.0)	2.31 (16)	-- --
b	317	317	277	-- --	2.46 (5.0)	1.78 (6.4)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
c	461	458	368	-- --	0.86 (7.6)	1.36 (8.2)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
d	621	598	505	-- --	-- --	1.61 (7.8)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
11 a	369	325	285	0.94 (5.3)	53.7 (0.9)	0.94 (9.4)	-- --	5.07 (3.4)	13.0 (6.9)	-- --
b	368	316	276	0.28 (15)	11.9 (1.4)	0.88 (8.7)	-- --	1.37 (9.9)	2.76 (12)	-- --
c	1192	1134	201	0.05 (100)	1.29 (5.7)	0.84 (9.8)	-- --	0.09 (100)	0.31 (27)	-- --
d	1017	929	436	0.05 (100)	0.38 (15)	0.75 (10)	-- --	0.13 (100)	0.24 (100)	-- --

Sample Pt. & Incre- ment	Weight (g)			Concentration (pCi/g)					
	wet	dry	sieved	⁶⁰ Co	¹³⁷ Cs	¹⁵² Eu	¹⁵⁴ Eu	¹⁵⁵ Eu	²⁴¹ Am
12 a	582	582	154	2.75 (6.8)	127 (4.2)	-- --	-- --	9.86 (5.8)	28.8 (5.1)
b	303	302	189	-- --	5.29 (4.8)	-- --	-- --	0.71 (21)	-- --
c	312	309	245	-- --	0.45 (14)	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
d	542	538	353	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
13 a	425	398	282	0.12 (31)	3.31 (3.0)	0.32 (24)	-- --	0.28 (35)	-- --
b	514	462	336	0.04 (100)	0.37 (16)	0.22 (37)	-- --	0.12 (100)	-- --
c	1017	915	743	0.05 (100)	0.05 (100)	0.14 (100)	-- --	0.14 (100)	-- --
d	964	868	659	0.06 (100)	0.07 (100)	0.16 (100)	-- --	0.18 (100)	-- --
14 a	362	337	233	3.99 (2.1)	14.0 (1.3)	0.42 (19)	-- --	1.03 (14)	0.85 (35)
b	472	439	209	1.18 (4.0)	3.98 (2.2)	0.11 (100)	-- --	0.12 (100)	0.22 (100)
c	1015	922	662	0.24 (15)	0.82 (7.5)	0.26 (21)	-- --	0.08 (100)	0.08 (100)
d	1475	1379	276	0.04 (100)	0.28 (23)	0.10 (100)	-- --	0.12 (100)	0.22 (100)
15 a	344	284	236	1.31 (5.1)	0.78 (12)	17.1 (1.1)	0.76 (15)	-- --	-- --
b	356	266	236	1.25 (4.5)	0.19 (28)	14.8 (1.1)	0.55 (21)	-- --	-- --
c	936	752	606	1.51 (4.6)	0.18 (35)	19.5 (1.5)	0.76 (22)	-- --	-- --
d	814	679	580	2.65 (3.7)	0.12 (100)	36.4 (1.0)	1.97 (12)	-- --	-- --
16 a	538	535	201	2.62 (2.6)	15.1 (1.3)	20.6 (1.2)	1.23 (11)	1.28 (9.6)	0.87 (23)
b	445	430	241	1.32 (8.1)	2.25 (3.4)	20.3 (0.9)	0.84 (13)	0.16 (100)	0.27 (100)
c	778	749	353	1.06 (4.9)	0.24 (26)	17.0 (1.4)	0.67 (25)	0.21 (32)	0.09 (100)
d	831	798	317	0.81 (5.9)	0.06 (100)	13.4 (1.7)	0.48 (28)	0.15 (100)	0.27 (100)
17 a	370	342	274	27.5 (1.0)	100 (1.0)	294 (0.5)	19.2 (2.6)	7.30 (15)	7.83 (26)
b	388	352	291	27.8 (1.0)	107 (0.9)	280 (0.6)	17.2 (2.7)	7.55 (6.1)	12.2 (11)
c	968	909	481	33.1 (0.9)	123 (0.9)	292 (0.7)	19.2 (3.3)	10.3 (16)	8.29 (44)
d	1009	939	562	25.5 (2.9)	80.0 (2.7)	259 (0.8)	15.9 (5.3)	6.13 (22)	4.86 (42)
18 a	301	282	181	15.7 (1.1)	306 (0.8)	123 (1.1)	6.80 (7.9)	11.3 (9.1)	20.7 (19)
b	458	424	258	17.3 (1.0)	64.1 (1.0)	235 (0.6)	11.6 (3.8)	3.54 (22)	3.99 (40)
c	823	758	469	14.3 (1.1)	15.1 (1.7)	221 (0.6)	10.7 (4.5)	0.24 (100)	0.20 (100)
d	987	929	444	7.29 (1.7)	12.1 (3.6)	118 (1.0)	5.05 (7.4)	0.71 (50)	0.46 (100)
19 a	254	242	162	36.1 (1.0)	135 (1.0)	419 (0.5)	27.4 (2.3)	11.0 (4.7)	14.5 (12)
b	454	425	240	29.9 (1.1)	9.84 (4.1)	490 (0.5)	28.4 (2.9)	0.55 (100)	0.90 (100)
c	1028	952	595	29.8 (1.1)	1.06 (22)	473 (0.6)	23.8 (2.9)	0.76 (100)	1.39 (100)
d	947	847	699	22.3 (2.0)	0.29 (100)	321 (0.7)	14.7 (4.8)	0.62 (100)	0.67 (100)
20 a	495	484	227	10.9 (2.5)	101 (0.9)	39.4 (1.0)	2.59 (7.9)	7.64 (4.8)	5.68 (14)
b	437	406	277	4.58 (2.1)	28.3 (1.0)	35.0 (0.9)	2.03 (8.0)	2.03 (7.0)	1.22 (40)
c	964	907	420	2.26 (3.0)	4.06 (3.0)	31.8 (1.1)	1.56 (14)	0.21 (41)	0.11 (100)
d	855	807	241	1.74 (4.0)	2.36 (4.7)	26.4 (1.4)	1.09 (16)	0.18 (100)	0.32 (100)
21 a	383	368	239	7.07 (1.7)	77.4 (0.9)	37.9 (0.8)	2.44 (7.0)	6.38 (4.7)	6.09 (8.8)
b	507	474	308	2.26 (3.6)	16.4 (1.3)	21.4 (1.2)	0.97 (17)	1.36 (9.6)	1.48 (18)
c	917	848	591	1.04 (5.7)	1.47 (8.3)	18.1 (1.3)	0.81 (18)	0.23 (100)	0.44 (100)
d	1179	1116	522	0.80 (8.7)	0.62 (15)	12.1 (1.7)	-- --	0.22 (100)	0.24 (100)
22 a	452	428	251	0.78 (8.3)	7.35 (2.4)	2.92 (3.1)	-- --	0.61 (20)	-- --
b	391	367	231	0.26 (13)	2.35 (2.9)	1.14 (7.4)	-- --	0.23 (27)	-- --
c	1038	965	638	0.15 (21)	1.54 (4.3)	0.82 (8.3)	-- --	0.25 (49)	-- --
d	1178	1109	586	0.04 (100)	0.10 (33)	0.47 (15)	-- --	0.12 (100)	-- --
23 a	389	364	250	1.70 (3.6)	13.5 (1.4)	1.69 (6.0)	-- --	1.10 (8.8)	0.86 (24)
b	489	449	319	1.00 (5.4)	6.32 (1.8)	0.74 (11)	-- --	0.63 (15)	-- --
c	571	466	410	0.19 (20)	1.41 (5.3)	0.30 (43)	-- --	0.17 (100)	0.34 (100)
d	789	720	362	0.06 (100)	0.53 (20)	0.15 (100)	-- --	0.18 (100)	0.22 (100)
24 a	451	426	266	1.15 (5.0)	33.2 (1.1)	3.21 (4.0)	-- --	2.75 (4.7)	5.26 (9.3)
b	510	472	320	0.48 (9.4)	5.41 (2.2)	2.38 (4.4)	0.16 (52)	0.55 (16)	0.62 (37)
c	932	861	560	0.17 (24)	0.41 (12)	1.89 (4.8)	-- --	0.18 (100)	0.37 (100)
d	936	860	621	0.19 (26)	0.07 (100)	1.60 (8.1)	-- --	0.18 (100)	0.22 (100)

Sample Pt. & Incre- ment	Weight (g)			Concentration (pCi/g)					
	wet	dry	sieved	⁶⁰ Co	¹³⁷ Cs	¹⁵² Eu	¹⁵⁴ Eu	¹⁵⁵ Eu	²⁴¹ Am
25 a	425	409	300	0.30 (12)	5.37 (1.9)	0.60 (11)	-- --	0.45 (18)	0.86 (25)
b	410	385	296	0.09 (31)	0.74 (6.2)	0.66 (9.6)	-- --	0.11 (100)	0.20 (100)
c	982	909	637	0.04 (100)	0.04 (100)	0.33 (16)	-- --	0.24 (44)	0.07 (100)
d	862	792	624	0.04 (100)	0.12 (37)	0.35 (21)	-- --	0.12 (100)	0.23 (100)
26 a	460	431	357	2.34 (3.7)	121 (0.8)	2.96 (4.3)	-- --	9.08 (2.7)	21.5 (6.7)
b	482	450	307	0.19 (15)	2.24 (3.2)	2.53 (3.2)	-- --	0.11 (100)	0.20 (100)
c	880	821	429	0.20 (18)	1.16 (6.6)	2.25 (4.3)	-- --	0.08 (100)	0.08 (100)
d	1274	1218	220	0.20 (22)	0.52 (10.9)	1.81 (5.4)	-- --	0.13 (100)	0.25 (100)
27 a	401	380	347	4.89 (2.9)	146 (0.8)	2.63 (4.9)	-- --	13.0 (2.4)	32.6 (6.3)
b	534	497	369	1.83 (3.5)	53.6 (0.9)	4.41 (2.7)	-- --	4.01 (4.7)	10.8 (7.2)
c	805	749	454	0.50 (9.5)	3.19 (3.1)	4.22 (2.8)	-- --	0.33 (34)	0.38 (100)
d	921	849	616	0.31 (16)	0.29 (24)	3.00 (4.4)	-- --	0.18 (100)	0.22 (100)
28 a	398	380	301	0.41 (11)	20.4 (1.2)	0.98 (7.4)	-- --	1.89 (5.6)	4.02 (9.0)
b	459	426	327	0.10 (30)	0.74 (8.1)	0.76 (8.2)	-- --	0.19 (35)	0.20 (100)
c	998	913	405	0.04 (100)	0.05 (100)	0.50 (12)	-- --	0.23 (28)	0.07 (100)
d	909	842	391	0.11 (26)	0.63 (8.9)	0.65 (11)	-- --	0.12 (100)	0.23 (100)
29 a	361	333	287	0.76 (8.3)	18.2 (1.1)	0.62 (18)	-- --	1.54 (13)	2.67 (24)
b	395	364	303	0.38 (18)	11.6 (1.5)	0.44 (20)	-- --	1.03 (10)	2.00 (13)
c	796	733	544	0.06 (100)	0.76 (9.6)	0.12 (100)	-- --	0.12 (100)	0.23 (100)
d	899	821	618	0.05 (100)	0.05 (100)	0.16 (100)	-- --	0.17 (100)	0.36 (100)
30 a	627	622	263	0.33 (11)	2.23 (3.3)	1.87 (4.0)	-- --	0.27 (32)	-- --
b	385	376	200	0.17 (28)	1.45 (5.0)	1.46 (4.7)	-- --	0.34 (25)	-- --
c	675	656	465	0.33 (13)	2.40 (3.6)	0.15 (100)	-- --	0.15 (100)	-- --
d	655	638	443	0.16 (25)	0.69 (10)	1.95 (6.7)	-- --	0.13 (100)	-- --
31 a	290	288	218	7.64 (1.8)	40.9 (1.0)	59.0 (0.9)	2.98 (10)	3.20 (8.3)	7.14 (11)
b	309	302	251	5.29 (2.4)	10.8 (2.0)	73.2 (1.2)	3.76 (8.3)	1.13 (25)	0.57 (100)
c	481	463	356	3.84 (3.4)	0.41 (37)	71.4 (0.7)	3.24 (9.0)	0.34 (100)	0.38 (100)
d	562	540	369	3.22 (2.6)	0.22 (37)	54.5 (1.2)	2.46 (14)	0.22 (100)	0.29 (100)
32 a	415	412	248	7.22 (1.6)	41.1 (1.0)	52.7 (1.2)	2.35 (14)	3.77 (4.9)	14.0 (5.4)
b	505	499	203	20.1 (1.1)	134 (2.3)	96.6 (1.3)	4.58 (11)	12.0 (5.6)	46.9 (9.1)
c	595	579	417	10.5 (1.8)	37.6 (4.4)	116 (1.2)	5.23 (7.0)	3.12 (14)	13.9 (19)
33 a	182	181	130	52.4 (0.9)	440 (1.6)	70.5 (1.4)	3.61 (16)	34.0 (1.9)	150 (4.9)
b	304	301	211	62.5 (0.8)	559 (1.3)	91.4 (1.5)	4.60 (14)	48.7 (4.1)	189 (13)
c	589	577	325	19.1 (1.9)	106 (3.9)	139 (1.1)	7.91 (8.0)	7.68 (10.3)	40.4 (5.4)
34 a	328	326	264	6.65 (3.6)	29.0 (1.7)	70.7 (1.1)	3.31 (9.2)	2.75 (18)	8.71 (15)
b	284	280	193	4.14 (3.6)	6.73 (3.7)	63.8 (1.2)	3.29 (11)	0.37 (100)	0.43 (100)
c	527	512	345	14.3 (1.5)	10.5 (2.8)	253 (0.8)	13.8 (4.6)	1.32 (38)	0.58 (100)
d	540	525	463	43.8 (0.9)	2.03 (15)	935 (0.5)	47.4 (2.4)	0.84 (100)	1.35 (100)
35 a	290	287	208	61.8 (0.7)	521 (1.4)	59.8 (1.3)	2.01 (30)	45.3 (1.7)	179 (5.0)
b	459	444	285	9.12 (1.5)	65.2 (3.0)	32.9 (1.7)	1.59 (17)	5.90 (5.1)	21.8 (7.9)
c	514	487	385	4.94 (2.6)	15.6 (1.6)	68.7 (1.0)	3.59 (12)	1.54 (20)	0.47 (100)
36 a	401	398	313	31.6 (0.9)	272 (0.7)	264 (0.7)	17.1 (3.5)	16.8 (6.2)	50.9 (9.8)
b	408	400	279	13.2 (4.0)	15.0 (2.7)	287 (0.5)	16.9 (3.4)	1.66 (31)	1.61 (40)
c	606	591	473	13.3 (1.5)	2.79 (8.2)	283 (0.7)	14.4 (4.3)	0.46 (100)	0.60 (100)
d	564	551	463	10.5 (1.5)	0.54 (26)	235 (0.6)	10.6 (4.5)	0.43 (100)	0.70 (100)
37 a	357	353	250	5.94 (3.3)	57.7 (1.4)	36.0 (1.1)	1.60 (17)	5.01 (4.9)	7.13 (9.5)
b	340	335	200	2.21 (3.3)	9.43 (1.6)	31.8 (1.4)	1.42 (18)	1.05 (11)	0.57 (18)
c	657	645	349	1.72 (3.8)	1.33 (6.9)	31.2 (1.6)	1.06 (24)	0.19 (100)	0.33 (100)
d	684	663	386	1.07 (6.8)	0.82 (10)	18.7 (2.2)	0.41 (56)	0.18 (100)	0.56 (100)
38 a	273	271	184	1.17 (5.4)	7.37 (1.9)	3.55 (3.5)	-- --	0.85 (18)	-- --
b	252	246	190	0.37 (13)	1.53 (5.6)	2.90 (4.6)	-- --	0.17 (100)	-- --
c	600	578	473	0.17 (27)	0.25 (38)	2.61 (5.1)	-- --	0.21 (100)	-- --
d	535	502	342	0.20 (19)	0.06 (100)	2.15 (3.8)	-- --	0.40 (32)	-- --

Appendix C: Quality Assurance for Measurements of Soil Radioactivity
by E.H. Essington (Los Alamos National Laboratory)
and S.W. Mead (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory)

Radiochemical analyses and gamma spectrometric measurements of the soil samples collected for the RIDP are conducted by the REEC_o Analytical Laboratory. To ensure the reliability of these analyses, a set of quality assurance (QA) procedures was in effect when the soil samples from Areas 2 and 4 were analyzed. These procedures included analysis of replicate aliquots from the same sample, analysis of independently-calibrated reference blinds for a related program, and duplicate gamma spectrometric measurements of samples by LLNL.

Although these efforts support the general adequacy of both the gamma measurements and the radiochemical analyses performed by REEC_o, several inconsistencies were noted. It is often difficult to determine whether such inconsistencies reflect the presence of a few highly radioactive particles (the "hot particle" problem) or constitute errors in technique or data transcription. To try to resolve the uncertainties and provide further assurance of the reliability of the data, a series of samples from Areas 2 and 4 was reanalyzed by two independent laboratories.

Five representative soil samples were selected from those already analyzed by REEC_o and submitted to Los Alamos National Laboratory and EAL Corporation. Both laboratories withdrew and analyzed three aliquots from each sample, as is typically done by REEC_o. The gamma-emitting radionuclides were first measured on the dry soil aliquot and then the ^{239,240}Pu, ²³⁸Pu and ⁹⁰Sr were chemically separated from the dissolved sample and determined by alpha- or beta-particle counting. REEC_o conducted gamma spectrometric measurements first on the dry soil and then on the solution derived from the dissolved soil. EAL analyzed for ²⁴¹Am by the radiochemical method. Appropriate results have been decay-corrected to January 1, 1985.

Results of the radiochemical analyses are shown in Table C-1, while results of the gamma spectrometric measurements are shown in Tables C-2 through C-4. The aliquot numbers in these tables refer only to the 10g aliquots analyzed by REEC_o; the sample point number is given for reference to the coordinate data in Appendix A.

This supplementary QA program permits a comparison of the results obtained by four laboratories. A general review of the data shows reasonably good agreement among the laboratories in most instances. Coefficients of variation are generally less than 0.2 except in cases involving low levels of radioactivity. Several inconsistencies have been noted, however, in the results reported by REEC_o, particularly for radionuclides whose

measurement involves chemical separation. These inconsistencies may be characterized as "high variability" or as high or low "bias."

The high variability cases generally involve a group of measurements that contains apparent "outliers," *i.e.*, one or two of the replicate measurements of the same sample by a single laboratory are considerably different from the remaining measurements. Such variability is evident, *e.g.*, in REEC's measurements of plutonium in Sample 18576 and 18791 and of ^{90}Sr in Samples 18735 and 18791. As suggested above, such variability may occur because the original sample contains a few hot particles that find their way non-uniformly into the various aliquots. However, it does not appear that all the variability can be explained on this basis because some of the outliers have low values. High variability may also occur because REEC, in an attempt to keep activity levels in the laboratory low, counts final solution aliquots that represent smaller samples than those used by the other laboratories. A consideration of REEC's counting statistics suggests that this procedural difference is also unable to account for all the observed variability.

A few indications of a significant bias, *i.e.*, an inconsistent mean value, were also noted. The means of the plutonium and ^{90}Sr obtained by two laboratories (*e.g.*, REEC and EAL or REEC and Los Alamos) were evaluated statistically to determine if significant differences (0.975 level of significance) existed (Bethea *et al.*, 1985). The test revealed that REEC consistently reported low values only for Sample 19630. A considerable bias is also apparent in the REEC results for $^{239,240}\text{Pu}$ in Sample 18576 even if the two high outliers are neglected.

The biases noted above for REEC's plutonium and ^{90}Sr measurements of samples from Library Number 19630 are a result of consistently low measurements. A possible cause is a loss of material in the dissolution step, as is suggested by many of the gamma-spectrometry results for these samples (Tables C-2 and C-3). The loss of gamma emitters during dissolution is not in itself a problem, since the activity of these radionuclides can be accurately measured before dissolution. Such a loss serves primarily as an indication that radionuclides for which radiochemistry is required (such as ^{90}Sr) may also have been lost during the dissolution process. Because we have observed other instances of such losses, REEC is investigating the dissolution procedure and possible improvements.

In spite of some outliers and biases, the principal result of this intercomparison is a confirmation of generally accurate measurements by all the laboratories involved. Because the RIDP uses the soil radioactivity data as averaged values of many measurements, and because the objectives of the program specify a final inventory determination to within a factor of two (Kordas and Anspaugh, 1982), we believe that the REEC data are satisfactory for RIDP purposes.

Although the measurements examined in this QA investigation were found to be satisfactory, we believe that a more extensive and formalized QA program is desirable. Our new QA program (Essington and Mead, 1985) involves the routine inclusion of special test samples and reference blinds of known activity. These procedures will enable us to track the radiochemical analyses and gamma spectrometric measurements on a short-term basis. Thus any rejection for results will involve only a few samples at any one time and will ensure early detection of any problems.

References:

Bethea, R.M., B.S. Duran, and T.L. Boullion, 1985. Statistical Methods for Engineers and Scientists. Marcel Dekker, New York.

Essington, E.H., and S.W. Mead, 1985. A Quality Assurance Program for Soil Radioactivity Measurements. Los Alamos National Laboratory Report (to be published).

Kordas, J.F., and L.R. Anspaugh, 1982. Nevada Test Site Radionuclide Inventory and Distribution - Project Operations Plan. UCID-19413, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California.

TABLE C-1. QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR $^{239,240}\text{Pu}$, ^{238}Pu , AND ^{90}Sr

Sample Point	Library Number	REECo Aliquot Number	Activity (pCi/g)									
			^{239,240} Pu			²³⁸ Pu			⁹⁰ Sr			
			REECo	Los Alamos	EAL	REECo	Los Alamos	EAL	REECo	Los Alamos	EAL	
36	18576	60523	1250. (11) *			--†			296. (7)			
		67689	1160. (8)			--			365. (7)			
		62623	580. (8)	477. (3)	505. (2)	150. (8)	131. (3)	152. (2)	442. (2)	401. (4)	421. (4)	
		62624	520. (6)	450. (3)	464. (3)	145. (6)	118. (3)	125. (3)	354. (2)	403. (3)	373. (4)	
		62625	520. (6)	418. (3)	527. (3)	145. (6)	114. (3)	137. (3)	393. (2)	405. (4)	417. (4)	
		Mean	(± 1 s.d.)	806. ± 366.	448. ± 30.	499. ± 32.	147. ± 3	121. ± 9	138. ± 14.	370. ± 54.	403. ± 2.	404. ± 27.
		11	18735	61577	70.0 (6)			34.7 (7)			128. (3)	
61578	77.0 (6)					39.1 (7)			224. (2)			
61579	77.7 (6)					39.5 (6)			161. (3)			
62629	81.2 (7)			104. (2)	89.6 (4)	39.2 (7)	50.0 (3)	43.6 (4)	91.6 (3)	157. (19)	136. (3)	
62630	79.4 (6)			79.3 (4)	86.0 (5)	37.2 (7)	36.3 (2)	42.2 (6)	105. (3)	145. (2)	139. (3)	
62631	89.2 (6)			85.1 (6)	80.6 (3)	41.7 (6)	40.4 (8)	39.3 (3)	142. (3)	143. (2)	129. (3)	
Mean	(± 1 s.d.)			79.1 ± 6.3	89.5 ± 12.9	85.4 ± 4.5	38.6 ± 2.4	42.2 ± 7.0	41.7 ± 2.2	142. 47.	148. ± 8.	135. ± 5.
26	18791	61586	175. (5)			78.9 (6)			439. (2)			
		61587	110. (3)			49.0 (4)			232. (2)			
		61588	28.5 (4)			4.25 (9)			305. (1)			
		62647	293. (7)	175. (2)	179. (3)	140. (7)	76.7 (1)	78.8 (4)	289. (2)	369. (2)	329. (2)	
		62648	168. (6)	170. (2)	189. (3)	79.5 (6)	74.3 (2)	86.0 (3)	380. (2)	362. (3)	348. (2)	
		62649	184. (7)	177. (2)	177. (3)	79.4 (8)	77.9 (2)	78.8 (3)	295. (2)	359. (3)	337. (2)	
		Mean	(± 1 s.d.)	160. ± 88.	174. ± 4.	182. ± 6.	71.8 ± 44.5	76.3 ± 1.8	81.2 ± 4.2	323. ± 74.	363. ± 5.	338. ± 10.
28	18799	62650	23.5 (6)	35.0 (3)	37.3 (2)	10.8 (7)	14.8 (3)	16.4 (3)	44.9 (1)	56.3 (2)	46.4 (6)	
		62651	22.1 (6)	31.8 (3)	32.5 (2)	9.80 (6)	13.2 (4)	14.7 (3)	48.9 (2)	55.4 (2)	35.6 (7)	
		62652	31.3 (8)	35.5 (3)	34.4 (2)	13.5 (10)	15.2 (4)	14.8 (2)	49.4 (5)	55.4 (2)	40.5 (7)	
		Mean	(± 1 s.d.)	25.6 ± 5.0	34.1 ± 2.0	34.7 ± 2.4	11.4 ± 1.9	14.4 ± 1.1	15.3 ± 1.0	47.7 ± 2.5	55.7 ± 0.5	40.8 ± 5.
6	19630	62656	324. (5)	464. (2)	455. (4)	152. (6)	212. (2)	209. (4)	581. (1)	824. (2)	734. (4)	
		62657	335. (7)	577. (2)	464. (4)	152. (7)	251. (2)	211. (4)	432. (2)	827. (2)	833. (4)	
		62658	365. (7)	500. (2)	455. (4)	169. (8)	223. (2)	209. (4)	597. (1)	--	842. (2)	
		Mean	(± 1 s.d.)	341. ± 21.	514. ± 58.	458. ± 5.	158. ± 10.	229. ± 20.	210. ± 1.	537. ± 91.	825. ± 2.	803. ± 60.

* Numbers in parentheses are counting errors (1 s.d.) in percent.

† A "--" indicates a sample was analyzed but results were below detection or were not reported.
A blank entry indicates the sample was not analyzed.

TABLE C-2. QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR ^{241}Am AND ^{137}Cs

Sample Point	Library Number	REEC Co Aliquot Number	Activity ($\mu\text{Ci/g}$)					^{137}Cs				
			^{241}Am		LLNL	Los Alamos	EAL	^{137}Cs		LLNL	Los Alamos	EAL
			Dry	REEC Co Solution				Dry	REEC Co Solution			
36	18576	60052	78.8 (8)*		50.9 (10)			259. (3)		257. (1)		
		60523						260. (4)				
		61801						16.4 (5)				
		69887	60.1 (6)					193. (4)				
		69891						249. (5)				
		62623	79.0 (4)	92.3 (8)		56.8 (1)	77.0 (4)	290. (4)	278. (4)		253. (1)	259. (1)
		62624	72.6 (5)	87.8 (8)		57.7 (1)	73.0 (4)	280. (4)	235. (4)		244. (1)	261. (1)
		62625	76.0 (5)	74.6 (9)		77.5 (1)	74.3 (4)	285. (4)	217. (4)		243. (1)	259. (1)
		Mean (± 1 s.d.)	73.3 $\pm$ 7.8	84.9 $\pm$ 9.2		64.0 $\pm$ 11.7	74.8 $\pm$ 2.0	229. $\pm$ 91.	243. $\pm$ 31.		247. $\pm$ 6.	260. $\pm$ 1.
11	18735	60211	16.1 (9)		13.0 (7)			52.0 (4)		51.0 (1)		
		61577	14.6 (8)	--†				48.8 (4)	150. (5)			
		61578	13.5 (8)	--				45.3 (4)	52.5 (5)			
		61579	13.8 (8)	27.2 (15)				50.7 (4)	55.7 (5)			
		62629	14.7 (8)	--		12.9 (3)	17.8 (5)	46.3 (4)	20.2 (6)		47.3 (1)	56.8 (1)
		62630	13.7 (8)	--		12.2 (3)	17.4 (4)	48.4 (4)	18.3 (6)		48.6 (1)	51.9 (1)
		62631	14.8 (8)	--		12.4 (3)	17.3 (5)	45.6 (4)	19.5 (7)		46.8 (2)	53.2 (1)
		Mean (± 1 s.d.)	14.5 $\pm$ 6.2			12.5 $\pm$ 0.4	17.5 $\pm$ 0.3	48.2 $\pm$ 2.6	52.7 $\pm$ 50.6		47.6 $\pm$ 0.9	54.0 $\pm$ 2.5
26	18791	60267	--		21.5 (7)			--		116. (1)		
		61586										
		61587	25.3 (6)	--				109. (4)	132. (5)			
		61588	25.1 (5)	--				112. (4)	102. (5)			
		61588	29.9 (5)	--				138. (4)	115. (5)			
		62647	23.7 (10)	42.4 (13)		23.3 (2)	22.3 (4)	116. (4)	109. (5)		112. (1)	125. (1)
		62648	22.0 (10)	20.4 (12)		23.9 (2)	32.4 (4)	106. (4)	52.6 (5)		114. (1)	135. (1)
		62649	25.5 (9)	18.0 (14)		23.7 (2)	30.0 (4)	115. (4)	59.8 (5)		113. (1)	122. (1)
		Mean (± 1 s.d.)	25.3 $\pm$ 2.6	26.9 $\pm$ 13.4		23.6 $\pm$ 0.3	28.2 $\pm$ 5.3	116. $\pm$ 11.	95.1 $\pm$ 31.9		113. $\pm$ 1.	127. $\pm$ 7.
28	18799	60275	5.56 (13)	--	4.02 (9)			19.7 (4)		19.3 (1)		
		62650	--	--		6.26 (3)	7.75 (3)	19.2 (5)	9.87 (10)		18.3 (2)	20.9 (1)
		62651	--	--		3.80 (6)	5.18 (2)	19.9 (5)	10.6 (9)		18.6 (2)	21.2 (1)
		62652	5.05 (19)	--		4.23 (6)	4.73 (6)	19.5 (5)	8.17 (10)		18.9 (2)	19.6 (2)
		Mean (± 1 s.d.)	5.30 $\pm$ 0.36			4.76 $\pm$ 1.31	5.89 $\pm$ 1.63	19.6 $\pm$ 0.3	9.55 $\pm$ 1.25		18.6 $\pm$ 0.3	20.6 $\pm$ 0.9
6	19630	60993	80.3 (5)					312. (4)				
		62656	80.8 (6)	39.3 (9)		71.6 (1)	89.2 (3)	306. (4)	128. (5)		297. (1)	372. (1)
		62657	83.7 (6)	31.8 (9)		70.2 (1)	90.5 (3)	311. (4)	88.1 (5)		296. (1)	327. (1)
		62658	80.2 (6)	50.0 (8)		73.0 (1)	91.0 (2)	311. (4)	124. (5)		298. (1)	306. (1)
		Mean (± 1 s.d.)	81.2 $\pm$ 1.7	40.4 $\pm$ 9.1		71.6 $\pm$ 1.4	90.2 $\pm$ 0.9	310. $\pm$ 3.	113. $\pm$ 22.		297. $\pm$ 1.	335. $\pm$ 34.

* Numbers in parentheses are counting errors (1 s.d.) in percent.

† A "--" indicates a sample was analyzed but results were below detection or were not reported.

A blank entry indicates the sample was not analyzed.

TABLE C-3. QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR ^{60}Co AND ^{152}Eu

Sample Point	Library Number	REECe Aliquot Number	Activity (^{60}Co)					Activity (^{152}Eu)				
			(pCi/g)									
			Dry	REECe Solution	LLNL	Los Alamos	EAL	Dry	REECe Solution	LLNL	Los Alamos	EAL
36	18576	60052	22.6 (4)*		22.7 (1)			218. (4)		232. (1)		
		60523	22.5 (4)					190. (4)				
		61801	6.61 (5)					172. (4)				
		69887	21.8 (5)					149. (4)				
		69891	21.4 (9)					171. (5)				
		62623	24.9 (5)	26.4 (6)		21.7 (4)	23.2 (2)	211. (4)	236. (5)		221. (1)	230. (1)
		62624	25.1 (5)	20.9 (7)		20.5 (5)	21.7 (2)	209. (4)	218. (5)		218. (1)	229. (1)
		62625	24.0 (5)	21.2 (7)		20.1 (5)	22.6 (2)	209. (4)	207. (5)		218. (1)	238. (1)
		Mean (± 1 s.d.)	21.1 $\pm$ 6.0	22.8 $\pm$ 3.1		20.8 $\pm$ 0.8	22.5 $\pm$ 0.8	191. $\pm$ 25.	220. $\pm$ 15.		219. $\pm$ 2.	232. $\pm$ 4.9
11	18735	60211	0.63 (14)		0.70 (5)			0.89 (21)		0.84 (9)		
		61577	---	---				---	---			
		61578	---	---				---	---			
		61579	---	---				---	---			
		62629	0.86 (15)	---		0.75 (37)	0.73 (8)	---	---		<0.75	0.81 (18)
		62630	0.73 (20)	---		0.62 (49)	0.93 (12)	---	---		<0.75	0.61 (27)
		62631	0.85 (18)	---		1.64 (16)	0.76 (13)	---	---		1.77 (30)	0.73 (22)
		Mean (± 1 s.d.)	0.77 $\pm$ 0.11	---		1.00 $\pm$ 0.56	0.81 $\pm$ 0.11					0.72 $\pm$ 0.10
26	18791	60267	---		1.80 (4)			---		2.63 (4)		
		61586	1.91 (11)	---				---	---			
		61587	1.80 (10)	---				---	---			
		61588	2.47 (8)	---				1.81 (19)	---			
		62647	1.89 (17)	---		1.80 (21)	2.08 (3)	---	---		2.05 (33)	2.70 (6)
		62648	1.52 (17)	2.50 (19)		2.45 (15)	2.51 (4)	---	---		1.28 (52)	3.13 (7)
		62649	1.99 (16)	2.43 (18)		2.04 (20)	1.98 (7)	2.87 (17)	---		2.16 (20)	2.55 (14)
		Mean (± 1 s.d.)	1.93 $\pm$ 0.31	2.46 $\pm$ 0.05		2.10 $\pm$ 0.33	2.19 $\pm$ 0.28	2.34 $\pm$ 0.75			1.83 $\pm$ 0.48	2.79 $\pm$ 0.30
28	18799	60275	0.30 (16)		0.30 (11)			---		0.86 (7)		
		62650	---	---		<0.38	0.42 (9)	---	---		0.73 (46)	1.00 (9)
		62651	---	---		0.42 (54)	0.29 (22)	---	---		1.02 (35)	1.35 (10)
		62652	---	---		<0.43	0.30 (44)	---	---		<0.57	0.70 (33)
		Mean (± 1 s.d.)					0.34 $\pm$ 0.07				0.88 $\pm$ 0.20	1.02 $\pm$ 0.33
6	19630	60993	3.50 (5)					2.04 (19)				
		62656	3.11 (11)	3.72 (17)		3.81 (14)	4.92 (3)	---	---		<1.6	1.57 (32)
		62657	3.90 (9)	4.35 (14)		4.33 (12)	4.84 (5)	---	---		<1.6	<1.9
		62658	4.12 (9)	4.35 (14)		3.22 (19)	3.88 (5)	---	---		3.51 (32)	1.56 (39)
		Mean (± 1 s.d.)	3.66 $\pm$ 0.45	4.14 $\pm$ 0.36		3.79 $\pm$ 0.56	4.55 $\pm$ 0.59					1.56 $\pm$ 0.01

* Numbers in parentheses are counting errors (1 s.d.) in percent.

† A "---" indicates a sample was analyzed but results were below detection or were not reported.
A blank entry indicates the sample was not analyzed.

TABLE C-4. QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR ^{154}Eu AND ^{155}Eu

Sample Point	Library Number	REECo Aliquot Number	Activity					(pCi/g)					
			¹⁵⁴ Eu			¹⁵⁵ Eu							
			Dry	REECo Solution	LLNL	Los Alamos	EAL	Dry	REECo Solution	LLNL	Los Alamos	EAL	
36	18576	60052	13.5 (4)*		14.0 (4)			-- †		11.7 (6)			
		60523	13.3 (5)					10.0 (6)					
		61801	20.5 (8)										
		69887						17.1 (9)					
		69891											
		62623	17.2 (7)	--		9.23 (13)	15.2 (6)	16.7 (6)	--		9.28 (4)	11.8 (6)	
		62624	13.9 (9)	--		9.32 (13)	14.3 (6)	9.65 (10)	--		7.70 (5)	11.7 (6)	
		62625	16.5 (8)	11.7 (21)		10.7 (11)	14.0 (6)	11.8 (8)	--		8.20 (4)	13.2 (5)	
		Mean	(± 1 s.d.)	15.8 ± 2.8			9.75 ± 0.82	14.5 ± 0.6	13.0 ± 3.6			8.39 ± 0.81	12.2 ± 0.84
		11	18735	60211	--		--			4.14 (10)		3.66 (3)	
61577	--			--				4.15 (9)	9.25 (20)				
61578	--			--				3.77 (10)	--				
61579	--			--				3.70 (10)	--				
62629	--			--		0.68 (58)	<0.25	3.45 (11)	--		2.48 (6)	4.68 (3)	
62630	--			--		<0.70	<0.54	3.71 (11)	--		2.62 (6)	3.95 (6)	
62631	--			--		1.09 (46)	<0.52	2.86 (14)	--		2.66 (7)	3.92 (6)	
Mean	(± 1 s.d.)					0.88 ± 0.29		3.68 ± 0.44			2.59 ± 0.09	4.18 ± 0.43	
26	18791	60267	--		--			--		6.79 (3)			
		61586	--	--				6.92 (8)	--				
		61587	--	--				7.10 (8)	--				
		61588	--	--				8.68 (6)	--				
		62647	--	--		<0.90	<0.24	5.94 (10)	10.9 (17)		5.45 (4)	8.51 (3)	
		62648	--	--		<0.87	<0.33	5.78 (10)	9.25 (15)		5.36 (4)	9.45 (4)	
		62649	--	--		<0.84	<0.53	7.03 (10)	7.49 (19)		5.16 (4)	8.11 (4)	
		Mean	(± 1 s.d.)					6.91 ± 1.04	9.21 ± 1.71		5.32 ± 0.15	8.69 ± 0.69	
28	18799	60275	--		--			--		1.31 (6)			
		62650	--	--		<0.65	<0.25	--	--		1.12 (11)	1.66 (7)	
		62651	--	--		<0.55	<0.37	--	--		1.32 (10)	1.75 (10)	
		62652	--	--		<0.60	<0.50	--	--		0.84 (15)	1.35 (15)	
		Mean	(± 1 s.d.)								1.09 ± 0.24	1.59 ± 0.21	
6	19630	60993	--					19.1 (5)					
		62656	--	--		<1.2	<0.45	17.0 (6)	8.67 (19)		13.4 (2)	25.2 (2)	
		62657	--	--		<1.2	<0.68	15.5 (6)	6.80 (20)		14.2 (2)	22.3 (3)	
		62658	--	--		<1.3	<0.76	15.3 (6)	8.00 (19)		14.1 (2)	20.4 (3)	
		Mean	(± 1 s.d.)					16.7 ± 1.8	7.82 ± 0.95		13.9 ± 0.44	22.6 ± 2.4	

* Numbers in parentheses are counting errors (1 s.d.) in percent.

† A "--" indicates a sample was analyzed but results were below detection or were not reported.

A blank entry indicates the sample was not analyzed.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

USDOE

Washington, DC 20585
M. J. Katz, Act'g Dir/OMA
(DP-20) GTN
T. D. Pflaum (DP-226.1) GTN

USDOE

Nevada Operations Office
P. O. Box 14100
Las Vegas, NV 89114
T. M. Humphrey
J. C. Burrows (10 cys)
Winnie Howard
G. M. Plummer
D. A. Nowack

Oak Ridge Associated Universitites
P. O. Box 117
Oak Ridge, TN 37830
G. R. Eisele, ORAU/CARL

Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P. O. Box X
Oak Ridge, TN 37830
Tsuneo Tamura

Los Alamos National Laboratory
P. O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87545
E. H. Essington

Pacific Northwest Laboratory
P. O. Box 999
Richland, WA 99352
R. O. Gilbert
R. R. Kinnison

Technical Information Center (30 cys)
P. O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

Desert Research Institute
Water Resources Center
Las Vegas, NV
F. L. Miller
N. Cooper
C. Hackney

Lawrence Livermore
National Laboratory
P. O. Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550
J. H. Shinn
L. R. Anspaugh
W. S. Mead

Laboratory of Nuclear
Medicine
University of California
at Los Angeles
900 Veteran Avenue
Los Angeles, Ca 90024
E. M. Romney

Reynolds Electrical and
Engineering Co., Inc.
P. O. Box 14400
Las Vegas, NV 89114
E. R. Sorom, M/S 235
C. E. Rosenberry, M/S 703

EG&G Energy Measurements, Inc.
Las Vegas Area Operations
P. O. Box 1912
Las Vegas, NV 89125
W. J. Tipton, M/S P-01
R. T. Reiman, M/S P-01

T. P. O'Farrell, EG&G
611 Avenue H
Boulder City, NV 89005

Environmental Analysis
Laboratories
2030 Wright Avenue
Richmond, CA 94804
R. A. Wessman

Desert Research Institute
Water Resources Center
Reno, NV 89512
Paul R. Fenske
Dale F. Schulke