FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

187
12-5-80
JES
NTIS-25
Bm-12.7
SP-10
(2)

Dr. 2/20

DOE/LETC/10787-48

MASTER

INVESTIGATION OF THE GEOKINETICS HORIZONTAL IN SITU OIL SHALE
RETORTING PROCESS

Quarterly Report April-June 1980

By
David L. Hutchinson

August 1980
Date Published

Work Performed Under Contract No. FC 20-78LC10787

Geokinetics Inc.
Concord, California

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

DISCLAIMER

"This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof."

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available from the National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Price: Paper Copy \$12.00
Microfiche \$3.50

INVESTIGATION OF THE GEOKINETICS
HORIZONTAL IN SITU OIL SHALE
RETORTING PROCESS

QUARTERLY REPORT

APRIL, MAY, JUNE, 1980

by

DAVID L. HUTCHINSON

GEOKINETICS INC.

280 Buchanan Field Road
Concord, CA 94520

Date Published - August, 1980

PREPARED FOR THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Under Cooperative Agreement #DE-FC20-78LC10787

mf

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	1
SITE PREPARATION.	2
DRILLING AND BLASTING	2
RE-ENTRY DRILLING	3
RETORT PROCESS FACILITIES	4
RETORT BURN	4
OIL PRODUCTION AND HANDLING	6
POST-BLAST AND POST-BURN EXAMINATIONS	7
SEPARATE EXPERIMENTS.	8
ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH.	8
KAMPSITE.	13
SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.	13
ENGINEERING AND ADMINISTRATIVE (includes Health and Safety)	13
THIRD QUARTER 1980 FORECAST	16
FIGURE 1 - GEOKINETICS INC. FIELD SITE.	17
FIGURE 2 - RETORT #16 - POST-BURN CORE HOLE LOCATIONS	18
FIGURE 3 - RETORT #24 - POST-BLAST HEAVE CONTOURS	19
FIGURE 4 - RETORT #24 - RE-ENTRY AIR, PROD. AND OB.WELL LOCATIONS	20
FIGURE 5 - RETORT #18 - REVISED SURFACE FACILITIES LAYOUT	21
FIGURE 6 - RETORT #18 - RE-ENTRY AIR, PROD. AND OB.WELL LOCATIONS	22
FIGURE 7 - RETORT #18 - RE-ENTRY INSTRUMENT WELL LOCATIONS. . . .	23
FIGURE 8 - RETORT #24 - INSTRUMENTATION SCHEMATIC	24
FIGURE 9 - RETORT #24 - POST-BLAST CORE HOLE LOCATIONS.	25
FIGURE 10 - RETORT #15 - HYDROLOGIC MONITORING WELLS	26
FIGURE 11 - LOCATION OF NATIVE GROUNDWATER WELLS ON SECTION 2. . . .	27
APPENDIX A - RETORT #18 SUMMARY REPORT.	28
APPENDIX B - RETORT #18 OIL ANALYSIS RESULTS.	31
APPENDIX C - EVAPORATION POND WATER INVESTIGATION	33
APPENDIX D - FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH REPORT - VEGETATION: ECOCLIMATIC AND SOIL FACTORS	34

SUMMARY

The Retort #18 burn was terminated on May 11, 1980. A total of 5,547 barrels of shale oil or 46 percent of in-place resource was recovered from the retort. Retort #18 data was tabulated and a summary report is included as Appendix A.

The EPA-DOE/LETC post-burn core sampling program is underway on Retort #16. Eleven core holes (of 18 planned) have been completed to date. Preliminary results indicate excellent core recovery has been achieved. DOE scientists from Laramie supervised the recovery of 702 feet of core.

The Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit application was submitted to the EPA regional office in Denver. The application is undergoing review by EPA and Utah air quality officials.

The application for an Underground Injection Control (UIC) permit to authorize GKI to inject retort wastewater into the Mesa Verde Formation is being processed by the State of Utah. A hearing before the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining is scheduled in Salt Lake City, Utah, for July 22, 1980.

Re-entry drilling on Retort #24 is progressing and placement of surface equipment is underway.

Retort #25 blasthole drilling was completed and blast preparations are ongoing. Retort #25 will be blasted on July 18, 1980. The retort will be similar to Retort #24, with improvements in blasthole loading and detonation.

U. S. Patent No. 4,205,610 was assigned to GKI for a shale oil recovery process. The patent covers the "Walking W" blast design and other aspects of the GKI process.

Visitors to the field operation during the quarter included Mr. Jerry Roberts of the Aerospace Corporation and Dr. Arnold Adicoff of the DOD Research and Development Office. Representatives of the Rocky Mountain Energy Company (RME), a subsidiary of Union Pacific, toured the project. RME is evaluating oil shale holdings in Wyoming for application of the GKI process there.

Washington officials Russell Marane (Housing and Urban Development) and Paul Petzrick (DOE) were present for a special meeting of the Uintah Basin Energy Planning and Development Council at which GKI President M. A. Lekas gave a presentation on the GKI process. Dr. Polly Garrett (DOE-Region VIII) also attended the meeting.

STATUS OF MAJOR TASKS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

SITE PREPARATION:

Eighteen core hole locations were staked on Retort #16 for a post-burn core sampling program funded by EPA and DOE/LETC. Retort locations are shown in Figure 1. Retort #16 post-burn core hole locations are shown in Figure 2. Additional information on the EPA-DOE/LETC post-burn core sampling program is listed under Separate Experiments.

A drill pad was constructed with earth fill on the air-out end of Retort #24 to enable the drilling of re-entry air-out holes. The hole locations are on the steep west side of the retort, which was contoured to permit access for the Chicago Pneumatic CP-C650 drill rig. The Retort #24 post-blast heave contours shown in Figure 3 illustrate the slope that was recontoured. The air-out hole locations were then surveyed and staked for drilling.

A large surface fracture at the initiating round end (air-out end) of Retort #24 was sealed during the quarter. Rubble and debris were removed from the fracture so cement and a mud slurry could be injected into the crack. Pipes were installed to inject cooling water below the seal if necessary during the retort burn. Mud packs were emplaced and tamped into the fracture to complete the sealing procedure.

A containment dike was designed and constructed around the tank battery to comply with environmental regulations. The location of the tank battery is shown in Figure 1.

A stadia detail survey of existing and planned development on Section 35, R22E, T13S (adjacent to the project site) was completed and maps were drawn for a BLM right-of-way permit application prepared by the Environmental Branch. The tank battery is partially situated on BLM land north of Section 2 (the project site). A permanent right-of-way is sought to supersede a temporary permit which expires in 1980.

DRILLING AND BLASTING:

Blasthole drilling on Retort #25 was completed in May. The drilling was performed by Geokinetics personnel using the CP-C650 drill rig. Pre-blast preparations continued with the removal of water and drill cuttings from blastholes, using compressed air. This task was more difficult than on past retorts due to the increased diameter and depth of Retort #25 drill holes. Retort #25 has 55 feet of overburden, which is 10 feet more than Retort #24. Additional compressor capacity was leased to supplement the 750 scfm compressor for cleaning out the blastholes.

Retort #25 will be the same size as Retort #24, with dimensions of 217 feet wide by 230 feet long. The blast design incorporates

improvements in blasthole loading and detonation based on the Retort #24 blast results. The refinements are designed to improve breakage in the lower portion of the retort. The Retort #25 blast design includes an increase in the overall powder factor, a modified delay between initiation round and "W" row detonation and bottom priming instead of top priming in blastholes. High speed surface motion photography of the blast will be conducted by Sandia Laboratories, but the usual Sandia instrumentation program will not be fielded due to lack of funds. Blasthole loading service will be provided by IRECO Chemicals. The blast of Retort #25 is scheduled for July 18, 1980.

RE-ENTRY DRILLING:

Re-entry drilling on Retort #24 progressed during the quarter with the completion of additional air, production and observation wells. Hole locations for 16 air-out wells and three additional production wells were staked for drilling.

Production wells PW-1, PW-2, PW-3 and PW-4 were drilled and completed. PW-1 was drilled to a depth of 90 feet and cased to the bottom with 6-5/8 inch outside diameter (O.D.) thinwall steel casing; the bottom 60 feet of casing was slotted. PW-2 was drilled to a depth of 88 feet and cased with 4-1/2 inch pipe which was slotted for 60 feet and equipped with a stainless steel well screen. PW-3 was drilled and cased with 6-5/8 inch O.D. casing to a depth of 83 feet; the bottom 60 feet of casing was slotted to permit the production well to be used by Sandia Laboratories for tracer tests. Drillers' logs for these holes indicate good breakage low in the retort interval at the initiating round/"W" round transition zone. The locations of these production wells are shown in Figure 4. PW-4 was drilled and cased to a depth of 110 feet; the bottom 30 feet of the 6-5/8 inch O.D. thinwall steel casing was slotted.

Air-out wells AO-9, AO-12 and AO-13 were drilled and completed in June. AO-9 was drilled to a depth of 92 feet with casing installed to 70 feet. AO-12 was drilled to a depth of 93 feet and cased to 69 feet. AO-13 was drilled to a depth of 92 feet with casing installed to 69 feet. The air-out wells were cased with 6-5/8 inch O.D. thinwall steel pipe.

The above re-entry air and production wells were drilled by Geokinetics personnel using the CP-C650 drill rig equipped with a 7-5/8 inch drill bit.

An observation well, designated OB-1, was drilled on Retort #24 by Steve's Drilling of Vernal, Utah. The hole was drilled to a depth of 85 feet with a 4-3/4 inch tricone bit. The location of OB-1 is shown in Figure 4.

Drilling of 57 re-entry instrumentation holes on Retort #24 was underway by the end of the quarter. Contract driller Bud Smith and Geokinetics personnel are using the Gardner-Denver 3200 air trac drill rig for these holes. The three inch instrument holes are being cased with one inch pipe.

RETORT PROCESS FACILITIES:

In April, the effectiveness of surface leak sealing measures on Retort #18 was improved by decreasing air-in flow. Tamping and mud packing efforts were implemented on the retort and two surface leaks were successfully sealed.

Retort #18 air-in and air-out blowers were shut off and the retort burn terminated on May 11, 1980. Retort process facilities were left in place pending a helium tracer study to be conducted by DOE/LETC. The tracer study objective will be to determine the leakage of injection air from the retort. The Retort #18 surface facilities layout is shown in Figure 5. Re-entry well locations on the retort are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

Process facilities for Retort #24 were designed, including preliminary designs for an emulsion transfer line from the retort to the tank battery and a retort wastewater discharge line to a larger evaporation pond which may be needed for the retort. Fabrication of equipment for Retort #24 is underway and some equipment was moved to location on the retort. Facilities constructed for Retorts #19 and #22 are being used on Retort #24, when possible. The afterburner was constructed during the quarter and work began on the installation of Kaowool and refractory insulative linings inside the afterburner. Shipments of manifold pipe for Retort #24 were received at the field site.

The Retort #24 instrument hole layout was designed to provide a total of 204 thermocouple located in 57 thermocouple wells. The design also incorporated 29 intra-retort gas sampling pipes. The automatic data recording system used successfully on Retort #18 has been improved for use on Retort #24. A schematic representation of the instrumentation system is shown in Figure 8. Four thermocouples in each thermocouple well are wired into a central panel in an instrumentation building. A data processor accesses each thermocouple individually and records the retort number, date, time, thermocouple location and temperature in degrees Fahrenheit. The data is recorded on magnetic tape and printed on paper tape, and is displayed on a digital meter. The processor can be programmed to record data automatically at prescribed intervals and can be manually accessed to look at data from any or all thermocouples. The system will also record pressure transducer data and channel capacity can be expanded to handle additional retorts.

The use of thermocouples enables the direct measurement of retort bed temperatures. This data is applied to the determination of the retorting front profile and fire front advance rate, and to the evaluation of sweep efficiency.

RETORT BURN:

The burn of Retort #18 continued through April and was terminated on May 11, 1980. The fire front advanced at a rate of 0.8 feet per day during April, and reached the air-out end of the retort by May.

Down-hole cooling sprays were successfully used to reduce off gas temperatures in Retort #18 air-out wells from 500°F to 300°F after high temperatures were noted at the wells. The surface facilities layout on Retort #18 is shown in Figure 5. Re-entry well locations are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

Data from Retort #18 was tabulated and the first draft of the retort evaluation report was completed during the quarter. The Retort #18 summary report is included as Appendix A. Retort #18 was ignited in November 1979, and burned for 181 days. The total volume of the retort was 267,262 cubic feet of shale.

An objective of the Retort #18 burn was to evaluate the effect of changing air injection rates on oil recovery. Retort #18 data indicates a direct relationship between air injection rate increases and increased oil recovery, as illustrated in the following graph:

Experience with previous retorts and other data collected during the research program supports the finding that increased air injection rates result in increased oil recovery. The research indicates the relationship is due to increased fire front advance rate coupled with increased retorting efficiency.

Fluid levels in Retort #18 were measured through observation wells to obtain information on oil transport and behavior. The data obtained supports previously reported findings that oil and water production fluctuations may be related to the influx of water to the retort during snow melt and run-off periods. Fluid level data also serves as an indicator of process equipment operating conditions. For example, rising fluid levels may indicate pumping problems or the need for production well maintenance or stimulation efforts. Measurement of the oil/water interface levels identifies the nature of fluids within the retort.

The Retort #18 gas analysis data for April and May was reported monthly during the quarter. Post-burn gas samples from Retort #18 were collected for chromatographic analysis by the Geokinetics Analytical Laboratory. The gas is generated as a result of retorting, in the absence of air, by residual heat in the retort. The objective of the sampling is to compare post-burn average ratios of carbon monoxide to hydrogen (CO:H) and carbon dioxide to hydrocarbons (CO₂:HC) with average ratios derived when Retort #18 was in operation. This may determine whether the gas from latent heat retorting is the same as combustion gas produced in the presence of oxygen. Preliminary results indicate hydrogen and methane are increasing; carbon dioxide is increasing slightly; and oxygen, nitrogen and carbon monoxide are decreasing. This is the behavior expected as air in the retort is displaced. Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) levels in the gas appear to be about three percent and ammonia (NH₃) levels are approximately four percent.

The effect of increased vacuum capability on reducing fugitive emissions, resulting from surface leakage, was evaluated during the burn of Retort #18. A reduction of retort surface gas leakage was achieved after air-out wells were slotted to improve vacuum capability in February. Fugitive emissions (as measured by carbon monoxide monitoring) were also reduced in April by decreasing air-in flow rates. This enhanced the effectiveness of mud seals and tamping efforts implemented to reduce surface leakage. Carbon monoxide (CO) monitoring in May indicated the success of these combined measures - a general absence of CO above the retort was achieved while the retort was in operation. The CO monitoring data was reported monthly during the quarter.

OIL PRODUCTION AND HANDLING:

A total of 5,547 barrels of oil or nearly 46 percent of in-place resource was recovered from Retort #18. Oil production averaged more than 30 barrels per day for the entire burn. The peak rate of oil

production was 51 barrels per day. The Retort #18 summary report is included as Appendix A. The report summarizes data including retort dimensions, blasting and retorting parameters, as well as oil, water and water production results.

Oil production declined gradually in April as the end of the burn approached. An average of 28 barrels of oil per day was recovered during April. Oil production averaged 10 barrels per day while the retort burned in May.

The results of an analysis of a Retort #18 shale oil sample were received during the quarter and are listed in Appendix B. The data is comparable to other analyses performed on GKI shale oil samples. The sample had a pour point of 70°F and consisted of 1.64 percent nitrogen, 0.61 percent sulfur, and 0.26 percent asphaltenes, by volume. The analysis was performed by Occidental Oil Shale, Inc.

In April, 353 barrels of oil were shipped to the Plateau Inc. refinery in Roosevelt, Utah. GKI and Plateau representatives met later in the quarter to discuss the future use of shale oil as a feedstock at the Roosevelt refinery. Interest was expressed in the possibility of Federal assistance to equip local refineries to handle shale oil. Arrangements were made for Plateau representatives to visit the GKI field operation in July.

A 110 gallon sample of shale oil was requested by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and shipped to the Lewis Research Center for testing.

At the end of the quarter, GKI had 6,130 barrels of shale oil in storage at Kamp Kerogen and 4,105 barrels of shale oil stored at the DOE facility in Rifle, Colorado.

POST-BLAST AND POST-BURN EXAMINATIONS:

Retort #24 core hole natural gamma radiation logs were examined and appear to be quite useful in determining breakage within the retort. The oil shale section and lean shale zones display a characteristic radiation curve as recorded from drill holes. Comparison of logs from a pre-blast hole and a post-blast hole shows disturbed areas in the retort. The logs also indicate whether and where changes in shale distribution occur in the retort. Retort #24 post-blast core hole locations are shown in Figure 9. The natural gamma radiation logs were run by Sandia Laboratories during the last quarter.

Preliminary plans for a post-blast coring program for Retort #25 were completed. Approximately eight core holes will be drilled to facilitate blast evaluation.

A post-burn core sampling program is underway on Retort #16. GKI has provided the sampling site for the program which is jointly sponsored by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U. S. Department of Energy/Laramie Energy Technology Center (DOE/LETG).

Please refer to Separate Experiments for additional information on the program. A total of 11 core holes had been completed at the end of the quarter.

SEPARATE EXPERIMENTS:

The EPA-DOE/LETC post-burn core sampling program began on Retort #16 in June. The objective is to obtain and evaluate representative post-burn core samples from a horizontal retort constructed and operated in Green River Formation oil shale. Samples from 11 core holes were logged and preserved under the supervision of DOE scientists from Laramie. Preliminary results indicate excellent core recovery has been achieved. 702 feet of core had been recovered by the end of the quarter. A cursory examination of the cores reveal that the retort can be divided into two major zones nearly equal in area. The portion of the retort at the air-in end of the retort is burned; the core is light colored with little residual carbon in the thermally affected zone. The core from the air-out end of Retort #16 is retorted but unburned. This core is mostly black, even where there is evidence of high temperature effects.

Splits of the Retort #16 post-burn cores will be sent to laboratories designated by EPA and will be analyzed for leachable minerals that could constitute a source of groundwater contamination. In addition to the environmental information, GKI anticipates valuable data related to the retorting process will be derived from the cores. Flow and burn patterns in Retort #16 will be ascertained and high temperature mineral products resulting from in situ combustion of oil shale will be described.

Arrangements were made for DOE/LETC to conduct a series of helium tracer tests on Retort #18. These tests are designed to indicate the amount of gas leakage from the retort. The tracer tests will be carried out when travel funds are available for Laramie personnel.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH:

The Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit application was submitted to the EPA in May. EPA and the Utah Department of Health's Bureau of Air Quality is reviewing the application. GKI has conferred with Utah air quality officials during the quarter regarding the PSD permit and the application for an Air Quality Approval Order from the State of Utah. The emissions estimated to result from the research covered by the PSD permit application are listed in Table 1.

Table 1

Projected Emissions

	<u>1980</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	223 tons	674 tons	796 tons
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x)	79 tons	233 tons	274 tons
Hydrocarbons (HC)	4 tons	8 tons	8 tons
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Trace	Trace	Trace
Particulates	3 tons	6 tons	6 tons
Dust (from traffic)	4 tons	4 tons	4 tons

GKI is working with VTN Consolidated to produce 24-hour and annual runs of the Valley model, using data from the project site, to supplement the PTMPT model used in the PSD permit application.

The application for an Underground Injection Control (UIC) permit was submitted to the State of Utah's Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (DOGM). Discussions on the permit application were also held with representatives of the Utah Department of Health's Bureau of Water Pollution Control and the U. S. Geological Survey (USGS) Oil and Gas Operations. Approval of the application will authorize GKI to dispose of retort wastewater through deep-well injection into the Mesa Verde Formation. Injection is proposed through a well to be drilled at the project site (T14S R22E, Section 2) or into the Texaco Seep Ridge Unit #2 well located on adjacent Section 3. GKI is negotiating with Texaco to purchase the dry well for injection purposes. A hearing before the Utah Board of Oil, Gas and Mining is scheduled in Salt Lake City, Utah, for July 22, 1980.

The application for a right-of-way permit from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) was submitted to the Vernal District office of BLM. Approval will authorize GKI to continue to use 20 acres of BLM-managed land adjacent to the north boundary of Section 2. Two oil storage tanks and the electrical power generators are located on the BLM land; a permanent right-of-way permit is necessary to supersede the existing temporary permit.

A new application to appropriate water was submitted to the State of Utah Division of Water Rights. Kamp water requirements have increased since the existing appropriation was granted; a change in appropriation from 0.015 second feet to 0.033 second feet was requested.

The revised Environmental Research Plan (ERP) submitted to DOE/LETC in 1979 was approved. The document will be published separately.

Arrangements for burning permits in 1980 were made with the Utah Division of Forestry and Fire Control.

A comprehensive evaluation of data from evaporation pond samples collected monthly from November 1978 through October 1979 was completed by GKI Chemist Larry Morriss in May. The complete evaporation pond water investigation report is included as Appendix C. The investigation was undertaken to determine how the constituents of the pond water change with time and upon evaporation, as in an industrial waste pond. Evaluation of the water analysis data resulted in the following conclusions:

- 1) As expected, nearly all the constituents in the pond increase as evaporation leads to concentration. Turbidity and conductivity decreased with time, indicating that some complexation and precipitation is also taking place.
- 2) Among the species that show a general increase are those of environmental significance: arsenic, boron, cyanide, fluoride, phenol and thiocyanate. Of these species, arsenic and boron are in such concentrations as to warrant careful consideration prior to any disposal scheme.

An additional evaporation pond water sample analysis was completed and reported in June. The results support the above investigation findings.

Preliminary engineering and design of an evaporation pond to handle 110 barrels of effluent water per day for 2.5 years was completed during the quarter. Pond lining materials and potential pond sites were examined. The design calls for a four feet deep 1.5 acre pond to be located in the north central part of Section 2, south of the warehouse/shop facility.

Analyses of 17 water samples collected from wells peripheral to Retort #15 were completed by the GKI analytical laboratory and the analysis results reported in June. These samples were the fifth, sixth and seventh quarterly samples collected from wells peripheral to Retort #15. Included were six samples collected during this quarter. The Retort #15 hydrologic wells shown in Figure 10 have been sampled every three months since the retort burn terminated in July 1978. The data from this investigation is currently undergoing detailed evaluation. Preliminary assessments indicate a trend for the water surrounding Retort #15 to return to the quality of unaffected native groundwater. A comprehensive report on the Retort #15 hydrologic study should be completed by October 1980.

The third set of 1980 native groundwater samples were collected in May. Series 2 wells #222 and #243 and Supplemental Series well #12 were sampled to provide additional data for characterization of native groundwater existing directly above the Mahogany Zone oil shale. In 1980, the investigation will produce 18 native groundwater samples from the wells shown in Figure 11. The 12 wells shown are located at least 200 yards from any retort that has been blasted and contain

water not affected by retorting. Every other month in 1980, three of the wells are chosen at random for sampling. Wells to be sampled are blown dry 72 hours prior to sampling to allow recharge. Each six-liter sample is analyzed at the GKI analytical laboratory.

The analysis results from the first and second sets of 1980 native groundwater samples were reported in June. Data collection will continue throughout 1981. Preliminary evaluation supports the previous groundwater characterization: that native groundwater on Section 2 is of a variable but generally poor quality.

A pre-burn water sample was collected from Retort #24 for analysis by the GKI analytical laboratory. The results will provide a baseline for comparison with water samples to be collected during and after the retort burn.

A containment dike was designed and constructed around the tank battery. The dike was designed to contain 7,200 barrels of oil and was required under the Clean Water Act of 1977.

Revegetation studies on spent Retorts #5 and #11 were initiated in April. The investigation is conducted in cooperation with the U. S. Forest Service (U.S.F.S.) Shrub Sciences Laboratory in Provo, Utah. The objective for the study in 1980 is to evaluate the survival and growth of 21 species of plants (14 shrubs, four forbs, two grasses and one tree) established by transplanting container-grown planting stock. A total of 525 shrubs (including the tree species) were planted; 375 small varieties on Retort #11 and 150 larger varieties on Retort #5. Data will be recorded on plant survival and growth during each September for as long as the planting provides useful information. Data will also be taken on overwinter survival during May of each year.

Reclamation work was conducted on Retorts #10 and #17 in May. Pipes were removed from both retorts and the Retort #10 surface was recontoured.

GKI vegetation studies consultant Dr. Erik R. Olgeirson inspected the Retorts #9, #14 and #15 revegetation test plots in June. First year growth was judged to be satisfactory. A comprehensive baseline report on vegetation and ecoclimate studies at the field site was submitted to GKI for review.

GKI participated in a BLM-sponsored two-day conference on the impact of oil shale development on threatened and sensitive plant species endemic to the Green River Formation. GKI botanist Linda Kenny gave a presentation on the GKI shale oil recovery process and potential impacts on vegetation. She outlined vegetation studies and the experimental revegetation efforts in progress at the field site. Conference attendees toured the GKI project to receive additional briefing on the retorting process and observe the revegetation

test plots. Participants included representatives of USFC; the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS); Utah's Division of Oil, Gas and Mining; and the Uintah Basin Energy Planning and Development Council.

Emissions of SO₂ and NO_x from Retort #18 during the quarter were determined from hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and ammonia (NH₃) concentrations in retort off gas. Emissions of CO, HC, particulates and ozone were also determined. The results are listed in Table 2.

Table 2

Emissions

	SO ₂	NO _x (as NO ₂)
Low lbs/day	22	5
High lbs/day	696	330
Average lbs/day	379	69
Average lbs/MSCF	0.23	0.06
Total lbs/qtr	15,555	2,830
Total lbs/1980	64,527	18,147

	CO	HC	Particulates	Ozone
Maximum Concentration	90 ppm	<50 ppm	<5 mg/m ³	<0.01 ppm

The GKI Retort Branch conducted monitoring for carbon monoxide above the Retort #18 surface while the retort was in operation. The results were reported monthly during the quarter. Fugitive emission control measures were discussed in the Retort Burn section of this report.

Soil percolation tests were run in the vicinity of the Kamp Kerogen leach field. Percolation rates were satisfactory, averaging 37 minutes per inch.

Routine meteorological data collection and instrument maintenance continued. The maximum and minimum temperatures recorded during the quarter were 93°F and 11°F, respectively.

Another environmental engineer, David R. Lundberg, began work in April.

The Analytical Laboratory is preparing to analyze oil, water, mist and off gas samples and monitor fugitive emissions from Retort #24. DOE/LETC personnel have provided assistance in evaluating and selecting appropriate analytical methods.

KAMPSITE

Road improvement work was performed at the field site. Fugitive dust control efforts were implemented using retort water on Kamp roads. Routine maintenance of Kamp facilities continued.

SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

Two 700 KW electric generators were received at the field site. The generators are on loan from the Department of Defense (DOD) as arranged by DOE/LETC.

Electrical power generating facilities were relocated to a site near the natural gas pipeline and tank battery. The voltage regulators needed to operate Retort #24 were received at the field site.

A used 75 KW natural gas powered electric generator was installed at the field site and is being tested. This generator will be capable of providing electricity for the Kamp and shop when no retorts are in operation. Use of the generator will result in lower fuel consumption and will eliminate the need to burn diesel fuel for electric power generation when no retorts are operating.

A crane and dragline on loan from DOE/LETC was received at the field site. Extensive repair work required to make the crane boom operable was started.

Routine equipment maintenance and repair work continued.

A new foreman, C. W. Wilson, was hired in June.

ENGINEERING AND ADMINISTRATIVE (includes Health and Safety)

A number of visitors toured the field operation during the quarter.

Mr. Jerry Roberts of Aerospace Corporation, visited the project at the request of DOE headquarters. He observed all phases of the operation and environmental impacts related to the retorting process.

Dr. Arnold Adicoff of the DOD Research and Development Office examined retorts in various stages of development.

Washington officials Russell Marane (Housing and Urban Development) and Paul Petzrick (DOE) attended a special meeting of the Uintah Basin Energy Planning and Development Council in Vernal, Utah. Dr. Polly Garrett (DOE-Region VIII) was also present. GKI President M. A. Lekas attended the meeting and made a presentation on the GKI shale oil recovery process. The major purpose of the visit was for HUD officials to inform local people of the HUD program for housing assistance to areas impacted by energy development and to assess the need for such assistance in the Uintah Basin of Utah.

Members and staff of the Utah State Land Board toured the field site in June. They were accompanied by the State Forester and other Utah Department of Natural Resources personnel. Development activities including research progress and challenges were explained to the group. GKI operates on property owned by the State of Utah.

Representatives of the Rocky Mountain Energy Company (RME), a subsidiary of Union Pacific, visited the field site during the quarter. RME has initiated a program to evaluate oil shale resources on Union Pacific land holdings in Wyoming. Initial inventory efforts are directed to identifying areas suitable for application of the GKI process. Two geologic field crews will look for potential areas in 1980. Core drilling will begin in 1981 if suitable areas are located.

Representatives of CSR Limited (Sydney, Australia) visited Kamp Kerogen to discuss the application of GKI's in situ retorting process to the development of oil shale deposits in Australia.

Various persons from DOE/LETC met with GKI personnel at Kamp Kerogen during the quarter. Discussions covered planning and budget, retort data collection and interpretation, permits, environmental research activities, and health and safety programs.

A petroleum technology class from the Uintah Basin Area Vocational Center examined various stages of retort preparation, operation and revegetation.

A news team from CBS-affiliate KSL-TV (Channel 5) in Salt Lake City, Utah, conducted an interview at the test site. Mr. Charles R. Henderson represented the Uintah Basin Association of Governments' Energy Planning and Development Council at the event.

Mr. Paul Hommert of Sandia Laboratories worked with GKI personnel at the field site to increase the effective use of GKI's Digital Equipment Corporation PDP-11 computer system. Assistance was received in retort data storage and computer application as a predictive tool in materials balance calculations.

Several staff members represented GKI at the 13th Oil Shale Symposium co-sponsored by DOE/LETC and the Colorado School of Mines.

U. S. Patent No. 4,205,610 was assigned to GKI for a shale oil recovery process. The patent covers the "Walking W" blast design and other aspects of the GKI process. Daniel P. Zerga of Concord, California, is the inventor of the process.

GKI arranged to provide 20 tons of oil shale to the Institute of Gas Technology (IGT). The shale will be used in tests of the IGT "HYTORT." DOE is funding the tests of IGT's hydrogen atmosphere

above-ground retorting process. Assays and test information will be furnished to GKI upon completion of the experiments. The oil shale will be quarried from GKI's Agency Draw property by Casada Construction Company.

Safety meetings were held throughout the quarter. Safety engineers from the Utah Technical College (UTC) presented special sessions on electrical safety, the operation of cranes and hoists, and personal protective equipment. These sessions were part of an OSHA-sponsored safety training program in which GKI is participating. Other safety meetings during the quarter covered accident prevention, safety consciousness and planning for safety on large-scale energy-related construction projects.

Several GKI staff members attended a seminar conducted by blasting consultants to receive special training in the handling and use of explosives.

THIRD QUARTER 1980 FORECAST:

SITE PREPARATION:

None.

DRILLING AND BLASTING:

Retort #25 will be blasted.

RE-ENTRY DRILLING:

Re-entry drilling on Retort #24 will continue.

RETORT PROCESS FACILITIES:

Fabrication and installation of process equipment for Retort #24 will continue.

RETORT BURN:

None.

OIL PRODUCTION AND HANDLING:

None.

POST-BLAST AND POST-BURN EXAMINATION:

See Separate Experiments.

SEPARATE EXPERIMENTS:

The EPA-DOE/LETC post-burn core sampling program on Retort #16 will continue.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH:

GKI will continue working with state and federal regulatory agencies to secure necessary permits.

KAMPSITE AND SUPPORT ACTIVITIES:

Routine maintenance will continue.

ENGINEERING AND ADMINISTRATIVE:

None.

Figure 1

GEOKINETICS INC. FIELD SITE

GEOKINETICS INC.

1/6

Figure 3

RETORT #24 - POST-BLAST HEAVE CONTOURS

LEGEND

HEAVE CONTOUR

GEOKINETICS INC.

[Signature]

Figure 4

RETORT #24 - RE-ENTRY AIR, PRODUCTION AND OBSERVATION WELL LOCATIONS

- ⊕ PRODUCTION WELL
- ⊙ OBSERVATION WELL
- AIR-IN WELL

GEOKINETICS INC.

KG

Figure 5

RETORT #18 - REVISED SURFACE FACILITIES LAYOUT

GEOKINETICS INC.

SCALE: NONE

Figure 6

RETORT #18 - RE-ENTRY AIR, PRODUCTION & OBSERVATION WELL LOCATIONS

Figure 7
RETORT #18 - RE-ENTRY
INSTRUMENT WELL LOCATIONS

LEGEND

- △ 3" DIA. THERMOCOUPLE WELL
- ⬡ 3" DIA. PRESSURE WELL

SCALE: 1"=20'

Figure 8

RETORT #24 - INSTRUMENTATION SCHEMATIC

Figure 9

RETORT #24 - POST-BLAST CORE HOLE LOCATIONS

-25-

⊙ CORE HOLE

GEOKINETICS INC.

$\frac{1}{8}$

- WELL EXTENDING INTO RETORT LEVEL
- ⊙ WELL EXTENDING BELOW RETORT LEVEL

Figure 10 - RETORT #15 MAP I.
SPECIFIC LOCATIONS OF
HYDROLOGIC MONITORING
WELLS

Figure 11

LOCATION OF NATIVE GROUNDWATER
WELLS ON SECTION 2

GEOKINETICS INC.

KG

0 500' 1000'
SCALE

APPENDIX A

RETORT #18 SUMMARY REPORT

APPENDIX A

RETORT #18 SUMMARY REPORT

Retort #18 was blasted on July 27, 1978, utilizing the "Walking W" blast design with initiation at the shallow end. The burn was ignited on November 13, 1979, and retorting took place for 181 days. Retorting conditions were basically steady-state with various air injection tests performed during the burn.

RETORT DIMENSIONS:

Thickness:	18.6 feet
Width:	108.5 feet
Length:	156.5 feet
Overburden:	25.4 feet
Cross-Section:	2,009 feet ²

BLASTING PARAMETERS:

Date Blasted:	July 27, 1978
Total Explosive:	16,291 pounds IRECO explosive slurry
Average Surface Uplift:	4.2 feet

RETORTING PARAMETERS:

Began Burn:	November 13, 1979
Ended Burn:	May 11, 1980
Duration of Burn:	181 days
Average Grade of Oil Shale:	28.4 gallons per ton
Oil in Place:	12,175 barrels
Retort Volume (Between Air-in and Air-Out Hole):	267,262 feet ³

PRODUCTION, OIL AND WATER:

Emulsion:	12,130 barrels (54.3% water)
Oil:	5,547 barrels
Water:	6,583 barrels (1,021 barrels free/ water and 5,562 barrels from emulsion)
Recovery:	45.6 percent oil in place
Average Rate of Oil Production:	30.6 bbl/day
Average Rate of Oil Per 100 ft ² of Cross-Section:	1.5 bbl/100 ft ² day
Peak Rate of Oil Production:	51.0 bbl/day

PRODUCTION, GAS:

Air Injection Rate:	1,252 scfm average
Total Air Injected:	321 MMCF

APPENDIX A (Cont)

PRODUCTION, GAS: (Cont.)

Air Injection Rate per Square

Foot of Cross-Section:

Before Blasting: 0.62 scfm/ft²

After Blasting: 0.50 scfm/ft²

Total Air Injected Per

Barrel Recovered: 61,000 cf/bbl

Combustible Gas Production: 338,000,000 cubic feet

Average Rate of Fire Front

Advance: 0.8 ft/day

Average Retort Pressure: 5.9 inches of water

APPENDIX B

RETORT #18 OIL ANALYSIS RESULTS

Received: 6-80

APPENDIX B

ANALYSIS OF GEOKINETICS SHALE OIL
PERFORMED BY OCCIDENTAL OIL SHALE, INC.

PARAMETER	REFERENCE	GEOKINETICS SAMPLE (05-23-80)
%BS&W	ASTM-D-96-23	0.10
API Gravity 60°	ASTM-D-1298-23	26.5
Pour Pt. °F	ASTM-D-97-23	70
Kinematic Visc. @ 100 °F cSt's 100 °F cSt's	ASTM-D-445-23	12.54 6.17
Cleveland Open Cup Flash °F Fire °F	ASTM-D-92-23	245 260
Pensky Martin Flash Pt. °F	ASTM-D-9323	185
% Sulfur	ASTM-D-129-23	0.61
Gross HTC. Value BTU/lb.	ASTM-D-240-23	18,700
% Asphaltenes	UOP-614-68	0.26
% Nitrogen	ASTM-D-3228-25	1.64
Arsenic, PPM	UOP-837-62	8

APPENDIX C

GEOKINETICS INC.

280 Buchanan Field Road
Concord, CA 94520

EVAPORATION POND WATER INVESTIGATION

By

Lawrence L. Morriss

June, 1980

PREPARED FOR THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Under Cooperative Agreement #DE-FC20-78LC10787

THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

EVAPORATION POND
WATER INVESTIGATION

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. SUMMARY.	1
A. Conclusions	1
II. INTRODUCTION	2
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	3
A. Sampling.	3
B. Analysis.	4
IV. POND LEVEL AND ADDITION OF RETORT WATER.	5
A. Results - How Meaningful?	5
B. General Increase.	6
C. No Significant Change	6
D. General Decrease.	6
E. Seasonal Variations	7
V. SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.	9
VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	9
VII. REFERENCES	9

EVAPORATION POND
WATER INVESTIGATION

List of Tables and Figures

	<u>Page</u>
Table I - Evaporation Pond Water Analysis.	10
Table II - Retort Water Distribution	15
Figure 1 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Calcium, Magnesium, Potassium, Boron.	16
Figure 2 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Arsenic, Selenium, Antimony, Bismuth.	17
Figure 3 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Silicon, Molybdenum	18
Figure 4 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Lithium, Strontium, Barium.	19
Figure 5 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Iron, Cobalt, Copper, Nickel.	20
Figure 6 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Aluminum, Chromium, Manganese	21
Figure 7 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Lead, Silver, Zinc.	22
Figure 8 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Sodium.	23
Figure 9 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Cadmium, Beryllium.	24
Figure 10 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Conductivity, Alkalinity, ROE 105°, ROE 180°.	25
Figure 11 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Ammonium, Sulfate-Sulfur, Thiocyanate-Sulfur.	26
Figure 12 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Chloride, Oil & Grease, Total Suspended Solids.	27
Figure 13 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Fluoride, Cyanide, Phenol	28

List of Tables and Figures (Cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 14 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Surfactants, Nitrate, Phosphate.	29
Figure 15 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Chemical Oxygen Demand	30
Figure 16 - Evaporation Pond Concentration Vs Time - Hardness, Turbidity.	31
Figure 17 - Evaporation Pond pH Vs Time.	32
Figure 18 - Evaporation Pond Retort Water Added Vs Time. . .	33
Figure 19 - Water Level of Pond & Retort Water Added to Pond	34

THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

I. SUMMARY

A. CONCLUSIONS

1. As expected, nearly all the constituents in the pond increase as evaporation leads to concentration. That turbidity and conductivity decreased with time indicates that there is some complexation and precipitation taking place also.

2. Among the species that show a general increase are those of environmental significance. These are: arsenic, boron, cyanide, fluoride, phenol and thiocyanate. Of these species, arsenic and boron are in such concentrations as to warrant careful consideration prior to any disposal scheme.

II. INTRODUCTION

Because of the large amount of water produced in the in-situ retorting of oil shale, there is a need to determine how the constituents of this water change with time and upon evaporation as in an industrial waste pond. This investigation was undertaken with that purpose in mind. No provision was undertaken to isolate the pond from incoming fresh retort water since this is part of maintaining a working disposal pond. Thus, this study began in November 1978 and was concluded in October 1979. The samples were withdrawn from the pond once monthly, near the middle of the month, and analyzed as soon as practical thereafter.

The constituents analyzed for are listed below:

Cations

Aluminum	Cadmium	Lithium	Selenium
Antimony	Calcium	Magnesium	Silicon
Arsenic	Chromium	Manganese	Silver
Barium	Cobalt	Mercury	Sodium
Beryllium	Copper	Molybdenum	Strontium
Bismuth	Iron	Nickel	Tin
Boron	Lead	Potassium	Zinc

Anions

Alkalinity	Cyanide	Nitrite	Sulfate
Bicarbonate	Fluoride	Phosphate, Ortho	Sulfide
Carbonate	Nitrate	Phosphate, Total	Thiocyanate
Chloride			

Organics

Ammonium	Phenol
Chemical Oxygen Demand	Surfactants
Oil and Grease	Total Sulfur

Other

Conductivity	ROE 105°	Turbidity
Hardness	ROE 180°	Total Suspended Solids
pH	Temperature	

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. SAMPLING

Two sampling methods were used:

1. During warm months, when the pond was free of ice, a plastic one gallon container attached to a rope and weighted with a sinker is tossed into the pond, allowed to sink, and then retrieved.

2. When the pond had ice on it, the ice was broken then a steel sampling bomb, attached to a line, was lowered through the hole in the ice. The sample is let into the bomb at the deepest point reached by the sampling device.

In retrospect and in view of the analysis results, the second method was good. The first method, however, led to some inconsistent samples. In April 1979, the ice on the pond had just melted. A plastic container was tossed out on the pond but not allowed to sink to the bottom before being retrieved; thus the sample was from the top of a stratified pond. The sample taken in this manner was not a planned event--and was only discovered after analysis was begun. That it happened this way is both inconvenient and fortuitous. It is inconvenient in that the data for April is not consistent with that from other samples. It is fortuitous in that it provided an opportunity to observe the stratification caused by freezing and thawing of the pond surface.

The constituents (oil and grease, phenol, and turbidity) seem to be not affected at all by the pond stratification. The concentrations of aluminum and beryllium actually increased in April. All other measured constituents showed a very marked decrease in concentration in the April sample.

A second anomaly in the data occurred in August and September. These two samples showed a very large increase in Calcium and Strontium for the two months. (A nearly twenty-three fold increase for Calcium and eight fold increase for Strontium.) The concentration for October was again typical for these two cations.

A possible explanation for this behavior is that the plastic sampling container was allowed to rest on the bottom of the pond and then dragged along the bottom scooping up sediment as it was retrieved.

Many or even most of the variations observed from month to month in the concentrations of the parameters measured may be due to sampling variations in this stratified pond.

B. ANALYSIS

Due to the large number of interferences present in retort water and the lack of standard methods available for the analysis of retort water, some methods were modified or deleted from the initial sampling schedule.

Ortho-phosphate very closely paralleled total phosphate and was discontinued as a measured parameter because of some turbidity in the sample which made accuracy somewhat suspect. Since the total phosphate value was low, and always higher than that obtained for ortho-phosphate, it was felt that the deletion of ortho-phosphate was justified.

Nitrite was deleted since its values were so low as to limit accurate determination in retort water from the evaporation pond.

IV. POND LEVEL AND ADDITION OF RETORT WATER

During the twelve month period ending October 1979, approximately 2,540 barrels of retort water were added to the evaporation pond.

The average content of the pond was 3,570 barrels of water with a high of 5,920 barrels and a low of 1,410 barrels. The amount of water added and the pond content is shown graphically in Figure 19.

The pond level measurements were not begun until April of 1979 so the comparison of water added to the pond can only be made for the last seven months. The water added during any one month of the last seven months was less than eight percent of the amount contained in the pond. Approximately 60 percent of the water contained in the pond in April (and added between May and October) was lost by evaporation during that period. The amount added to the pond during the period May to October (ten percent of the April amount) was small, one-fifth, compared to that lost by evaporation. This accounts very well for the general increase in concentration observed during the last half of the period.

A. RESULTS - HOW MEANINGFUL?

Apart from the varying concentrations of constituents exhibited in the pond, due to evaporation and addition, general trends and variances can still be very informative.

A discussion follows of the behavior and trends of various groups of constituents measured during the period. The analyses are tabulated in Table I and graphically represented in Figures 1-19.

B. GENERAL INCREASE

Potassium, boron, magnesium, arsenic, iron, sodium, beryllium, residue on evaporation at 105°C and 180°C, alkalinity, sulfur as sulfate, sulfur as thiocyanate, total sulfur, total suspended solids, chloride, fluoride, cyanide, phenol, surfactants, nitrogen as nitrate, chemical oxygen demand, hardness and pH all increased generally with time. This is the expected case and should include most of the measured constituents.

C. NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE

Antimony, selenium, bismuth, barium, lithium, cobalt, nickel, copper, manganese, chromium, lead, zinc, mercury, cadmium, and phosphorus as phosphate remained essentially the same concentration throughout. That their concentrations remained near the same level is thought to be due to either precipitation of salts in excess amounts or to inability to see changes near the detection limits of the parameter. Of the two explanations, the first is expected to predominate since the amounts measured are not unreasonably close to detection limits.

D. GENERAL DECREASE

Aluminum, ammonium, conductivity, turbidity, barrels added per month, barrels of water in pond were all seen to generally decrease with respect to time. The last two items have already been discussed.

Turbidity is thought to have decreased as time favored continuing settling out of particulate matter. Since turbidity decreased even as the pond was losing water and becoming more concentrated seems to preclude saturation and precipitation in a colloidal state. Some precipitation of large particles or aggregates may, however, be taking place with temperature changes and time.

The decrease in conductivity is somewhat of a surprise and seems to indicate that some complexing of ions and organic ligand formation may be taking place increasingly as the water becomes more concentrated. It must be noted, however, that conductivity showed a general increase during the latter half of the test period, though the magnitude of increase was small.

The general decrease of ammonium during the study period is likely related to the increase in pH with the ammonium being evolved from the pond as gaseous ammonia.

The general decrease in aluminum concentration is harder to account for. In Figure 17 it can be seen that the aluminum concentration is fairly constant as the pond becomes more concentrated. Some complexing of aluminum with precipitation is most likely occurring. The high value for aluminum in November 1978 may be due either to error in measurement or to contributions of aluminum from unknown events prior to the study period.

E. SEASONAL VARIATIONS

The seasons may be loosely and conveniently divided into two groups; the cold months from November 1978 to April 1979, and the warm months from May to October 1979. Seasonal effects (added water by precipitation and water lost by evaporation) may be observed by comparing the two seasons.

There was a marked increase in the concentration of iron, sulfur as sulfate, total suspended solids and phosphorus as phosphate during the cold season. All of those cited also showed an increase during the warm season.

There was a marked decrease in the concentrations of aluminum, sodium, conductivity, and chloride during the cold season. Sodium and aluminum had unusually high values in November compared with those in December. The high November values for these two elements is thought to be due to analytical error in concentration and dilution techniques which were corrected after the first sample. The decrease in conductivity and chloride are real and thought to be due to precipitation of salts brought on by cooler pond temperatures. Sampling inconsistencies may have had some effect also.

During the warm season, calcium, strontium, hardness, arsenic, silicon, chloride, chemical oxygen demand, oil and grease, iron, sulfur as sulfate, phosphorus as phosphate, and total suspended solids all showed marked increases. This behavior is to be expected during the warm season evaporation period.

There were no decreases during the warm season except for some short-term effects from month to month.

There were large short term variations in some of the parameters but these were probably due to some extent pond stratification. Cyanide was particularly bad with regard to large variation. In this case, it was probably due to the large amount of bicarbonate and carbonate in the samples which made acidification and boiling of the sample very difficult without loss of some cyanide. In August, the method was modified slightly with great improvement in results.

V. SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

1. Sampling be made more consistent by circulating the water in the pond to homogenize it, then sampling at exactly the same location and in the same manner.
2. The pond should be sampled periodically (semi-annually or yearly) for those constituents most environmentally significant, i.e., boron, arsenic, cyanide, fluoride, phenol, and thiocyanate sulfur.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was performed at the Geokinetics Kamp Kerogen site under a contract with the Department of Energy, #DE-FC20-78LC10787.

VII REFERENCES

The samples were treated and preserved according to EPA methods. (1)
Methods used for sample analysis are taken from:

1. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes. 1979. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268.
2. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 1975. APHA, AWWA, WPCF. 14th Edition. American Public Health Association. 1015 Eighteenth Street NW. Washington, D. C. 20036
3. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. 1978. Part 31. Water. American Society for Testing and Materials, 1916 Rose Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103.

TABLE I
EVAPORATION POND
WATER ANALYSIS

	Nov. 1978	Dec.	Jan. 1979	Feb.	March	April	May
Aluminum	1.5*	<2	0.2	0.1	0.0	<0.5	0.5
Barium	2.1	1.3	0.3	0.9	0.8	0.3	0.6
Boron	—	—	—	—	128	80	236
Iron	2.1	1.5	2.6	2.9	2.6	0.43	1.94
Silicon	16.0	15.2	24	23	20	7.3	15.0
Calcium	3.93	6.60	7.05	8.01	4.60	5.8	10.4
Magnesium	16.8	11.2	26.6	33.0	28.5	14.6	29
Potassium	130	180	79.6	89.8	220	57	200
Sodium	44,400	8,000	6,950	7,380	10,700	3,000	12,800
Arsenic	1.1	0.9	3.5	4.6	15.1	4.16	13.9
Cadmium	0.073	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.11	0.007	0.051
Mercury	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	0.0061	0.0072	0.0021
Selenium	1.8	1.4	2.0	2.7	1.1	0.37	2.18
Antimony	3.3	2.5	1.0	1.2	0.5	0.0	0.6
Beryllium	0.009	0.005	0.010	0.010	0.01	0.07	0.04
Bismuth	0.9	0.1	0.4	0.6	1.2	0.7	1.8
Chromium	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.18
Cobalt	0.52	0.56	0.57	0.68	0.40	0.11	0.56
Copper	0.20	0.20	0.21	1.19	0.17	<0.1	0.18
Lead	0.74	0.81	0.26	0.41	1.0	0.2	1.3
Lithium	0.20	0.16	0.29	0.31	0.24	0.09	0.26
Manganese	0.11	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.08
Molybdenum	20.0	15.6	13.5	27.2	17.9	3.4	14.1
Nickel	0.51	0.14	0.53	0.65	0.64	0.13	0.65
Silver	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.67	0.08	<0.01	0.06
Strontium	0.93	0.71	1.61	1.74	1.16	0.17	0.43
Tin	<0.2	<0.1	0.7	<0.7	<0.7	<1	<1
Zinc	0.22	0.03	0.11	0.30	0.09	0.03	0.74

*Quantities are expressed in milligrams/litre

EVAPORATION POND
WATER ANALYSIS

	June	July	August	Sept.	Oct. (1979)
Aluminum	*0.4	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.4
Barium	1.1	0.5	1.3	1.5	1.3
Boron	291	254	310	413	265
Iron	2.3	2.0	2.4	2.3	3.2
Silicon	15.3	18.6	20.4	26.5	42
Calcium	11.9	17.4	330	430	16.2
Magnesium	46	45	51	63	97
Potassium	218	270	270	386	495
Sodium	13,600	11,000	13,600	15,700	20,000
Arsenic	17.3	17.7	19.3	21.2	22.0
Cadmium	0.24	0.12	0.032	0.032	1.42
Mercury	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Selenium	1.50	1.24	1.64	1.77	1.80
Antimony	0.7	0.8	1.1	1.2	3.1
Beryllium	0.04	0.02	0.012	0.012	0.03
Bismuth	2.7	1.5	2.44	2.72	1.4
Chromium	0.14	0.7	0.09	0.10	0.08
Cobalt	1.3	0.70	0.62	0.64	0.80
Copper	0.42	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.13
Lead	1.4	0.8	0.04	0.04	0.7
Lithium	0.19	0.18	0.30	0.37	0.46
Manganese	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.12
Molybdenum	11.4	10.4	18.1	18.9	20.0
Nickel	0.78	0.48	0.47	0.44	0.73
Silver	0.26	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.16
Strontium	0.88	0.96	10.2	13.1	2.1
Tin	1.5	0.5	0.84	0.72	1.2
Zinc	0.20	0.28	0.05	0.14	0.39

* Quantities are expressed in milligrams/litre

EVAPORATION POND
WATER ANALYSIS

	Nov. 1978	Dec. 1978	Jan. 1979	Feb. 1979
Conductivity (μ mhos)	55,000	42,000	30,500	41,800
Turbidity (JTU)	60	70	53	53
pH	8.71	8.83	8.82	8.85
Hardness CaCO_3	92.7	77.4	135	164
Temp $^{\circ}\text{C}$	-	9	2	3.5
ROE at 105°	-	-	-	-
ROE at 180°	42,870	30,360	55,890	51,210
TSS	219.6	45.6	1,645	557
Oil & Grease	375	175	470	297
COD	7,840	5,060	13,500	17,730
Phenol	0.07	18	-	0.08
Surfactants (LAS)	1.30	3.5	4.2	9.7
Alkalinity (CaCO_3)	19,400	17,520	29,710	33,500
HCO_3^-	-	-	32,560	34,780
$\text{CO}_3^{=}$	-	-	1,803	2,990
Ammonia as NH_3	-	-	-	-
Ammonia as NH_4^+	1,067	1,290	1,770	1,920
Chloride	552	564	1,000	762
Fluoride	28	16.7	43.5	65
Sulfate as S	1,584	2,708	7,896	7,887
Sulfide as $\text{S}^{=}$	<10	<10	<10	<10
Thiocyanate SCN as S	370	317	640	591
Total Sulfur as S	-	-	-	9,433
Cyanide as CN	21	91	52	67
Nitrate as N	0.023	0.025	N.D.	<0.1
Nitrite as N	0.001	0.002	N.D.	<0.1
Total Phosphate as P	2.90	6.78	1.88	1.18
Ortho Phosphate as P	2.78	6.24	1.33	1.13

*Quantities are mg/l unless otherwise specified.

EVAPORATION POND
WATER ANALYSIS

	Mar. 1979	Apr. 1979	May 1979	Jun. 1979
Conductivity (μ mhos)	27,300	8,100	26,500	20,800
Turbidity (JTU)	42	41	28	35
pH	9.21	8.64	9.02	9.02
Hardness CaCO_3	135	78	153	232
Temp $^{\circ}\text{C}$	2.5	15	15.5	14
ROE at 105°	-	-	-	44,030
ROE at 180°	33,190	8,254	32,500	42,750
TSS	190.8	43.2	234	197
Oil and Grease	65	165	202	260
COD	6,430	4,220	10,230	12,240
Phenol	0.01	6.8	-	13.2
Surfactants (LAS)	6.1	4.6	5.2	8.8
Alkalinity (CaCO_3)	23,520	5,430	18,220	17,560
HCO_3^-	19,425	5,707	18,560	18,500
$\text{CO}_3^{=}$	0	0	0	550
Ammonia as NH_3	-	-	-	-
Ammonia as NH_4^+	1,100	210	832	411
Chloride	440	128	420	564
Fluoride	51	30	50	74
Sulfate as S	6,086	5,160	4,596	5,244
Sulfide as $\text{S}^{=}$	<10	4.1	-	2.9
Thiocyanate SCN as S	359	116	276	442
Total Sulfur as S	-	-	7,978	7,781
Cyanide as CN	8.9	99	20.3	81
Nitrate as N	0.13	-	-	3.7
Nitrite as N	0.02	-	-	-
Total Phosphate as P	0.97	0.38	1.20	2.1
Ortho Phosphate as P	0.94	0.29	1.14	-

*Quantities are mg/l unless otherwise specified.

EVAPORATION POND WATER ANALYSIS

	Jul. 1979	Aug. 1979	Sep. 1979	Oct. 1979
Conductivity (μ mhos)	29,100	26,400	27,800	34,000
Turbidity (JTU)	47	37	35	30
pH	8.93	9.05	9.12	9.12
Hardness as CaCO_3	237	1,292	1,354	687
Temp $^{\circ}\text{C}$	28	17.5	15.8	6.5
ROE at 105°	44,350	47,830	95,390	85,330
ROE at 180°	43,120	41,240	61,610	80,020
TSS	322	519	799	1,430
Oil and Grease	200	154	138	1,166
COD	12,790	14,690	17,430	25,170
Phenol	18.9	26.4	60.0	42.1
Surfactants (LAS)	9.0	8.2	8.4	14.0
Alkalinity (CaCO_3)	17,000	22,730	30,320	37,250
HCO_3^-	18,130	23,170	31,840	35,330
CO_3^{2-}	1,280	2,010	5,030	4,970
Ammonia as NH_3	-	126	226	6
Ammonia as NH_4^+	153	992	484	76
Chloride	516	610	820	1,220
Fluoride	65	60	76	83
Sulfate as S	5,233	4,549	5,042	10,793
Sulfide as S^{2-}	1.1	-	19.8	0.47
Thiocyanate SCN^- as S	372	775	852	800
Total Sulfur as S	7,563	7,939	8,625	10,808
Cyanide as CN	6.4	26.4	33.1	92
Nitrate as N	1.04	2.0	2.1	-
Nitrite as N	-	0.13	0.18	-
Total Phosphate as P	1.8	1.65	2.40	4.7
Ortho Phosphate as P	-	-	-	-

*Quantities are mg/l unless otherwise specified.

TABLE II

RETORT WATER DISTRIBUTION

Month	Amount Produced bbls.	Road Watering bbls.	Amount to Pond bbls.
October 1978	638.3	0	638.3
November 1978	1010.4	0	1010.4
December 1978	243.6	0	243.6
January 1979	0	0	0
February 1979	29.0	0	29.0
March 1979	420.4	0	420.4
April 1979	262.5	0	262.5
May 1979	0	0	0
June 1979	779.8	@ 700	@ 80
July 1979	1299.2	@ 1000	@ 300
August 1979	657.5	@ 600	@ 58
September 1979	424.3	@ 400	@ 24
October 1979	407.8	@ 300	@ 108
November 1979	310.3	0	310.3
December 1979	@ 50	@ 50	0

Figure 1
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 2
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 3
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 4
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 5
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 6
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 7
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 8
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 9
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS TIME

Figure 10
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 11
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 12
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

CHLORIDE, OIL & GREASE, TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS

Figure 13
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 14
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 15
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 16
EVAPORATION POND CONCENTRATION VS. TIME

Figure 17
EVAPORATION POND pH VS. TIME

Figure 18
EVAPORATION POND RETORT WATER ADDED VS. TIME

Figure 19
WATER LEVEL OF POND & RETORT WATER ADDED TO POND

APPENDIX D

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL
RESEARCH REPORT:**

**VEGETATION
ECOCLIMATIC AND SOILS FACTORS
1978-1979**

**LOFRECO FIELD RESEARCH SITE
GEOKINETICS, INC.
UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH**

**PREPARED BY
ERO ASSOCIATES, CONSULTING ECOLOGISTS
CONIFER, COLORADO**

Erik R. Olgeirson, Ph. D.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This environmental report was produced for and financed by Geokinetics, Inc. with partial cooperative funding provided by the United States Department of Energy.

The environmental studies reported in this volume were conducted under the direction of Dr. Erik Olgeirson, ERO Associates. Individuals who contributed to these studies include:

- The management and staff of Geokinetics, Inc. Vernal, Utah and Concord, California, especially Mike Lekus, Hildling Spradlin, Walter Bell, Linda Kinney, Dave Hutchinson, and Ralph Lichtenhann
- The staff of ERO Associates: Steve Dougherty, Carl Mackey, Katie Olgeirson, Marty Pedziwiatr, Marilyn Whitehouse, Craig Sommers, Rod Fosler, Todd Richards, Jane Fosler, Ian Olgeirson, Chris Olgeirson and Woody Neilson
- Larry England, Bureau of Land Management
- Jim Mershon, Western Aquisition, Inc.

CONTENTS

HEADING	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	1
OBJECTIVES	2
DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA	3
METHODS	4
<u>Vegetation Mapping</u>	4
<u>Soil Mapping</u>	4
<u>Florsitic Studies</u>	4
<u>Sampling Site Selection</u>	4
<u>Phytosociological Studies</u>	5
<u>Herb Layer Vegetation</u>	5
<u>Shrub Layer Vegetation</u>	5
<u>Tree Layer Vegetation</u>	5
<u>Primary Herbaceous Production Studies</u>	6
<u>Ecoclimatological Monitoring Studies</u>	8
<u>Soil Survey and Analysis Studies</u>	9
DATA ANALYSIS AND TREATMENT	11
<u>Phytosociological Studies</u>	11
<u>Primary Productivity Studies</u>	12
<u>Ecoclimatological Monitoring Studies</u>	12
<u>Soil Survey and Analysis Studies</u>	13
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	14
VEGETATION STUDIES	14
<u>Vegetation Mapping</u>	14
<u>Floristics</u>	14
<u>Phytosociological Studies</u>	16
<u>Pinyon-Juniper Woodlands</u>	16
<u>General Location and Distribution</u>	16
<u>South-Facing Pinyon-Juniper Woodlands</u>	16
<u>Structure and Composition</u>	16
<u>Primary Productivity</u>	19
<u>Stability, Diversity, and Land Use</u>	20

<u>North-Facing Pinyon-Juniper Woodlands</u>	21
<u>Structure and Composition</u>	21
<u>Primary Productivity</u>	22
<u>Stability, Diversity, and Land-Use</u>	22
<u>Big Sagebrush Shrublands</u>	23
<u>General Location and Distribution</u>	23
<u>Sagebrush-Rabbitbrush Lowlands</u>	23
<u>Structure and Composition</u>	23
<u>Primary Production</u>	24
<u>Stability, Diversity, and Land Use</u>	24
<u>Sagebrush-Wheatgrass Uplands</u>	25
<u>Structure and Composition</u>	25
<u>Primary Production</u>	26
<u>Stability, Diversity, and Land Use</u>	26
<u>ECOCLIMATOLOGICAL MONITORING STUDIES</u>	35
<u>General Characteristics</u>	35
<u>Temperature</u>	37
<u>Precipitation</u>	40
<u>Available Moisture</u>	42
<u>SOIL SURVEY AND ANALYSIS STUDIES</u>	58
<u>Interpretation of Soils With Respect to Soil</u>	
<u>Moisture</u>	58
<u>Interpretation of Soil With Respect to Soil</u>	
<u>Temperature</u>	59
<u>General Description of Taxonomic Units</u>	59
<u>Orthids</u>	59
<u>Fluvents</u>	59
<u>Orthents</u>	60
<u>Classification of Soils</u>	60
<u>JR Loam</u>	60
<u>LR Gravelly Loam</u>	61
<u>Luhon Loam</u>	62
<u>Havre Silt Loam</u>	63

<u>Soil Physical and Chemical Analyses</u>	65
<u>Native Soils</u>	65
<u>Retort Soils</u>	66
<u>SAMPLE ADEQUACY</u>	86
<u>SITE SIMILARITIES</u>	87
<u>Coefficient of Similarity Tests</u>	87
<u>One-Way Analysis of Variance Tests</u>	89
<u>Linear Regression Analysis</u>	91
<u>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</u>	104
<u>Rare and Endangered Species</u>	104
<u>Herbaceous productivity Relationships</u>	
<u>to Large Herbivores</u>	105
<u>Importance of Vegetation Structure, Soils</u>	
<u>and Ecoclimatic Conditions to Reclamation</u>	
<u>of Disturbed Areas</u>	106
<u>The Use of Baseline Data in Distinguishing</u>	
<u>Man-Induced Perturbations From Natural</u>	
<u>Trends</u>	107
<u>LITERATURE CITED</u>	110
<u>REFERENCES</u>	113

INTRODUCTION

The Geokinetics Inc., in-situ oil shale retort experimental research site, known as the LOFRECO site, is located in the southern Uintah Basin, Uintah County, Utah. The research site occupies Section 2 of Township 14 South, Range 22 East, approximately 50 miles south of Vernal Utah.

Vegetation studies were begun on the LOFRECO site during the spring of 1978 and continued through the summer of 1979. The principal components of the two year intensive study include collection and analysis of data in the areas of vegetation, ecoclimate, and soils as detailed below:

- Vegetation Studies:
 - Vegetation Mapping
 - Floristics
 - Reconnaissance and collection of vascular plants on the site
 - Rare and endangered species inventory
 - Phytosociological Studies
 - Qualitative and quantitative descriptions of the structural and compositional features of each major vegetation type on the site
 - Primary Productivity Studies
 - Measurement of the above-ground biomass of the herbaceous biomass of the site (shrubby vegetation was also measured in selected areas)
- Ecoclimatological Monitoring Studies
 - Continuous recording measurement of ecoclimatic parameters (temperature and precipitation in the zone influenced by plant growth) in the major vegetation types
- Soil Survey and Analysis Studies
 - Qualitative and quantitative descriptions of the major soil types
 - Quantitative description of the soil characteristics on in-situ retort sites
 - Mapping of major soil series types

This report contains the results of the two-year study, presenting data collected from the three main study topics and interpretive analyses of intra-topical correlations. First year (1978) data, including one-time soil studies, have been previously presented in a series of reports (Olgeirson and Martin 1978, Olgeirson 1979a, 1979b, 1979c). Data collected during the second year of study are presented in this report along with first year information (Appendices A through D and Map Pockets).

The body of the report combines and correlates data from the permanent sampling sites for both of the years studied. Vegetation, soils, and ecoclimate data are considered separately in these discussions. Correlations and interrelationships between the three main topics are discussed in later sections. Conclusions of the studies are given as a summation of the separate and interrelated findings and as a basis for recommended monitoring programs. An attempt has been made to increase readability by restricting the large amount of data to inclusion into textual areas of the report only as summarized figures and tables. Supporting data have all been placed in the appendices.

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the studies discussed in this report are given below. These primary goals relate operational facets of the in-situ shale oil retort research to the environmental characteristics of the site.

- To produce a descriptive data base that can be applied to an understanding of the carrying capacity of site
- To define dynamic relationships between vegetation and primary factors that influence vegetation, such as soils and ecoclimate
- To use the qualitative and quantitative information obtained in preparing revegetation plans, impact mitigation plans, and long-term monitoring plans
- To employ the qualitative and quantitative data in making distinctions between natural environmental trends and man-induced perturbations

DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA

The LOFRECO site is located in the Uintah Basin floristic section of the Intermountain West (Cronquist et al. 1972). This area is a cold desert region dominated by open pinyon-juniper woodlands and sagebrush-dominated shrublands. Herbaceous species do not predominate in any of the plant communities of the study site. Cool-season grasses, such as blue grama and western wheatgrass, are an important, but limited, source of forage for domestic cattle. Forbaceous species are infrequent and include a number of ubiquitous species, such as copper globemallow and long-leaf phlox, as well as species known to be restricted to the Uintah Basin including *Townsendia mensana*, *Parthenium ligulatum*, and *Eriogonum ephedroides*.

Primary productivity of the area is low in comparison to the Great Plains Province and the adjacent Piceance Basin floristic area. Low rainfall (< 25cm/year) (Bradley 1976) and poor soil quality are the major factors limiting vegetation productivity. Other environmental extremes, particularly temperature, also contribute to the depauperate nature of the vegetation.

Major land uses of the study area include grazing of domestic cattle; wood-cutting; oil and gas exploration; and oil shale exploration. Wildlife utilization of the area is notably limited (Stoecker 1979).

METHODS

Vegetation Mapping

The final vegetation map for the LOFRECO site (Pocket Figure 1) was produced at a scale of 1" = 200' from aerial photography of the section. The map delineates four major vegetation map units corresponding to those sampled during the two year study. Permanent vegetation sampling plots and eco-climatological monitoring stations are also indicated on the vegetation map.

Soil Mapping

The soil map of the LOFRECO site (Pocket Figure 3) was drawn from aerial photography of the section at a scale of 1" = 200'. This map illustrates the distribution of four major soil mapping units corresponding to the vegetation types sampled.

Floristic Studies

The herbaceous, woody, and succulent flora of the LOFRECO site was studied through systematic ground reconnaissance of the site. This survey was directed toward making collections and identifications of all species occurring in the one-square mile area. A reference collection has been prepared from specimens collected during 1978 and 1979. Species listed as rare, restricted, endangered, and/or threatened in Utah (U.S. Gov't. 1975, Welsh et al. 1975, Welsh 1979) are discussed below and described in Appendix B.

Sampling Site Selection

The location and arrangement of the vegetation, ecoclimate, and soil sampling sites were selected in order to account for the differences potentially resulting from experimental in-situ oil shale retorting activities and those caused by natural trends and cycles. Sampling sites located in each of the major vegetation types (Pocket Figures 1 and 2) are paired so that each vegetation type, its ecoclimate, and its soils, were examined in detail within areas proximal to experimental operations and in other areas remote in terms of these potential perturbations. The sites are termed development and control, respectively.

Phytosociological Studies

Structural and compositional features of the major vegetation types were investigated in permanently located sampling areas. Detailed methods for each vegetation layer occurring in a sampling area (herb layer, shrub layer, and tree layer) are discussed below:

Herb Layer Vegetation

Herbaceous vegetation was sampled using a quadrat method. Twenty, 1m^2 circular quadrats, permanently located and marked in each vegetation sampling site (Figure 1) were sampled during May of 1978 and 1979. The density and frequency of each herbaceous species occurring in the quadrats were measured. Percent cover by herbaceous plants; litter; soil; rock; mosses and lichens; and woody plants were ocularly estimated.

Shrub Layer Vegetation

Shrubs were sampled using a modified line intercept/belt transect (Lindsey 1955). Sampling was conducted during May of 1978 and 1979. Twenty, $4\text{m} \times 10\text{m}$ permanently located belt transects were used to obtain shrub values. Density and frequency for each species were recorded in the entire $4\text{m} \times 10\text{m}$ area (40m^2); shrub cover was determined along the 10m line intercept (Figure 1).

Tree Layer Vegetation

Trees in woodland stands were sampled during May of 1978, only. The method employed was a point-centered-quarter technique. A total of 48 sampling points were located within the permanent sampling site. Basal area and point-to-point distances were collected for each tree species sampled. The restrictions on sampling included the selection of only live trees, that an individual tree was to be within 13m of the point, and that the diameter-at-breast height of an individual was to be $\geq 11\text{cm}$.

Tree cores were taken from 5 individual pinyon pine in order to obtain an approximate age of the woodlands. Cores were prepared, dated, and cross correlated according to standard dendrochronological techniques.

Primary Herbaceous Production Studies

Primary herbaceous productivity sampling grids were established in each of the control and development vegetation study plots (Figure 1). Each sampling grid consists of 100 sampling points identified by ten transects staked and labeled at each end-point. The transects are 27 meters in length. Circular quadrats measuring 0.25m^2 were located at three meter intervals along the transects.

Herbaceous productivity measurements were made using a double sampling technique. This technique, described in detail below, consists of two segments: 1) an ocular estimate and 2) a clip sample. The ocular estimate is the larger sample consisting of 100 quadrats. The clip sample consists of 10 quadrats. The clip sample is adjusted in terms of the ocular estimate to give a value for the entire plot. (Wilm et al. 1944)

Two samples are taken each growing season. One during approximate peak season and the other at the end of the season. The two samples were compared to show season cycling in the productivity of the herb layer.

Prior to sampling in each grid, ten plots were selected for clipping using a random number generator. The ten quadrats selected were located along the appropriate transects, staked, and labeled. During the entire sampling program no clip quadrat is sampled more than once.

The remaining ninety plots, plus the ten clip plots were estimated ocularly during the sampling. Before the ocular estimate is started the estimator performs a calibration process consisting of educating himself as to the approximate weight of various fractions to be clipped. This procedure is accomplished by selecting an area outside the sample grid, estimating the various fractions occurring in the 0.25m quadrat, clipping the fractions estimated, and weighing each fraction. This

procedure may be repeated as often as is necessary for the estimator to gain accuracy in ocularly determining the weights of samples.

Seven fractions were clipped and estimated during the sampling. These fractions include important life-forms and dominant species, as follows:

- Annual Forbs
- Annual Grasses
- Perennial Forbs
- Perennial Grasses
- Half-Shrubs
- *Agropyron smithii*
- *Bouteloua gracilis*

Clipping was restricted to the standing-live portion of each of these fractions, except in the case of *Bromus tectorum* where all material, including standing-dead, was clipped.

During clipping each fraction was bagged and labeled. Each zip lock bag was marked with the vegetation plot number, clip quadrat number, fraction abbreviation, and sample date.

Ocular estimations were begun with the quadrats selected for clipping. Ocular estimates were made by the same person throughout the sampling to ensure consistency.

Following the sampling period, bagged samples were frozen and transported to laboratory facilities for processing.

In the laboratory, fresh weights of each fraction were determined to the nearest 0.001 gram on a top-loading electronic balance. Each fraction was then dried to a constant weight ($\geq 105^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 hours) and reweighed.

Ecoclimatological Monitoring Studies

Ecoclimatic data were obtained from eight locations on the LOFRECO site. Data stations were established in the eight permanent vegetation sampling sites in 1978. The stations were arranged in pairs: one pair in each of the paired vegetation sampling sites (control and development). The locations of the ecoclimate recording stations are shown on Pocket Figure 1.

Ecoclimatological parameters were recorded at hourly intervals during the growing season (April-May through October-November) of 1978 and 1979. Values measured were recorded on thermal paper tapes, and include the following:

- station number and day of year (DOY)
- time of day (24hour time)
- precipitation (0.001 inches)
- ambient air temperature (°C)
- soil surface temperature (°C)

Recording sensors were placed entirely within the vegetation canopy. Ambient air sensors were placed at a height of 1m in the woodland and lowland sagebrush rabbitbrush sites and at 0.5m in the sagebrush-wheatgrass sites. All soil surface temperatures were placed in the litter/soil surface. Precipitation sensors were placed at a height of 0.75m.

The ecoclimatological monitoring stations were manufactured by Western Acquisition, Inc., Grand Valley, Colorado. Electronics and the printing device are housed in a 30 X 30 X 15cm Hoffman-type environmental enclosure mounted on a fixed plate angled 22° and positioned in a south-westerly direction. The stations are powered by a 15 volt gel-cell battery continuously charged by a solar panel mounted in the lid of the environmental enclosure. Temperature sensors are Yellowsprings Instrument Company stainless steel-type. The precipitation collectors are Meteorological Research Institute tipping buckets.

Soil Survey and Analysis Studies

Soil mapping units (soil series) were identified using aerial photography and field reconnaissance. Soil mapping units were investigated in the field using standard Soil Conservation Service (SCS) techniques. Soil test pits were dug to a depth approximating the lithological contact of the soil. Each soil was sampled by horizon. Approximately 900gm of soil material was collected from each horizon and bagged in seam-closure type plastic bags for transport to laboratory facilities. See Pocket Figure 3.

The soil mapping units were classified according to SCS nomenclature. Each series was named through information obtained from the Utah State Correlators Office. Soil samples taken from the four native soils were analysed by standard laboratory techniques for the parameters listed in Appendix D.

Soils overlying experimental retorts were sampled along with a control sample. Samples were taken from a depth of approximately 15cm. Sampling was carried out prior to retort blasting and again following retort burning. The control sample was also taken at a depth of 15cm. In order to make comparisons between retort soils and native soils an additional soil sample was taken in the vegetation sampling sites at a depth of from 15 to 46cm. These samples were composite samples consisting of 20 subsamples at each site. The types of analyses performed on retort soils and the native soil composite sample are listed in Appendix D.

Figure 1
VEGETATION PLOT DESIGN

Phytosociological Studies

Vegetation data obtained during 1978 and 1979 sampling was entered into a Wang 2200 MZP mini computer. Data placed in the computer files were subjected to the following types of reduction and analysis:

- summarization of values including frequency, cover, and density (as appropriate) per species and per vegetation sampling site
- calculation of relative frequency, relative cover, and relative density; and importance value (as appropriate) per species and per vegetation sampling site
- one-way analysis of variance to test the differences between the following:
 - values within years for control and development plots including ground cover, herb density, shrub density, shrub cover, tree density, tree cover, and shrub importance value
 - values between years for control or development plots, as above
- coefficient of similarity calculations for the following:
 - values within years for control and development plots including ground cover, herb density, shrub density, shrub cover, tree density, tree cover, and shrub importance value
 - values between years for control or development plots, as above
- sample adequacy for each year for each parameter measured, as above
- linear regression analysis of the following parameters within years for control and development sites:
 - shrub cover-to herb density
 - shrub cover-to-ground cover
 - herb density-to-ground cover

Methods of calculating values in the various tests are detailed in Appendix E.

[†] In all cases where analyses were performed to test differences between control and development sites or differences among years the null hypothesis, H_0 : no difference between parameters tested, was accepted or rejected based on ~0.05 level of confidence.

Primary Productivity Studies

12

Herbaceous primary productivity data obtained during 1978 and 1979 sampling periods were entered into the computer and manipulated in order to obtain the following analyses:

- double sample regression calculations to adjust estimates to actual clipped dry weight measurements
- summarization of values for each fraction sampled in terms of regression equation values and adjusted dry weight, and calculation of total plot weights
- one-way analysis of variance testing of potential differences between sample means of paired sites (control and development) within 1978 and 1979 and between like sites among 1978 and 1979 values
- linear regression analysis of the following parameters within years for control and development sites:
 - shrub cover-to-production adjusted dry weight
 - herb density-to-production adjusted dry weight
 - ground cover-to-production adjusted dry weight
- determination of sample adequacy for each plot sampled
- multiple range testing to test grouped differences between sampling sites

Ecoclimatological Monitoring Studies

Ecoclimatological data were entered in to computer files and summarized as follows:

- ten-day period means were calculated for air temperature and soil temperature
- ten-day period totals were calculated for precipitation (converted from 0.001 inches to cm)
- ten-day period mean minima and mean maxima were calculated for air temperature and soil temperature
- monthly values for the above were calculated

Summarized ecoclimate data were subjected to the following types of manipulations and statistical analyses:

13

- one-way analysis of variance to test potential differences between station pairs within years and like stations among years for each of the following:
 - precipitation
 - air temperature means, mean minima, and mean maxima (for 10-day periods)
 - soil surface temperature means, mean minima, and mean maxima (for 10-day periods)
- sample adequacy determinations
- linear regression analysis to determine the levels of correlation between the following parameters:
 - shrub cover-to-mean air temperature
 - shrub cover-to-mean soil surface temperature
 - shrub cover-to-total precipitation
 - herb density-to-mean air temperature
 - herb density-to-mean soil surface temperature
 - herb density-to-total precipitation
 - ground cover-to-mean soil surface temperature
 - production-to-mean air temperature
 - production-to-mean soil surface temperature
 - production-to-total precipitation

Soil Survey and Analysis Studies

Laboratory analyses of native and retort soils have been summarized in terms of each parameter and its level in each soil sample. Native soils sampled during the soil survey have not been subjected to statistical analyses, as this is not a common practice in soil studies. Retort soil parameter values for samples taken before blasting and after burning have been investigated using a paired *t*-test in order to assess significant differences.

VEGETATION STUDIES

Vegetation Mapping

The four vegetation types identified and sampled during the two-year environmental research study on the LOFRECO site are mapped on Pocket Figure 1. Mapped units also include several salient features and habitat units, as shown below, included with topographic and political features:

- South-facing pinyon-juniper woodlands (*Pinus edulis*-*Juniperus osteosperma*) permanent sampling sites V10 and V11
- North-facing pinyon-juniper woodlands (*Pinus edulis*-*Juniperus osteosperma*) permanent sampling sites V20 and V21
- Sagebrush-rabbitbrush lowlands (*Artemisia tridentata*-*Chrysothamnus nauseosus*) permanent sampling sites V30 and V31
- Sagebrush-wheatgrass uplands (*Artemisia tridentata*-*Agropyron smithii*) permanent sampling sites V40 and V41
- Shaley slopes and Rimrock

Floristics

Herbaceous, woody, and succulent plants occurring on the LOFRECO site are listed in Table 1. Species are listed by scientific and common name by general life form category: herbs (flowering plants and grasses), shrubs (including stem succulents), and trees. Appendix A also contains a list of these plants, the abbreviations used in computer manipulations, and specific life form classifications (Tables A1.1 and A1.2). (Tidestrom 1925, Harrington 1964).

Several herbaceous species listed as rare, restricted, endangered, and/or threatened have been encountered on the LOFRECO site. These species are listed in Table 1 and described in Appendix B. Several of these species have been recommended for delisting (Welsh 1979) and/or are relatively common on the site, as listed below:

- *Parthenium ligulatum*: recommended for delisting (Welsh 1979), common
- *Townsendia mensana*: locally common
- *Eriogonum ephedroides*; locally common

Two of these species, *Parthenium ligulatum* and *Townsendia mensana* were frequently encountered in the phytosociological sampling. This relative abundance suggests that the populations of these plants will not be threatened by the experimental facilities operating on the LOFRECO site. Moreover, the distribution of these species tends to coincide with shaley knolls that lie along the shale outcrop, and, therefore outside of the area potentially to be disturbed by site activities. The remaining species that is considered locally abundant, *Eriogonum ephedroides*, has not been encountered frequently in sampling, but can be termed common. The distribution of this species coincides with that of *Parthenium ligulatum* and *Townsendia mensana*.

Two other species occurring on Federal and State lists of endangered species have been located on the site: *Enceliopsis nutans* and *Penstemon grahamii*. These species have been encountered infrequently; *Penstemon grahamii* has been observed, but not collected. There is not sufficient information on the distribution of either species on the LOFRECO site to provide guidelines for mitigation of potential damage to these species' populations. It is apparent from literature-derived descriptions of *Enceliopsis nutans* (Nelson 1909) that this species occupies habitats similar to the plants discussed above. Information on *Penstemon grahamii* (Welsh 1979) indicates its occurrence in these types of habitats, as well. The plants observed on the LOFRECO site have been on roadsides and other waste areas.

Systematic monitoring studies designed to locate and map the distribution of all the species discussed in this section have been initiated. When this study has been completed its results will be presented to the Federal agency having jurisdiction over this general region, assumedly the Bureau of Land Management.

The results of the structural and compositional measurements made in the four major vegetation types are presented in this section. Each of the vegetation types is discussed in terms of general distribution, dominant species, salient physical characteristics, successional attributes, and land use.

Pinyon-Juniper Woodlands

General Location and Distribution

Open woodlands dominated by pinyon pine (*Pinus edulis*) and Utah juniper (*Juniperus osteosperma*) are a predominant vegetation type in the Intermountain Region. The woodlands occupy ridge crests, gentle knolls, and side slopes at higher elevations ($\geq 1500\text{m}$) throughout this floristic region (Cronquist et al. 1972). Pinyon-juniper woodlands are evergreen in nature and low in stature. Average height of the woodlands is between 8 and 15m.

Two subtypes of the woodlands occur on the LOFRECO site. Woodland stands on north and south-facing slopes are differentiated as a result of the extreme differences in exposure on these sites. The major structural differences in the two subtypes are tree density and development of the shrub and herb understories. Potential differences in the use of these sites by wildlife are another factor contributing to their differentiation (Pocket Figure 2).

South-Facing Pinyon-Juniper Woodlands

Structure and Composition

South-facing woodlands are sparse and open. There is little or no overlap of branches of individual trees. Mean tree density is 277 individuals per hectare, as compared with 590 individuals per hectare occurring in north-facing woodlands (Appendix A4). Utah juniper is the predominant species in the south-facing stands. Cover by trees averages 30% of the area sampled. Utah juniper accounts for a slightly greater proportion of the cover values (relative cover=59%) than pinyon pine (relative cover=43%). Juniper and pinyon densities are similar at 140 and 137 plants per hectare, respectively.

The importance value (relative frequency + relative cover + relative density $\leq 300\%$) for Utah juniper is 154% in the south-facing woodland sites sampled. Importance value for pinyon pine is 140% (Figure 2). 17

The shrub layer of the south-facing woodlands is sparse. The dominant shrub is big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*), which accounts for 15% of the total shrub cover. Total shrub cover for the area sampled averages 7%. Mean density of big sagebrush is 444 individuals per hectare (Appendix A3). Other species occurring in the shrub layer include saltbush (*Atriplex canescens*) and saplings of pinyon pine, Utah juniper, and Rocky Mountain juniper (*Juniperus scopulorum*). Prickly pear cactus (*Opuntia polyacantha*) also occurs, but at low values. Important half-shrubs found in the south-facing woodlands include snakeweed (*Gutierrezia sarothrae*), winter fat (*Ceratoides lanata*), pasture sage (*Artemisia frigida*), and prickly gilia (*Leptodactylon pungens*). These species occur at low levels, accounting for $<1\%$ of the total mean cover, collectively (Appendix A3). Total mean density of shrubs and half-shrubs is 3800 plants per hectare in the south-facing woodlands. For comparative purposes these values are 516 plant per hectare for north-facing woodlands, 15,350 plants per hectare for bottomland sagebrush-rabbitbrush stands, and 27,745 plants per hectare for upland sagebrush-wheatgrass stands (Appendix A). Figure 2 illustrates the range of importance values of various shrubs and half-shrubs sampled in the south-facing woodlands. This figure is also a comparison between vegetation types, showing the relative difference in importance of dominant shrubs, such as big sagebrush, between woodland types and sagebrush dominated stands.

The herb layer of the south-facing woodlands is also better developed than that occurring in the north-facing sites, although neither site has well developed herbaceous growth (Appendix A2 and Figure 3). Total cover by herbaceous species averages 5% for the stands sampled during 1978 and 1979. Rock contributes 25% of the total surface cover, while litter and soil have mean values of 33% and 36%, respectively (Figure 4).

The predominant perennial herb occurring in the south-facing woodlands is blue grama grass (*Bouteloua gracilis*). Blue grama evades drought as a result of its rhizomatous habit that creates dense mats. This species requires summer precipitation in order to flower and remains dormant during much of the growing season in the pinyon-juniper woodlands (Cronquist et al. 1977). Western wheatgrass (*Agropyron smithii*) also occurs in the south-facing woodlands, but is not common.

The most common herbaceous species in the woodlands are annuals, such as stickseed (*Lappula redowskii*), musineon (*Musineon divaricatum*), fever few (*Parthenium ligulatum*), tansy mustard (*Descurainnia pinnata*), and pig weed (*Chenopodium rubrum*). Scattered perennial forbs including false yarrow (*Chaenactis douglasii*), milk vetch (*Astragalus calycosa*), dwarf goldenweed (*Haplopappus acaulis*), and fleabane daisy (*Erigeron utahensis*) are also found.

Several species occurring in the south-facing woodlands require additional attention as a result of their importance or potential importance in this type. One shrub species -- mountain mahogany (*Cercocarpus montanus*)-- occurs sporadically in these sites. This important browse species is distributed in relation to critical soil factors (Greenwood and Brotherson 1978) involving shallow slick rock areas that occur along geological fractures that apparently run north-south along the eastern boundary of the LOFRECO site. Moisture stress in the fractured slick rock areas is apparently less than in the adjacent, slightly vesicular soils (Platt 1976), thus accounting for the successful, but limited germination and occurrence of mountain mahogany along the fracture.

Two herbaceous species play important dynamic roles in the woodlands. Blue grama grass and dwarf goldenweed represent a growth form that accounts for their relative importance and stability in these sites. Both species are perennials that form cushions. The large basal area formed by the cushions incorporates both living and dead plant material developed from the perennial root stocks, and also consists of fine soil material that is trapped as it is

19
moved over the surface by wind or water. The environment that is formed by the cushions is considerably more stable than that of the open pavement surface that dominates in the south-facing woodlands. The cushions also retain moisture and accumulate organic material. These features all contribute substantially to the perpetuation of blue grama grass and dwarf goldenweed during droughy years or cycles. Both species apparently become established during favorable years and spread slowly in a vegetative manner during periods when temperature and moisture conditions are marginal, but not extremely limiting.

A remaining feature of the cushions that imparts stability is that the deep tap roots provide a mechanism that avoids disruption of roots during the freeze-thaw cycles that are common to the open pavement surfaces of the woodlands. The cushions essentially "float" on the surface during these times of soil instability and are further protected as a result of the plants ability to remain dormant well into the growing season if adequate moisture is not available for resumption of growth.

The importance of the perennial, caespitose habit in the south-facing woodlands can be observed in most of the herbaceous species that occur on the sites sampled. Other species that display this habit are fever few, easter daisy (*Townsendia mensana*), and double bladderpod (*Physaria floribunda*). Annual species that are common to the woodlands occupy the areas of deeper soils and litter under or near trees. The occurrence of annual species on the open pavement zone is rare.

Primary Productivity

The woodland sites have the lowest herbaceous productivity of the vegetation types occurring on the LOFRECO site. The mean total primary production of the south-facing woodlands is 23Kg/Ha during 1978 and 14Kg/Ha for 1979, both measured during peak season (July) (Figure 5).

Primary production on the south-facing sites is comprised chiefly by blue grama grass and perennial forb species (milk vetch, fleabane daisy, and

dwarf goldenweed). These values average 11Kg/Ha (1978) and 9Kg/Ha (1979) for blue grama grass; and 3Kg/Ha (1978) and 4Kg/Ha (1979) for perennial forbs (Appendix A5). Annual forbs, such as stickseed and pig weed also contribute significantly to the standing crop of the woodlands (<1Kg/Ha, 1978; 6Kg/Ha, 1979) (Appendix A5). 20

Stability, Diversity, and Land Use

Pinyon-juniper woodlands are a climax vegetation type in the Intermountain Region. These woodlands are self-perpetuating; replacement of the tree layer due to old age and disease is an orderly successional progression. Cores taken from pinyon pines on the LOFRECO site give a range of age of mature trees from 111 to 368 years. Younger individuals and seedlings are also present in all stands in relatively low numbers, but proportional to mature tree densities.

The surface configuration of the south-facing woodlands is a factor that suggests low stability. During the winter the surface pavement is hardened and difficult to mark. During the frost-free months the pavement becomes loose. The loosening of the surface is apparently the result of heaving during freeze-thaw cycles in the early spring and the result of wetting during snow melt. Although the instable, dry (and somewhat vesicular) soils are the major factor responsible for the poorly-developed herb layer in the woodlands, this is an ecological feature perpetuated by natural conditions. No successional trend in the herb layer is implied by this configuration. Instead a cycle is maintained between dry and moist years, so that the plants occupying the herb layer remain in their niches, responding to the moisture cycles by slight increases and decreases in cover and density that ostensibly average out over long periods.

Utilization of the south-facing woodlands by herbivorous species is limited. Forage is severely limited, as is shrub browse. The areas may provide cover for cattle during the winter months and a limited, yet essential amount of forage when snow cover prevails on other less well-exposed sites. The woodlands are also used for wood cutting and recreation. Trails of dirt bikes and all-terrain vehicles are common.

Structure and Composition

Tree density on the north-facing woodland sites is somewhat higher than that of the south-facing sites discussed above, averaging 590 plants per hectare for the sites sampled. Pinyon pine is more predominant in these stands. Relative cover of pinyon is 55%, as opposed to 44% for Utah juniper. This is also reflected in importance value: 178% for pinyon and 120% for Utah juniper (Appendix A4). Total mean percent cover for all trees on the north-facing woodlands is 43% (as compared with 30% on the south-facing woodlands). The predominance of pinyon pine in these sites versus the south-facing woodlands is accounted for by the increased effectiveness of winter precipitation in the north-facing woodlands. Pinyon pine is characteristically favored on sites having adequate snow accumulation (Tueller and Clark 1975).

The shrub layer of the north-facing woodlands is poorly-developed. Mean cover for shrubs in the sampled stands is <1% (Appendix A4). Mean shrub density for the north-facing woodlands is 516 plants per hectare, including shrubs and half-shrubs. Big sagebrush is the predominant species in the shrub layer, although a substantial part of the total shrub cover is contributed by saplings of pinyon pine and Utah juniper. Important half-shrubs include snakeweed and pasture sage. Figure 2 illustrates the relative importance of shrubs in the north-facing stands. This figure also compares shrub species present and their importance between all stand types on the LOFRECO site.

The common perennial herbs in the north-facing stands include blue grama grass, thread-leaf sedge (*Carex filifolia*), and Indian ricegrass (*Oryzopsis hymenoides*). The herb layer is sparse, even in comparison with the south-facing woodlands. Mean herbaceous cover is 1%. Rock, litter, and soil account for 19%, 56%, and 19%, respectively (Figure 4 and Appendix A1). Common forbs include leafy sprurge (*Euphorbia robusta*), cryptanthe (*Cryptantha sericea*), fleabane daisy, pigweed, birdsbeak (*Cordylanthus wrightii*), and pepperweed (*Lepidium virginicum*) (Appendix A2). Green needle-and-thread (*Stipa viridula*) also occurs on the north-facing sites. Herb importance values for the north-facing woodlands are compared on Figure 3. This figure

also illustrates the comparative values of herb importance (relative frequency + relative density $\leq 200\%$) for all stands sampled.

In some of the more moist areas of the north-facing woodlands isolated individuals of snowberry (*Symphoricarpos oreophilus*) and elder (*Sambucus* sp.) occur in depressions downslope from rock outcrops or along fractures. Mountain mahogany is also found in small, isolated stands along fractures. The existence and distribution of these species once again illustrates the limiting relationship between soil moisture and shrub growth on the LOFRECO site.

Primary Productivity

Primary herbaceous productivity in the north-facing woodland stands sampled is dominated by blue grama grass (4Kg/Ha, 1978; 3Kg/Ha, 1979). Total primary herbaceous production for the north-facing woodland sites sampled is 20Kg/Ha, 1978 and 42Kg/Ha 1979, measured during peak season (July). Annual forbs and perennial grasses (primarily thread-leaf sedge) also contribute to the total herbaceous standing crop of these sites. Figure 5 illustrates the comparison of north-facing woodland stands for 1978 and 1979 sampling periods and also illustrates comparative values for all stands sampled on the LOFRECO site.

Stability, Diversity, and Land Use

The north-facing woodlands, like their south-facing analogue, are a well established climax type. The north-facing woodlands are similar to the south-facing stands in terms of age and orderly cycles or trends. The surface instability of the south-facing woodlands does not occur on the north-facing slopes, however. The sparseness of the herb and shrub layer on north-facing slopes is the combined product of shallow channery soils and interception of sunlight and moisture by the more dense tree canopy.

Utilization of the north-facing woodlands is limited. These areas provide cover for large herbivores and nesting areas for song birds. The sparse herb and shrub layer do not provide significant forage or browse. The woodlands are also used for wood cutting and minor recreation.

Big Sagebrush Shrublands

General Location and Distribution

Vegetation types dominated by big sagebrush occupy extensive areas of the LOFRECO site formed from alluvium and mixed alluvial and colluvial soil materials. Two big sagebrush vegetation types occur on the site:

- sagebrush-rabbitbrush lowlands (*Artemisia tridentata*-*Chrysothamnus nauseosus*)
- sagebrush-wheatgrass uplands (*Artemisia tridentata*-*Agropyron smithii*)

The two types are ordered along a gradient that corresponds to the relatively narrow valleys that dissect the LOFRECO site. The gradient follows these drainages from the deeper and more narrow areas (sagebrush-rabbitbrush lowlands) to the broad, sloping upland origins of the valleys (Pocket Figure 2). Although both types are dominated by big sagebrush, the density and stature of the shrub layer is markedly different between the two. The upland type is characterized by a low, dwarfed shrub layer that is approximately one-fourth as dense as the lowland type. The open canopy of the upland sites is more conducive to the development of herbaceous species than is the dense, closed canopy of the lowland type.

Big Sagebrush-Rabbitbrush Lowlands

Structure and Composition

Big sagebrush-dominated lowland sites are characterized by a dense shrub overstory. Big sagebrush accounts for 87% of the shrub cover of this type, and 74% of the shrub density (Appendix A3). Total shrub cover in the lowland stands is 43%. The mean density of shrubs in all sites sampled is 15,350 plants per hectare (Appendix A3).

The shrub overstory of the bottomland sites is essentially double, a secondary level being produced by rabbitbrush (*Chrysothamnus nauseosus*) below the taller big sagebrush. Saltbush is also found in the secondary layer. Half-shrubs common to the bottomland stands include snakeweed, pasture sage, and winter fat.

The herbaceous layer of the sagebrush lowlands is dominated by annual forbs, such as ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*), stickseed, tansy mustard, tumble mustard (*Sisymbrium elegans*), and lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album*). (Appendix A2). This layer is very sparse, as a result of the high density and cover of the shrub overstory. Total mean herbaceous cover for the stands sampled is 12%. Cover values for litter, soil, and rock are 55%, 24%, and 2%, respectively (Appendix A1) (Figure 4).

Primary Productivity

The sagebrush-rabbitbrush lowlands rank second in primary herbaceous plant productivity for the LOFRECO site having mean values of 133Kg/Ha, 1978 and 15 Kg/Ha, 1979 for peak season samples (July). These values are due almost entirely to the growth of annuals. Figure 5 illustrates the differences in primary production between 1978 and 1979 between control and development plots and offers comparisons among lowland sites and all other sites sampled.

Stability, Diversity, and Land Use

Lowland stands dominated by big sagebrush are widespread in the Intermountain Region. Individual stands are characteristically homogeneous and mature in terms of the shrub layer. Structurally all size and age classes of the dominant shrub species are present including seedlings, saplings, and dead, decaying plants. These features suggest an orderly and cyclic replacement operating within the type.

The herbaceous layer, on the other hand, is poorly-developed and cycles in composition on a year-to-year basis. The annual forb dominance of this layer is the probable result of overgrazing of these sites (Vale 1975). This condition is perpetuated by the dense, closed shrub canopy. The canopy limits the amount of light that reaches the surface and intercepts a substantial amount of the rainfall (West and Gifford 1976). In addition, the density of shrubs sets up severe competition for the limited soil nutrient resources of these sites. Litter fall from sagebrush increases the toxigen concentration of the soil and further limits the growth of herbaceous species, particularly in terms of germinating perennials (Halligan 1976).

The lowland sites are utilized by cattle and deer as a source of browse. Lagomorphs inhabit the areas. Rabbit runs and burrows are relatively common. The lowlands offer a limited and seasonal source of forage for large herbivores. Observations of cattle presence shows that little use is made of these areas after the spring season.

Sagebrush-Wheatgrass Uplands

Structure and Composition

The big sagebrush that dominate the upland sites are an ecotypic variation of the species (Cronquist et al. 1972). The dwarf sagebrush plants that inhabit the uplands differ markedly in stature and density (Pocket Figure 2 and Appendix A3). Sagebrush density in the upland sites is 27% of the total shrub density of 27,745 plants per hectare. Sagebrush cover values average 50% of the total shrub cover for the sites sampled. Actual sagebrush cover values are 8% of the total area sampled (Appendix A3). Figure 2 shows the importance values of all shrub species in the upland sites. The average height of sagebrush plants in the upland sites is 0.5m, as compared with 2m in the lowland sites sampled.

Saltbush is also present at high frequencies within the upland sagebrush type. Half-shrubs form a secondary shrub layer including species, such as winter fat, pasture sage, snakeweed, prickly pear, and nipple cactus (*Coryphantha vivipara*).

The herb layer of the upland sagebrush type is the most well-developed of any on the LOFRECO site. The dominants in the herb layer are perennial grasses including blue grama grass, western wheatgrass, Sandberg's bluegrass (*Poa sandbergii*), and Indian ricegrass. Blue grama grass and western wheatgrass comprise 57% of the total herb density of the uplands (Appendix A2). Total mean cover by herbaceous species is 28% of the area sampled. Other common herbaceous species occurring in these sites are copper globemallow (*Sphaeralcea coccinea*), long-leaf phlox (*Phlox longifolia*), fleabane daisy, larkspur (*Delphinium nelsonii*), and sandwort (*Arenaria eastwoodiae*). Annual forbs and grasses are also common including stickseed, tansy mustard, lamb's-quarters, and cheat grass (*Bromus tectorum*). Cover by soil, litter, and

rock are 52%, 31%, and <1%, respectively. (Appendix A1 and Figure 4).

Primary Productivity

The relatively high development of the herbaceous layer in the upland sagebrush-wheatgrass sites is reflected in the primary herbaceous production of this vegetation type. The uplands have the highest productivity of the LOFRECO site with values of 311Kg/Ha, 1978 and 564Kg/Ha, 1979 for peak season sampling (July). Blue grama grass accounts for 7% of this amount, western wheatgrass comprises 33% of the total herbaceous productivity, while annuals equal 13% of the total amount measured. Half-shrubs account for 28% of this amount. Shrub production (new growth) was measured on these sites during 1979, only. Sagebrush production was 267Kg/Ha, while saltbush was 547Kg/Ha.

Stability, Diversity, and Land Use

The sagebrush-wheatgrass uplands are the most diverse vegetation type on the LOFRECO site. This feature is true of both the shrub and herb layers. Herbaceous plant diversity is the most outstanding feature, however (Appendix A2).

The structure of the shrub layer indicates a high level of maturity and orderly replacement of individuals. This layer is apparently essentially stable, although the grazing pressure on these sites may prevent true climax from occurring. The herb layer also appears to exhibit this feature of essential balance, although it is also retarded in terms of climax by grazing and surface compaction associated with domestic cattle. The essential functional organization of the upland sites is that of shrub islands that alternate with open intershrub areas. The shrub islands are co-occupied by the plants that form them and herbaceous species that root in the zone of litter and soil accumulation at the bases of the shrubs. These environments are the most favorable and stable micro-niches within the upland stands.

The intershrub spaces are occupied by a sparse cover of perennial grasses, half-shrubs, and annual forbs. As a result of soil compaction caused by animal traffic and diurnal temperature changes and the effects of soil and wind erosion these areas are in a perpetual state of disturbance. The

character of the herb layer within the upland type is subject to yearly variations based on temperature and moisture. The resulting cycles are characterized by fluctuations in the annual forb population density and productivity of the perennial grasses and half-shrubs. This variation is reflected in the values obtained during 1978 and 1979 sampling periods as illustrated on Figure 2 through 5.

The upland sagebrush sites are utilized by large herbivores, particularly domestic cattle, as a source of limited, but important forage. Few signs of browse are encountered in these sites, either by cattle or mule deer. Mans' use of the upland areas is apparent in various ways. Numerous roadways and tracks are in evidence. The uplands are also used for locating corrals, trailer courts, and drill pads.

TABLE 1
LIST OF VASCULAR PLANTS
OCCURRING ON THE LOFRECO SITE

<u>Scientific Name</u>	<u>Common Name</u>
<u>Trees:</u>	
<i>Juniperus osteosperma</i>	Utah juniper
<i>Juniperus scopulorum</i>	Rocky Mountain juniper
<i>Pinus edulis</i>	pinyon pine
<u>Shrubs, Half-Shrubs, and Stem Succulents:</u>	
<i>Artemisia cana</i>	silver sagebrush
<i>Artemisia frigida</i>	pasture sage
<i>Artemisia tridentata</i>	big sagebrush
<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	saltbush
<i>Cercocarpus lanata</i> = <i>Eurotia lanata</i>	winter fat
<i>Cercocarpus montanus</i>	mountain mahogany
<i>Chrysothamnus depressus</i>	dwarf rabbitbrush
<i>Chrysothamnus Greenei</i>	Greene's rabbitbrush
<i>Chrysothamnus Greenei Greenei</i>	Greene's rabbitbrush
<i>Chrysothamnus nauseosus</i>	rubber rabbitbrush
<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus elegans</i>	small rabbitbrush
<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</i>	stick-leaf rabbitbrush
<i>Coryphantha vivipara</i> = <i>Mammillaria vivipara</i>	nipple cactus
<i>Ephedra viridis</i>	green ephedra
<i>Gutierrezia sarothrae</i> = <i>Xanthocephalum sarothrae</i>	snakeweed
<i>Leptodactylon pungens</i>	prickly gilia
<i>Opuntia polyacantha</i>	prickly pear cactus
<i>Purshia tridentata</i>	antelope bitterbrush
<i>Sambucus</i> sp.	elder
<i>Symphoricarpos oreophilus</i>	snowberry
<i>Tetradymia canescens</i>	horsebrush
<i>Tetradymia spinosa</i>	spiny horsebrush
<i>Yucca biglovei</i>	yucca
<u>Herbs:</u>	
<i>Agropyron cristatum</i>	crested wheatgrass
<i>Agropyron smithii</i>	western wheatgrass
<i>Agropyron spicatum</i>	bluebunch wheatgrass
<i>Allium geyeri</i>	wild onion
<i>Ambrosia artemisifolia</i>	ragweed
<i>Antennaria parvifolia</i>	pussytoes
<i>Arabis divaricarpa</i>	rockcress

TABLE 1 (CONTINUED)

<u>Scientific Name</u>	<u>Common Name</u>
<u>Herbs:</u>	
<i>Arenaria compacta</i>	dwarf sandwort
<i>Arenaria eastwoodiae</i>	sandwort
<i>Aster arenosus</i> = <i>Leucelene ericoides</i>	aster
<i>Aster fremontii</i>	Fremont's aster
<i>Astragalus calycosa</i>	milkvetch
<i>Astragalus kentrophyta</i>	skeleton milkvetch
<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	blue grama grass
<i>Bromus tectorum</i>	cheat grass
<i>Calochortus gunnisonii</i>	sego lily
<i>Carex filifolia</i>	thread-leaf sedge
<i>Casteilleja chromosa</i>	Indian paintbrush
<i>Chaenactis douglasii</i>	false yarrow
<i>Chenopodium album</i>	lamb'squarters
<i>Chenopodium rubrum</i>	pig weed
<i>Cordylanthus wrightii</i>	birdsbeak
<i>Crepis acuminata</i>	hawksbeard
<i>Cryptantha flavoculata</i>	cryptanthe
<i>Cryptantha sericea</i>	cryptanthe
<i>Dephinium nelsonii</i>	larkspur
<i>Descurainia pinnata</i>	tansy mustard
<i>Draba brachycarpa</i>	whitlowgrass
<i>Enceliopsis nutans</i> (rare, restricted)	sunray
<i>Erigeron utahensis</i>	Utah fleabane daisy
<i>Eriogonum caespitosum</i>	prostrate knotweed
<i>Eriogonum ephedroides</i> (threatened)	ephedra buckwheat
<i>Eriogonum kearnyi</i>	knotweed
<i>Euphorbia robusta</i>	leafy spurge
<i>Erysimum capitatum</i>	wallflower
<i>Haplopappus acaulis</i>	stemless goldenweed
<i>Haplopappus nuttallii</i>	goldenweed
<i>Hilaria jamesia</i>	galleta
<i>Hordeum jubatum</i>	foxtail barley
<i>Hymenoxys acaulis</i>	actinea
<i>Iva xanthifolia</i>	marsh-elder
<i>Lappula redowskii</i>	stickseed
<i>Lepidium virginianum</i>	pepperweed
<i>Linum lewisia</i>	false flax
<i>Lithospermum ruderales</i>	puccoon
<i>Musineon divaricatum</i>	leafy musineon
<i>Oenothera caespitosa</i>	evening primrose
<i>Oenothera strigosa</i>	yellow evening primrose
<i>Oryzopsis hymenoides</i>	Indian ricegrass
<i>Oryzopsis micrantha</i>	little ricegrass
<i>Parthenium ligulatum</i> (endangered)	fever few
<i>Penstemon grahamii</i> (endangered)	Graham's beardtongue
<i>Penstemon strictus</i>	penstemon
<i>Physaria floribunda</i>	double bladderpod
<i>Phlox longifolia</i>	long-leaf phlox

TABLE 1 (CONCLUDED)

Scientific NameCommon NameHerbs:

<i>Poa sandbergii</i>	Sandberg's bluegrass
<i>Salsola iberica</i>	Russian thistle
<i>Senecio multilobatus</i>	groundsel
<i>Sisymbrium altissimum</i>	tumble mustard
<i>Sisymbrium elegans</i>	tumble mustard
<i>Sisymbrium linifolium</i>	tumble mustard
<i>Sitanion longifolium</i>	squirreltail grass
<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	copper globemallow
<i>Stipa viridula</i>	green needle-and-thread
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	dandelion
<i>Townsendia mensana</i> (threatened)	easter daisy
<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	mullein

Figure 2
 COMPARISON OF IMPORTANCE VALUES
 FOR IMPORTANT SHRUB AND TREE SPECIES
 LOFRECO FIELD RESEARCH SITE

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS

AGSM	Agropyron Smithii
BOGR	Boutelous Gracilis
BRTE	Bromus Tectorum
CHAL	Chenopodium Album
LARE	Lappula Redowskii
LEVI	Lepidium Virginianum
ORHY	Oryzopsis Micrantha
POSA	Poa Sandbergii
SPCO	Sphaeralcea

Figure 3

COMPARISON OF IMPORTANCE VALUES
FOR IMPORTANT HERB SPECIES
LOFRECO FIELD RESEARCH SITE

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS

BRYO Lichens and Mosses
 HERB Herbaceous Species
 LITR Litter
 ROCK Rock
 SHRB Shrub
 SOIL Soil

Figure 4

COMPARISON OF GROUND COVER VALUES
 FOR THE FOUR MAJOR VEGETATION TYPES
 LOFRECO FIELD RESEARCH SITE

Figure 5
 MEAN PRIMARY HERBACEOUS PRODUCTIVITY
 PER STAND
 LOFRECO SITE—1978 & 1979

ECOCLIMATOLOGICAL MONITORING STUDIES

Ecoclimate data obtained during the 1978 and 1979 growing seasons are presented in Appendix C. General descriptions of the ecoclimate of each vegetation type occurring on the study area are given in terms of temperature and moisture characteristics. Comparisons between station pairs and between selected non-station pairs are also presented.

General Characteristics

Ecoclimate is essentially controlled by the structural features residing in vegetation and soils. The most distinct controlling feature relating vegetation to ecoclimate is canopy cover. The vegetation canopy influences temperatures in both soils and air. The extent of the canopy also affects the amount of rainfall reaching the surface. Soil characteristics, particularly texture, affect available moisture. The sum of these effects are controls on light, evaporation, and soil moisture.

The influences created by vegetation and soils act within a zone known as the boundary layer. This is the zone between the uppermost extent of the vegetation canopy and the lower-most extent of soil. Within the boundary layer plant densities, vertical layering of vegetation growing at different heights, and life-form categories of plants are directly influenced by the ecoclimate.

The interaction between parameters in the ecoclimate are of high significance. Although vegetation structure contributes significantly to ecoclimate conditions, soil characteristics are important determinants to the types of plants that are capable of growing and reproducing in an area. The regional and local climatic conditions of a site contribute the basic ingredients that are acted upon by vegetation and soils. All of these contributions make up the ecoclimatic conditions that are unique to vegetation types and their associated soils.

On the LOFRECO site ecoclimates can be defined generally as partitions of the following controlling factors in order of their importance and

site-specific influence.

- a regional arid climate characterized by low precipitation (occurring principally as snow) and by high insolation;
- distributions of two distinct soil regimes that influence the distribution and character of the vegetation, as a result of poor soil development and water availability on the one hand, and significant levels of toxic soil chemicals, on the other;
- ensuing vegetational distributions that interact with climate and soils to produce distinct ecoclimates on the site.

In order to isolate the importance of the factors listed above on the ecoclimates on the LOFRECO site we can further partition the parameters as follows:

- insolation appears to be the most significant single factor acting as a driving variable of the regional climate;
- precipitation is an important factor also, but demonstrates some substantial local variations over the site;
- soil type influences the availability of soil moisture, but is more directly important to vegetation distributions in terms of alluvial soils and residual soil categories and their associated soil chemistry regimes; and
- vegetation canopy influences buffer the above effects: insolation is variously controlled by canopy types, as is precipitation and soil moisture.

The overall effect is an interface between temperature and moisture controlled by insolation at the ground surface and differentiated by canopy cover.

The discussion of each of the vegetation types in terms of ecoclimate illustrates the system of controls and their partitions in the manner in which ecoclimates are differentiated.

Temperatures recorded at the soil surface show a general pattern of warming beginning in April and ending in late October. Mean temperatures are greatest in July in all sites (Figures 6 through 9).

Ambient air temperatures recorded at the maximum height of the canopy follow the same season pattern of soil surface temperatures, but attain higher values. There is some lag between soil temperatures and air temperatures. This is expected since soils warm more slowly and generally retain their heat for a longer period.

The average maximum soil surface temperatures reached during the growing season are 47°C and 48°C (in the south-facing pinyon-juniper woodland stands) for 1978 and 1979 respectively; 43°C in the north-facing woodlands (1978 only); 50°C and 49°C, in sagebrush-rabbitbrush lowlands; and 44°C and 48°C in the sagebrush-wheatgrass uplands (Appendix C).

Minimum soil temperatures for the vegetation types are 12°C and 10°C (1978, 1979); 13°C; 10°C and 7°C; and 14°C and 11°C, respectively.

Air temperature maximums are 33°C and 43°C (1978, 1979); 32°C, 34°C and 46°C; and 35°C and 37°C, respectively. Air temperature minimums are 12°C and 8°C (1978, 1979); 9°C; 8°C and 5°C; and 10°C and 8°C, respectively (Appendix C).

Figure 10 illustrates the growing season pattern of air and soil temperature maximums and minimums. This figure shows that maximum soil temperatures are reached in sagebrush-rabbitbrush lowlands. All other sites are similar in terms of this parameter. Maximum air temperatures vary little between sites. The most notable factor in both soil and air temperature maximums are apparent in the warming and cooling trends. The sagebrush-grass uplands warm earlier in the season than other sites; are sustained throughout the season, but do not have excessive values; and remain warm later in the season than other sites. This trend is controlled by the canopy cover through its effects on vertical mixing

of air within the canopy, and is further contributed to by vegetation cover in the herbaceous layer.

The low stature of the shrub layer in the upland sites favors surface heating as soon as the seasonal climatic warming trend begins in the spring. The fine-textured soils and relatively high herbaceous cover add to the heat storage capacity in the upland sites, as well. Since these sites tend to receive more effective precipitation, both as snow and rain (also a result of the open canopy), the additional water retained in soils tends to dampen diurnal changes in soil surface temperatures. Vertical mixing of air within the boundary layer is also greatest in the upland sites. Well-developed mixing between soil and air allows the surface to cool along a steady gradient, thereby reducing overheating of the soil. The low stature of the vegetation also favors good lateral mixing; warm air at the top of the canopy and within the canopy is constantly circulated so that soil is not reheated.

Sagebrush-rabbitbrush lowlands, on the other hand, represent the opposite end of the scale of shrub canopy-induced effects on the ecoclimatic heat budget. The lowland sites have the most dense canopies of all vegetation types on the LOFRECO site. The relatively closed canopy of these sites retards heating of air within the canopy and of the soil surface during the beginning of the growing season. Temperatures eventually attained are chiefly the result of downward convection of heat accumulated at the surface of the canopy. Lateral air currents also bring in air warmed at other sites. Peaks in air and soil temperatures are created by warm air trapped in the canopy; a result of poor vertical mixing and retarded lateral air movement. Both of these effects are results of high canopy cover and shrub density. Relatively rapid and early cooling are the combined result of canopy cover and cold air drainage. Cool air drains downward from up valley positions and valley sides to initiate cooling trends that are perpetuated by the canopy interception of insolation during the daytime hours.

Pinyon-juniper sites are on mid-scale relative to canopy effects on air and soil temperatures. Several important differences between the woodlands and the lowland sites occur. First, the canopy of the woodland sites is more open and higher than the lowland canopy. More vertical and lateral mixing occurs in the woodlands. Slow warming and early cooling in the woodlands is controlled by soil type, rather than strictly by insolation blocking. The coarse, light-colored soils have little heat storage, as well as relatively high reflectivity, as compared with other sites. The woodland soils are more rapidly cooled by the heat exchange occurring within the boundary layer.

South-facing woodland sites warm more rapidly than north-facing sites as a result of their predominant southerly exposure. For this reason the south-facing sites also reach higher maximum temperatures during the growing season. There is a greater degree of diurnal variation on the south-facing slopes, as well. Greater tree densities on the north-facing slopes dampen extreme heating and cooling trends, much like the lowlands, but to a lesser extent, because of the increased canopy cover.

The influences of canopy cover and soil type also represent feedback mechanisms, as previously discussed. The greatest significance of feedback are its effects on vegetation.

The soil characteristics that influence the nature of the shrub and herb layer of the upland sites participate directly in their perpetuation. The relatively mild soil environment characterized by fine textured, relatively deep, and non-toxic soil nutrient regimes provide a medium that will support open shrub communities co-dominated by herbaceous plants. The relatively mild ecoclimatic conditions afforded by the plant structure and composition provide the longest growing season of the vegetation types occurring on the site. The least over-all environmental stresses due to limiting factors of high temperatures; extreme diurnal temperature change; and low effective precipitation and soil moisture availability

occur in these upland sites.

In the lowland sites the more adverse soil characteristics have influenced the development of dense shrub stands. Sagebrush has attained greater densities and stature in the lowland sites. The high sagebrush densities are, in part, a result of decreased competition with herbaceous plants that are unable to tolerate the limiting soil environment. The dense canopy perpetuates many of these effects, as well as producing the limiting factors of higher soil moisture stress through high water demand, lowered effective surface precipitation, and reduced surface light.

Sites dominated by woodlands favor the tree species found, due to shallow, coarse-textured and well drained residual soils. The woodlands are perpetuated on these sites because of lowered competition with herbaceous plants and shrubs. Germination and spread of shrubs and herbs is decreased under the environmental stress conditions of low available soil moisture and poor soil nutrient status. Soil moisture conditions are the combined result of well drained soils, high insolation, and high surface evaporation. The pinyons and junipers that dominate the woodlands effectively avoid the stresses associated with these conditions because they rely on snow melt, water held in rock strata, and because of morphological adaptations that lower internal temperatures and water loss from tissues.

Precipitation

Rainfall recorded during the 1978 and 1979 growing seasons are summarized in Figure 11 and Table 2. Total rainfall for the period of record was 9.5cm during 1978 and 6.6cm during 1979. Precipitation was greatest in all during the months of May and June. November was the lowest precipitation month of record.

Winter precipitation contributes significantly to the growth of trees and shrubs in the Intermountain region. Late spring and early summer rains are of great importance to the resumption of herbaceous growth.

Average precipitation values obtained from Bradley (1976) for this region is 24.2cm per year (3.8cm in spring, 5.1cm in summer, 5.1cm in fall, and 10.2cm in winter). The precipitation values obtained on the LOFRECO site during the summer season in 1978 are significantly lower at between 2.5cm and 4.5cm, and in 1979 at between 0.5cm and 6.6cm.

The differences in precipitation values recorded at the eight eco-log sites (Figure 11) reveals no definite pattern. Instead, there are evidences of local effects on precipitation, such as random movements of thunder storms across the site, variable wind directions associated with different storm events, and local differences in topography.

The only apparent trends are related to canopy and topography. The high values recorded at south-facing pinyon-juniper stands and upland sagebrush apparently relate to the interception of wind-borne precipitation by the canopy (Tueller and Clark 1975, West and Gifford 1976). The higher elevation of the south-facing sites also influences this phenomenon in comparison with the north-facing sites and lowland sites. Lowland sites are expected to show lower values also as a result of direct interception of rainfall by sagebrush leaves. The upland sites are subject to loss of recorded values due to wind blow over. These effects are somewhat over-ridden by the lack of foliar interception.

South-facing pinyon-juniper woodlands received the greatest amount of rainfall during the 1978 growing season (9.5cm). Sagebrush uplands rank second in this order (8.0cm), followed by lowland sites (6.8cm) and north facing pinyon-juniper woodlands (5.4cm). During 1979 the south-facing woodland stations received less rainfall than the upland sagebrush stands: 3.5cm and 5.9cm, respectively. Lowland sagebrush stations recorded an average of 4cm of precipitation. No recordings were made in north-facing woodlands. Although the variation in recorded precipitation makes it difficult to distinguish between local storm movement patterns and ecoclimatic effects, it is still possible to make statements regarding effective precipitation. Canopy cover is responsible for some of the low values recorded in the lowlands and north-facing woodlands. The intercepted

moisture remains of importance to the vegetation of these sites, but is used by shrubs and trees to the significant exclusion of the herb layer. In upland sites the loss of recorded rainfall due to wind can be estimated at as much as 20 percent, so that as much from 5cm to 10cm have been actually received. This moisture is also more effectively used by the herb layer, due to the sparse nature of the shrub layer. High values in the south-facing woodlands suggest less foliar interception, and, therefore direct use by trees. Rainfall received at the surface of the south-facing sites is highly subject to rapid loss by infiltration and evaporation. The latter feature is discussed below.

Available Moisture

In ecoclimatic terms, precipitation values are used to estimate the moisture input to the soil vegetation system that preeminates in interacting with ecoclimatic controls. The understanding of how this moisture is made available and used within the ecoclimate requires refinements of the moisture regime that precipitation contributes to.

Three basic properties are involved in defining the moisture budget of a given ecoclimate: 1) precipitation, 2) soil matric tension, and 3) surface evaporation potential. Precipitation has been previously discussed. Soil matric tension refers to the manner in which an individual soil holds moisture. The moisture in a soil that is available to absorption by plants is the difference between the ability of soil to maintain internal tensions that hold water (matric tension or suction) and the ability of plants to develop counter tensions in their root tissues. Soil water is traditionally assumed to be available to plants at soil tensions between -15 Bar (permanent wilting coefficient) and -0.33 Bar (field capacity) (Brady 1974). Theoretically, plants are unable to absorb water held in soils at tensions greater than -15 Bar. Studies of water potential conducted on arid environment vegetation have shown that many of these plants can develop internal tissue pressures that are sufficient to absorb water held at pressures in the soil far in excess of -15 Bar. For examples Dina, et al (1973) report seasonal minimum water potentials for big sagebrush at -70 Bar. Branson et al. (1976) report

maximum seasonal values of -177 Bar for saltbush; corresponding to soil tensions are shown at -85 Bar. Branson also reported maximum tensions for big sagebrush at -60 Bar, rabbitbrush at -40 Bar, and winter fat at -90 Bar. This information indicates that the major shrub species on the LOFRECO site are well-adapted to the soil moisture stresses reported below.

Figures 12 and 15 are soil desorption curves constructed from soil analyses for the four major vegetation types on the LOFRECO site. The desorption, or soil moisture, curves are plotted from laboratory data against a logarithmic scale using curve-fitting. The curves represent an approximation of the behavior of soils under drying conditions. Using the precipitation records it is possible to estimate soil moisture tensions during the growing season. Estimates obtained in this manner from the 1978 data[†] show that the greatest soil moisture stresses occur in the south-facing sites and are followed by north-facing woodlands in this respect. Lowland sagebrush sites show considerably lesser moisture stresses; upland sites have the least soil moisture stress.

Calculated values for available soil moisture revise this order somewhat (Table 3). Using the data tabulated on Table 3 the available water capacity was calculated for each soil that represents a distinct ecoclimate on the site. Values were determined using the data gathered from the soil moisture control section of each soil type. The soil moisture control section is roughly defined by soil texture: the finer the texture of predominant particles, the more shallow the moisture control zone. The control section is used as a guideline for determining soil porosity, as well as for determining the ability of a soil to develop high internal tension, and therefore retain water as unavailable to plants. Available water tends to increase as soil texture becomes finer. Sandy soils are generally lowest in available moisture; clays greatest (Brady 1974). The flow of water through soils (saturated and unsaturated) is also influenced by texture. More unsaturated flow occurs in fine textured soils, while more saturated flow occurs in coarse soils. This feature relates not only to

[†] 1978 and 1979 precipitation differences are considered insignificant to these calculations

moisture availability, but also to evaporative losses. Coarse soils tend to have higher conductivities and supply more moisture to plants and to evaporation. Evaporative losses can extend to depths of up to 60cm. This type of loss is generally equal to 75% of the annual rainfall of a semi arid region, such as the LOFRECO site.

The available water capacity values (AWC) given in Table 4 must be adjusted for soil evaporative losses in order to be adequate indicators of moisture. Adjusting these values by using a ratio of potential evaporation to AWC gives a better indication of soil water status. For the lowland sagebrush sites this ratio equals 30% (Table 4) ($5.7\text{ml Potential Evaporation, PE, per day divided by an AWC of } 18.2\text{cm H}_2\text{O, times } 100$). In other words, 30% of the available water capacity in the soil (in this case calculated to a depth of 112cm) is potentially lost to evaporation. The precipitation values for the lowland sites were recorded at a total of 6.8 cm for the 1978 summer season. Evaporative losses of this precipitation are equal to 2cm of moisture. Similar values are obtained for 1979 values. In the sagebrush upland sites the ratio is equal to 70%, or 5.6cm. In woodland sites 70% or 3.8cm of moisture are potentially lost to evaporation for north-facing woodland sites, and up to 110% of the soil moisture can be lost to evaporation from the south-facing woodlands. Potential evaporation values used were taken from Olgeirson (1977). It is important to note that these values were obtained from open soil surfaces and are significantly reduced by vegetation cover. The real value of the determination of potential evaporative losses relates to the moisture stresses that can develop in the different ecoclimates of the site. This information can be applied to the causative relationship between plant growth and ecoclimate, and be used in treating surfaces during revegetation.

Figure 6
 TEMPERATURE SUMMARY - LOFRECO SITE
 SOUTH FACING PINYON - JUNIPER WOODLAND
 (STATIONS 10 and 11)
 1978-1979

Figure 7
 TEMPERATURE SUMMARY - LOFRECO SITE
 NORTH FACING PINYON - JUNIPER WOODLAND
 (STATIONS 20 and 21)
 1978

Figure 8
 TEMPERATURE SUMMARY - LOFRECO SITE
 SAGEBRUSH - SALT BUSH LOWLANDS
 (STATIONS 30 and 31)
 1978-1979

°C AIR TEMPERATURE

STATION 40

STATION 41

°C SOIL SURFACE TEMPERATURE

Figure 9

TEMPERATURE SUMMARY - LOFRECO SITE
SAGEBRUSH - GRASS UPLANDS
(STATIONS 40 and 41)
1978-1979

—●— 1978 Data
- - -●- - 1979 Data

Figure 10
MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM TEMPERATURES
ECOCIMATE STATIONS-LOFRECO-1978 & 1979

- Slight Differences
Between 1978
& 1979 Data
- Air Temperature
- Soil Temperature
- Extrapolated air
- ... Extrapolated soil

Figure 11

PRECIPITATION SUMMARY FOR THE ECO-LOG
STATION PAIRS—LOFRECO—1978 & 1979

Figure 12

SOIL MOISTURE DESORPTION CURVE
SOUTH FACING PINYON-JUNIPER WOODLANDS
(LR LOAM)

Figure 13
SOIL MOISTURE DESORPTION CURVE
NORTH-FACING PINYON-JUNIPER WOODLANDS
(JR LOAM)

Figure 14
 SOIL MOISTURE DESORPTION CURVE
 SAGEBRUSH-SALTBUSH LOWLANDS
 (HAVRE LOAM)

Figure 15
SOIL MOISTURE DESORPTION CURVE
SAGEBRUSH - GRASS UPLANDS
(LUHON LOAM)

TABLE 2
PRECIPITATION (cm) SUMMARY
1978-1979
LOFRECO SITE

Month	Ecoclimate Data Collection Station							
	E-10	E-11	E-20	E-21	E-30	E-31	E-40	E-41
April	*/*	*/*	*/*	*/*	*/1.78	*/*	*/3.04	*/*
May	6.6/3.12	1.5/.05	1.6/*	3.7/*	2.2/2.13	0.7/1.7	2.5/2.59	0.7/2.36
June	2.8/.28	1.7/.08	0.6/*	2.0/*	1.8/.25	2.0/.10	2.4/.28	2.1/.30
July	0.1/.07	0.2/0.0	0.1/*	0.1/*	0.2/.08	0.2/.03	0.2/.03	0.3/.08
August	1.8/2.66	2.0/.13	0.6/*	1.6/*	2.0/1.67	2.0/.25	2.0/1.4	2.0/2.0
September	0.1/.03	0.1/.05	0.1/*	0.4/*	0.3/.25	0.2/.03	0.2/.03	0.2/.03
October	1.1/.25	0.8/.15	0.0/*	0.0/*	0.0/1.29	1.0/.10	1.0/.91	1.0/1.68
November	0.05/*	0.03/*	*/*	*/*	*/*	0.1/*	0.05/*	*/*
Total	12.6/6.58	6.3/.46	3.0/*	7.8/*	7.2/5.72	6.3/2.21	9.7/5.21	6.3/6.61
Mean	1.8/1.06	0.9/.08	0.5/*	1.3/*	1.0/.96	0.9/.38	1.2/.86	1.1/1.07
Vegetation Type Mean	1.4/.55		.9/ *		.95/ .67		1.2/ .96	

1978/ 1979
*- NO DATA RECORD

Table 3
AVAILABLE SOIL MOISTURE SUMMARY
FOR THE FOUR SOIL TYPES
CORRESPONDING TO ECOCLIMATE STATIONS
LOFRECO SITE
1978

STATION @ #		BULK DENSITY	1/3 BAR(-)-15 BAR (X)		SAMPLE DEPTH(=)	% + AWC	P.E. * cm/cm
10	11	1.41	26	13	25	4.6	5.1
20	21	1.40	26	14	46	7.7	5.1
30	31	1.48	24	13	112	18.2	5.7
40	41	1.48	24	13	58	9.4	6.3

@ See figures 12 through 15 for explanation
 + Percent Available Water Capacity
 * Potential Evaporation, cm H₂O/cm Soil (after Olgerson 1977)

Table 4
POTENTIAL EVAPORATION SUMMARY
(ADJUSTED FOR PERCENT AVAILABLE WATER CAPACITY)
ECOCLIMATE STATIONS 10 - 41
LOFRECO SITE
1978

STATION #		Potential Evaporation cm H ₂ O / cm soil (÷)	Percent Available (x) 100 (=) Water Capacity	Adjusted Potential Evaporation
10	11	5.1	4.6	110
20	21	5.1	7.7	70
30	31	5.7	18.2	30
40	41	6.3	9.4	70

Nomenclature for soils identified on the LOFRECO site is presented in Table 5. The soil series units are mapped on Pocket Figure 2.

Soil series on the LOFRECO site have been classified according to the U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Classification System. This hierarchical system defines soil at six levels of classification. The broadest category of classification (soil order) is used to compare soil over large areas. The suborder category is used to define soil based on certain critical horizontal properties indicating essential features about soil development. The third level (great group) arranges soils according to characteristics that are indicative of moisture and temperature regimes, as well as the total arrangement of the horizons. The fourth level of the soil classification system is the series which is used to differentiate soil families into groups that display a range of properties more narrow than the soil family group.

Interpretation of Soils with Respect to Soil Moisture

The classification of soils at the level of order, suborder, and great group includes the identification of the soil moisture regime. The soil moisture regime refers to the presence of water held in the soil at the tension of less than 15 bars during various periods of the year in the "soil moisture control section". The soil moisture control section is roughly defined by depth and particle size, so that the depth of the soil moisture control section increases as particle size increases from clay to sand-sized particles. The soil moisture control sections of the soils occurring on the LOFRECO site are given in the Appendix D, Tables D1.8 through D1.12.

The soil moisture regimes of the soils on the LOFRECO site are classified as ustic (the classification of ustic torrifluvents and ustic torriorthents in Table 5 indicates that these soils border on more dry moisture regimes than those that are strictly "ustic"). Ustic

soils have limited moisture regimes, but there is a surplus of moisture (where precipitation exceeds evapotranspiration) in fall and early winter. Leaching can occur during the summer; limiting the growth and establishment of vegetation (Figure 16).

Interpretations of Soil with Respect to Soil Temperature

The soils of the LOFRECO site are classified in the frigid temperature regime. A frigid soil is one having a mean annual temperature lower than 8°C (47°F).

General Description of Soil Taxonomic Units

The soils of the LOFRECO site are classified in three subgroups, as described below:

Orthids

The orthid soils are characterized as having thin, light-colored surface horizons that are low in organic matter and may have a horizon of salt accumulation. As a result of low organic matter and fine particle size, these soils tend to take up water slowly so that much of the precipitation received runs off. In many orthid soils development has been retarded by a combination of factors. As a result, these soils may occur on active erosion surfaces; the degree of erosion hazard and droughtiness may be great on these sites. The Luhon series is an orthid soil further classified as a calciorthid because of significant calcium carbonate accumulations in the profile.

Fluents

Fluvent soils are subject to flooding, but not perpetually saturated. The parent materials of these soils are alluvial sediments that may contain significant amounts of organic matter. Subhorizons of these soils have very fine sandy loamy texture. Organic matter regularly decrease with depth in this group and depth to bedrock is greater than 25cm (10 inches). The Havre series is a fluent soil further classified as an ustic torrifluent because of an

ustic moisture regime that borders on torric (torric, in terms of the study area, indicates an absence of summer precipitation).

Orthents

Orthents are found in areas where little or no soil development has taken place, such as uplands and erosion surfaces. These soils are low in organic content and are subject to frequent erosion disturbance. The JR and LR soils are orthent soils classified as ustic torriorthents as a result of their low moisture regimes, which do, however, support a moderate vegetation cover.

Classification of Soils

The soils of the LOFRECO site are classified as shown on Table 5. Characteristics common to all of these soils are elevation: approximately 2073m, (6800 ft); mean annual precipitation range: from 254 to 330mm (10 to 13 inches) mean annual soil temperature regime: from 6 to 8°C (43 to 47°F) and frost-free period: approximately from 90 to 110 days. The soil sites in the study area are suitable as wildlife habitat and for livestock grazing. The vegetation types associated with the soil described below are given in Table 6.

JR Loam, 5 to 40 Percent Slopes (Profile Pit #2)

- (series not named or correlated in Utah but is being mapped as JR series in the Duchesne soil survey).
- Classification: Loamy, skeletal, mixed, (calcareous) frigid, Ustic Torriorthent (Table 5).

This mapping unit consists of moderately deep, well-drained soils that formed in residuum on colluvium from Green River shale. The JR series is located on north and east-facing ridges. Present native vegetation is dominated by Utah Juniper, pinyon pines, shrubs, and grasses. About 50 percent of the surface is covered by juniper and pinyon duff 5 to 10cm (2 to 4 inches) thick. In a typical profile the surface layer is grayish-brown, strongly calcareous loam about 31cm (12 in) thick. The underlying subsoil layer consists of weathered angular shale

fragments and is about 40 percent of the soil by volume. This layer is about 31cm (12 inches) thick. The lowest subsoil layer consists of about 95 percent slightly-weathered, angular shale fragments with some silt loam. About 61cm (24 in) thick. Below this lowest subsoil horizon is hard, unweathered and fractured shale.

• Representative Profile:

- o A11 0-18cm (0-7 in), grayish-brown (10YR 5/2) loam; dark grayish-brown (10YR 4/2) moist; weak, medium granular structure; slightly hard, friable; non-sticky and slightly plastic; strongly calcareous; moderately alkaline; gradual, wavy boundary (3 to 9 in) thick.
- o A12 18-31cm (7-12 in) light brownish-gray (10YR 6/2) loam; brown (10YR 4/3) moist; weak coarse granular structure; slightly hard, friable; non-sticky and slightly plastic; strongly calcareous, moderately alkaline; gradual, wavy boundary (4 to 6 in) thick.
- o C1 31-61cm (12-24 in), very pale brown (10YR 6/4) moist; weak, medium subangular blocky structure; slightly plastic; strongly calcareous, moderately alkaline; gradual, wavy boundary (22 to 26 in) thick.
- o C2 R 61-122cm (24-48 in) 95 percent weathering fragmental shale.
- o R 122cm+ (48 in+) unweathered fragment shale.
- o Pit Location: 533m W(1750 ft) and 762m S(2500 ft) of NE corner of Section 2, T-14 S, R-22 E, Uintah County, Utah.
This soil is in the semi-desert, stony-loam, pinyon-juniper summer precipitation range site

LR Gravelly Loam 5 to 40 Percent Slopes (Profile Pit #4)

- (series not named or correlated in Utah but is being mapped by LR series in the Duchesne Soil Survey).
Classification: loamy, mixed (calcareous) frigid, shallow, Ustic Torriorthent.
This soil series consists of shallow, well-drained soils that formed in residuum from Green River shale. The LR series occurs

on south and west-facing slopes and ridges. Present native vegetation is dominated by Utah juniper, (*Juniperus osteosperma*) pinyon pine (*Pinus edulis*) and bunch-grasses. About 2.5cm (1 in) of juniper or pinyon duff occurs under the trees on about 10 percent of the ground surface.

In a typical profile, the surface layer is light brownish-gray, strongly calcareous, gravelly loam. The underlying material is composed chiefly of slightly weathered, hard, angularly-oriented shale fragments.

● Representative Profile:

- o A1 0-15cm (0-6 in) light brownish-gray (10YR 6/2) gravelly loam brown (10YR 5/3) moist (The gravel consists of about 25 percent angular shale fragments. A gravel pavement of angular shale fragments covers approximately 50 percent of the ground surfaces); very weak, medium, platy structure; slightly plastic; strongly calcareous moderately alkaline; abrupt, wavy boundary 10 to 20cm (4 to 8 in) thick.
- o CR 15-91cm (6-36 in) 95 percent slightly weathered, hard angularly oriented shale fragments; 5 percent silt loam and roots.
- o Pit Location: 549m E(1800 ft) and 533m S(1750 ft) of NW corner of Section 2, T-14 S, R-22 E, Uintah County, Utah. This soil is in the semi-desert shallow loam, pinyon-juniper summer precipitation range site.

Luhon Loam, 3 to 8 Percent Slopes (Profile Pit #3)

Classification: Fine loamy, mixed, (calcareous) Borrollic Calciorthid (Table 5).

This mapping unit consists of deep, well-drained soils that formed from mixed alluvium and in residuum from Green River shale. Luhon soils occur on gently sloping to gently rolling fans and valley side slopes. Present

native vegetation is mainly big sagebrush, (*Artemisia tridentata*) four-wing saltbush, (*Atriplex canescens*) winterfat, (*Ceratoides lanata*), western wheatgrass, (*Agropyron smithii*), blue grama grass, (*Bouteloua gracilis*), and blue-bunch wheatgrass (*Agropyron spicatum*).

In a typical profile the surface layer is a pale brown, silty clay loam about 23cm (9 in) thick. The substratum consists of about 18cm (7 in) of very strongly calcareous loam underlain by gravelly loam. (The gravelly loam is about 90 percent soft, weathered shale fragments; about 60 percent of these shale fragments slake when soaked in water.)

● Representative Profile:

- o A1 0-18cm (0-7 in), pale brown (10YR 6/3) loam brown (10YR 4/3) moist; weak medium subangular-blockly structure; hard friable; non-sticky and slightly plastic; strongly calcareous, moderately alkaline; clear, smooth boundary.
- o B2 18-41cm (7-16 in), very pale brown (10YR 7/4) silty-clay loam, yellowish-brown (10YR 5/4) moist; moderate, medium angular-blocky structure; very hard, firm; slightly sticky and plastic; very strongly calcareous, strongly alkaline; gradual wavy boundary.
- o C1ca 41-58cm (16-23 in) light gray (10YR 7/2) loam, pale brown (10YR 6/3) moist; weak medium subangular-blockly structure; slightly hard, very friable; non-sticky and slightly plastic; strongly calcareous, strongly alkaline; gradual wavy boundary.
- o 11 C2 58-152cm (23-60 in) light gray (10YR 7/3) gravelly loam, brown (10YR 5/3) moist; (this gravelly loam is about 90 percent soft, weathered shale; about 60 percent shale fragments slake when soaked in water); strongly calcareous and strongly alkaline.
- o Pit Location: 183m E(600 ft) and 610m S(2000 ft) of NW corner of Section 2, T-14 S, R-22 E Uintah County, Utah.
- o This soil is in the semi-desert loam, summer precipitation range site.

- Classification: Fine loamy, mixed (calcareous) frigid, Ustic Torrifluvent (Table 5).

This mapping unit consists of deep, well-drained soils that formed in mixed alluvium. The Havre series occupies nearly level to moderate sloping stream terraces and flood plains. The present native vegetation community is composed chiefly of big sagebrush, rabbitbrush (*Chrysothamnus nauseosus*) and flowering saltbush. In a typical profile, the surface layer is grayish brown, strongly calcareous silt loam, about 20cm (8 in) thick. The surface layer is typically covered by a layer of sage duff about 2.5cm (1 in) thick. The underlying material is light brownish-gray, strongly calcareous loam to a depth of 152cm (60 in) or more.

- Representative Profile:

- A0 2.5 to 0cm (1 in) duff consisting of sage leaves and twigs.
- A1 0-20cm (0-8 in), grayish-brown (10YR 5/2) silt-loam, brown (10YR 4/3) moist; very weak, coarse platy structure; soft, very friable; non-sticky and non-plastic; strongly calcareous, moderately alkaline; clear, smooth boundary 18-25cm (0-7 in) thick.
- C1 20 to 71cm (8-28 in) light brownish-gray (10YR 6/2) loam, brown (10YR 4/3) moist, weak, medium subangular-blocky structure; slightly hard, friable; non-sticky and slightly plastic; strongly calcareous, moderately alkaline; gradual, wavy boundary 41 to 61cm (16 -24 in) thick.
- C2 71-152 cm (28-60 in) pale brown (10YR 6/3) heavy loam, brown (10YR 4/3) moist; masive; slightly hard, friable; slightly-sticky and slightly plastic; strongly calcareous, moderately alkaline.
- Pit Location: 549m W (1800 ft) 732m S(2400 ft) of NE corner of section 2, T-14 S, R-22 E, Uintah County, Utah. This soil is in the semi-desert loam summer precipitation range site.

Soil Physical and Chemical Analyses

The results of the physical and chemical soils analyses are contained in Appendix, Tables D1.1 - D1.7.

Native Soils

The overall nutrient regime of the soils occurring on the LOFRECO site is low. Nitrate-nitrogen is low to near normal in all native soils (less than 8ppm), although slightly high values are found in the upper horizon of the Havre loam series (Appendix D). It is noteworthy that high nitrogen concentrations can reduce the availability of both potassium and phosphorus to plants, although phosphorus and potassium present in plant tissues counteracts this to an extent. Sodium is normal in the upper horizons of all soils (less than 200ppm) (Appendix D).

The Havre loam subsoils, however, exhibit high concentrations of sodium (Appendix D). Potassium occurs at wide range of concentrations between soil types. The Havre loam series demonstrates normal concentrations of potassium (200-400ppm), while the Luhon loam series has slightly higher values for this soil constituent in the upper horizon (480ppm). JR and LR loams show potassium levels ranging from deficient to normal (150-50ppm or less). Calcium concentrations in all soils are in the normal range (ca. 2400ppm). Ammonium-nitrate values have a wide range in the soils sampled. In the LR and JR series this nutrient is found at normal levels (ca. 1ppm). In the Havre and Luhon series, ammonium-nitrate ranges from normal to high (1-4ppm). Sulfate-sulfur is normal to high in all soils taken as whole units (30-120ppm). However, some horizons demonstrate low levels of this constituent (less than 7ppm) (Appendix D).

Lime is relatively high in all soils (greater than 8%), but this does not represent a deleterious level. Magnesium occurs at normal levels in all soils (200-700ppm) except for the lower horizons of the Havre and Luhon series where it reaches high values of approximately 1400 to 2000ppm. The ph of all the soils sampled is high at 8.0 to 8.5.

In the Havre and Luhon series ph is excessive in the lowermost horizons (8.7-8.9). Organic matter percent is normal to slightly high (greater

values of nitrate (Havre loam). The disturbance of soils with higher lime concentrations is another important consideration. This is a consideration in the Luhon loam series.

Retort Soils

The values for most soil constituents in retort soils are comparable with those of the native soils discussed above; Figures 18 through 30, illustrate a comparison of some of the more important soil characteristics. This is also true of the constituents determined in the special analysis Appendix D. Several points of significance are, however, apparent from the analysis. The first of these is ostensibly the result of activities on the retorts prior to blasting. There is a noted increase in nitrate nitrogen in some of the retort soils (Figure 18). This is the result of spillage of ANFO during the process of loading blast holes. The effects of this spillage are, however, not deleterious. In fact, they appear to have a positive effect on plant growth since ANFO is primarily composed of nitrates. The only potential damage due to ANFO might occur if an excess of this material created a nitrate inhibition of potassium and phosphorus. The second type of significant soil alteration ostensibly caused by retorting is the alteration in soil structure. The analyses show a significant change in two soil parameters that relate to structure and to water holding capacity (although the first is of greater significance). The concentration of clay sized particles is decreased after the burning of retorts (Appendix D, Table 19). Bulk density of the soil samples shows a significant increase following burning of the retorts (Figure 20). Although the changes in these two parameters are the apparent result of retorting, they must be considered, instead, to be significant changes caused by the process of creating the retort mounds. The decrease in percent clay content is most probably the result of the loss of these particles during wind erosion. This alteration can be controlled through the use of temporary physical erosion control measures. The increase in bulk density is the apparent result of compaction due to drilling and other traffic. This increase occurs in the soil surface

than 3-4%). The electrical conductivity of all surface soil horizons is acceptable (ca. 0.5-1.5 mmhos/cm). The subsoil of the Havre loam has excessive electrical conductivity values (greater than 6 mmhos/cm). The cation exchange capacity of all soil horizons is normal (less than 20 meq/100gm) to slightly low. Trace element concentrations of Manganese, Copper, Zinc, Molybdenum, and Cobalt are in acceptable ranges for all soils. Iron is normal in all soils at approximately 2.5 to 5 ppm. Boron is slightly high in all soils (greater than 1 ppm), but can not be termed excessive. Selenium concentrations are not problematical (less than 2 ppm). Arsenic is slightly high in most soils at greater than 0.5 ppm. The range of the sodium absorption ratio is acceptable in all soils (less than 8%). Available water capacity is moderate to low in all soils (compared with a mean adequate level of greater than 0.25 cmH₂O/cm soil).

The general reclamation potential of all soils is acceptable. Figure 17 illustrates a comparison of the levels of soil parameters typically evaluated in determining a soil's reclamation potential (Wyoming DEQ 1976). The comparisons made in this figure reveal no special problems likely to be encountered in reclaiming sites based on soil properties. However, it must be noted that the lowermost horizons of the Havre and Luhon loams may present problems in terms of electrical conductivity and sulfate-sulfur.

Although there are no apparent reclamation problems indicated by the comparison of certain soil constituents with standard values, other considerations of soils must be made in terms of reclamation. The low nutrient status of the native soils may require fertilization during the reclamation process. In addition, the relatively high erodability of soils, particularly susceptibility to wind erosion, must be considered during revegetation activities. Finally, the interactions between various soil constituents, such as the effects of nitrates on potassium and phosphorus, must be considered during the reclamation of soils that demonstrate high

layer and can be reversed by roughing during the revegetation of the retorts. The last soil alteration requiring discussion is the increase in sulfate-sulfur. Although this parameter does not increase to excessive levels it should be carefully monitored as a potential source of problems in reclamation.

The soil constituents analyzed in samples collected from retorts before blasting and after burning were subjected to a paired t-test in order to assess any significance of change in any parameter. The results of this test are given in Table 7. This table shows that two significant alterations before blasting and after burning occurred at the 95% confidence interval. These alterations were in the decrease of percent clay and increase of bulk density. The remaining differences in soil constituents were determined to be of no real significance. It should also be noted that the natural variation in soil characteristics revealed by all of the data presented (Tables D1.1-D1.7) is a further indication of the low level change in the soil constituents of the surface layer on retorts.

Figure 16

CLIMATIC DATA AND SOIL WATER BALANCE
 FOR A SOIL THAT HAS AN USTIC SOIL MOISTURE REGIME,
 AFTER U.S.D.A. 1975

PH

ELECTRICAL
CONDUCTIVITY
(MMHOS/Cm) SATURATION
PERCENTAGE SODIUM
ABSORPTION
RATIO BORON
(PPM)

Acceptable Range shown by screened pattern.

Figure 17

COMPARISON OF SELECTED SOIL RECLAMATION
POTENTIAL STANDARDS*
WITH SOILS OCCURRING ON THE LOFRECO SITE

* WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY 197

FIGURE 18
COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
NITRATE-NITROGEN

FIGURE 19
COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
% CLAY

FIGURE 20
COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
BULK DENSITY

FIGURE 21

COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
PHOSPHORUS

FIGURE 22
COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
POTASSIUM

FIGURE 23
COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
AMMONIUM-NITRATE

FIGURE 24
COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
SULFATE-SULFUR

FIGURE 25
COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
CALCIUM

FIGURE 26
COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
IRON

FIGURE 27
COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
SODIUM

FIGURE 28
COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
PERMEABILITY

FIGURE 29

COMPARISON OF NATIVE AND RETORT SOILS
EXCHANGEABLE SODIUM PRECENTAGE

TABLE 5

SOILS OF THE LOFRECO SITE

<u>ORDER</u>	<u>SUBORDER</u>	<u>GREAT GROUPS</u>	<u>SUBGROUP</u>	<u>FAMILY</u>	<u>SERIES</u>
Aridisoils	Orthids	Calciorthids	Borollis	Fine-Loamy Mixed (calcareous)	Luhon
Entisoils	Fluvents	Torrifluvents	Ustic	Fine-Loamy Mixed (calcareous) frigid	Havre
	Orthents	Torriorthents	Ustic	Loamy-Shetata Mixed (calcareous) frigid Loamy-Mixed (calcareous) frigid shallow	JR LR

TABLE 6

TABLE 2 SOIL AND VEGETATION ASSOCIATIONS ON THE LOFRECO SITE

Vegetation Type	LR Gravelly Loam	JR Loam	Havre Silt Loam	Luhon Loam
Pinyon-Juniper Woodland (South-Facing)	X	(X)		
Pinyon-Juniper Woodland (North-Facing)	(X)	X		
Sagebrush-Saltbush Lowland			X	(X)
Sagebrush-Grass Upland	(X)		(X)	X

(X) indicates a lesser degree of positive association, usually occurring because of the transition from one vegetation/soil association to the next (this is particularly true in the transition from sagebrush-grass upland/Luhon loam associations to sagebrush-saltbrush lowland Havre silt loam associations).

TABLE 7

RESULTS OF PAIRED t-TEST
FOR DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SOIL CHARACTERISTICS
BEFORE AND AFTER RETORT BURNING

85

	t-Statistic		t-Statistic
NO ₃ -N	1.0318	OM%	-0.0354
P	-2.3349	%Lime	-1.6304
Na	1.3922	pH	1.3093
K	-0.0714	SAR	0.0754
Ca	-0.4193	EC	0.8386
Mg	1.9686	CIC	0.8470
NH ₄ -N	2.1553	ESP	0.2345
SO ₄ -S	-2.1653	Sn	-2.3604
Mn	-1.0360	Sl	0.3571
Fe	-0.3921	Cl	4.8571 †
Cu	-1.1429	B.D.	-4.4901 †
Zn	-0.6667	Perm.	-2.6186
B	-1.3079	FC1/3	0.9449
Mo	0.0000	FC15	0.9449
Co	not tested ★	Sat%	0.9626
Cl	0.1333	AWC	0.5547
Se	-0.8386	F1	0.3333
As	-0.9167	Phenols	-1.7812
CN	not tested ★	Li	not tested ★
OC	-0.2223	Hg	not tested <
Org-Met	not tested ★	Br	not tested <
Hallides	1.5532	Be	not tested <

† Significant at the 0.05 level (critical t-value = 4.303)

★ all values equal

< insufficient data

Tests were performed on the adequacy of phytosociological, production, and ecoclimate samples by calculating the coefficient of variation (CV) of the mean (Appendix E). Tests were performed on 1978 and 1979 data. The results of these tests are presented in Tables 8, 9, 10, and 11. All adequacy tests were performed within years for each vegetation site sampled. In the tests a coefficient of variation of ≤ 20 is considered sufficient to show an adequate sample size.

The results of sample adequacy determinations show that samples taken for the majority of parameters are adequate. The exceptions are shrub and herb cover, and herb density in vegetation types where the herb and shrub layers are sparse (pinyon-juniper woodlands) and where the herb layer is composed chiefly of annuals (lowland sagebrush). The results also show that to reach sample adequacy in these situations, a significantly larger sample would be required. Sample sizes were not increased in these situations as a result of the imbalance that would occur between economics and an adequate sample size. Moreover, the information from the sample taken is considered to be sufficient for the purposes of describing the vegetation types in question. Tracing trends between years can be accomplished at the levels of sampling that have been carried out. This is reflected by the one-way analysis of variance test results.

SITE SIMILARITIES

The results of various statistical analyses designed to test vegetation, ecoclimate, and soils parameters are presented in Table 12.

Three general types of tests were made:

- Coefficients of similarity (a test of overall shared information)
- One-way analysis of variance (a test of the statistical equality of two sample means)
- Linear regression analysis (a test of the correlation of two inter-related parameters)

These test were performed in order to assess the following:

- Similarity between control and development sites
- Potential differences between control or treatment sites during the two years of sampling
- Potential interactions between physical and biological parameters within biological parameters, and within physical parameters that may help to explain the overall system and thus provide information critical to revegetation and monitoring of potential perturbations.

Coefficient of Similarity Tests

The phytosociological parameters measured during sampling were tested within and among years in order to determine the similarity of sites based on shared information. Table 12 shows the results of these tests between control and development sites for both years of study. Table 13 gives the results of similarity test between the same sites during different years. Values >75% indicate reliable similarity; values <25% indicate a reliable degree of dissimilarity.

The test results between control and development sites for each of the years during which sampling was conducted show that the control and development sites are similar in terms of most phytosociological parameters. Several parameters that show low similarity are herb density in the north-facing woodland sites

and lowland sagebrush sites. These results reinforce the data obtained during phytosociological sampling leading to the description of the herb layers within these sites as cyclic. The annual forb populations are randomly distributed within any given year and variable between or among years. Herb cover similarity values tend to follow this same pattern. High similarities obtained for herb cover values in one north-facing woodland stand also reflect the difficulties of sampling the annual populations that occur on these sites: The random possibility of obtaining a more adequate sample is illustrated by the 99% similarity between V20 and V21 in 1979. Shrub density and cover values also show this relationship in several of the test results. (Table 13)

Similarity values for the same sites during different years illustrate a pattern similar to that discussed above within years (Table 13).

Similarity values for primary production within years (Table 12) are generally low, but do not reflect dissimilarity except in cases where annuals comprise the greatest part of the primary productivity, as in the woodlands and lowland sagebrush stands. Upland sagebrush similarity values show a range from high correlation to inconsequential values (~50%).

Primary production similarity for the same sites between years reveal a pattern much like that among years. These data help to define those stands that will provide the more reliable data during monitoring and further reinforce the difficulty of measuring the randomly distributed annual component of some sites (Table 13).

Coefficient of similarity values were not performed for ecoclimatic data. The stronger one-way analysis of variance testing was deemed more effective in assessing the acceptance or rejection of the null hypothesis for this large data base.

One-Way Analysis of Variance Tests

Tests on phytosociological values for control and development sites for 1978 and 1979 sampling are presented in Table 14. The test results indicate that there is no difference between control and development sites based on mean values of all phytosociological measurements made. The only exception is the test performed on herb density values in the sagebrush-rabbitbrush lowlands for 1978 values. It is presumed that this difference is an artifact of sampling. Density counts within the crowded lowland stands are subject to intrinsic error. Sample adequacy for these stands is, however, sufficient, so that the discrepancy does not lie in data variance. The control and development sites for the lowland sage type also lie in different positions along the gradient between lowland and upland sagebrush. It is probable that this factor explains the difference in densities between the two sites. Since no lowland sites on the extreme part of the gradient lie in areas that may be disturbed the development site was placed up-gradient. The differences between sites are documented and can be treated accordingly during monitoring evaluations.

Test on phytosociological data between years for the same sites are summarized in Table 15. For the most part the null hypothesis has been accepted through these tests, as most sites appear to demonstrate no differences in mean values between years. The null hypothesis is rejected for the tests made on ground cover values in the sagebrush uplands. This is ostensibly the result of greater total herbaceous cover during the 1979 sampling period. This fluctuation is part of the natural cycle discussed above that the herb layer in the sagebrush uplands is subjected to. The 1979 spring period was wetter than that during 1978. As a result a greater growth of perennial grasses and annual grasses was produced. Herb densities in the sagebrush lowlands are also shown to be significantly different between years. The annual forb population of these sites was also increased by spring moisture received in 1979. The apparent differences in shrub density in the sagebrush lowlands can only be explained as an error in sampling between years, since increased numbers of mature shrubs could not have occurred between two adjacent years.

Tests performed on primary production values on control and development site data within each sampling year are shown in Figure 14. The test results show that the mean production values determined for all sites are not significantly different and the null hypothesis can be accepted.

Similar results are shown (Figure 15) for tests of the same sites between 1978 and 1979. It can be concluded from these results that differences in precipitation received as spring rains do not have a significant effect on overall herbaceous primary productivity.

Linear Regression Analysis

Correlation analyses of phytosociological parameters are given in Tables 16 through 19. Analyses of shrub cover with herb density, ground cover, and primary production (Table 16) for each year of sampling. show no significant correlation between any of these pairs of parameters.

Comparison of herb density values with ground cover values for 1978 and 1979 (Table 17) show that these two parameters are not related causally. Similar results were obtained for correlation analyses between herb density and primary production (Table 17).

Linear regression analyses for ground cover and primary production (Table 18) illustrate that ground cover does not significantly influence the standing crop of herbaceous species.

Comparison of production values with selected ecoclimatic means (Table 19) illustrates that the only significant correlations that occur are between mean surface temperature and July primary herbaceous production and between mean surface temperature and herb density.

Table 8
SAMPLE ADEQUACY
SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979*
GEOKINETICS, INC.,
LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
FIELD RESEARCH SITE
UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH

92

PARAMETER	V10 $\bar{x} \pm SE$ 1978	CV †	V10 1979	V11 1978	V11 1979
GROUND COVER	131.5 ± 5.0	4	150.2 ± 4.1	113.1 ± 6.8	149.1 ± 6.6
HERB DENSITY	9.4 ± 1.5	16	31.1 ± 8.0	7.5 ± 2.8	28.9 ± 8.9
HERB COVER	5.7 ± 1.7	29	1.9 ± 0.4	54.5 ± 8.5	10.5 ± 3.2
SHRUB DENSITY	22.4 ± 4.5	20	17.3 ± 3.6	8.4 ± 1.8	13.5 ± 2.6
SHRUB COVER	0.8 ± 0.3	33	0.3 ± 0.08	0.2 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.09
TREE DENSITY	18.1 ± 1.4	8	ND	21.9 ± 1.5	ND
TREE COVER	192.3 ± 26.6	14	ND	230.7 ± 25.0	ND
PRODUCTION: JULY	2.0 ± 0.14	7	1.7 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.1
AUGUST	1.3 ± 0.1	6	0.5 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0
AIR TEMPERATURE	15.9 ± 1.4	9	15.6 ± 1.3	16.1 ± 1.2	16.9 ± 1.0
SOIL TEMPERATURE	16.1 ± 1.6	11	16.1 ± 1.5	17.1 ± 1.6	18.2 ± 1.1

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE WITHIN YEARS FOR CONTROL OR DEVELOPMENT STANDS, SUCH AS VIO OR VII (PINYON - JUNIPER, WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL OR DEVELOPMENT SITES).

† CV Coefficient of Variation of the Mean
ND No Data
 $\bar{x} \pm SE$ Mean ± Standard Error

Table 9
SAMPLE ADEQUACY
SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979*
GEOKINETICS, INC.,
LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
FIELD RESEARCH SITE
UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH

93

PARAMETER	V20 1978		V20 1978		V21 1979		V21 1979	
	$\bar{x} \pm SE$	CV						
GROUND COVER	105.3± 6.3	6	123.2± 5.2	4	111.1± 3.0	3	119.9± 4.0	4
HERB DENSITY	3.1± 1.1	36	10.7± 5.2	49	0.9± 0.4	45	2.7± 0.8	29
HERB COVER	1.4± 0.4	31	61.3± 6.4	11	5.1± 1.6	32	65.3± 7.5	12
SHRUB DENSITY	2.2± 4.5	20	3.3± 0.7	22	0.7± 0.2	26	ND	ND
SHRUB COVER	ND	ND	0.1± 0.04	38	0.03± 0.03	100	ND	ND
TREE DENSITY	11.9± 1.0	9	ND	ND	16.0± 3.1	19	ND	ND
TREE COVER	279.4± 46.9	17	ND	ND	202.3± 24.8	12	ND	ND
PRODUCTION: JULY	2.5± 0.2	9	1.2± 0.1	8	2.3± 0.1	5	1.7± 0.1	6
AUGUST	1.1± 0.2	14	1.5± 0	0	1.2± 0.1	11	9.0± 0	0
AIR TEMPERATURE	15.7± 1.4	9	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SOIL TEMPERATURE	17.1± 1.6	9	ND	ND	18.8± 1.1	6	ND	ND

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE WITHIN YEARS FOR CONTROL OR DEVELOPMENT STANDS, SUCH AS VIO OR VII (PINYON - JUNIPER, WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL OR DEVELOPMENT SITES).

CV Coefficient of Variation of the Mean
ND No Data
 $\bar{x} \pm SE$ Mean $\pm$ Standard Error

Table 10
SAMPLE ADEQUACY
SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979*
GEOKINETICS, INC.,
LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
FIELD RESEARCH SITE
UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH

94

PARAMETER	V30 1978		V30 1978		V31 1979		V31 1979	
	$\bar{x} \pm SE$	CV						
GROUND COVER	121.4± 4.8	4	126.0± 7.5	6	86.2± 3.9	5	140.0± 6.0	4
HERB DENSITY	116.3± 23.8	20	30.3 7.2	24	21.3± 6.5	30	4.5± 1.3	28
HERB COVER	40.8± 6.1	15	8.1± 1.9	24	9.0± 3.7	41	1.3± 0.2	13
SHRUB DENSITY	47.2± 1.7	4	81.0± 7.2	9	53.3± 2.7	5	64.1± 6.9	11
SHRUB COVER	6.8± 0.4	6	7.0± 0.9	12	6.5± 0.4	6	7.5± 0.5	6
TREE DENSITY	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TREE COVER	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PRODUCTION: JULY	24.0± 2.0	22	2.5± 0.7	28	9.2± 2.0	22	0.8± 0.1	17
AUGUST	5.5± 0.4	7	0.5± 0	0	2.2± 0.3	14	7.0± 0	0
AIR TEMPERATURE	12.9± 2.0	16	16.1± 0.8	5	15.3± 1.2	7	14.8± 1.9	13
SOIL TEMPERATURE	15.1± 2.4	16	12.2± 0.5	4	17.8± 1.2	7	16.1± 2.3	14

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE WITHIN YEARS FOR CONTROL OR DEVELOPMENT STANDS, SUCH AS VIO OR VII (PINYON - JUNIPER, WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL OR DEVELOPMENT SITES).

CV Coefficient of Variation of the Mean
ND No Data
 $\bar{x} \pm SE$ Mean $\pm$ Standard Error

Table 11
SAMPLE ADEQUACY
SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979*
GEOKINETICS, INC.,
LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
FIELD RESEARCH SITE
UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH

95

PARAMETERS	V40 1978		V40 1978		V41 1979		V41 1979	
	$\bar{x} \pm SE$	CV						
GROUND COVER	47.3± 6.4	14	145.0± 9.7	7	43.6± 5.0	12	137.7± 4.3	3
HERB DENSITY	86.4± 7.5	9	171.3± 15.3	9	65.6± 6.0	9	104.6± 11.6	11
HERB COVER	13.9± 2.1	15	39.8± 3.2	8	11.8± 1.7	14	36.8± 3.5	10
SHRUB DENSITY	159.2± 14.2	9	91.9± 6.8	8	117.1± 6.9	6	75.8± 4.4	5
SHRUB COVER	32.5± 1.6	46	1.5± 0.2	10	1.7± 0.3	16	1.7± 0.3	17
TREE DENSITY	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TREE COVER	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PRODUCTION: JULY	4.7± 0.2	4	2.9± 0.1	4	3.9± 0.1	4	3.4± 0.1	4
AUGUST	1.9± 0.1	5	1.1± 0.1	7	1.7± 0.1	4	1.2± 0.1	7
AIR TEMPERATURE	15.7± 1.0	9	15.8± 2.0	11	17.4± 3.0	7	15.7± 1.0	9
SOIL TEMPERATURE	18.2± 1.0	8	19.0± 2.0	10	19.5± 1.0	8	18.4± 2.0	9

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE WITHIN YEARS FOR CONTROL OR DEVELOPMENT STANDS, SUCH AS VIO OR VII (PINYON - JUNIPER, WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL OR DEVELOPMENT SITES).

CV Coefficient of Variation of the Mean
ND No Data
 $\bar{x} \pm SE$ Mean $\pm$ Standard Error

Table 12
COEFFICIENT OF SIMILRAITY
SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979*
GEOKINETICS, INC.,
LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
FIELD RESEARCH SITE
UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH

96

PARAMETER	V10&11 1978 1979		V20&21 1978 1979		V30&31 1978 1979		V40&41 1978 1979	
GROUND COVER	93	100	97	99	83	97	96	97
HERB DENSITY	89	96	44	39	31	26	86	76
HERB COVER	89	79	58	99	30	19	92	96
SHRUB DENSITY	54	88	48	ND	94	84	85	90
SHRUB COVER	48	89	48	ND	97	70	64	92
TREE DENSITY	91	ND	84	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TREE COVER	90	ND	85	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SHRUB IMPORT. VALUE	94	84	77	ND	67	100	100	92
PRODUCTION: JULY	66	55	14	24	22	3	45	86
AUGUST	96	ND	2	ND	14	ND	77	ND

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE WITHIN YEARS, BETWEEN CONTROL AND DEVELOPMENT STAND PAIRS, SUCH AS VIO AND VII (PINYON - JUNIPER WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL AND DEVELOPMENT SITES).

Table 13
COEFFICIENT OF SIMILARITY
SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979*
GEOKINETICS, INC.,
LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
FIELD RESEARCH SITE
UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH

97

PARAMETER	V10 1978/79	V11 1978/79	V20 1978/79	V21 1978/79	V30 1978/79	V31 1978/79	V40 1978/79	V41 1978/79
GROUND COVER	93	86	92	96	96	76	47	48
HERB DENSITY	46	41	44	50	41	35	67	77
SHRUB DENSITY	87	76	80	ND	59	59	73	79
SHRUB COVER	43	83	ND	ND	34	58	59	98
TREE DENSITY	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TREE COVER	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PRODUCTION; JULY	86	40	ND	ND	22	3	45	85
AUGUST	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SHRUB IMPORT. VALUE	90	100	100	ND	86	54	100	92

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE AMONG YEARS, BETWEEN CONTROL OR DEVELOPMENT STANDS, SUCH AS V10 (1978) AND V10 (1979) (PINYON - JUNIPER WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL SITES).

Table 14
ONE-WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE
SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979*
GEOKINETICS, INC.,
LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
FIELD RESEARCH SITE
UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH

98

PARAMETERS	V10&11		V20&21		V30&31		V40&41	
	1978	1979	1978	1979	1978	1979	1978	1979
GROUND COVER	.35	3.42	.08	.33	.59	.03	.95	.35
HERB DENSITY	.12	.46	3.42	2.77	8.42 [†]	4.87	1.88	2.22
SHRUB DENSITY	3.17	.81	4.98	ND	.82	.05	2.59	.02
SHRUB COVER	1.94	.14	1.75	ND	2.85	.34	1.12	1.75
TREE DENSITY	3.32	ND	1.65	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TREE COVER	1.11	ND	2.11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PRODUCTION: JULY	.37	.97	4.66	3.08	.65	1.11	1.96	.33
AUGUST	.004	ND	1.53	ND	.86	ND	.05	ND
$\bar{X}$ AIR TEMPERATURE	.02	.60	12.00 [†]	ND	2.09	16.10 [†]	.83	.01
$\bar{X}$ SOIL TEMPERATURE	.17	1.27	.73	ND	1.03	6.22 [†]	.41	.07
MAX. SOIL TEMPERATURE	2.33	3.59	23.20 [†]	ND	.67	.12	2.08	.72
SHRUB IMPORT. VALUE	.06	.39	2.40	.84	.62	0.00	0.00	.11

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE WITHIN YEARS, BETWEEN CONTROL AND DEVELOPMENT STAND PAIRS, SUCH AS VIO AND VII (PINYON - JUNIPER WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL AND DEVELOPMENT SITES).

[†] f-value exceeds critical f @ 0.05

Table 15
ONE-WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE
SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979 *
GEOKINETICS, INC.,
LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
FIELD RESEARCH SITE
UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH

99

PARAMETERS	V10 1978/79	V11 1978/79	V20 1978/79	V21 1978/79	V30 1978/79	V31 1978/79	V40 1978/79	V41 1978/79
GROUND COVER	.002	1.637	1.811	.163	.343	2.045	30.780 [†]	27.180 [†]
HERB DENSITY	4.014	3.520	1.990	1.520	7.550 [†]	6.670 [†]	4.040	4.530
SHRUB DENSITY	.169	.988	4.660	ND	5.000 [†]	5.310 [†]	4.760	.431
SHRUB COVER	3.290	.477	6.890	ND	.030	3.980	1.100	1.100
PRODUCTION: JULY	.100	.920	1.110	1.940	.760	1.260	ND	ND
AUGUST	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
$\bar{X}$ AIR TEMPERATURE	.020	.240	ND	ND	2.270	.350	.000	.820
$\bar{X}$ SOIL TEMPERATURE	.000	.330	ND	ND	1.970	.430	.120	ND
MAX. SOIL TEMPERATURE	.590	1.360	ND	ND	.000	2.400	.000	ND
SHRUB IMPORT. VALUE	.190	.000	.330	.250	.240	1.710	.000	.080

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE AMONG YEARS, BETWEEN CONTROL OR DEVELOPMENT STANDS, SUCH AS V10 (1978) AND V10 (1979) (PINYON - JUNIPER WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL SITES).

[†] f value exceeds critical f @ 0.05

Table 16
 LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS
 SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979*
 GEOKINETICS, INC.,
 LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
 FIELD RESEARCH SITE
 UTAH COUNTY, UTAH

100

SHRUB COVER:

PARAMETERS	1978		1978		1978		1978	
	V10	V11	V20	V21	V30	V31	V40	V41
GROUND COVER	.07	.24	ND	.45	.14	.12	.02	.24
HERB DENSITY	.06	.15	.12	ND	.12	.03	.21	.05
PRODUCTION: JULY	.06	.00	.00	.09	.19	.10	.01	.00
AUGUST	.05	.01	.00	.06	.19	.09	.02	.03
	1979		1979		1979		1979	
	V10	V11	V20	V21	V30	V31	V40	V41
GROUND COVER	.006	.049	.104	ND	.392	.588	.017	.045
HERB DENSITY	.002	.750	.040	ND	.213	.014	.099	.075
PRODUCTION: JULY	.36	.03	.31	ND	.54	.11	.03	.02
$\bar{X}$ AIR TEMPERATURE	.28	.09	ND	ND	.49	.19	ND	ND
MAX. SOIL TEMPERATURE	.27	.04	ND	ND	.38	.19	.04	.05

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE WITHIN YEARS, BETWEEN CONTROL AND DEVELOPMENT STAND PAIRS, SUCH AS V10 AND V11 (PINYON - JUNIPER WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL AND DEVELOPMENT SITES).

Table 17
 LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS
 SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979*
 GEOKINETICS, INC.,
 LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
 FIELD RESEARCH SITE
 UTAH COUNTY, UTAH

101

HERB DENSITY:

PARAMETERS	1978		1978		1978		1978	
	V10	V11	V20	V21	V30	V31	V40	V41
GROUND COVER	.004	.10	.17	.27	.23	.05	.11	.101
PRODUCTION: JULY	.02	.06	.14	.17	.05	.06	.26	.06
AUGUST	.06	.07	.07	.00	.05	.00	.00	.00
	1979		1979		1979		1979	
	V10	V11	V20	V21	V30	V31	V40	V41
GROUND COVER	.155	.004	.105	.027	.169	.252	.058	.028
PRODUCTION: JULY	.03	.00	.09	.04	.06	.31	.06	.04
$\bar{X}$ AIR TEMPERATURE	.08	.02	ND	ND	.04	.28	.01	ND
MAX. SOIL TEMPERATURE	.11	.06	ND	ND	.04	.26	.01	.03

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE WITHIN YEARS, BETWEEN CONTROL AND DEVELOPMENT STAND PAIRS, SUCH AS V10 AND V11 (PINYON - JUNIPER WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL AND DEVELOPMENT SITES).

Table 18
 LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS
 SUMMARY FOR 1978 and 1979*
 GEOKINETICS, INC.,
 LOFRECO INSITU SHALE OIL RETORT
 FIELD RESEARCH SITE
 UTAH COUNTY, UTAH

102

GROUND COVER:

PARAMETERS	1978		1978		1978		1978	
	V10	V11	V20	V21	V30	V31	V40	V41
PRODUCTION: JULY	.09	.19	.01	.04	.04	.05	.10	.05
AUGUST	.04	.12	.17	.06	.08	.09	.10	.12
	1979		1979		1979		1979	
	V10	V11	V20	V21	V30	V31	V40	V41
PRODUCTION: JULY	.09	.19	.01	.04	.04	.05	.10	.05
MAX. SOIL TEMPERATURE	.02	.11	ND	ND	.01	.01	.05	.02

* THESE COMPARISONS ARE WITHIN YEARS, BETWEEN CONTROL AND DEVELOPMENT STAND PAIRS, SUCH AS V10 AND V11 (PINYON - JUNIPER WOODLANDS, SOUTH-FACING) (CONTROL AND DEVELOPMENT SITES).

TABLE 19
 LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS
 SELECTED VALUES

	JULY PRODUCTION	AUGUST PRODUCTION	HERB DENSITY	GROUND COVER
MEAN AIR TEMPERATURE	.40/- .25 [†]	ND	ND	ND
MEAN SOIL TEMPERATURE	.67/.62	ND	.69/.84	-.16/ND
MEAN MAXIMUM SOIL SURFACE TEMPERATURE	-.36/-.01	ND	ND	ND
MAY, JUNE, JULY PRECIPITATION	.07/-.28	ND	ND	ND
TOTAL GROWING SEASON PRECIPITATION	.00/.38	.07/ND	ND	ND

[†] 1978/1979 data

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The two year intensive baseline study of vegetation, ecoclimate, and soils has shown the presence of four distinct vegetation environments. These plant-soil-ecoclimate units have significance to a general understanding of the natural system on the LOFRECO site and to impact mitigation and reclamation of areas disturbed by the experimental in-situ retorting operations on the site. Significant results of the two year baseline can be partitioned in to the following categories, as will be discussed below:

- The significance of populations of rare and endangered plants species occurring on the LOFRECO site
- The significance of herbaceous productivity to large herbivores, particularly domestic cattle
- The significance of vegetation structural features; and soils and ecoclimatic conditions to mitigating impacts and to the successful reclamation of disturbed areas
- The significance of the baseline data to making future separations between man-induced perturbations and natural trends and/or cycles

Rare and Endangered Species

The bulk of the plant species listed as rare, restricted, endangered, and/or threatened are apparently restricted to special environmental conditions, such as those that prevail on the LOFRECO site. Many of these conditions are listed in the literature (Welsh et al. 1975) as soil related. These same environmental conditions are common to the Uintah Basin, as well. As a result, the populations occurring on the LOFRECO site do not apparently represent a critical segment of these species' range. In fact, the majority of the rare and endangered species found on the LOFRECO site are locally common in fair abundance. It is also possible that the endemic nature of many of the rare and endangered species in the Uintah Basin may not be truly endemic, but rather epibiotic, indicating that these plants are of young species that have not expanded their range outside the limits of

the basin. On the other hand, the energy resource development currently affecting the southern Uintah Basin may pose a threat to these plants, which are considered a valuable natural resource in themselves.

Three areas of consideration have been given to the rare and endangered species on the LOFRECO site in this report:

- Development of preliminary distribution information showing that the occurrence of most large population segments are on areas outside the development zone on the LOFRECO site
- Location and mapping of individual species is in progress in order to provide the Bureau of Land Management with information useful to this agency in monitoring regional populations of rare and endangered species
- Development of quantitative information significant to documentation of species occurrence and to the understanding of specific habitat affinities of the species found

Herbaceous Productivity Relationships to Large Herbivores

The primary herbaceous productivity of the LOFRECO site is extremely limited. Table 20 represents production on the LOFRECO site in comparison with that of other areas in the region. This table illustrates that the production values discussed in this report are substantially lower than regional values. Sagebrush uplands are similar to other sites during years of greater rainfall (1979 values on Table 20). The lower rainfall received during 1978 had an apparent direct influence on herbaceous standing crop. The increased spring rainfall received during 1979 increased the herbaceous production values to a significant level in terms of available forage (if not in terms of statistical significance). Important in this increased production is the production of blue grama grass, western wheatgrass, and other perennial grasses. Half-shrubs also respond positively to increased moisture, although not as directly as the grasses. The net result of the productivity relationships with precipitation is the cyclic nature of this correlation. Wetter years will produce additional forage, most available

in late spring and early summer. During drier years, the inverse will occur. Total changes in production are, however, not significant between years and not substantial enough to increase animal unit months on any given site. Another important feature of the cyclic nature of the herbaceous productivity is the stable nature of the shrub resource. Sagebrush is utilized by both cattle and big game (more by the former), and may supply an important source of browse during dry years or periods. This factor is significant in considering revegetation plans, and indicates the advisability of including shrubs (as transplants, particularly) in revegetated areas. Moreover, the shrub islands of the upland sagebrush sites tend to increase snow catchment and increase rainfall interception, thus increasing the effectiveness of any precipitation received by a site.

Importance of Vegetation Structure, Soils and Ecoclimatic Conditions to Reclamation of Disturbed Areas

The major factor interaction between ecoclimate and vegetation is the interrelationship between temperature and moisture, and the shrub or tree canopy. In general, the vegetation canopy tends to mitigate some of the extreme influences of temperature and aid in accumulating precipitation as snow and rain. The canopy also tends to obviate some positive effects of climate, such as incident light and rainfall received at the surface of dense shrub communities. Soil conditions also interact with vegetation, especially in terms of their low nutrient status and textural properties. Through these conditions they limit growth in terms of biomass accumulation and germination as a result of single factor interactions or combinations of factors, such as available soil moisture; the dessicating effects of vesicular and channery soils; and soil toxigens (Thatcher and Hart 1979).

The information obtained on these parameters is given priority in its usefulness to the reclamation of the four vegetation types that may be disturbed during the development of the LOFRECO site. These data reveal that the primary problem areas potentially encountered in any revegetation effort on the LOFRECO site and their potential solutions are as follows:

- The control of surface temperature and associated soil water budgets, by using mulches and roughened surfaces
- Increasing interception, accumulation, and effectiveness of any precipitation received, as in open, rough-surfaced shrub stands
- Maintaining moderate air temperature maximums by favoring vertical mixing of air, as in open shrub communities
- Enhancing maximum biomass accumulation in revegetated sites by mitigating soil hazards, such as erosion and toxicity, and increasing soil nutrient status
- Avoiding germination failure through seed dessication, by not developing vesicular soil conditions on channery soils
- Decrease invasion of annuals by increasing conditions favorable to perennials (especially grasses), such as roughened soils and increased effective soil moisture

The Use of Baseline Data in Distinguishing Man-Induced Perturbations From Natural Trends and Cycles

The comparisons of various baseline data by means of coefficient of similarity and analysis of variance tests demonstrate that there are no significant differences between control and development sites or between the same sites among the two baseline years that will interfere with the monitoring of natural cycles and trends during development activities. The level of sensitivity of these tests is sufficiently high to assure detection of natural changes based on the parameters measured and their behavior over the two year period.

The majority of perturbation on the LOFRECO site related to development activities are of a gross nature, such as direct disturbance or removal of the natural vegetation environment. The baseline data provides an adequate basis from which to monitor the success of revegetation in terms of restoring essential vegetation environment conditions.

The effects of potential increased toxigens released in ambient air as a result of any development-related activities can be monitored through

subsequent changes in vegetation type species composition, structure, or productivity. The relationship between vegetation parameters and changes in physical environmental parameters are well-documented and can be used to distinguish between natural and man-induced effects. Potential effects of increased soil toxicity as the result of retort operations are not anticipated, as shown by the sampling of soil overlying retorts.

The following ecoclimatic parameters will be of importance in distinguishing between perturbations and natural cycles:

- Correlations between spring precipitation and perennial grass production
- Correlations between air and soil surface maximum temperatures and perennial grass and half-shrub production

Baseline study methodology and sampling locations are well-documented and amenable to reproducibility during future years. The ranges of values obtained for the parameters studied have been shown to be reliable in making repetitive samples that equally characterize the natural system on the LOFRECO site.

TABLE
REGIONAL COMPARISONS
MEAN PRIMARY HERBACEOUS PRODUCTIVITY
LOFRECO SITE
1978-1979
Kg/Ha

VEGETATION TYPE	NORTHWESTERN ⁺ COLORADO 1975	LOWER UINTAH ['] BASIN 1975	LOFRECO [•] SITE 1978	LOFRECO [•] SITE 1979
Pinyon-Juniper Woodlands	219★	88	21	23
Sagebrush Uplands	520	510	311	564
Sagebrush Bottomlands	312	NO DATA	132	15

★ measurements taken during June in each of sites are for standing live herbaceous biomass

+ after C-b Shale Oil Venture, 1977

' after While River Shale Project, 1976

• values given are for July samples

LITERATURE CITED

- Brady, N.C. 1974. The nature and properties of soils. 8th edition. Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc. New York.
- Bradley, R.S. 1976. Precipitation history of the Rocky Mountain states. Westview special studies: Institute for arctic and alpine research studies in high altitude geo-ecology. Westview Press, Boulder, Co.
- Branson, F. A. and L. M. Shown. 1975. Soil-moisture stress as related to plant-moisture stress in big sagebrush. JRM 28: 212-215.
- Branson, F.A., R.F. Miller, and I.S. McQueen. 1976. Moisture relationships in twelve northern desert shrub communities near Grand Junction, Colorado. Ecology. 57: 1104-1124.
- Cable, D.R. 1975. Influence of precipitation on perennial grass production in the semidesert southwest. Ecology 56: 981-986.
- C-b Shale Oil Venture 1977. Oil Shale Tract C-b, Environmental Baseline Program, Final Report (November 1974 through October 1976), Volume IV, Ecology.
- Cochran, W.G. 1977. Sampling Techniques. 3rd Edition. Wiley and Sons. New York.
- Cottam, G. and J.T. Curtis. 1955. The use of distance measures in phytosociological sampling. Ecology 37: 451-460.
- Cronquist, A., A.H. Holmgren, N.H. Holmgren, and J.L. Reveal. 1972. Intermountain Flora: Vascular Plants of the Intermountain West, USA. Volume One: Geological and Botanical History of the Region, Its Plant Geography and a Glossary. The Vascular Cryptogams and the Gymnosperms. New York Botanical Garden. Hafner. New York.
- _____. 1977. Intermountain Flora: Vascular Plants of the Intermountain West, USA. Volume Six: The Monocotyledons. New York Botanical Garden. Hafner. New York.

- Dina, S.J., L.G. Klickoff, and M.B. Keddington. 1973. Seasonal water potential patterns in the mountain brush zone, Utah. *Am. Midl. Nat.* 89: 234-239.
- Greenwood, L.R. and J.D. Brotherson. 1978. Ecological relationships between Pinyon-Juniper and True mountain mahogany stands in the Uintah Basin, Utah. *JRM* 31: 164-168.
- Halligan, J.P. 1976. Toxicity of *Artemisia Californica* to four associated herb species. *Am. Midl. Naturalist*, 95:406-421.
- Harrington, H.D. 1964. Manual of the plant of Colorado. Swallow Press Chicago.
- Hilmon, J.B. 1958. Determination of herbage weight by double sampling: weight estimate and actual weight. IN: Techniques and Methods of Measuring Understory Vegetation. Proceedings of a Symposium at Tifton, Georgia: 20-25.
- Kearney, T.H. and R.H. Peebles. 1960. Arizona Flora. 2nd Edition with a supplement by J.T. Howell and E. McClintock. University of California Press. Berkeley.
- Lindsey, A. A. 1955. Testing the line-strip method against full tallies in diverse forest types. *Ecology* 36: 567-586.
- Nelson, A. 1909. Contributions from the Rocky Mountain Herbarium VIII, *Botanical Gazette*: 425-433.
- Olgeirson, E. R. 1977. Microenvironment. IN: Oil Shale Tract, C-b. Environmental Baseline Program, Final Report, Volume 4, Ecology, Chapter 3.
- _____, 1979a. Ecoclimate Studies: Geokinetics Shale Group. Environmental Research Plan. Concord, California.
- _____, 1979b. Soil Studies: Geokinetics Shale Group. Environmental Research Plan. Concord, California.
- _____, 1979c. Productivity Studies: Geokinetics Shale Group. Environmental Research Plan. Concord, California.
- _____, and R. Martin. 1978. Vegetation Studies, Preliminary Findings: Geokinetics Shale Group. Environmental Research Plan. Concord, California.

- Platt, J.R. 1976. Effects of water stress and temperature on germination of true mountain mahogany. JRM 29: 138-140.
- Stoecker, R.E. 1979. Wildlife Studies: Geokinetics Shale Group. Environmental Research Plan. Concord, California.
- Thatcher, A.P. and V.L. Hart. 1974. Spy Mesa yields better understanding of Pinyon-Juniper in range ecosystem. JRM 27: 354-357.
- Tueller, P.R., and J.E. Clark. 1975. Autecology of Pinyon-Juniper species of the Great Basin and Colorado Plateau. In: The Pinyon-Juniper ecosystem: a symposium. Utah State University, College of Natural Resources. Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, Logna, Utah. 194pp.
- U. S. Government Printing Office. 1975. Report on endangered and threatened plant species of the United States. House Document No. 94-51.
- Vale, T.R. 1975. Presettlement Vegetation in the sagebrush-grass area of the Intermountain west. JRM 28: 32-36.
- Welsh, S.L., N.D. Atwood, and J.L. Reveal. 1975. Endangered, threatened, extinct, and rare or restricted Utah vascular plants. The Great Basin Naturalist 35: 327-376.
- Welsh, S. A. 1979. Illustrated manual of proposed endangered and threatened plants of Utah. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Denver, Colorado.
- West, N.E. and G. F. Gifford. 1976. Rainfall interception by cool-desert shrubs. JRM 29: 171-172.
- White River Shale Project 1976. First Year Environmental Baseline Report, Federal Prototype Oil Shale Leasing Program, Tracts U-a and U-b, Utah, Volume 2.
- Wilm, H.G., D.G. Costello, and G.E. Klipple 1944. Estimating forage yield by the double sampling method. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 36: 194-203.
- Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, 1976. Guideline No. 3, Land Quality Division, Parameters for Determining Soil Suitability.

REFERENCES

- Arnold, Joseph F. 1964. Zonation of Understory Vegetation Around a Juniper Tree. JRM. Vol. 17. 41-42.
- Aldon, Eael F. and Springfield H.W. 1973. The Southwestern Pinyon-Juniper Ecosytem: A Bibliography, USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report RM-4, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Bamber, S.A., A.T. Vollmer, G.E. Kleinkpf, and T.L. Ackerman. Acomparison of seasonal primary production of Mojave Desert shrubs during wet and dry years. Am. Midl. Nat. 95: 398-405.
- Barneby, R.C. A New Monocephalous Parthenium. Wappingers Falls, New York.
- Brayton Robert and Mooney H.A. 1966. Population Variability of Cercocarpus in the White Mountains of California as Related to Habitat. Dep. of Botany, University of California, Los Angeles. Vol. 20. 838-391.
- Brayton Robert and Mooney H.A. 1966. Population Variability of Cercocarpus in the White Mountains of California as Related to Habitat. Dep. of Botany, University of California, Los Angeles. Vol. 20. 383-391.
- Cable, D.R. 1980. Seasonal Patterns of soil water recharge and extraction on Senidesrr ranges. JRM 33; 9-16.
- Campell, G.S., G.A. Harris. 1977. Water relationsand water use patterns for Artemisia tridentata Nutt. in wet and dry years. Ecology 58: 652-659.
- Daubenmire, Rexford F. 1942. An Ecological Study of the Vegetation of Southeastern Washington and Adjacent Idaho. Eco. Monog. Vol. 12: 55-78.
- Dwyer, Don D. Response of Livestock Forage to Manipulation of the Pinyon-Juniper Ecosytem Range, Science Department, Utah State University, Logon, Utah 84322. 97-101.
- Fetcher, N., M.J. Trlica. 1980. Influence of climate on annual production of seven cold desert forage species. JRM 33: 35-37.

- Hurst, William D. Management Strategies Within the Pinyon-Juniper Ecosystem. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture. Albuquerque, New Mexico. 187-192.
- Nelson, Aven, 1909. Contributions from the Rocky Mountain Herbarium. VIII, Botanical Gazette. 425-433.
- Rosiore R.E., J.D. Wallace, and R.F. Beck 1975. Cattle Diets on Semidesert Grassland: Nutritive Content JRM 28 (2) : 94-96
- Tausch, R.J., P.T. Tueller. 1977. Plant succession following chaining of Pinyon-Juniper woodlands in eastern Nevada. JRM 30: 44-49.
- Utah big game range inventory 1971. Publ. No. 72-10 State of Utah, Dept. of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife Resources.