MASTER

Evaluation of the ^{232}Th Neutron Capture
Cross Section Above 3 keV

G. de Saussure
R. L. Macklin

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
OPERATED BY UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION · FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Printed in the United States of America. Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161
Price: Printed Copy \$5.25; Microfiche \$3.00

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, nor assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed in this report, nor represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

Neutron Physics Division

EVALUATION OF THE ^{232}Th NEUTRON CAPTURE CROSS SECTION ABOVE 3 keV

G. de Saussure and R. L. Macklin*

NOTICE
This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

*Physics Division.

Date Published - December 1977

Prepared by the
OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT.	ix
I. INTRODUCTION.	1
II. REVIEW OF THE DIRECT CAPTURE MEASUREMENTS ABOVE 2 keV	2
A. Measurements at 24 keV.	2
B. Activation Measurements with Neutrons from Particle Reactions.	6
1. Linenberger and Miskel (1946)	6
2. Miskel, Marsh, Lindner and Nagle (1962)	8
3. Lindner, Nagle and Landrum (1975)	8
4. Barry, O'Connor and Perkin (1959)	10
5. Hanna and Rose (1958)	10
6. Stavisskii and Tolstikov (1961)	12
7. Tolstikov, Sherman and Stavisskii (1963).	12
8. Stupegia, Smith and Hamm (1962)	12
C. Measurements by Time of Flight.	16
1. Block and Slaughter (1960).	16
2. Moxon and Chaffey (1963).	16
3. Macklin, Pasma and Gibbons (1963)	16
4. Forman, Schelberg, Warren and Glass (1971).	18
5. Macklin and Halperin (1977)	18
6. Stavisskii, Tolstikov, Chelnokov, Samsonov and Bergman.	18
III. AVERAGE ^{232}Th RESONANCE PARAMETERS.	19
IV. EVALUATION OF A "BEST" CAPTURE CROSS SECTION ABOVE 3 keV. . .	27
V. ESTIMATED UNCERTAINTIES IN THE EVALUATED CAPTURE CROSS SECTION.	33

	<u>Page</u>
VI. CONCLUSIONS	37
VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	38
REFERENCES	39
APPENDIX	44

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
I. Direct Measurements of the ^{232}Th Capture Cross Section above 2 keV.	4
II. Neutron Capture Cross Section near 24 keV	5
III. Renormalization of the Data of Linenberger and Miskel . .	7
IV. Renormalization of the Data of Miskel <i>et al.</i>	9
V. Renormalization of the Data of Barry <i>et al.</i>	11
VI. Renormalization of the Data of Stavisskii and Tolstikov .	13
VII. Renormalization of the Data of Tolstikov <i>et al.</i>	14
VIII. Renormalization of the Data of Stupiega <i>et al.</i>	15
IX. Renormalization of the Data of Stavisskii <i>et al.</i>	17
X. Comparison of Measured Average Resonance Parameters for ^{232}Th	24
XI. Evaluated Average Resonance Parameters for ^{232}Th	25
XII. Evaluated Average Capture Cross Section in the Unresolved Resonance Range.	29
XIII. Evaluated Capture Cross Section above 50 keV.	34

**THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK**

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
1. Comparison of several measurements of the $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ cross section with the present evaluation in the range 3 to 50 keV. The data have been renormalized as described in the text.	20
2. Comparison of several measurements of the $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ cross section with the present evaluation in the range 3 to 50 keV. The data have been renormalized as described in the text.	21
3. Comparison of several measurements of the $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ cross section with the present evaluation in the range 10 keV to 4 MeV. The data have been renormalized as described in the text.	22
4. Comparison of several measurements of the $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ cross section with the present evaluation in the range 10 keV to 4 MeV. The data have been renormalized as described in the text.	23
5. $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ effective cross section at several energies. This figure is reproduced from the paper of Macklin and Halperin (ref. 24)	32
6. $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ cross section. Comparison of this evaluation with ENDF/B-IV	35

THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

ABSTRACT

This memo describes an evaluation of the ^{232}Th neutron capture cross section in the neutron energy range from 3 keV to 20 MeV. Most existing differential measurements are reviewed, and some data are renormalized to current values of the standards. Several experimentally determined sets of average resonance parameters are also discussed.

From 3 to 50 keV the evaluated cross section is described by a set of average statistical resonance parameters. Above 50 keV the evaluated capture cross section is a smooth curve which follows the trend of the most recent measurements.

The evaluated capture cross section is compared with many measurements and uncertainty estimates are given.

I. INTRODUCTION

The representation of the ^{232}Th cross sections in the present version of ENDF/B, Version IV, is probably inadequate for the calculation of reactor performance. Indeed, most of the Version IV Th evaluation was taken unchanged from the 1966 Version I evaluation of Wittkopf *et al.*¹ and, hence, ignores the results of several measurements done in the past 10 years.²

This note describes an evaluation of the ^{232}Th neutron capture cross section above 3 keV, which is part of a larger effort at ORNL and elsewhere to reevaluate the cross sections of Th and ^{233}U .

In the next section we review the direct measurements of the ^{232}Th capture cross section above 2 keV. Several measurements are renormalized to current values of the standards; the results of other measurements are rejected.

In Section III we review several experimental determinations of the ^{232}Th average resonance parameters and we evaluate a best set of parameters for the neutron energy range 0 to 50 keV.

In Section IV we evaluate the capture cross section above 3 keV. Below 50 keV, the cross section should be represented by "statistical parameters" so that the self-shielding may be properly computed; in that region the best parameters evaluated in Section III appear to yield an acceptable compromise between several inconsistent direct capture measurements. Above 50 keV the evaluated capture cross-section

is a smooth curve which follows the trend of the most recent measurements.

In Section V we attempt to estimate the errors in the evaluated cross section and discuss some of the assumptions used.

II. REVIEW OF THE DIRECT CAPTURE MEASUREMENTS ABOVE 2 keV

We have examined some 20 measurements, reported over a period of more than 30 years and listed in Table I.³⁻²⁴ We will discuss first the measurements done with "24 keV" neutrons from Sb-Be sources or from Fe-filtered beams, then the activation measurements done with monoenergetic neutrons produced by charged particle reactions using an electrostatic accelerator, finally the measurements done by the time-of-flight technique or by the lead-slowing-down technique.

A. Measurements at 24 keV

Some values of the 24 keV capture cross section for ^{232}Th as well as for ^{127}I , ^{197}Au and ^{238}U are compared in Table II.

The measurements of Belanova^{5,11,19} were done with Sb-Be neutrons and by the spherical shell transmission method. The differences between the 1958 and 1964 values, for both ^{232}Th and ^{238}U , exceed six times the errors quoted. The self-shielding and multiple scattering corrections to the measurements are important, must be obtained by a Monte Carlo calculation and are sensitive functions of the poorly known neutron transport parameters around 24 keV. It is beyond the scope of our evaluation to recompute these corrections.²⁵ On the other hand,

it is difficult to choose between the value with a small error reported in 1958 and that with a much larger error reported in 1964, and it does not make much sense to average between two values so inconsistent. For these reasons, we decided to ignore the result of the spherical shell transmission method altogether.

The activation measurements of Macklin *et al.*⁴ and of Chaubey and Sehgal¹⁸ were also done with Sb-Be neutrons, and were done relative to the ^{127}I capture cross section which was taken to be $.82 \pm .06$ b, following a separate measurement of Macklin.⁴ Macklin *et al.* determined the amount of ^{233}Th produced by detecting with a NaI crystal the .310 MeV gamma radiation from the ^{233}Pa daughter; they assumed .9 γ rays of .310 MeV per disintegration and apparently did not correct for the fact that approximately 50% of the transitions are internally converted and, hence, could not have been detected.²⁶ If we correct the value reported by Macklin *et al.* for the reduction in efficiency due to the internal conversion, we obtain a cross-section value near 1 b., which is considerably higher than all the other reported values. We further note that the cross-section obtained by Macklin *et al.*⁴ for Au is also larger by a factor of two than the presently accepted value. For these reasons we decided to also ignore the ^{232}Th measurement of Macklin *et al.*

Chaubey and Sehgal measured the Th activation by detecting the β -rays from ^{233}Th in a thin window beta counter. Since more than 97% of the ^{233}Th β -rays are either to the ground state or to very low energy states of ^{233}Pa , there is no important efficiency correction.²⁶ We also note that the value of the Au capture cross-section reported by Chaubey

Table I. Direct Measurements of the ^{232}Th Capture Cross Section above 2 KeV

Year	Authors	Energy Range (keV)	Points	Comments
1946	Linenberger and Miskel ³	3-390	7	Activation
1957	Macklin, Lazar and Lyon ⁴	24	1	Sb-Be Source, Activation
1958	Belanova ⁵	25., 220., 830	3	Spherical Shell Transmission
1958	Leipunski <i>et al.</i> ⁵		1	Activation
1958	Perkin, O'Connor and Coleman ⁷	14500.	1	Activation
1959	Barry, G'Connor and Perkin ⁸	300-1200	10	Activation
1959	Hanna and Rose ⁹	100-1230	13	Activation
1960	Block and Slaughter ¹⁰	up to 6.	10	Time-of-flight
1961	Belanova ¹¹	220.	1	Spherical Shell Transmission
1961	Stavisskii <i>et al.</i> ¹²	30-964	25	Activation
1962	Miskel <i>et al.</i> ¹³	32-3970	26	Activation
1963	Tolstikov <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴	5.5-102.	10	Activation
1963	Moxon and Chaffey ¹⁵	3-143.	98	Time-of-flight
1963	Stupegia, Smith and Hamm ¹⁶	191-1170	22	Activation
1964	Macklin, Pasma and Gibbons ¹⁷	10-60	136	Time-of-flight
1965	Chaubey and Sehgal ¹⁸	24	1	Sb-Be Source, Activation
1966	Belanova <i>et al.</i> ¹⁹	24	1	Spherical Shell Transmission
1971	Lindner <i>et al.</i> ²⁰	121-2730	30	Activation
1971	Forman <i>et al.</i> ²¹	up to 30.	28	Time-of-flight
1971	Stavisskii <i>et al.</i> ²²	up to 35.	10	Lead-slowing-down Spectrometer
1976	Yamamuro <i>et al.</i> ²³	24	1	Fe-filtered beam
1977	Macklin and Halperin ²⁴	2-800.	(95)	Time-of-flight

Table II. Neutron Capture Cross Sections near 24 keV

^{127}I	^{197}Au	^{232}Th	^{238}U	Author (year)	Method
		.457±.004	.572±.007	Belanova (1958) ⁵	Sb-Be, Shell Transmission
	.57±.03	.615±.025	.412±.018	Belanova (1964) ¹⁹	Sb-Be, Shell Transmission
(.82±.06)	1.12±.11	.5±.1	.61±.061	Macklin <i>et al.</i> (1957) ⁴	Sb-Be, Activation
(.82±.06)	.5±.035	.48±.05		Chaubey and Sehgal (1965) ¹⁸	Sb-Be, Activation
.75±.045	.68±.05	.49±.04	.50±.035	Yamamuro <i>et al.</i> (1976) ²³	Fe-filtered beam
.769	.664		.491	ENDF/B-IV	(evaluation)

Values in parenthesis were taken as standard.

and Sehgal agrees much better with present estimates than did that of Macklin *et al.* Hence, we accept the value of Chaubey and Sehgal as given.

The measurements of Yamamuro *et al.*²³ were done with 24 keV neutrons from an electron Linac filtered through 15 cm of iron.²⁷ The prompt capture gamma rays were detected by two C₆F₆ scintillators, using the pulse height weighting technique to determine the absolute capture probability. The flux was measured by using a thick ¹⁰B sample. The ²³²Th capture cross section reported by Yamamuro *et al.* agrees well with that of Chaubey and Sehgal. However for I-127 and Au-197, there are small discrepancies between the values reported by the two groups; this suggests that the good agreement for ²³²Th may be somewhat fortuitous. It must be remembered, too, that the energy distribution of the Fe-filtered neutrons is not exactly that of the Sb-Be source.

B. Activation Measurements with Neutrons from Particle Reactions

1. Linenberger and Miskel (1946)³

This was probably the first ²³²Th capture cross-section measurement in the keV range. The neutrons were produced by the Li(p,n) and D(d,n) reactions, the capture cross-sections at 7 neutron energies were measured relative to the ²³⁵U fission cross-section. The cross-section ratio was normalized at thermal energies using neutrons from a graphite thermal column. The results, as reported, are listed in the first three columns of Table III. Col. 4 has the ratio of ²³²Th capture cross-section (col. 3) to the ²³⁵U fission cross-section (col. 2). This ratio was normalized to a value $6.03/545 = .01106$ at

Table III. Renormalization of the Data of Linenberger and Miskel³

(1) E (keV)	(2) σ_f^{25} (b)	(3) $\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})$ (b)	(4) Ratio	(5) Renormalized	(6) σ_f^{25} (b)	(7) $\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})$ (b)
3 $\pm$ 3	6.32	.958	.152	.174	5.00	.870
16 $\pm$ ¹² ₇	2.80	.568	.203	.233	2.48	.578
33 $\pm$ ³⁵ ₂₀	2.44	.574	.235	.270	1.98	.535
67 $\pm$ ⁴⁰ ₃₅	2.10	.414	.197	.226	1.74	.393
98 $\pm$ ³⁵ ₃₀	1.94	.363	.187	.215	1.57	.338
195 $\pm$ ⁵ ₂₀	1.65	.278	.168	.193	1.30	.252
390 $\pm$ ⁵ ₂₀	1.46	.167	.114	.131	1.19	.156

(4) is normalized to a thermal ratio³ 6.03/545 = .01106

(5) is renormalized to a thermal ratio²⁸ 7.4/582.8 = .01270

(6) From M. R. Bhat³²

(7) Obtained using col. (5) and σ_f^{25} from (6). Errors estimated at $\pm 10\%$.

thermal. In col. 5 we give the same ratio renormalized to a thermal value $7.4/582.8 = .01270$. This last ratio was obtained by using the cross sections at .0253 eV recommended in the 1973 Edition of BNL-325.²⁸ Since the Wescott g-factors²⁹ for ^{235}U fission³⁰ and ^{232}Th capture^{2,31} near room temperature are of the order of .98, the ratio of the cross sections at .0253 eV is within 2% of the ratio averaged over a thermal spectrum. In col. 6 of Table III, we list ENDF/B-V ^{235}U fission cross-sections obtained from an evaluation of M. R. Bhat.³² The renormalized ^{232}Th capture cross-sections are listed in the last col. of Table III. No errors are reported for the measurement, but in a plot of their data, the authors show 10% error bars. This probably represents their estimate of the errors.

2. Miskel, Marsh, Lindner and Nagle (1962)¹³

The Th activation was determined by measurement of the β -activity of its 27.4-day daughter ^{233}Pa , with a calibrated end-window proportional counter. The neutron flux was measured with a ^{235}U fission chamber, and the ^{235}U fission cross-sections reported by Allen and Henkel³³ were used. In Table IV we show how we have renormalized the reported ^{232}Th capture cross section from the ^{235}U fission cross-section of Allen and Henkel to that recently evaluated by Bhat.³² The authors have estimated their maximum error to be 10%.

3. Lindner, Nagle and Landrum (1975)²⁰

In this experiment the Th activation was measured by detecting the ^{233}Pa β -activity in a counter calibrated with a source of ^{233}Pa in equilibrium with a known quantity of ^{237}Np . The neutron flux was

Table IV. Renormalization of the Data of Miskel *et al.*¹³

(1) E (keV)	(2) $\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})$ (mb)	(3) σ_f^{25} (b)	(4) Ratio	(5) σ_f^{25} (b)	(6) $\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})$ (mb)
32±9	819	2.66	.308	2.00	616
42±11	615	2.46	.250	1.94	485
59±13	409	2.21	.185	1.78	329
69±4	363	2.10	.173	1.72	297
84±16	350	1.97	.178	1.56	277
118±18	306	1.76	.174	1.526	265
112±11	217	1.79	.121	1.54	187
176±21	204	1.56	.131	1.36	178
240±40	177	1.45	.122	1.254	153
247±24	219	1.44	.152	1.25	190
255±10	141	1.43	.0986	1.24	122
430±23	148	1.27	.117	1.17	136
580±70	153	1.21	.126	1.12	142
710±15	145	1.18	.123	1.095	135
790±21	157	1.18	.133	1.098	146
850±20	112	1.17	.0957	1.119	107
870±80	148	1.17	.126	1.14	144
990±50	133	1.28	.106	1.213	128
1000±90	138	1.26	.110	1.214	133
1610±40	99.7	1.30	.0767	1.26	96.6
1790±80	84	1.30	.0646	1.275	82.4
2000±90	61	1.31	.0466	1.284	59.8
2720±40	28	1.27	.0220	1.240	27.3
3000±40	23.1	1.24	.0186	1.224	22.8
3650±70	15.1	1.21	.0125	1.185	14.8
3970±70	13.5	1.20	.01125	1.165	13.1

(3) σ_f^{25} from R. L. Henkel³³(5) σ_f^{25} from M. R. Bhat³²(6) $\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})$ corrected to the 1976 Values of the σ_f^{25} Standard

Errors estimated at ±10%

determined with a ^{235}U fission chamber, using the ENDF/B-IV fission cross-sections.³⁴ There may be a 5% systematic uncertainty associated with the absolute counting system. We have not renormalized those data, though, in the energy range of the measurement (.1 to 2.7 MeV) the preliminary ENDF/B-V values of the ^{235}U fission cross sections are in places as much as 4% below the Version IV values.^{34,35}

4. Barry, O'Connor and Perkin (1959)⁸

In this experiment the neutron flux was monitored by a Hanson-McKibben type long counter. The ^{232}Th activation was measured relative to the activation of a similar sample of ^{238}U , and the ^{232}Th capture cross-section was normalized at 600 keV relative to the ^{238}U capture cross-section assumed to be 132 mb. The errors were estimated to be about 8%. In Table V we have renormalized the values of this measurement to the ENDF/B-IV value of the ^{238}U capture cross-section at 600 keV (114.7 mb).

5. Hanna and Rose (1958)⁹

This measurement was done before 1955. The activity produced in a ^{232}Th sample by a flux of fast neutrons was compared with that produced in a related sample by thermal neutrons. The thermal neutron flux was determined by activation of gold and measurement of its absolute disintegration rate by coincidence counting. The fast neutron flux was measured by a proton recoil proportional counter. The ratio of the ^{232}Th capture cross-section to that of ^{197}Au for thermal neutrons was taken from the work of Crocker³⁶ and of Myasicheva *et al.*³⁷ Those authors report a value $.0741 \pm .0011$ for this ratio. This is consistent

Table V. Renormalization of the Data of Barry *et al.*⁸

E (keV)	$\sigma_{n\gamma}$ (Th) (mb)	Renormalized (mb)
300±90	206±17	179±15
400±80	190±11	165±10
500±75	172±11	149±10
600±73	195±12	169±10
700±69	187±12	162±10
800±67	172±11	149±10
900±66	173±10	150±9
1000±65	120±8	104±7
1100±64	120±8	104±7
1200±62	118±8	103±7

Standard deviations are given; systematic errors are estimated at 8%.

with the presently accepted value²⁸ ($7.40/98.8 = .0749$). Hence, no renormalization of the values of Hanna and Rose is required. The errors reported are between 8 and 10%.

6. Stavisskii and Tolstikov (1961)¹²

This activation measurement was done relative to the capture cross-section of ^{127}I , and, in effect, originally normalized at thermal energies to a value of the ^{127}I thermal capture cross-section 5.66 b.³⁸ In Table VI, we have renormalized the ^{232}Th capture cross-sections as reported in ref. 38 to ENDF/B-IV values of the ^{127}I standard.

7. Tolstikov, Sherman and Stavisskii (1963)¹⁴

This activation measurement was made relative to the $^{10}\text{B}(n,\alpha)$ cross-section, assumed to be $1/v$ in the neutron energy range 1-200 keV. The ^{232}Th cross section was normalized to a value of 390 ± 59 mb obtained in the measurement of Stavisskii and Tolstikov.¹² In Table VII, we have renormalized the cross section to a value of $.49 \pm .04$ b at 24 keV, from the measurements of Chaubey and Sehgal¹⁸ and Yamamuro *et al.*²³ discussed previously.

8. Stupegia, Smith and Hamm (1962)¹⁶

In this activation measurement, the ^{233}Th produced was determined by detecting the ^{233}Th β -rays in a calibrated end-window proportional counter. The neutron flux was measured with a ^{235}U fission chamber. The authors do not report what values of the ^{235}U fission cross-section were used, but those were probably³⁹ the values of the 1965 Edition of BNL-325.⁴⁰ With this assumption, we have renormalized the ^{232}Th capture cross-sections to the ENDF/B-V values of the ^{235}U fission cross sections,

Table VI. Renormalization of the Data of Stavisskii and Tolstikov^{12, 38}

(1) E (keV)	(2) $\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})$ (mb)	(3) $\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{I-127})$ (mb)	(4) $\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{I-127})$ (mb)	(5) $\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})$ (mb)
30±14	596±54	920	691	406±55
41±14	547±55	800	590	367±53
55±14	569±34	670	502	308±46
76±15	403±26	530	396	273±34
100±15	330±18	400	309	232±30
133±18	274±14	285	250	218±27
185±22	218±16	233	220	187±23
221±22	214±11	200	185	180±27
296±27	198±14	175	147	158±20
339±51	167±12	157	150	145±20
313±23	168±7	167	154	141±16
403±24	173±11	145	140	151±18
331±23	161±6	162	151	135±15
422±24	166±8	141	138	148±17
490±25	150±4	130.5	128	134±16
513±26	164±7	128	126	146±17
580±26	167±5	119	120	152±18
605±27	156±12	116.5	117	142±20
677±28	165±10	105	109	155±19
696±29	167±7	103	107	158±28
770±28	173±10	88	98	188±24
865±10	167±10	82	88	163±20
883±30	153±7	81	87	153±17
964±31	149±5	81	84	141±17

(2) Thorium cross section measured

(3) I-127 cross section standard used

(4) I-127 ENDF/B-IV

(5) Renormalized Th-cross section: $.908 \times (\text{col. 2}) \times (\text{col. 4}) / (\text{col. 3})$.
The factor .908 correction is employed in ref. 38.

Table VII. Renormalization of the Data of Tolstikov *et al.*¹⁴

(1)	(2)	(3)
E	$\sigma_{n\gamma}$	$\sigma_{n\gamma}$
(keV)	(mb)	(mb)
5.5±4.7	1648±272	1038±171
11.5±4.8	1112±183	701±115
16.7±4.9	807±141	509±89
19.7±5.0	809±141	509±89
25.7±5.1	764±124	480±78
30.9±5.2	616±117	388±74
40.7±5.4	591±109	372±69
51.1±5.6	370±62	233±39
71.5±6.1	390±60	246±38
102.1±6.7	262±45	165±28

The data reported by Tolstikov *et al.*,¹⁴ col. 2, were normalized to a value 390 mb at 71.5, from the measurement of Stavisskii *et al.*¹² The data of col. 3 are renormalized to an evaluated value of 490±40 mb at 24 keV.

Table VIII. Renormalization of the Data of Stupiega *et al.*¹⁶

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
E	$\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})$	σ_f^{25}	σ_f^{25}	$\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})$
(keV)	(mb)	(b)	(b)	(mb)
191±38	217±15	1.42	1.32	202±15
290±36	183±13	1.32	1.22	169±13
394±36	164±11	1.25	1.19	156±11
482±35	167±11	1.23	1.14	155±11
491±37	193±13	1.22	1.14	180±13
493±36	178±12	1.22	1.14	166±12
493±36	182±12	1.22	1.14	170±12
523±50	180±17	1.21	1.14	170±17
590±36	188±13	1.20	1.11	174±13
684±38	220±15	1.20	1.10	202±15
689±39	197±14	1.19	1.10	182±14
705±50	210±20	1.19	1.09	192±20
785±37	213±14	1.17	1.10	200±14
791±32	196±13	1.17	1.10	184±13
809±50	180±17	1.17	1.10	169±17
885±38	176±12	1.20	1.16	170±12
887±43	195±13	1.20	1.16	189±13
978±38	165±12	1.24	1.21	161±12
988±38	152±11	1.25	1.21	147±11
1086±39	152±11	1.27	1.22	146±11
1091±39	156±10	1.27	1.22	150±10
1170±43	152±11	1.27	1.23	147±11

²³⁵U fission cross sections of col. 3, from Ref. 40, of Col. 4, from Ref. 32; col. 5 has the renormalized ²³²Th capture cross sections.

as evaluated by Bhat.³² This renormalization is shown on Table VIII.

C. Measurements by Time of Flight

1. Block and Slaughter (1960)¹⁰

The capture cross section was measured up to 6 keV with a ^{232}Th sample of 588 b/atom in a large liquid scintillator tank located on a 11.5 m flight path at the ORNL fast chopper. Only preliminary values were given, not corrected for multiple scattering or resonance self-shielding. Because final data have never been reported, the results of this measurement will be disregarded.

2. Moxon and Chaffey (1963)¹⁵

The measurement was done at the Harwell Linac, the capture gamma rays were detected with a "Moxon-Rae" detector on a 30 m flight path; the neutron spectrum was determined by measuring the capture γ -rays from the $^{10}\text{B}(n,\alpha)$ reaction, assumed to be $1/v$. The normalization was done with the "black-resonance technique". We have not renormalized these data.

3. Macklin, Pasma and Gibbons (1963)¹⁷

The measurement was done with a Moxon-Rae detector at the ORNL 3-MeV Van de Graaff, relative to the Ta capture cross-section which was taken as $8.6 E^{-.697}$, where E is the neutron energy in keV and where the cross section is given in barns.⁴¹ The capture cross sections of several nuclei were measured, but the data on ^{238}U and ^{232}Th were never published because the high activity due to the associated radioactive decay chains produced large backgrounds which made the reduction of the data unreliable. Preliminary values transmitted to the NNCSC in

Table IX. Renormalization of the data of Stavisskii *et al.*²²

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
E	$\frac{\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})}{\sigma_f^{25}}$	σ_f^{25}	$\sigma_{n\gamma}(\text{Th})$
(keV)		(b)	(mb)
34.6	0.20±0.03	1.977	395±60
24.2	0.21±0.03	2.127	447±70
17.3	0.24±0.03	2.39	574±60
12.5	0.25±0.03	2.75	688±70
9.5	0.26±0.03	3.025	786±80
7.5	0.25±0.03	3.165	790±80
6.0	0.27±0.03	3.60	970±100
5.0	0.27±0.03	4.00	1080±110
4.0	0.28±0.03	4.40	1230±125
3.2	0.29±0.03	5.00	1450±150

The ²³⁵U fission cross-section values of col. 3 were taken from the work of Bhat.³²

1964 have been much used, but will be ignored in this evaluation. (For ^{238}U , these preliminary data are approximately 20% higher than ENDF/B-IV)

4. Forman, Schelberg, Warren and Glass (1971)²¹

The ^{232}Th capture cross-section was measured from 20 eV to 30 keV, using neutrons from an underground nuclear explosion (Physics-8), a 250 m flight path, modified Moxon-Rae detectors for the γ -cascade measurement and the $^6\text{Li}(n,\alpha)$ reaction for the neutron flux determination. The absolute uncertainties are estimated to be $\pm 15\%$. The capture cross section was normalized to a capture area for the level at 129 eV corresponding to a neutron width of $3.5 \pm .2$ mV.

5. Macklin and Halperin (1977)²⁴

The ^{232}Th capture cross-section was measured from 2.5 to 800 keV, using neutrons from ORELA. The pulse height weighting method was used with small liquid scintillators to measure the prompt gamma ray energy release following neutron capture. The neutron flux was measured with a thin Li^6 glass detector, using a prescription of Uttley slightly modified for the $\text{Li}^6(n,\alpha)$ cross section.⁴² The data were normalized at low energy by the saturated resonance technique.

6. Stavisskii, Tolstikov, Chelnokov, Samsonov and Bergman (1971)²²

This measurement was done with a lead-slowning down pile. The capture cross-section of ^{232}Th was measured relative to the ^{235}U fission cross-section, and normalized at low energy. In Table IX, we have renormalized the results of the measurement to the ENDF/B-V values of the ^{235}U fission cross-sections, as evaluated by M. R. Bhat.³²

Several of the measurements of the ^{232}Th capture cross-section below 1 MeV, renormalized as described, are compared in Fig. 1 to 4. It is clear that even after some poorly documented data have been rejected, and the remaining data have been renormalized, there are systematic discrepancies of the order of 50% in the magnitude of the cross-section and considerable differences in shape. One could hope that, as experimental techniques improve, the more recent measurements would converge towards the real values, but this does not seem to be the case: below 30 keV, for instance, the two most recent measurements of Forman *et al.* (71)²¹ and Macklin and Halperin (77)²⁴ bracket the values of all other measurements. From 600 keV to 800 keV, the cross sections of Macklin and Halperin increase with energy whereas those of Lindner *et al.*²⁰ (76) decrease with increasing energy.

III. AVERAGE ^{232}Th RESONANCE PARAMETERS

Table X lists some experimental values of the ^{232}Th average resonance parameters for s-wave and p-wave neutrons. The values of Ashgar *et al.*,⁴³ Ribon⁴⁴ and Rahn *et al.*⁴⁵ were obtained by statistical analysis of the parameters of the low energy resolved resonances. The values of Seth *et al.*⁴⁶ Uttley *et al.*⁴⁷ and Camarda⁴⁸ were derived from an analysis of transmission curves through several thicknesses of ^{232}Th , for neutrons in the keV energy range. We have not included in Table X older Columbia University⁴⁹ and Harwell values,⁵⁰ which we consider superseded by the more recent values. We have not included either the values of the average parameters obtained by Forman *et al.*²¹ and by Macklin and Halperin²⁴ by fitting their capture data, since the corre-

Fig. 1. Comparison of several measurements of the $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ cross section with the present evaluation in the range 3 to 50 keV. The data have been renormalized as described in the text.

Fig. 2.. Comparison of several measurements of the $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ cross section with the present evaluation in the range 3 to 50 keV. The data have been renormalized as described in the text.

Fig. 3. Comparison of several measurements of the $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ cross section with the present evaluation in the range 10 keV to 4 MeV. The data have been renormalized as described in the text.

Fig. 4. Comparison of several measurements of the $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ cross section with the present evaluation in the range 10 keV to 4 MeV. The data have been renormalized as described in the text.

Table X. Comparison of Measured Average Resonance Parameters for ^{232}Th

	$10^4 S^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_{nr}^{(0)}$ mV	Γ_{γ} mV	$\bar{D}$ eV	$10^4 S^{(1)}$	a 10^{-13}cm	$10^4 S^{(1)}$ ($a=8.3610^{-13}\text{cm}$)
Ashgar <i>et al.</i> (66) ⁴³	.80±.17	1.36	21.53±.77	18.6			
Ribon (69) ⁴⁴	.89±.11	1.51	21.8±1	17±1	1.4±.5	8.60	1.48±.5
Rahn <i>et al.</i> (72) ⁴⁵	.84±.08	1.4±.15	21.2±.3	16.7	~.9	8.67	~1.
Seth <i>et al.</i> (64) ⁴⁶	1.2±.5				.5±.25	8.91	.6±.25
Uttley <i>et al.</i> (66) ⁴⁷	(.8)				1.64±.24	8.295	1.62±.24
Camarda (74) ⁴⁹	(.85)		(21.2)	(16.8)	1.5±.4	8.6	1.59±.4

s-wave parameters in parenthesis were assumed by Uttley *et al.* and by Camarda to derive p-wave strength functions from the measurements.

Table XI. Evaluated Average Resonance Parameters for ^{232}Th

ℓ	J^P	D eV	Γ_{nr} mV	Γ_{γ} mV
0	$1/2^+$	17 ± 1	$1.51 \pm .15$	$21.3 \pm .3$
1	$1/2^-$	17	$2.72 \pm .34$	21.3 ± 3
	$3/2^-$	8.5	1.36	21.3
2	$3/2^+$	8.5	.75	21.3
	$5/2^+$	5.7	.5	21.3

The values of D are proportional to $(2J + 1)^{-1}$. For a given, parity, the values of Γ_{γ} are equal. The uncertainties in the resonance parameters are correlated as described in the text.

sponding capture cross-sections have already been considered. The result of a recent measurement by Kobayashi *et al.*⁵¹ is mostly sensitive to the value of the effective scattering radius, and hence is not very helpful in evaluating average strength functions. The s-wave parameters given in parentheses in Table X were assumed by Uttley *et al.*⁴⁷ and by Camarda⁴⁸ in deriving the corresponding p-wave parameters. The p-wave strength function S^1 is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the nuclear radius, a ; since the several authors use different values for a , the corresponding p-wave strength functions have been corrected to the ENDF/B value of a .⁵² Those corrected values are listed in the last column of the Table.

We shall disregard the strength functions given by Seth *et al.*,⁴⁶ because (1) for both ^{238}U and ^{232}Th , those authors obtain values of the p-wave strength function at least a factor of two smaller than those reported by others, and (2) Seth *et al.* give insufficient information about their experiment and its analysis to evaluate the validity of their results.

In Table XI, we list evaluated resonance parameters for the unresolved energy range 3 to 50 keV. These parameters were obtained as follows:

- (1) The s-wave average reduced neutron width and average spacing of Ribon⁴⁴ were adopted in preference to those of Ashgar *et al.*⁴³ and Rahn *et al.*⁴⁵ for reasons that are discussed in some detail in Derrien's 1974 evaluation.⁵³ The reduced neutron widths of Ashgar *et al.* and of Rahn *et al.* and the average spacing of

Rahn *et al.* are consistent with our adopted value.

- (2) Our evaluated capture width is a weighted average of the values reported by Ashgar *et al.*, Ribon and Rahn *et al.* This average capture width is assumed to be independent of parity and of angular momentum.
- (3) We have obtained a p-wave strength function as the weighted average of the consistent values reported by Ribon, Uttley *et al.* and Camarda. ($10^4 S^1 = 1.6 \pm .2$).
- (4) To derive p-wave and d-wave average parameters, we have assumed that the level density was proportional to $2J + 1$ and independent of parity. We have further assumed that the strength function was only parity dependent (i.e., the same for s-wave and d-wave neutrons).

IV. EVALUATION OF A "BEST" CAPTURE CROSS SECTION ABOVE 3 keV

Fig. 5, from the paper of Macklin and Halperin,²⁴ illustrates the behavior of the ^{232}Th capture cross section in the region from 2.5 to 10.4 keV. We see on the figure that above the resolved resonance region (which we take here at 3 keV) there is still considerable resonance structure. This structure becomes relatively less important as the energy increases, because the Doppler effect broadens the peaks, and because the spacing between large peaks decreases as the p-wave levels become more important. Around 50 keV, the room temperature Doppler width becomes comparable with the p-wave level spacing (5 eV) and the cross-section is expected to be much smoother.

Just above the resolved region, the cross-section must be represented by unresolved resonance parameters so that resonance self-shielding may

be properly computed. At high energies, the cross section may be represented by a smooth curve. The transition between the unresolved resonance representation and the smooth cross section representation is conveniently taken at 50 keV, because, as stated above, the cross sections are expected to be smooth above that energy, and the first inelastic level of ^{232}Th being at 50 keV, the average cross sections below that energy can be represented by a particularly simple formalism, as the sum of s, p and d wave contributions.⁵² (The contribution of neutrons of higher angular momentum is clearly negligible below 50 keV)

In Fig. 1 and 2, we compare the average capture cross-section computed with the evaluated average resonance parameters of Table XI with several of the data discussed in Section II. The capture cross section was computed by the ENDF/B formula given on p. D 15 of reference 52; Table XII lists values of the average capture cross-section computed at several energies. The data shown on the figures are renormalized as indicated in Section II, and the time-of-flight data of Moxon and Chaffey¹⁵ and Macklin and Halperin²⁴ have been averaged over convenient energy intervals for the clarity of the figures.

The computed average cross section is in good agreement with the lead-slowing-down data of Chelnikov *et al.*²² At 24 keV, the computed cross-section, 501 mb, is in very good agreement with the values reported by Chaubey and Sehgal,¹⁸ 480 ± 50 mb, and by Yamamuro *et al.*²³ 490 ± 40 mb. The data of Tolstikov *et al.*,¹⁴ shown in Fig. 2, were normalized to a value 490 mb at 24 keV, as explained in Section II; those data also agree reasonably well with the computed cross section. The differences

Table XII. Evaluated Average Capture Cross Section
in the Unresolved Resonance Range

E(keV)	$\langle \sigma_{n\gamma} \rangle$ (b)
3.0	1.278
5.0	.982
10.0	.730
15.0	.618
20.0	.545
25.0	.491
30.0	.450
35.0	.416
40.0	.389
45.0	.366
50.0	.346

between the computed cross section and the activation data of Linenberger *et al.*³ and Miskel *et al.*¹³ is not thought to be very significant, because the activation measurements are fairly old, and the incident neutrons have a wide and poorly known energy spectrum.

The time-of-flight data of Forman *et al.*²¹ are systematically higher than our computed cross-section, by 10 to 20%. On the other hand, the time-of-flight data of Moxon and Chaffey¹⁵ and Macklin and Halperin²⁴ are systematically lower than our computed cross-section with a discrepancy of the order of 30% below 5 keV, decreasing with increasing energy up to 40 keV or so, where the two sets of data agree with the calculated cross-section. Those discrepancies are difficult to understand. At the same time that they measured the ^{232}Th capture cross section, Moxon and Chaffey^{15, 54} also measured the ^{238}U capture cross section. The ^{238}U cross sections were later revised upward by Moxon, because he found a better method to estimate the resonance self-protection correction.⁵⁵ This revision, for ^{238}U , was of the order of 10% below 6 keV and 4% from 6 to 10 keV, becoming negligible above that energy. Presumably a similar revision should have been done on the ^{232}Th data which have a resonance structure comparable to that of ^{238}U . A correction in that direction would considerably improve the agreement between our computed cross-section and the Moxon Chaffey data.

The discrepancy between the data of Forman *et al.*²¹ and those of Macklin and Halperin²⁴ is extremely puzzling: Both groups have performed area analysis of the large s-wave resonances. Forman *et al.* have done this analysis in the range 1 to 2 keV using Ribon's⁴⁴ values of Γ_n and find an average radiation width of 20.9 mV. Macklin and Halperin have

done their analysis in the range 2.6 to 4 keV, using Rahn's⁴⁵ values of Γ_n , which are essentially consistent with those of Ribon, and find an average radiation width of 19.8 mV. Since both groups find essentially the same average value of the radiation width, they presumably measure the same area under the large s-wave levels, and the 40% difference between the cross sections obtained by the two groups must be due to different estimates of the cross section inbetween the large resonances.

Fig. 5 suggests that too much background could have been subtracted from the data of Macklin and Halperin. For instance, in the interval 3480 to 3500 eV, the capture cross section seems to be almost zero (perhaps even negative). In such an interval, there should be 3 to 4 p-wave levels, since the average p-wave spacing is of the order 5.7 eV, and if we believe the parameters of Table XI, the average contribution of those levels should be of the order of .4 b. However, even if we were to displace the "zero-line" by .4 b., the average cross section of Macklin and Halperin near 3.5 keV would become 1.06 b which is still 30% below the value of 1.43 b of Forman *et al.*

It seems that somewhat "unreasonable" modifications of the average resonance parameters shown on Table X would be required to fit either the data of Forman *et al.*, or those of Macklin and Halperin. Since the parameters evaluated in the preceeding section yield an average cross section which is intermediate between those two measurements, and which is consistent with the measurement of Chelnokov *et al.*,²² we shall adopt the cross section computed with those average parameters as the "best estimate" of the average cross section below 50 keV.

Fig. 5. $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ effective cross section at several energies.
This figure is reproduced from the paper of Macklin and Halperin (ref. 24).

Above 50 keV, calculations of the average capture cross-section must take into account the contribution of higher angular moments and the poorly known competition of the inelastic scattering. Rather than use such a calculation, we have evaluated the capture cross section from 50 keV to 1 MeV by tracing a smooth curve, splitting the difference between the data of Macklin and Halperin²⁴ and those of Lindner *et al.*²⁰ Those two measurements are the most recent and appear to us as being the most reliable. Above 1 MeV, our evaluation follows the measurements of Lindner *et al.*²⁰ and of Miskel *et al.*¹³ which from 1 to 4 MeV are in good agreement. Above 4 MeV, the only measurement available is that of Perkin *et al.*⁷ at 14.5 MeV. We believe that the value of 1 mb at 14 MeV, suggested by the work of Qaim,⁵⁶ is probably more correct than the value of $5.2 \pm .8$ mb at 14.5 MeV given by Perkin *et al.* We note that for ²³⁸U, the value given by Perkin *et al.*, $3.3 \pm .5$ mb, is also considerably higher than that later measured by Drake *et al.*,⁵⁷ or that evaluated in ENDF/B-IV, 1 mb. The evaluated cross section above 50 keV is given in Table XIII and illustrated in Figs. 3, 4 and 6.

V. ESTIMATED UNCERTAINTIES IN THE EVALUATED CAPTURE CROSS SECTION

From the technique used in deriving the resonance parameters, which describe the evaluated average capture cross section below 50 keV, we estimate the following uncertainties:

- (1) 6% uncertainty in the level spacings, correlated over the spin and parity values
- (2) 10% uncertainty in the reduced neutron widths of the positive parity levels

Table XIII. Evaluated Capture Cross Section above 50 keV

E(keV)	$\sigma_{n\gamma}$ (b)
50.0	.346
110.0	.190
190.0	.150
300.0	.135
430.0	.135
600.0	.150
700.0	.160
800.0	.160
900.0	.150
1600.0	.10
2000.0	.060
4000.0	.0130
4500.0	.010
10,000.0	.0020
14,000.0	.0010
20,000.0	.00050

The interpolation between points should be log-log i.e. $\ln(\sigma_{n\gamma})$ is linear in $\ln(E)$.

Fig. 6. $^{232}\text{Th}(n,\gamma)$ cross section. Comparison of this evaluation with ENDF/B-IV.

- (3) 12.5% uncertainty in the reduced neutron widths of the negative parity levels
- (4) 0.3 mV uncertainty in the average capture width of the positive parity levels
- (5) 3 mV uncertainty in the average capture width of the negative parity levels

We note that a number of assumptions were made in deriving the parameters, as stated in Section III, and we made the further implicit assumptions that the neutron widths and level spacing followed the usual distribution laws and that their average values did not vary significantly with energy below 50 keV. We did not raise the uncertainties to account for those assumptions.

We also note that the unresolved resonance parameters representation, with which we describe the average cross section, is a statistical representation; hence, at any energy or over any energy group, the actual cross section may be very different from the computed average cross section, even if the average resonance parameters are correct.

From 50 keV to 1 MeV, we estimate a $\pm 15\%$ uncertainty correlated over the entire energy range. This is considerably larger than the systematic uncertainties claimed by most of the measurers, but considering that there is a 20% systematic difference between the two most recent measurements,^{20,24} it seems unjustified to reduce the evaluated uncertainty below the values given.

Above 1.5 MeV, we estimate a $\pm 30\%$ uncertainty correlated from 1.5

to 20 MeV. Such a large value results from the small number of independent measurements. However, in that energy region the cross section decreases rapidly and the sensitivity of most reactor calculations to the cross sections above 1.5 MeV is expected to be small.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have evaluated the capture cross-section of ^{232}Th from 3 keV to 20 MeV. Below 50 keV, the evaluation is in terms of unresolved resonance parameters. Those parameters were derived from an analysis of the resolved resonances from measurements of the total cross section, hence, presumably they will also yield a reasonable representation of the total cross section.

In Figs. 3 and 4, we show comparison of our evaluated cross section with various experimental data. In Fig. 6, we compare our evaluation to ENDF/B-IV, MAT 1296. In the Appendix, we give the evaluation in ENDF/B format. There are large systematic discrepancies among the different data sets, which exceed the uncertainties quoted by the experimenters. These discrepancies lead us to assign uncertainties of $\pm 15\%$ to $\pm 30\%$ to the evaluated cross sections.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge helpful discussions with M. R. Bhat (NNCSC) and R. W. Peelle (ORNL) concerning the current values of the standards. L. Forman (LASL) and W. S. Lyon (ORNL) supplied unpublished information about their measurements. M. A. Bjerke, J. E. White and R. Q. Wright (ORNL) provided several ENDF/B average cross-sections and set the evaluation in the ENDF/B-Format. C. W. Fu, R. Gwin and J. Halperin (ORNL) gave particularly valuable advice.

REFERENCES

1. W. A. Wittkopf, D. H. Roy and A. Livolsi, "Th-232 Neutron Cross Section Data for ENDF/B," BAW-317, Babcock and Wilcox Co. (Nov. 1966).
2. D. F. Newman, B. R. Leonard Jr., T. J. Trapp, B. F. Core, D. A. Kottwitz, J. K. Thompson, W. L. Purcell and K. B. Stewart, "Evaluation of Temperature Coefficients of Reactivity for ^{233}U -Thorium Fueled HTGR Lattices," EPRI-NP-222 (May 1977); also B. R. Leonard, Jr. and J. K. Thompson, "Evaluation of ^{232}Th Below 50 keV," private communication, Spring 1976.
3. G. A. Linenberger and J. A. Miskel, "Capture Cross-Sections of Several Substances for Neutrons of Various Energies," LA-467, January 3, 1946.
4. R. L. Macklin, N. H. Lazar and W. S. Lyon, "Neutron Activation Cross Sections with Sb-Be Neutrons," *Phy. Rev.* 107, 504 (1957); and private communication from W. S. Lyon (1977).
5. T. C. Belanova, "Measurement of Fast Neutron Absorption Cross Sections," *Zhur. Eksp.i Theoret. Fiz.* 34, 574 (1958).
6. A. I. Leipunsky, O. D. Kazachkovsky, G. Y. Artyukhof, A. I. Baryshnikov, T. S. Belanova, V. N. Galkov, Y. Y. Stavissky, E. A. Stumbur and L. E. Sherman, "Measurements of Radiative Capture Cross Sections for Fast Neutrons," P/2219, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy (Geneva, 1958) Vol. 1, p. 136.
7. J. L. Perkin, L. P. O'Connor and R. F. Coleman, "Radiative Capture Cross Sections for 14.5 MeV Neutrons," *Proc. Phys. Soc. (London)* 72, 505 (1958).
8. J. F. Barry, L. P. O'Connor and J. L. Perkin, "The Radiative Capture Cross Section of ^{232}Th for Neutrons in the Energy Range 300 to 1200 keV," *Proc. Phys. Soc. (London)* 74, 685 (1959).
9. R. C. Hanna and B. Rose, "Fast Neutron Capture in ^{238}U and ^{232}Th ," *J. Nucl. Energy* 8, 197 (1959); see also *J. Nucl. Energy* 9, 310 9 (1959).
10. R. C. Block and G. G. Slaughter, "Neutron Radiative Capture Measurements with the Fast-Chopper Time-of-Flight Neutron Spectrometer," in Physics Division Annual Progress Report for Period Ending Feb. 10, 1960," ORNL-2910 (1960).
11. T. S. Belanova, "Absorption Cross Section of Fast Neutrons," *Atomnaya Energiya* 8, 549 (1960).
12. Y. Y. Stavisskii and V. A. Tolstikov, "Radiation Capture Cross Sections of Neutrons with Energies of 0.03-2 MeV by the Isotopes ^{55}Mn , ^{65}Cu , ^{130}Ba and ^{232}Th ," *Atomnaya Energiya* 10, 508 (1961).

13. J. A. Miskel, K. V. Marsh, M. Lindner and R. J. Nagle, "Neutron-Activation Cross Sections," *Phys. Rev.* 128, 2717 (1962).
14. V. A. Tolstikov, L. E. Sherman and Y. Y. Stavisskii, "A Measurement of the Capture Cross-Sections of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th for 5-200 keV Neutrons," *Atomnaya Energiya* 15, 414 (1963); translated in *Journal of Nuclear Energy, Parts A/B*, 1964, Vol. 18, p. 599.
15. M. C. Moxon and C. M. Chaffey, TRDWP/P-8 (1963), Harwell, UK, "The Neutron Capture Cross Sections of Fe, Rh, Ag, In, Au, Th and U."
16. D. C. Stupigia, A. B. Smith and K. Hamm, "Fast Neutron Capture in ^{232}Th ," *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 25, 627 (1963).
17. R. L. Macklin, P. J. Pasma and J. H. Gibbons, "Neutron Capture," in "Reports to the AEC Nuclear Cross Sections Advisory Group," WASH-1044, (1963); also private communication of J. H. Gibbons to NNCSC (1964).
18. A. K. Chaubey and M. L. Sehgal, "Neutron Activation Cross-Sections at 24 keV," *Nuclear Physics* 66, 267 (1965).
19. T. S. Belanova, A. A. Van'kov, F. F. Mikhailus and Y. Y. Stavisskii, "Absolute Measurements of the Absorption Cross Sections of 24 keV Neutrons," *Atomnaya Energiya*, 19, 3 (1965); translated in *Journal of Nuclear Energy, Part A/B*, 1966, Vol. 20, p. 411; see also Belanova *et al.*, "Measurement of Absorption Cross Sections for Neutrons with a Mean Energy of 24 keV, by Transmission in Spherical Geometry" in "Nuclear Data for Reactors," Vol. I, p. 455, (IAEA-1966) and discussions on p. 477 and 503 of the same volume.
20. M. Lindner, R. J. Nagle and J. H. Landrum, "Neutron Capture Cross-Sections from 0.1 to 3 MeV by Activation Measurements," *Nucl. Sci. and Eng.* 59, 381 (1976), also UCRL-75838, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, 1974, same title, and R. J. Nagle, J. H. Landrum and M. Lindner, "Neutron Capture Cross Sections in the MeV Range" in *Proceedings of Third Conference on Neutron Cross-Sections and Technology*, Knoxville, Tenn., March 15-17, 1971, Conf. 710301, Vol. 1, p. 259 (1971).
21. L. Forman, A. D. Shelberg, J. H. Warren, M. V. Harlow, H. A. Grench and N. W. Glass, "Thorium-232 Neutron Capture in the Region 20 eV - 30 keV," in *Proceedings of Third Conference on Neutron Cross Sections and Technology Conf. 710301*, Vol. 2, p. 725 (1971), see ref. 20, and L. Forman, A. D. Schelberg, J. H. Warren and N. W. Glass, "Evidence for Violation of the Porter-Thomas Postulate in ^{232}Th ," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 27, 117 (1971); also private communication of L. Forman to G. de Saussure, Oct. 5, 1977.
22. Y. Y. Stavisskii, V. A. Tolstikov, V. B. Chelnokov, A. E. Samsonov and A. A. Bergman, "Neutron Radiative Capture Cross-Section in Silver, ^{197}Au , ^{232}Th and ^{238}U ," *Atomnaya Energiya*, 31, 107, (1971), translated in U. S. document UDC 539.172.4 (1972); also V. B. Chelnokov *et al.*, USSR Obninsk Report Jaderno-Fizicheskii Issledovaniya - 13, p. 16 (Oct. 1972) and INDC (CCP)-43/L, p. 225 (IAEA - Nuclear Data Section, Vienna, July 1974).

23. N. Yamamuro, T. Miyakawa, Y. Fujita and K. Kobayashi, "Measurement of Neutron Capture of In, Cs, Ta and Th at 24 keV," in Progress Report of Japanese Nuclear Data Committee," INDC(JAP) - 30 "L" and NEANDC(J) - 44 "L", August 1976.
24. R. L. Macklin and J. Halperin, " ^{232}Th (n, γ) Cross Section from 2.6 to 800 keV," accepted for publication in Nucl. Sci. and Eng. (1977) and private communication from the authors (1977).
25. An extensive discussion of the corrections to the spherical shell transmission measurement may be found in the following papers:
D. Bogart and T. T. Selmer, "A Monte Carlo Interpretation of the Sphere Transmission Experiments for Average Capture Cross Sections at 24 keV," in Proceedings of the Conference on Neutron Cross-Section Technology, Washington, D.C., March 22-24, 1966, CONF-660303 Book 1, p. 502 (1966) and F. H. Fröhner, "Re-Evaluation of Gold Transmission Data at 24-keV Neutron Energy," in Proc. of Second International Conf. on Nuclear Data for Reactors, Helsinki 15-19, June 1970, Vol. 1, p. 197 (1970).
26. "Nuclear Level Schemes A = 45 through A = 257 from Nuclear Data Sheet," edited by Nuclear Data Group, D. J. Horen, Director, (1973).
27. N. Yamamuro, T. Doi, H. Hayase, Y. Fujita, K. Kobayashi and R. C. Block, "Measurement of Neutron Capture Cross Sections near 24 keV," in Proceedings of a Conference on Nuclear Cross Sections and Technology, Washington, D.C., March 3-7, 1975, NBS Special Publication 425, Vol. 2, p. 802 (1975).
28. S. F. Mughabghab and D. I. Garber, "Neutron Cross Sections Vol. I, Resonance Parameters," BNL-325 Third Ed., Vol. I (June 1973).
29. C. H. Wescott, "A Study of the Accuracy of g-factors for Room Temperature Maxwellian Spectra for U and Pu Isotopes," AECL-3255, Atomic Energy of Canada, LTD., Chalk River (1969).
30. B. R. Leonard, Jr., D. A. Kottwitz and J. K. Thompson, "Evaluation of the Neutron Cross Sections of ^{235}U in the Thermal Energy Region," EPRI NP-167 (Feb. 1976).
31. N. M. Steen, "An Evaluation of the Radiative Neutron Capture Cross Sections of Thorium-232 for the Range 0.0 eV to 15 MeV," WAPD-TM-971 (Dec. 1970); (Wescott factors for capture in Th are given in Table VI, p. 16).
32. M. R. Bhat, "Evaluation of the U-235 Fission Cross Section from 100 eV to 20 keV," in Proceed. of the NEANDC/NEACRP Specialists Meeting on Fast Neutron Cross Sections of U-233, U-235, U-238, and Pu-239," June 28-30, 1976, Argonne National Lab. ANL-76-90 (1976) p. 307. Also private communication from M. R. Bhat and R. W. Peelle.

33. R. L. Henkel, "Summary of Neutron-Induced Fission Cross Sections," Los Alamos Scientific Lab. Report LA-2114 (May 1957).
34. ENDF/B-IV, MAT 1261, available from the NNCSC in Brookhaven National Lab.
35. W. P. Poenitz, "The U-235 Fission Cross Section from 0.1 to 20.0 MeV," paper presented at the "International Specialists Symposium on Neutron Standards and Applications," NBS, Gaithersburg, Maryland, March 1977, Proceedings to be published.
36. V. S. Crocker, "The Thermal Neutron Activation Cross-Section of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th ," J. Nucl. Energy 1, 3, 324 (1955).
37. G. G. Myasicheva, M. P. Anikina, L. L. Goldin and D. V. Ershler, "Measurement of the Effective Cross Section of Th-232 for Thermal Neutrons and the Resonance Integral for Neutron Absorption," J. Nucl. Energy 5, 230 (1957).
38. For additional information on the measurements done in the USSR, see A. N. Davletshin, V. A. Tolstikov and A. I. Abramov, "Radiative Capture of Neutrons by the ^{232}Th Nucleus in the Energy Range 0.01-15 MeV," INDC(CCP)-20/L (1971).
39. CSISRS Reference Accession No. 12260.
40. J. R. Stehn, M. D. Goldberg, R. Wiener-Chasman, S. F. Mughabghab, B. A. Magurno and V. M. May, "Neutron Cross Sections," Vol. III, Z = 88 to 98, BNL-325 Second Edition (1965).
41. R. L. Macklin, P. J. Pasma and J. H. Gibbons, "Resonance Neutron Capture and Transmission in Sulfur, Iron and Lead," Phys. Rev. 136 B695 (1964).
42. R. L. Macklin, J. Halperin and R. R. Winters, "Gold Neutron-Capture Cross Section from 3 to 550 keV," Phys. Rev. C11, 1270 (1975); C. A. Uttley, M. G. Sowerby, B. H. Patrick and E. R. Rae, "A Review of the Data on the ^6Li Cross-Sections Below 1.7 MeV," Proceed. "Third Conf. Neutron Cross Sections and Technology," Knoxville, Tennessee, March 15-17, 1971, CONF-710301, Vol. 2, p. 551 (1971).
43. M. Ashgar, C. M. Chaffey, M. C. Moxon, N. J. Pattenden, E. R. Rae and C. A. Uttley, "The Neutron Cross Sections of Thorium and the Analysis of the Resonances up to 1 keV," Nuclear Physics 76, 196 (1966).
44. P. Ribon, "Etudes de quelques propriétés des niveaux excités des noyaux composés formés par l'interaction des neutrons lents avec ^{103}Rh , ^{155}Gd , ^{232}Th ," Thesis, CNRS, A.O.3560 (1969), also CEA Note N-1149 (1969).
45. F. Rahn, H. S. Camarda, G. Hacken, W. W. Havens, Jr., H. I. Liou, J. Rainwater, M. Slagowitz and S. Wynchank, "Neutron Resonance

- Spectroscopy X ^{232}Th and ^{238}U ," Phys. Rev. C, 6, 1854 (1972).
46. K. K. Seth, R. H. Tabony, E. G. Bilpuch and H. W. Newson, "s-, p-, and d-wave Neutron Strength Functions," Physics Letters 13, 70 (1964).
 47. C. A. Uttley, C. M. Newstead and K. M. Diment, "Neutron Strength Function Measurements in the Medium and Heavy Nuclei," Nuclear Data for Reactors I, 165(1966).
 48. H. S. Camarda, "p-wave Neutron Strength-Function Measurements and the Low-Energy Optical Potential," Phys. Rev. C 9, 28 (1974).
 49. J. B. Garg, J. Rainwater, J. S. Petersen and W. W. Havens, Jr., "Neutron Resonance Spectroscopy III Th-232 and U-238," Phys. Rev. 134, B985 (1964).
 50. C. A. Uttley, Comptes Rendus du Congrès International de Physique Nucleaire, Paris 1964, Vol. 2, p. 700 (1964).
 51. K. Kobayashi, Y. Fujita, T. Oosaki and R. C. Block, "Neutron Total Cross Section Measurement of Thorium Averaged Near 24 keV with a Fe-filtered Beam," Preprint (1976).
 52. $a = .123(\text{AWRI})^{1/3} + .08$, see ENDF-102, Data Formats and Procedures for the Evaluated Nuclear Data File, ENDF; D. Garber, C. Dunford and S. Pearlstein (Oct. 1975).
 53. H. Derrien, "Evaluation of ^{232}Th Resonance Parameters," and H. Derrien and P. Ribon, "On the Shape Analysis of Various ^{232}Th and ^{238}U Transmission Data," in Specialists Meeting on "Resonance Parameters of Fertile Nuclei and ^{239}Pu ," Saday, 20-22 May 1974, NEANDC(E) 163 U.
 54. M. C. Moxon, "The Neutron Capture Cross-Section of ^{238}U in the Energy Region 0.5 to 100 keV," AERE-R 6074 (June 1969).
 55. Private communication from M. C. Moxon to L. Stewart, September 1971.
 56. S. M. Qaim, "A Survey of Fast-Neutron Induced Reaction Cross-Section Data," in Proceed. of a Conf. on Nuclear Cross Sections and Technology, March 3-7, 1975, Washington, D.C.; NBS Special Publication 425 Vol. II, p. 664 (1975).
 57. Drake *et al.*, Physics Letters/B 36, 557 (1971).

APPENDIX

Partial Listing of MAT = 7296 [^{232}Th , Modification of ENDF(B-IV)]

1. UNRESOLVED RESONANCE PARAMETERS. FILE 1, MT=151

3.00000E 035.00000E 04	2	1	0	07296 2151 405
0.0 8.98740E-01	0	0	3	07296 2151 406
2.30040E 020.0	0	0	6	17296 2151 407
1.70000E 015.00000E-011.00000E 001.51000E-032.13000E-020.0				7296 2151 408
2.30040E 020.0	1	0	12	27296 2151 409
1.70000E 015.00000E-011.00000E 002.72000E-032.13000E-020.0				7296 2151 410
8.50000E 0015.00000E-011.00000E 001.36000E-032.13000E-020.0				7296 2151 411
2.30040E 020.0	2	0	12	27296 2151 412
8.50000E 0015.00000E-011.00000E 000.75000E-032.13000E-020.0				7296 2151 413
5.70000E 0025.00000E-011.00000E 000.50000E-032.13000E-020.0				7296 2151 414
0.0 0.0	0	0	0	07296 2 0 415

2. SMOOTH CAPTURE CROSS SECTION ABOVE 3 KEV. FILE 3, MT=102

3.0000E 03	2.7800E-01	3.0000E 03	0.0	5.0000E 04	0.0	7296	3102	940
5.0000D 04	3.4600D-01	1.1000D 05	1.9000D-01	1.9000D 05	1.5000D-01	7296	3102	941
3.0000D 05	1.3500D-01	4.3000D 05	1.3500D-01	6.0000D 05	1.5000D-01	7296	3102	942
7.0000D 05	1.6000D-01	8.0000D 05	1.6000D-01	9.0000D 05	1.5000D-01	7296	3102	943
1.6000D 06	1.0000D-01	2.0000D 06	6.0000D-02	4.0000D 06	1.3000D-02	7296	3102	944
4.5000D 06	1.0000D-02	1.0000D 07	2.0000D-03	1.4000D 07	1.0000D-03	7296	3102	945
2.0000D 07	5.0000D-04					7296	3102	946
0.0	0.0	0	0	0		07296	3 0	947

3.00000E 035.00000E 04	2	1	0	07296 2151 405
0.0 8.98740E-01	0	0	3	07296 2151 406
2.30040E 020.0	0	0	6	17296 2151 407
1.70000E 015.00000E-011.00000E 001.51000E-032.13000E-020.0				7296 2151 408
2.30040E 020.0	1	0	12	27296 2151 409
1.70000E 015.00000E-011.00000E 002.72000E-032.13000E-020.0				7296 2151 410
8.50000E 0015.00000E-011.00000E 001.36000E-032.13000E-020.0				7296 2151 411
2.30040E 020.0	2	0	12	27296 2151 412
8.50000E 0015.00000E-011.00000E 000.75000E-032.13000E-020.0				7296 2151 413
5.70000E 0025.00000E-011.00000E 000.50000E-032.13000E-020.0				7296 2151 414
0.0 0.0	0	0	0	07296 2 0 415

3.0000E 03	2.7800E-01	3.0000E 03	0.0	5.0000E 04	0.0	7296	3102	940
5.0000D 04	3.4600D-01	1.1000D 05	1.9000D-01	1.9000D 05	1.5000D-01	7296	3102	941
3.0000D 05	1.3500D-01	4.3000D 05	1.3500D-01	6.0000D 05	1.5000D-01	7296	3102	942
7.0000D 05	1.6000D-01	8.0000D 05	1.6000D-01	9.0000D 05	1.5000D-01	7296	3102	943
1.6000D 06	1.0000D-01	2.0000D 06	6.0000D-02	4.0000D 06	1.3000D-02	7296	3102	944
4.5000D 06	1.0000D-02	1.0000D 07	2.0000D-03	1.4000D 07	1.0000D-03	7296	3102	945
2.0000D 07	5.0000D-04					7296	3102	946
0.0	0.0	0	0	0		7296	3	0 947

ORNL/TM-6161
 ENDF-255
 Dist. Category UC-79d

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1-3. L. S. Abbott	51. F. G. Perey
4. M. A. Bjerke	52. R. B. Perez
5. G. T. Chapman	53. S. Raman
6-25. G. de Saussure	54. E. G. Silver
26. J. K. Dickens	55. R. R. Spencer
27. C. Y. Fu	56. P. H. Stelson
28. H. Goldstein (consultant)	57. M. L. Tobias
29. R. Gwin	58. J. H. Todd
30. J. Halperin	59. H. Weaver
31. J. Harvey	60. C. R. Weisbin
32. N. W. Hill	61. L. W. Weston
33. R. W. Ingle	62. J. E. White
34. C. H. Johnson	63. R. Q. Wright
35. D. C. Larson	64. A. Zucker
36. W. S. Lyon	65. P. Greebler (consultant)
37-46. R. L. Macklin	66. W. W. Havens, Jr. (consultant)
47. F. C. Maienschein	67. A. F. Henry (consultant)
48. G. L. Morgan	68. R. E. Uhrig (consultant)
49. D. K. Olsen	69-70. Central Research Library
50. R. W. Peelle	71. ORNL Y-12 Technical Library
	Document Reference Section
	72-73. Laboratory Records Department
	74. ORNL Patent Office
	75. Laboratory Records (RC)

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

76. DOE Oak Ridge Operations, Research and Technical Support Division, P. O. Box E, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830: Director
77. DOE Oak Ridge Operations, Reactor Division, P. O. Box E, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830: Director
- 78-79. DOE Division of Reactor Research and Development, Washington, DC 20545: Director
- 80-368. For distribution as shown in TID-4500 Distribution Category UC-79d, Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor Physics - Base (62 copies - ENDF distribution)