Effect of Magnetic Shear on Dissipative Drift Instabilities

P. N. Guzdar, L. Chen, P. K. Kaw, and C. Oberman

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University

Princeton, New Jersey 08540

ABSTRACT

In this letter we report the results of a linear radial eigenmode analysis of dissipative drift waves in a plasma with magnetic shear and spatially varying density gradient. The results of the analysis are shown to be consistent with a recent experiment on the study of dissipative drift instabilities in a toroidal stellarator.

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

ef

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Recently, Vojtsenya et al.¹ have reported the results of an interesting experiment on the effect of magnetic shear on drift-dissipative instabilities in a collisionally dominated plasma in a toroidal stellarator. Their results indicate that drift waves are localized near the maximum of the drift frequency ω_* (the variation of ω_* arising due to a spatially varying density gradient) and are strongly reduced in amplitude when the shear in the magnetic field exceeds a critical value. In this letter we present the results of a linear radial eigenmode analysis² of dissipative drift waves in a plasma with magnetic shear and a spatially varying density gradient, and propose a possible interpretation of the above experiment.

The local theory of dissipative drift waves is well known (see e.g., Kadomtsev³); this is applicable as such only to shearless situations and with no spatial variation of the drift frequency ω_* . Moiseev and Sagdeev⁴ have carried out a radial eigenmode analysis including the spatial variation of ω_* but with no magnetic shear. The inclusion of shear strongly modifies their results. Further, the previous analyses^{5,6} with magnetic shear have been rather qualitative and incomplete.

We consider a plane plasma slab with the density variation and magnetic shear along x direction; i.e., $n_0(x) = n_0(0) \exp[x/L_n(1 - x^2/3L_*^2)]$ and $B(x) = B_0(1_z + 1_y x/L_s)$. Here L_s , L_n , and L_* are the shear length, the density scale length, and the scale length for variation of density gradient,

respectively. $x = 0$ is taken as the mode-rational surface; i.e., surface on which $\underline{k} \cdot \underline{B} = 0$. For simplicity, we have assumed the density gradient to peak at $x = 0$. As usual, we shall assume electron thermal conductivity parallel to the lines to be very large to justify using isothermal electrons and approximate the ions as a cold species ($T_i = 0$). If we use the linearized equations of continuity and motion for electrons and ions, take perturbations of the form $\phi(x, t) = \phi(x) \exp[i(k_y y - \omega t)]$ and finally assume the quasineutrality condition $n_e \approx n_i$, we obtain the eigenmode equation

$$\rho_s^2 \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - k_y^2 \right) \phi - \frac{x^2}{x^2 - ix_R^2} \left[1 - \frac{\omega_{*0}}{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{L_s^2} \right) - \frac{x^2}{x_s^2} \right] \phi = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $k_{||} = k_{||}' x \equiv k_y x / L_s$, $x_s^2 = \omega^2 / k_{||}'^2 C_s^2$, $\omega_{*0} = k_y \rho_s C_s / L_n$, $x_R^2 = \omega v_{ei} / k_{||}'^2 v_{eT}^2$, $v_{eT}^2 = T_e / m_e$, $C_s^2 = T_e / m_i$, $\rho_s^2 = C_s^2 / \omega_{ci}^2$

and the other symbols have obvious meanings. The first term comes from the ion polarization drift, the coefficient $x^2 / (x^2 - ix_R^2)$ is a consequence of the resistive parallel dynamics of electrons and the x^2 / x_s^2 term has its origin in the parallel ion motion. Moiseev and Sagdeev⁴ solved Eq. (1) in the limit $x \ll x_R$, $k_{||}' x = k_{||} = \text{constant}$ (no shear) and $x_s = \infty$ (ignoring the parallel ion dynamics).

We first examine the eigenvalue problem for $L_* = \infty$; i.e., ω_* independent of x . After changing variables and rearranging the terms, Eq. (1) may be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + \delta - \frac{\xi^2}{4} - \frac{\Lambda}{\xi^2 + \xi_R^2} \right) \phi = 0 \quad (2)$$

where $\xi = x \exp(i\pi/4)/\lambda$, $\lambda^2 = \rho_s x_s/2$, $\xi_R^2 = x_R^2/\lambda^2$,
 $\delta = i(\lambda^2/\rho_s^2)[1 - \omega_*/\omega + k_y^2 \rho_s^2 - i(x_R^2/x_s^2)]$ and
 $\Lambda = i(x_R^2/\rho_s^2)[1 - \omega_*/\omega - i(x_R^2/x_s^2)]$. When $\xi_R^2 = 2(m_e/m_i)(v_{ei}/\omega_*)$
 $(L_s/L_n) \ll 1$, the eigenvalue problem associated with Eq. (2)
 may be solved by the following matching procedure. In the
 outer region $\xi \gg \xi_R$ [so ξ_R may be neglected in Eq. (2)] and
 the solution which properly decays away for $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $\text{Im } \omega > 0$
 is given by

$$\phi_o = A_o (\xi^2/2)^{(2\alpha+1)/4} U(a, b, \xi^2/2) \exp(-\xi^2/4), \quad (3)$$

where U is Kummer's confluent hypergeometric function⁷ and
 $\alpha = -(1/2)(1 + 4\Lambda)^{1/2}$, $b = 1 + \alpha$, $a = (1/2)(b - \delta)$. In the
 inner region $\xi \sim \xi_R \ll 1$ and the solution may be approximated as

$$\phi_I = (1 + \xi^2/\xi_R^2)^{1/2} [A_I P_v^1(\xi/i\xi_R) + B_I Q_v^1(\xi/i\xi_R)], \quad (4)$$

where the P_v^1 and Q_v^1 are the associated Legendre functions and the order $v = -(1/2 + \alpha)$. The constants B_I and A_I are related by the parity condition at the origin, viz., $\phi_I(0) = 0$ for odd modes and $d\phi_I/d\xi|_{\xi=0} = 0$ for even modes. Matching the outer region solution ϕ_O with $\xi \ll 1$ to the asymptotic form of the inner region solution (for $1 \gg \xi \gg \xi_R$), one gets the eigenvalue condition

$$\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma[a+v+(1/2)]} = \left(-i \frac{\xi_R}{2^{3/2}}\right)^{1+2v} \frac{\Gamma(v)\Gamma[-(1/2)-v]\Gamma[(1/2)-v]}{\Gamma(-1-v)\Gamma[(1/2)+v]\Gamma[(3/2)+v]}$$

$$\left(1 + \pi \frac{B_I}{A_I} \cot \pi v\right) \quad (5)$$

where Γ denotes the usual gamma function. Note the definitions of v , a , and other related quantities following Eqs. (4), (3), and (2), respectively.

The eigenvalue condition (5) is, in general, difficult to solve analytically for the complex frequency ω . However, approximate results may be obtained in certain interesting limits. When $k^2 \rho_s^2 \ll L_n/L_s$, [which may be rewritten as $|\Lambda| \approx k^2 \rho_s^2 (L_s/L_n) |\xi_R^2| \ll 1$] one finds $v \approx \Lambda$. Evaluating B_I/A_I for even parity modes, one obtains the approximate eigenvalue condition

$$a \approx -n + (-1)^{n+1} 2^{-3/2} \frac{\pi \Lambda}{\xi_R \Gamma[(1/2) - n]} ; \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

For the lowest mode we then obtain the dispersion relation

$$1 - \frac{\omega_*}{\omega} \approx - \left(i \frac{L_n}{L_s} + k_{ys}^2 \right) \left[1 + \left(\pi \frac{v_{ei}}{\omega_*} \frac{m_e}{m_i} \frac{L_s}{L_n} \right)^{1/2} \right] . \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) leads to the surprising result that in a sheared geometry, resistivity only enhances the shear damping of drift waves by a factor proportional to $v_{ei}^{1/2}$ and that no unstable mode exists. A detailed analysis² shows that the expected growth terms are proportional to v_{ei} and are always subdominant to the resistivity induced enhancement of shear damping. For $k_{ys}^2 \gg L_n/L_s$, the other simple and instructive limit $|\Lambda| \gg 1$ can be used. Here again one finds for the lowest mode $v \approx \Lambda^{1/2} - 1/2$, $a \approx -\Lambda^{1/2}$ and the approximate dispersion relation

$$1 - \frac{\omega_*}{\omega} \approx -k_{ys} \left[k_{ys} + (1 + i) \left(2 \frac{m_e}{m_i} \frac{v_{ei}}{\omega_*} \right)^{1/2} \right] . \quad (7)$$

Even in this limit, no unstable eigenmodes exist.

Our analysis thus leads us to the surprising result that for $(m_e v_{ei} L_s / m_i \omega_* L_n) \ll 1$, electrostatic drift waves in a plane plasma slab with magnetic shear only exist as damped eigenmodes. We have verified this result by a direct numerical integration of the differential Eq. (2) using a shooting method and also by a complex WKB treatment. The numerical calculations show that the modes are stable even in the range $(m_e v_{ei} L_s / m_i \omega_* L_n) > 1$. Details of these investigations will be separately published.² None of the above investigations, however, forbids a convective amplification of wave-packets of drift waves.⁸ Physically the eigenmodes are damped because of a resistivity induced enhancement of shear damping. An obvious way to recover growing eigenmodes is thus to make shear damping ineffective. This may be done by introducing a proper profile for ω_* , i.e., by discussing the case with $L_n \neq \infty$.

Equation (1) may be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} + \delta_0 - \frac{\eta^2}{4} - \frac{\Lambda_0}{\eta^2 - i\eta_R^2} \right) \phi(\eta) = 0 \quad (8)$$

where $\eta = x/\lambda_0$, $\lambda_0^2 = x_0 \rho_s / 2$, $x_0^{-2} = (\omega_{*0}/\omega) L_*^{-2} - x_s^{-2}$, $\eta_R = x_R/\lambda_0$, $\delta_0 = -(\lambda_0/\rho_s)^2 [1 - (\omega_{*0}/\omega) + k_y^2 \rho_s^2 + i(x_R^2/x_0^2)]$, $\Lambda_0 = i(x_R/\rho_s)^2 [1 - (\omega_{*0}/\omega) + i(x_R^2/x_0^2)]$. To nullify shear

damping, we must require that $R_e(x_o^{-2}) > 0$; i.e.,

$$L_*^2 < x_s^2 (\omega_{*o}/\omega) \quad . \quad (9)$$

For $|\eta_R|^2 \ll 1$, we can use the same matching procedure as above. The analogue of Eq. (6) is

$$1 - \frac{\omega_{*o}}{\omega} \approx - \left(k_y^2 \rho_s^2 + \frac{\rho_s}{x_o} \right) \left[1 + \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{2} (1 - i) \left(\frac{v_{ei}}{\omega_{*o}} \frac{m_e}{m_i} \frac{L_s^2}{L_n^2} \frac{\rho_s}{x_o} \right)^{1/2} \right]. \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) shows that shear damping is absent and that resistivity contributes to growth of the eigenmode. For shorter wavelengths, the analogue of Eq. (7) takes the form

$$1 - \frac{\omega_{*o}}{\omega} \approx - k_y^2 \rho_s^2 + (i - 1) k_y \rho_s \left(\frac{\rho_s L_s}{x_o L_n} \right) \left(\frac{v_{ei}}{\omega_{*o}} \frac{m_e}{m_i} \right)^{1/2} \quad (11)$$

which again shows an eigenmode growth rate $\propto v_{ei}^{1/2}$. It is clear that inequality (9) is the critical condition for the existence of growing eigenmodes. When it is not obeyed, we again revert to the previous case of shear damping only.

The experiment of Vojtsenya et al.¹ was done on an argon plasma in a stellarator with typical parameters: $T_e \approx 6$ eV , $n_e \approx 2 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $T_e \gg T_i$, $B_0 = 3.1$ kilogauss. This justifies³ the use of electrostatic drift wave model to describe their experiment, since $\beta = 8\pi nT/B_0^2 < (m_e/m_i)$. Our assumption of cold ions is also obviously good. The experiment demonstrated the excitation of dissipative drift waves ($\omega \sim \omega_* < v_{ei}$) localized in the neighborhood of a maximum of ω_* . It was also discovered that when the shear parameter $\theta = L_n/L_s > 0.05$, a substantial reduction in the level of drift wave oscillations takes place. We now propose that the transition from a growing eigenmode to a stable one, may lead to a similar reduction in the oscillation level. In the former case, the instability keeps growing until nonlinear effects saturate the waves. In the latter case, there is only convective amplification of waves and they may saturate even at low levels, by simply convecting out of the unstable region. In the experiment, the transition can occur because inequality (9) switches as $\theta = L_n/L_s$ is increased. The condition of shear stabilization of density gradient localized drift waves may thus be written $(L_n/\rho_s) > \Delta \theta^{-1}$, where Δ is a numerical factor taking account of the fact that (i) the experimental density gradient variation is not parabolic, (ii) the transition to a low saturation convective mode does not occur exactly at (9), and (iii) inaccuracies in our estimates of various parameters.

For an $L_* \approx 2$ cms and the other experimental parameters given above, we find agreement with the experimental value of $\theta_c = 0.05$, if we choose $\Delta \sim 1/5$. Considering the above uncertainties, this seems pretty reasonable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partly supported by United States Department of Energy Contract No. EY-76-C-02-3073 and by United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research Contract No. F 44620-75-C-0037.

REFERENCES

¹V. S. Vojtsenya, A. Yu. Voloshko, V. M. Zalkind, S. I. Solodovchenko, V. P. Tarasenko, A. F. Stan, Nucl. Fusion 17, 651 (1977).

²L. Chen, P. N. Guzdar, J. Y. Hsu, P. K. Kaw, C. Oberman, and R. White, Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Rept. No. PPPL-1423.

³B. B. Kadomtsev, Plasma Turbulence, (Academic Press), p. 88, (1965).

⁴S. S. Moiseev and R. Z. Sagdeev, Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. 9, 196 (1964) [Zh. Tekh. Fiz. 34, 248 (1964)].

⁵A. A. Rukhadze and V. P. Silin, Sov. Phys. Usp. 11, 659 (1969) [Usp. Fiz. Nauk. 96, 87 (1968)].

⁶B. B. Kadomtsev and O. P. Pogutse, Reviews of Plasma Phys., (Consultants Bureau) 5, 249 (1970).

⁷M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, N. B. S., Appl. Math. Series 55, 503 (1964).

⁸B. Coppi, G. Laval, R. Pellat, and M. N. Rosenbluth, Nucl. Fusion 6, 261 (1966).