34314

COO-3071-26

FLUX INDEPENDENT MEASUREMENTS OF
DEEP INELASTIC NEUTRINO PROCESSES

J. D. Bjorken

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center*
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

D. Cline

Physics Department, University of Wisconsin*
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

A. K. Mann

Physics Department, University of Pennsylvania*
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

ABSTRACT

A new scaling variable $v=xy$ is defined for the description of deep inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering processes. This variable is determined solely by the momentum and angle of the outgoing lepton. The normalized scattering distribution in this variable is independent of incident lepton energy and flux. The sensitivity to various hypothetical scale breaking mechanisms is discussed.

*Supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Experiments which attempt to measure deep inelastic neutrino-nucleon cross sections are plagued with the difficult problem of determining the neutrino flux. It is clearly desirable to find ways of extracting information from such experiments which is flux-independent. Experimental studies along these lines have already been carried out by Myatt and Perkins¹ and Paschos and Zakharov.² Recently Cline and Paschos have analyzed moments of the scaling variable $x=Q^2/2Mv$, which yield information regarding current algebra sum rules, provided scaling is correct.³

Here we consider the case where the only accurately determined quantities in an experiment are the secondary muon momentum E' and its production angle θ . We find that some theoretical questions, in particular the validity of dimensional scaling,⁴ can be answered from this information alone. The key lies in the fact that the scale invariant quantity

$$v = Q^2/s = Q^2/2ME = \left(\frac{Q^2}{2Mv}\right) \left(\frac{v}{E}\right) = xy = \frac{2E'}{M} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \quad (1)$$

is an observable. The normalized v -distribution $\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dv}$ should then be scale invariant (i.e. independent of neutrino energy E) provided the deep inelastic structure functions are scale invariant. Furthermore, interesting information about the structure functions can be obtained from the shape of the v -distribution and, in particular, its moments.

Tests of Scaling

The cross section for the process $\nu_\mu + N \rightarrow \mu^- + (\text{hadrons})$ may be written⁵ (for monoenergetic neutrinos with $E \gg 1$ GeV).

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy} = \frac{G^2 s}{2\pi} F_2(x, Q^2) [L + (1-y)S + (1-y)^2 R] \quad (2)$$

where

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu}, \quad y = \frac{\nu}{E}, \quad s = 2mE, \quad \nu = E - E', \quad Q^2 = 4EE' \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$$

$$L = \frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_L + \sigma_R + 2\sigma_S}, \quad R = \frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_R + \sigma_L + 2\sigma_S}, \quad S = \frac{2\sigma_S}{\sigma_L + \sigma_R + 2\sigma_S} \quad (3)$$

and we approximate $\nu^2 \gg Q^2$.

Let us for the moment assume that $F_2(x, Q^2)$ scales, i.e. for $Q^2 \gtrsim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, $F_2(x, Q^2) \approx F_2(x)$, and that this is also true for L, R and S. An elementary calculation then reveals that

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{d\nu} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\sigma}{d\nu} = \frac{\int_{\nu}^1 \frac{dx}{x} F_2(x) [L + (1 - \frac{\nu}{x})S + (1 - \frac{\nu}{x})^2 R]}{\int_0^1 dx F_2(x) [L + \frac{1}{2} S + \frac{1}{3} R]} \quad (4)$$

Thus scaling indeed implies that $\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{d\nu}$ is independent of neutrino energy E, as anticipated. We, therefore, may fold Eq.(4) over a neutrino energy-spectrum with no change in the result. For orientation we may suppose, as indicated by experiment, that $L \gg R$ (unless, perhaps, $x \ll 1$).^{6,7} In this case

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{d\nu} \approx \frac{\int_{\nu}^1 \frac{dx}{x} F_2(x)}{\int_0^1 dx F_2(x)} \quad (5)$$

and with very accurate data the shape of $F_2(x)$ might be extracted.

Now let us suppose that scaling is violated (and that we can again neglect R and S) and parametrize the violation by

writing either

$$F_2(x, Q^2) \approx \frac{F_2(x)}{[1 + v \frac{s}{\Lambda^2}]^2} \quad (6)$$

or

$$F_2(x, Q^2) \approx F_2(x) [1 - \epsilon \log \frac{Q^2}{M_p^2}] \quad (7)$$

The first form would arise from parton structure or finite intermediate boson mass.⁵ The second is the kind of breakdown expected from studies of perturbation theory in renormalizable field theories or from small anomalous dimensions in a theory scale-invariant at short distances. Inserting these into Eq. (5) gives

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dv} = \frac{\frac{1}{[1 + v \frac{\langle s \rangle}{\Lambda^2}]^2} \int_v^1 \frac{dx}{x} F_2(x)}{\int_0^1 \frac{dx F_2(x)}{[1 + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\langle s \rangle}{\Lambda^2}]}} \quad (8)$$

or for the logarithmic violation

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dv} = \frac{\int_v^1 \frac{dx}{x} F_2(x) [1 - \epsilon (\langle \log \frac{s}{M^2} \rangle + v)]}{\int_0^1 dx F_2(x) [1 - \epsilon (\langle \log \frac{s}{M^2} \rangle + \log x - 1)]} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\langle s \rangle = \frac{\int dE m(E) (2mE)}{\int dE m(E)}, \text{ etc.}$$

with $m(E)$ given by the neutrino spectrum.

We obtain, as would be expected, either linear or logarithmic deviations from scaling behavior of the v -distribution, with the largest effect nearest $v=1$. We have plotted $\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dv}$ in Fig. 1, assuming $F_2(x)$ has the same shape as the structure function νW_2 measured in electroproduction.⁸ Evidently, if $s \gg \Lambda^2$ this distribution could be narrowed appreciably by a scaling breakdown. Consequently, comparison of the normalized v -distributions measured at several widely different neutrino energies, e.g., at $\langle E_\nu \rangle \approx 3, 30$ and 150 GeV, will comprise a sensitive test of scaling behavior. An interesting test can be made at any neutrino energy by comparison of the observed normalized v -distribution with that in Fig. 1. In Fig. 2 is presented the approximate form of $\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dv}$ for the example of $\Lambda = M_W = 5$ GeV for $\langle s \rangle = 60$ GeV² (a value appropriate to NAL).

Neutrino-Antineutrino Comparisons

For a target composed of equal numbers of neutrons and protons, and neglecting $\Delta S=1$ processes, the v -distribution for incident antineutrinos is obtained from Eq. (4) by the interchange $L \leftrightarrow R$. An interesting result ensues for $v \rightarrow 0$:

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dv}(\bar{\nu})}{\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dv}(v)} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\nu N)}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\bar{\nu} N)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{v}}\right) \quad (10)$$

This is, of course, a consequence of the fact that the denominator

in Eq. (4) is proportional to σ_{tot} . The approach to the limit, however, appears to be slow, and it may be better to use moments of ^{the} v -distribution. These may be valuable in their own right, as they are not without theoretical interest. The moments of special interest are

$$\langle v^n \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 dv v^n \frac{dN}{dv} \quad (11)$$

where we need not restrict ourselves to integral or even positive n . Assuming scaling, and returning to Eq. (5), we may easily compute the moments defined above. For a neutrino incident, we have

$$\langle v^n \rangle = \frac{\int_0^1 dx x^n F_2(x) \left[\frac{L}{n+1} + \frac{S}{(n+1)(n+2)} + \frac{2R}{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} \right]}{\int_0^1 dx F_2(x) \left[L + \frac{1}{2} S + \frac{1}{3} R \right]} \quad (12)$$

For an antineutrino incident on a light nucleus we again interchange L and R . Now upon taking the ratio of $\langle v^n \rangle$ for antineutrinos to that for neutrinos, and letting $n \rightarrow -1$, we find

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow -1} \frac{\langle v^n \rangle_{\bar{\nu}}}{\langle v^n \rangle_{\nu}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\nu N)}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\bar{\nu} N)} + O(n-1) \quad (13)$$

Notice that this result again (as with Eq. (10)) does not require determination of the relative flux of neutrinos or antineutrinos.

REFERENCES

1. G. Myatt and D. H. Perkins, Phys. Letters 34B, 542 (1971).
2. E. A. Paschos and V. I. Zakharov, NAL preprint 100, to be published in Phys. Rev.
3. D. Cline and E. Paschos, "Testing the Adler and Gross-Llewellyn Smith Sum Rules in High Energy Neutrino Reactions", NAL-Wisconsin preprint (1973), and to be published in Phys. Rev.
4. J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. 163, 1767 (1967).
5. J. D. Bjorken and E. Paschos, Phys. Rev. 185, 1975 (1969).
6. Preliminary results of the Gargamelle Collaboration, presented by P. Heusse at the XVI International Conference on High Energy Physics, Chicago-Batavia (1972); D. H. Perkins, Proceedings of the XVI International Conference on High Energy Physics, Chicago-Batavia (1972).
7. E. Paschos, Invited talk at the New York American Physical Society Meeting (1973) and NAL preprint (1973).
8. G. Miller, et al., Phys. Rev. D5, 528 (1972). We use an empirical fit by V. Barger (private communication) to the data presented in the thesis of A. Bodek, MIT thesis, COO-3069-116 (1972).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1 Plot of the normalized v -distribution as a function of v using $F_2(x)$ from electroproduction.
- Fig. 2 Plot of the normalized v -distribution as a function of v for finite (5 GeV) and infinite intermediate boson masses.