FIXED ANGLE BEHAVIOR IN FOUR POINT DUAL MODELS

I: Absolutely Convergent Series of Beta Functions[†]

C. W. Gardiner

University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand*

and

Physics Department

Syracuse University, Syracuse, N.Y. 13210

[†]Work Supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

* Permanent address

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Fig

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

ABSTRACT

The large s fixed angle asymptotic behavior of scattering amplitudes of the form

$$T(s,t,u) = A(s,t) + A(s,u) + A(u,t)$$

$$\text{with } A(s,t) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} C_h B[h-\alpha(s), h-\alpha(t)]$$

is studied. We find that the asymptotic behavior is determined by the function $F(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} C_h z^h$.

If $F(z)$ is entire, the Veneziano fixed angle behavior is preserved.

If $F(z)$ is singular at $z=\lambda$, λ real and larger than one, a different, but still exponentially damped, fixed angle behavior is produced around the forward and backward regions. If $\lambda=1$, we find power law behavior, or asymptotic behavior that decreases faster than any power of s , but slower than any exponential.

This behavior is found to be a slowly varying function of scattering angle, and is therefore probably unphysical.

We find, in particular, that the models of Mandelstam and Frampton have power law fixed angle behavior with the power independent of angle. The same is true of the model at Gervais and Neveu, unless their choice $\alpha_0 = -1$ is made. We consider therefore, that the first two of these models are unacceptable physically. The model of Neveu and Schwarz, however, does have the usual Veneziano fixed angle behavior.

We also comment on the work of Ellis and Freund, pointing out that their statement that linearly rising trajectories necessarily give rise to exponentially damped transverse momentum distributions must be incorrect, the models of Mandelstam, etc. being counter-examples.

I: INTRODUCTION

The explicit dual models, introduced by Veneziano[1], have been generalized in many ways[2] so as to satisfy various physical requirements. Notable constraints on such models have been the freedom from ghosts, the ability to be factorised when generalized to N point functions, and the preservation of those desirable aspects of duality and Regge behavior which first motivated the invention of such models. P.H. Frampton, together with the author[3] derived conditions on the coefficients of absolutely convergent symmetric Veneziano series of the form

$$A(s,t) = \sum_{\ell,h=0}^{\infty} C_{\ell h} \frac{\Gamma[\ell+h-\alpha(s)]\Gamma[(\ell+h-\alpha(t))]}{\ell!\Gamma[\ell+2h-\alpha(s)-\alpha(t)]} \quad (1.1)$$

in order that the duality and Regge behavior be preserved. However, we did not at the same time consider the conditions on the $C_{\ell h}$ which would ensure the preservation of the good fixed angle behavior as $s \rightarrow \infty$, which was stated in Veneziano's original paper. In a series of two papers, I propose to treat this problem completely.

The fixed angle behavior discovered by Veneziano,

$$B[-\alpha(s), -\alpha(t)] \sim \exp[-\alpha(s) \{ \frac{1-x}{2} \ln(\frac{2}{1-x}) + (\frac{1+x}{2}) \ln(\frac{2}{1+x}) \}] \quad (1.2)$$

where $x = \cos \theta_t$, is a very desirable property of these models. Ellis and Freund[4] have stated evidence, both theoretical and experimental that an asymptotic behavior of the precise form (1.2) is in fact true. However, the data on fixed angle

behavior is not yet very extensive, and their theoretical derivation does depend on a number of assumptions. Nevertheless, the qualitative behavior exhibited by (1.2), of strong damping in transverse momentum, is well verified. Furthermore, Der Tar[5] and Segre and Huang[5] have related this fixed angle behavior to the strong transverse momentum damping in inelastic processes

In these two papers, our aim will be to determine under what conditions a Veneziano series of the form (1.1) satisfies one of the following as $s \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed angle

- a) Asymptotic behavior like (1.2).
- b) Asymptotic behavior strongly damped in transverse momentum, but quantitatively different from (1.2).
- c) Asymptotic behavior of a physically unacceptable type, eg. power law, or exponentially growing.

This first paper treats only series of Beta functions in the form given in equation (2.5). Most of the models currently in favour with dual theorists are of this form; notably those of Mandelstam, Gervais and Neveu, Frampton, Neveu and Schwarz[2]. We can show that the model of Neveu and Schwarz falls into category a), and that of Gervais and Neveu falls into category a) if $\alpha=1, -1, -3, \dots$, but otherwise into category c). Both of the others are in the physically unacceptable category c).

An outline of this paper is as follows: Section 2 develops

an integral representation, and section 3 transforms this representation to a suitable form for our purposes. The analytic properties of the transformation are studied in sections 4 and 5, and in section 6 it is shown how the singularities of the transformation function are the cause of the asymptotic behavior (1.2). We show, in fact, that if

$$F(z) = \sum C_h z^h, \quad (1.3)$$

(where the C_h are the coefficients of the beta function series), is an entire function, then the behavior (1.2) is preserved. In section 7, we show that if $F(z)$ possesses singularities only for real z greater than 1, an acceptable asymptotic behavior still obtains. Section 8 shows that the various crossed channel terms do not alter the conclusions above. Section 9 summarizes the results. Section 10 is devoted to a study of borderline cases, when $F(z)$ is singular at $z=1$. The models of Mandelstam, Frampton, and Gervais and Neveu[6] are in this category, and these models give in general a power law behavior, which puts them in the unacceptable category c). It should be noted that it appears that the singularity of $F(z)$ at $z=1$ is an essential characteristic of these models, and it is just this singularity which ruins the asymptotic behavior. Because of this fact, we investigate also a hypothetical model in which $F(z)$ has a branch point at $z=1$, whose discontinuity goes to zero faster than any power of $(z-1)$ as $z \rightarrow 1$. We choose in particular, a discontinuity of the form

$\exp(-k/(z-1))$. We show that this model gives an asymptotic behavior of the form

$$A(s,t) \sim \exp\{-f(\theta)[\kappa(\alpha(s)) + 1]^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \quad (1.4)$$

which appears at first sight acceptable. However, $f(0) \neq 0$, and thus, although there is strong damping, the asymptotic behavior near the forward direction depends only slightly on θ . We refer the reader to this section for a more detailed discussion of this case, which we consider largely, but not entirely unphysical.

2: An Integral Representation

Fixed angle behavior is described by the constraint (for equal masses of scattered particles)

$$T = a + bS, \quad (S = \alpha(s), T = \alpha(t)) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\text{where} \quad b = -\frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos\theta) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\text{so that} \quad 0 \geq b \geq -1 \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{and} \quad a = \alpha_0(1-b) - 4\alpha^2 m^2 b \quad (2.4)$$

An absolutely convergent symmetric four point function with beta function terms only can be written as [3];

can be written as

$$A(S,T) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} C_h \frac{\Gamma(h-S)\Gamma(h-T)}{\Gamma(2h-S-T)} \quad (2.5)$$

$$= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} C_h \int_0^1 dx x^{-(S-h+1)} (1-x)^{-(T-h+1)} \quad (2.6)$$

$$= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} C_h \int_0^1 dx [x(1-x)^b]^{-S-1} (1-x)^{b-a-1} [x(1-x)]^h \quad (2.7)$$

use fails. However, this corresponds to fixed T , which was treated in ref.[3]. Define also the inverse function, $v(y)$, which satisfies

$$\left. \begin{aligned} v[w(x)] &= x \\ w[v(y)] &= y \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.3)$$

Finally note that $\frac{dv(y)}{dy} = \left[\frac{dw(x)}{dx} \right]^{-1} \Big|_{x=v(y)}$

$$= (1-x)^{1-b} [1-(b+1)x]^{-1} \Big|_{x=v(y)} \quad (3.4)$$

$$= v(y) [1-v(y)] \{y[1-(b+1)v(y)]\}^{-1} \quad (3.5)$$

We thus make the substitution $y=w(x)$ in equation (2.8), to obtain

$$A(S,T) = \int_0^{\infty} dy \cdot y^{-S-2} v(y) [1-v(y)]^{b-a} [1-(b+1)v(y)]^{-1} \times F_2\{v(y) [1-v(y)]\}. \quad (3.6)$$

4: Singularities of $v(y)$

In order to study the asymptotic behavior as $S \rightarrow \infty$ with b fixed, it will be necessary to know where the singularities of $v(y)$ are. There is a well known theorem which states that if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{i)} \quad & w(x) \text{ is analytic at } x=\beta \text{ and} \\ \text{ii)} \quad & w'(\beta) \neq 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.1)$$

there is a unique function $v(y)$, analytic at $\alpha=w(\beta)$, which satisfies the conditions

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} w[v(y)] = y, \\ \text{and} \quad v(\alpha) = \beta. \\ \text{Furthermore} \quad v'(\alpha) = 1/w'(\beta). \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, the singularities of $v(y)$ can occur only when either

$$a) \quad w'[v(y)] = 0$$

or $b) \quad v(y)$ is the value of a singularity of $w(x)$.

$$\text{Condition a) occurs when } v(y) = 1/(b+1) \quad (4.3)$$

and thus, by (3.3) and (3.1), when

$$y = \left(\frac{b}{b+1}\right)^b \frac{1}{b+1} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Condition b) occurs when } v(y) = 1 \\ \text{or} \quad v(y) = \infty \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.5)$$

$$\text{Both of which correspond to } y = \infty \quad (4.6)$$

Thus, we conclude that the only singularities of $v(y)$ occur at the points

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} y = \infty \\ y = \left(\frac{b}{b+1}\right)^b \frac{1}{b+1} \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.7)$$

5: Interpretation of the Singularity at $y = \frac{1}{b+1} \left(\frac{b}{b+1}\right)^b$

Since b is a non integral, there are many possible values of $[b/(b+1)]^b$, and the function $v(y)$ has several sheets. Furthermore, the function $w(x)$ also has several sheets. In fig.I we

show the curves of constant phase and modulus of the function $w(x)$, for $b = -\frac{1}{2}$.

There are three regions A, B, C.

$$\text{A) In this region } |w(x)| < |b^b(b+1)^{-b-1}| = |b|^{-|b|}(1-|b|)^{-1+|b|} > 1 \quad (5-1)$$

$$\text{Also, } \text{Arg}[w(x)] \text{ has the range } -\pi \text{ to } +\pi. \quad (5.2)$$

$$\text{B) In this region } |w(x)| > |b^b(1+b)^{-1-b}| \quad (5.3)$$

$$\text{and } x \text{ is finite. Further, } \text{Arg}[w(x)] \text{ has the range } -\pi b \text{ to } +\pi b. \quad (5.4)$$

The value of $\text{Arg}(x)$ is $-\pi b$ on the upper lip of the cut, and $+\pi b$ on the lower lip of the cut.

$$\text{C) In this region } |w(x)| > |b^b(1+b)^{-b-1}| \quad (5.5)$$

and x may become infinite. Here $\text{Arg}(x)$ has the range

$$\pi b \text{ to } \pi \quad (5.6)$$

$$-\pi b \text{ to } -\pi \quad (5.7)$$

Thus, the function $w(x)$ takes on all complex values in the x plane. We now consider the inverse function $v(y)$. The reader is asked to refer to figs 1 and (2). In the region

$$|y| < |b^b(1+b)^{-1-b}| \quad (5.8)$$

there are no singularities. Thus the mapping $y = w(x)$ maps the region A into the region AA' defined by $y = w(x)$ with $w(x)$ satisfying (5.1) & (5.2). This is a circle with radius $|b^b(1+b)^{-1-b}|$.

The region B is defined by $y=w(x)$ satisfying (5.3) and (5.4). Thus the mapping $y=w(x)$ maps B into B' defined by

$$|y| > |b^b(1+b)^{-b-1}| \quad (5.9)$$

$$0 < |\text{Arg}(y)| < \pi |b| \quad (5.10)$$

In B there is only a singularity at $x=\infty$, but the mapping becomes double valued along the cut from 1 to ∞ . Thus a singularity in $v(y)$ arises when $x=1/(b+1)$, as shown previously. This gives two singularities in $v(y)$, occurring at

$$\left. \begin{aligned} |y| &= |b^b(b+1)^{-b-1}| \\ \text{and } \text{Arg } y &= \pm \pi b \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5.11)$$

The cut from ∞ to $x=(b+1)^{-1}$ then maps to two cuts, from the positions (5.11) to ∞ , with $\arg y = \pm \pi b$.

The Region C is similarly shown to map to C', which satisfies

$$\left. \begin{aligned} |y| &> |b^b(b+1)^{-b-1}| \\ \pi &> |\text{Arg}(y)| > \pi |b| \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5.12)$$

Thus, the first sheet of $v(y)$ possesses only two singularities, both branch points to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} |y| &= |b|^{-|b|} (1-|b|)^{-1+|b|} \\ \text{Arg } y &= \pm \pi b \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5.13)$$

An approximate expression for $v(y)$, valid when y is close to the branch points, can be simply derived by approximating $w(x)$ by a quadratic function of $(x-\frac{1}{b+1})$. We can then solve for x in

terms of $w(x)$, to give

$$v(y) \approx \frac{1}{b+1} + \left[\frac{2b}{(b+1)^3} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{b+1} \left(\frac{b}{b+1} \right)^b - y \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (5.14)$$

6: Asymptotic Behavior when $F(x)$ possesses no singularities

This section will show that if $F(x)$ has no singularities, the asymptotic behavior at fixed angle is that of the usual Beta function model.

We make the substitution

$$y = \exp(-z) \quad (6.1)$$

so that

$$A(S, T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz e^{(S+1)z} v(\exp[-z]) (1-v[\exp(-z)])^{b-a} \quad (6.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times [1 - (b+1)v(e^{-z})]^{-1} {}_2F_2\{v(\exp[-z])(1-v[\exp(-z)])\} \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{Sz} \phi(z) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

The singularities of $\phi(z)$ are at points where $v[\exp(-z)]$ is singular, i.e. at

$$z = \ln |b^b (1+b)^{-1-b}| \pm i\pi b + 2n\pi i \quad (6.4)$$

and when

$$\left. \begin{aligned} v[\exp(-z)] &= 1 \\ \text{i.e., } \exp(-z) &= \infty \\ \text{i.e., } z &= -\infty \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.5)$$

However, singularities at infinity are irrelevant to this work.

We distort the integration contour, $(-\infty, \infty)$ to C' , as in fig.3 when $\text{Im}(S) > 0$. The asymptotic behavior as $\text{Re}(S) \rightarrow \infty$ is dominated by the behavior of the least rapidly decreasing exponential, which occurs along the vertically distorted contour. Thus, the asymptotic behavior will be approximately of the form

$$|A(S, T)| \sim \exp\{-S[\ln|b^b(1+b)^{-1-b}| \pm i\pi b]\} \quad (6.6)$$

$$= \left[\left(\frac{b}{b+1}\right)^b \frac{1}{b+1}\right]^{-S} \quad (6.7)$$

This form is the same as that in Veneziano's original paper[2].

More careful calculation can give the more precise determination,

$$A(S, T) \sim \frac{\sin\pi[-a-(b+1)S]}{\sin\pi S} \cdot |(b+1)/b|^{\frac{1}{2}+a} \times S^{-\frac{1}{2}} |b^b(b+1)^{-b-1}|^{-S} F[b/(b+1)^2] \quad (6.8)$$

The only change between this, and a careful evaluation of the asymptotic value of $A(S, T)$ (as given by Veneziano) using Stirling's approximation, is the factor $F[b/(b+1)^2]$. Clearly, when $F(z)=1$, we get the correct Stirling's approximation value for the beta function.

Equation (6.8) is derived as follows:

i) Let $\text{Im}(S) > 0$. Then we distort the contour $(-\infty, +\infty)$ to the contour C' as shown in fig.3, and then to the contour C'' which is composed of the sum of all the contours C' round individual positions of the singularities, which we have shown in section 5

are branch points, of a structure given approximately by eq.5-14.

ii) The integrals round the various contours C'_1 differ only by phases, which can be extracted, and summed over the various positions of the singularity. Doing this gives an integral around C'_1 , multiplied by a factor - $\frac{\sin\pi[a+(b+1)S]}{\sin\pi S}$ (6.9)

This representation is, by analytic continuation, now valid for all values of $\text{Im}(S)$.

iii) We rewrite the integral around the contour C'_1 defined by $\text{Im}(z)=-\pi b$ as an integral of the discontinuity of the cut, which turns out to be a Laplace transform.

iv) Since the asymptotic behavior is determined to first order only by the behavior of the discontinuity of the integrand across the cut near the beginning of the cut, we approximate $v(y)$ by (5.14) in the integral, and carry out the integrations to get (6.8).

7: Asymptotic Behavior When $F(x)$ is Singular

In section 2 we imposed the condition that $F(z)$ should be singular only for z real and $1 \leq z < \infty$. (7.1)

Suppose then z has a singularity satisfying (7.1) at $z=\lambda$.

Then $F[v(y)(1-v(y))]$ will be singular when $y=y_0$, defined by

$$v(y_0)[1-v(y_0)] = \lambda$$

$$\text{i.e.,} \quad v(y_0) = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda} \quad (7.2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + i\xi \quad (7.3)$$

where $|\xi| \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$. (7.4)

Solving (7.3) for y_0 , we find

$$y_0 = w\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - i\xi\right)^b \quad (7.5)$$

From the reasoning of section 6, we can see that an exponential decrease of $A(S,T)$ as $S \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed angle can come about only if

$$|y_0| > 1, \quad (7.6)$$

which would mean $\left(\frac{1}{4} + \xi^2\right)^{\frac{1+b}{2}} = \lambda^{\frac{1+b}{2}} > 1$ (7.7)

noting that $\frac{1}{2}(1+b) > 0$, we see that (7.7) requires

$$|\xi| > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad (7.8)$$

which is not the same as (7.4), which includes the possibility of equality.

Translated into a statement about $F(z)$, we find that the requirement for asymptotic behavior at fixed angle, of the form $\exp(-KS)$, as $\text{Re}(S) \rightarrow \infty$, is that $F(z)$ possess singularities only for z real, and $1 < z < \infty$.

It should be noted, however, that whenever $F(z)$ does possess singularities at $z = \lambda > 1$, although the requirement for exponential behavior at fixed angle is satisfied, the qualitative behavior is now different from that of a single beta function. It is clear that for any given angle, whichever is the smaller of $|(b+1)^{-(b+1)}b^b|$ and $\lambda^{\frac{1+b}{2}}$ will dominate the asymptotic behavior at fixed angle. Figure 4 shows the

different behavior of the two functions as b varies. Notice that the tangents to $|(b+1)^{-(b+1)}b^b|$ at $b=0$ and $b=-1$ are vertical. Thus the two curves will always intersect once in $0 \leq b \leq 1$. At b greater than the value where this intersection occurs, the fixed angle behavior will be that of equation (6.8), at more negative b , the behavior will be

$$|A(S,T)| \sim \left(\lambda^{\frac{1+b}{2}} \right)^{-S} \quad (7.9)$$

notice also that the symmetry of the fixed angle behavior of an individual term under the transformation $b \rightarrow -b-1$ will now be destroyed.

8: Asymptotic Behavior of the terms $A(S,U)$ and $A(S,T)$

The usual expression for the scattering amplitude will involve $A(S,U)$ and $A(U,T)$. Using

$$S+T+U = \kappa = 4m^2 \alpha^2 + 3\alpha_0 \quad (8.1)$$

we see that

$$U = \kappa - a - (b+1)S \quad (8.2)$$

thus the term $A(S,U)$ can in fact be written

$$A(S,U) = A[S, \kappa - a - (b+1)S]. \quad (8.3)$$

Thus the substitutions

$$a \rightarrow \kappa - a \quad (8.4)$$

$$\text{and } b \rightarrow -(b+1) \quad (8.5)$$

in (6.8) and (7.9) give the asymptotic expansion of $A(S,U)$. The usual behavior (6.8), is, up to constant factors, unaltered. Thus, in the case of non singular $F(z)$ $A(S,T)$ and $A(S,U)$ give the same fixed angle behavior.

However, if $F(z)$ is singular, referring to fig.4, we can see that the dominant term near $b=0$ is now a term that behaves like $(\lambda^{b/2})^{-S}$. There may be a region of b around $b=-\frac{1}{2}$ where the behavior (6.8) dominates, but this happens only if

$$\lambda \geq 16. \quad (8.6)$$

The term $A(U,T)$ does not have such an obvious treatment. A representation like (2.8) can be derived, and after substituting $x \rightarrow 1/x$, it becomes

$$A(U,T) = e^{i\pi[b(S-1)+b-a-1]} \int_1^\infty dx \{x(1-x)^b\}^{-S-1} x^{k+1} (1-x)^{b-a-1} \times F[(x-1)/x^2], \quad (8.7)$$

where we choose $\text{Arg}(1-x) = -\pi$ in the integral, so that the integral is along the top of the cut from 1 to ∞ .

By now writing $y=v(x)$, we find

$$A(U,T) = e^{i\pi[b(S-1)+b-a-1]} \int_C dy y^{-S-2} v(y) [1-v(y)]^{b-a} [1-(b+1)v(y)]^{-1} \times v(y)^{k+1} F\{[v(y)-1]/[v(y)]^2\} \quad (8.8)$$

Where the contour C runs around the cut along $\text{Arg } y = -\pi b$ (see fig. 2). We see from this that, when the substitution $y = \exp(-z)$ is made, no distortion of contour will be necessary to obtain an representation suitable for an asymptotic expansion. Thus the singularities of F are irrelevant to this case. The asymptotic expansion is then calculated to be

$$A(U,T) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} (b+1)^{a-\kappa-\frac{1}{2}} (-b)^{-a-\frac{1}{2}} S^{-\frac{1}{2}} |(b+1)^{-b-\frac{1}{2}} b|^{-S} \\ \times F[-b(b+1)] \quad (8.9)$$

and will hold for all absolutely convergent beta function series.

9: Summary of Asymptotic Expansions at Fixed Angle

When $F(z)$ has a singularity at $z=\lambda$, we find that

$$T(S,T,U) = A(S,T) + A(U,T) + A(S,U), \quad (9.1)$$

has the following asymptotic expansion at fixed angles

a) If $\lambda \leq 16$, then for all angles

$$|T(S,T,U)| \sim \lambda^{\left[\frac{1}{2} - \left|\frac{1}{2}-b\right|\right]S} \quad (9.2)$$

Near the forward direction, this can be written

$$|T(S,T,U)| \sim \exp\{S(\ln\lambda) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\} \quad (9.3)$$

which for small angles is approximately

$$\sim \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4}\ln\lambda (\alpha(P_1))^2\right\} \quad (9.4)$$

b) If $\lambda > 16$, the asymptotic expansion (9.2) holds in the region where

$$\lambda^{-\left[\frac{1}{2} - \left|\frac{1}{2}-b\right|\right]} < |(b+1)^{-b-\frac{1}{2}} b|, \quad (9.5)$$

This is a region which includes the points $b=-1$ and $b=0$, but excludes a central region of the form

$$\left|\frac{1}{2}-b\right| < \eta, \quad (9.6)$$

where η is so defined that when $\left|\frac{1}{2}-b\right| = \eta$, (9.5) becomes an equality.

Thus, qualitatively, a singularity in $F(z)$ will change the fixed angle asymptotic behavior near the forward and backward regions, but, provided $\lambda > 16$, the original behavior, (6.8) will be preserved in a region round $\theta = 90^\circ$.

10: Applications

a) Applications to the models of Mandelstam, Gervais and Neveu, and Frampton[3]

There are several interesting models which can be written as sums of beta functions, and it is therefore relevant to investigate the fixed angle behavior of these. The models under consideration all involve expressions of the form

$$A(S,T) = \int_0^1 dx x^{-S-1} (1-x)^{-T-1} [1-x(1-x)]^{\gamma/2} \psi(S,T,U,x) \quad (10.1)$$

In the models of Mandelstam and Gervais-Neveu,

$$\psi(S,T,U,x) = 1$$

$$\text{in Mandelstam's model, } \gamma = S+T+U+1 = 4\alpha' m^2 + 3\alpha_0 + 1, \quad (10.2)$$

in that of Gervais and Neveu,

$$\gamma = 1 - \alpha_0, \quad (10.3)$$

and it is preferred that

$$\alpha_0 = -1. \quad (10.4)$$

In Frampton's ghost-free version of his model,

$$\gamma = S+T+U-1 = 4\alpha' m^2 + 3\alpha_0 - 1, \quad (10.5)$$

and

$$\psi(S,T,U,x) = [Sx+T(1-x)-Ux(1-x)] \cdot [1-x(1-x)]^{-1} \quad (10.6)$$

The crucial point in all of these models is that

$$F[x(1-x)] = [1-x(1-x)]^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \times (\text{polynomial in } x). \quad (10.7)$$

The polynomial is unimportant: the crucial fact is that for all these models $F(z)$ is singular at $z=1$. (There is an exception if we make the preferred choice of Gervais and Neveu, given by (10.4), when $\gamma=2$, and the singularity disappears.) Thus, it is clear that in general, the fixed angle behavior of the Veneziano model will be completely destroyed. We can show, in fact, that these models have a power law behavior as $S \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed angle.

Consider a model in which $\phi(S,T,U,x)=1$, and γ is arbitrary. The representation (3.6) becomes

$$A(S,T) = \int_0^\infty dy \cdot y^{-S-2} [1-v(y)]^{b-a} [1-(b+1)v(y)]^{-1} \{ [v(y) - e^{\frac{-i\pi}{3}}] \times [v(y) - e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}}] \}^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \quad (10.8)$$

$$\text{The final factor has branch points at } y = e^{\frac{\pm i\pi(by)}{3}}, \quad (10.9)$$

and near these branch points, using the expression (3.5) for $v'(y)$, we can derive that

$$v(y) \approx e^{\frac{\pm i\pi}{3}} + e^{\pm(\frac{i\pi}{3})(b-1)} [1-(b+1)e^{\frac{\pm i\pi}{3}}] \cdot [y - e^{\frac{(\pm i\pi)}{3}}]^{(b-1)} \quad (10.10)$$

We may then follow the same methods as in section (6) to

derive the asymptotic expansion

$$A(S,T) \sim \left[\left\{ \frac{2i \sin \frac{\pi}{3} e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{3}(b-1)}}{1 - (b+1)e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}}} \right\}^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}(1-b+a)} e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}(b-1)(S+1)}}{1 - (b+1)e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}}} \right. \\ \left. + \left\{ \frac{-2i \sin \frac{\pi}{3} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}(b-1)}}{1 - (b+1)e^{\frac{-i\pi}{3}}} \right\}^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{-i\pi}{3}(1-b+a)} e^{\frac{-i\pi}{3}(b-1)(S+1)}}{1 - (b+1)e^{\frac{-i\pi}{3}}} \right] \times \\ S^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}-1} \frac{\operatorname{cosec}(\pi S)}{\Gamma(-\frac{\gamma}{2})} \quad (10.11)$$

This is a power law behavior, apart from the phases in the large bracket and the factor $\operatorname{cosec} \pi S$. It will be noticed that only the phase bracket depends on b ; that is, the nature of the power law is independent of angle. Furthermore, $\phi(S,T,U,x)$ in the other models adds only a polynomial dependence on S,T,U , and is normally analytic unless $1-x(1-x)=0$, where it possesses a pole, or a similar singularity. Neither of these can change the result (10.9) except by possibly changing the particular power law.

It must therefore be concluded that these models are physically unacceptable because of

- 1) Power law fixed angle behavior
- 2) Essentially the same behavior at all fixed angles, except

in the forward direction, where $b=0$. (Although substituting $b=0$ in (10.9) gives a non infinite and non zero result, the answer is invalid, since the contour of integration is pinched between the two singularities of $v(y)$. This does not appear in (10.9), since the effects of these two singularities have been added independently).

However, it should be remembered that $\gamma=2$ corresponds to Gervais-Neveu, which leads to the vanishing of (10.11), because of the factor $[\Gamma(-\frac{\gamma}{2})]^{-1}$.

b) Application to the Model of Neveu and Schwarz

This model is merely a sum of beta functions, multiplied by polynomials in S, T , and U . Thus, the fixed angle behavior will be essentially that of a beta function.

c) More General Models in which $F(x)$ has a Singularity at $x=1$

A singularity $F(x)$ at $x=1$ always maps into a set of singularities of $F(e^{-z})$ at

$$z = \pm \frac{\pi(b-1)i}{3} + 2n\pi i \quad (10.12)$$

The contour can always be distorted to pick up the leading contribution which gives a singularity. The general result is a

$$\begin{aligned} A(S, T) \sim & \frac{\exp[\frac{i\pi}{3}(1-b+a+(b-1)(S+1))]}{[1-(b+1)\exp(i\pi/3)]\sin\pi S} \int_C F(-2iz \sin\frac{\pi}{3} e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}(b-1)}) e^{(S+1)z} dz \\ & + \frac{\exp[-\frac{i\pi}{3}(1-b+a+(b-1)(S+1))]}{[1-(b+1)\exp(-i\pi/3)]\sin\pi S} \int_C F(2iz \sin\frac{\pi}{3} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{3}(b-1)}) e^{(S+1)z} dz \end{aligned} \quad (10.13)$$

The contour C is a small piece of contour which goes round the origin as in Fig.5. The asymptotic behavior may be approximated by taking C' which encloses the cut from $-\infty$ to 0, since the continuation from those parts not in C goes to zero exponentially.

Since a cut with a power law discontinuity near the branch point has given a power law asymptotic behavior, it is essential to choose a discontinuity which goes to zero faster than any power. For example, if $F(z)$ has a discontinuity of the form

$$\exp(-K/(z-1)) \quad (10.14)$$

then the first integral in (10.13) will have an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$\exp\left\{-\sqrt{2iK(S+1)}\exp\left[-\frac{i\pi}{3}(b-1)\right]\sin\frac{\pi}{3}\right\} \quad (10.15)$$

which is not an entirely unreasonable physical behavior. However the real part of the exponent does not go to zero as b goes to zero, since K must be real if $A(S,T)$ is to be real. Thus, near the forward direction, the asymptotic behavior will depend only slightly on angle, which is also rather unphysical.

d) General Conclusion of Part 10

We conclude that singularities of $F(z)$ at $z=1$ will generally give rise to some unphysical fixed angle asymptotic behavior. In models like those of section a), this necessitates that γ be an even non-negative integer. This rules out both Mandelstam's

and Frampton's models, but allows that of Gervais and Neveu, provided

$$\alpha_0 = 1, -1, -3, -5, \dots \text{ etc.} \quad (10.16)$$

This does allow their preferred case of $\alpha_0 = -1$.

11. Connection with the Results of Ellis and Freund [4]

These authors have recently derived the result that, under certain analyticity and consistency requirements, dual models give a logarithmic scaling law, of the form

$$-(\alpha' S)^{-1} \ln A(S, T) \underset{\substack{|S| \rightarrow \infty \\ \cos \theta \text{ fixed}}}{\sim} f(\cos \theta), \quad (11.1)$$

and that $f(x)$ is given by

$$f(x) = \frac{1-x}{2} \ln\left(\frac{2}{1-x}\right) + \frac{1+x}{2} \ln\left(\frac{2}{1+x}\right), \quad (11.2)$$

so that (11.1) corresponds exactly to the behavior expected from a single beta function. It is clear that all their assumptions cannot be correct in dual models expressible as series of beta functions. For example, taking $F(z)$ to be given by

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{z-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \text{ real, and } \lambda > 1 \quad (11.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(S, T, U) \sim & \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{1-x}{4} S \ln \lambda\right]}{2\sqrt{4\lambda-1} \sin \pi S} \\ & \times \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}-i\xi\right)^{-x-2-a} \left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right) \exp\left\{i\left[-\frac{3+x}{2} \tan^{-1} 2\xi - \pi\right] S\right\} \right. \\ & - \left. \left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)^{-x-2-a} \left(\frac{1}{2}-i\xi\right) \exp\left\{-i\left[-\frac{3+x}{2} \tan^{-1} 2\xi - \pi\right] S\right\} \right] \\ & + (x \rightarrow -x), \end{aligned} \quad (11.4)$$

where $x = \cos \theta$, and $\xi = \sqrt{\lambda - \frac{1}{4}}$.

This expansion will be valid in a pair of regions; one including $x=-1$, and one including $x=0$. (These regions overlap if $\lambda > 16$) In a central region the asymptotic behavior is the usual Veneziano type.

Imagining that in practice the limit $S \rightarrow \infty$ is taken with a constant imaginary part of S , namely, $\text{Im}(S) > 0$, then the only significant term is $\exp[\frac{1-x}{4} S \log \lambda]$. Writing all this we see that (11.1) is valid if

$$f(x) = \min\{\frac{1-x}{4} \ln \lambda, \frac{1-x}{2} \ln \frac{2}{1-x} + \frac{1+x}{2} \ln \frac{2}{1+x}, \frac{1+x}{2} \ln \lambda\}, \quad (11.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{that is, } f(x) &= \frac{1-x}{4} \ln \lambda & (\alpha \leq x \leq 1) \\ &= \frac{1-x}{2} \ln \frac{2}{1-x} + \frac{1+x}{2} \ln \frac{2}{1+x} & (-\alpha \leq x \leq \alpha) \\ &= \frac{1+x}{4} \ln \lambda & (-1 \leq x \leq -\alpha) \end{aligned} \quad (11.6)$$

Here α and $-\alpha$ give the values of x where $f(x)$ changes from one functional form to another. When x approaches 1, the first two forms for $f(x)$ both approach zero. The second one gives rise to the Regge behavior, and of course satisfies the consistency condition of Ellis and Freund. However the first form arises from $A(S, U)$, and gives not Regge behavior, but instead the type of term that decreases exponentially as $|S| \rightarrow \infty$ with $|\text{Arg } S| > 0$. The condition of Ellis and Freund has not taken into account that, with an $f(x)$ like (11.5), the scaling behavior (11.1) can be obtained by a sum of exponentials, only one of which dominates at any angle.

The results of this paper show that the assertion of Ellis and Freund that linearly rising trajectories must give rise to damping in transverse momentum cannot be sustained. One need only consider Mandelstam's model for $\gamma = -2$.

12: Conclusion

Proponents of dual models have taken great pains to construct Regge behaved factorisable ghost free models, with physically acceptable trajectories. The aspect of fixed angle behavior is, we believe, also very important and we feel that it is desirable that any proposed model satisfy the constraint of strong damping in transverse momentum, as does the original Veneziano model. This paper has shown that a good transverse momentum behavior is by no means automatically obtained in any dual model. In this respect we disagree with Ellis and Freund[4].

We conclude that any dual model which can be expressed as a beta function series must satisfy the condition that $F(z)$, defined by (2.9), may be singular only when $1 < z < \infty$. If this is not so, an unacceptable fixed angle behavior is obtained.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank Paul Frampton, who pointed out the existence of the problem studied here, and A.P. Balachandran, whose comments and insights have been invaluable. The completion of this work was greatly assisted by my visit to the High Energy Group at Syracuse University, which was made possible by their generosity, and that of Waikato University.

References

- [1] G. Veneziano, Nuovo Cimento 57A (1968) 190
- [2] A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B31 (1971) 86
S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. Letters 21 (1968) 1724
J. L. Gervais and A. Neveu, Nucl. Phys. B47 (1972) 422
P. H. Frampton, Syracuse University reprint SU-4205-14
(Jan.1973) to be published in Phys. Rev. D May 1973
See also A. P. Balachandran and H. Rupertsberger,
Syracuse University preprint SU-4205-18 (March 1973)
- [3] P. H. Frampton and C. W. Gardiner, Physical Review D2,
(1970) 2378
- [4] S. D. Ellis and P.G.O. Freund, National Accelerator Laboratory Preprint, NAL-THY-82 (Nov.1972)
- [5] G. Segre and K. Huang, Phys. Rev. Letters, 27 (1971), 1095
C. E. Der Tar et al., Phys. Rev. D4 (1971) 425

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Schematic graph of the various regions of $w(x)=x(1-x)^b$

A: Region $0 \leq |w(x)| < |b^b(b+1)^{-b-1}|$

and $0 \leq |\text{Arg } w(x)| \leq \pi$

B: Region $|w(x)| > |b^b(b+1)^{-b-1}|$

and $0 \leq |\text{Arg } w(x)| \leq \pi|b|$

C: Region $|w(x)| > |b^b(b+1)^{-b-1}|$

and $\pi|b| \leq |\text{Arg } w(x)| \leq \pi$

Fig. 2 Schematic graph of various regions of $v(y)$. The regions A,B,C, correspond to the regions A,B,C in fig.1. The function $v(y)$ is analytic throughout the y plane, apart from the cuts shown along $\text{Arg}(y)=\pm\pi b$, with branch points at $|y| = |b^b(b+1)^{-b-1}|$.

Fig. 3 Singularity structure of the integrand of equation (6.2). All singularities occur at $\text{Re}(z)=-\ln|b^b(1+b)^{-1-b}|$.

The crosses represent singularities at $\text{Arg } z = -i\pi b + 2n\pi i$

The circles represent singularities at $\text{Arg } z = i\pi b + 2n\pi i$.

Fig. 4 Plots of $y = \lambda^{\frac{1+b}{2}}$ for $\lambda=5$, as a function of b (dashed curve).

$y = |(b+1)^{-b-1}b^b|$ as a function of b (solid curve).

The smaller function at any given value of b dominates

the asymptotic behavior of $A(S,T)$ as $S \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed angle.

Fig.5. Contours C and C' used in equation (10.13). The asymptotic behavior of $A(S,T)$ is determined by the integral of C . A value for the asymptotic expansion can be obtained by using an approximation to the integrand, valid for a region near the branch point, and then extending the integral using this approximation to the contour C' .

Fig. I

Fig. 2.

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5