RECEIVED BY TIC MAY 18 1973

DESIGN OF INDUCTION PROBES FOR MEASUREMENT OF LEVEL OF LIQUID METALS

C. V. Dodd
C. C. Cheng
C. W. Nestor, Jr.
R. B. Hofstra

S0477

THIS DOCUMENT CONFIRMED AS
UNCLASSIFIED
DIVISION OF CLASSIFICATION
BY J. L. Cucchiara / wcr
DATE 5/29/73

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

OPERATED BY UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION • FOR THE U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

METALS AND CERAMICS DIVISION

DESIGN OF INDUCTION PROBES FOR MEASUREMENT
OF LEVEL OF LIQUID METALS

C. V. Dodd C. C. Cheng
C. W. Nestor, Jr. R. B. Hofstra

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

MAY 1973

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

By

THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT.	1
1. INTRODUCTION.	1
2. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS.	2
3. COMPUTER CALCULATIONS FOR A PROBE INSIDE BISMUTH.	24
4. MEASUREMENTS ON LIQUID LEVEL SYSTEM	33
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	37
6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	38
APPENDIX A - Computer Programs for a Liquid Level Probe Inside Coaxial Conductors.	39
I. Introduction.	40
II. Description of INNMUL	41
A. DRIVER Program.	41
B. INNMUL Subroutine	45
1. XJJNT Function.	51
2. XIINT Function.	51
3. GAMCAL Subroutine	59
a. GCALC Subroutine.	69
b. MODBES Subroutine	77
c. CMDBES Subroutine	82
i. COMKB Subroutine.	85
ii. CMI Subroutine.	90
III. Execution of INNMUL	93
A. TELINC.F4 Program	93
B. Data Description.	99
C. Sample Data and Results	102
IV. ATTEN Program	108

DESIGN OF INDUCTION PROBES FOR MEASUREMENT
OF LEVEL OF LIQUID METALS

C. V. Dodd C. C. Cheng¹
C. W. Nestor, Jr.² R. B. Hofstra²

ABSTRACT

This report gives general analyses of eddy-current probes for measuring the level of liquid metals. The case of a coil encircling a level chamber and the case of a coil inside a level chamber have been solved theoretically, and computer programs are included in the Appendix for the latter case. As a specific example, we have designed a probe enclosed in molybdenum (a good conductor) to measure the level of molten bismuth (a poor conductor). By using a computer analysis, the sensitivity of the probe to level changes is maximized while the sensitivity to undesirable variables, such as temperature changes, is minimized. Experimental measurements demonstrated that the level could be measured to within ± 0.080 in. over a level range from 0 to 13 in. within a temperature range of 600° to 650°C. The high degree of success achieved in the probe design and measurements for this unfavorable combination of conductors indicate that highly accurate eddy-current measurements can be made with almost any combination of conductors.

1. INTRODUCTION

The ability to measure the level of a molten metal is very important in a number of industrial and chemical processes. We have analyzed the general problem of measuring the level of a conductive fluid by an induction, or eddy-current, process. The eddy-current probe consists of a long bifilar coil, which can either encircle a chamber containing the liquid metal or be inside a tube mounted in the chamber containing the liquid level. We obtained integral solutions which were valid for the chamber either empty or full, and, because of the probe length, we assumed that the response of the probe to levels between these two extremes was approximately linear. Later experimental measurements showed this to be an excellent assumption. A relaxation solution could

¹Consultant from the University of Tennessee.

²Mathematics Division.

be used to calculate the probe responses to various levels of liquid metal, but was judged to be too expensive to run for the additional information gained. While the technique is very general and can be applied to almost any conductive fluid, we analyzed a system that consisted of a coil encased in molybdenum (a good conductor) used to measure the level of molten bismuth (a poor conductor). The high degree of success achieved in the probe design and measurements for this unfavorable combination of conductors leads us to conclude that highly accurate eddy-current techniques can be designed and applied for level measurements with almost any combination of conductors.

2. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

The general configuration to be considered is an axially symmetric driving coil located concentrically with an arbitrary number of cylindrical conductors with arbitrary thickness, permeability, permittivity, and conductivity. For simplicity, we assume that all media are linear, isotropic, and homogeneous, and the driving current is time-harmonic with frequency, ω . Then, the current density $\vec{J}$ and vector potential $\vec{A}$ will have only azimuthal components in cylindrical coordinates:

$$\vec{J}(\vec{x}) = J(r, z) \hat{e}_\theta \quad (1)$$

and

$$\vec{A}(\vec{x}) = A(r, z) \hat{e}_\theta \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{e}_\theta$ is an azimuthal unit vector. The vector potential at (r, z) , produced by a driving coil with a current density $J(r', z')$ at (r', z') , can be expressed as

$$A(r, z) = \iint_{\substack{\text{Driving} \\ \text{Coil}}} G(r, z; r', z') J(r', z') dr' dz' \quad (3)$$

where $G(r, z; r', z')$ is the Green's function for a unit δ -function current

at (r', z') . In a linear, isotropic, homogeneous medium, the Green's function satisfies³

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - j\omega\mu\sigma + \omega^2\mu\epsilon \right] G(r, z; r', z') = -\mu \delta(r-r') \delta(z-z') \quad (4)$$

where μ , ϵ , and σ are the permeability, permittivity, and conductivity of the medium. The solution of Eq. (4) for each medium must also satisfy the proper boundary conditions.

We shall first consider a δ -function coil coaxial with $k+k'-2$ cylindrical conductors; $k-1$ of them inside the coil and $k'-1$ of them outside the coil; as shown in Fig. 1. The general solution of Eq. (4) in any region, n , may be obtained by separation of variables. Setting

$$G^{(n)}(r, z; r', z') = R(r) Z(z)$$

and dividing Eq. (4) by $R(r) Z(z)$ gives:

$$\frac{1}{R(r)} \frac{\partial^2 R(r)}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{rR(r)} \frac{\partial R(r)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{Z(z)} \frac{\partial^2 Z(z)}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} + \omega^2\mu_n\epsilon_n - j\omega\mu_n\sigma_n = 0 \quad (5)$$

The subscripts n on the permeability, permittivity, and conductivity denote the values of these parameters in the region n . We shall choose the separation "constant", α_n^2 , to be negative and define

$$\alpha_n^2 = \alpha^2 + j\omega\mu_n\sigma_n - \omega^2\mu_n\epsilon_n$$

³C. V. Dodd and W. E. Deeds, J. Appl. Phys. 39, 2829-2838 (1968).

Fig. 1. Multiple Concentric Conductors in the Presence of a Delta Function Coil.

Then we can write for the z dependence

$$\frac{1}{Z(z)} \frac{\partial^2 Z(z)}{\partial z^2} = -\alpha^2. \quad (6)$$

Solving this differential equation gives:

$$Z(z) = A \sin \alpha(z-z_0) + B \cos \alpha(z-z_0). \quad (7)$$

We can drop the sine term due to the symmetry about $z = z'$.

The radial term has the following dependence:

$$\frac{r^2 d^2 R(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{rdR(r)}{dr} - (r^2 \alpha_n^2 + 1) R(r) = 0 . \quad (8)$$

This differential equation has the following solution:

$$R(r) = CI_1(\alpha_n r) + DK_1(\alpha_n r) , \quad (9)$$

where $I_1(\alpha_n r)$ and $K_1(\alpha_n r)$ are modified Bessel functions of first order.

The complete solution to the Green's function in each region is an integral over the separation constant α :

$$G^{(n)}(r, z; r', z') = \int_0^\infty \left[C_n(\alpha) I_1(\alpha_n r) + D_n(\alpha) K_1(\alpha_n r) \right] \cos \alpha(z - z') d\alpha \quad (10)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$; $1', 2', \dots, k'$. The unknown constants are functions of the separation constant α and different for each region. We shall use the boundary conditions to solve for these unknown constants. In order to obtain a very general solution for an arbitrary number of cylindrical conductors inside and outside the coil, we shall use a matrix technique.

In the innermost region the coefficient of $K_1(\alpha_1 r)$, $D_1(\alpha)$, must be zero, and in the outermost region C_1 , must be zero in order for the solution to remain finite. (The outer radius of the outermost region is infinite.) We shall use the boundary conditions in order to determine the other constants. We have the following boundary conditions for the Green's function (which are the same as those for the vector potential) between regions n and $n+1$ shown in Fig. 2:

$$G^{(n)}(r_n, z; r', z') = G^{(n+1)}(r_n, z; r', z') \quad (11)$$

and

ORNL-DWG 72-3046

Fig. 2. Top View of a Typical Boundary Between Two Regions.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\mu_n} \left[\frac{G^{(n)}}{r_n} (r_n, z; r', z') + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} G^{(n)}(r, z; r', z') \right]_{r=r_n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\mu_{n+1}} \left[\frac{G^{(n+1)}}{r_n} (r_n, z; r', z') + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} G^{(n+1)}(r, z; r', z') \right]_{r=r_n} + \delta(z-z') \delta(r_n - r') .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{12}$$

Using the Green's functions from Eq. (10) in Eq. (12) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty C_n(\alpha) \frac{\alpha_n}{\mu_n} \left[\frac{I_1(\alpha_n r_n)}{\alpha_n r_n} + \frac{d}{d\alpha_n r_n} I_1(\alpha_n r_n) \right] + D_n(\alpha) \frac{\alpha_n}{\mu_n} \left[\frac{K_1(\alpha_n r_n)}{\alpha_n r_n} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{d}{d\alpha_n r_n} K_1(\alpha_n r_n) \right] \cos \alpha(z-z') d\alpha \\
& = \int_0^\infty C_{n+1}(\alpha) \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\mu_{n+1}} \left[\frac{I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n)}{\alpha_{n+1} r_n} + \frac{d}{d\alpha_{n+1} r_n} I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right] \\
& \quad + D_{n+1}(\alpha) \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\mu_{n+1}} \left[\frac{K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n)}{\alpha_{n+1} r_n} + \frac{d}{d\alpha_{n+1} r_n} K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right] \cos \alpha(z-z') d\alpha \\
& \quad + \delta(z-z') \delta(r_n - r') . \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

We shall make use of the relations

$$\frac{1}{z} K_1(z) + \frac{d}{dz} K_1(z) = -K_0(z) \text{ and } \frac{1}{z} I_1(z) + \frac{d}{dz} I_1(z) = I_0(z) \tag{14}$$

and define

$$\beta_n \equiv \left(\frac{\mu_0}{\mu_n} \right) \alpha_n = \frac{\mu_0}{\mu_n} (\alpha^2 + j\omega\mu_n\sigma_n - \omega^2\mu_n\epsilon_n)^{1/2} . \tag{15}$$

Making these simplifications and multiplying both sides of Eq. (13) by $\mu_0 \cos \alpha'(z-z')$ and integrating from minus to plus infinity gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^\infty \left\{ C_n(\alpha) \beta_n I_0(\alpha_n r_n) - D_n(\alpha) \beta_n K_0(\alpha_n r_n) \right\} \cos \alpha(z-z') \cos \alpha'(z-z') d\alpha d(z-z') \\
& = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^\infty \left\{ C_{n+1}(\alpha) \beta_{n+1} I_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) - D_{n+1}(\alpha) \beta_{n+1} K_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right\} \cos \alpha(z-z') \cos \alpha'(z-z') d\alpha d(z-z') \\
& \quad + \mu_0 \int_{-\infty}^\infty \delta(z-z') \delta(r_n - r') \cos \alpha'(z-z') d(z-z') . \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

We can reverse the order of integration of the integrals containing Bessel functions and use the Fourier integral theorem,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(\alpha) \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos \alpha(z-z') \cos \alpha'(z-z') d(z-z') \right\} d\alpha = f(\alpha') .$$

Equation (16) then becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} C_n \beta_n I_0(\alpha_n r_n) - D_n \beta_n K_0(\alpha_n r_n) &= C_{n+1} \beta_{n+1} I_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) - D_{n+1} \beta_{n+1} K_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \\ &+ \frac{\mu_0}{\pi} \delta(r_n - r') . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

A similar operation on Eq. (11) gives:

$$C_n I_1(\alpha_n r_n) + D_n K_1(\alpha_n r_n) = C_{n+1} I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) + D_{n+1} K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) . \quad (18)$$

Equations (17) and (18) represent the relations between the constants for any two regions inside the coil. We shall now solve for all the unknown constants in the following manner. Since the innermost region has only one unknown constant, C_1 , we shall solve for the unknown constants in the second region in terms of it. Next we shall solve for the unknown constants in the third region in terms of C_1 , then the fourth, until we reach the region containing the coil, k . We shall do the same thing for the regions outside the coil, starting with the outermost and working inwards, solving for each region in terms of D_1' , until we reach the region k' . We shall then use Eq. (17) and Eq. (18) for the coil regions k and k' . This will give two equations for the two unknowns, C_1 and D_1' , and we can solve for them. This will then allow us to write the expression for the unknown constants in any region.

Solving Eqs. (17) and (18) for the unknown constants in any region, $n+1$, in terms of the unknown constants in region n , where the coil is not between the regions, gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{n+1} = & \left(K_o(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) I_1(\alpha_n r_n) + \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} I_o(\alpha_n r_n) K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right) \alpha_{n+1} r_n C_n \\
& + \left(K_o(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) K_1(\alpha_n r_n) - \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} K_o(\alpha_n r_n) K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right) \alpha_{n+1} r_n D_n, \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{n+1} = & \left(I_o(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) I_1(\alpha_n r_n) - \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} I_o(\alpha_n r_n) I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right) \alpha_{n+1} r_n C_n \\
& + \left(I_o(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) K_1(\alpha_n r_n) + \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} K_o(\alpha_n r_n) I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right) \alpha_{n+1} r_n D_n \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

The denominators have been simplified by use of the Wronskian relation:

$$\beta_{n+1} \left(I_o(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) + I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) K_o(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right) = \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1} r_n}.$$

We can write Eqs. (19) and (20) in matrix notation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} C_{n+1} \\ D_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} T_{11}(n+1, n) & T_{12}(n+1, n) \\ T_{21}(n+1, n) & T_{22}(n+1, n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_n \\ D_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11}(n+1, n) C_n + T_{12}(n+1, n) D_n \\ T_{21}(n+1, n) C_n + T_{22}(n+1, n) D_n \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

The elements of the 2×2 transformation matrix, $T_{n+1, n}$, are simply the coefficients of C_n and D_n in Eqs. (19) and (20) and are

$$T_{11}(n+1, n) = \left(K_o(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) I_1(\alpha_n r_n) + \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} I_o(\alpha_n r_n) K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right) \alpha_{n+1} r_n, \quad (22)$$

$$T_{12}(n+1, n) = \left(K_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) K_1(\alpha_n r_n) - \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} K_0(\alpha_n r_n) K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right) \alpha_{n+1} r_n , \quad (23)$$

$$T_{21}(n+1, n) = \left(I_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) I_1(\alpha_n r_n) - \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} I_0(\alpha_n r_n) I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right) \alpha_{n+1} r_n , \quad (24)$$

and

$$T_{22}(n+1, n) = \left(I_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) K_1(\alpha_n r_n) + \frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} K_0(\alpha_n r_n) I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \right) \alpha_{n+1} r_n . \quad (25)$$

This transformation matrix gives the relation between the constants in any two regions not containing the coil between them. It is the same for regions inside and outside the coil, with the exception that n should be replaced by n' for regions outside the coil to correspond to our notation.

Starting from the innermost region ($n=1$) and going to the second gives:

$$a_2 = T_{2,1} a_1 = T_{2,1} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} . \quad (26)$$

The constants in the third region can be obtained by:

$$a_3 = T_{3,2} a_2 = T_{3,2} T_{2,1} a_1 , \quad (27)$$

and the constants in the fourth region by:

$$a_4 = T_{4,3} a_3 = T_{4,3} T_{3,2} T_{2,1} a_1 . \quad (28)$$

The general expression for the n th region is

$$a_n = T_{n,n-1} T_{n-1,n-2} \cdot \cdot \cdot T_{3,2} T_{2,1} a_1 . \quad (29)$$

To make our expressions shorter, we shall define:

$$\underline{y}(n) \equiv \underline{T}_{n,n-1} \underline{T}_{n-1,n-2} \cdots \underline{T}_{3,2} \underline{T}_{2,1}, \quad (30)$$

and when $n = k$ we shall drop the argument. Thus we have

$$\underline{a}_n = \underline{y}(n) \underline{a}_1 \text{ and } \underline{a}_k = \underline{y} \underline{a}_1 = \underline{y} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

We have very similar equations for the regions outside the coil:

$$\underline{a}_{2'} = \underline{T}_{2',1'} \underline{a}_{1'} = \underline{T}_{2',1'} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (32)$$

$$\underline{a}_{n'} = \underline{y}(n') \underline{a}_{1'} \text{ and } \underline{a}_{k'} = \underline{y} \underline{a}_{1'} = \underline{y} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (33)$$

where we have made a similar definition:

$$\underline{y}(n') \equiv \underline{T}_{n',n'-1} \underline{T}_{n'-1,n'-2} \cdots \underline{T}_{3',2'} \underline{T}_{2',1'} \quad (34)$$

and we have dropped the argument when $n' = k'$. Thus, we can now write the constants in any region in terms of the constant in the innermost region, $\underline{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, or the outermost region, $\underline{a}_{1'} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_1 \end{bmatrix}$ by means of the transformation matrices, $\underline{y}(n)$ and $\underline{y}(n')$. We shall write Eqs. (17) and (18) for the regions on either side of the coil, k and k' . Here we have $r_n = r'$, so that $\delta(r_n - r') = 1$. Also $\alpha_k = \alpha_{k'} = \alpha_o$ and $\beta_k = \beta_{k'} = \beta_o$, so that the equations become:

$$\begin{aligned} C_k \beta_o I_o (\alpha_o r') - D_k \beta_o K_o (\alpha_o r') = \\ C_{k'} \beta_o I_o (\alpha_o r') - D_{k'} \beta_o K_o (\alpha_o r') + \frac{\mu_o}{\pi}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and

$$C_k I_1 (\alpha_o r') + D_k K_1 (\alpha_o r') = C_{k'} I_1 (\alpha_o r') + D_{k'} K_1 (\alpha_o r'). \quad (36)$$

Using our matrix notation, we can write

$$C_k = |a_k|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^2 v_{1j} |a_1|_j = v_{11} C_1, \quad (37)$$

$$D_k = v_{21} C_1, \quad (38)$$

$$C_{k'} = U_{12} D_{1'}, \quad (39)$$

and

$$D_{k'} = U_{22} D_{1'}. \quad (40)$$

Thus, by writing the constants in Eqs. (35) and (36) in terms of C_1 and $D_{1'}$, we can get:

$$C_1 [v_{11} I_o(\alpha_o r') - v_{21} K_o(\alpha_o r')] = D_{1'} [U_{12} I_o(\alpha_o r') - U_{22} K_o(\alpha_o r')] + \frac{\mu_o}{\beta_o \pi} \quad (41)$$

and

$$C_1 [v_{11} I_1(\alpha_o r') + v_{21} K_1(\alpha_o r')] = D_{1'} [U_{12} I_1(\alpha_o r') + U_{22} K_1(\alpha_o r')] \quad (42)$$

We now have two equations and only two unknowns. Solving these gives

$$C_1 = \frac{[U_{12} I_1(\alpha_o r') + U_{22} K_1(\alpha_o r')]}{U_{22} v_{11} - U_{12} v_{21}} \frac{\mu_o r'}{\pi} \quad (43)$$

and

$$D_{1'} = \frac{[v_{11} I_1(\alpha_o r') + v_{21} K_1(\alpha_o r')]}{U_{22} v_{11} - U_{12} v_{21}} \frac{\mu_o r'}{\pi} \quad (44)$$

where the denominator has again been simplified by use of the Wronskian, and the fact that $\beta_o = \alpha_o$. Now we can substitute the values of the

constants in Eqs. (43) and (44) into Eqs. (31) and (33) to give the constants in any region. We can write the Green's function for any region inside the coil as

$$G^{(n)}(r, z; r', z') = \frac{r'_0}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{[V_{11}(n)I_1(\alpha_n r) + V_{21}(n)K_1(\alpha_n r)] [U_{12}I_1(\alpha_0 r') + U_{22}K_1(\alpha_0 r')]}{[U_{22}V_{11} - U_{12}V_{21}]} \cos \alpha(z-z') d\alpha. \quad (45)$$

The Green's function for any region outside the coil is

$$G^{(n')}(r, z; r', z') = \frac{r'_0}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{[U_{12}(n')I_1(\alpha_{n'} r) + U_{22}(n')K_1(\alpha_{n'} r)] [V_{11}I_1(\alpha_0 r') + V_{21}K_1(\alpha_0 r')]}{[U_{22}V_{11} - U_{12}V_{21}]} \cos \alpha(z-z') d\alpha. \quad (46)$$

Once we have the Green's function, we can get the vector potential by using Eq. (3). The most common type of coil is one of rectangular cross section, as shown in Fig. 3. We added another region, that contains the coil, and designated it region c. For a densely and uniformly wound coil, the current density $J(r', z')$ is approximately:

$$J(r', z') = n_c I \quad (47)$$

where n_c is the number of turns per unit area, and I is the current per turn. Substituting Eqs. (47) and (45) into Eq. (3) gives the vector potential for any region inside the coil as

$$A^{(n)}(r, z) = n_c I \int_{\ell_1}^{\ell_2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} G^{(n)}(r, z; r', z') dr' dz'. \quad (48)$$

Fig. 3. Coil with Rectangular Cross Section Concentric with Cylindrical Conductors.

Reversing the order of integration and integrating over the dimensions of the coil gives

$$A^{(n)}(r,z) = \frac{n I \mu_0}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{[\sin \alpha(z-l_1) - \sin \alpha(z-l_2)] [V_{11}(n) I_1(\alpha_n r) + V_{21}(n) K_1(\alpha_n r)]}{\alpha \alpha_0^2 (U_{22} V_{11} - U_{12} V_{21})} \times [U_{12} I(r_2, r_1) + U_{22} K(r_2, r_1)] d\alpha \quad (49)$$

where we have defined the functions

$$\int_{r'=r_1}^{r_2} r' I_1(\alpha_0 r') dr' = \frac{1}{\alpha_0^2} \int_{\alpha_0 r'= \alpha_0 r_1}^{\alpha_0 r_2} \alpha_0 r' I_1(\alpha_0 r') d\alpha_0 r' \\ = \frac{1}{\alpha_0^2} \int_{x=\alpha_0 r_1}^{\alpha_0 r_2} x I_1(x) dx \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha_0^2} I(r_2, r_1) \quad (50)$$

and

$$\int_{r_1}^{r_2} r' K_1(\alpha_0 r') dr' \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha_0^2} K(r_2, r_1) \quad (51)$$

where r_1 and r_2 are now taken as the coil inner and outer radii, and should not be confused with the outer radii of the first two regions.

The vector potential for any region outside the coil is

$$A^{(n')}(r, z) = \frac{n_c I \mu_0}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{[\sin \alpha (z - \ell_1) - \sin \alpha (z - \ell_2)] [U_{12}^{(n')} I_1(\alpha_n r) + U_{22}^{(n')} K_1(\alpha_n r)]}{\alpha \alpha_0^2 (U_{22} V_{11} - U_{12} V_{21})} \\ \times [V_{11} I(r_2, r_1) + V_{21} K(r_2, r_1)] d\alpha \quad (52)$$

The region of the coil requires special treatment. To find the vector potential at a point in the coil region, r , we must add the solution of $A^{(k)}(r, z)$ for a coil going from r to r_2 to the solution of

$A^{(k')}(r, z)$ for a coil going from r_1 to r . The results are

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(c) &= \frac{n_c I \mu_o}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{[\sin \alpha(z-\ell_1) - \sin \alpha(z-\ell_2)]}{\alpha(U_{22}V_{11} - U_{12}V_{21})} \\
 &\times \left\{ \int_{r_1}^r [U_{12}I_1(\alpha_o r) + U_{22}K_1(\alpha_o r)] [V_{11}I_1(\alpha_o r') + V_{21}K_1(\alpha_o r')] r' dr' \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_r^{r_2} [V_{11}I_1(\alpha_o r) + V_{21}K_1(\alpha_o r)] [U_{12}I_1(\alpha_o r') + U_{22}K_1(\alpha_o r')] r' dr' \right\} d\alpha \\
 &= \frac{n_c I \mu_o}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{[\sin \alpha(z-\ell_1) - \sin \alpha(z-\ell_2)]}{\alpha(U_{22}V_{11} - U_{12}V_{21})} \\
 &\times \left\{ \int_{r_1}^{r_2} U_{12}V_{11}I_1(\alpha_o r)I_1(\alpha_o r')r' dr' + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} U_{22}V_{21}K_1(\alpha_o r)K_1(\alpha_o r')r' dr' \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + U_{12}V_{21} \left[I_1(\alpha_o r) \int_{r_1}^r K_1(\alpha_o r')r' dr' + K_1(\alpha_o r) \int_r^{r_2} I_1(\alpha_o r')r' dr' \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + U_{22}V_{11} \left[K_1(\alpha_o r) \int_{r_1}^r I_1(\alpha_o r')r' dr' + I_1(\alpha_o r) \int_r^{r_2} K_1(\alpha_o r')r' dr' \right] \right\} d\alpha. \quad (53)
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall use the definitions given in Eqs. (50) and (51) for $I(r_2, r_1)$ and $K(r_2, r_1)$, and we shall use the relations

$$\int_{r_1}^r K_1(\alpha_o r') r' dr' = \frac{1}{\alpha_o^2} K(r_2, r_1) - \int_r^{r_2} K_1(\alpha_o r') r' dr'$$

and

$$\int_r^{r_2} I_1(\alpha_o r) K_1(\alpha_o r') r' dr' = \frac{1}{\alpha_o^2} I(r_2, r_1) - \int_{r_1}^r I_1(\alpha_o r') r' dr' .$$

Equation (53) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(c)}(r, z) = & \frac{n_c I \mu_o}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{[\sin \alpha(z-\ell_1) - \sin \alpha(z-\ell_2)]}{\alpha \alpha_o^2 (U_{22} V_{11} - U_{12} V_{21})} \right. \\ & \times [U_{12} V_{11} I_1(\alpha_o r) I(r_2, r_1) + U_{22} V_{21} K_1(\alpha_o r) K(r_2, r_1) \\ & + U_{12} V_{21} I_1(\alpha_o r) K(r_2, r_1) + U_{22} V_{21} K_1(\alpha_o r) I(r_2, r_1)] \\ & + \left[\frac{[\sin \alpha(z-\ell_1) - \sin \alpha(z-\ell_2)]}{\alpha} \left[\int_{r_1}^r K_1(\alpha_o r) I_1(\alpha_o r') r' dr' \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \int_r^{r_2} I_1(\alpha_o r) K_1(\alpha_o r') r' dr' \right] \right\} d\alpha . \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

We have shown in an earlier paper³ that the integral of the expression in the large square brackets is

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha \alpha_o} J(r_2, r_1) J_1(\alpha r) \left[2 - e^{\alpha_o(z-\ell_2)} - e^{-\alpha_o(z-\ell_1)} \right] d\alpha \quad (55)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} J(r_2, r_1) \equiv \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r J_1(\alpha r) dr . \quad (56)$$

Making this substitution gives:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(c)}(r, z) = & \frac{n_c I \mu_o}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{[\sin \alpha(z-\ell_1) - \sin \alpha(z-\ell_2)] [U_{12} V_{11} I_1(\alpha_o r) I(r_2, r_1)]}{\alpha \alpha_o^2 (U_{22} V_{11} - U_{12} V_{21})} \right. \\ & + U_{22} V_{21} K_1(\alpha_o r) K(r_2, r_1) + U_{12} V_{21} [I_1(\alpha_o r) K(r_2, r_1) + K_1(\alpha_o r) I(r_2, r_1)] \\ & \left. + \frac{\pi}{2 \alpha_o} \frac{1}{\alpha} J(r_2, r_1) J_1(\alpha r) \left[2 - e^{\alpha_o(z-\ell_2)} - e^{-\alpha_o(z-\ell_1)} \right] \right\} d\alpha . \quad (57) \end{aligned}$$

We have now determined the vector potential for any region. Once the vector potential has been determined, we can calculate any physically observable electromagnetic induction phenomenon from it. The particular parameters that we wish to calculate are the mutual impedance and the self-impedance of two identical bifilar coils. The mutual impedance between two coils, 1 and 2, is the voltage induced in one coil by a unit current in the other:

$$j\omega M_{12} = \frac{V_{(2)}}{I_{(1)}}$$

$$M_{12} = \frac{j\omega}{j\omega I_{(1)}} \int A(1) ds_2 = \frac{n'}{I_{(1)}} \int_{\ell_1'}^{\ell_2'} \int_{r_1'}^{r_2'} A(1) 2\pi r dr dz . \quad (58)$$

Since both coils are identical and occupy the same region, we can expand Eq. (57) and perform the integration over the second coil to obtain

$$M_{12} = \frac{2n^2 \mu_o}{(\ell_2 - \ell_1)^2 (r_2 - r_1)^2} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2 [1 - \cos \alpha(\ell_2 - \ell_1)] [U_{12} V_{11} I^2(r_2, r_1)]}{\alpha_o^2 \alpha_o^4 (U_{22} V_{11} - U_{12} V_{21})} \right. \\ \left. + U_{22} V_{21} K^2(r_2, r_1) + 2U_{12} V_{21} I(r_2, r_1) K(r_2, r_1) \right\} \\ + \frac{\pi}{\alpha_o^3 \alpha_o^3} J^2(r_2, r_1) \left[\alpha_o(\ell_2 - \ell_1) + e^{-\alpha_o(\ell_2 - \ell_1)} - 1 \right] d\alpha . \quad (59)$$

The coil impedance is

$$Z = \frac{V}{I} = j\omega M_{22} , \quad (60)$$

and since the coils are identical and in the same region, $M_{12} = M_{22}$. If we take the special case where there are no conductors outside the coil we have $\underline{U} = \underline{1}$, the unit matrix. Then $U_{22} = 1$ and $U_{12} = 0$ so that Eq. (59) becomes

$$M_{12} = \frac{2n_2\mu_o}{(\ell_2-\ell_1)^2(r_2-r_1)^2} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\alpha^2\alpha_o^4} [1 - \cos \alpha(\ell_2-\ell_1)] \frac{V_{21}}{V_{11}} K^2(r_2, r_1) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\pi}{3\alpha^3} J^2(r_2, r_1) [\alpha_o(\ell_2-\ell_1) + e^{-\alpha_o(\ell_2-\ell_1)} - 1] \right\} d\alpha . \quad (61)$$

A computer program, ENCMUL, to evaluate the integral part of this expression will be given in a later report. Or, if we have no conductors inside the coil, we have $V = 1$, and Eq. (59) becomes

$$M_{12} = \frac{2n_2^2\mu_o}{(\ell_2-\ell_1)^2(r_2-r_1)^2} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\alpha^2\alpha_o^4} [1 - \cos \alpha(\ell_2-\ell_1)] \frac{U_{12}}{U_{22}} I^2(r_2, r_1) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\pi}{3\alpha^3} J^2(r_2, r_1) [\alpha_o(\ell_2-\ell_1) + e^{-\alpha_o(\ell_2-\ell_1)} - 1] \right\} d\alpha . \quad (62)$$

A computer program, INNMUL, to evaluate the integral part of this expression is in the appendix.

Thus, by use of these programs we can calculate the mutual inductance and coil impedance of coils in a liquid level probe, consisting of multiple conductors inside or outside the coils. We shall now consider the effects of the external electrical circuit.

The equivalent circuit of a liquid level probe is shown in Fig. 4.

- V_0 DRIVING VOLTAGE
 R_0 SERIES RESISTANCE IN THE DRIVING CIRCUIT
 C_6 SHUNT CAPACITANCE OF THE DRIVING CIRCUIT
 R_6 D.C. RESISTANCE OF THE DRIVER COIL
 Z_D IMPEDANCE OF THE DRIVER COIL
 M MUTUAL IMPEDANCE BETWEEN THE DRIVER AND PICK-UP COIL
 Z_{PU} IMPEDANCE OF THE PICK-UP COIL
 R_7 D.C. RESISTANCE OF THE PICK-UP COIL
 C_7 SHUNT CAPACITANCE OF THE PICK-UP CIRCUIT
 R_9 AMPLIFIER INPUT IMPEDANCE
 I LOOP CURRENT

Fig. 4. Simplified Circuit Diagram for an Eddy Current Liquid Level Probe.

We can write the following set of equations for the voltage drops around each of the loops in the circuit:

$$I_1 \left(R_0 - \frac{j}{\omega C_6} \right) - I_2 \left(-\frac{j}{\omega C_6} \right) = V_0 \quad (63)$$

$$-I_1 \left(-\frac{j}{\omega C_6} \right) + I_2 \left(-\frac{j}{\omega C_6} + R_6 + Z_D \right) - I_3 [j\omega M] = 0 \quad (64)$$

$$-I_2 [j\omega M] + I_3 \left(Z_{PU} + R_7 - \frac{j}{\omega C_7} \right) - I_4 \left(-\frac{j}{\omega C_7} \right) = 0 \quad (65)$$

$$-I_3 \left(-\frac{j}{\omega C_7} \right) + I_4 \left(-\frac{j}{\omega C_7} + R_9 \right) = 0 \quad (66)$$

We can use determinants and solve for the current in the final loop, I_4 , produced by an applied voltage V_0 :

$$I_4 = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} R_o - \frac{j}{\omega C_6} & \frac{j}{\omega C_6} & 0 & V_0 \\ \frac{j}{\omega C_6} & -\frac{j}{\omega C_6} + R_6 + Z_D & -j\omega M & 0 \\ 0 & -j\omega M & Z_{pu} + R_7 - \frac{j}{\omega C_7} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{j}{\omega C_7} & 0 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} R_o - \frac{j}{\omega C_6} & \frac{j}{\omega C_6} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{j}{\omega C_6} & -\frac{j}{\omega C_6} + R_6 + Z_D & -j\omega M & 0 \\ 0 & -j\omega M & Z_{pu} + R_7 - \frac{j}{\omega C_7} & \frac{j}{\omega C_7} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{j}{\omega C_7} & -\frac{j}{\omega C_7} + R_9 \end{vmatrix}} \quad (67)$$

We shall solve for the current, I_4 , multiply it by the resistance R_9 to determine the input voltage to the amplifier, and then multiply by the amplifier gain G to determine the output voltage:

$$V_{out} = -j \frac{MV_0 R_9 G}{\omega C_6 C_7} \div \left\{ \left(R_o - \frac{j}{\omega C_6} \right) \omega^2 M^2 \left(R_9 - \frac{j}{\omega C_7} \right) + \left[\left(R_o - \frac{j}{\omega C_6} \right) \left(Z_D + R_6 - \frac{j}{\omega C_6} \right) + \frac{1}{\omega^2 C_6^2} \right] \left[\left(R_9 - \frac{j}{\omega C_7} \right) \left(Z_{PU} + R_7 - \frac{j}{\omega C_7} \right) + \frac{1}{\omega^2 C_7^2} \right] \right\} \quad (68)$$

Rearranging terms so that Eq. (68) clearly remains finite when the capacitance goes to zero, we find that

$$V_{out} = -j\omega MV_0 R_9 G \div \left\{ (\omega C_6 R_0 - j)(\omega C_7 R_9 - j)\omega^2 M^2 + \left[(\omega C_6 R_0 - j)(Z_D + R_6) - jR_0 \right] \left[(\omega C_7 R_9 - j)(Z_{PU} + R_7) - jR_9 \right] \right\}. \quad (69)$$

From Eq. (69) we can calculate the phase shift between the voltage driving the eddy-current probe and the amplified voltage received by the phase shift detector. Since the driver coil and pickup coil are in the same region and are identical, we have

$$j\omega M = Z_D = Z_{PU}, \quad (70)$$

where M will be given by either Eq. (61) or Eq. (62). To evaluate Eq. (69), there is a computer program, ATTEN, which calculates the magnitude and phase of the output voltage for various values of the terms in Eq. (69).

The circuit parameters, R_0 , C_6 , R_9 , and C_7 are values that may be varied (within certain limits) with an external plug-in attenuator. The attenuator, when properly chosen, has the following effects:

- (1) The phase shift due to temperature drifts causing variations in the dc resistance values of R_6 and R_7 can be essentially eliminated.
- (2) The L-C network in the driving and pickup circuits in Fig. 4 and the mutual coupling between the circuits combine to act as a band-pass filter that reduces the noise in the instrumentation.
- (3) A reduction in sensitivity occurs and the phase shifts due to variations in the other parameters increase. However, these effects can be made negligible if the attenuator is properly designed.

The attenuator design will be considered in greater detail in the next chapter.

3. COMPUTER CALCULATIONS FOR A PROBE INSIDE BISMUTH

The type of liquid level probe analyzed in this report is shown in Fig. 5. It consists of a long bifilar coil inside a molybdenum container. The drawing is symmetric about the coil axis, so only half of the probe is shown (in cross section). The probe is placed inside a molybdenum cavity, and the level of the molten bismuth in the cavity can be measured. A current flowing in the driving coil produces an electromagnetic field that is modified by the presence of the conductors. The pickup coil detects this field. In the design of this probe, we used the following procedure. We first maximized the sensitivity of the probe to changes in liquid level, then minimized the effects of the undesirable variables such as temperature drift, and finally maximized the sensitivity to error ratio.

Fig. 5. Liquid Level Probe Inside Conductors.

We first calculated the magnitude and phase of the voltage out of the pickup coil for a current flowing in the driver coil. The driving impedance and pickup amplifier impedances are both taken to be infinite. In Fig. 6, we show how the magnitude and phase of the voltage, with and without bismuth, vary as functions of the wall thickness of the molybdenum container. The bismuth region is taken to be infinite, since the outer container has a very small effect, and was not considered in the preliminary calculations. Also, the molten salt is equivalent to air as far as resistivity is concerned. As the wall thickness is increased, we see that the phases with and without bismuth cross, indicating that

Fig. 6. Magnitude and Phase of the Voltage in the Pickup Coil as a Function of the Wall Thickness of the Molybdenum Container, With and Without Bismuth, at 10 kHz.

with a wall thickness of approximately 15 mils, there is no change in phase as the liquid level is varied. As the wall thickness is increased further, the curves of phase with and without bismuth become quite far apart indicating that there will be a large phase shift as the liquid level is varied. The phase difference approaches a maximum at approximately 47 mils and then decreases again to zero as the curves cross at 142 mils, approach another maximum separation, and then cross again. The behavior of the magnitude curves of the voltage is very similar, with the curves crossing and approaching a maximum and then crossing again. The first maximum, which is not shown in Fig. 6, is the largest and occurs at zero wall thickness for both the magnitude change and the phase shift. Since this is impractical in our design, we elected to concentrate on the second maximum. The value of wall thickness at which this maximum occurs is also a function of frequency. In Fig. 7, we have a very similar plot of magnitude and phase of the pickup coil voltage as functions of the container wall thickness, with and without bismuth, at a frequency of 20 kHz. Figure 6, which was run at 10 kHz, is very similar to Fig. 7, except the values of wall thickness for maximum magnitude and phase change are smaller at the higher frequency. At 20 kHz the wall thicknesses for maximum phase and magnitude change occur at 27 mils and 80 mils, respectively, compared to 47 mils and 110 mils, respectively, at 10 kHz.

In general, we are able to plot optimum wall thickness for maximum sensitivity against frequency, as shown in Fig. 8. In Fig. 8 we have optimized the wall thickness for the maximum phase shift, and the amount of phase shift we get at optimum is also plotted against the frequency. Because the curves are rounded, it is difficult to exactly determine the optimum wall thickness for a given frequency. The shape of the curves varies with coil size, so we made similar plots for optimum wall thickness for maximum sensitivity to both magnitude and phase changes vs frequency using different size coils. A composite of these plots for phase shift is shown in Fig. 9. From Fig. 9, we concluded that a liquid level probe with a wall thickness of 30 mils would have adequate sensitivity. Once the wall thickness has been fixed, then we

Fig. 7. Magnitude and Phase of the Voltage in the Pickup Coil as a Function of Wall Thickness of the Molybdenum Container With and Without Bismuth at 20 kHz.

Fig. 8. Optimum Wall Thickness for Maximum Phase Shift and Phase Shift at Optimum vs Frequency.

Fig. 9. Optimum Wall Thickness for Maximum Phase Shift and Phase Shift at Optimum Plotted Against Frequency for Various Coil Sizes.

can determine the optimum frequency for maximum sensitivity and the sensitivity at that frequency for any size coil. In Fig. 10, we have optimized for maximum phase shift, and we have made similar plots for maximum amplitude change. From this plot we decided that we would have adequate sensitivity if the coil form OD was 0.725 in. With this size coil operated at a frequency of 16 kHz, we have 0.18 radians or approximately 10° phase shift as the liquid level is varied from full to empty. While this is not the most sensitive configuration, the sensitivity is much more than adequate, and the compromises made thus far insure a good inexpensive mechanical design. We shall now turn our attention to minimizing the drifts.

Fig. 10. Optimum Frequency for Maximum Phase Shift and Phase Shift at Maximum Plotted Against Coil Form OD.

In the calculations to minimize drifts, we included the outer molybdenum container as shown in Fig. 5. We assumed that both the coil sheath and the outer container were infinitely long; the coil was actually 13.625 in. long.

The major contribution to drifts is the wide range of operating temperature of the probe. The temperature contributions can be broken down into the following:

- (1) There is a change in the ac field due to changes in the resistivity of the molybdenum and bismuth. This causes a change in the self-impedance of both the driver and pickup coils and the mutual coupling between them.
- (2) There is a change in the dc resistance of both coils.
- (3) Thermal expansion of the coil and conductors also causes a change in the impedances and mutual coupling.

We shall consider each of these factors separately.

In Fig. 11 we have plotted the phase of the voltage of the pickup coil against frequency for various temperatures. We can see that these curves intersect at a certain frequency, which means that the temperature coefficient of the phase is essentially zero at that frequency. These zero temperature coefficient points occur at approximately 25 kHz for the case in which the liquid level probe is empty and at about 30 kHz for the probe being full of bismuth. More exact calculations with an external circuit attached to the probe for an operating frequency of 24.000 kHz are shown in the table below.

Table 1. Calculated Phase Shift Values (in Degrees)
with Bismuth and Air at Several Temperatures

Material	Temperature (°C)			
	550	600	650	700
Bismuth	41.35	41.39	41.45	41.58
Air	30.75	30.58	30.52	30.53

Fig. 11. Phase of the Pickup Coil Voltage Plotted Against Frequency for Different Temperatures.

In the temperature range of particular interest, 600° to 650°C, we have chosen our parameters so that we have exactly the same positive slope of phase with temperature ($+0.06^\circ/50^\circ\text{C}$) in bismuth as the negative slope ($-0.06^\circ/50^\circ\text{C}$) in air. These two end points represent the worst temperature coefficient, and it is expected to decrease to zero for the case of the probe being half full. This worst case of the calculated temperature coefficient is equivalent to ± 0.0015 in./°C error in the liquid level measurement.

Similar calculations were made for the magnitude of the pickup coil voltage, but there is no frequency at which the magnitude remains constant with temperature changes.

We next considered the variation in phase due to changes in dc resistance of the coil. As we discussed earlier, a value of capacitance and resistance in the driving and detecting circuits can be chosen that will give essentially no change in phase as the dc resistance of the coil is varied, and will also act as a filter to reduce the system noise. However, when the R-C network is adjusted to give exactly zero temperature drift with the bismuth present, it will not give exactly zero drift with the bismuth absent. Therefore, the network is adjusted to give small drifts of opposite signs in the two cases. But the more we filter to reduce system noise, the greater these small drifts become. In addition, the phase shifts due to variations in the capacitance and resistance values in the circuit increase as we reduce the system noise. Therefore, we must compromise between the system noise and drifts. The following table summarizes the drifts between 600° and 650°C, using 36.3 Ω for the series resistance of the driver circuit and the shunt resistance of the pickup circuit and 3830 pF for the shunt capacitance.

Table 2. Summary of Phase Shifts Due to Variations
in Various Circuit Parameters

Parameter Varied	Variation in Parameter (%)	Phase Shift (degrees)
Driver Coil Resistance	10	0.002
Pickup Coil Resistance	10	0.002
Driver Shunt Capacitance	1	0.008
Pickup Shunt Capacitance	1	0.008
Driver Circuit Series Resistance	1	0.004
Pickup Circuit Shunt Resistance	1	0.004
Operating Frequency	0.1	0.001

The phase shifts given in Table 2 are the absolute maximum values that occur anywhere in the temperature range with or without bismuth.

The final contribution to drifts that we considered was thermal expansion of the system. Including thermal expansion effects, a temperature variation from 600° to 650°C caused a 0.05° difference in the phase change between the two temperatures, which can be compensated for with a small frequency change.

Additional information on the design of this probe is given in other reports.⁴

4. MEASUREMENTS ON LIQUID LEVEL SYSTEM

Three different sets of measurements have been performed on the liquid level probe. The first set of measurements was performed at a frequency of 10 kHz and at room temperature. The primary purpose of these measurements was to test the linearity of the probe, and the second purpose was to check the accuracy of the calculations. The probe consisted of the coil enclosed in a molybdenum sheath, but without any outer molybdenum container. The bismuth was replaced by 12 rings of Inconel, each machined to 1 ± 0.010 in. thick. The phase shift was recorded to within 0.01° as the rings were added, simulating an increasing liquid level. A least-squares fit was made of the phase shift vs thickness, and the maximum deviation of any reading from a straight line was 0.009° or 0.011 in. The measured slope of the line was 0.599°/in. compared to the calculated slope of 0.531°/in. Therefore, we concluded that the probe was extremely linear over at least the first 12 in. of level.

For the next set of measurements, a prototype liquid level probe was constructed by personnel of the Chemical Technology Division, and joint experiments were performed with them. The prototype was essentially identical to the one shown in Fig. 5, except that the outer container was made of carbon steel. The carbon steel outer container has no effect when the probe is covered with bismuth, but decreases the phase reading by approximately 1/2 a degree when the probe is empty.

⁴L. E. McNeese et al., Engineering Development Studies for Molten-Salt Breeder Reactor Processing No. 12, ORNL-TM-3775 (in preparation).

The measurements were made at a frequency of 27.7 kHz for various levels of bismuth and at various temperatures between 550°C and 700°C. The results are plotted in Fig. 12. The phase shift is a linear function of liquid level over a range from zero to about 13 in. The slope of the curve varies slightly with temperature, with the minimum temperature coefficient occurring between 600°C and 650°C.

The temperature coefficient of the level reading was 0.009 in./°C for the chamber empty and 0.0024 in./°C for the chamber full of bismuth. The higher temperature coefficient with the chamber empty was probably due to the ferromagnetic outer casing.

A series of more accurate calculations, considering more different parameters, showed that more accurate measurements could be made at 24 kHz for the temperature range of 600°C to 650°C. The level in the probe

Fig. 12. Phase Shift Plotted Against Liquid Level for Various Temperatures Measured at a Frequency of 27.7 kHz.

was set at the maximum, determined by the amount of bismuth in the system at the time. The manometer reading indicated 10 in., but later measurements indicate that the actual level was about 13.5 in. Table 3 shows both calculated and measured values of magnitude and phase. The actual calculations were made with the probe either full or empty of bismuth, and the 10-in. calculations represent a linear interpolation between zero and 13.625 in. of bismuth.

The resistor values are those of both the driver series and the pickup shunt resistances, and these can be varied to achieve a "fine tuning" in the temperature coefficient of the probe. The phase difference is the phase at the lower temperature subtracted from the phase at the upper temperature. In the ideal case, the phase shifts between 600°C and 650°C would be equal but opposite in sign with the probe full and empty, as shown for the calculated values using 36.3 Ω . However, the measured phase difference was +0.12 rather than +0.06 calculated for the 10-in. level. By increasing the values of the resistors in the attenuator, we are able to decrease both the calculated and measured phase differences. The best value of resistors to give minimum drift would probably be slightly larger than 36.3 Ω . The level was also varied using the 75- Ω resistors in the attenuator. The temperature coefficient of the phase varied from +0.0028°/°C (+0.0032 in./°C) with the chamber empty to 0.00°/°C with the chamber full. By decreasing the value of the resistors, it should be possible to obtain a temperature coefficient of +0.0016 in./°C with the chamber empty, -0.0016 in./°C with the chamber full and zero with the chamber half full.

The calculated slope at 600°C was 0.843°/in. compared to a measured value of 0.866°/in. Part of this error may be due to the fact that the magnetic permeability of the outer container is only approximately known.

With the information gained in these measurements, we will be able to calibrate the probe used in the loop with an outer container of molybdenum, as shown in Fig. 5. The lower calibration point for the probe can be obtained before bismuth is ever added to the system. To get the upper calibration point, the bismuth level must be raised over the top of the probe, and the phase reading recorded. If 0.40° is subtracted

Table 3. Calculated and Measured Values of Magnitude and Phase with
Differert Temperatures and Different Attenuator Values

Temp. (°C)	Resistance	36.3 Ω				75 Ω				121 Ω			
				10 in.	10 in.			10 in.	10 in.			10 in.	10 in.
		Bi	Air	Calculated	Measured	Bi	Air	Calculated	Measured	Bi	Air	Calculated	Measured
550	Magnitude	1.36	1.53			1.45	1.64		1.45	1.32	1.49		
	Phase	41.36	30.76		45.88	40.88	30.25		45.74	40.57	29.92		45.55
	Phase	+0.03	-0.17	+0.01	+0.16	-0.01	-0.22	-0.03	+0.04	-0.04	-0.24	-0.06	0
	Difference												
600	Magnitude	1.32	1.51			1.43	1.64		1.45	1.32	1.51		
	Phase	41.39	30.59		46.04	40.87	30.03		45.78	40.53	29.68		45.55
	Phase	+0.07	-0.07	+0.06	+0.12	+0.02	-0.10	+0.02	+0.03	-0.01	-0.14	-0.01	-0.06
	Difference												
650	Magnitude	1.27	1.47			1.40	1.63		1.41	1.31	1.52		
	Phase	41.46	30.52		46.16	40.89	29.93		45.81	40.52	29.54		45.49
	Phase	+0.13	+0.02	+0.14	+0.03	+0.09	-0.02	+0.09	-0.07	+0.06	-0.05	+0.06	-0.13
	Difference												
700	Magnitude	1.23	1.44			1.38	1.63		1.38	1.30	1.54		
	Phase	41.59	30.54		46.15	40.98	29.91		45.74	40.58	29.49		45.36

Magnitude = volts rms $\times 10^{-2}$; Phase = degrees; Phase Difference = upper temperature--lower temperature.

from this phase reading, this gives the value of the phase when the liquid level is 13 in. The phase will be a linear function of the bismuth level between 0 in. and 13 in. During the nine months of testing of the probe, the zero point appeared to move, but the slope was essentially constant (within 1.4%). Therefore, by assuming a constant slope, it is possible to recalibrate the probe by raising the bismuth level over the probe. There was a considerable amount of corrosion in the loop which probably accounted for the shift in the zero level point.

A passive RLC phase calibrator was constructed to eliminate instrument variations. It gives two measurements of the instrument gain (slope) and two independent measurements of the zero point. The long-term stability of the calibrator is approximately 0.01° .

Additional information on the linearity tests,⁵ the initial measurements⁶ in the test loop, and the final measurements⁷ in the test loop are given in other reports.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The problem for a coil either encircling or enclosed by an arbitrary number of conductors has been solved. Accurate and versatile computer programs have been written which numerically evaluate these solutions. Good agreement has been obtained between the calculations and experiments. These programs have been applied to design very accurate liquid level probes even though we are looking through a good conductor (molybdenum) and measuring the level of a poor conductor (bismuth).

⁵L. E. McNeese et al., Engineering Development Studies for Molten-Salt Breeder Reactor Processing No. 13, ORNL-TM-3776 (in preparation).

⁶L. E. McNeese et al., Engineering Development Studies for Molten-Salt Breeder Reactor Processing No. 14, ORNL-TM-4018 (in preparation).

⁷L. E. McNeese et al., Engineering Development Studies for Molten-Salt Breeder Reactor Processing No. 16, ORNL-TM-4020 (in preparation).

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance of C. C. Lu in the preliminary programming, the assistance of H. O. Weeren, L. D. Chitwood, and O. E. Conner in performing the measurements, W. E. Deeds and L. E. McNeese for editing the report, Janice Shannon for preparing the final manuscript and Jerry Roth and L. E. McNeese for providing overall guidance.

APPENDIX A

Computer Programs for a Liquid Level Probe
Inside Coaxial Conductors

I. INTRODUCTION

This computer program is used to calculate the normalized coil impedance of both the driver and pickup coils of an eddy-current probe, surrounded by multiple coaxial cylindrical conductors. The programming consists of a fundamental subroutine named INNMUL, which is called into execution by a main DRIVER program. INNMUL branches to eight other new subroutines during execution. INNMUL itself is adapted from an earlier program in the BASIC language.

The FORTRAN-IV computer language is used, with REAL*8 arithmetic, on the IBM/360 computers. New work was done to carry out the needed calculations with sufficient accuracy in the case of a "thin" region among the conducting media. In connection with this, some special subroutines were also developed for the calculation of the necessary modified Bessel functions, with accuracy of at least 15 significant decimal digits for real arguments, and 10 significant digits for complex arguments.

An outline of the program follows, with discussion and program listing of each separate routine. The eight subroutines required by INNMUL are named XIINT, XJJNT, GAMCAL, GCALC, MODBES, CMDBES, COMKB, and CMI. They are needed for integrations of $xJ_1(x)$ and $xI_1(x)$, for calculation of the gamma factor, and for the modified Bessel functions.

Last an interactive program will be given for generation of the data block file, which must be submitted with the program for execution on the IBM/360 computer. This program, named TELINC, is stored on the PDP-10 disk, and may be used from the teletype to prepare the data in the necessary format for the DRIVER. The data could, of course, be set up for execution of the program by any other convenient method.

II. DESCRIPTION OF INNMUL

A. DRIVER Program

The DRIVER main program reads the data for the cases to be executed by the INNMUL subroutine. After receiving the data and making preliminary calculations if necessary, the DRIVER then branches to INNMUL. After the calculation of normalized coil impedance is completed by INNMUL and its other subroutines, then the DRIVER program prepares a summary printout, showing data and results.

Data must be given to the DRIVER program for the coil dimensions, for the air normalization factor, and for information about each conductor outside the coil. The inner radius, the relative permeability, μ_{REL} , and the value of the quantity $\omega\mu\sigma\bar{r}_m^2$ are needed for each conductor.

The DRIVER program is written to receive the quantity, $\omega\mu\sigma\bar{r}_m^2$, in any one of three different ways. First, the value of the quantity may be given directly for each conductor. Second, the factor σ may be given for each conductor. In that case, the permeability, μ , is $4\pi \times 10^{-7} \times \mu_{REL}$. Then the operating frequency also is given in order to obtain ω for the coil, and $\bar{r}_m$, in meters, is either given or calculated for the coil.

Third, the resistivity, ρ ($\mu\Omega$ -cm) may be given as data for each conductor. The relation between ρ and σ is given by: $\sigma = 10^8/\rho$. Then the quantity $\omega\mu\sigma\bar{r}_m^2$ is calculated as $0.5093979 \times \text{freq.} \times \bar{r}^2 \times \mu_{REL}/\rho$. The constant is a product of conversion factors = $(2\pi)(0.0254)(4\pi \times 10)(10)$. Therefore in this third case, the known data must include the driving frequency (Hertz), and $\bar{r}$ (in.), as well as relative permeability. The details of setting up the data for submission with the computer program will be given in the last section.

Following is a list of the program DRIVER.

```

C      PROGRAM TO RUN CASES ON SUBROUTINE INNMUL
C
C      IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
C      REAL*8 L,MU,L1
C      DIMENSION RH0(10)
C      DATA PI/3.1415 92653 58979 D0/,CONST1/0.0254D0/
C      DATA MU/4.0D-7/
C      COMMON/REGION/R(10),EM(10),PERM(10),KLIM
C
C      FIRST DATA IS READ FOR THE COIL AND ITS DIMENSIONS
C      IAIR=0 IF AIR VALUE NORMALIZATION FACTOR IS NOT GIVEN DATA
C      IAIR.LT.0 FOR THE END OF ANY ADDITIONAL COILS - END OF RUN DATA
C      NORMAL=1 MEANS THE COIL DIMENSIONS ARE NORMALIZED AS GIVEN DATA
C      A9=AIR VALUE FACTOR FOR NORMALIZATION OF COIL IMPEDANCE
C
C      MU=MU*PI
C      PRINT 1
C      1  FORMAT(' SAVS')
C      NC0IL=0
C      100 READ 6,IAIR,NORMAL
C      IF(IAIR.LT.0)GO TO 190
C      NCASE=0
C      IF(IAIR.GT.0)READ 3,A9
C      NC0IL=NC0IL+1
C      PRINT 2,NC0IL
C      2  FORMAT(/6X,'SUMMARY OUTPUT FOR COIL NO.',I3)
C      READ 3,R1,R2,L,L1
C      3  FORMAT(5D15.8)
C
C      R1=INNER COIL RADIUS (INCHES OR NORMALIZED)
C      R2=OUTER COIL RADIUS (INCHES OR NORMALIZED)
C      L=LENGTH OF COIL (INCHES OR NORMALIZED)
C      L1=DISTANCE FROM BOTTOM OF COIL TO Z=0 PLANE (INCHES OR NORM.)
C      IF L1 IS NOT 0, THEN L IS DISTANCE FROM TOP OF COIL TO Z=0
C
C      L=L-L1
C      IF(NORMAL.NE.0)GO TO 105
C
C      NORMALIZATION OF COIL AND CONDUCTORS IS BY MEAN COIL RADIUS
C
C      RBAR=(R1+R2)*0.5D0
C      R1=R1/RBAR
C      R2=R2/RBAR
C      L=L/RBAR
C      105 IF(IAIR.EQ.0)CALL INNMUL(R1,R2,L,A9,IAIR,ZRE,ZIM)
C      PRINT 4,R1,R2,L,A9
C      4  FORMAT(6X,'INNER COIL RADIUS (R1) =',F15.9/
C      1 6X,'OUTER COIL RADIUS (R2) =',F15.9/
C      2 13X,'COIL LENGTH (L) =',F15.9
C      3 /14X,'AIR VALUE (A9) =',F15.9)
C
C      NEXT SET OF DATA GIVES INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONDUCTORS
C      N=NUMBER OF CONDUCTORS
C      N.LT.0 MEANS END OF ANY ADDITIONAL CASES WITH GIVEN COIL DATA
C      IHAVEM=1 MEANS DATA IS GIVEN FOR EM=OMEGA*MU*SIGMA*RBARSQ
C      IHAVEM=0 MEANS EM MUST BE CALCULATED BY THIS FORMULA
C      IHAVEM=-1 MEANS EM MUST BE CALCULATED FROM RESISTIVITY
C

```



```

110 READ 6,N,IHAVEM
    IF(N.LE.0)G0 T0 100.
    NCASE=NCASE+1
    PRINT 5,NCASE,NC0IL
5    FORMAT(6X,'CASE N0.',I3,' 0F C0IL N0.',I3/)
6    FORMAT(4I5)
    KLIM=N+1
    PRINT 7,N
7    FORMAT( I13,' C0DUCT0RS 0UTSIDE C0IL'/5X,'INNER RADIUS'
1    ,10X,'M',8X,'PERMEABILITY',3X,'RESISTIVITY')
    IF(IHAVEM.GT.0)G0 T0 130

C
C    EM(I) IS THIS VALUE F0R EACH C0DUCT0R I=1,N
C    R(I) IS THE INNER RADIUS 0F EACH C0DUCT0R (INCHES 0R N0RM.)
C    PERM(I) IS RELATIVE PERMEABILITY 0F EACH C0DUCT0R
C    FREQ IS FREQUENCY 0F THE DRIVING CURRENT (KHZ)
C    0MEGA IS ANGULAR FREQUENCY 0F THE DRIVING CURRENT,=2PI*FREQ*E3
C    MEAN C0IL RADIUS RBAR GIVEN WITH DATA IF IHAVEM=0,N0RMAL.NE.0
C    MU IS PERMEABILITY GIVEN F0R EACH C0DUCT0R IF IHAVEM=0
C    SIGMA IS C0DUCTIVITY GIVEN F0R EACH C0DUCT0R IF IHAVEM=0
C
    READ 3,FREQ
    IF(N0RMAL.NE.0) READ 3,RBAR
    IF(IHAVEM.LT.0)G0 T0 120
    0MEGA=2.0D3*PI*FREQ
    C0NST=(RBAR*C0NST1)**2
    D0 115 I=1,N
    READ 3,R(I),SIGMA,PERM(I)
115  EM(I)=0MEGA*MU*SIGMA*C0NST*PERM(I)
    G0 T0 140

C
C    NUMBER 0.5093979D3 IS A PR0DUCT 0F C0NVERSION FACT0RS,
C    (2*PI*D3)*(4*PI*D-7)*(D8)*(0.0254**2)
C
120  C0NST=0.5093979D3*RBAR*RBAR*FREQ
    D0 125 I=1,N
    READ 3,R(I),RH0(I),PERM(I)
125  EM(I)=C0NST*PERM(I)/RH0(I)
    G0 T0 140
130  D0 135 I=1,N
135  READ 3,R(I),EM(I),PERM(I)
140  IF(N0RMAL.NE.0)G0 T0 150
    D0 145 I=1,N
145  R(I)=R(I)/RBAR
150  IF(IHAVEM.GE.0)G0 T0 160
    D0 155 K=1,N
    PRINT 8,K,R(K),EM(K),PERM(K),RH0(K)
155  C0NTINUE
    G0 T0 170
160  D0 165 K=1,N
    PRINT 8,K,R(K),EM(K),PERM(K)
8    FORMAT(I3,1P4D15.6)
165  C0NTINUE
170  PERM(KLIM)=1.D0
    EM(KLIM)= 0.D0
    PRINT 1
    CALL INNMUL(R1,R2,L,A9,IAIR,ZRE,ZIM)
    PRINT 1

```

```

      PRINT 9
9      FORMAT(6X,'NORMALIZED COIL IMPEDANCE')
      PRINT 10, ZRE,ZIM
10     FORMAT(6X,'NORMALIZED REAL PART =', 1PD16.6/
1      6X,'NORMALIZED IMAG PART =',1PD16.6 )
      ZABS=DSQRT(ZRE*ZRE+ZIM*ZIM)
      IF(ZRE)180,175,180
175    ZARG=PI*0.5D0
      GO TO 185
180    ZARG=DATAN(ZIM/ZRE)
185    CONTINUE
      DEGR=ZARG*180.D0/PI
      PRINT 12,ZABS,ZARG,DEGR
      GO TO 110
190    PRINT 11
11     FORMAT(6X,'END OF CASES RUN ON INNMUL PROGRAM')
12     FORMAT(17X,'MAGNITUDE ='1PD16.6,
1      /10X,' PHASE(RADIANS) ='D16.6
2      /10X,' PHASE(DEGREES) ='D16.6)
      PRINT 1
      STOP
      END

```

II. (3) INNMUL

The formula which is calculated by the INNMUL subroutine is the normalized coil impedance,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_n = j \int_0^{\infty} & \left\{ \frac{2}{\alpha} \left[1 - \cos(\alpha(\ell_2 - \ell_1)) \right] \frac{U_{12}}{U_{22}} I^2(r_2, r_1) \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{\pi}{6} J^2(r_2, r_1) \left[\alpha(\ell_2 - \ell_1) + e^{-\alpha(\ell_2 - \ell_1)} - 1 \right] \right\} d\alpha \\
 & \div \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\pi}{6} J^2(r_2, r_1) \left[\alpha(\ell_2 - \ell_1) + e^{-\alpha(\ell_2 - \ell_1)} - 1 \right] d\alpha, \quad (71)
 \end{aligned}$$

of both the driver and pickup coils in a bifilar coil surrounded by multiple coaxial conductors.

The quantity

$$I(r_2, r_1) = \alpha^2 \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r I_1(\alpha r) dr$$

is given by Eq. (50). It is calculated by a branch to the XIINT function subprogram. Similarly, the quantity,

$$J(r_2, r_1) = \alpha^2 \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r J_1(\alpha r) dr,$$

is given by Eq. (56) and is calculated by the XJNT subprogram. The ratio, U_{12}/U_{22} , or gamma, is calculated by a branch from INNMUL to the GAMCAL subroutine.

The XIINT, XJJNT, and GAMCAL subroutines will be discussed separately.

The integrand in the numerator of the impedance formula in INNMUL contains a term with the factor $(\alpha L + e^{-\alpha L} - 1)$, where $L = \ell_2 - \ell_1$. For values of αL less than 0.5, this factor is calculated by the rational approximation,

$$\frac{(\alpha L)^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{1 + \frac{(\alpha L)^2}{180}}{1 + \frac{\alpha L}{3} + \frac{(\alpha L)^2}{30}} \right\}. \quad (72)$$

This is derived as a continued fraction approximant from the Padé table⁸ associated with the power series,

$$(a - 1 + e^{-a})/a^2 = \left(1 - \frac{a}{3} + \frac{a^2}{12} - \frac{a^3}{60} + \frac{a^4}{360} - \dots \right) \quad (73)$$

If αL is larger than 20, $e^{-\alpha L}$ is dropped from the calculation of $(\alpha L + e^{-\alpha L} - 1)$. For αL between 0.5 and 20, $e^{-\alpha L}$ is evaluated by the exponential function subprogram available in the IBM/360 FORTRAN library.

The quantity $(1 - \cos(\alpha L))$ which appears in one term of the integrand is obtained by the half-angle formula from $\sin(\frac{\alpha L}{2})$.

The overall infinite integral is approximated in INNMUL for an upper limit of 16. The average of the integrand is calculated for 100 equally-spaced points from 0 to 1. For each of the remaining 15 intervals of unit length, the average of the integrand for 20 equally spaced points is used. This has been found to give a sufficiently good approximation to the integral and is named I7 + jI8 in the program.

At the same time during this calculation in INNMUL, integration is performed independently on the second term of the integrand to obtain an estimated air value, named I9. The air value contributes to the real part of the integral, I7, and is by far the slowest part to converge. We

⁸Alston S. Householder, A Glossary for Numerical Analysis, ORNL-2704, pp. 73-74.

calculate the air value in a separate program and give the value as part of the data to this program, as A9. The air value depends only on the on the coil dimensions and not on the conductor, and is

$$A9 = \pi \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^6} J^2(r_2, r_1) \left[\alpha(l_2 - l_1) e^{-\alpha(l_2 - l_1)} - 1 \right] d\alpha. \quad (74)$$

(This term appears both in the numerator and the denominator.)

Therefore, we can obtain the completely converged real part of the integral by adding the difference between A9 and I9. The completely converged real part is I7 + (A9-I9). The normalized impedance is

$$Z_n = j(I7 + A9 - I9 + jI8)/A9. \quad (75)$$

A listing of INNMUL follows.

```

SUBROUTINE INNMUL(R1,R2,L,A9,IAIR,ZRE,ZIM)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
REAL*8 L,I7,I8,I9,J7,J8,J9
COMMON/REGION/R(10),EM(10),PERM(10),KLIM
COMMON/ALP0/X,Q1,Q2
DATA PI/3.1415 92653 58979D0/,KK/50/

```

```

C
C      CALCULATES MEAN COIL IMPEDANCE, ZRE + I*ZIM, FOR
C      COIL INSIDE COAXIAL CYLINDRICAL CONDUCTORS
C
C      R1 = INNER COIL RADIUS / MEAN COIL RADIUS
C      R2 = OUTER COIL RADIUS / MEAN COIL RADIUS
C      L = COIL LENGTH / MEAN COIL RADIUS
C      A9 = NORMALIZING AIR VALUE REQUIRED FOR INNMUL
C      IAIR.NE.1 IF INNMUL IS TO BE USED TO CALCULATE A9
C      IAIR = 1 IF VALUE OF A9 IS PASSED TO INNMUL IN CALL
C      N = NUMBER OF CONDUCTORS OUTSIDE COIL
C
C      SEE IF AIR VALUE HAS BEEN CALCULATED
C
C      IF(IAIR.EQ.1)GO TO 120
C
C      COMPUTE AIR VALUE(A9).
C
      IAIR=1
      KK=150
      PRINT 1
1    FORMAT(6X,'X',14X,'AIR VALUE'//)
      H1=0.01D0
      H2=1.0D0
      I9=0.0D0
      G1=0.0D0
      G2=H2
100   J9=0.0D0
      X=G1+H1*0.5D0
105   Q9=X*L
      Q1=X*X
      Q2=Q1*Q1
      Q6=Q2*Q1
      Z1=X*R1
      Z2=X*R2
      S4=XJ.INT(Z1,Z2,KK)
      IF(Q9.GT.0.5D0)GO TO 110
      F9=Q9*Q9*0.5D0*((Q9/180.0D0)*Q9+1.0D0)/(Q9*(.1D0*Q9+1.0D0)/3.0D0+1.0D0
      GO TO 115
110   F9=Q9-1.0D0
      IF(Q9.GT.20.0D0)GO TO 115
      F9=F9+DEXP(-Q9)
115   S4=S4+S4*F9*PI
      J9=J9+S4/Q6
      X=X+H1
      IF(X.LE.G2)GO TO 105
      I9=I9+H1*J9
      G1=G2
      G2=G2+H2
      Q3=X+H1*0.5D0
      PRINT 4,Q3,I9
      H1=0.05D0
      IF(X.LT.15.5D0)GO TO 100
      H1=0.1D0

```

```

      IF(X.LT.199.5D0)G0 T0 100
      A9=I9
      KK=50
      PRINT 2,A9
2     FORMAT('0      AIR VALUE ='1PD15.8)
      KK=50
      RETURN
C
C      INITIALIZE STEP SIZE, UPPER LIMIT, INTEGRALS
C
120   N=KLIM-1
      H1=.01D0
      H2=1.D0
      I7=0.D0
      I8=0.D0
      I9=0.D0
C
C      COIL IMPEDANCE- I9=AIR VALUE, I7=RE(Z/J), I8=IM(Z/J)
C
      G1=0.D0
      G2=H2
      PRINT 3
3     FORMAT(6X,'X',14X,'AIR VALUE',5X,'REAL PART',6X,'IMAG PART'//)
C
C      BEGIN LOOP ON ALPHA, WHICH IS THE VARIABLE NAMED X
C
125   J7=0.D0
      J8=0.D0
      J9=0.D0
      X=G1+H1*0.5D0
130   Q9=X*L
      Q1=X*X
      Q2=Q1*Q1
      Q6=Q2*Q1
C
C      CALCULATION OF I AND J INTEGRALS
C
      Z1=X*R1
      Z2=X*R2
      S3=XIINT(Z1,Z2,KK)
      S3=S3*DSIN(0.5D0*Q9)
      S3=S3+S3
      S3=S3*S3
      S4=XJJNT(Z1,Z2,KK)
      IF(Q9.GT.0.5D0) G0 T0 135
      F9=Q9*Q9*0.5D0*((Q9/180.D0)*Q9+1.D0)/(Q9*(.1D0*Q9+1.D0)/3.D0+1.1
      G0 T0 140
135   F9=Q9-1.D0
      IF(Q9.GT.20.D0) G0 T0 140
      F9=F9+DEXP(-Q9)
140   S4=S4*S4*F9*PI
C
C      CALCULATION OF GAMMA (CONDUCTORS OUTSIDE COIL)
C
145   CALL GAMCAL(G6,G7)
C
C      RL(GAMMA)=G6, IM(GAMMA)=G7
C

```

```

J7=J7+(S4+G6*S3)/Q6
J8=J8+S3*G7/Q6
150 J9=J9+S4/Q6
X=X+H1
IF(X.LE.G2) GO TO 130
I7=H1*J7+I7
I8=H1*J8+I8
I9=H1*J9+I9
G1=G2
G2=G2+H2
Q3=X+H1*0.5D0
PRINT 4,Q3,I9,I7,I8
4  FORMAT(F9.0,10X,3E15.7)
H1=0.05D0
IF(X.LT.15.5D0) GO TO 125
ZRE=-I8/A9
ZIM=(A9-I9+I7)/A9
RETURN
END

```


II. B(1 and 2) XJJNT and XIINT

These two function subprograms evaluate the expressions,

$$\int_{\alpha r_1}^{\alpha r_2} x J_1(x) dx$$

and

$$\int_{\alpha r_1}^{\alpha r_2} x I_1(x) dx ,$$

respectively. These are equal to the values given for $J(r_2, r_1)$ in Eq. (56) and $I(r_2, r_1)$ in Eq. (50). The method of integration in both cases, for an upper limit not greater than 5, is the summation of a power series expression until the last included term is less than 10^{-8} times the current sum. If the upper limit of the integral is greater than 5, asymptotic formulas⁹ are used in both subroutines. In general application, we assume in these subroutines that both limits are nonnegative and the lower limit is less than or equal to the upper limit on the integral.

An explanation follows for the summation method, which is used in the case that the upper limit is less than or equal to 5.

For the purpose of explaining the general method of integration, let $f(x)$ be any function of a real variable with the Taylor series expansion,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n x^n}{n!} . \quad (76)$$

Termwise integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x) dx &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{(n+1)!} (b^{n+1} - a^{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \frac{(b^{n+1} - a^{n+1})}{(n+1)!} . \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

⁹W. A. Simpson et al., Computer Programs for Some Eddy-Current Problems - 1970, ORNL-TM-3295 p. 259 (June 1971).

Introduce a new sequence,

$$q_n = \frac{b^n - a^n}{n!},$$

and it is seen that $q_0=1$, $q_1=b-a$, $q_2 = \frac{b^2 - a^2}{2}$, and so on. In relation to this sequence, our integral may be written as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n q_{n+1}. \quad (78)$$

This is the series to be approximated.

Recurrence relations may be derived to get the terms of the q -sequence in the following way. Use the algebraic identity

$$b^n - a^n = (a+b)(b^{n-1} - a^{n-1}) - ab(b^{n-2} - a^{n-2}). \quad (79)$$

This gives, with $n!q_n = (b^n - a^n)$, $n!q_n = (a+b)(n-1)!q_{n-1} - ab(n-2)!q_{n-2}$. Then division by $n!$ and simplification gives

$$q_n = \left[(a+b)q_{n-1} - \frac{ab q_{n-2}}{(n-1)} \right] / n. \quad (80)$$

Similarly, one can start with the identity

$$b^n - a^n = (a^2 + b^2)(b^{n-2} - a^{n-2}) - a^2 b^2 (b^{n-4} - a^{n-4}) \quad (81)$$

to obtain a second useful recurrence relation,

$$q_n = \left[(a^2 + b^2)q_{n-2} - \frac{a^2 b^2 q_{n-4}}{(n-2)(n-3)} \right] / n(n-1). \quad (82)$$

In the functions XJJNT and XIINT, the second recurrence relation is used for n greater than 3, since alternate terms are zero for the power series of $xJ_1(x)$ and $xI_1(x)$.

In order to apply this integration procedure, look first at the power series¹⁰ for $I_1(x)$:

$$I_1(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2 \cdot x)^{2r+1}}{r! (r+1)!} \quad (83)$$

Then

$$xI_1(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2r+2}}{2^{2r+1} r! (r+1)!} \quad (84)$$

Let $s=r+1$,

$$xI_1(x) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2s}}{2^{2s-1} (s-1)! s!} \quad (85)$$

Let $k=2s$,

$$xI_1(x) = \sum_{\substack{k=2 \\ \text{(even values only)}}}^{\infty} \frac{x^k (k!)}{2^{k-1} (k/2-1)! (k/2)! (k!)} \quad (86)$$

In the preceding expression, $(k!)$ has been multiplied into numerator and denominator, to coincide with the Taylor series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

Then it is easily seen that the coefficients for an even number k are:

$c_2 = 1$, $c_4 = 3/2$, $c_6 = 15/8$, with

$$c_k = \frac{k!}{2^{k-1} (k/2-1)! (k/2)!} \quad (87)$$

These even-indexed coefficients are related by $c_{k+2}/c_k = (k+1)/k$. All other coefficients, c_0 , c_1 , c_3 , c_5 , . . . are zero. The same coefficients hold for

¹⁰H. Jeffreys and B. S. Jeffreys, Methods of Mathematical Physics, p. 574, Cambridge University Press, 1950.

$$\int_{\alpha r_1}^{\alpha r_2} x J_1(x) dx ,$$

with the exception that the terms are alternating in sign.

The summation method, which is described above, is combined with the asymptotic formulas⁹, for the case that the lower limit is less than or equal to 5, but the upper limit is greater than 5.

Listings of XIINT and XJJNT follow.

```

FUNCTION XIINT(X1,X2,KK)
C
C      COMPUTES INTEGRAL FROM X1 TO X2 OF X*I1(X).
C      FOR X2.LE.5, METHOD USED IS
C      BY SUMMING A POWER SERIES EXPRESSION UNTIL THE
C      LAST INCLUDED TERM IS LESS THAN 1.E-8 TIMES THE SUM.
C
      IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
      DATA X5 / 1.0435068D2/
C
C      X5 IS THE INTEGRAL FROM 0 TO 5.
C
C      CHECK SIZE OF X2
C
      IF(X2.GT.5.D0) GO TO 300
C
C      INITIALIZE TERMS IN SUM,DENOMINATORS,ETC.
C
      IFLAG=0
90    A=X1*.5D0
      A2=A**2
100   B=X2*.5D0
      B2=B**2
      P1=B-A
      C=P+A
      P2=C*P1
      D=P*A
      QOLD=C*P2-D*P1
      P4=C*QOLD-D*P2
      Q=0.5D0*(C*P4-D*QOLD)
      C=P2+A2
      D=B2*A2
      SUM=QOLD/3.D0
      D1=2.D0
      D2=6.D0
      E=D2
      TNP3=5.D0
      T=Q/TNP3
C
C      BEGIN SUM
C
      DO 105 K=1,KK
      SUM=T+SUM
      QNEW=(C*Q-D*QOLD/D1)/D2
      TNP3=TNP3+2.D0
      T=QNEW/TNP3
C
C      TEST CONVERGENCE
C
      IF(T/SUM .LT. 1.E-8) GO TO 110
      QOLD=Q
      C=QNEW
      D1=D2
      D2=D2+E
      E=E+2.D0
105  CONTINUE
C
C      PRINT NON-CONVERGENCE MESSAGE

```

```

C      PRINT 1, KK, X1, X2, T, SUM
1      FORMAT('XIINT DID NOT CONVERGE IN', I3, ' TERMS')
1' X1 = '1PE14.5,' X2 = ',E14.5,' T = ',E14.5,' SUM = ',E14.5)
      PRINT 50
50     FORMAT(' SAVS')
      STOP
110    XIINT=4.D0*(SUM+T)
      IF (IFLAG.EQ.0) RETURN
      GO TO 320

C
C      ASYMPTOTIC SERIES FOR X2.GT.5
C      (ORNL TM-3295, P.262)
C
300    XX=X2
      IGO=1
305    RX=1.D0/XX
      P3=(((((((1660.794D0*RX-1737.556D0)*RX+543.6694D0)*RX
1      +11.81804D0)*RX-33.78366D0)*RX+5.108402D0)*RX-0.6130935D0)*RX
2      -0.3360836D0)*RX+0.3987795D0
      P3=P3*DEXP(XX)*DSQRT(XY)
309    GO TO (310,315), IGO
310    IF (X1.NE.0.D0) GO TO 311
      XIINT=P3
      RETURN
311    XX=X1
      W=P3
      IGO=2
      IF (XX.GT.5.D0) GO TO 305
      IFLAG=1
      T1=X2
      X2=5.D0
      GO TO 90
320    P3=X5-XIINT
      X2=T1
315    XIINT=W-P3
      RETURN
      END

```

```

FUNCTION YJUN1(X1,Y2,KK)
C
C      COMPUTES INTEGRAL FROM X1 TO X2 OF X*J1(Y).
C      FOR X2.LE.5, METHOD USED IS
C      BY SUMMING A POWER SERIES EXPRESSION UNTIL THE
C      LAST INCLUDED TERM IS LESS THAN 1.E-8 TIMES THE SUM.
C
      IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
      DATA R126PI/C.79788 45608 028654 D0/,
1      PIC4/C.78539 81633 974483 D0/
C
C      CHECK SIZE OF X2
C
      IF(X2.GT.5.D0) GO TO 300
C
      INITIALIZE TERMS IN SUM,DENOMINATORS,ETC.
C
      IFLAG=0
90      A=X1*.5D0
      A2=A**2
      R=X2*.5D0
      R2=R**2
      P1=R-A
      C=R+A
      P2=C*P1
      D=P*A
      QOLD=C*P2-D*P1
      P4=C*QOLD-D*P2
      Q=C.5D0*(C*P4-D*QOLD)
      C=R2+A2
      D=R2*A2
      SUM=QOLD/3.D0
      D1=2.D0
      D2=6.D0
      E=D2
      SIGN=-1.D0
      TNP3=5.D0
      T=-Q/TNP3
C
C      BEGIN SUM
C
      DO 100 K=1,KK
      SUM=T+SUM
      QNEW=(C*Q-D*QOLD/D1)/D2
      SIGN=-SIGN
      TNP3=TNP3+2.D0
      T=SIGN*QNEW/TNP3
C
C      TEST CONVERGENCE
C
      IF(DABS(T/SUM).LT.1.D-8) GO TO 105
      QOLD=Q
      Q=QNEW
      D1=D2
      D2=D2+E
      E=E+2.D0
100  CONTINUE

```

```

C
C      PRINT NON-CONVERGENCE MESSAGE
C
      PRINT 1, KK, X1, X2, T, SUM
1      FORMAT('XJJNT DID NOT CONVERGE IN', I3, ' TERMS')
1      X = '1E14.5,' X2 = 'E14.5,' T = 'E14.5,' SUM = 'E14.5)
      PRINT 50
50      FORMAT(' SAVS')
      STOP
105     XJJNT=4.D0*(SUM+1)
      IF (IFLAG.EQ.0) RETURN
      GO TO 320

C
C      ASYMPTOTIC SERIES FOR X2.01.5
C      (ORNL TM-3295, PG.259)
C
300     XX=X2
      ICG=1
305     RX=1.0D0/XX
      ARG=XX-PI/4
      C1=(((((-188.1357D0*RX+109.1142D0)*RX
1      -23.79333D0)*RX+2.050931D0)*RX
2      -0.1730503D0)*RX+0.7034845D0)*RX-6.4109D-5
      Q2=(((((-5.817517D0*RX+2.105874D0)*RX-0.6896196D0)*RX
&      +0.4952024D0)*RX
1      -1.87344D-3)*RX+0.7979095D0
      P3 = 1.D0 - DSQR1(XX)*(Q2*DCOS(ARG) - C1*DSIN(ARG))
      GO TO (310,315), ICG
310     CONTINUE
      IF (X1.NE.0.D0) GO TO 311
      XJJNT=P3
      RETURN
311     XX=X1
      W=P3
      ICG=2
      IF (XX.01.5.D0) GO TO 305
      IFLAG=1
      TT=X2
      T1=X1
      X2=T1
      X1=0.D0
      GO TO 90
320     P3=XJJNT
      X1=T1
      X2=T1
315     XJJNT=W-P3
      RETURN
      END

```


II. B(3) GAMCAL

The GAMCAL subroutine is used by INNMUL to compute gamma ratio, U_{12}/U_{22} , which appears in the first term of the integrand of interest in INNMUL.

It is necessary in the calculations of GAMCAL to have given the quantity, $\omega \mu_k \sigma_k \bar{r}_m^2$, named M_k , for each conductor. In this product $\bar{r}_m$ is the mean coil radius in meters. The angular frequency of the driving current is given by ω . The other variables μ_k and σ_k are the permeability and conductivity, respectively, of the conductor. For each conductor,

$$\alpha_k = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + jM_k} ,$$

where α is the variable of integration in INNMUL. Then,

$$Rl(\alpha_k) = \sqrt{1/2(\alpha^2 + \sqrt{\alpha^4 + M_k^2})}$$

$$Im(\alpha_k) = 1/2 M_k / Rl(\alpha_k) . \quad (88)$$

The inner radius and relative permeability must also be known for each conductor for the calculations to obtain gamma. Caution must be exercised in the case of a large permeability that the calculations do not lead to computer overflow or underflow.

The use of the zero-th and first order modified Bessel functions has been seen in the chapter dealing with theoretical analysis. New subroutines have been written to secure more significant figures than previously available in the calculations of GAMCAL. These subroutines, names MODBES, CMDRES, COMKB, and CMI, will be described individually.

A distinction is made between a normal conductor region and a "thin" conductor region. A region is classified as thin if

$$(r_{k-1} - r_k)/r_{k-1} \leq 0.1 .$$

The elements of the T-matrix at r_{k-1} , the outer boundary of region k, are

$$T_{11}(k, k-1) = zK_0(z)I_1(\alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1}) \quad (89)$$

$$+ (\mu_k/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1} I_0(\alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1}) K_1(z)$$

$$T_{12}(k, k-1) = zK_0(z)K_1(\alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1}) \quad (90)$$

$$- (\mu_k/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1} K_0(\alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1}) K_1(z)$$

$$T_{21}(k, k-1) = zI_0(z)I_1(\alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1}) \quad (91)$$

$$- (\mu_k/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1} I_0(\alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1}) I_1(z)$$

and

$$T_{22}(k, k-1) = zI_0(z)K_1(\alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1}) \quad (92)$$

$$+ (\mu_k/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1} K_0(\alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1}) I_1(z)$$

$$\text{where } z = \alpha_{k-1}r_{k-1} \quad (93)$$

For crossing a normal, or "thick" region, these matrix elements are computed by GAMCAL according to the above relationships.

However, if the region is "thin", a special procedure is used. In that case, note that at the inner boundary, r_k , of the region k, the matrix elements are

$$T_{11}(k+1, k) = \alpha_{k+1}r_k K_0(\alpha_{k+1}r_k) I_1(z+h) \quad (94)$$

$$+ (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_k) (z+h) I_0(z+h) K_1(\alpha_{k+1}r_k),$$

$$T_{12}(k+1, k) = \alpha_{k+1}r_k K_0(\alpha_{k+1}r_k) K_1(z+h) \quad (95)$$

$$- (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_k) (z+h) K_0(z+h) K_1(\alpha_{k+1}r_k),$$

$$T_{21}(k+1, k) = \alpha_{k+1} r_k I_0(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) I_1(z+h) \quad (96)$$

$$- (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_k) (z+h) I_0(z+h) I_1(\alpha_{k+1} r_k),$$

and

$$T_{22}(k+1, k) = \alpha_{k+1} r_k I_0(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) K_1(z+h) \quad (97)$$

$$+ (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_k) (z+h) K_0(z+h) I_1(\alpha_{k+1} r_k)$$

$$\text{where } h = \alpha_k (r_k - r_{k-1}). \quad (98)$$

To cross region k , the T-matrix is the product $T_{k+1, k} T_{k, k-1} = T_{k+1, k-1}$.

In the following equations we will drop the $(k+1, k-1)$ for convenience.

The matrix elements of the product are then

$$T_{11} = T_{11}(k+1, k) T_{11}(k, k-1) + T_{12}(k+1, k) T_{21}(k, k-1), \quad (99)$$

$$T_{12} = T_{11}(k+1, k) T_{12}(k, k-1) + T_{12}(k+1, k) T_{22}(k, k-1), \quad (100)$$

$$T_{21} = T_{21}(k+1, k) T_{11}(k, k-1) + T_{22}(k+1, k) T_{21}(k, k-1), \quad (101)$$

and

$$T_{22} = T_{21}(k+1, k) T_{12}(k, k-1) + T_{22}(k+1, k) T_{22}(k, k-1). \quad (102)$$

This matrix multiplication yields the following complex elements of the product matrix:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{11} = & \alpha_{k+1} r_k K_0(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) I_1(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) z [K_0(z) I_1(z+h) + I_0(z) K_1(z+h)] \\
& + (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_k) K_1(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) I_1(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) z(z+h) [I_0(z+h) K_0(z) - K_0(z+h) I_0(z)] \\
& + (\mu_k/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1} I_0(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) \alpha_{k+1} r_k K_0(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) [K_1(z) I_1(z+h) - I_1(z) K_1(z+h)] \\
& + (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1} I_0(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) K_1(\alpha_{k+1} r_k)(z+h) [I_0(z+h) K_1(z) + K_0(z+h) I_1(z)],
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{21} = & \alpha_{k+1} r_k I_0(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) I_1(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) z [K_0(z) I_1(z+h) + I_0(z) K_1(z+h)] \\
& - (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_k) I_1(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) I_1(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) z(z+h) [K_0(z) I_0(z+h) - I_0(z) K_0(z+h)] \\
& + (\mu_k/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1} I_0(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) \alpha_{k+1} r_k I_0(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) [K_1(z) I_1(z+h) - I_1(z) K_1(z+h)] \\
& - (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1} I_0(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) I_1(\alpha_{k+1} r_k)(z+h) [I_0(z+h) K_1(z) + K_0(z+h) I_1(z)],
\end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{12} = & \alpha_{k+1} r_k K_0(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) K_1(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) z [K_0(z) I_1(z+h) + I_0(z) K_1(z+h)] \\
& + (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_k) K_1(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) K_1(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) z(z+h) [K_0(z) I_0(z+h) - I_0(z) K_0(z+h)] \\
& - (\mu_k/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k+1} r_k K_0(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) \alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1} K_0(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) [I_1(z+h) K_1(z) - I_1(z) K_1(z+h)] \\
& - (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1} K_0(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) K_1(\alpha_{k+1} r_k)(z+h) [I_0(z+h) K_1(z) + I_1(z) K_0(z+h)],
\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{22} = & \alpha_{k+1} r_k I_0(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) K_1(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) z [K_0(z) I_1(z+h) + I_0(z) K_1(z+h)] \\
& - (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_k) K_1(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) I_1(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) z(z+h) [K_0(z) I_0(z+h) - I_0(z) K_0(z+h)] \\
& - (\mu_k/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1} K_0(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) \alpha_{k+1} r_k I_0(\alpha_{k+1} r_k) [K_1(z) I_1(z+h) - I_1(z) K_1(z+h)] \\
& + (\mu_{k+1}/\mu_{k-1}) \alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1} K_0(\alpha_{k-1} r_{k-1}) I_1(\alpha_{k+1} r_k)(z+h) [I_0(z+h) K_1(z) + I_1(z) K_0(z+h)].
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

Note that the functions in the square brackets in each matrix element are just four combinations of modified Bessel functions:

$$G_1(z, h) = z [K_0(z)I_1(z+h) + I_0(z)K_1(z+h)], \quad (107)$$

$$G_2(z, h) = z(z+h) [K_0(z)I_0(z+h) - I_0(z)K_0(z+h)], \quad (108)$$

$$G_3(z, h) = [I_1(z+h)K_1(z) - I_1(z)K_1(z+h)], \text{ and} \quad (109)$$

$$G_4(z, h) = (z+h) [I_0(z+h)K_1(z) + I_1(z)K_0(z+h)]. \quad (110)$$

These functions are calculated by a subroutine named GCALC, which will be described separately.

The matrix elements are, then, in the case of the thin region, n ,

$$T_{11} = \alpha_{n+1} r_n K_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) I_1(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) G_1(z, h) \quad (111)$$

$$+ (\mu_{n+1}/\mu_n) K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) I_1(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) G_2(z, h)$$

$$+ (\mu_n/\mu_{n-1}) \alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1} I_0(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) \alpha_{n+1} r_n K_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) G_3(z, h)$$

$$+ (\mu_{n+1}/\mu_{n-1}) \alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1} I_0(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) G_4(z, h),$$

$$T_{12} = \alpha_{n+1} r_n K_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) K_1(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) G_1(z, h) \quad (112)$$

$$+ (\mu_{n+1}/\mu_n) K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) K_1(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) G_2(z, h)$$

$$- (\mu_n/\mu_{n-1}) \alpha_{n+1} r_n K_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) \alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1} K_0(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) G_3(z, h)$$

$$- (\mu_{n+1}/\mu_{n-1}) \alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1} K_0(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) K_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) G_4(z, h),$$

$$T_{21} = \alpha_{n+1} r_n I_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) I_1(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) G_1(z, h) \quad (113)$$

$$- (\mu_{n+1}/\mu_n) I_1(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) G_2(z, h)$$

$$+ (\mu_n/\mu_{n-1}) \alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1} I_0(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) \alpha_{n+1} r_n I_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) G_3(z, h)$$

$$- (\mu_{n+1}/\mu_{n-1}) \alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1} I_0(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) G_4(z, h), \text{ and}$$

$$T_{22} = \alpha_{n+1} r_n I_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) K_1(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) G_1(z, h) \quad (114)$$

$$- (\mu_{n+1}/\mu_n) K_1(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) G_2(z, h)$$

$$- (\mu_n/\mu_{n-1}) \alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1} K_0(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) \alpha_{n+1} r_n I_0(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) G_3(z, h)$$

$$+ (\mu_{n+1}/\mu_{n-1}) \alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1} K_0(\alpha_{n-1} r_{n-1}) I_1(\alpha_{n+1} r_n) G_4(z, h)$$

A listing of GAMCAL follows.

SUBROUTINE GAMCAL(GAMRE,GAMIM)
 IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H, O-Z)

C
 C
 C

COMPUTES THE REAL AND IMAGINARY PARTS OF GAMMA

DIMENSION T(8),U(4),V(3),F(8),G(8),Z(8)
 COMMON /REGION/ R(10),EM(10),PERM(10),KLIM
 COMMON /TEMPS/ A(39)

C
 C
 C

THE FOLLOWING EQUIVALENCE CONSERVES STORAGE

EQUIVALENCE (T(1),A(1)), (F(1),A(9)), (G(1),A(17)),
 1 (Z(1),A(25)), (U(1),A(33)), (V(1),A(37))
 COMMON /ALP0/ ALFA,ALSO,ALF0

C
 C
 C
 C
 C
 C
 C
 C
 C
 C
 C

NREG NUMBER OF CONDUCTORS OUTSIDE COIL
 KLIM ONE MORE THAN NREG
 R(1) INNER RADIUS
 EM(1) 0MEGA*MU(1)*SIGMA(1)*RBAR**2
 PERM(1) PERMEABILITY (MU)
 ALFA ALPHA, VARIABLE OF INTEGRATION
 ALSO ALFA**2
 ALF0 ALFA**4

U(1)=0. D0
 U(2)=0. D0
 U(4)=0. D0
 U(3)=1. D0
 A1=DSORT(.5D0*(ALSO+DSORT(ALF0+EM(1)**2)))
 A2=.5D0*EM(1)/A1

C
 C
 C

A1 = RE(ALFA(1)), A2 = IM(ALFA(1))

K=2

C
 C
 C

BEGIN LOOP ON REGIONS

100 B1=A1*R(K-1)
 B2=A2*R(K-1)
 IF(B2.NE.0. D0) GO TO 110
 CALL M0DBES(B1,F(1),F(3),F(5),F(7))
 F(1)=F(1)*B1
 F(3)=F(3)*B1
 D0 105 I=2,8,2
 105 F(I)=0. D0
 GO TO 115
 110 CALL CMDRES(B1,B2,F)
 115 D0 120 I=1,8
 120 Z(I)=F(I)
 A3=DSORT(.5D0*(ALSO+DSORT(ALF0+EM(K)**2)))
 A4=.5D0*EM(K)/A3
 IF(K.EQ.KLIM) GO TO 140

C
 C
 C

CHECK FOR THIN REGION

TREL=(R(K-1)-R(K))/R(K-1)
 IF (TREL.GT..1D0) GO TO 140

```

C
C      THIN REGION - EVALUATE BESSEL FNS OF ALFA(K+1)*R(K)
C
      A5=DSQRT(.5D0*(ALSQ+DSQRT(ALF0+EM(K+1)**2)))
      A6=.5D0*EM(K+1)/A5
      B5=A5*R(K)
      B6=A6*R(K)
      IF(B6.NE.0.D0) GO TO 130
      CALL M0DBES(B5,F(1),F(3),F(5),F(7))
      F(1)=F(1)*B5
      F(3)=F(3)*B5
      D0 125 I=2,8,2
125   F(1)=0.D0
      GO TO 135
130   CALL CMDDBES(B5,B6,F)
C
C      CALCULATE G FUNCTIONS
C
135   CALL GCALC(A3,A4,K,G)
C
C      CALCULATE ELEMENTS OF T MATRIX AT R(K)
C
      C1=PERM(K+1)/PERM(K)
      C2=PERM(K)/PERM(K-1)
      C3=C2*C1
      Z1=Z(5)*G(1)-Z(6)*G(2)
      Z2=Z(5)*G(2)+Z(6)*G(1)
      T(1)=Z1*F(3)-Z2*F(4)
      T(2)=Z1*F(4)+Z2*F(3)
      T(5)=Z1*F(1)-Z2*F(2)
      T(6)=Z1*F(2)+Z2*F(1)
      Z1=Z(7)*G(1)-Z(8)*G(2)
      Z2=Z(7)*G(2)+Z(8)*G(1)
      T(3)=Z1*F(3)-Z2*F(4)
      T(4)=Z1*F(4)+Z2*F(3)
      T(7)=Z1*F(1)-Z2*F(2)
      T(8)=Z1*F(2)+Z2*F(1)
      Z1=Z(5)*G(3)-Z(6)*G(4)
      Z2=Z(5)*G(4)+Z(6)*G(3)
      T(1)=T(1)+C1*(Z1*F(7)-Z2*F(8))
      T(2)=T(2)+C1*(Z1*F(8)+Z2*F(7))
      T(5)=T(5)-C1*(Z1*F(5)-Z2*F(6))
      T(6)=T(6)-C1*(Z1*F(6)+Z2*F(5))
      Z1=Z(7)*G(3)-Z(8)*G(4)
      Z2=Z(7)*G(4)+Z(8)*G(3)
      T(3)=T(3)+C1*(Z1*F(7)-Z2*F(8))
      T(4)=T(4)+C1*(Z1*F(8)+Z2*F(7))
      T(7)=T(7)-C1*(Z1*F(5)-Z2*F(6))
      T(8)=T(8)-C1*(Z1*F(6)+Z2*F(5))
      Z1=Z(1)*G(5)-Z(2)*G(6)
      Z2=Z(1)*G(6)+Z(2)*G(5)
      T(1)=T(1)+C2*(Z1*F(3)-Z2*F(4))
      T(2)=T(2)+C2*(Z1*F(4)+Z2*F(3))
      T(5)=T(5)+C2*(Z1*F(1)-Z2*F(2))
      T(6)=T(6)+C2*(Z1*F(2)+Z2*F(1))
      Z1=Z(3)*G(5)-Z(4)*G(6)
      Z2=Z(4)*G(5)+Z(3)*G(6)

```



```

T(3)=T(3)-C2*(Z1*F(3)-Z2*F(4))
T(4)=T(4)-C2*(Z1*F(4)+Z2*F(3))
T(7)=T(7)-C2*(Z1*F(1)-Z2*F(2))
T(8)=T(8)-C2*(Z1*F(2)+Z2*F(1))
Z1=Z(1)*G(7)-Z(2)*G(8)
Z2=Z(1)*G(8)+Z(2)*G(7)
T(1)=T(1)+C3*(Z1*F(7)-Z2*F(8))
T(2)=T(2)+C3*(Z1*F(8)+Z2*F(7))
T(5)=T(5)-C3*(Z1*F(5)-Z2*F(6))
T(6)=T(6)-C3*(Z1*F(6)+Z2*F(5))
Z1=Z(3)*G(7)-Z(4)*G(8)
Z2=Z(3)*G(8)+Z(4)*G(7)
T(3)=T(3)-C3*(Z1*F(7)-Z2*F(8))
T(4)=T(4)-C3*(Z1*F(8)+Z2*F(7))
T(7)=T(7)+C3*(Z1*F(5)-Z2*F(6))
T(8)=T(8)+C3*(Z1*F(6)+Z2*F(5))
C
C      UPDATE K AND ALPHA
C
      K=K+2
      A1=A5
      A2=A6
      GO TO 160
C
C      THICK REGION - CALCULATE BESSEL FNS OF ALFA(K)*R(K-1)
C
140  CONTINUE
      B3=A3*R(K-1)
      B4=A4*R(K-1)
      IF(B4.NE.0.D0) GO TO 150
      CALL M0DBES(B3,F(1),F(3),F(5),F(7))
      F(1)=F(1)*B3
      F(3)=F(3)*B3
      DO 145 I=2,8,2
145  F(I)=0.D0
      GO TO 155
150  CALL CMDRES(B3,B4,F)
C
C      CALCULATE ELEMENTS OF T-MATRIX AT R(K-1)
C
155  P=PERM(K)/PERM(K-1)
      T(1)=F(3)*Z(5)-F(4)*Z(6)+P*(F(7)*Z(1)-F(8)*Z(2))
      T(2)=F(3)*Z(6)+F(4)*Z(5)+P*(F(7)*Z(2)+F(8)*Z(1))
      T(3)=F(3)*Z(7)-F(4)*Z(8)-P*(F(7)*Z(3)-F(8)*Z(4))
      T(4)=F(3)*Z(8)+F(4)*Z(7)-P*(F(7)*Z(4)+F(8)*Z(3))
      T(5)=F(1)*Z(5)-F(2)*Z(6)-P*(F(5)*Z(1)-F(6)*Z(2))
      T(6)=F(1)*Z(6)+F(2)*Z(5)-P*(F(5)*Z(2)+F(6)*Z(1))
      T(7)=F(1)*Z(7)-F(2)*Z(8)+P*(F(5)*Z(3)-F(6)*Z(4))
      T(8)=F(1)*Z(8)+F(2)*Z(7)+P*(F(5)*Z(4)+F(6)*Z(3))
      K=K+1
      A1=A3
      A2=A4
C
C      MULTIPLY TU = V, STORE V INTO U
C
160  CONTINUE

```

```

V(1)=T(1)*U(1)-T(2)*U(2)+T(3)*U(3)-T(4)*U(4)
V(2)=T(1)*U(2)+T(2)*U(1)+T(3)*U(4)+T(4)*U(3)
V(3)=T(5)*U(1)-T(6)*U(2)+T(7)*U(3)-T(8)*U(4)
U(4)=T(5)*U(2)+T(6)*U(1)+T(7)*U(4)+T(8)*U(3)
U(3)=V(3)
U(2)=V(2)
U(1)=V(1)

```

```

C
C
C

```

```

      CHECK FOR MORE REGIONS

```

```

      IF(K.LE.KLIM) GO TO 100

```

```

C
C
C

```

```

      CALCULATE GAMMA

```

```

      TEMP=U(4)/U(3)
      DEN0=TEMP*U(4)+U(3)
      GAMRE=(U(1)+TEMP*U(2))/DEN0
      GAMIM=(U(2)-TEMP*U(1))/DEN0
      RETURN
      END

```

II. B(3a) GCALC

This subroutine is used to implement a special procedure for "thin" conductor regions in the computations of GAMCAL for the gamma factor, U_{12}/U_{22} .

GCALC computes the real and imaginary parts of the four functions,

$$G_1(z, h) = z [K_0(z)I_1(z+h) + I_0(z)K_1(z+h)], \quad (115)$$

$$G_2(z, h) = z(z+h) [K_0(z)I_0(z+h) - I_0(z)K_0(z+h)], \quad (116)$$

$$G_3(z, h) = [I_1(z+h)K_1(z) - I_1(z)K_1(z+h)], \text{ and} \quad (117)$$

$$G_4(z, h) = (z+h) [I_0(z+h)K_1(z) + I_1(z)K_0(z+h)]. \quad (118)$$

In the above functions, $z = \alpha_k r_{k-1}$ and $h = \alpha_k (r_k - r_{k-1})$ in keeping with definitions in the rest of this report.

To preserve accuracy in the calculation of the G functions for h small compared with z (i.e., a thin region), we expand the Bessel functions at $z+h$ in Taylor series about z:

$$I_0(z+h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_0^{(k)}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!}, \quad (119)$$

$$I_1(z+h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_1^{(k)}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!}, \quad (120)$$

$$K_0(z+h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K_0^{(k)}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!}, \quad (121)$$

and

$$K_1(z+h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K_1^{(k)}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} \quad (122)$$

where $I_0^{(k)}(z) \equiv \left(\frac{d}{dz} I_0(z) \right)^k$.

Derivatives are needed for these Bessel functions at z . Use is made of the known relations,¹¹

$$I_0^{(k)}(z) = I_1^{(k-1)}(z) , \quad (123)$$

$$I_1^{(1)}(z) = I_0(z) - \frac{1}{z} I_1(z) , \quad (124)$$

$$K_0^{(k)}(z) = -K_1^{(k-1)}(z) , \text{ and} \quad (125)$$

$$K_1^{(1)}(z) = -K_0(z) - \frac{1}{z} K_1(z) . \quad (126)$$

For $k = 1$, Eq. (124) is a case of the general relation,

$$I_1^{(k)}(z) = -\frac{k}{z} I_1^{(k-1)}(z) + I_0^{(k-1)}(z) + \frac{k-1}{2} I_0^{(k-2)}(z) . \quad (127)$$

This can be verified by induction on k . Replace k in Eq. (127) by $k+1$, and compare the result obtained by differentiating Eq. (127) and adding $1/z$ times Eq. (127) to the result.

Similarly, Eq. (126) is a special case, for $k=1$, of the relation

$$K_1^{(k)}(z) = -\frac{k}{z} K_1^{(k-1)}(z) - K_0^{(k-1)}(z) - \frac{(k-1)}{z} K_0^{(k-2)}(z) . \quad (128)$$

To continue with preparations to compute the Taylor series, Eqs. (119) through (122), assume

$$I_0^{(k)}(z) = S_k(z) I_0(z) + T_k(z) I_1(z) . \quad (129)$$

For $k = 0, 1$, and 2 , and using Eqs. (123) and (124), then

$$S_0(z) = 1 , \quad T_0(z) = 0$$

$$S_1(z) = 0 , \quad T_1(z) = 1$$

$$S_2(z) = 1 , \quad T_2(z) = -\frac{1}{z} .$$

¹¹M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, National Bureau of Standards, 1965, p. 376, formulas (9.6.27 and 9.6.28).

To determine four-term recurrence relations for $S_k(z)$ and $T_k(z)$, substitute the expression in Eq. (129) with appropriate k -values into Eq. (127). First, use Eq. (123) to replace $I_1^{(k)}(z)$ by $I_0^{(k+1)}(z)$, and the result is

$$S_{k+1}(z)I_0(z) + T_{k+1}(z)I_1(z) = -\frac{k}{z}[S_k(z)I_0(z) + T_k(z)I_1(z)] \\ + S_{k-1}(z)I_0(z) + T_{k-1}(z)I_1(z) + \frac{k-1}{z}[S_{k-2}(z)I_0(z) + T_{k-2}(z)I_1(z)]. \quad (130)$$

By equating the function coefficients corresponding to $I_0(z)$, and also $I_1(z)$, it is seen that $S_k(z)$ and $T_k(z)$ both satisfy the four-term recurrence relation

$$S_{k+1}(z) = -\frac{k}{z} S_k(z) + S_{k-1}(z) + \frac{(k-1)}{z} S_{k-2}(z). \quad (131)$$

The same recurrence relations in Eq. (131) can be derived by using direct differentiation on Eq. (129).

The parallel procedure can be carried out to develop expressions $P_k(z)$ and $Q_k(z)$ so that

$$K_0^{(k)}(z) = P_k(z) K_0(z) + Q_k(z) K_1(z). \quad (132)$$

In this case, using Eqs. (125) and (126),

$$P_0(z) = 1, \quad Q_0(z) = 0,$$

$$P_1(z) = 0, \quad Q_1(z) = -1,$$

and

$$P_2(z) = 1, \quad Q_2(z) = \frac{1}{z}.$$

Substitution of Eq. (132) into Eq. (128), using $K_1^{(k)}(z) = -K_0^{(k+1)}(z)$ from Eq. (125), yields four-term recurrence relations for both $P_k(z)$ and $Q_k(z)$:

$$P_{k+1}(z) = -\frac{k}{z} P_k(z) + P_{k-1}(z) + \frac{k-1}{z} P_{k-2}(z). \quad (133)$$

From the initial conditions, we identify

$$P_k(z) = S_k(z) \text{ and } Q_k(z) = -T_k(z) .$$

Then Eq. (132) is replaced by

$$K_0^{(k)}(z) = S_k(z) K_0(z) - T_k(z) K_1(z) . \quad (134)$$

Further, by Eqs. (125) and (123),

$$K_1^{(k)}(z) = -K_0^{(k+1)}(z) = -S_{k+1}(z) K_0(z) + T_{k+1}(z) K_1(z)$$

and

$$I_1^{(k)}(z) = I_0^{(k+1)}(z) = S_{k+1}(z) I_0(z) + T_{k+1}(z) I_1(z) .$$

The expressions in Eqs. (119) through (122) in terms of the $S_k(z)$ and $T_k(z)$ are:

$$I_0(z+h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_0^{(k)}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} = I_0(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} + I_1(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} , \quad (135)$$

$$I_1(z+h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_1^{(k)}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} = I_0(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{k+1}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} + I_1(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{k+1}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} , \quad (136)$$

$$K_0(z+h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K_0^{(k)}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} = K_0(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} - K_1(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} , \quad (137)$$

$$K_1(z+h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K_1^{(k)}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} = -K_0(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{k+1}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} + K_1(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{k+1}(z) \frac{h^k}{k!} . \quad (138)$$

The $G_1(z, h)$ function then becomes, using Eqs. (135) through (138),

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1(z, h) &= z \left[K_0(z) I_0(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_{k+1}(z) h^k}{k!} + K_0(z) I_1(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_{k+1}(z) h^k}{k!} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + I_0(z) K_1(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_{k+1}(z) h^k}{k!} - I_0(z) K_0(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_{k+1}(z) h^k}{k!} \right] \\
&= z \left[K_0(z) I_1(z) + I_0(z) K_1(z) \right] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_{k+1}(z) h^k}{k!} \quad (139)
\end{aligned}$$

$$G_1(z, h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_{k+1}(z) h^k}{k!} \quad (140)$$

since the expression in the square brackets, the coefficient of the sum is the Wronskian of the modified Bessel functions. Similarly, we find

$$G_2(z, h) = (z+h) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_k(z) h^k}{k!}, \quad (141)$$

$$G_3(z, h) = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_{k+1}(z) h^k}{k!}, \text{ and} \quad (142)$$

$$G_4(z, h) = \frac{z+h}{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_k(z) h^k}{k!}. \quad (143)$$

Note that $\frac{z+h}{z} = \frac{\alpha_n r_n}{\alpha_n r_{n-1}} = \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}$, which is real.

These computations are performed in GCALC, making use of the four-term recurrence relations derived for $S_k(z)$ and $T_k(z)$.

A listing of GCALC follows.

```

SUBROUTINE GCALC(A,B,N,BIGG)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/REGION/R(10),EM(10),PERM(10),KLIM
DIMENSION BIGG(8)
X=A*R(N-1)
Y=B*R(N-1)
T=R(N)-R(N-1)
XI=T*A
XPXI=XI+X
ETA=T*B
YPET=ETA+Y
H0Z=T/R(N-1)
BIGG(1)=1.DO-H0Z
BIGG(7)=1.DO
BIGG(3)=XI
BIGG(5)=BIGG(3)
BIGG(4)=ETA
BIGG(6)=BIGG(4)
BIGG(2)=0.DO
BIGG(8)=0.DO
XK=2.DO
HK0RE=.5DO*(XI-ETA)*(XI+ETA)
HK0IM=XI*ETA
T=Y/X
U=1.DO/(T*Y+X)
V=-U*T
FMMIM=V
TIM=-FMMIM
FMMRE=U
TRE=-FMMRE
SM2RE=1.DO
SRE=1.DO
TM1RE=1.DO
SM2IM=0.DO
SM1RE=0.DO
SM1IM=0.DO
SIM=0.DO
TM2RE=0.DO
TM2IM=0.DO
TM1IM=0.DO

C
C      BEGIN LOOP ON K
C
DO 140 K=1,30
T0=0.DO
T=TRE*HK0RE-TIM*HK0IM
IF(BIGG(3).EQ.0.DO)G0 T0 100
T0=T0+DABS(T/BIGG(3))
100 BIGG(3)=BIGG(3)+T
T=TRE*HK0IM+TIM*HK0RE
IF(BIGG(4).EQ.0.DO) G0 T0 105
T0=T0+DABS(T/BIGG(4))
105 BIGG(4)=BIGG(4)+T
T=SRE*HK0RE-SIM*HK0IM
IF(BIGG(7).EQ.0.DO)G0 T0 110
T0=T0+DABS(T/BIGG(7))
110 BIGG(7)=BIGG(7)+T

```



```

T=SRE*HKØIM+SIM*HKØRE
IF(BIGG(8).EQ.0.DO)GØ TØ 115
TQ=TQ+DABS(T/BIGG(8))
115 BIGG(8)=BIGG(8)+T
FMRE=FMMRE+U
FMIM=FMMIM+V
SPRE=FMMRE*SM2RE-FMMIM*SM2IM+SM1RE+FMIM*SIM-FMRE*SRE
SPIM=FMMRE*SM2IM+FMMIM*SM2RE+SM1IM-FMIM*SRE-FMRE*SIM
TPRE=FMMRE*TM2RE-FMMIM*TM2IM+TM1RE+FMIM*TIM-FMRE*TRE
TPIM=FMMRE*TM2IM+FMMIM*TM2RE+TM1IM-FMIM*TRE-FMRE*TIM
T=TPRE*HKØRE-TPIM*HKØIM
IF(BIGG(1).EQ.0.DO)GØ TØ 120
TQ=TQ+DABS(T/BIGG(1))
120 BIGG(1)=BIGG(1)+T
T=TPRE*HKØIM+TPIM*HKØRE
IF(BIGG(2).EQ.0.DO)GØ TØ 125
TQ=TQ+DABS(T/BIGG(2))
125 BIGG(2)=BIGG(2)+T
T=SPRE*HKØRE-SPIM*HKØIM
IF(BIGG(5).EQ.0.DO)GØ TØ 130
TQ=TQ+DABS(T/BIGG(5))
130 BIGG(5)=BIGG(5)+T
T=SPRE*HKØIM+SPIM*HKØRE
IF(BIGG(6).EQ.0.DO)GØ TØ 135
TQ=TQ+DABS(T/BIGG(6))
135 BIGG(6)=BIGG(6)+T
C
C      TEST CONVERGENCE
C
IF(TQ.LT.1.D-11)GØ TØ 145
C
C      UPDATE FOR NEXT PASS
C
FMMRE=FMRE
FMMIM=FMIM
SM2RE=SM1RE
SM1RE=SRE
SRE=SPRE
SM2IM=SM1IM
SM1IM=SIM
SIM=SPIM
TM2RE=TM1RE
TM1RE=TRE
TRE=TPRE
TM2IM=TM1IM
TM1IM=TIM
TIM=TPIM
XK=XK+1.DO
T=(HKØRE*XI-HKØIM*ETA)/XK
HKØIM=(HKØRE*ETA+HKØIM*XI)/XK
HKØRE=T
140 CONTINUE
C
C      NON-CONVERGENCE ALARM
C
PRINT 1,TQ
1  FORMAT('1 GCALC NOT CONVERGED AFTER 30 TERMS-TQ='1PD20.9)

```

```
C
C      MULTIPLY BY APPROPRIATE FACTORS
C
145  CONTINUE
      T=BIGG(3)*XPXI-BIGG(4)*YPET
      BIGG(4)=BIGG(3)*YPET+BIGG(4)*XPXI
      BIGG(3)=T
      T=BIGG(5)*U-BIGG(6)*V
      BIGG(6)=BIGG(5)*V+BIGG(6)*U
      BIGG(5)=T
      T=1.00+H0Z
      BIGG(7)=T*BIGG(7)
      BIGG(8)=BIGG(8)*T
      RETURN
      END
```

II. B(3b) MODBES

The MODBES subroutine is used for real argument x to obtain the modified Bessel functions $I_0(x)$, $I_1(x)$, $K_0(x)$, and $K_1(x)$. Rational function approximations¹² are used to give $K_0(x)$ and $K_1(x)$ for all values of x . Similar rational function approximations¹² are used for $I_0(x)$ and $I_1(x)$, when x is not greater than 1.

If x is greater than 1, $I_0(x)$ and $I_1(x)$ are calculated by a backward recursion system of Clenshaw,¹³ which uses Chebyshev polynomials.

The accuracy of MODBES using the 360 computer and double precision arithmetic is at least 15 decimal digits, as checked against the 60S tables of Berger and McAllister (unpublished).¹⁴

A listing of MODBES follows.

¹²A. E. Russon and J. M. Blair, Rational Function Minimax Approximations for the Bessel Functions $K_0(x)$ and $K_1(x)$, AECL-3461, pp. 19-45 (October 1969).

¹³Y. L. Luke, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 1, pp. 327-29, Vol. 2, pp. 338-341, Academic, New York, 1969.

¹⁴B. S. Berger and H. McAllister, A Table of the Modified Bessel Functions, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.

SUBROUTINE MODBES(X,BI0,BK0,BI1,BK1)

C
C EVALUATES THE ZERO-TH AND FIRST-ORDER MODIFIED BESSEL
C FUNCTIONS IO(X), I1(X), KO(X) AND K1(X), USING RATIONAL
C FUNCTION APPROXIMATIONS GIVEN BY A. E. RUSSON AND J. M. BLAIR,

C AECL-3461, OCT. 1969.
C

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H, O-Z)

C

DIMENSION ANIO(15), CNIO(22), BNI1(15), DNI1(22)

	DATA ANIO /	127.73343	98121	811		D 00,
1		190.49432	01727	428		D 00,
2		82.48903	27440	241		D 00,
3		22.27481	92424	6223		D 00,
4		4.01167	37601	79349		D 00,
5		0.50949	33654	39982	9	D 00,
6		0.4771	87487	98174	14	D-01,
7		0.341	63317	66012	341	D-02,
8		0.19	24693	59688	1137	D-03,
9		0.87383	15496	62236D-05,		
9		0.3260	91050	57896D-06,		
9		0.101	69786	78769D-07,		
9		0.2	68828	12895D-09,		
9		0.6096	89280D-11,			
9		0.119	89083D-12 /			
	DATA CNIO /	1.00827	92054	58740		D 00,
1		0.844	51226	24920	943	D-02,
2		0.17	27006	30777	5665	D-03,
3		0.72475	91099	95896D-05,		
4		0.5135	87726	87802D-06,		
5		0.568	16965	80812D-07,		
6		0.85	13091	22285D-08,		
7		0.12	38425	36400D-08,		
8		0.	29801	67230D-10,		
9		-0.	78956	69832D-10,		
9		-0.	33127	12763D-10,		
9		-0.	4497	33864D-11,		
9		0.	1799	79030D-11,		
9		0.965	74832D-12,			
9		0.38	60424D-13,			
9		-0.	104	03934D-12,		
9		-0.23	95045D-13,			
9		0.9	55447D-14,			
9		0.4	44315D-14,-0.85864D-15,			
9		-0.	70878D-15,0.8676D-16/			
	DATA DNI1 /	129.94511	89032	386		D 00,
1		181.31261	60405	703		D 00,
2		69.39591	76337	3445		D 00,
3		16.33455	05525	2207		D 00,
4		2.57145	99063	47755		D 00,
5		0.28785	55118	04672	0	D 00,
6		0.2399	30791	47840	55	D-01,
7		0.154	30190	15627	219	D-02,
8		0.7	87567	85754	16515D-04,	
9		0.	32641	38122	30986D-05,	
9		0.1119	46284	56389D-06,		

```

9          0.32 27616 52023D-08,
9          0. 79290 55929D-10,
9          0 .1678 97282D-11,
9          0.30 95296D-13 /
DATA DNI1 / 0.97580 06023 26285 9 D 00,
1          -0.2446 74429 63276 38 D-01,
2          -0.27 72053 60763 8289 D-03,
3          -0. 97321 46728 02013D-05,
4          -0 .6297 24238 63981D-06,
5          - 0.659 61142 15424D-07,
6          -0.96 13872 91942D-08,
7          -0.14 01140 90103D-08,
8          -0. 47563 16654D-10,
9          0. 81530 68107D-10,
9          0. 35408 14832D-10,
9          0 .5102 56407D-11,
9          -0 .1804 40934D-11,
9          -0 .1023 59447D-11,
9          -0.52 67784D-13,
9          0.107 09419D-12,
9          0.26 11976D-13,
9          -0.9 56129D-14,
9          -0.4 71335D-14,0.82924D-15,
9          0. 74262D-15,-0.8045D-16/

```

C
C ANIO AND CNIO ARE CHEBYSHEV COEFFICIENTS FOR IO(X) TAKEN FROM
C TABLE 29, THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS AND THEIR APPROXIMATIONS,
C VOL. 2, BY Y.L. LUKE, PP. 338-339.
C BNI1 AND DNI1 ARE SAME FOR I1(X), TABLE 30, PP. 340-341.
C

DATA C / 0.39894 22804 01432 7D 00/

C
C IF(X.GT.1.D0) GO TO 100
C XL0G=DL0G(X)
C T=X**2

C
C APPROX. 72 FOR IO (PG. 28, AECL-3461)
C

```

Q= ((T-2.506497244587799D2)*T+2.986571316305403D4)*T
1 -1.612813630445819D6
P= (((-1.641445283729906D0*T-2.950165789295884D2)*T
1 -1.798443440941177D4)*T-3.733376944484008D5)*T
2 -1.612813630445819D6
BIO=P/Q

```

C
C APPROX. 91 FOR I1 (PG. 31, AECL-3461)
C

```

Q= ((T-1.969144862829399D2)*T+1.914147162749989D4)*T
1 -8.673265095976894D5
P= (((-9.015047822548545D0*T-1.160778051817119D3)*T
1 -4.463717103610666D4)*T-4.336632547988447D5
BI1=P*X/Q

```

C
C APPROX. 28 FOR KO(X) + LN(X) * IO(X) (PG. 19, AECL-3461)
C

```

Q=((T-2.286835794674987D2)*T+2.532571801733452D4)*T
1 -1.287566524373463D6
P=(((-3.272279992574784D0*T-5.135620533725094D2)*T
1 -2.547074686782375D4)*T-3.562729668888909D5)*T
2 -1.492695386816498D5
BK0=P/Q-XL0G*BI0

```

C

C

```

APPROX. 50 FOR (1/X)*(K1(X)-LN(X)*I1(X)-1/X) (PG. 23, AECL-3461)

```

C

```

Q=((T-2.814391575453873D2)*T+3.726429867206770D4)*T
1 -2.214937487824330D6
P=((4.812707045687844D-1*T+9.999137356742931D1)*T
1 +7.187538260408480D3)*T+1.776146795090155D5)*T
2 +6.821249019821382D5
BK1=X*P/Q+1.D0/X+XL0G*BI1
RETURN

```

C

C

```

X.GT.1

```

C

```

100 EXPX=DEXP(X)
SQRX=DSORT(X)
D=EXPX*SQRX
T=1.D0/X

```

C

C

```

APPROX. 113 FOR EXP(X)*SORT(X)*K0(X) (PG. 37, AECL-3461)

```

C

```

Q(((((((1+1.723547893760824D2)*T+3.220845810178108D3)*T
1 +1.893736743618128D4)*T+4.746183671148535D4)*T
2 +5.801727020869990D4)*T+3.667051460217709D4)*T
3 +1.201760312809182D4)*T+1.906135146578901D3)*T
4 +1.139205640609552D2
P(((((((1.030259990294628D2*T+2.781556951284448D3)*T
1 +1.927380098600572D4)*T+5.275923966621326D4)*T
2 +6.786897902962336D4)*T+4.423446358185698D4)*T
3 +1.477324772717615D4)*T+2.371138845157721D3)*T
4 +1.427782534685513D2
BK0=P/(Q*D)

```

C

C

```

APPROX. 136 FOR EXP(X)*SORT(X)*K1(X) (PG. 45, AECL-3461)

```

C

```

Q(((((((T+3.017103765395105D1)*T+2.281150283978967D2)*T
1 +6.730124200199513D2)*T+9.246057876003073D2)*T
2 +6.385720888284594D2)*T+2.244322524683622D2)*T
3 +3.767671736737387D1)*T+2.360279592776385D0
P(((((((6.982646013142394D-2*T+6.943429490437559D0)*T
1 +1.016245135256770D2)*T+5.190226276746314D2)*T
2 +1.207119908800295D3)*T+1.430398225341416D3)*T
3 +9.005826147591565D2)*T+2.986452400790679D2)*T
4 +4.833007694228656D1)*T+2.958171781643915D0
BK1=P/(Q*D)

```

C

C

```

APPROXIMATIONS FOR EXP(-X)*SORT(X)*I0(X) AND

```

C

C

C

```

APPROXIMATE I0(X) AND I1(X) FOR X.GT.1

```

C

```

USING CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS AND BACKWARD RECURSION SYSTEM
OF CLENSHAW, LUKE, VOL. 1, PP. 327-329.

```

C

```

C      IF (X.GT.8.DO) GO TO 115
C
C      CALCULATE IO(X) AND I1(X) USING T2N(X/8)
C      FOR 1.LT.X.LE.8
C
      XOVER8 = X/8.DO
      TP= XOVER8**2
      S = TP + TP - 1.000
      ALFK = - S - S
      K = 15
      BKP110 = 0.DO
      BKP210 = 0.DO
      BKP111 = 0.DO
      BKP211 = 0.DO
105  BK10 = -ALFK*BKP110 - BKP210 + ANIO(K)
      BK11 = -ALFK*BKP111 - BKP211 + BNI1(K)
      IF(K.LE.1) GO TO 110
      K = K-1
      BKP210 = BKP110
      BKP110 = BK10
      BKP211 = BKP111
      BKP111 = BK11
      GO TO 105
110  CONTINUE
      B10 = BK10 - S*BKP110
      B11 = XOVER8*(BK11 - S*BKP111)
      GO TO 130
C
C      CALCULATE IO(X) AND I1(X) FOR X.GT.8 USING TN(8/X)
C
115  CONTINUE
      EOVERX = 8.DO/X
      S = EOVERX + EOVERX - 1.DO
      ALFK = - S - S
      K = 22
      BKP110 = 0.DO
      BKP210 = 0.DO
      BKP111 = 0.DO
      BKP211 = 0.DO
120  BK10 = -ALFK*BKP110 - BKP210 + CNIO(K)
      BK11 = -ALFK*BKP111 - BKP211 + DNI1(K)
      IF(K.LE.1) GO TO 125
      K = K-1
      BKP210 = BKP110
      BKP110 = BK10
      BKP211 = BKP111
      BKP111 = BK11
      GO TO 120
125  CONTINUE
      D = EXPX*C/SORX
      B10 = BK10 - S*BKP110
      B10 = B10*D
      B11 = BK11 - S*BKP111
      B11 = B11*D
C
130  CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END

```

II. B(3c) CMBES

The CMBES subroutine is used for complex argument, $z = x+iy$. Let $R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ be the absolute value of z . For R less than or equal to 8, $K_0(z)$ and $K_1(z)$ are computed by a rational approximation method. This is done by a subroutine named COMKB. In the same range of R , $I_0(z)$ and $I_1(z)$ are calculated by backward recurrence in a subroutine named CMI. Asymptotic series in CMBES are used for R greater than 8 to obtain approximations of $I_0(z)$, $K_0(z)$, $I_1(z)$, and $K_1(z)$. Accuracy is at least 10 significant digits for these functions of a complex argument z , checking against tables for the Kelvin functions¹¹ (p. 379 and pp. 430-31), and also checking against approximate values in ORNL-TM-3295.⁹

Following is a listing of CMBES.


```

SUBROUTINE CMDBES(X,Y,F)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H, O-Z)

C
C      COMPUTES  $F(1) + J * F(2) = Z * I_0(Z)$ 
C       $F(3) + J * F(4) = Z * K_0(Z)$ 
C       $F(5) + J * F(6) = I_1(Z)$ 
C       $F(7) + J * F(8) = K_1(Z)$ 
C      OF THE COMPLEX ARGUMENT  $Z = X + J * Y$ 
C
      DIMENSION F(8)
      R=DSQRT(X*X+Y*Y)
      C1=X/R
      S1=Y/R
      PHI=DATAN(S1/C1)
      IF(R.GT.8.DO) GO TO 100

C
C      FOR R.LE.8 USE RATIONAL APPROXIMATION FOR  $K_0(Z)$  AND  $K_1(Z)$ 
C      AND BACKWARD RECURRENCE FOR  $I_0(Z)$  AND  $I_1(Z)$ 
C
      CALL COMKB(X,Y,BKZR,BKZI,BKOR,BKOI)
      F(3)=BKZR
      F(4)=BKZI
      F(7)=BKOR
      F(8)=BKOI
      CALL CMI(X,Y,BIZR,BIZI,BIOR,BIOI)
      F(1)=BIZR
      F(2)=BIZI
      F(5)=BIOR
      F(6)=BIOI
      GO TO 125

C
C      ASYMPTOTIC SERIES FOR R.GT.8
C
100  DO 105 I=2,8,2
      F(I-1)=1.DO
105  F(1)=0.DO
      ODD=1.DO
      T=1.DO
      U=1.DO
      P=1.DO
      SIGN=-1.DO
      V=.125DO/R
      C=C1
      S=S1
      L5=3+IDINT(20.DO/R)
      DO 110 N=1,L5
      S6=-ODD*ODD
      S7=V/P
      T=S7*S6*T
      U=U*(4.DO+S6)*S7
      T1=C*T
      F(1)=T1*SIGN+F(1)
      F(3)=T1+F(3)
      T1=-S*T
      F(2)=T1*SIGN+F(2)
      F(4)=T1+F(4)
      T1=C*U

```

```

F(5)=T1*SIGN+F(5)
F(7)=T1+F(7)
T1=-S*U
F(6)=T1*SIGN+F(6)
F(8)=T1+F(8)
SIGN=-SIGN
P=P+1.D0
ODD=ODD+2.D0
C2=C*C1-S*S1
S=S*C1+C*S1
C=C2
110 CONTINUE
S6=DEXP(X)
S7=1.D0/S6
T1=DSQRT(R)
S6=.39894 22804 014327D0*S6/T1
S7=1.2533 14137 3155D0*S7/T1
C
C      THE ABOVE FACTORS ARE 1/SQRT(2*PI) AND SQRT(PI/2)
C
ARG=.5D0*PHI
C=DCOS(ARG)
S=DSIN(ARG)
C
C      MULTIPLY BY COS(PHI/2) - J * SIN(PHI/2) FROM 1/SQRT(2)
C
D0 115 N=2,8,2
T=F(N-1)*C+F(N)*S
F(N)=F(N)*C-F(N-1)*S
F(N-1)=T
115 CONTINUE
C=DCOS(Y)
S=DSIN(Y)
C
C      MULTIPLY I FNS. BY S6*EXP(J*Y) AND K FNS. BY S7*EXP(-J*Y)
C
D0 120 N=1,5,4
T=F(N)*C-F(N+1)*S
F(N+1)=S6*(F(N+1)*C+F(N)*S)
F(N)=T*S6
T=F(N+2)*C+F(N+3)*S
F(N+3)=S7*(F(N+3)*C-F(N+2)*S)
F(N+2)=T*S7
120 CONTINUE
C
C      MULTIPLY ZERO-ORDER FUNCTIONS BY Z
C
125 T=X*F(1)-Y*F(2)
F(2)=F(1)*Y+F(2)*X
F(1)=T
T=X*F(3)-Y*F(4)
F(4)=F(3)*Y+F(4)*X
F(3)=T
RETURN
END

```

II. B(3ci) COMKB

The COMKB subroutine is used to obtain the modified Bessel functions, $K_0(z)$ and $K_1(z)$, for complex independent variable $z = x+iy$. The absolute value of z must not be greater than 8 to insure that the rational approximation¹⁵ method is successful.

A listing of the COMKB subroutine follows.

¹⁵Y. L. Luke, "The Special Functions and Their Approximations," p. 229 in Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 2, Academic, New York, 1969.

```
SUBROUTINE COMKB( X, Y, BKZR, BKZI, BKOR, BKOI )
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H, O-Z)
```

C
C
C
C
C
C

```
      COMPUTES THE REAL & IMAGINARY PARTS OF THE MODIFIED
      BESSEL FUNCTIONS K0(Z) & K1(Z), WHERE Z = X + I*Y,
      BY THE METHOD OF Y.L. LUKE, THE SPECIAL FUNCTIONS
      AND THEIR APPROXIMATIONS, VOL.2, PG. 229.
```

```
      DIMENSION P1ZE(16), P2ZE(16), P3ZE(16), Q1ZE(16)
```

```
      DIMENSION P10N(16), P20N(16), P30N(16), Q10N(16)
```

```
      DATA P1ZE /3*0.D0
```

```
1      , -1.59863945578D 00, -1.91851851852D 00, -2.11452134179D 00
2      , -2.24917817226D 00, -2.34787878788D 00, -2.42347617780D 00
3      , -2.48328716528D 00, -2.53181272509D 00, -2.57198288727D 00
4      , -2.60579047619D 00, -2.63463947039D 00, -2.65954815696D 00
5      , -2.68127336494D 00/
```

```
      DATA P2ZE /3*0.D0
```

```
1      , 6.32653061224D-01, 1.07407407407D 00, 1.38016528926D 00
2      , 1.60355029586D 00, 1.77333333333D 00, 1.90657439446D 00
3      , 2.01385041551D 00, 2.10204081633D 00, 2.17580340265D 00
4      , 2.23840000000D 00, 2.29218106996D 00, 2.33888228300D 00
5      , 2.37981269511D 00/
```

```
      DATA P3ZE /3*0.D0
```

```
1      , -3.40136054422D-02, -1.55555555555D-01, -2.65643447462D-01
2      , -3.54372123603D-01, -4.25454545455D-01, -4.83098216662D-01
3      , -5.30563250231D-01, -5.70228091236D-01, -6.03820515372D-01
4      , -6.32609523810D-01, -6.57541599571D-01, -6.79334126040D-01
5      , -6.98539330173D-01/
```

```
      DATA Q1ZE /3*0.D0
```

```
1      , 1.63265306122D 00, 1.38271604938D 00, 1.19008264463D 00
2      , 1.04142011834D 00, 9.24444444444D-01, 8.30449836990D-01
3      , 7.53462603878D-01, 6.89342403628D-01, 6.35160680529D-01
4      , 5.88800000000D-01, 5.48696844993D-01, 5.13674197384D-01
5      , 4.82830385016D-01/
```

```
      DATA P10N /3*0.D0
```

```
1      , -1.888888888889D 00, -2.09090909091D 00, -2.23076923077D 00
2      , -2.33333333333D 00, -2.41176470588D 00, -2.47368421053D 00
3      , -2.52380952381D 00, -2.56521739130D 00, -2.60000000000D 00
4      , -2.62962962963D 00, -2.65517241379D 00, -2.67741935484D 00
5      , -2.69696969697D 00/
```

```
      DATA P20N /3*0.D0
```

```
1      , 7.77777777778D-01, 1.18181818182D 00, 1.46153846154D 00
2      , 1.66666666667D 00, 1.82352941176D 00, 1.94736842105D 00
3      , 2.04761904762D 00, 2.13043478261D 00, 2.20000000000D 00
4      , 2.25925925926D 00, 2.31034482759D 00, 2.35483870968D 00
5      , 2.39393939394D 00/
```

```
      DATA P30N /3*0.D0
```

```
1      , 1.11111111111D-01, -9.09090909091D-02, -2.30769230769D-01
2      , -3.33333333333D-01, -4.11764705882D-01, -4.73684210526D-01
3      , -5.23809523810D-01, -5.65217391304D-01, -6.00000000000D-01
4      , -6.29629629630D-01, -6.55172413793D-01, -6.77419354839D-01
5      , -6.96969696970D-01/
```

```
      DATA Q10N /3*0.D0
```

```
1      , 1.77777777778D 00, 1.45454545455D 00, 1.23076923077D 00
2      , 1.06666666667D 00, 9.41176470588D-01, 8.42105263158D-01
3      , 7.61904761905D-01, 6.95652173913D-01, 6.40000000000D-01
4      , 5.92592592593D-01, 5.51724137931D-01, 5.16129032258D-01
5      , 4.84848484848D-01/
```

```

DATA C/1.2533141373155D 00/
DIMENSION RTEST(5), NTEST(5)
DATA RTEST/ 1.D0, 4.D0, 16.D0, 36.D0, 64.D0/
DATA NTEST/ 15, 10, 10, 6, 6/

C
C      FIND NTERM, THE NUMBER OF TERMS
C      WE ASSUME THE ARGUMENT HAS BEEN CHECKED TO INSURE THAT R.LE.8
C
      RSQ = X**2 + Y**2
      DO 100 I = 2, 5
      IT = I - 1
      IF(RSQ .LT. RTEST(I) ) GO TO 105
100  CONTINUE
105  NTERM = NTEST(IT)

C
C      INITIALIZE FK-1, FK-2, AND FK-3 FOR N=0 AND N=1
C
      FKM3RZ = 1.D0
      FKM3R0 = 1.D0
      PKM3RZ = 1.D0
      PKM3R0 = 1.D0
      FKM3IZ = 0.D0
      FKM3I0 = 0.D0
      PKM3IZ = 0.D0
      PKM3I0 = 0.D0
      HX = X*16.D0
      FKM2RZ = (HX + 9.D0)/9.D0
      PKM2RZ = (HX + 7.D0)/9.D0
      FX = X* 3.2D0
      FKM2R0 = FX + 1.D0
      PKM2R0 = FKM2R0 + 1.2D0
      FKM2I0 = Y*3.2D0
      PKM2I0 = FKM2I0
      HY = Y* 16.D0
      FKM2IZ = HY/9.D0
      PKM2IZ = FKM2IZ
      HYS = HY**2
      T = HX + 25.D0
      FKM1RZ = (HX*T + 75.D0 - HYS)/75.D0
      FKM1IZ = HY*(HX + T)/75.D0
      T = HX + 23.D0
      PKM1RZ = (HX*T + 43.D0 - HYS)/75.D0
      PKM1IZ = HY*(HX + T)/75.D0
      T = HX + 21.D0
      FKM1R0 = (HX*T + 35.D0 - HYS)/35.D0
      FKM1I0 = HY*(HX + T)/35.D0
      T = HX + 27.D0
      PKM1R0 = (HX*T + 131.D0 - HYS)/35.D0
      PKM1I0 = HY*(HX + T)/35.D0

C
C      BEGIN RECURRENCE
C
      DO 110 K = 3, NTERM
      KP1 = K + 1

C
C      CALCULATIONS OF FKRZ, FKIZ, PKRZ, AND PKIZ FOR N = 0
C

```

```

P1 = P1ZE(KP1)
P2 = P2ZE(KP1)
P3 = P3ZE(KP1)
Q1 = Q1ZE(KP1)
HX = Q1*X
HY = Q1*Y
T1 = FKM1RZ + FKM2RZ
T2 = FKM1IZ + FKM2IZ
FKRZ = HX*T1 - P1*FKM1RZ - P2*FKM2RZ - HY*T2 - P3*FKM3RZ
FKIZ = HX*T2 - P1*FKM1IZ - P2*FKM2IZ + HY*T1 - P3*FKM3IZ
FKM3RZ = FKM2RZ
FKM2RZ = FKM1RZ
FKM1RZ = FKRZ
FKM3IZ = FKM2IZ
FKM2IZ = FKM1IZ
FKM1IZ = FKIZ
T1 = PKM1RZ + PKM2RZ
T2 = PKM1IZ + PKM2IZ
PKRZ = HX*T1 - P1*PKM1RZ - P2*PKM2RZ - HY*T2 - P3*PKM3RZ
PKIZ = HX*T2 - P1*PKM1IZ - P2*PKM2IZ + HY*T1 - P3*PKM3IZ
PKM3RZ = PKM2RZ
PKM2RZ = PKM1RZ
PKM1RZ = PKRZ
PKM3IZ = PKM2IZ
PKM2IZ = PKM1IZ
PKM1IZ = PKIZ

```

C
C
C

CALCULATIONS OF FKR0, FK10, PKR0, AND PK10 FOR N = 1

```

P1 = P10N(KP1)
P2 = P20N(KP1)
P3 = P30N(KP1)
Q1 = Q10N(KP1)
HX = Q1*X
HY = Q1*Y
T1 = FKM1R0 + FKM2R0
T2 = FKM1I0 + FKM2I0
FKR0 = HX*T1 - P1*FKM1R0 - P2*FKM2R0 - HY*T2 - P3*FKM3R0
FKI0 = HX*T2 - P1*FKM1I0 - P2*FKM2I0 + HY*T1 - P3*FKM3I0
FKM3R0 = FKM2R0
FKM2R0 = FKM1R0
FKM1R0 = FKR0
FKM3I0 = FKM2I0
FKM2I0 = FKM1I0
FKM1I0 = FK10
T1 = PKM1R0 + PKM2R0
T2 = PKM1I0 + PKM2I0
PKR0 = HX*T1 - P1*PKM1R0 - P2*PKM2R0 - HY*T2 - P3*PKM3R0
PKI0 = HX*T2 - P1*PKM1I0 - P2*PKM2I0 + HY*T1 - P3*PKM3I0
PKM3R0 = PKM2R0
PKM2R0 = PKM1R0
PKM1R0 = PKR0
PKM3I0 = PKM2I0
PKM2I0 = PKM1I0
PKM1I0 = PKI0

```

110 CONTINUE

```

C
C      EVALUATE CONSTANT TERM FOR K0(Z) AND K1(Z)
C      C IS SQUARE ROOT OF PI/2
C
      X2 = -X
      EMX = DEXP(X2)
      D = DSQRT(RSQ)
      C2 = EMX*C/D
      SR = DCOS(Y)
      TI = - DSIN(Y)
      IF(Y.NE.0.D0) GO TO 120
      IF(X.GE.0.D0) GO TO 115
      HI = DSQRT(X2)
      GR = 0.D0
      GO TO 125
115  GR = DSQRT(X)
      HI = 0.D0
      GO TO 125
120  GR = DSQRT((X + D)/2.D0)
      HI = DSQRT((X2 + D)/2.D0)
      IF(Y.LT.0.D0)GO TO 125
      HI=-HI
125  AR = C2*(GR*SR - HI*TI)
      BI = C2*(HI*SR + GR*TI)
C
C      CALCULATE K0(Z) = BKZR + BKZI*I
C
      DEN = FKRZ**2 + FKIZ**2
      UR = (PKRZ*FKRZ + PKIZ*FKIZ)/DEN
      VI = (PKIZ*FKRZ - PKRZ*FKIZ)/DEN
      BKZR = AR*UR - BI*VI
      BKZI = BI*UR + AR*VI
C
C      CALCULATE K1(Z) = BK0R + BK0I*I
C
      DEN = FKR0**2 + FKIO**2
      UR = (PKR0*FKR0 + PKIO*FKIO)/DEN
      VI = (PKIO*FKR0 - PKR0*FKIO)/DEN
      BK0R = AR*UR - BI*VI
      BK0I = BI*UR + AR*VI
      RETURN
      END

```

II. B(3cii) CMI

The CMI subroutine is used to obtain the modified Bessel functions, $I_0(z)$ and $I_1(z)$, for a complex independent variable $z = x+iy$. A backward recurrence method is used, which is derived from the recurrence relations (9.6.26),¹¹ p. 376.

A listing of the CMI program follows.


```

SUBROUTINE CM1(X,Y,BIZR,BIZI,BI0R,BI0I)
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H, 0-Z)

C
C      COMPUTES THE REAL AND IMAGINARY PARTS OF THE MODIFIED BESSEL
C      FUNCTIONS OF THE FIRST KIND, IO(Z) AND I1(Z),  $Z = X + I*Y$ , BY
C      BACKWARD RECURRENCE
C
      DIMENSION FRE(52),FIM(52)
      DATA A,B/1.D-35,1.D-35/

C
C      CALCULATE N,THE NUMBER OF TERMS
C
      R=DSQRT(X**2+Y**2)
      IF(R.LT.7.D0)G0 T0 100
      N=30
      G0 T0 130
100  IF(R.LE.6.D0)G0 T0 105
      ZN=5.D0*R-4.5D0
      G0 T0 125
105  IF(R.LT.3.D0)G0 T0 110
      N=25
      G0 T0 130
110  IF(R.LE.2.D0)G0 T0 115
      ZN=5.D0*R+10.5D0
      G0 T0 125
115  IF(R.LT.1.D0)G0 T0 120
      N=20
      G0 T0 130
120  ZN=15.D0*R+5.5D0
125  N=ZN
130  CONTINUE

C
C      COMPUTE U AND V, REAL AND IMAG PARTS OF  $2/Z$ 
C
      T=Y/X
      U=2.D0/(T*Y+X)
      V=-U*T

C
C      INITIALIZE
C
      FRE(N+2)=0.D0
      FIM(N+2)=0.D0
      SRE=A
      FRE(N+1)=A
      SIM=B
      FIM(N+1)=B
      XN=DFLOAT(N)
      TNU0ZR=XN*U
      TNU0ZI=XN*V
      NU=N+1

C
C      BACKWARD RECURRENCE
C
      D0 135 K=1,N
      FRE(NU-1)=FRE(NU+1)+TNU0ZR*FRE(NU)-TNU0ZI*FIM(NU)
      SRE=FRE(NU-1)+SRE

```

```

FIM(NU-1)=FIM(NU+1)+TNU0ZR*FIM(NU)+TNU0ZI*FRE(NU)
SIM=FIM(NU-1)+SIM
NU=NU-1
TNU0ZR=TNU0ZR-U
TNU0ZI=TNU0ZI-V
135 CONTINUE
C
C      ADJUST SUM
C
SRE=SRE+SRE-FRE(1)
SIM=SIM+SIM-FIM(1)
T1=SIM/SRE
U1=1.00/(T1*SIM+SRE)
V1=-U1*T1
C
C      NORMALIZE
C
EX=DEXP(X)
SY=DSIN(Y)
CY=DCOS(Y)
FANRE=EX*(CY*U1-SY*V1)
FANIM=EX*(CY*V1+SY*U1)
BIZR=FANRE*FRE(1)-FANIM*FIM(1)
DIZI=FANRE*FIM(1)+FANIM*FRE(1)
BI0R=FANRE*FRE(2)-FANIM*FIM(2)
BI0I=FANRE*FIM(2)+FANIM*FRE(2)
RETURN
END

```

III. EXECUTION OF INNMUL

A. TELINC.F⁴ Program

We shall give some comments and instructions for the execution of the INNMUL subroutine. It must be used on the IBM/360 computer because of the critical importance of the real*8 arithmetic.

The program may be submitted with data to the IBM/360 computer from a user's teletype via the PDP-10 computer. The results of the calculation may then be stored on the PDP-10 user's disk file to be printed on the user's teletype.

However, INNMUL and its subroutines are residing on a disk pack, which is a part of the Direct Access Storage of the IBM/360 computer. Therefore, the program may be submitted in any other convenient way to the 360, for example, by card job deck. One may also obtain the usual print-out from the high-speed printer.

When the INNMUL program and its DRIVER are submitted to the 360 computer for execution, the data which are to be processed must be included in a data file. This data file is read by the DRIVER program during execution on the 360, and must be in a certain required format, corresponding to card-columns.

The TELINC.F⁴ program was written for help to the user in preparing this data file at the teletype. It allows the user to give the data at the teletype in free form, rather than spaced out exactly to correct card-image format. The user types the data numbers, separated by commas, in response to the program as it executes interactively on the PDP-10. The TELINC.F⁴ program then creates the necessary data file in correct format and stores it on the user's disk. On the user's disk this data file is then ready to be submitted via the PDP-10 with the INNMUL program and its DRIVER to the IBM/360 for execution.

A listing of the TELINC.F⁴ program follows. The next section will be a description of data requirements.

```

C
C      PROGRAM TO PREPARE DATA FOR RUN ON SUBROUTINE INNMUL
C
C      IT IS POSSIBLE TO CONTROL PRINTOUT DURING USE OF
C      THIS INTERACTIVE PROGRAM BY CHOOSING '-1' AT THE FIRST
C      REQUEST FOR DATA FROM THE TELETYPE. FURTHER, ONE MAY
C      TYPE CONTROL '0' AT ANY TIME IF THE NEXT MOVE FROM THE
C      TELETYPE IS ALREADY KNOWN BY THE PERSON USING THE INTER-
C      ACTIVE PROGRAM. IN ADDITION TO THIS, IN VERIFYING PLAYED
C      BACK DATA, JUST A <CR> IS ENOUGH TO ACCEPT IT AS OK,
C      WITHOUT FIRST TYPING '1' AS THE INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDE.
C
C
C      PROGRAM TO RECEIVE FROM TELETYPEWRITER DATA FOR NORMALIZED
C      COIL IMPEDANCE PROGRAM IN FREE FORMAT. DATA WILL BE PREPARED
C      IN ANOTHER FILE TO BE READ IN FORMAT BY PROBLEM PROGRAM.
C
      REAL*4 L,MU,MU2,L1
      DATA PI/3.1415 9265E0/,CONST1/0.0254E0/
      DATA MU/4.0E-7/
      DIMENSION R(10),EM(10),PERM(10),RH0(10)
      MU=MU*PI
      IFILE=1
      WRITE(IFILE,1)
1     FORMAT('//G0.FT50FOO1 DD *')
      TYPE 2
2     FORMAT('  PROGRAM FOR CREATING DATA FILE ON USER''S DISK'/
1'   FOR USE OF SUBROUTINE INNMUL TO CALCULATE COIL IMPEDANCE(N)'
2'   FOR CASE OF A COIL INSIDE A TUBE OF SEVERAL CONDUCTORS'//
3'   FOR A MINIMUM OF EXPLICIT INSTRUCTIONS FOR TYPING IN DATA, '//
4'   TYPE '-1'; FOR MORE EXPLICIT PRINTOUT TYPE '1'//
5'   FOLLOW UP ALL TYPED DATA WITH CARRIAGE RETURN <CR>'// )
3     FORMAT('  IN ORDER TO VERIFY PLAYED BACK DATA, '//
1'   TYPE '1' FOLLOWED BY <CR> EVERY TIME'//
2'   OR '-1' IF DATA NEEDS TO BE RETYPED'//
      ACCEPT 4, IMORE
4     FORMAT(2I)
      TYPE 3
      IF(IMORE.LT.0) GO TO 100
      TYPE 5
5     FORMAT( 20X,'NORMALIZED COIL IMPEDANCE PROGRAM:'//
1 16X,'COIL INSIDE COAXIAL CYLINDRICAL CONDUCTORS'//
2 12X,'PLEASE TYPE IN THE DATA AS THEY WILL BE REQUESTED;'//14X,
3 'THE VARIABLES TO BE REQUIRED ARE FIRST DEFINED:'//
      TYPE 6
6     FORMAT(
1'  FIRST DATA IS READ FOR THE COIL AND ITS DIMENSIONS'//
2'  IAIR.GT.0 MEANS AIR VALUE MUST BE GIVEN AS DATA'//
3'  IAIR=0 IF AIR VALUE NORMALIZATION FACTOR IS NOT GIVEN DATA'//
4'  IAIR.LT.0 FOR THE END OF ANY ADDITIONAL COILS - END OF DATA'//
5'  NORMAL=1 MEANS THE COIL DIMENSIONS ARE GIVEN NORMALIZED DATA'//
6'  A9=AIR VALUE FACTOR FOR NORMALIZATION OF COIL IMPEDANCE'//
      TYPE 7
7     FORMAT('  DATA SHOULD BE TYPED WITH COMMAS TO SEPARATE NUMBERS'//)
      TYPE 3
100    CONTINUE
      NC0IL=0

```

```

105  TYPE 8
8    FORMAT(' TYPE IN IAIR, NORMAL'//)
    ACCEPT 4,IAIR,NORMAL
    IF(IAIR.EQ.0) IAIR=1
    TYPE 9,IAIR,NORMAL
9    FORMAT(' IAIR ='15,' NORMAL ='15//)
    IF(IMORE.LT.0) GO TO 110
    TYPE 10
10   FORMAT(' FOR VERIFICATION OF DATA,TYPE '1' FOR O.K.'//
1'   TYPE '-1' FOR DATA TO BE RETYPED'//
2'   DO THE SAME PROCESS FOR THE REST OF THE DATA INPUT'//)
110  ACCEPT 4,I0K
    IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 105
    WRITE(IFILE,11) IAIR,NORMAL
11   FORMAT(4I5)
    IF(IAIR.LT.0)GO TO 230
    NCASE=0
115  TYPE 12
12   FORMAT(' TYPE IN A9'//)
    ACCEPT 13,A9
13   FORMAT(4E)
    TYPE 14,A9
14   FORMAT(' A9 ='E15.8//)
    ACCEPT 4,I0K
    IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 115
    WRITE(IFILE,15) A9
15   FORMAT(SD15.8)
    IF(IMORE.LT.0) GO TO 120
    TYPE 16
16   FORMAT(' NEXT DATA IS READ FOR THE COIL GEOMETRY -'//
1'   R1=INNER COIL RADIUS (INCHES OR NORMALIZED)'//
2'   R2=OUTER COIL RADIUS (INCHES OR NORMALIZED)'//
3'   L=LENGTH OF COIL (INCHES OR NORMALIZED)'//
4'   L1=DISTANCE FROM BOTTOM OF COIL TO Z=0 PLANE (INCHES OR NORM)'//
5'   IF L1 IS NOT 0, THEN L IS DISTANCE FROM TOP OF COIL TO Z=0 '//
6'   NORMALIZATION OF COIL AND CONDUCTORS IS BY MEAN COIL RADIUS'//)
120  NC0IL=NC0IL+1
125  TYPE 17
17   FORMAT(' TYPE IN R1,R2,L,L1'//)
    ACCEPT 13,R1,R2,L,L1
    L=L-L1
    L1=0.00
    ØR1=R1
    ØR2=R2
    ØL=L
    TYPE 18,R1,R2,L,L1
18   FORMAT(' GIVEN DATA R1 ='E15.8,' R2 ='E15.8,/'
115X,'L ='E15.8,' L1 ='E15.8//)
    ACCEPT 4,I0K
    IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 125
    IF(NORMAL.NE.0)GO TO 130
    RBAR=(R1+R2)*0.500
    R1=R1/RBAR
    R2=R2/RBAR
    L=L/RBAR
130  TYPE 19,NC0IL,R1,R2,L,A9
19   FORMAT(' NORMALIZED DATA FOR COIL NO.'13,' WILL BE '//)

```

```

1      6X,'INNER COIL RADIUS (R1) =',F15.9/
2 6X,'OUTER COIL RADIUS (R2) =',F15.9/
3 13X,'COIL LENGTH (L) =',F15.9
4 /14X,'AIR VALUE (A9) =',F15.9/)
ACCEPT 4,I0K
IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 125
WRITE (IFILE,15)0R1,0R2,0L
IF (IM0RE.LT.0) GO TO 135
TYPE 20
20  FORMAT(
1' NEXT SET OF DATA GIVES INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONDUCTORS'/
2' N=NUMBER OF CONDUCTORS'/
3' N.LT.0 MEANS END OF ANY ADDITIONAL CASES WITH GIVEN COIL DATA'/
4' IHAVEM=1 MEANS DATA IS GIVEN FOR EM=0MEGA*MU*SIGMA*RBARS0'/
5' IHAVEM=0 MEANS EM MUST BE CALCULATED BY THIS FORMULA '/
6' IHAVEM=-1 MEANS EM MUST BE CALCULATED FROM RESISTIVITY'/)
135 CONTINUE
TYPE 21
21  FORMAT(' TYPE IN N,IHAVEM'/)
ACCEPT 4,N,IHAVEM
TYPE 22,N,IHAVEM
22  FORMAT(' N ='13,' IHAVEM ='13/)
ACCEPT 4,I0K
IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 135
WRITE(IFILE,11)N,IHAVEM
IF(N.LE.0)GO TO 105
NCASE=NCASE+1
IF(IHAVEM.GT.0)GO TO 190
IF(IM0RE.LT.0)GO TO 140
TYPE 23
23  FORMAT(
1' FREQ IS FREQUENCY OF THE DRIVING CURRENT (KHZ)'/
2' 0MEGA IS ANGULAR FREQUENCY OF THE DRIVING CURRENT,=2PI*FREQ*E3'
3/' 0MEGA WILL BE CALCULATED IN PROGRAM FROM FREQ GIVEN AS DATA'/
4' MEAN COIL RADIUS RBAR MUST BE GIVEN IN INCHES WITH DATA'/
5' IF IHAVEM.LT.0 AND NORMAL.NE.0'/)
140 TYPE 24
24  FORMAT(' TYPE IN FREQ'/)
ACCEPT 13,FREQ
0MEGA=2.0D3*PI*FREQ
TYPE 25,FREQ,0MEGA
25  FORMAT(' FREQ ='E15.8,' 0MEGA ='D15.8/)
ACCEPT 4,I0K
IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 140
WRITE (IFILE,15) FREQ
IF(N0RMAL.EQ.0) GO TO 150
145 TYPE 26
26  FORMAT(' TYPE IN RBAR'/)
ACCEPT 13,RBAR
TYPE 27,RBAR
27  FORMAT(' RBAR ='E15.8/)
ACCEPT 4,I0K
IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 145
WRITE (IFILE,15) RBAR
150 IF(IHAVEM.LT.0) GO TO 170
CONST=(RBAR*CONST1)**2
IF(IM0RE.LT.0) GO TO 155
TYPE 28

```

```

28  FORMAT(
1'  EM(I) IS THIS VALUE FOR EACH CONDUCTOR I=1 TO N' /
2'  SIGMA(I) IS CONDUCTIVITY FOR EACH CONDUCTOR IF IHAVEM=0' /
3'  PERM(I) IS RELATIVE PERMEABILITY OF EACH CONDUCTOR' /)
    TYPE 29
29  FORMAT(
1'  R(I) IS THE INNER RADIUS OF EACH CONDUCTOR (INCHES OR NORM.)' /
2'  BE SURE TO GIVE CONDUCTOR RADII AS NORMALIZED, IF ' /
3'  COIL DIMENSIONS WERE GIVEN AS NORMALIZED ORIGINALLY' / )
155 DO 165 I=1,N
160 TYPE 30,I
30  FORMAT(' TYPE IN R,SIGMA,PERM FOR CONDUCTOR NO.' I3/)
    ACCEPT 13,R(I),SIGMA,PERM(I)
    MU2=MU*PERM(I)
    TYPE 31,I,R(I),MU2,SIGMA,PERM(I)
31  FORMAT(' FOR CONDUCTOR NO.' I3/5X,' R =',E15.8,3X,
1' MU =',E15.8/' SIGMA =',E15.8,' PERM =',E15.8/)
    ACCEPT 4,I0K
    IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 160
    EM(I)=0MEGA*MU*SIGMA*CONST*PERM(I)
    TYPE 32,EM(I)
32  FORMAT(' THESE DATA GIVE EM =',E15.8/)
    ACCEPT 4,I0K
    IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 160
    WRITE(IFILE,15)R(I),SIGMA,PERM(I)
165 CONTINUE
    GO TO 205

C
C      NUMBER 0.5093979E3 IS THE PRODUCT OF CONVERSION FACTORS,
C      (2*PI*E3)*(4*PI*E-7)*(E8)*(0.0254**2)
C
170 CONST=0.5093979E3*RBAR*RBAR*FREQ
    IF(IMORE.LT.0)GO TO 175
    TYPE 33
33  FORMAT(' RH0(I) IS RESISTIVITY FOR EACH CONDUCTOR
1 (MICROHM-CM)' /)
175 DO 185 I=1,N
180 TYPE 34,I
34  FORMAT(' TYPE IN R,RH0,PERM FOR CONDUCTOR NO.',I3/)
    ACCEPT 13,R(I),RH0(I),PERM(I)
    TYPE 35,R(I),RH0(I),PERM(I)
35  FORMAT(' R=',E15.8,' RH0=',E15.8,' PERM=',E15.8/)
    ACCEPT 4,I0K
    IF(I0K.LT.0)GO TO 180
    ZEM=CONST*PERM(I)/RH0(I)
    TYPE 32,ZEM
    ACCEPT 4,I0K
    IF(I0K.LT.0)GO TO 180
    EM(I)=ZEM
    WRITE(IFILE,15)R(I),RH0(I),PERM(I)
185 CONTINUE
    GO TO 205
190 DO 200 I=1,N
195 TYPE 36,I
36  FORMAT(' TYPE IN R,EM,PERM FOR CONDUCTOR NO.' I3/)
    ACCEPT 13,R(I),EM(I),PERM(I)
    TYPE 37,R(I),EM(I),PERM(I)

```

```

37  FORMAT(' R ='E15.8,' EM ='E15.8,
1' PERM ='E15.8/)
    ACCEPT 4,I0K
    IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 195
    WRITE(IFILE,15) R(I),EM(I),PERM(I)
200 CONTINUE
205 CONTINUE
    TYPE 38,NCASE,NC0IL
38  FORMAT(6X,'CASE N0.',I3,' OF C0IL N0.',I3/)
    TYPE 39,N
39  FORMAT(I13,' CONDUCTORS OUTSIDE C0IL'/5X,'INNER RADIUS'
1' ,I0X,'M',I1X,'PERMEABILITY')
    IF(N0RMAL.NE.0)GO TO 215
    DO 210 I=1,N
210 R(I)=R(I)/R0AR
215 DO 220 K=1,N
    TYPE 40,K,R(K),EM(K),PERM(K)
40  FORMAT(I3,3E15.6)
220 CONTINUE
    TYPE 41
41  FORMAT(/)
    ACCEPT 4,I0K
    IF(I0K.LT.0) GO TO 225
    GO TO 135
225 TYPE 42
42  FORMAT(' IRRETRIEVABLE ERRORS IN DATA, MUST RED0 IT ALL'/)
    GO TO 240
230 TYPE 43
43  FORMAT(6X,'END 0F DATA FOR CASES TO BE RUN 0N INN0UL PR0GRAM'/)
235 WRITE(IFILE,44)
44  FORMAT('/*'/ '//')
    ENDFILE IFILE
240 STOP
    END

```


III. (B) Data Description

The data are defined for the TELINC.F⁴ program in the same order that the DRIVER program requires them for execution of INNMUL. The FORTRAN names of the variables, with their meanings and units, if any, will be given below. The format specifications apply only to the final form of the data file when it is submitted with INNMUL and its DRIVER to the IBM/360 for execution. The outline of data entry given in Fig. 13 corresponds to the order given for the lines on the teletype, or card-image equivalents.

First, data are given for a coil and its dimensions.

Fig. 13. Flow Outline of Data for the Program DRIVER.

Line 1:

IAIR,NORMAL

Format (2I5)*

IAIR (a flag) > 0 if A9 is to be read as data;
 = 0 if A9 is to be calculated by the INNMUL program;
 < 0 if input data are ended and no new coil data are
 to be given.

NORMAL (a flag) = 0 if the coil dimensions are given in inches, or
 some other unit;
 = 1 if coil dimensions are given in normalized form,
 that is, divided by the mean coil radius.

Line 1a:

A9

Format (D15.8)**

A9 is the air value factor for normalization of the coil impedance. In practice, it is usually calculated by another program, called AIRCO.⁹

Line 2:

R1,R2,L,L1

Format (4D15.8)**

R1 is the inner coil radius.

R2 is the outer coil radius.

L is the distance to the top of the coil from the z=0 plane.

L1 is the distance to the bottom of the coil from the z=0 plane.

Alternatively, L is conveniently given as the length of the coil, and then L1=0 is given. Only the length of the coil is actually needed by the program.

The value given to the flag NORMAL dictates units used. If inches are not used for unnormalized dimensions, caution must be exercised in other data requiring mean coil radius. Must use IHAVEM > 0.

The next set of data gives information about the conductors.

Line 3:

N, IHAVEM

Format (2I5)*

N is the number of conductors in one set of conductor data.

* All values with an I5 format should be entered right-justified as an integer, no decimal point, in a field of 5 places, with as many blanks as needed on the left to fill up the 5 places. 2I5 is used for two such fields, making 10 spaces in all.

xx All values with a D15.8 format should be entered as a number with decimal point in a field of 15 places. The D exponent form should be used, positioned so that the exponent is right-justified in the 15-place field. 3D15.8 or 4D15.8 allows 3 or 4 such fields, respectively, making 45 or 60 spaces.

$N \leq 0$ indicates that no more sets of conductor data are to be given. Then recycle to Line 1 for a new coil and then further conductors for that coil.

IHAVEM (flag) indicates how the quantities, $\omega\mu\sigma\bar{r}_m^2$, are to be given for the conductors (see sect. IIA of this appendix).

IHAVEM > 0 indicates that the quantities will be given directly;
 = 0 indicates that those quantities will be calculated from other data including σ ;
 < 0 indicates the quantities will be calculated from other data, using resistivity, ρ rather than σ .

Line 4: (used if IHAVEM > 0)

Use as many lines as the number N of conductors.

R(I),EM(I),PERM(I) Format (3D15.8)**

R(I) is the inner coil radius of conductor I. It is given according to the flag NORMAL which was given as part of the coil data.

The radii are given in descending order of size. All radii will be greater than one if they are normalized when given as data.

EM(I) is the quantity $\omega\mu\sigma\bar{r}_m^2$ for conductor I.

PERM(I) is the relative permeability, μ_{REL} , for conductor I.

Then recycle to line 3 for another set of conductors or to indicate end of data for this coil.

Line 5: (used if IHAVEM ≤ 0)

FREQ Format (D15.8)**

FREQ is the frequency of the driving current given in kilohertz.
 The angular frequency is calculated to be $\omega = 2\pi \times (\text{FREQ}) \times 10^3$.

Line 5a: (use if IHAVEM ≤ 0 and NORMAL = 1)

RBAR Format (D15.8)**

RBAR is the mean coil radius in inches. This is needed since coil data is given as normalized and hence RBAR is not calculated by the program.

Line 6: (used if IHAVEM = 0)

Use as many lines as the number N of conductors.

R(I),SIGMA(I),PERM(I) Format (3D15.8)**

R(I) and PERM(I) are the same as Line 4.

SIGMA(I) is the conductivity, σ , of conductor I, in mhos/m.

Then recycle to Line 3 for another set of conductors, or to indicate the end of data for this coil.

Line 6a: (used if IHAVEM < 0)

Use as many lines as the number N of conductors.

R(I),RHO(I),PERM(I) Format (3D15.8)**

R(I) and PERM(I) are the same as Line 4.

RHO(I) is the resistivity, ρ , for each conductor, in $\mu\Omega\text{-cm}$.

Then recycle to Line 3 for another set of conductors, or to indicate the end of data for this coil.

III. (C) Sample Data and Results

In relation to the PDP-10, there are four steps to processing data with INNMUL by remote methods. Each step is a response to the Monitor symbol ".", which appears at the left of a new line when in Monitor Mode. A sample teletype printout may be seen at the end of this section.

(1.) .EXECUTE TELINC.F4

Then the user responds from the keyboard, with the input data.

(2.) .TYPE FOR01.DAT

Then the user may inspect the typed-out data block that has been created in the preceding step, to see if it is satisfactory. Changes may be made using TECO.

```
(3.) .R SUBMIT
      *=CVDSAV.JCL
      *-USEINN.F4
      */*
      *=AZZZZZ.JCL
      *=FOR01.DAT
      *ENDINPUT
```

This step submits the job to the IMB/360 computer. After it has had time to run, the next step is to type out the results.

(4.) .TYPE CVDC.PRT

Some sample cases have been submitted in this manner, using three different coils from the work being done.

The first coil to be used has an air value, A9, given as 0.0463502. The dimensions, given in unnormalized form, are R1 = 0.350, R2 = 0.360, and L = 13.625. There are three conductors outside the coil, and data

for them are to be given with resistivity, indicated by the flag, -1. The frequency is 24.7, and the radius, resistivity, and relative permeability are given for the three conductors. The three radii are 1.813, 0.3925, and 0.3625. The three resistivities are 16.57, 142.9, 16.57. The three permeabilities are all one.

Looking again at the sample data, another case is done using the same coil. Again there are three conductors outside the coil, and this time the data are to include the M value for each conductor, as indicated by the flag of one. Then the radius, M value, and relative permeability are given for each conductor. The three M values are 95.694792, 0, and 95.694792.

No more cases are given in this data block for this coil, as signalled by a value of -1 for the number of conductors. The next coil has an air value of 8.45005×10^{-4} , and unnormalized given dimensions, $R1 = 0.27625$, $R2 = 0.27875$, and $L = 2.0625$. There are three conductors outside the coil, and radius, resistivity, and permeability are given for each conductor as well as the frequency of 20 kHz. The three radii are 0.376, 0.375, and 0.356. The three resistivities are 10, 70, and $80 \mu\Omega\text{-cm}$. The three relative permeabilities are 1, 10^3 , and 1.

The third coil which is given in the sample data block has an air value 0.117776, and this time the coil dimensions are given in normalized form. These normalized values are $R1 = 0.97761194$, $R2 = 1.0223881$, and $L = 38.80597$. The first case consists of two conductors, with normalized radii, M value, and relative permeability given for each conductor. The radii are 1.201493 and 1.08209. The two M values are 3.778399 and 2.874167. The relative permeabilities are both one.

The second case of the third sample coil is the same as the first, with data presented differently. Data for the two conductors are to be given with resistivity, indicated by a flag of -1. Then the frequency is given, 10 kHz, and also the mean coil radius in inches, 0.335, since the coil was given as already normalized. This is followed by the radius, resistivity, and relative permeability for each conductor. The two resistivities are 151.3 and $19.89 \mu\Omega\text{-cm}$. The relative permeabilities are both one.

Again, no more cases are to be submitted for the third coil, as indicated by -1 for the number of conductors. The data are closed out when another -1 is given as the first datum for another possible coil.

The teletype listings follow for this sample. They show the four steps involved, and the data file with results of the execution of the program.

(1.)

```

EXECUTE TELINC.F4
FORTRAN: TELINC.F4

```

(Interactive preparation of data block.)

(2.)

```

TYPE FOR01.DAT
//G0.FT50F001 DD *
      1      0
0.46350200D-01
0.35000000D+00 0.36000000D+00 0.13625000D+02
      3      -1
0.24700000D+02
0.18130000D+01 0.16570000D+02 0.10000000D+01
0.39250000D+00 0.14290000D+03 0.10000000D+01
0.36250000D+00 0.16570000D+02 0.10000000D+01
      3      1
0.18130000D+01 0.95694792D+02 0.10000000D+01
0.39250000D+00 0.00000000D+00 0.10000000D+01
0.36250000D+00 0.95694792D+02 0.10000000D+01
      -1      0
      1      0
0.84500501D-03
0.27625000D+00 0.27875000D+00 0.20625000D+01
      3      -1
0.20000000D+02
0.37600000D+00 0.10000000D+02 0.10000000D+01
0.37500000D+00 0.70000000D+02 0.10000000D+04
0.35600000D+00 0.80000000D+02 0.10000000D+01
      -1      0
      1      1
0.11777600D+00
0.97761194D+00 0.10223881D+01 0.38805970D+02
      2      1
0.12014930D+01 0.37783990D+01 0.10000000D+01
0.10820900D+01 0.28741670D+02 0.10000000D+01
      2      -1
0.10000000D+02
0.33500000D+00
0.12014930D+01 0.15130000D+03 0.10000000D+01
0.10820900D+01 0.19890000D+02 0.10000000D+01
      -1      0
      -1      0
/*
//

```

(3.)

.R SUBMIT

*=CVDSAV.JCL

*=USEINN.F4

**/*

*=AZZZZZ.JCL

*=F0R01.DAT

*ENDINPUT

JOB QUEUED FOR THE 360/91

.

(4.)

TYPE CVDC.PRI

SUMMARY OUTPUT FOR COIL NO. 1

INNER COIL RADIUS (R1) = 0.985915493

OUTER COIL RADIUS (R2) = 1.014084507

COIL LENGTH (L) = 38.380281690

AIR VALUE (A9) = 0.046350200

CASE NO. 1 OF COIL NO. 1

3 CONDUCTORS OUTSIDE COIL

	INNER RADIUS	M	PERMEABILITY	RESISTIVITY
1	5.107042D 00	9.569479D 01	1.000000D 00	1.657000D 01
2	1.105634D 00	1.109631D 01	1.000000D 00	1.429000D 02
3	1.021127D 00	9.569479D 01	1.000000D 00	1.657000D 01

NORMALIZED COIL IMPEDANCE

NORMALIZED REAL PART = 1.622770D-01

NORMALIZED IMAG PART = 1.559747D-01

MAGNITUDE = 2.250820D-01

PHASE(RADIANS) = 7.655979D-01

PHASE(DEGREES) = 4.386553D 01

CASE NO. 2 OF COIL NO. 1

3 CONDUCTORS OUTSIDE COIL

	INNER RADIUS	M	PERMEABILITY	RESISTIVITY
1	5.107042D 00	9.569479D 01	1.000000D 00	
2	1.105634D 00	0.0	1.000000D 00	
3	1.021127D 00	9.569479D 01	1.000000D 00	

NORMALIZED COIL IMPEDANCE

NORMALIZED REAL PART = 2.117890D-01

NORMALIZED IMAG PART = 1.384353D-01

MAGNITUDE = 2.530196D-01

PHASE(RADIANS) = 5.789348D-01

PHASE(DEGREES) = 3.317052D 01

SUMMARY OUTPUT FOR COIL NO. 2

INNER COIL RADIUS (R1) = 0.995495495

OUTER COIL RADIUS (R2) = 1.004504505

COIL LENGTH (L) = 7.432432432

AIR VALUE (A9) = 0.000845005

CASE NO. 1 OF COIL NO. 2

3 CONDUCTORS OUTSIDE COIL

	INNER RADIUS	M	PERMEABILITY	RESISTIVITY
1	1.354955D 00	7.845364D 01	1.000000D 00	1.000000D 01
2	1.351351D 00	1.120766D 04	1.000000D 03	7.000000D 01
3	1.282883D 00	9.806706D 00	1.000000D 00	8.000000D 01

NORMALIZED COIL IMPEDANCE

NORMALIZED REAL PART = 1.670453D-01

NORMALIZED IMAG PART = 8.960407D-01

MAGNITUDE = 9.114785D-01

PHASE(RADIANS) = 1.386486D 00

PHASE(DEGREES) = 7.943980D 01

SUMMARY OUTPUT FOR COIL NO. 3

INNER COIL RADIUS (R1) = 0.977611940

OUTER COIL RADIUS (R2) = 1.022388100

COIL LENGTH (L) = 38.805970000

AIR VALUE (A9) = 0.117776000

CASE NO. 1 OF COIL NO. 3

2 CONDUCTORS OUTSIDE COIL

	INNER RADIUS	M	PERMEABILITY	RESISTIVITY
1	1.201493D 00	3.778399D 00	1.000000D 00	
2	1.082090D 00	2.874167D 01	1.000000D 00	

NORMALIZED COIL IMPEDANCE

NORMALIZED REAL PART = 2.340780D-01

NORMALIZED IMAG PART = 3.563222D-01

MAGNITUDE = 4.263309D-01

PHASE(RADIANS) = 9.895662D-01

PHASE(DEGREES) = 5.669796D 01

CASE NO. 2 OF COIL NO. 3

2 CONDUCTORS OUTSIDE COIL

	INNER RADIUS	M	PERMEABILITY	RESISTIVITY
1	1.201493D 00	3.778399D 00	1.000000D 00	1.513000D 02
2	1.082090D 00	2.874167D 01	1.000000D 00	1.989000D 01

NORMALIZED COIL IMPEDANCE

NORMALIZED REAL PART = 2.340780D-01

NORMALIZED IMAG PART = 3.563222D-01

MAGNITUDE = 4.263309D-01

PHASE(RADIANS) = 9.895662D-01

PHASE(DEGREES) = 5.669797D 01

END OF CASES RUN ON INNMUL PROGRAM

IV. ATTEN PROGRAM

This program is written to calculate the voltage out, V_{out} , by Eq. (69), in consideration of the external electrical circuit (Fig. 4) and Eqs. (61) or (62). It is written to receive as input data the coil and circuit data, as well as the normalized coil impedance, as calculated by the subroutines INNMUL or ENCMUL.

The program is written in the form of a FORTRAN subroutine named VOUT. The driver program must provide the necessary data. At present this is written into the program directly for the execution of one case. This may be called into execution on the PDP-10 by the teletype command, .EXECUTE VOUT.F4 . The subroutine, VOUT, must be supplied by a driver program with the familiar coil data:

OMEGA = angular frequency,
 R1 = normalized inner coil radius,
 R2 = normalized outer coil radius,
 L = normalized coil length,
 RBAR = mean coil radius (meters), and
 A9 - air normalisation value.

The real and imaginary parts ZRL and ZIM of the normalized coil impedance Z_n are required.

The required circuit data are identified in Fig. 4 as V_0 , R_0 , R_9 , C_6 , C_7 , R_6 , and R_7 . Number of turns, TN , must also be given.

The result, VOUT, is given in terms of phase and magnitude, as well as by real and imaginary parts.

The driver program which is listed here has all these data written into the program, with some being calculated from other data. For example, the magnitude and phase of the normalized coil impedance are given first, then converted by the driver program into real and imaginary parts for VOUT to use as data.

Other driver programs might be developed for more extensive cases, or this one may be varied using TECO commands on the PDP-10.

A listing of the VOUT subroutine with its driver program follows.

```

COMMON/ CIRCT/V0,R0,C6,R6,ZDRL,ZDIM,ZMRL,ZMIM,ZPURL,ZPUIM,
1 R7,C7,R9,TN
COMMON/ INNML/OMEGA,L,R1,R2,A9,ZRL,ZIM,RBAR
REAL*4 MU,L
DATA PI/3.1415 9265E0/,CONST/0.0254E0/
FREQ=27.72E0
TYPE 1
1  FORMAT(' SAVS')
OMEGA=2.0E3*PI*FREQ
R1=0.350E0
R2=0.360E0
L=13.625E0
RBAR=(R1+R2)*0.5E0
L=L/RBAR
R1=R1/RBAR
R2=R2/RBAR
TYPE 2,FREQ,OMEGA,L,R1,R2
2  FORMAT(' FREQ ='E15.7,' OMEGA ='E15.7,/
1' L ='E15.7,' R1 ='E15.7,' R2 ='E15.7)
RBAR=RBAR*CONST
A9=0.463502E-1
A1=0.218077514E0
P1=0.772603445E0
ZRL=A1*COS(P1)
ZIM=A1*SIN(P1)
TYPE 3,RBAR,A9,ZRL,ZIM,A1,P1
3  FORMAT(' RBAR ='E15.7,' A9 ='E15.7,/
1' ZRL ='E15.7,' ZIM ='E15.7,/
2' A1 ='E15.7,' P1 ='E15.7)
V0=3.2341E0
R0=464.E0
R9=464.E0
C6=3.83E-9
C7=3.83E-9
TYPE 4,V0,R0,R9,C6,C7
4  FORMAT(' V0 ='E15.7,' R0 ='E15.7,' R9 ='E15.7,/
1' C6 ='E15.7,' C7 ='E15.7)
R6=69.4E0
R7=R6
TN=190.75E0
TYPE 5,R6,R7,TN
5  FORMAT(' R6 ='E15.7,' R7 ='E15.7,' TN ='E15.7)
CALL VOUT(V0RL,V0IM,V0ABS,V0ARG)
IF(V0ARG.LT.0.0E0)V0ARG=V0ARG+PI
TYPE 6,V0RL,V0IM,V0ABS,V0ARG
6  FORMAT(' V0RL ='E15.7,' V0IM ='E15.7,' V0ABS ='E15.7,
1' V0ARG ='E15.7)
DEGR=V0ARG*180.E0/PI
TYPE 7,DEGR
7  FORMAT(' DEGR ='E15.7/)
TYPE 1
STOP
END
SUBROUTINE VOUT(V0RL,V0IM,V0ABS,V0ARG)
COMMON/ CIRCT/V0,R0,C6,R6,ZDRL,ZDIM,ZMRL,ZMIM,ZPURL,ZPUIM,
1 R7,C7,R9,TN
COMMON/ INNML/OMEGA,L,R1,R2,A9,ZRL,ZIM,RBAR

```

```

REAL*4 MU,L
DATA G/1.0E0/,MU/0.000001256637061E0/
R=R2-R1
A=2.E0*OMEGA*TN*TN*MU*RBAR*A9
1 / (L*L*R*R)
ZMRL=A*ZRL
ZDRL=ZMRL
ZPURL=ZMRL
ZMIM=A*ZIM
ZDIM=ZMIM
ZPUIM=ZMIM
B=-V0*R9*G
VNUMRL=B*ZMRL
VNUMIM=B*ZMIM
P=C6*R0
Q=C7*R9
OMEGSQ=OMEGA*OMEGA
OMEGCU=OMEGSQ*OMEGA
X=OMEGSQ*P*Q-1.0E0
Y=-OMEGA*(P+Q)
S=ZMRL*ZMRL-ZMIM*ZMIM
T=2.0E0*ZMRL*ZMIM
U=X*S-Y*T
W=Y*S+X*T
A=OMEGA*P
B=ZDRL+R6
E=A*B+ZDIM
F=A*ZDIM-(B+R0)
A=OMEGA*Q
B=ZPURL+R7
C=A*B+ZPUIM
D=A*ZPUIM-(B+R9)
A=C*E-D*F
B=D*E+C*F
VDENRL=A+U
VDENIM=B+W
DENSQ=VDENRL*VDENRL+VDENIM*VDENIM
V0RL=(VNUMRL*VDENRL+VNUMIM*VDENIM)/DENSQ
V0IM=(VNUMIM*VDENRL-VNUMRL*VDENIM)/DENSQ
V0ABS=SQRT(V0RL*V0RL+V0IM*V0IM)
IF(V0RL.EQ.0.0E0)G0 T0 100
V0ARG=ATAN(V0IM/V0RL)
RETURN
100 V0ARG=MU*0.125E7
RETURN
END

```

A listing of the data and results of the execution of the preceding program is shown below.

.EXECUTE VOUT.F4
FORTRAN: VOUT.F4
LOADING

VOUT 2K CORE
EXECUTION

SAVS

FREQ =	0.2772000E+02	OMEGA =	0.1741699E+06		
L =	0.3838028E+02	R1 =	0.9859155E+00	R2 =	0.1014085E+01
RBAR =	0.9017000E-02	A9 =	0.4635020E-01		
ZRL =	0.1561644E+00	ZIM =	0.1522185E+00		
A1 =	0.2180775E+00	P1 =	0.7726034E+00		
V0 =	0.3234100E+01	R0 =	0.4640000E+03	R9 =	0.4640000E+03
C6 =	0.3830000E-08	C7 =	0.3830000E-08		
R6 =	0.6940000E+02	R7 =	0.6940000E+02	TN =	0.1907500E+03
V0RL =	0.5039879E-02	V0IM =	0.4142019E-02		
V0ABS =	0.6523550E-02	V0ARG =	0.6879222E+00		
DEGR =	0.3941504E+02				

SAVS

CPU TIME: 0.35 ELAPSED TIME: 59.08
NO EXECUTION ERRORS DETECTED

EXIT

THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

ORNL-TM-4175

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION
(149 copies)

(3)	Central Research Library	P. J. Long (Y-12)
	ORNL - Y-12 Technical Library	D. L. Mason (Y-12)
	Document Reference Section	R. W. McClung
(10)	Laboratory Records Department	H. E. McCoy
	Laboratory Records, ORNL RC	L. E. McNeese
	ORNL Patent Office	F. D. Mundt (Y-12)
	G. M. Adamson, Jr.	E. L. Nicholson
	S. E. Beall	P. Patriarca
	G. W. Clark	M. W. Rosenthal
	J. E. Coulter (Y-12)	W. D. Ross (Y-12)
	F. L. Culler	W. F. Schaffer, Jr.
	J. E. Cunningham	H. C. Schweinler
(100)	C. V. Dodd	W. A. Simpson
	D. E. Ferguson	G. M. Slaughter
	J. H. Frye, Jr.	J. H. Smith (K-25)
	J. W. Garber (Y-12)	D. B. Trauger
	W. H. Hall (K-25)	W. E. Unger
	W. O. Harms	H. O. Weeren
(3)	M. R. Hill	J. R. Weir, Jr.

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION
(52 copies)

AEC, DIVISION OF REACTOR DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY, Washington, DC 20545

J. M. Simmons

AEC, SITE REPRESENTATIVES, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P. O. Box X,
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

D. F. Cope

C. L. Matthews

AEROJET NUCLEAR CORP., P. O. Box 1845, Idaho Falls, ID 83401

F. L. Crestridge, ARA-3

AIR FORCE KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, Kelly Air Force Base, TX 78241

Bernard Doisvert, SAAMA/MMEW

AIR FORCE KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, Kirtland Air Force Base, NM 87117

C. E. Baum

AIR FORCE MATERIALS LABORATORY, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433

R. R. Rowland

AIR FORCE ROBBINS AIR FORCE BASE, Robbins Air Force Base, GA 31093

R. W. Bailey, Jr., WRAMA/MMETM

ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY, 9700 Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439

Harold Berger

C. J. Renken

ARMY EDGEWOOD ARSENAL, Edgewood Arsenal, MD 21010

Ronald Frailer, Physical Laboratory Branch, Inspection Operations
Division

ARMY MATERIALS AND MECHANICS RESEARCH CENTER, Watertown, MA 02172

R. C. Grubinskas

C. P. Merhib, Nondestructive Information Analysis Center

ARMY REDSTONE ARSENAL, Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

W. B. Treplett, AMSMI-QLC, Bldg. 4500

ATOMIC ENERGY OF CANADA, LTD., Chalk River, Ontario, Canada

J. W. Hilborn

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE, 505 King Avenue, Columbus, OH 43201

J. H. Flora

BMI-PACIFIC NORTHWEST LABORATORY, P. O. Box 999, Richland, WA 99352

D. L. Lessor

H. L. Libby

COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC., Prospect Hill Road, Windsor, CT 06095

J. Roth

DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY, P. O. Box 888, Golden, CO 80401

Dave Chamberlain, Nondestructive Testing Department

G. D. Lassahn

E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS COMPANY, Savannah River Laboratory, Aiken, SC
29801

Dan Clayton

GENERAL ELECTRIC, 175 Cortner Avenue, San Jose, CA 95125

D. L. Fischer, M/C 164

GENERAL ELECTRIC, Route I-75, Maildrop E-45, Evendale, OH 45215

R. F. Feldman

HANFORD ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY, P. O. Box 1970, Richland,
WA 99352

R. L. Brown, Jr.
C. B. Shaw

INSTITUTE OF NUCLEAR ENERGY RESEARCH, P. O. Box 3, Lung-Tan, Taiwan,
Republic of China

Chwen Fu Huang

KNOLLS ATOMIC POWER LABORATORY, P. O. Box 1072, Schenectady, NY 12301

Don Gavin

LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY, P. O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94550

J. W. Sully

LOCKHEED MISSILES AND SPACE COMPANY, P. O. Box 504, Sunnyvale, CA 94088

A. J. Glispin, Dept. 84-35

LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY, P. O. Box 1663, Los Alamos, NM 87544

D. Elliott

G. C. MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, Marshall Center, AL 35812

L. H. Burdette, MSFC-S&E-QUAL-QT
M. C. McIlwain, R-QUAL-ARA
F. M. Saxton, S&E-ASTR-1R

NASA-LEWIS RESEARCH CENTER, 21000 Brookpark Road, Cleveland, OH 44135

Alex Vary

NAVAL AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER, Johnsville, Warminster, PA 18974

John Carlyle, MAMM-14

NAVAL SHIP ENGINEERING CENTER, Hyattsville, MD 20782

John Gleim

SANDIA CORPORATION, Division 7361, P. O. Box 5800, Albuquerque, NM 87115

R. A. Baker
D. W. Ballard

SANDIA CORPORATION, P. O. Box 969, Livermore, CA 94550

Dennis Rathbun

SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE, P. O. Drawer 28510, San Antonio, TX 78228

B. R. Wilson

UNITED KINGDOM ATOMIC ENERGY RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT, Didcot, Berkshire,
England

R. S. Sharpe

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 2317 East Engineering Bldg., Ann Arbor, MI 48104

J. R. Frederick, Department of Electrical Engineering

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, Columbia, MO 65201

D. L. Waidelich, Department of Electrical Engineering

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, Knoxville, TN 37916

C. C. Cheng, Department of Physics

W. E. Deeds, Department of Physics

J. F. Pierce, Department of Electrical Engineering

J. O. Thompson, Department of Physics

WESTINGHOUSE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, Beulah Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15235

J. K. White

AEC OPERATIONS OFFICE, P. O. Box E, Oak Ridge, TN 37830

Research and Technical Support Division

AEC TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER, OFFICE OF INFORMATION, P. O. Box 62,
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

(2)