

UNCLASSIFIED

(CLASSIFICATION)

DOCUMENT NO.

BNWL-CC-449

ISSUING FILE

COPY NO.

DATE

January 18, 1966

PROJECT

6F

BATTELLE-NORTHWEST

RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

TITLE

CORROSIVITY OF THORIA DISSOLVENT SOLUTIONS

AUTHOR

Roy F. Maness

DISTRIBUTION

NAME	BUILDING	AREA
<u>ONSITE DISTRIBUTION</u>		
J. M. Atwood	324	300
A. G. Blasewitz	324	300
R. E. Burns	222S	200 West
C. R. Cooley	324	300
B. M. Johnson, Jr.	324	300
R. F. Maness	326	300
A. M. Platt	324	300
W. H. Swift	324	300
M. T. Walling	324	300

NAME	BUILDING	AREA
Central Files	3760	300
Extra (10)		

Isochem

S. J. Beard	271B	200 East
W. D. Gaw	274W	200 West
O. F. Hill	2704E	200 East
J. B. Kendall	202A	200 East
W. H. Koontz	271T	200 West
H. C. Rathvon	202A	200 East
H. P. Shaw	2704E	200 East
R. J. Sloat	2704Z	200 West
R. E. Tomlinson	2704E	200 East

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

ROUTE TO

PAYROLL NO.

LOCATION

FILES ROUTE DATE

~~RE LERCH~~

32480

325

OCT 13 1969

UNCLASSIFIED

(CLASSIFICATION)

(TO BE USED ON UNCLASSIFIED AND OFFICIAL USE ONLY DOCUMENTS)

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

CORROSIVITY OF THORIA DISSOLVENT SOLUTIONS

By

Roy F. Maness
Chemical Development Section
Chemistry Department

Classified by: A. M. Platt**INFORMATION CONCERNING USE OF THIS REPORT****PATENT STATUS**

This document copy, since it is transmitted in advance of patent clearance, is made available in confidence solely for use in performance of work under contracts with the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. This document is not to be published nor its contents otherwise disseminated or used for purposes other than specified above before patent approval for such release or use has been secured, upon request, from the Chief, Chicago Patent Group, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, 9800 So. Cass Ave., Argonne, Illinois.

PRELIMINARY REPORT

This report contains information of a preliminary nature prepared in the course of work under Atomic Energy Commission Contract AT(45-1)-1830. This information is subject to correction or modification upon the collection and evaluation of additional data.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST LABORATORY

RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

operated by

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

for the

UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION UNDER CONTRACT AT(45-1)-1830

CORROSIVITY OF THORIA DISSOLVENT SOLUTIONSINTRODUCTION

The dissolution of thoria requires a fluoride-catalyzed nitric acid solution which is quite corrosive to most materials of construction. Aluminum nitrate is usually added to the dissolvent to reduce the hydrofluoric acid activity and thus the corrosivity of the system. As dissolution of thoria proceeds, Th(IV) forms a complex with fluoride ion which further reduces the corrosivity of the system. Corrosion tests of 304L stainless steel, Corronel 230, and HAPO-20 alloy were made in proposed thoria dissolvent solutions. The primary variables were nitric and hydrofluoric acid concentration, the presence of oxidants in the dissolvent and the metallurgical condition of the test specimens. Observed corrosion rates are presented in this report.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

1. Of the three alloys tested (304L, Corronel 230, HAPO-20), Corronel 230 was the most corrosion resistant to solutions suitable for the dissolution of thoria.
2. The corrosivity of the dissolvents decreased as thoria dissolution proceeded due to a decrease in hydrofluoric acid concentration and to a decrease in nitric acid content.
3. The presence of oxidants (Cr[VI] and Fe[III]) in thoria dissolvents markedly increased the corrosivity to all alloys tested.
4. The corrosivity of the system $\text{HNO}_3\text{-HF-Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ increased significantly as the nitric acid content of the dissolvent was increased above 12.5 molar.
5. Weldments of the three alloys showed no preferential attack after exposure to $12.5\text{M HNO}_3\text{-}0.025\text{M NH}_4\text{F-}0.10\text{M Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ solution for 480 hours.

6. Sensitization (one hour at 1250 F, water quenched) decreased the corrosion resistance of 304L to thoria dissolvent solutions by a factor of about ten. However, no sensitization effect was noted with 1/4- and 1/2-inch-thick weldments tested in the as-fabricated condition.
7. Severely corrosive conditions occur during concentration of the dissolver solution to remove nitric acid. Sensitized 304L specimens exposed to the vapor phase corroded at rates as high as 60 mils/month.

EXPERIMENTAL AND DISCUSSION

The compositions of the alloys tested are given in Table I. Corronel 230 is a product of Henry Wiggin and Co., Birmingham, England. HAPO-20 is an alloy developed locally to contain non-production reactor fuel dissolvents and is available only by special request. Weldments were prepared using the tungsten-inert gas process. Strips cut from the base metal were used as filler metal for HAPO-20 alloy. The 304L weldments were fabricated using 308L rod. A commercially available welding rod, essentially of base metal composition, was used to fabricate Corronel 230 weldments.

Corrosion test specimens were prepared by grinding all surfaces to a 120 grit finish and passivating in nitric acid solution. Weldments were ground only on the cut surfaces and were exposed briefly to a HNO_3 -HF pickling solution prior to passivation. All test solutions were at the boiling point and were contained in Teflon bottles equipped with Teflon condensers. Fresh solution was added after each 48-hour exposure period. Corrosion rates were calculated for each period on the basis of weight loss. However, for most tests, only an overall average corrosion rate is reported. Data obtained in simple boiling tests and in tests under heat transfer conditions are given in Table II. It is apparent that, of the three alloys tested, Corronel 230 exhibited the greatest corrosion resistance. It is also apparent that the "standard" thoria dissolvent, initially 12.5M HNO_3 - 0.025M NH_4F - 0.10M $\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, is adequately contained by annealed or slightly

sensitized 304L or by HAP0-20 alloy. The corrosion resistance of 304L, after a one-hour heat treatment at 1250 F, is poor in thoria dissolvent solution. However, the metallurgical condition of 304L sensitized in this manner is probably not representative of the condition of 304L found in a fabricated production vessel. The sensitization effect produced in the fabrication of 1/2-inch-thick weldments was quite small. The sensitization effect was investigated further by determining the corrosion resistance of 304L to thoria dissolvent solution as a function of the heat treatment time at 1250 F. The results are given in Table III. The sensitization effect is significant when the time at temperature exceeds ten minutes. Corrosion rates of coupons exposed to the vapor phase were somewhat erratic, presumably because the precise position of the specimens with regard to the condenser determined the degree of contact with condensate.

The presence of Fe(III) and Cr(VI) (and presumably other oxidants) in thoria dissolvent solution is clearly to be avoided. Catastrophic corrosion of all alloys tested occurred when these materials were present at the 0.01M level.

Data obtained on the corrosivity of thoria dissolver solution during simulated concentration to remove excess nitric acid are given in Table IV. It is apparent that 304L in the sensitized condition (one hour at 1250 F, water quenched) suffers severe corrosion under these conditions. It is also apparent that as-fabricated weldments are only moderately attacked. Removal of excess nitric acid via concentration is definitely feasible using a material of construction with a corrosion resistance equivalent to that of Corronel 230 alloy. Chemical destruction of excess nitric acid prior to concentration would reduce greatly the corrosivity of the system.

TABLE I

ALLOY COMPOSITIONS

<u>Alloy</u>	<u>Weight Percent</u>								
	<u>Fe</u>	<u>Cr</u>	<u>Ni</u>	<u>Si</u>	<u>Mn</u>	<u>Ti</u>	<u>Cu</u>	<u>Mo</u>	<u>C</u>
304L	Bal.	18.8	9.8	0.53	1.3	-	-	-	0.02
Corrone1 230	1.8	34.0	Bal.	0.30	0.3	0.13	0.01	-	0.04
HAPO-20	16.0	25.0	50.0	0.4	0.6	1.0	1.0	6.0	0.02

TABLE II

CORROSIVITY OF THORIA DISSOLVENT SOLUTIONS

Solution	Material	Exposure Hours	Corrosion Rate	
			Liquid Mils/Month	Vapor Mils/Month
12.5M HNO ₃ -0.01M NH ₄ F-0.10M Al(NO ₃) ₃	304L	480	7.0	5.2
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	304L	480	12.0	18.0
12.5M " -0.085M " -0.62M " -0.025M Hg(NO ₃) ₂	304L	480	36.0	20.0
14.0M " -0.01M " -0.10M "	304L	480	28.0	22.0
8.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M " -1.0M Th(NO ₃) ₄	304L	487	1.9	2.3
11.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M " -0.25M "	304L	240	1.6	1.2
15.2M " -0.01M " -0.10M " -ThO ₂ dissolving to 1.0M "	304L	24	0.9	1.2
14.4M " -0.01M " -0.40M " -" " " "	304L	24	0.6	0.8
12.5M " -0.01M " -0.10M " -0.01M Cr(VI)	304L	210	73.0	16.0
12.5M " -0.01M " -0.10M " -0.05M Fe(III)	304L	210	15.0	24.0
11.2M " -0.01M " -0.10M " -1.0M Th(NO ₃) ₄	304L	113	30.0	1.6
10.4M " -0.01M " -0.40M " -1.0M "	304L	113	15.0	1.5
12.5M " -0.01M " -0.10M "	304L (Annealed)	460	0.6	0.6
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	304L (Annealed)	460	1.2	1.4
12.5M " -0.01M " -0.10M "	304L (1/4" weldment) (2)	461	0.6	-
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	304L (1/4" weldment) (2)	461	1.1	-
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	304L (1/2" weldment) (3)	240	1.2	-
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	304L (1/2" weldment) (4)	240	1.5	-
12.5M " -0.01M " -0.10M "	304L (Ht. Tr. - 135 C) (5)	169	8.5	2.0
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	304L (Ht. Tr. - 135 C) (5)	162	14.0	1.0
15.5M " -0.01M " -0.10M "	304L (Ht. Tr. - 140 C) (5)	217	37.0	1.2
15.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	304L (Ht. Tr. - 135 C) (5)	217	19.0	2.0
8.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M " -1.0M Th(NO ₃) ₄ -0.01M Cr(VI)	304L	210	13.0	1.4
11.2M " -0.01M " -0.10M " -1.0M " -0.01M Fe(III)	304L	90	21.0	1.2
10.4M " -0.01M " -0.40M " -1.0M " -0.01M Cr(VI)	304L	90	64.0	2.4
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.05M Th(NO ₃) ₄	304L	120	18.0	11.0
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	304L	120	3.6	7.5
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.25M "	304L	120	2.6	1.6
12.5M " -0.01M " -0.10M Al(NO ₃) ₃	HAPO-20	480	1.1	1.4
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	HAPO-20	480	1.7	1.1
12.5M " -0.085M " -0.625M " -0.025M Hg(NO ₃) ₂	HAPO-20	480	1.9	1.2
14.0M " -0.01M " -0.10M "	HAPO-20	480	6.7	1.0
11.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M " -0.25M Th(NO ₃) ₄	HAPO-20	240	0.5	0.4
12.5M " -0.01M " -0.10M "	HAPO-20(Ht. Tr. - 135 C) (5)	169	1.8	1.1
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	HAPO-20(Ht. Tr. - 135 C) (5)	162	2.8	2.0
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	HAPO-20(1/8" weldment)	480	1.3	-

TABLE II (Continued)

CORROSIVITY OF THORIA DISSOLVENT SOLUTIONS

Solution	Material (1)	Exposure Hours	Corrosion Rate Mils/Month	
			Liquid	Vapor
12.5M HNO ₃ -0.025M NH ₄ F -0.10M Al(NO ₃) ₃ -0.01M Cr(VI)	HAPO-20	120	30.0	0.7
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M Al(NO ₃) ₃	Corronel 230	488	0.3	0.2
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	Corronel 230 (1/4" weldment)	480	0.4	-
11.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M " -0.25M Th(NO ₃) ₄	Corronel 230 (1/4" weldment)	240	0.3	0.1
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M "	Corronel 230 (Ht.Tr.-135 C) (5)	293	0.6	-
12.5M " -0.025M " -0.10M " -0.01M Cr(VI)	Corronel 230	144	16.0	0.3

NOTES:

- (1) All materials in sensitized condition unless otherwise noted. Heat treated for one hour at 1250 F, water quenched.
- (2) TIG produced weldment using 308L filler metal.
- (3) MIG produced weldment using 308L filler metal. Carbon content of weld metal = 0.027 percent.
- (4) MIG produced weldment using 308L filler metal. Carbon content of weld metal = 0.033 percent.
- (5) Test under heat transfer conditions. Bulk metal temperature given.

TABLE III

EFFECT OF SENSITIZATION TIME ON 304L CORROSION RATES

Conditions: Five 48-hour exposures to boiling 12.5M HNO₃-0.025M NH₄F-0.10M Al(NO₃)₃ solution contained in Teflon.

Liquid Phase

Time at 1250 F, Minutes	Corrosion Rate, Mils/Month					AVG.
	Period					
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	
0	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2
3	1.4	1.2	1.0	1.2	-	1.2
5	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.2
10	1.8	2.1	2.1	1.5	2.2	1.9
20	2.3	2.0	3.0	4.5	5.7	3.5
45	3.6	4.8	4.4	8.0	11.0	6.4
60	2.8	4.0	6.4	7.5	16.0	7.3
120	5.0	7.3	8.5	9.9	15.0	9.1

Vapor Phase

0	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.2
3	1.3	1.5	2.5	3.2	4.2	2.5
5	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.3
10	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.3	2.1	2.0
20	1.5	1.8	1.9	3.3	3.5	2.4
45	2.5	1.3	3.4	5.2	5.6	3.6
60	9.1	8.3	11.0	18.0	12.0	12.0
120	11.0	7.2	8.3	8.2	8.3	8.6

TABLE IV

CONCENTRATION OF THORIA DISSOLVER SOLUTION

A. 304L Corrosion Rates

Conditions: Solution containing 8.5M HNO₃-0.025M NH₄F-0.10M Al(NO₃)₃ - 1.0M Th(NO₃)₄ concentrated four-fold in Teflon equipment. Condensate returned to bottoms and solution reconcentrated. Fresh solution every 16 to 24 hours.

Sensitized Specimens
(One hour at 1250 F)

	Corrosion Rate, Mils/Month							
	Accumulative Exposure Hours							
	<u>50</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>119</u>	<u>140</u>	<u>148</u>	<u>155</u>	<u>163</u>	<u>171</u>
Liquid Phase	1.2	7.4	8.0	-	4.6	7.4	6.2	19.0
Vapor Phase	1.3	2.7	45.0	35.0	40.0	48.0	48.0	61.0

Weldments as Fabricated
(1/2" thick 304L plate)

	Corrosion Rate, Mils/Month							
	Accumulative Exposure Hours							
	<u>42</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>117</u>	<u>143</u>	<u>167</u>	<u>192</u>	<u>224</u>
Liquid Phase	0.9	1.2	1.9	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.5
Vapor Phase	0.8	0.7	1.8	3.9	1.8	2.4	3.3	2.3

B. Corronel 230 Corrosion Rates

Conditions: As above. Corronel 230 weldments (as-fabricated) exposed to liquid phase. Sensitized coupons exposed to vapor phase.

	Corrosion Rate, Mils/Month							
	Accumulative Exposure Hours							
	<u>8</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>115</u>
Liquid Phase	1.4	1.5	0.9	0.6	0.8	2.3	0.8	0.7
Vapor Phase	0.9	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.1	0.2