MASTER

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

ORNL - TM - 1506

COPY NO. -

42

DATE - April 27, 1966

RELEASED FOR ANNOUNCEMENT
IN NUCLEAR SCIENCE ABSTRACTS

CALCULATION OF FISSION PRODUCT RADIOACTIVITY IN OFF-GASES FROM A BURN-LEACH PROCESS FOR GRAPHITE-BASE FUELS

CFSH PRICES

H. O. Witte^a

H.C. \$ 1.00; MN .50

ABSTRACT

Equilibrium concentrations of fission product oxides in oxygen were calculated from thermochemical data. The calculations showed that, at high temperatures, an appreciable amount of fission product oxides can be expected in the off-gas from a burn-leach process for HTGR fuel. Cooling the off-gas to room temperature should condense practically all the volatile oxides since the equilibrium constants are highly temperature dependent. If the condensed oxides can be removed from the off-gas by efficient filtration or scrubbing, the remaining radioactivity in the gas would be due predominantly to ⁸⁵Kr. Stack-dilution calculations showed that, even under adverse conditions, the off-gas can probably be released by a 100-m stack without further dilution.

^a Guest scientist from KFA, Juelich, Germany.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	1
Introduction	4
Consideration of Gas Phase Equilibria	4
Theoretical Background	6
Fission Product Volatilities	6
Fission Product Yield	8
Off-Gas Activity	8
Stack Dilution	10
Conclusion	14
Acknowledgment	14
References	14

RELEASED FOR ANNOUNCEMENT
IN NUCLEAR SCIENCE ABSTRACTS

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

CALCULATION OF FISSION PRODUCT RADIOACTIVITY IN OFF-GASES FROM A BURN-LEACH PROCESS FOR GRAPHITE-BASE FUELS

H. O. Witte

1. INTRODUCTION

In the burn-leach process, crushed graphite fuel elements containing pyrolytic carbon-coated carbide or oxide particles will be burned in a fluidized bed of alumina at about 750°C. The behavior of the fission products during actual fluidized-bed combustion has not been studied, but some data were obtained in laboratory-scale tube-furnace experiments.¹ Prototype Peach Bottom fuel irradiated to about 10,000 Mwd/metric ton (Th + U) was burned with a large excess of oxygen. Up to 35% of the cesium and 96% of the ruthenium were volatilized from the high-temperature zone during 6-hr combustions at 800°C. Practically all the fission products condensed and were trapped in the cool end of the reaction tube, and nearly all remaining activity was removed by filtering the off-gas through a 40-μ-porosity sintered-metal filter. The overall decontamination factor was greater than 10⁴ in all experiments.

The purpose of this memo is to predict from thermochemical data the qualitative behavior of fission products during combustion. The results of these calculations will serve as a guide in planning fluidized-bed combustion experiments with irradiated fuel and in interpreting the results.

2. CONSIDERATION OF GAS PHASE EQUILIBRIA

Combustion in a fluidized-bed burner is achieved by using excess oxygen. Experimental data¹ show a typical steady-state off-gas composition of 90% CO₂, 5% CO, and 5% O₂, analyzed at about 25°C and 1 atm pressure. According to the equilibrium

the CO_2/CO ratio found in the burning experiments should have had equilibrium oxygen pressures of about 10^{-87} atm at 300°K and 10^{-18} atm at 1000°K . On the other hand, with an actual oxygen pressure of about 0.05 atm, the CO pressure should have been very low. In actual burning, we have relatively high pressures of both O_2 and CO; so, from the standpoint of thermochemical equilibrium, one cannot predict whether reduction by CO or oxidation by O_2 will be the predominating reaction.

The formation of volatile fission product oxides in general increases with increasing oxygen pressure. For example, under the actual oxygen pressure (0.05 atm), the stable ruthenium compound in the condensed phase is RuO_2 , which oxidizes to volatile RuO_4 . Under the actual CO pressure, the RuO_2 should be reduced to elemental Ru, but this was not noted in the previously mentioned experiments. As to cesium, the stable condensed compound under the actual oxygen pressure is Cs_2O at 1000°K and CsO_2 at 500°K . Under reducing conditions, the condensed phase is CsO, which sublimates. In this case, cesium volatilization should be independent of the oxygen pressure and, because of the fairly high vapor pressure of gaseous CsO above condensed CsO, should be markedly higher than the ruthenium volatilization. In the actual burning experiments, ruthenium volatilization was greater, and cesium volatilization was strongly dependent on the oxygen pressure. This indicates the predominating influence of the oxygen pressure on fission product volatilization. Hence, in the following calculations of fission product volatilization it was assumed that all reactions were predictable by the actual oxygen pressure. It was further assumed that the condensed phases of the fission products consisted of the oxides which are stable under the actual oxygen pressure and that each condensed phase was a pure phase with a chemical activity of unity.

3. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Fission product volatilization is expressed by a reaction of the general type:

The vapor pressure of the gaseous species is calculated from the equilibrium constant and the oxygen pressure:

$$P_{\text{MO}_y} = K \left(P_{\text{O}_2} \right)^{\frac{y-x}{2}} .$$

For elements having several gaseous oxides, $\text{M}_{x_i}\text{O}_{y_i}$, we calculated the equivalent pressure of the element in the gas phase as follows:

$$P_e = \sum_i x_i P_{\text{M}_{x_i}\text{O}_{y_i}} ,$$

assuming that the element was present as a monatomic gas. From this pressure, we derived the total vapor concentration by the ideal-gas law:

$$\frac{n}{V} = \frac{P_e}{RT} \left(\frac{\text{g-atoms}}{\text{unit volume}} \right) ,$$

where n is the number of g-atoms of the fission product element.

4. FISSION PRODUCT VOLATILITIES

By use of the formulas in Sect. 3, the equilibrium vapor concentrations of Cs, Ru, Te, Tc, and Se were calculated at 300, 500, and 1000°K at oxygen pressures of 10^{-2} atm and 1 atm (Table 1).

The equilibrium data and the data for the stability of the condensed compounds at 500°K and higher were taken from the compilation of Bedford and Jackson,² while the data at 300°K were taken either from Kubaschewski³ or were estimated.

Table 1. Equilibrium Concentrations of Volatile Fission Products in Oxygen

Element	Concentration of Element in Gas (mg/liter) when					
	$P_{O_2} = 1 \text{ atm}$			$P_{O_2} = 10^{-2} \text{ atm}$		
	300°K	500°K	1000°K	300°K	500°K	1000°K
Cs	1.00×10^{-22}	1.35×10^{-15}	3.55	1.33×10^{-22}	1.35×10^{-14}	1.12
Ru	2.06×10^{-14}	1.47×10^{-7}	1.49×10^{-2}	2.06×10^{-16}	1.47×10^{-9}	1.49×10^{-4}
Te	2.08×10^{-31}	3.41×10^{-14}	2.50×10^{-1}	2.08×10^{-31}	3.41×10^{-14}	2.50×10^{-1}
Tc	1.71×10^{-6}	1.59×10^3	1.70×10^4	1.71×10^{-6}	1.60×10^3	5.36×10^4
Se	1.05×10^{-6}	7.7×10^1	a	1.05×10^{-6}	7.7×10^1	a

^aNo stable condensed compound.

5. FISSION PRODUCT YIELD

The reference fuel for the fission product yields was a graphite fuel in which the C/Th ratio was 200 and the C/U ratio was 2750. The initial $^{235}\text{U}/^{232}\text{Th}$ ratio was 6.8×10^{-2} . The calculation was made for a 2100-day irradiation and a burnup of 84,000 Mwd/metric ton.⁴ Table 2 shows the fission product concentrations in the fuel after 250 days cooling time.⁵

Table 2. Fission Product Content of Reference Fuel After 250 Days of Cooling

Element	<u>Grams of fission product</u> <u>Grams of fuel</u>
^{85}Kr	5.83×10^{-6}
^{103}Ru	2.68×10^{-8}
^{106}Ru	1.79×10^{-6}
^{127}Te	2.34×10^{-8}
^{129}Te	1.78×10^{-3}
^{137}Cs	3.27×10^{-4}
^{79}Se	3.15×10^{-6}
^{99}Tc	2.08×10^{-4}

6. OFF-GAS ACTIVITY

Combustion of the reference fuel (Table 2) in pure oxygen to give an assumed off-gas composition of 90% CO_2 , 5% CO , and a remainder of oxygen and volatile fission product oxides would yield 1.956 liters (at 300°K) of off-gas per gram of burned fuel. Figure 1 shows the amounts of volatile fission products that can be present in this off-gas in equilibrium with 1 atm of oxygen. The data from Table 1

Fig. 1. Equilibrium Fission Product Concentration in Burner Off-Gas When Oxygen Pressure Is 1 atm.

for 10^{-2} atm of oxygen are not shown in Fig. 1 because reduction of the oxygen pressure to 10^{-2} atm would cause no important change. Figure 2 shows the activity of the off-gas in curies of fission product per liter of off-gas when the oxygen pressure is 1 atm. At room temperature, the off-gas activity is dominated by the ^{85}Kr activity, but the technetium activity is also higher than the maximum permissible concentration in air.⁶ Table 3 shows the off-gas activity in curies/liter at 300°K.

Table 3. Equilibrium Fission Product Activities in Burner Off-Gas at 300°K
When Oxygen Pressure is 1 atm.

Isotope	Curies/liter
^{85}Kr	1.23×10^{-3}
^{103}Ru	4.63×10^{-17}
^{106}Ru	4.42×10^{-16}
^{127}Te	4.08×10^{-34}
^{129}Te	8.26×10^{-35}
^{137}Cs	3.57×10^{-24}
^{79}Se	9.01×10^{-11}
^{99}Tc	3.06×10^{-11}

7. STACK DILUTION

The above calculations indicate that cooling the burner off-gas to room temperature should result in condensation of very nearly all the volatile oxides. If the bulk of these oxides can be removed by efficient filters, the predominant remaining radionuclide would be ^{85}Kr . Since its contribution to the off-gas would be 1.23 mc/liter (Table 3), and since the maximum permissible concentration in air is,

Fig. 2. Equilibrium Fission Product Activities in Burner Off-Gas When Oxygen Pressure Is 1 atm.

according to Ref. 6, 10^{-6} mc/liter, a minimum dilution factor of about 10^6 would be necessary before this off-gas could be released to the atmosphere. The reprocessing of the reference fuel specified above at a rate of 150 kg (Th + U) per day would result in an off-gas release of about 36 liters/sec. Since the ^{85}Kr activity is 1.23 mc per liter, there would be a release of about 44.2 mc/sec, but release through a 100-m tall exhaust stack might be sufficient to provide the necessary millionfold dilution. For calculation of stack-to-ground dilution of this activity we used the data given by Nichols and Guthrie.⁷ They describe the concentration of an effluent, assuming no depletion by deposition or washout, by the Gaussian formula:

$$X = \frac{Q}{\pi G_i G_k \bar{u}} \exp \left[-1/2 \left(\frac{y^2}{G_i^2} + \frac{k^2}{G_k^2} \right) \right] ,$$

where

X = concentration in curies per cubic meter,

Q = source strength in curies per second,

$\bar{u}$ = mean wind speed in meters per second,

y = cross wind distance in meters from the plume axis, which is assumed to coincide with the mean wind direction,

k = source height in meters,

G_i^2, G_k^2 = dispersion coefficients in square meters.

Figure 3 shows the ^{85}Kr concentration in curies per cubic meter as a function of downwind distance, assuming the worst meteorological category of "extremely unstable conditions," a mean wind speed of 0.5 m/sec, and a 100-m stack. As seen from Fig. 3, the maximum krypton activity under the worst conditions is only slightly above the maximum permissible concentration. So, there is a possibility that the burner off-gas can actually be released into the atmosphere by a 100-m high stack.

Fig. 3. ^{85}Kr Concentration in Plume-Diluted Gas As a Function of Downwind Distance.

8. CONCLUSION

Calculations of fission product oxide —O₂ equilibria showed, that at elevated temperature an appreciable amount of fission products will be present as volatile oxides in the off-gas from the combustion of graphite fuel. Cooling the off-gas to room temperature is accompanied by condensation of practically all of the oxides. If the condensed oxides can be trapped by efficient filters, the remaining off-gas activity is limited by its ⁸⁵Kr content. This off-gas can possibly be released by a 100-m stack without further dilution.

9. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks L. M. Ferris and E. L. Nicholson for many helpful discussions, and E. D. Arnold for calculation of the fission product yields.

10. REFERENCES

1. E. L. Nicholson, L. M. Ferris, and J. T. Roberts, Burn-Leach Processes for Graphite-Base Reactor Fuels Containing Carbon-Coated Carbide or Oxide Particles, ORNL-TM-1096 (April 1965).
2. R. G. Bedford and D. D. Jackson, Volatilities of the Fission Product and Uranium Oxides, UCRL-12314 (January 1965).
3. O. Kubaschewski and E. L. Evans, "Metallurgical Thermochemistry," Third Edition, Pergamon Press, London, 1958.
4. E. D. Arnold, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, unpublished data.
5. J. O. Blomeke and M. F. Todd, Uranium-235 Fission-Product Production as a Function of Thermal Neutron Flux, Irradiation Time, and Decay Time. I. Atomic Concentrations and Gross Totals, ORNL-2107, Part 1, Volumes 1 and 2 (Aug. 19, 1957).
6. "Maximum Permissible Body Burdens and Maximum Permissible Concentrations of Radionuclides in Air and in Water for Occupational Exposure," National Bureau of Standards Handbook 69, U. S. Department of Commerce, June 1959.

7. C. E. Guthrie and J. P. Nichols, Theoretical Possibilities and Consequences of Major Accidents in U-233 and Pu-239 Fuel Fabrication and Radioisotope Processing Plants, ORNL-3441 (April 1964).

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1. E. D. Arnold
2. R. E. Blanco
3. K. B. Brown
4. G. I. Cathers
5. W. E. Clark
6. F. L. Culler
7. W. Davis, Jr.
8. D. E. Ferguson
9. L. M. Ferris
10. J. R. Flanary
11. H. E. Goeller
12. A. T. Gresky
13. B. A. Hannaford
14. W. O. Harms
15. J. A. Lane
16. E. L. Nicholson
17. R. H. Rainey
18. J. T. Roberts
19. D. B. Trauger
20. J. W. Ullman
21. C. D. Watson
22. M. E. Whatley
23. R. G. Wymer
- 24 - 33. H. O. Witte
- 34 - 35. Central Research Library
36. Document Reference Section
- 37 - 39. Laboratory Records Department
40. Laboratory Records, ORNL R.C.
41. ORNL Patent Office
- 42 - 56. Division of Technical Information Extension
57. Research and Development Division, ORO

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

58. R. A. Pahler, USAEC, Washington
59. H. Schneider, USAEC, Washington
60. J. Nehls, USAEC, ORO