LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

ANL-7032

Engineering and Equipment
(TID-4500, 45th Ed.)

AEC Research and
Development Report

ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY
9700 South Cass Avenue
Argonne, Illinois 60440

AN INVESTIGATION OF INSTABILITIES ENCOUNTERED DURING HEAT TRANSFER TO A SUPERCRITICAL FLUID

by

Archie Junior Cornelius

RELEASED FOR ANNOUNCEMENT

IN NUCLEAR SCIENCE ABSTRACTS

Reactor Engineering Division, ANL
and
Associated Midwest Universities

April 1965

Based on a thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School
of the Oklahoma State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Operated by The University of Chicago
under

Contract W-31-109-eng-38

with the

U. S. Atomic Energy Commission

This document is

PUBLICLY RELEASABLE

Larry E. Williams
Authorizing Official

Date: 06/28/2007

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

FOREWORD

This report is one of a series that describes heat-transfer and fluid-flow studies performed at Argonne under a program sponsored jointly by the Associated Midwest Universities and the Argonne National Laboratory.

The earlier reports in this series are:

- ANL-6625 Local Parameters in Cocurrent Mercury-Nitrogen Flow
L. G. Neal
- ANL-6667 A Study of the Flow of Saturated Freon-11 through Apertures and Short Tubes
Hans K. Fauske and Tony C. Min
- ANL-6674 Reduction of Vapor Carryunder in Simulated Boiling
P. L. Miller and C. P. Armstrong
- ANL-6710 Transient Behavior of a Natural-circulation Loop Operating near the Thermodynamic Critical Point
Darrel G. Harden
- ANL-6734 Two-phase (Gas-liquid) System: Heat Transfer and Hydraulics. An Annotated Bibliography
Robert R. Kepple and Thomas V. Tung
- ANL-6738 Development of an Electrical Resistivity Probe for Void-fraction Measurements in Air-Water Flow
George P. Nassos
- ANL-6754 An Experimental Investigation of Two-phase, Two-component Flow in a Horizontal, Converging-diverging Nozzle
Joseph A. Vogrin, Jr.
- ANL-6755 Two-component Two-phase Flow Parameters for Low Circulation Rates
Georges E. Smissaert
- ANL-6779 Two-phase Critical Flow with Application to Liquid-metal Systems (Mercury, Cesium, Rubidium, Potassium, Sodium, and Lithium)
Hans K. Fauske
- ANL-6796 The Slug-annular Flow Regime Transition at Elevated Pressure
Peter Griffith
- ANL-6854 Effect of a Transverse Magnetic Field on Vertical Two-phase Flow through a Rectangular Channel
Richard J. Thome
- ANL-6862 Two-phase Heat Transfer with Gas Injection through a Porous Boundary Surface
A. A. Kudirka
- ANL-6948 Condensation of Metal Vapors: Mercury and the Kinetic Theory of Condensation
Donald J. Wilhelm

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PREFACE.	7
NOMENCLATURE	8
I. INTRODUCTION.	9
II. LITERATURE SURVEY	16
A. Single-phase Supercritical Results	16
B. Boiling Instability.	22
C. Summary	26
III. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS.	27
A. Introduction.	27
B. Component Description	28
1. Test Section	28
2. Power Supply and Measurement	28
3. Coolers	29
4. Pump.	29
5. Accumulator	29
6. Flow Measurement.	30
7. Pressure Measurements	31
8. Bulk-temperature Measurements	32
9. Wall-temperature Measurements	32
10. Recording Instrumentation.	34
11. Safety Features	35
12. Thermal Insulation.	35
IV. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	36
V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS.	39
A. Acoustic Oscillations	39
B. Slow Oscillations	46
C. Anomalous Behavior	53
VI. ANALYSIS OF SLOW OSCILLATIONS.	54
A. Conservation Equations.	54
B. Numerical Calculational Procedure	59

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
C. Transient Calculations	60
D. Steady-state Calculations	63
E. Results of Numerical Calculations	64
VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	70
APPENDIXES	
A. Calculating Pressure Difference between Two Pressure Taps due to Their Absolute Pressure-phase Variation during Acoustic Oscillations	73
B. Undamped Natural Frequency of a Closed Heat-transfer Loop	74
C. Digital Computer Program	77
D. Program Nomenclature	84
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	88
REFERENCES	89

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	Specific Heat of Freon 114 at 500 psia	11
2.	Phase Regions for Freon 114	11
3.	Density of Freon 114 at 500 psia	12
4.	Enthalpy of Freon 114 at 500 psia	12
5.	Sonic Velocity of Freon 114 at 500 psia	13
6.	Qualitative Variation of Viscosity and Thermal Conductivity at a Supercritical Pressure	13
7.	Schematic Diagram of Heat-transfer Loop	27
8.	Sinusoidal Pressure Variation during Acoustic Oscillations . . .	39
9.	Experimental Record of Acoustic Oscillations	40
10.	Pressure "Beats" during Acoustic Oscillations	41
11.	Variation of Acoustic Frequency with Temperature for Various Loop Lengths.	42
12.	Initiation of "Boiling-like Behavior" without Accompanying Acoustic Oscillations; Forced-convection Operation	45
13.	Experimental Record of Slow Oscillations; Wall-temperature Drop in Phase with Flow Oscillations.	47
14.	Experimental Record of Slow Oscillations; Time between Heat-transfer Improvements Longer Than Flow Period.	48
15.	Experimental Record of Slow Oscillations; Time between Heat-transfer Improvements Shorter Than Flow Period	49
16.	Simultaneous Occurrence of Acoustic and Slow Oscillations . . .	50
17.	Experimental Record of Slow Oscillations; Flow Rate at Flowmeter and Venturi Difference.	51
18.	Irregular Instability at Low Forced-convection Flow Rate	51
19.	Experimental Record of Slow Oscillations; Operation with Upper Horizontal Cooler	52
20.	Empirical Friction Pressure-drop Correlation	57
21.	Idealized Model of Flow Loop for Numerical Calculations	59
22.	Calculated Response Following Doubling of Electrical Heating Power	65
23.	Calculated Flow Response Following Doubling of Electrical Heating Power for Inlet Temperatures of 200.2 to 274.4°F	66

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
24.	Calculated Flow Response Following Doubling of Electrical Heating Power for Inlet Temperatures of 287.7 to 299.5°F.	66
25.	Calculated Response Following Doubling of Electrical Heating Power; Upper Horizontal Cooler	67
26.	Calculated Behavior of Slow Oscillations; Triggered and Maintained by Changes of Heat-transfer Coefficient	67
27.	Calculated Behavior of Slow Oscillations; Higher Inlet Temperatures	68
28.	Calculated Behavior of Slow Oscillations; Lower Inlet Temperatures	68
29.	Idealized Model of Heat-transfer Loop	74
30.	Schematic Diagram of Minnesota Heat-transfer Loop	75

LIST OF TABLES

<u>No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
I.	Critical Data of Various Substances	10
II.	Vertical Height of Loop Components	27
III.	Wall-thermocouple Location	33
IV.	Lower Limit of Acoustic Oscillation Range	40

PREFACE

The literature of the last few decades contains reports of severe pressure and flow oscillations occurring spontaneously during heat transfer to a fluid at both subcritical and supercritical pressures. Although the oscillatory behavior has been studied rather extensively due to its technological significance, a satisfactory understanding of the phenomenon has not been obtained.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the unstable behavior of a heat-transfer loop operating at a supercritical pressure. The oscillatory behavior is shown to be analogous to two types of more commonly encountered systems. The mechanism that triggers and maintains the oscillations is postulated. The results contribute an insight into the physical nature of the instabilities, which can be used to explain many of the oscillations that have been reported without explanation, and to dispel some of the apparently erroneous theories that have appeared.

NOMENCLATURE

Symbol	Description	Units	Greek Letters	Description	Units
a	Area	ft ²	α	Defined by Eq. (6.16)	
A	Area	ft ²	β	Defined by Eq. (6.23)	
c	Acoustic velocity	ft/sec	θ	Angle	deg
c_p	Specific heat	Btu/lb _m -°F	λ	Acoustic wavelength	ft
C	Thermal capacitance	Btu/°F	μ	Viscosity	lb _f -sec/ft ²
D	Diameter	ft	ρ	Density	lb _m /ft ³
f	Frequency	cps	τ	Shear force per unit length	lb _f /ft
f	Fanning friction factor	Dimensionless	<u>Subscripts</u>		
F	Friction pressure drop	lb _f /ft ²	1	Inlet	
g	Gravitational acceleration	ft/sec ²	2	Outlet	
g_c	Gravitational constant	ft-lb _m /lb _f -sec ²	b	Bulk	
G	Mass flow rate per unit area	lb _m /sec-ft ²	cr	Critical state	
h	Specific enthalpy	Btu/lb _m	e	Electrical	
H	Heat-transfer coefficient	Btu/sec-ft ² -°F	h	Heater	
I	Current	Amp	j	Space index, specifies axial position at beginning of jth space segment	
k	Thermal conductivity	Btu/sec-ft-°F	s	Steady state	
L	Length	ft	S	Specific entropy	Btu/lb _m -°F
m	Mass of fluid	lb	vent	Venturi	
N _{RE}	Reynolds number	Dimensionless	w	Wall	
p	Pressure	psia	<u>Superscripts</u>		
P	Amplitude of pressure oscillations	psia	—	Average value	
q	Heat-transfer rate	Btu/sec	*	Pseudocritical	
Q	Volumetric flow rate	gpm	'	Per unit length	
R	Thermal resistance	sec-°F/Btu	"	Per unit volume	
t	Time	sec	i	Time index, specifies position at beginning of ith time interval	
T	Temperature	°F			
u	Specific internal energy	Btu/lb _m			
v	Specific volume	ft ³ /lb _m			
x	Position coordinate	ft			
z	Position coordinate	ft			
Z	Height	ft			

AN INVESTIGATION OF INSTABILITIES ENCOUNTERED DURING HEAT TRANSFER TO A SUPERCRITICAL FLUID

by

Archie Junior Cornelius

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

For several decades, an aura of mystery has surrounded the behavior of a substance near its thermodynamic critical point. Early attempts to measure thermodynamic properties in this region yielded results that varied with experimental method due to the large variation of properties and to the difficulty of achieving an equilibrium state within the sample. These early results led to the development of contradictory concepts regarding the behavior of a substance near the critical point. As more sophisticated experimental techniques were developed, many of the discrepancies were explained, and a generally-accepted pattern of thermodynamic behavior emerged. A similar situation exists today regarding the measurement of reliable transport properties near the critical point.

In recent years, studies of heat transfer to fluids near their critical point have revealed another anomaly. Reports of severe pressure and flow oscillations spontaneously accompanying the transfer of heat in this region have accumulated, although a plausible explanation of the phenomena has not been advanced. The purpose of this study is to investigate this unstable behavior, which occurs in connection with the transfer of heat to a fluid near its thermodynamic critical point.

A better understanding of such instabilities is required in several fields. A fluid near its critical point possesses the desirable properties of large specific heat, which favors the transport of energy, and a large coefficient of thermal expansion, which may be regarded as the driving force of free convection. Hence a fluid's properties near the critical state make it an efficient heat-transfer medium under the proper conditions. This capability has been used, with favorable results, for the cooling of gas turbine blades. The demand for increased efficiency of power-generation plants has generated interest in employing supercritical water as a coolant to increase the thermal efficiency of the plant. Several conventional steam-power plants have been designed and built for operation at supercritical pressures. The design of a supercritical nuclear-reactor power system has been considered. Another example of heat transfer to a supercritical fluid is in the modern rocket motor. The chamber pressures frequently exceed

the critical pressure of the fuel, particularly when such fuels as liquid hydrogen are employed. Hence, a knowledge of instabilities associated with heat transfer to a fluid near its critical point is essential to present-day technology.

To gain a better basic knowledge of the instabilities, a heat-transfer loop was assembled which could operate by either natural or forced convection. The loop was instrumented so that an adequate record of the transient behavior was obtained. It was hoped that the microscopic behavior of a substance near the critical state might be better understood by an examination of the macroscopic experimental results, utilizing the knowledge and techniques that have evolved from the study of more conventional and familiar systems. The results of such an examination are presented in succeeding chapters. Analogies drawn between the transient behavior and more conventional systems may aid in the understanding of the phenomenon.

To understand what is happening during heat transfer to a supercritical fluid, the change of properties in the neighborhood of the critical point and above must be considered. The critical pressure of a fluid is, by definition, the upper limiting pressure at which the liquid and vapor phase may coexist in equilibrium. Therefore, at critical pressures or above, only a single phase is present at equilibrium conditions. The critical temperature is similarly defined. The critical point is at the intersection of the critical temperature and critical pressure. Values of representative critical-point data for various substances are listed in Table I.

Table I
CRITICAL DATA OF VARIOUS SUBSTANCES

	Temperature (°F)	Pressure (psia)	Specific Volume (lb _m /ft ³)
Alcohol	469.6	926	0.0576
Ammonia	270.3	1640	0.068
Carbon dioxide	87.8	1071	0.0344
Freon 114	294.3	473	0.0275
Hydrogen	-399.8	188	0.517
Nitrogen	-232.8	492	0.053
Oxygen	-181.8	730	0.0376
Pentane	387.0	485	0.069
Water	705.4	3204	0.051

Several interesting property variations occur at the critical point. Theoretically, the specific heat at constant pressure and the coefficient of thermal expansion approach infinity. This behavior may be considered to be

a consequence of the fact that the critical point is the upper limit in which boiling occurs. The latent heat of vaporization approaches zero at the critical point, and the specific volumes of the saturated liquid and gaseous phases converge. At a pressure infinitesimally smaller than the critical temperature, the enthalpy can be increased by an infinitesimal amount equal to the latent heat while the temperature remains constant. Simultaneously, an infinitesimal volume increase occurs. Consequently, the specific heat and coefficient of thermal expansion assume infinite values below the critical pressure. A sharp finite maximum in the specific heat and coefficient of thermal expansion exists at pressures greater than the critical pressure as illustrated in Fig. 1. There is no good experimental evidence that either property has an infinite value in a supercritical state. The supercritical temperature at which the maximum specific heat occurs is designated the pseudocritical temperature, following the terminology of Goldmann.¹ The pseudocritical temperature increases with pressure, and the magnitude of the maximum specific heat decreases, as in Fig. 2.

Fig. 1

Specific Heat of Freon 114 at 500 psia

Fig. 2

Phase Regions for Freon 114

Consider the property changes that occur as heat is added to a unit mass of a substance whose initial temperature is below the pseudocritical temperature at a constant supercritical pressure as shown in Figs. 1 through 4. Initially, the properties resemble those of a liquid; the density decreases slightly with the addition of heat, the specific heat is almost constant, and both the viscosity and thermal conductivity decrease with temperature. Such a state will arbitrarily be designated pseudoliquid, as shown in Fig. 2. Actually, a pseudoliquid cannot be distinguished from a subcooled liquid by any means other than the reference to the critical point. As the fluid is heated through the pseudocritical temperature, the density of the fluid decreases most rapidly with a small change of temperature. At a temperature above the pseudocritical temperature, the density variation resembles a gas-like behavior, and the viscosity and thermal conductivity reach a minimum and then begin to increase with temperature, as is characteristic of most gases. Such a state will be designated pseudovapor, as shown in Fig. 2. A distinct analogy exists between passing through the pseudocritical temperature at a supercritical pressure and boiling at subcritical pressures. The difference is that, at supercritical pressures, the substance does not separate into two phases in equilibrium, and the relatively smaller volume and enthalpy increase requires a finite temperature change.

Fig. 3. Density of Freon 114
at 500 psia

Fig. 4. Enthalpy of Freon 114
at 500 psia

Another property of interest in compressible-flow problems is the sonic velocity. The sonic velocity decreases from a rather high value in the pseudoliquid region to a minimum at the pseudocritical temperature, and then increases to an intermediate value in the pseudovapor region, as shown in Fig. 5. The values of the sonic velocity in the pseudovapor region were calculated for the Freon 114 from the property data of Van Wie and Ebel² by the following equation:

$$c = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}\right)_S} \sim \sqrt{\frac{144g_c(p_2 - p_1)_S}{(\rho_2 - \rho_1)_S}} \quad (1.1)$$

Since similar data for the pseudoliquid region were available only at temperatures close to the pseudocritical temperature, the values shown

Fig. 5. Sonic Velocity of Freon 114 at 500 psia

in the pseudoliquid region were partially obtained from the experimental data by a method discussed in Chapter V. The sonic velocity varies approximately with the cube of the density in the compressed-liquid region; this furnishes a reasonable check of the experimental values. It has also been found that carbon dioxide exhibits results similar to those shown in Fig. 5.³

The variation of the thermal conductivity and viscosity near the pseudocritical temperature has not been definitely established. Many correlations show both transport properties decreasing smoothly through the pseudocritical temperature to a minimum at a temperature greater than the pseudocritical temperature.⁴ However, recent

references,^{5,6} reporting results for carbon dioxide made with a high degree of precision, show a cusplike maximum in both properties near the pseudocritical temperature, as shown qualitatively in Fig. 6. The results

Fig. 6

Qualitative Variation of Viscosity and Thermal Conductivity at a Supercritical Pressure

depend, to some extent, upon experimental method and upon the heating rates involved. The results of Simon and Eckert;⁵ for example, show the cusplike maximum vanishing as the heat rate is extrapolated to zero. The results of Sengers and Michels⁶ exhibit a maximum that is independent of heat rate at similarly small temperature differentials. Since both tests were reportedly conducted under conditions such that convection effects were negligible, the phenomenon is difficult to explain. Obviously, this contradiction must be resolved before near-critical transport processes can be understood satisfactorily.

Considerable insight into the liquid-like and gas-like behavior of supercritical fluids may be gained by considering the molecular theory of fluids. In the gaseous region, the molecules are generally considered as having a freedom of motion over distances very large compared with their size, being affected by neighboring molecules only during momentary collisions. When the density of the gas is increased, a point is reached when the mean free path of the molecules is so small that the molecules are affected by their neighbors for an appreciable length of time causing deviation from a "perfect gas" behavior. The close approach of the molecules causes a transitional approach to the liquid-like-state; i.e., the molecules become grouped into clusters of pairs, triplets, etc., until the clusters are of microscopic size. The size of the clusters decreases with temperature and increases with density. A dense gas or vapor is considered to be composed of temporary clusters of molecules, dynamically in equilibrium with molecules that move independently. In the liquid-like region, the molecules are considered to be grouped in cybotactic clusters, i.e., a regularly-oriented group of molecules resembling a crystal structure.

When energy is added to a liquid-like fluid, the kinetic energy of the molecules is increased. The clusters tend to become smaller in size and spaced further apart. Below the critical pressure, a transition from the liquid to vapor state occurs at constant temperature as energy is added. The cybotactic clusters break up, allowing individual molecules to escape. The latent heat is a measure of the energy required to effect this transition. During this subcritical phase transition, the fluid can separate into distinct phases, the cybotactic clusters remaining in equilibrium with the individual molecules. Frequently, an excess amount of energy or "superheat" is required to initiate the phase transition, particularly if the fluid is contained in an especially smooth-walled vessel. This indicates that non-equilibrium conditions can exist at subcritical pressures.

At pressures greater than the critical pressure, a similar transition occurs. The high specific heat near the pseudocritical temperature is a macroscopic manifestation of the amount of energy required to cause the cybotactic clusters to break up, allowing the molecules to behave as a dense gas. The large coefficient of thermal expansion is a measure of the density change during this transition. During the supercritical transition, the fluid

does not separate into two phases in equilibrium. Rather, the fluid approaches equilibrium as a homogeneous mixture of the molecular groups. Judging from reports of property measurements, several hours or days may be required for this equilibrium to be achieved. The large time required to reach equilibrium is possibly the cause for discrepancies in property measurements, and also may result in various types of anomalous behavior.

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE SURVEY

A survey of the literature covering spontaneous, oscillatory, heat-transfer phenomena is presented. Such oscillations have been frequently encountered and are of general interest, but are not well-understood, due to the lack of a satisfactory analytical model or physical explanation. Of particular significance to the present investigation are the infrequent references to two different modes of oscillations. The first is of an acoustic nature, with frequencies determined by the geometry of a resonating element and the average sonic speed within the resonator. The second is an oscillation whose frequency is comparable with the natural frequency of the system considered as a vibrating solid body.

A. Single-phase Supercritical Results

The earliest reference describing oscillations during heat transfer to a supercritical fluid appears in the 1939 publication by Schmidt *et al.*⁷ They were studying the heat-transfer characteristics of ammonia at supercritical pressures in an experimental natural-circulation loop. "Near the critical pressure both pressure and temperature fluctuated very much in time, so that measurement in this region was made very difficult and in part impossible. These fluctuations appeared not only during heating but persisted hours afterwards. In passing through the critical state during heating, the pressure and temperature increase was retarded greatly because of the increase of β and c_p . At about the critical pressure, the manometer pointer rose almost suddenly by about 5 atm." The authors did not attempt to study the oscillation in detail or to venture an opinion about its cause. It was concluded that there was a strong increase of heat transfer near the critical state, characterized by an increase of the "apparent heat conductivity coefficient." However, the "apparent heat conductivity coefficient" was defined by the difference in inlet and outlet bulk temperatures, so care must be taken not to confuse the authors' coefficient with one based on the temperature difference between the wall and the fluid.

Similar pressure fluctuations were encountered by Holman and Boggs⁸ during natural-circulation heat transfer to Freon 12 close to the critical state. Pressure fluctuations on the order of 20 to 30 psi were accompanied by an intense vibration of the test apparatus. The instability of operation was attributed to the rapid change of properties. The test results did not show an appreciable increase in the heat-transfer coefficient in the critical region, which was believed to be a logical consequence of the fact that the fluid-flow rate is dependent on the heat-transfer rate in a natural circulation loop. The behavior of the heat-transfer coefficient during the vibrations was not mentioned. This type of behavior was also observed by Van Putte and Grosh⁹ during heat transfer to supercritical water.

Goldmann¹ studied heat transfer to supercritical water at 5000 psi flowing at high mass flow rates through small-diameter (0.075-in.-ID) tubes. The experimental work was carried out on a classified project in 1952-1954.¹⁰ Of special interest are observations of two distinctly different modes of heat transfer. For the "normal" mode, the experimental data compared quantitatively with analyses. The second mode was characterized by unexplainably-high heat-transfer coefficients and the presence of an audible noise described as a whistle. The "whistle" occurred only at high heat fluxes and with bulk temperatures below the pseudocritical temperature. During the "whistle," the temperature of the last 3 in. of an 8-in. test section remained almost constant. Strain-gauge measurements indicated a pressure variation in the test section of up to 1000 psi. The frequency of the sound varied from 1400 to 2200 cps. Inlet temperature appeared to have the greatest effect on the frequency. A boiling-like mechanism in the supercritical fluid was postulated as the cause of the behavior.

Goldmann¹¹ considered the following mechanisms that could possibly account for the audible noises:

- a. Breakdown of boundary layer by the buildup of ripples, similar to the breaking of ocean waves;
- b. Sounds generated by Leidenfrost effect;
- c. Sounds generated by the quenching of a hot solid in liquid;
- d. Implosion of bubbles;
- e. Rubbing surfaces.

Apparently Goldmann did not consider that the noise could be due to acoustic resonance in the small-diameter tubing, possibly because the whistle could be produced in various test sections whose length varied between 2 and 8 in. The reports do not mention whether the distance between the mixing chambers was varied substantially when the test section was changed. If not, then the "whistling" phenomenon appears to be an excellent example of pressure oscillations due to acoustic resonance in the small-diameter tubing.

The supercritical boiling-like phenomenon was postulated to explain the increased heat-transfer coefficients that were observed. Further substantiation of the supercritical boiling theory was provided when it was learned that similar sounds had been heard during boiling experiments.^{12,13} A visit by Goldmann and co-workers to various boiling-study locations revealed that audible sounds had been heard at certain times but that no reasonable explanations had been found. The visits also revealed that some of the investigators were unaware of the sounds heard at other installations. It was concluded that high-intensity sounds can be generated at pressures both above and below the critical pressure. For water at subcritical pressures, high-intensity sounds were noted under the following conditions:

- a. Small-diameter tubes (order of magnitude $1/8$ in.);
- b. Heat fluxes approaching burnout;
- c. Large subcooling;
- d. No boiling at entrance of tube, with nucleate or bulk boiling towards the end of tube.

Increasing the heat flux or decreasing the flow could cause the sounds to commence. The audible frequencies were either estimated or measured to be between 1000 and 2000 cps. These conditions described for the occurrence of sound during subcritical boiling were similar to the conditions at which sounds were noted during the heat-transfer experiments with supercritical water.¹⁰

Visual verification that a supercritical boiling-like mechanism can exist was provided by two photographic studies. Griffith and Sabersky¹⁴ observed and photographed bubble-like activity in supercritical R-114A ($\text{CCl}_2\text{F}-\text{CF}_3$) near a heated surface. The behavior was similar to that observed during nucleate boiling at subcritical pressures. The bubble-like activity observed in the pool heating apparatus did not result in an increased heat-transfer coefficient such as was observed during subcritical nucleate boiling. Nevertheless the observations support the view that bubble-like activity can exist in a supercritical fluid.

Pool heating of hydrogen at subcritical and supercritical pressures was investigated under varying accelerations by Graham *et al.*¹⁵ High-speed photographs revealed that a phenomenon resembling columnar boiling existed at supercritical pressures. Bubbles were not present, but sizable agglomerations of low-density fluids were observed rising through a denser and colder fluid. This gave a boiling-like appearance to the supercritical heating process. At higher temperature differences, the heat-transfer coefficients in the supercritical region were similar to those measured during film boiling at subcritical pressures, leading to the conclusion that the heat-transfer mechanism is similar for these conditions.

In a study of forced-convection heat transfer to supercritical water, Dickinson and Welch¹⁶ measured values of heat-transfer coefficients twice as large as those predicted by extrapolation of data or by a theoretical analysis. This difference occurred at fluid temperatures near the pseudo-critical temperature. The unexplainably-high heat-transfer coefficients were attributed to the boiling-like behavior that Goldmann had postulated. Oscillations or unusual noises in the high-velocity forced-convection runs were not mentioned. Possibly the loop geometry accounted for the lack of oscillations, an idea that will be developed further.

Similarly high heat-transfer coefficients were observed by Doughty and Drake¹⁷ during pool heating of supercritical Freon 12. Near the pseudo-critical temperature, the experimental heat-transfer coefficients increased

tenfold over typical values in the pseudovapor region and were three times as large as typical pseudoliquid values. Although the increase was appreciable, the effect depended upon the heating rate and was limited to a narrow region of state conditions. During several experimental runs, a larger heat-transfer coefficient was measured when the heat rate was increasing then decreasing. This effect was not always repeatable.

Bonilla and Sigel¹⁸ studied natural convection from a horizontal flat plate to pentane in the vicinity of the critical point. Large temperature differences between wall and bulk temperatures were employed. The usual natural convection correlations were applicable, except at very high Rayleigh numbers, where a limiting Nusselt number of about 1300 was reached despite increased values of the Rayleigh number. Minor (2°F) temperature oscillations were noted.

In studies of forced-convection heat transfer to liquid hydrogen and helium in an open loop, McCarthy and Wolf¹⁹ observed that under certain conditions the heat transfer was accompanied by spontaneous pressure oscillations of 2 to 4 psi amplitude. The frequencies were on the order of 500 cps, approximately the fundamental acoustic frequency of the test section between two mixing chambers. The presence of the acoustic resonance increased the heat-transfer coefficient by as much as a factor of two. The conditions causing the oscillations were not studied.

Thurston²⁰ examined the hydrogen oscillation in more detail in an open-blowdown type of apparatus. Heating was provided by cooling the test section from ambient to liquid hydrogen temperatures during each run. Acoustic oscillations, which were identified as open-open pipe and Helmholtz resonance, were observed. A slower pressure oscillation, described as a sawtooth or negative pressure pulse, was accompanied by large variations in flow rate. None of the oscillations occurred when the inlet fluid was in a pseudovapor state. From this, it was concluded that the mechanism for driving the oscillations occurred only when a pseudoliquid was present in some part of the test section. No speculation was presented as to the nature of the mechanism.

Severe oscillations were encountered by Hines and Wolf²¹ during heat transfer to supercritical hydrocarbon rocket fuels. Pressure fluctuations of 380 psi amplitude peak-to-peak at frequencies of 600 to 10,000 cps were reported. The vibrations caused many of the thin-walled test sections to fail during a 30-min test period. The vibrations also occurred in thicker test sections which withstood the stresses. The oscillations were accompanied by sharply-increased heat-transfer coefficients and an audible noise, described as a high pitched scream, which could be heard for 200 yards. An analysis of the frequency spectrum showed that the dominant frequency varied from 1200 to 7500 cps. Minor frequencies were always present, ranging from 600 to 15,000 cps. From this, it was concluded that the pressure oscillations were not simple,

resonant, acoustic oscillations such as had been reported by McCarthy and Wolf.¹⁹ However, the reported frequencies for a given experiment tend to appear as multiples of a common factor. From this, one concludes that the pressure oscillations could be caused by an acoustic resonance in which the higher harmonic frequencies dominate the fundamental frequencies. This idea will be discussed further in a later chapter.

A hypothesis of a viscosity-dependent mechanism which could cause the oscillations to be sustained was presented by the above authors. It was noted that very small changes in temperature near the critical point result in large changes in viscosity. A sudden increase in wall temperature could cause a thinning of the laminar boundary layer due to the viscosity variation. Thinning of the boundary layer would result in a drop in wall temperature, and a corresponding rise in viscosity. This would cause a thicker boundary layer and produce another rise in wall temperature. In this manner, the process could perpetuate itself, leading to sustained oscillations. Although the postulated viscosity-dependent mechanism may contribute to certain types of oscillatory behavior, such a mechanism would not account for sustained oscillations that are acoustic in nature. For an acoustic pressure wave to be maintained, a pressure increase, rather than a temperature increase, must trigger the mechanism. Since the viscosity normally increases with pressure, an increase of pressure at a point would result in a thicker boundary layer and a reduced heat-transfer rate, and would cause acoustic oscillations to be damped, rather than sustained.

Guevara *et al.*²² observed temperature-flow instabilities in a system in which helium flows through a uniformly-heated channel with an increase in the absolute gas temperature by a factor of 3.6 or more. This instability occurred in the region of negative slope on a diagram of steady-state pressure drop versus flow. When a plenum preceded the channel, the oscillation was sustained and spontaneous. Addition of an introductory impedance eliminated the region of negative pressure-drop gradient and stabilized the system. According to the authors, no satisfactory theoretical analysis was developed. Observations consisted largely of pressure drops across the test section and simultaneous flow and power measurements. The experimental periods were on the order of 1000 sec, which may have been caused by the large time required for temperature equilibrium to be established in the equipment rather than by hydrodynamic considerations.

A surprising result was obtained by Powell²³ during heat transfer to oxygen near its critical temperature. A sharp minimum heat-transfer coefficient existed at bulk temperatures near the critical, which would tend to limit the use of this gas as a heat-transfer fluid. Additional experiments with hydrogen and nitrogen were undertaken with similar results, but details were not reported. No oscillatory phenomena were mentioned. The tests with oxygen were made with high wall-to-bulk-temperature ratios. The minimum heat-transfer coefficient resulted in extreme axial temperature profiles at critical bulk temperatures, in which

the wall temperature increased from 400 to 2000°R in only 10 in., and then rapidly declined as the bulk temperature increased above the critical. Only one other report of a decreased heat-transfer coefficient near the critical temperature has been found and is described below. A somewhat similar result was observed during the current test program.

Several available references covering heat transfer to supercritical carbon dioxide describe forced-convection, closed-loop experiments. Koppel and Smith²⁴ reported unusual axial variations in the heat-transfer coefficient when the bulk temperature of the carbon dioxide was below the pseudo-critical temperature. This behavior was most pronounced near the pseudo-critical temperature, where the wall temperature also was observed to fluctuate randomly with a period of about 1 sec. These fluctuations were not studied extensively. At higher heat fluxes, results similar to Powell's were obtained; i.e., the wall temperature increased from 330 to 680°F in 6 in. and then declined.

Wood and Smith²⁵ measured the radial variation of velocity and temperature. The results indicated a flattening of the radial-temperature profile, a maximum in the velocity profile between the wall and the tube axis, and a maximum heat-transfer coefficient when the bulk temperature passed through the pseudocritical temperature. When measurements were taken within 1°F of the pseudocritical temperature, temperature fluctuations were observed with magnitudes of up to 2°F. Similar pressure fluctuations were not observed.

Bringer and Smith²⁶ presented a generalized correlation for estimating heat-transfer coefficients. No unusual heat-transfer coefficients or oscillatory behavior was mentioned. Similar results were obtained by Petrukhov et al.²⁷

In the carbon dioxide tests reported in the above paragraphs, no flow or pressure oscillations were noted, perhaps because of the low critical temperature of carbon dioxide (87.8°F). In all the CO₂ closed-loop tests, the cooling was controlled by tap water. Consequently, it was impossible to operate at high differential temperatures with bulk temperatures substantially below the pseudocritical temperature (the operating conditions that generally cause the instabilities to occur).

A visual investigation of laminar free convection from a heated vertical plate immersed in carbon dioxide near its critical point was described by Simon and Eckert.⁵ The refractive index distribution was employed to calculate the heat-transfer coefficient and the thermal conductivity of the fluid. Both properties showed a maximum near the critical point which depended upon the heat-transfer rate, despite extremely low heating rates and less than 0.01°C differences across the heated boundary layer. This rate dependence suggests that a natural, convective mechanism may have aided the conduction process, although the authors were unable to discern any circulation with their high-resolution equipment. Near the

critical point, it was difficult to maintain equilibrium for more than a few minutes, and circulation in the fluid could not be avoided. At low heating rates, a slow pulsating instability was evident in the boundary layer; at high heating rates, transition to turbulence occurred.

Harden²⁸ studied the oscillations in a Freon 114 natural-circulation loop. Although he did not recognize them as such, the pressure and flow oscillations may be considered to be of two types. The first was characterized by a dominant frequency of 10 to 20 cps, which was two orders of magnitude greater than the second type of oscillation. Since all the oscillations were encountered at bulk temperatures below the critical temperature, Harden concluded that "the phenomena could not be attributed to the thermodynamic critical point but rather to a critical region." This conclusion was reached due to a consideration of only a one-dimensional model in which all effects were assumed to be caused by variation of bulk properties, rather than local properties. In neglecting the two-dimensional aspects of the problem, he failed to recognize that, although the bulk temperature was below the pseudocritical temperature during the experimental instabilities, the fluid in the heated boundary layer could be (and in fact was) in a pseudovapor state. Consequently, the temperature passed through the pseudocritical temperature in the heated boundary layer during the instabilities.

Harden further concluded that the instabilities occurred only when the product of the bulk density and enthalpy went through a maximum. He reached this conclusion from the fact that his plot of this product versus temperature exhibited a maximum below the pseudocritical temperature in the general region where experimental instabilities were encountered. According to this criterion, the instability occurs when the heater outlet bulk temperature is 10 to 15°F below the pseudocritical temperature. Consequently, the instability would appear to be unrelated to the large variation of properties that takes place at the critical point. This conclusion is believed to be untenable for the following reasons. The location of the density-enthalpy maximum depends upon the arbitrary enthalpy reference point. If the enthalpy is assigned a value of zero at a state different than the one used (saturated liquid at -40°F), the maximum shifts up or down in a readily predictable manner.* Hence, no especial significance can be attached to the shifting location. Further, the unstable behavior was observed outside the region defined by the density-enthalpy maximum criterion, both in the present test program and in several instances listed in Appendix E of Harden's report.

B. Boiling Instability

The striking similarity between instabilities observed during subcritical boiling and supercritical heat-transfer studies suggests a common

*This fact was first brought to attention by Howard Creveling of Purdue University.

mechanism. Since boiling instabilities have already received a good deal of attention, due to their importance in reactor design, the literature in this field was examined.

More than 70 references on two-phase flow oscillations or instabilities were listed by Gouse.²⁹ He concluded that one cannot satisfactorily predict the onset, magnitude, frequency, or disappearance of flow oscillations in various situations. Further, he (and Andrysiak) concluded that no satisfactory analytical model or satisfactory physical explanation of the various types of oscillatory phenomena existed.³⁰ A similar conclusion was reached by others.^{31,32}

The uncertainty as to the cause of the instabilities limits the use of the analytical models that have been developed. The analytical models are useful only in predicting trends that can be compared with experimental data and in approximate extrapolation of the performance of existing reactors. They do not give a consistent theoretical understanding of the basic nature of the instabilities sufficient to ensure that the instabilities can be avoided. This necessitates employing a large factor of safety in the reactor design, which results in the overdesign of reactors that are inherently limited by stability considerations. This overdesign is emphasized since the instabilities have been believed to promote burnout in some instances.³¹

The analysis of the boiling instabilities proceeded along several lines. In one case, it was assumed that extrema in the curve of pressure drop versus flow rate are responsible for unstable behavior. The analysis consisted of determining where the inverse plot of flow rate versus pressure drop is multiple-valued; i.e., a given pressure drop occurs for more than one flow rate. This criterion was first used by Ledinegg³³ to analyze boiler-tube instabilities. In several other instances, instabilities had occurred in the region defined by the criterion.^{22,34} However, unstable behavior has also been observed outside of this region, indicating that another mechanism exists.^{30,31,35,36}

The most general approach to the problem involves the solution of the mass, momentum, and energy-conservation equations subject to the boundary conditions imposed by the loop geometry.³⁶⁻⁴³ The equations are nonlinear, and the complexity of the problem requires simplifying assumptions whose validity is difficult to assess. Fortunately, the situation is improving in this respect, as a better understanding of the two-phase hydrodynamics is slowly acquired. The development of numerical techniques is also progressing rapidly in this field.

Possibly the chief stumbling block to an adequate solution is the inability to describe properly the transient microscopic behavior during nonisothermal two-phase flow by empirical correlations of steady-state, macroscopic observations. These empirical correlations, which relate such quantities as friction losses, heat-transfer coefficients, flow regimes,

and slip to such quantities as average fluid properties and flow rates, are of necessity an integral part of every analysis. In single-phase problems, separate correlations are commonly given for each of only two flow regimes: laminar and turbulent. The transition region between these regimes is not well-defined, and correlations therein are rarely attempted. The problem is compounded in two-phase problems where many regimes are recognized: mist flow, slug flow, annular flow, film boiling, nucleate boiling, etc. To properly solve the boiling-instability problem by existing techniques, the usual correlations are required, not only for the separate regimes, but also for the transition region between the regimes. For example, the experimentally-observed boiling instabilities have one feature in common. The boiling instabilities invariably occur only when the fluid at the heater inlet is subcooled and at least some nucleate boiling occurs in the heated section. Consequently, an adequate solution and understanding of the problem require, as a minimum, that the behavior during the transition to nucleate boiling be thoroughly understood, not only for steady-state conditions, but during transient conditions as nucleate boiling starts and stops. While this conclusion seems elementary, and vitally important, no discussion of its effect has been found in the literature.

Pressure oscillations during boiling-water-reactor operation have been observed to fall into two frequency ranges.⁴⁴ Several reporters have speculated that the more rapid oscillations were due to acoustic resonance. The variation of the velocity of sound in a two-phase mixture is comparable to that in a supercritical fluid, as shown in Fig. 5. The sonic velocity decreases from a high value in the compressed liquid state to a minimum at an extremely low quality. The sonic velocity then increases rapidly, assuming a value characteristic of superheated vapor at higher qualities.⁴⁵ Fleck⁴⁶ pointed out that the small sonic velocity of low-quality steam could result in acoustic resonance with fairly high periods on the order of 3 to 4 sec. Most of the alleged acoustic oscillations have exhibited periods considerably smaller than this value, ranging downward to the order of milliseconds.

Christensen⁴⁷ described several instances in which acoustic resonance was suspected to be significant in reactor operations. He also reviewed the analogy between electric transmission lines and acoustic transmission systems. He concluded that a simple "lumped parameter" model was sufficient to describe the acoustic system of the particular reactor that he considered. In this model, the steam dome and condenser volumes were replaced by equivalent electrical capacitors. The pipe connecting these two volumes was replaced by an equivalent electrical resistance in series with an inductance. The small volume of the pipe justified neglecting its equivalent capacitance. The resonance of the equivalent electrical circuit was 2.4 cps, compared with the experimentally-determined acoustical resonance of 3.2 cps. The difference was believed to be due to the neglecting of the more-complicated piping arrangement of the physical system.

The occurrence of vibration phenomena during boiling-heat transfer, which resulted in the generation of audible sounds, has already been described.^{12,13} Although the pressure oscillations that produced the sounds were not recognized as such, at the time, many of these pressure oscillations were probably of an acoustic nature. To avoid confusion, note that an acoustic pressure oscillation inside a pipe does not necessarily result in noises that are audible to an observer standing beside the pipe. For one reason, the frequency may be outside the audible range (20 to 20,000 cps). Even acoustic frequencies falling in the audible range may not be audible outside the pipe. For a sound to be heard, the disturbance must be propagated through the pipe walls to the ambient surroundings with sufficient intensity for the observer's ear to perceive. Thick pipe walls, or layers of insulation, may prevent such a propagation.

Acoustic oscillations of 10 cps were observed during boiling-heat-transfer studies by Gouse and Andrysiak.³⁰ The acoustic oscillations caused small bubbles to appear to "blink" (i.e., rapidly collapse and then expand) in high-speed photographs. The "blinking" was too fast to observe visually but was also detected by pressure transducers.

In a study of film boiling of water-methanol mixtures, Hoglund⁴⁸ encountered an instability which he designated as "chugging." This resulted in a sound similar to a steam locomotive with frequencies from 1100 to 1400 cycles per minute. The bubbles in the tube were observed to expand and collapse in unison. The frequency of the "chugging" decreased as the water temperature increased and as the water level above the tube decreased. Addition of methanol to the system caused smaller bubbles to form and resulted in a more violent "chugging."

It has been noted, in several instances, that the observed frequencies were comparable to the calculated, undamped, natural frequency of the hydraulic loop, considered as a vibrating solid body. The comparison was made for systems with free surfaces and equivalent U-tube flow paths. Viskanta⁴⁹ referred to such oscillations as gravity oscillations. Using LaGrange's equations of motion in terms of one degree of freedom, and assuming a constant density, he calculated a natural frequency of 0.598 cps for the two-phase Armadilla flow loop. This frequency compares closely to the value of 0.67 cps which was observed at the "chugging" threshold. The method does not consider the fundamental cause of the instability, but it was postulated that disturbances such as addition of makeup water or bubbling of steam through the boiling channel were sufficient to excite the gravitational oscillations. It was concluded that the fair agreement between the experimental and calculated value might be purely accidental.

Gouse and Andrysiak³⁰ also observed oscillations whose frequencies were on the order of the undamped natural frequencies of their loop considered as a U-tube manometer. Their test section consisted of parallel heated channels so that more than one natural frequency existed. In general,

there are as many natural frequencies as channels, but some may be identical due to symmetry. The natural frequencies were calculated by using LaGrange's equations of motion. The authors listed other experimental investigations where the flow oscillation frequencies were comparable to the natural frequencies of the respective systems considered as oscillating manometers.^{41,50-52} This fact was not mentioned in the original references. The results of the Minnesota loop⁴³ were given as an example of a case where the observed frequencies were much lower than the calculated manometer frequencies. Experimental oscillation frequencies from this loop were lower by at least an order of magnitude than those from most other loops. However, by using the method described in Appendix B, it can be shown that the low natural frequency of this loop was due to its geometry and that a true free surface condition did not exist.

C. Summary

The oscillatory behavior encountered during heat transfer to a fluid appears to be similar, regardless of whether the fluid is at a subcritical or supercritical pressure. Although not too much attention has been given to this fact, the oscillatory behavior that has been reported for supercritical fluids is considered by the author to be mainly of a resonant acoustic nature. A similar behavior has often been observed for boiling subcritical fluids, but is generally treated sparingly in the literature. Conversely, the literature contains many reports of subcritical boiling instabilities which could be, although they have not usually been, considered as analogous to a manometer type of oscillation. This type of oscillation also occurs in supercritical systems, but very few reports of such occurrences are available. The manometer type of oscillation is generally less symmetrical in nature and is less rapid than the acoustic oscillation. It is of prime interest in boiling systems, since it is believed to cause power fluctuations in nuclear-reactor applications and contribute to premature burnout.

CHAPTER III

EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

A. Introduction

A heat-transfer loop, designed to operate by either natural or forced convection, was constructed to study the unstable behavior. The relative location of the essential features of the loop is shown schematically in Fig. 7. Refrigerant 114, symmetric dichlorotetrafluoroethane (critical pressure, 473.18 psia; critical temperature, 294.26°F), was employed as the heat-transfer medium to avoid operating at excessive pressure and temperature. The results reported in the literature indicate that the behavior should be similar for most of the ordinarily-employed coolants.

Fig. 7. Schematic Diagram of Heat-transfer Loop

The entire loop and test section were fabricated from 1-in.-OD, 0.93-in.-ID, AISI Type 304, stainless-steel tubing. The flow path was rectangular in shape, with the corners rounded to a 6-in. radius. The overall dimensions of the flow path were 18.09 ft high by 6.83 ft wide, measured along the tubing centers. This resulted in a length of 49.1 ft and a volume of 0.232 ft³ for the loop. Heliarc welding was employed as much as possible in the loop construction, after it was found that the commercially-available tubing connectors tended to buckle the thin tubing, resulting in leaks that were difficult to repair. Table II lists the vertical distance to the components shown in Fig. 7, measured from the bottom horizontal section.

Table II
VERTICAL HEIGHT OF LOOP COMPONENTS

Component	Height* (ft)	Component	Height* (ft)
Pump Exit, Pressure Tap (P_5), and Bottom Horizontal Cooler	0	Bulk Temperature (T_3) and Pressure Tap (P_3)	15.93
Bulk Temperature (T_1) and Pressure Tap (P_0)	1.58	Top of Vertical Cooler	15.76
Heater Inlet	2.07	Bottom of Vertical Cooler	10.76
Heater Outlet	5.07	Pottermeter (Turbine Flowmeter)	10.19
Pressure Tap (P_1)	5.50	Accumulator	5.31
Bulk Temperature (T_2) and Pressure Tap (P_2)	9.71	Bulk Temperature (T_4)	4.31
Upper Horizontal Cooler	18.09	Pressure Tap (P_4)	4.09
		Venturi Throat Pressure Tap	3.88
		Bypass	1.58

*Measured to centers of tubing.

B. Component Description

Many of the loop components had been used in a previous study.²⁸ Their availability dictated their use in the present tests, not only from the standpoint of conserving time and money by avoiding duplication of effort, but also in allowing a more meaningful comparison to be made of the effect of changing the loop geometry and operating techniques between the two experiments. A brief description of the loop components follows.

1. Test Section

The test section was fabricated from a 3-ft length of commercially-available, AISI 304, stainless-steel tubing, with a 1-in. OD and a 0.035-in. wall thickness. The tubing is rated for 500-psi pressure at 1000°F by ASA piping codes, with a safety factor of about four under these conditions. Copper bus bars (9 x 5 x 5/8 in.) were silver-soldered to the ends of the test section. The test section was connected to the loop with 1-in., 300-lb, stainless-steel, pipe flanges. Durabla gaskets were employed between the flanges and around the connecting bolts to insulate the test section electrically from the rest of the loop.

2. Power Supply and Measurement

The test section was electrically heated by a.c. power furnished by a portable ignitron-controlled power supply. The power supply consisted of two independent transformers, each capable of stepping down the line voltage from 440 V to a selected value. The output voltage could be varied continuously from 0.75 to 7.5 V in the present tests. The ignitron circuits controlled the phase shift of the power cycle. The unit was rated to hold current fluctuations within $\pm 0.25\%$ of full load current for line-voltage variations of $\pm 15\%$. The power supply was capable of maintaining a constant rms power level to within less than $\pm 1\%$. No fluctuations in excess of this were noted with the instrumentation employed during the present tests, although occasionally a manual adjustment was necessary to compensate for a drift in the power level.

The electrical energy input to the test section was measured with a portable laboratory wattmeter, whose range was 0 to 500 W and whose full-scale accuracy was 0.25%. As a check of the values obtained, the power was also determined by the product of the voltage drop across the test section and the heating current. The current was reduced with a transformer by a ratio of 2000:5 and read with a portable ammeter with a range of 0 to 10 Amp and a full-scale accuracy of 0.25%. The voltage across the test section was stepped up with a transformer by a ratio of 10:1 and read on a portable voltmeter with a range of 0 to 30/60 V and a full-scale accuracy of 0.25%.

3. Coolers

The fluid could be cooled at any or all of the three counterflow heat exchangers. Tap water from the Laboratory water supply was used as a coolant. The coolant piping was manifolded to allow use of a single cooler, or several coolers in series. The coolant flow rate was measured with two calibrated rotameters, connected in parallel. The dual range of the rotameters, 0 to 10 gpm and 0 to 0.6 gpm, permitted fine and coarse adjustments of the coolant flow rate by manual control. The coolant temperature was measured at each cooler inlet and outlet by Chromel-Alumel thermocouples immersed in the fluid stream.

The coolers consisted of a length of 2-in., Schedule 40, stainless-steel pipe, welded concentric to the 1-in. tubing. No allowance was made for the thermal expansion between the tubing and the shell, since previous operating experience²⁸ had shown this design to be satisfactory. The two upper coolers were each 5 ft long. The bottom horizontal cooler was 45 in. long. For most of the tests, only the cooler on the vertical leg was employed. When more cooling was desired, the vertical cooler was connected in series with the upper horizontal cooler. The bottom cooler was used mainly for cooling while the loop was being filled.

4. Pump

A canned-motor centrifugal pump was installed in the loop to compare results from natural and forced convection. All wetted surfaces were constructed of stainless steel, and the 3/4-hp, 3500-rpm motor was lubricated and cooled by the test fluid, which minimized the chance of contamination. There was some question before the tests as to whether the supercritical fluid would provide sufficient lubrication to prevent bearing failure. The pump was operated at fluid temperature up to, and exceeding, the pseudocritical temperature with no apparent adverse effects. The pump operated satisfactorily at low flow rates, which were controlled by an air-operated throttling valve placed downstream of the pump exit. At higher flow rates, on the order of 8 to 10 gpm, an irregular pressure and flow disturbance occurred, which was attributed by the pump manufacturer to cavitation caused by the rather severe operating conditions.

5. Accumulator

The pressure and system mass were controlled with a gas-bag hydraulic accumulator. The accumulator consisted of a 2½-gal commercially-available, stainless-steel tank, in which a synthetic rubber bag, filled with nitrogen gas, absorbed pressure surges. A bank of three nitrogen bottles was connected in parallel with the gas bag to provide for a larger gas volume and minimize pressure variations. The accumulator

provided a convenient means to automatically bleed off fluid due to volumetric expansion as the system was heated. Thus the system could be pressurized to a supercritical pressure before heating began, and the entire experiment could be conducted at this pressure by regulating the nitrogen pressure. The accumulator was connected to the loop with 1/2-in. stainless-steel tubing, which was run through a small water cooler to keep the temperature in the accumulator below 250°F.

6. Flow Measurement

The flow was measured during the early tests with a calibrated venturi. The venturi was constructed according to ASME recommendations with a throat diameter of 0.3963 in. and inlet and outlet diameters of 0.93 in. Details of the venturi construction and calibrations are given in Reference 28. Steady-state flow rates, as measured by the venturi, agreed with values calculated by making an energy balance across the test section to within 2%. The differential pressure across the venturi taps was measured during steady-state conditions and for calibration purposes with one of two parallel manometers, which contained, respectively, a 2.95 specific gravity fluid and mercury. Transient measurements were made with a differential pressure transducer.

The rapid pressure oscillations encountered resulted in measuring differential pressure oscillations whose amplitude exceeded the average value; i.e., a flow reversal was indicated. Extreme examples of this type are reported in Reference 28. When it was realized that the rapid oscillations were of an acoustic nature, it was suspected that the large venturi pressure-drop amplitudes could be due to the phase relationship of the absolute pressure at the venturi pressure taps. This effect would be especially severe for acoustic oscillations of short wave length, since it can be shown to be inversely proportional to the wave length. (See Appendix A.) However, subsequent analysis showed that the large pressure-drop amplitudes were not caused entirely by the absolute pressure phase effects for this type of acoustic oscillation.

Shaking or pounding on the supporting structure would cause the differential transducer signal to oscillate. The transducer and lines were remounted as solidly as possible, but the effect could not be entirely eliminated. It was concluded that mechanical vibrations can cause large indicated pressure-drop amplitudes, particularly if the differential transducer lines are not well-secured. Since the loop tended to shake during the rapid oscillations, another means for measuring the transient flow was required.

A venturi does not give a true indication of flow rate during a rapidly-recurring variation in velocity or pressure.⁵³ Turbine-type

flowmeters are available, whose accuracy is rated at better than 0.5% even when the flow is pulsating at the frequencies encountered.⁵⁴ Therefore, the use of a turbine flowmeter was indicated; however, previous experience²⁸ had indicated that, for no observable reason, the turbine type of flowmeter (3/4-in. Pottermeter) tended to stick after operating a few hours. Shafer⁵⁵ has pointed out that there is some minimum rate, always above zero, where the electromagnetic and mechanical retarding forces cause the turbine rotor to cease rotating. The meter ceases to respond below such minimum rates, and no indication of flow is generated. The minimum rate increases with the meter size, so the use of a smaller flowmeter was indicated to avoid the sticking difficulty at the relatively low natural-circulation flow rates. The 3/4-in. Pottermeter used in the previous study is rated for flows greater than 1.9 gpm; the 1/2-in. Pottermeter is rated for flows greater than 0.6 gpm and is linear for flow rates from 1.1 to 9.0 gpm.

A 1/2-in. Pottermeter was installed in the loop to help resolve the uncertainties concerning severe differential-pressure oscillations measured across the venturi during the rapid oscillations. The time constant for this flowmeter, from theoretical considerations,⁵⁶ was estimated to be on the order of 1 msec. Experimentally-determined time constants for this type of meter were in the range of 2 to 4 msec.⁵⁵ Therefore, the meter should have been able to respond accurately to variations in flow rate of at least 100 cps, which was adequate for the values of interest. The meter performed satisfactorily in its rated range, except that occasionally a sudden abrupt shift in its calibration factor occurred. This shift may have been caused by a slight temporary sticking of the turbine bearings, but it is believed to have been caused by the erratic behavior of the electronic frequency converter, which generated an electrical signal proportional to the turbine speed. This difficulty did not invalidate the results, but necessitated a frequent calibration check. The turbine flowmeter was calibrated in place daily, using the venturi as a standard.

7. Pressure Measurements

The pressure taps shown in Fig. 5 were connected by 1/4-in. stainless-steel tubing, through a manifold arrangement, to a bourdon-tube pressure gauge. The pressure gauge was located at a level parallel to the midpoint of the heated section, and was calibrated with a dead-weight pressure tester. The static pressure was also measured with high-response pressure transducers, which were initially connected into the manifold arrangement. Subsequently, the transducers were installed close to selected pressure taps by 5-in. lengths of tubing to improve their response. The effect of such lines is discussed in Chapter V on Experimental Results. The calibrated pressure gauge was used as a reference to calibrate the pressure transducers.

8. Bulk Temperature Measurements

All bulk temperatures were measured with bare, 30-gauge, Chromel-Alumel, thermocouple probes immersed in the fluid stream. The probes were positioned with their tips at the tube centerline and were held in place by tubing connectors welded to the pipe walls.

All Freon 114 bulk thermocouples were preceded by a straight section to minimize asymmetrical effects. The inlet thermocouples for the heated section and cooler were located just upstream of these components. The outlet thermocouples were placed far enough downstream for turbulent mixing to result in a fairly constant radial-temperature profile across the tube. Thermocouples were also welded to the pipe wall at the bulk-thermocouple locations and connected to potentiometric-type indicating instruments for control purposes. Their output was compared with those of the bulk thermocouples to verify that representative bulk temperatures were obtained. No significant difference was detected.

The bulk thermocouples were calibrated in a hypsometer over a temperature range from 200 to 500°F. No significant deviation from tabulated values was noted in the output of the thermocouples; hence, the tabulated values of emf versus temperature were used.

The time constant for such bare thermocouples was estimated to be on the order of 10 msec.⁵⁷ This should have been adequate to detect temperature variations at the frequencies encountered that were of sufficient amplitude to be discernible from the electrical noise. Unfortunately, this electrical noise level was fairly high and tended to mask any rapid (~10-cps) temperature fluctuations that may have occurred but that were less than about 2°F in amplitude.

9. Wall-temperature Measurements

Initially, the test-section wall temperature was measured with 30-gauge, Chromel-Alumel thermocouples, welded to the outside of the tube with a condenser-discharge welder. The thermocouples were then wound around the tube for two turns to minimize thermal conduction errors and taped in place with glass tape. This arrangement resulted in excess noise from electrical pickup. The signal could not be filtered sufficiently so that it could be recorded satisfactorily with the high-response instrumentation, although potentiometric-type instruments were sufficiently damped to do so.

When potentiometric-type indicating instruments were used, it was noted that large changes in wall temperature were occurring with the pressure and flow transients. Therefore, a record of the transient wall-temperature behavior was required. Although a potentiometric-type

recording instrument could have been used to obtain such a record, the large amount of damping may have masked many features of interest.

Electrically-insulated wall thermocouples were installed to reduce the IR electrical pickup. A 0.0007-in. sheet of mica was placed on the outside wall. A 30-gauge Chromel-Alumel thermocouple, with a small honed bead, was laid over the mica, parallel to the tube axis. The thermocouple, including the bead, was securely taped in place with glass tape. The thermocouple was then bent out at a 90° angle so that it could be led away in a plane perpendicular to the tube axis. This arrangement reduced the electrical noise to a level comparable to that observed by the bulk thermocouples. One of the major sources of remaining noise originated in the d.c. amplifiers, which were part of the electronic recording circuit.

The time constant for the insulated thermocouple was estimated as follows. Using the electrical thermal analogy, the sheet of mica separating the wall and the thermocouple is considered as an equivalent resistor and capacitor in series. The time constant for this circuit may be shown to equal the product of the equivalent resistance and capacitance. Using tabulated values⁵⁸ of mica properties, the time constant is calculated to be

$$RC = \frac{L^2 \rho c_p}{k} = 109 \text{ msec.} \quad (3.1)$$

This value appears reasonable upon comparison with experimentally-determined values for insulated thermocouple probes.⁵⁷ The actual value is probably somewhat higher due to neglecting contact resistance in the analysis. The amplitude of the electrical noise level was such that any rapid (~10-cps) wall temperature fluctuation of less than about 5°F amplitude could not be detected. However, slower temperature fluctuations of this magnitude would have been detected.

Table III
WALL-THERMOCOUPLE
LOCATION
(Distance from heater inlet)

Thermocouple	Distance (in.)
TW 1	6
TW 2	12
TW 3	18
TW 4	24
TW 5	30
TW 6	33 $\frac{1}{4}$

The location of the wall thermocouples is shown in Table III, which lists their distance from the inlet of the heated section. Another thermocouple was welded diametrically opposite from thermocouple TW 5 and connected to a potentiometric-type indicating instrument for control purposes.

The difference between the inside- and outside-wall temperatures was estimated by using the constant-property part of Clark's⁵⁹ solution, which was derived for

an electrically-heated tube. The part of his solution that accounts for the variable metal properties was found to be negligible. The temperature drop across the heater walls was estimated to be 5°F at 8-kW power input and was proportional to the electrical power input.

10. Recording Instrumentation

An essential feature of an instability study is that a suitable record of the time-dependent behavior of the pertinent variables must be obtained. Such a record was obtained, in the present case, by recording the outputs of the thermocouples, absolute and differential pressure transducers, and turbine flowmeter with a recording oscillograph.

Briefly, the recorder consisted of banks of galvanometers, each of which deflected a small mirror by a distance proportional to the electrical signal. The mirrors reflected a light beam proportional to the deflection onto moving photosensitive paper whose linear speed was closely controlled at selected values. The galvanometers were the heart of the instrument and were selected so that their frequency response and sensitivity met the test requirements. All the galvanometers used were rated to follow the signal to within $\pm 5\%$ at frequencies less than 24 cps. Some of the fluid-damped galvanometers used were similarly rated for frequencies up to 1000 cps. However, the sensitivity of the fluid-damped galvanometers was so low that an amplifier was required, which introduced additional electrical noise. Hence, the choice of a proper galvanometer required a compromise depending upon the test requirement.

One wire of all thermocouples was connected in series with an ice junction and a precisely-calibrated bucking voltage of 0 to 10/20 mV. The bucking voltages were required to limit the amplifier outputs to a desired range, so as not to overload the galvanometers. They also provided a convenient means of adjusting a signal's position on a record chart. The bucking voltages consisted of a voltage-dividing circuit connected to a 1.35-V mercury cell. The voltage-dividing circuit was sized so that the effect of loading would not cause the bucking voltage to vary by more than 1/2%. Following the bucking voltage, the thermocouple leads were connected to a d.c. amplifier.

An amplifier was required in the thermocouple circuit to prevent the galvanometer current from causing a substantial IR voltage drop along the thermocouple leads. A high-input-impedance d.c. amplifier in the thermocouple circuit prevented this effect, since it draws negligible input current. The power to deflect the oscillograph galvanometer was then furnished by the amplifier power supply, rather than by the thermocouple. The high-quality amplifiers used had 100-megohm input impedance and a gain of 1 to 1000, which could be varied continuously.

To reduce the electrical noise in the thermocouple circuits to a tolerable level, a 100- μ f capacitor was connected across the thermocouple leads at the amplifier input. This method reduced the electrical noise, but the noise may have masked temperature variations less than the order of 2°F for bulk temperatures, and 5°F for wall temperature, at the higher test frequencies.

All the pressure transducers produced a signal that did not require further amplification. The transducers required a constant-voltage input, which was furnished by power supply units, rated to vary less than 0.002 V for a line-voltage variation of 15 V. The noise level of the power supplies was rated at less than 100 μ V rms. The transducer output signals were fed directly to the recording oscillograph.

The turbine flowmeter signal consisted of a periodic voltage whose frequency was proportional to the turbine speed and fluid volumetric flow rate. This signal was converted by a commercially-available electronic frequency converter to a d.c. voltage proportional to the flow rate. The noise level of the frequency-converter output required a filter to suppress the high-frequency noise. The filtered signal from the frequency converter was recorded by the oscillograph.

11. Safety Features

The heated section was surrounded with a 1/4-in. aluminum plate shield, designed to protect personnel from a failure in the test section. A 1000-psi rupture disc at the accumulator connection kept the loop from being accidentally overpressured. The Laboratory water piping system was isolated from a pressure surge in the event of a cooler failure by a 600-psi check valve upstream of the coolers. In addition, a safety interlock shut off the electrical heating power if (1) the pressure exceeded a set value, which could be varied at a pressure limit switch; (2) the temperature near the heater exit exceeded a preset value; or (3) the flow rate fell below a selected value.

12. Thermal Insulation

After the loop and instrumentation assembly was complete, the entire loop was thermally insulated. The loop had been insulated during assembly at all points of contact with the supporting framework with several layers of 85% magnesia insulation before being loosely clamped in place. This type of assembly allowed the loop to "grow" during thermal expansion. The test section was covered with a 2-in. layer of molded 85% magnesia insulation. The balance of the loop was covered with two layers of 1½-in.-thick spun fiber-glass bats, with aluminum foil on the outside. Temperature drops of only 1 to 2°F were measured between the heater and the vertical cooler, during operation, attesting to the efficiency of the insulation.

CHAPTER IV

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The loop was hydrostatically tested with nitrogen gas at 800 psi after the loop was assembled. Considerable effort was required to seal all the leaks at the tubing connectors which tended to buckle the thin tubing. In all subsequent modifications, Heliarc welding was employed as much as possible to avoid this trouble. When the leaks were stopped so that the hydrostatic pressure could be held overnight, a similar check was made with a vacuum pump, which evacuated the loop to an absolute pressure of 50 microns Hg.

When the system was satisfactorily sealed, the evacuated loop was filled with fluid by heating the Refrigerant 114 supply cylinder (which was connected to the P_5 pressure tap) with heating tapes so that the saturation pressure in the supply tank was about 20 psi greater than the saturation pressure corresponding to the temperature in the loop. The temperature in the loop was lowered by circulating tap water through the bottom horizontal cooler so that a pressure of 40 psig in the supply tank was sufficient for the filling operation. When the loop was full of liquid Refrigerant 114, the pressure in the loop rose to the pressure level in the supply cylinder. The accumulator valve was then opened, and a small additional amount of Refrigerant 114 was added to ensure that there was sufficient fluid in the system to permit pressurization with the accumulator. All manometer and pressure lines were then bled to remove any air that may have remained in the system.

At the start of an experimental run, the system was pressurized to a supercritical pressure by regulating the nitrogen pressure on the gas side of the accumulator. This supercritical pressure was maintained throughout the experiment, ensuring that subcritical boiling would not be encountered.

During the initial pressurization, the calibration of the absolute pressure transducers and their electronic circuits was checked by comparing their output at the oscillograph with the bourdon-tube pressure gauge. When the system was pressurized, the turbine flowmeter was checked by starting the pump and comparing the flowmeter output at the oscillograph with the venturi pressure drop at the manometer. To check the thermocouple circuit, a calibrated signal was fed to each amplifier in turn, and the gain of the amplifier was adjusted so that the proper oscillograph response was obtained. The calibration could also be checked during a test by comparing the recorded values with values read from a potentiometer connected to the thermocouples through a selector switch.

As soon as the daily check of the instrumentation was complete, the cooling water was turned on to maximum capacity, the pump was started, and the flow rate was set, if a forced circulation run was planned.

Then the electrical heating power was slowly increased and maintained at a selected value. After the system reached thermal equilibrium, the flow rate of the cooling water was slowly decreased, causing the bulk temperature to increase. In this manner, the bulk temperature was varied slowly from ambient temperatures to temperatures exceeding the pseudo-critical temperature. Several runs were made to ensure that the behavior of the system was similar when the system was slowly cooled over the same range. During the run, a complete record of the transient behavior was obtained by recording the outputs of selected wall and bulk thermocouples, pressure transducers, and flowmeter with the recording oscillograph. A close visual check of these quantities was maintained while they were recorded by the oscillograph and with the indicating instrumentation.

As the system was heated, the thermal expansion of the fluid would tend to increase the pressure of the system. The pressure was kept at a constant level by regulating the nitrogen pressure on the gas side of the accumulator, allowing the fluid to expand into the accumulator. The accumulator also tended to absorb any pressure surges encountered during the oscillations.

The accumulator did not affect the rapid type of oscillations to an appreciable extent. This was determined by closing the accumulator valve while the rapid oscillations were occurring or were about to occur. This closing had no appreciable effect on the inception or maintenance of the rapid oscillations.

Closing of the accumulator valve had much more effect on the slower type of oscillations that were encountered with bulk temperatures slightly below the pseudocritical. These slow oscillations were accompanied by a sudden improvement of the heat-transfer coefficient during each cycle (as will be explained in the next chapter), which resulted in an accompanying increased heat-transfer rate to the fluid. This resulted in an abrupt expansion of the fluid in the heated section. With the accumulator valve open, the resultant pressure surge was greatly absorbed, but a comparatively large mass interchange occurred between the loop and the accumulator during each pressure cycle, which caused the heater inlet temperatures to vary substantially. This inlet-temperature variation was a confusion factor in the interpretation of the results. With the accumulator valve closed, a large variation of pressure occurred, which could not be tolerated. A compromise between these extremes was reached by operating with the accumulator valve barely cracked open. The pressure surge was absorbed to a great extent, and the inlet temperature still did not vary substantially.

To obtain consistent results, the wall temperatures could not be allowed to exceed 600 to 700°F. If this temperature limit was exceeded, a chemical reaction apparently was started or accelerated on the wall. Eventually, a deposit would build up so that the outside wall temperatures

were up to 200°F higher than their former values. The deposit would cause the inception point of the oscillations to vary, but otherwise did not affect the qualitative behavior of the loop; i.e., the same types of oscillations were observed with and without the deposit. The deposit tended to make the rapid oscillations occur at lower bulk temperatures, probably due to the higher wall temperatures. They also tended to make the transition to the "boiling-like" behavior (which triggered the slow oscillations) less abrupt, so that this type of oscillation was less severe after the deposit had formed. When the test section was thoroughly cleaned with a wire brush and alcohol, the loop reverted to its original behavior. The reaction limited the electrical power to 9 kW.

The inclusion of the 1/2-in. turbine flowmeter in the loop caused a substantial damping of the rapid type of oscillations. Rapid pressure oscillations of up to 120-psi amplitude were observed before the flowmeter was installed. The amplitude did not exceed 30 psi for the same type of oscillation after the flowmeter was installed, and did not occur at all during subsequent forced-convection runs, unless the pump bypass valve was partially open.

Certain sizes of pressure transducer lines caused spurious pressure oscillations. These oscillations were characterized by the fact that the frequency varied at various transducers and with the length of line between the pressure tap and the transducer. In general, these oscillations could be avoided by increasing or decreasing the length of the line to avoid a resonant condition.

CHAPTER V

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Instabilities, which result in a periodic variation of flow and pressure during heat transfer to a fluid at a supercritical pressure, were studied in a heat-transfer loop designed to operate by either natural or forced convection. The instabilities were strongly damped during forced-convection operation at flow rates greater than would be attained by natural convection. Attention was therefore concentrated on the instabilities observed during natural-convection operation.

Two different types of oscillatory behavior were observed during the tests. The first was of an acoustic nature, exhibiting harmonic pressure and flow oscillations in the frequency range of 5 to 30 cps. The acoustic oscillations occurred over a range of bulk temperatures below the pseudocritical temperature. The second type of instability was characterized by a frequency two orders of magnitude less than that for the sonic type. This type of oscillation occurred as the heater-outlet bulk temperature approached the pseudocritical temperature, and is best described by considering the entire fluid mass as a rigid body vibrating about its equilibrium condition.

A. Acoustic Oscillations

As the system was heated, sinusoidal fluctuations in flow and pressure were encountered, as illustrated in Fig. 8. The frequency of these oscillations varied from 5 to 30 cps, decreasing as the average temperature in the loop was raised to the pseudocritical temperature. These oscillations occurred intermittently as the loop was slowly heated, at constant electrical power, from the ambient temperature to the pseudocritical temperature. The amplitude of the pressure oscillations varied intermittently as the test progressed, generally falling in the range of 0 to 40 psi, with a maximum recorded amplitude of 120 psi. The frequency did not depend upon, and the amplitude increased only slightly with, the heating rate.

Fig. 8
Sinusoidal Pressure Variation
during Acoustic Oscillations

The bulk temperature at which the oscillations first occurred decreased with the heating power. **Representative conditions at which the oscillations first occurred** are shown in Table IV. The acoustic oscillations were observed over the temperature range from the lower limit shown in Table IV up to outlet bulk temperatures near the pseudocritical temperature.

Table IV
LOWER LIMIT OF ACOUSTIC OSCILLATION RANGE

Power (kW)	Inlet Bulk Temperature (°F)	Outlet Bulk Temperature (°F)
2	205	246
4	140	195
6	130	211
8	120	203

In this range, the oscillations occurred spontaneously as the loop was slowly heated or cooled. In some cases, the oscillations were sustained for more than 30 min. Varying the inlet temperature, pressure, power, or flow rate could also cause the oscillations to start or subside. The frequency of the oscillations did not depend upon their amplitude or how they were initiated. **Occasionally the oscillations would start**, although generally at small amplitude, without any discernible change in any of the observed parameters.

Generally, when large-amplitude fluctuations started, the wall temperature dropped in part or all of the test section, indicating an improvement of the heat-transfer coefficient. A typical record of this occurrence is shown in Fig. 9, which illustrates the wall-temperature drop when the

Fig. 9
Experimental Record of
Acoustic Oscillations

large-amplitude pressure oscillations started and the subsequent wall-temperature increase when the large-amplitude oscillations subsided. The pressure oscillations continued, but at a decreased amplitude, between these events. Only relatively minor flow oscillations were detected at these conditions, but the high-frequency flow oscillations became more pronounced at higher temperatures.

Figure 9 also illustrates the abrupt increase of the outlet bulk temperature as the heater wall was cooled. The abrupt change in T_2 reflected the increased heat-transfer rate to the fluid as the heater wall was cooled, and the subsequent decreased heat-transfer rate when part of the electrical energy was used for heating the heater wall. The outlet-bulk temperature lagged behind the wall temperature because of the downstream location of the outlet-bulk thermocouple. Despite the relatively-large bulk-temperature change at these low temperatures, the flow rate and pressure were not greatly affected.

Frequently the rapid oscillations were sustained for much longer periods than those shown in Fig. 9. At certain conditions, the oscillations seemed to be capable of maintaining themselves indefinitely, provided that the system parameters could be held constant. On several occasions, the oscillations were maintained for more than 30 min before the inlet temperature or power was intentionally changed, causing the oscillations to subside. The envelope of the pressure oscillations in Fig. 9 is an example of the intermittent nature of the pressure amplitude.

A more regular variation of the pressure amplitude is illustrated in Fig. 10, which illustrates a type of "beating" phenomenon which was observed on several occasions. The beats are believed to have occurred due to the superposition of two different, but almost equal, frequencies. In such a case, a periodic rise and fall of intensity occurs at a frequency equal to the difference between the two component frequencies. For example, the pressure beats shown in Fig. 10 could have occurred due to the superposition of 13-cps and 13 ± 1 -cps pressure oscillations.

Fig. 10
Pressure "Beats" during
Acoustic Oscillations

The experimental results suggested that the high-frequency oscillations encountered at bulk temperatures substantially below the pseudo-critical were an acoustical-wave type of disturbance. In this region, a high-response differential-pressure transducer connected across the venturi indicated large oscillations in the venturi differential-pressure drop. A high-response turbine-type flowmeter was installed in the loop to check if these oscillations were caused by variation in the flow. The turbine flowmeter did not indicate large fluctuations in flow that corresponded to the fluctuating pressure drop measured across the venturi. It was suspected that the large venturi pressure-drop fluctuations were caused by acoustic-type pressure waves crossing the venturi taps, with the pressure phase difference causing the large venturi pressure fluctuations. This theory could explain all the excess venturi pressure drop that was observed if the acoustic wavelength was sufficiently short. However, subsequent analysis (see Appendix A) revealed that the acoustic wavelength was too long to account for all of the excessive venturi pressure fluctuation. It is now believed that the large venturi pressure fluctuations were caused partly by a mechanical vibration of the loop and the venturi pressure lines.

The sinusoidal behavior of the oscillations at such definite frequencies indicated that they were of an acoustic nature. If some element of the loop acted as an acoustic resonator, then the fundamental frequency of the oscillation would depend upon the sonic speed of the fluid in the resonating element and the characteristic wavelength of the resonating element according to the equation,

$$f = c/\lambda. \quad (5.1)$$

The experimentally-determined frequencies versus average bulk temperature for the 49.1-ft loop used in the present tests are plotted in Fig. 11. Also included are the results for two different lengths of loop from Reference 28. Two facts are evident. The frequency decreased with increasing temperature, as would

Fig. 11. Variation of Acoustic Frequency with Temperature for Various Loop Lengths

be surmised from Eq. (5.1) and the behavior of the sonic velocity, which similarly decreases with temperature in the given region. The frequency was inversely proportional to the length of the loop for a given average temperature. Evidently the rapid oscillations were acoustic in nature, with a wavelength proportional to the length of the loop. Calculation of the wavelength from Eq. (5.1) and the property data of Van Wie and Ebel² showed that the wavelength was equal to the length around the loop.

The sonic velocity was calculated from the experimentally-determined frequencies using the above conclusions. The results are shown in Fig. 5. The calculated values agree reasonably well with the approximation that the sonic velocity varies with the cube of the density in the compressed-liquid region, and with experimentally-measured carbon dioxide sonic velocities.³

In several isolated instances, when the power was suddenly increased, the frequency was twice as large as that shown by the relationship in Fig. 11. Evidently, the loop was vibrating temporarily at the second harmonic frequency, with a wavelength equal to half the loop length. As would be expected, these isolated instances resulted in more severe pressure oscillations across the venturi.

These results offer convincing evidence that the rapid pressure oscillation was an acoustic wave traveling around the loop with a wavelength equal to the length of the loop. What remains to be explained is the mechanism that perpetuated the oscillation after it was initiated by some type of disturbance. In the absence of a periodic forcing function, the oscillation would have damped out quickly due to the natural damping of the system. For the oscillation to have been maintained, a mechanism must have existed such that the acoustic pressure wave was reinforced upon passing a given point in the system.

An analogous situation, in which a small gas flame introduced into a resonant chamber caused a musical note at the natural frequency of the resonator, was noticed as early as 1777. A detailed examination by Sondhauss⁶¹ and Lord Rayleigh⁶² proved that the vibration was caused by a varying supply of gas furnished to the flame. A resonant vibration was set up in the gas supply line, which resulted in a variable source of heat from the singing flame which could maintain the resonant oscillations if the supply line was the proper length. Frequently, some outside means were necessary to initiate the vibrations, but once started they could maintain themselves at the natural frequency of the resonator. The critical factor as to whether the vibrations were maintained or strongly damped was the length of the gas supply line. If conditions were such that the maximum heat-transfer rate coincided with the instant of greatest condensation (i.e., the heat supply was in phase with the condensation), the vibrations were most strongly maintained. If the heat supply was in phase with the rarefactions, the vibrations were strongly damped. It is reasonable to conclude that this type of model could be used to explain the mechanism that causes acoustic oscillation to be maintained during heat transfer to a supercritical fluid.

Consider a heated surface in contact with a fluid, at a pressure exceeding the critical pressure of the fluid, and with a bulk temperature

less than the pseudocritical temperature. Assume that the wall temperature exceeds the pseudocritical temperature. Then the bulk of the fluid is "liquid-like," but in the heated boundary layer, the fluid properties tend to resemble those of a gas; i.e., the fluid in the boundary layer is highly compressible with a low density. The thermal conductivity of the boundary-layer fluid increases with pressure. A pressure wave passing the heated surface tends to compress the fluid in the boundary layer, and improve the heat-transfer rate to the fluid momentarily. As a rarefaction wave passes the surface, the boundary layer expands, causing a decrease in the heat-transfer rate. Conditions are ideal for maintaining the vibrations in a manner similar to that of the "singing flame."

Apparently the bulk of the fluid had to be in a pseudoliquid state for the oscillations to occur, since the oscillations were not noted at bulk temperatures greater than the pseudocritical temperature. The pressure increase may have compressed the gas-like boundary-layer fluid so that it resembled a liquid in density, in which case the resulting "implosion" could have generated pressure waves that would have amplified the original pressure disturbance in addition to the heat-transfer effect described in the preceding paragraph.

The wall temperature tended to decrease rapidly as the acoustic oscillations commenced. It was difficult to determine whether the wall-temperature drop preceded the pressure oscillations, or vice versa. Experimental evidence has shown that sound waves from an external source affect the boundary layers on heated surfaces, resulting in increased heat-transfer coefficients.⁶³ Consequently, one might suspect that the drop in wall temperature was caused by an improvement of the heat-transfer coefficient due to the interaction of the acoustic field with the local flow field at the heated surface. This introduces the interesting possibility that an optimum frequency may exist for improving the heat-transfer coefficient. The resonant frequency of the loop could be varied by changing the loop geometry so as to coincide with the optimum frequency, resulting in minimum wall temperatures. Possibly this acoustic effect can explain part of the increase in heat-transfer coefficient that has been observed near the critical state and has been attributed to "boiling-like" effects.

The experimental data indicate that the acoustic effect described above was not the only cause of the sudden drop in wall temperature. Installation of the turbine flowmeter in the loop introduced sufficient acoustic damping so that the acoustic oscillations never occurred thereafter in forced-convection runs with the bypass valve closed, and the amplitude of the acoustic oscillations that occurred during subsequent natural convection operation was reduced by a factor of two to four. The acoustic oscillations occurred at low forced-convection flow rates, in which the pump bypass valve was slightly opened; however, this type of run was essentially a

mixed-convection type. At flow rates comparable to those observed during natural-convection operation, the pump did not contribute materially to the process, since the density head was sufficient to overcome the frictional head. Apparently, the combination of the flowmeter and the pump in series was sufficient to prevent the acoustic wave from traversing the loop, although neither would suffice by itself. Nevertheless, an improvement of heat-transfer coefficient occurred as evidenced by rapidly-decreasing wall temperatures and increasing bulk temperatures without any detectable

Fig. 12. Initiation of "Boiling-like Behavior" without Accompanying Acoustic Oscillations; Forced-convection Operation

acoustic oscillations, as shown in Fig. 12. Evidently, the "boiling-like" behavior occurred independently of the acoustic vibrations. This suddenly-increased heat-transfer coefficient will be referred to as "boiling-like" behavior in the balance of this report.

The abrupt transition to the "boiling-like" behavior momentarily more than doubled the heat-transfer rate to the fluid as the heater wall was rapidly cooled. This caused the fluid to expand rapidly, sending out pressure waves at the speed of sound, which triggered the oscillatory behavior only if conditions were such that the pressure wave was sufficiently undamped during its

journey back to the heated surface. These results demonstrate that the acoustic oscillations can be avoided, provided sufficient acoustic attenuation is incorporated into the heat-transfer loop. This may explain the absence of this type of oscillation in the supercritical water tests reported by Dickinson and Welch.¹⁶ It seems that these results also offer further evidence of the supercritical "boiling-like" phenomenon which Goldmann¹¹ first postulated, and which has been used to explain high heat-transfer coefficients measured near the pseudocritical temperature.¹⁶

The initial pressure disturbance was propagated both upstream and downstream at the sonic speed superposed on the fluid velocity. Hence, the pressure wave propagated downstream would arrive back at the point where the disturbance originated before the pressure wave propagated upstream. This difference should result in a "beating" phenomenon, such as is shown in Fig. 10. At representative fluid velocities, the period of the beats would be at least ten times greater than that shown in Fig. 10. The absence of beats with such periods was interpreted to mean that only one of the propagated pressure waves was maintained. Comparison of the pressure phase difference at two adjacent pressure taps indicated that the pressure wave that was propagated downstream was maintained.

If the heated test section were a small-diameter channel connected to the rest of the loop by large-diameter mixing chambers or connections, the resonating element would not be the entire loop, but merely the small-diameter channel. A pressure disturbance generated in the small-diameter tubing would be reflected back at the connection, and standing waves would develop, in contrast to the traveling waves generated in the constant-diameter closed loop. Acoustic oscillations would occur in this type of system regardless of the damping (pump, orifice, etc.) that was included in the rest of the loop, and also regardless of whether the loop was a closed or open flow path. The small-diameter section would behave as an open-ended tube, with constant pressure at the ends and with maximum pressure variation at the midpoint of the resonator for the fundamental frequency. The fundamental wavelength would be approximately twice the length of the small-diameter section. When the heated section was not centered on the small-diameter section (i.e., greater entrance length than exit length), higher harmonic frequencies would have to develop if the maximum pressure variation were to occur at the heated section. A similar situation would exist if the heated section extended over most of the resonator. Systems of more complex geometry, multichannels, etc., could also act as acoustic resonators, but a more complex analysis would be required to determine the fundamental frequency.

Several examples of both types of resonating elements are available in the literature. The loops with small-diameter heated sections have often exhibited high frequencies and audible screaming noises.^{1,12,19-21} The results of Reference 21 appear to be an excellent example of standing pressure waves in a short, small-diameter tube, with higher harmonics present. The loops consisting of essentially constant-diameter tubing have exhibited a lower resonant frequency due to their longer fundamental wavelength, as characterized by the results of this study and those of References 7, 8, 28, and 64. Frequencies calculated using the acoustic resonator model agree quantitatively with the results available. This supports the conclusion that the high-frequency oscillations are of a resonant acoustic nature. Attempts to duplicate this type of result with analytical models that neglect sonic effects have proved fruitless.

B. Slow Oscillations

A slower oscillation, distinctly different from the sonic type discussed previously, occurred as the outlet bulk temperature approached the pseudocritical temperature from the pseudoliquid state. The flow rate fluctuated at a much larger amplitude and at a frequency (0.05 to 0.1 cps) two orders of magnitude less than was observed during the sonic oscillations.

The slow oscillations that were encountered at less than 8-kW electrical power input were of a similar nature, but were less severe, spontaneous, or sustained, than at the higher power input. The slow oscillations

encountered at 9 kW did not differ significantly from those observed at 8 kW. Operation at higher power inputs resulted in excessive heater-wall temperatures as described at the end of this chapter.

Instead of acoustic pressure waves propagating around the loop, this type of oscillation is analogous to the fluid in the loop, considered as a solid body, performing a mechanical vibration about the equilibrium position. Such a vibration, similar to that performed by a U-tube manometer, would quickly damp out due to the natural damping forces, if it were not periodically perturbed. The data indicate that the perturbing force, or forcing function, occurred due to a sudden change in the heat-transfer coefficient. The sudden improvement of the heat-transfer coefficient is attributed to the occurrence of a "boiling-like" phenomenon in the supercritical fluid. The rapid change indicates that a nonequilibrium condition may be involved similar to the "superheat" required to initiate nucleate boiling.

Fig. 13. Experimental Record of Slow Oscillations; Wall-temperature Drop in Phase with Flow Oscillations

A record of the experimentally-observed behavior during the slow oscillations is shown in Fig. 13.

When the heat-transfer coefficient improvement or "boiling-like" phenomenon occurred, the wall temperature dropped rapidly, as approximately indicated by the insulated thermocouple on the outside wall. Simultaneously, the net heat transfer to the fluid increased rapidly due to the decreased energy stored in the heater walls, even though the electrical power to the test section remained constant. Cooling the 0.035-in.-thick heater wall 45°F in 2 sec required a twofold increase in the heat-transfer rate to the fluid at 8-kW electrical

power input. The suddenly-increased heat-transfer rate caused a rapid expansion of the fluid in the test section, resembling a mild flashing, and caused the pressure pulse that was observed. The expansion of the fluid in the heated section and riser contributed to a greater density head, which is the driving force of free-convection flow. This caused the flow rate to increase suddenly at a more rapid rate. The flow rate increased to a maximum and then decayed asymptotically. If the "boiling-like" phenomenon were not repeated, the oscillations would damp out after only a few cycles, as is graphically illustrated at the end of the record.

The asymmetrical shape of the sustained flow oscillations is characteristic of the results of many other instability studies. Such a shape indicates that a perturbing force was affecting the system, resulting in an increased time derivative of the flow rate while the perturbing force was effective.

Near the pseudocritical temperature, the enthalpy increase resulting from the increased heat-transfer rate caused a relatively small bulk-temperature increase and a large specific-volume increase. The rapid expansion of the fluid, resembling a minor explosion, caused the pressure level of the loop to increase abruptly unless absorbed by the accumulator, while the resultant increased density head was sufficient to increase the natural circulation flow rate by nearly 20%. This increase may be compared to the smaller effect at lower temperatures shown in Fig. 9. The decreased effect at the lower temperature was due to the smaller rate of change of the density with enthalpy as the temperature decreased from the pseudocritical temperature. However, the smaller specific heat at the lower temperatures resulted in a more severe outlet-bulk temperature variation.

The increased flow rate and rapidly changing conditions at the heated surface caused the "boiling-like" behavior to terminate soon as evidenced by the rising wall temperature. If conditions were such that the "boiling-like" behavior was repeated, a periodic transient developed. Figure 13 illustrates the heat-transfer improvements occurring in phase with the flow oscillations, as frequently happened. When the conditions were held constant, this type of oscillation appeared capable of being maintained indefinitely.

Fig. 14. Experimental Record of Slow Oscillations; Time between Heat-transfer Improvements Longer Than Flow Period

Occasionally the heat-transfer improvements occurred out of phase with the flow oscillations, and the resulting record provided additional insight into the mechanism. When the time between improvements was longer than the flow period, the flow decayed asymptotically back to equilibrium following an impulse, as shown in Fig. 14. This record shows that the improvements had to be repeated for the oscillations to be sustained and is a good illustration of the damped nature of the flow oscillations.

Figure 15 illustrates a shorter time between heat-transfer improvements than the flow-rate

period. It is seen that the heat-transfer improvement out of phase caused a pressure impulse, but did not greatly affect the flow rate. This behavior is similar to that observed when pushing a child in a swing. If the pushes coincide with the natural frequency of the swing, a large amplitude results with little effort. If the pushes are out of phase, the inertia of the child

Fig. 15. Experimental Record of Slow Oscillations; Time between Heat-transfer Improvements Shorter Than Flow Period

frictional-pressure drop) had initiated the transient, the pressure should have initially decreased, rather than increased as the data show.

The factors that caused the "boiling-like" behavior to be initiated must be better understood before the nonperiodic times between heat-transfer improvements can be explained. Nevertheless, these results illustrate the role of the heat-transfer improvement in triggering and maintaining the slow oscillations. A similar conclusion is believed to hold for subcritical boiling systems. The suddenly-increased heat-transfer coefficient as subcooled nucleate boiling is initiated may well be the primary forcing function that causes boiling instability to be initiated and sustained, rather than the hydrodynamic characteristics to which the boiling instability is often attributed.

The reason for the more severe pressure surges in Figs. 13 and 15 than in Fig. 14 is that the accumulator valve was barely cracked open in the two former runs. This also resulted in less variation of the inlet bulk temperature than is shown in Fig. 14, which was caused by the introduction of colder fluid from the accumulator. Completely closing the accumulator valve resulted in 60-psi pressure surges, but the oscillatory behavior was similar to that observed with the accumulator valve slightly open. Results of such tests indicated that the forcing mechanism did not depend primarily upon either the pressure surges or an inlet-temperature feedback.

Frequently, the acoustic oscillation occurred simultaneously with the slow oscillations, as is shown in Figs. 13 and 15 and on an expanded time scale in Fig. 16. These oscillations were the slowest acoustic oscillations measured during the test program due to the minimum sonic

may be greater than the force applied and the swing's amplitude will be decreased, but the swing will not be stopped. Similarly, the amplitude of the flow rate was decreased, but the flow rate still tended to oscillate at its natural frequency.

Since forced-convection heat-transfer coefficients generally increase with the mass flow rate, it could be argued that the wall-temperature drop was caused by the flow-rate change, rather than vice versa. However, the results shown in Fig. 15 tend to refute this idea. Also, it would seem that if an increased flow rate (which could be caused by a suddenly-decreased

velocity at these conditions. It was noted that the flow-rate amplitude increased for the slower sonic oscillations. The simultaneous occurrence of the two types of oscillations is further proof that they were of a distinct nature.

Fig. 16. Simultaneous Occurrence of Acoustic and Slow Oscillations

Another feature distinguishing the two types of oscillations is that the frequency of the sonic oscillations decreased with temperature, while the frequency of the slow oscillation increased with temperature, as can be seen by comparing Figs. 13 and 14. The increase of the frequency of the slow oscillations with temperature is predicted by the analytical model described in the next chapter.

The incorporation of the turbine flowmeter and venturi in the loop permitted flow measurement at two independent locations. Since the venturi was located between the accumulator and the heater entrance, and the turbine flowmeter was located between the heater exit and the accumulator (as shown in Fig. 7), they could be used to compare the flow rate at the heater inlet and exit, respectively. Such a comparison is shown in Fig. 17. It is seen that the heat-transfer improvement caused the flow rate to increase from the heater exit, but to decrease at the heater inlet. This type of behavior would be expected and has been described for a boiling system by Meyer.⁴⁰ It is seen that, except for a short time after the impulse, the inlet velocity tended to follow the exit velocity. The analytical model presented in Chapter VI neglects this variation and should predict an average velocity between these two extremes.

Fig. 17. Experimental Record of Slow Oscillations;
Flow Rate at Flowmeter and Venturi Difference

The instabilities were strongly damped during forced-convection operation at flow rates greater than the natural-convection flow rate. This suggests that the instabilities could be avoided by forced-convection operation, although economic and practical considerations might make such a design undesirable. Figure 18 illustrates a type of instability that was

Fig. 18. Irregular Instability at Low
Forced-convection Flow Rate

observed during forced-convection operation, with the bypass valve closed, at a flow rate slightly lower than the natural-convection flow rate. The wall-temperature variation indicates that a sporadic "boiling-like" occurrence caused the flow between the heater outlet and the accumulator to vary in an irregular fashion, as measured by the turbine flowmeter. The flow rate from the pump, as measured by the venturi, did not exhibit a similar variation. These results demonstrate that the sporadic nature of the supercritical "boiling-like" phenomenon does not depend entirely upon a large temperature or flow feedback. The more regular nature of the slow oscillations during natural-convection operation was due to the freedom of the fluid to vibrate about the equilibrium conditions, which the restraint imposed by the pump and throttling valve prevented.

The previous results were obtained when only the cooler on the vertical leg was employed. Operation with the upper horizontal cooler is illustrated in Fig. 19. The greater height between the heater and the cooler resulted in a larger flow rate, as would be expected. The first part of the record shows the wall temperature varying out of phase with the flow oscillations. Although fairly high pressure surges resulted, the flow rate was not affected greatly. As the inlet temperature was raised, the heat-transfer improvement began to vary at a frequency comparable to the natural flow frequency, which was larger than that observed at a similar temperature employing the vertical cooler. The flow amplitude increased, but not to the extent observed during operation with the vertical cooler. The pressure surges were more severe than during similar operation with the vertical cooler. The reasons for these differences are discussed in Chapter VI and Appendix B.

Fig. 19. Experimental Record of Slow Oscillations; Operation with Upper Horizontal Cooler

C. Anomalous Behavior

When transducers were connected to the loop at the P_0 and P_1 locations shown in Fig. 7 by 3-ft lengths of 1/4-in. tubing, it was found that, under certain conditions, extraneous ~100-cps resonant oscillations were maintained in these connecting lines. These extraneous vibrations were characterized by the fact that the frequency was often different at the two transducers and tended to be superposed upon the other oscillations that have been reported in this chapter. Lengthening one of the lines to 6 ft cut the dominant extraneous frequency of that transducer in half, which confirmed that the connecting tubing was responsible for the extraneous pressure oscillations. When the length of connecting tubing was reduced to 5 in., all superfluous pressure lines were eliminated from the loop. This reduced the extraneous vibrations to a minimum.

When the wall temperature was allowed to exceed 600 to 700°F, a chemical reaction was started or accelerated at the wall. Eventually, a deposit would build up on the heater wall so that the outside-wall temperatures were up to 200°F higher than their former values. Another effect noted was that the "boiling-like" behavior was initiated more frequently and at correspondingly lower bulk temperatures, resulting in more acoustic oscillations, but less severe slow oscillations near the pseudocritical temperature. Otherwise, the deposit did not seem to affect the transient behavior of the loop. When the test section was thoroughly cleaned with a wire brush and alcohol, the loop reverted to its original behavior. The reaction limited the electrical power input to 9 kW.

An interesting result was obtained when this limit was exceeded briefly. A subsequent forced-convection run at 8-kW power and 5-gpm flow rate was started. The higher flow rate should have resulted in a lower wall temperature than during a similar natural-convection run. With bulk temperatures less than 200°F (94°F below the critical temperature) and wall temperatures of about 400°F, a hot spot developed at the test-section exit. The wall temperature increased to more than 600°F at the top thermocouple. As the bulk temperature was raised slowly, the hot spot moved down the section, affecting one thermocouple at a time. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results, although the wall-temperature level gradually rose as the tests progressed, indicating that the deposit was building up in the test section. This indicated that a chemical reaction was responsible for the anomalous behavior. Similar results were observed for oxygen at supercritical pressures,²³ where a wall-temperature increase from 400 to 2000°R occurred over a small axial distance in the heated section.

CHAPTER VI

ANALYSIS OF SLOW OSCILLATIONS

During certain transients, the fluid in a natural-circulation loop can be considered analogous to a vibrating solid body, such as the fluid in a U-tube manometer. The system possesses inertia, exchanges momentum with the confining walls, which tends to damp any transient behavior which occurs, and has a density head, which may be considered as the driving force of the natural-convection flow. When disturbed from an equilibrium condition, the loop tends to return to equilibrium via a damped oscillation around the final equilibrium position. In the absence of a periodic forcing function, the oscillation should decay rather quickly due to the natural damping forces. A sustained oscillation should develop only if an analogous forcing function exists, which could result, for example, from a time-varying energy input to the system or from a sporadic shift in either the frictional-pressure drop or the heat-transfer-coefficient correlation.

The experimental data indicated that a sudden and periodic improvement of the heat-transfer coefficient, which was attributed to the initiation of a "boiling-like" behavior in the supercritical fluid, acted as a forcing function and was responsible for the initiation and maintenance of the slower type of flow oscillations. The improvement in the heat-transfer coefficient resulted in a sudden drop of the wall temperature, less energy stored in the heater walls, and an increased heat-transfer rate to the fluid from the walls, even though the electrical heating power remained constant. To demonstrate that the magnitude of this effect was sufficient to account for the maintenance and magnitude of the flow oscillations observed experimentally, during natural-circulation operation, the following analysis was made.

Basically, the solution consists of solving the equations of energy, mass, and momentum conservation, subject to the boundary conditions imposed by the loop geometry. The equations are nonlinear, partial-differential equations, and an analytical solution is impossible with available mathematical techniques. The solution of such space- and time-dependent equations by numerical techniques has received much attention in recent years, particularly for two-phase systems. Using suitable simplifying assumptions and empirical correlations, solutions to the equations may be obtained. The validity of the assumptions may be partially judged by comparing calculated and experimental results.

A. Conservation Equations

The derivation of the conservation equations has been covered in complete detail in texts on thermal and fluid dynamics.^{65,66} To solve these equations for turbulent, nonadiabatic flow in a constant area pipe, the following assumptions are commonly made:

✓

- 1) One-dimensional geometry is assumed to represent the system adequately; i.e., radial variations of properties and velocity are neglected. Viscous stresses and heat-transfer rates at the wall are evaluated from empirical correlations, rather than from calculated temperature and velocity gradients.
- 2) Heat conduction along the axial coordinate is neglected, since it is much smaller than the convective-energy transfer.
- 3) The velocity is assumed to equal zero at the wall but to assume a uniform value away from the wall, so there is no contribution to the energy equation from shear forces at the wall.
- 4) The kinetic- and potential-energy terms are assumed to contribute negligibly to convective-energy transport in the energy equation.
- 5) The variation of pressure with time and distance is assumed to have a negligible effect on the energy balance.
- 6) All properties are considered to be evaluated at a mean pressure. With this assumption, the properties may be evaluated as a function of the enthalpy in a single-phase system.
- 7) The effects of viscous dissipation are neglected in the energy equation.

With these assumptions, the conservation equations for the fluid may be written as follows:

1. Conservation of Mass

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

2. Conservation of Momentum

$$\frac{1}{g_c} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{g_c} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{G^2}{\rho} \right) = - 144 \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} - \frac{\tau_w}{A} - \rho \frac{g}{g_c}. \quad (6.2)$$

3. Conservation of Energy

$$\rho \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + G \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} = q''''. \quad (6.3)$$

Equations (6.1), (6.2), and (6.3) have used the fact that, for a constant-pressure system,

$$\frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial(\rho h)}{\partial t}.$$

Neglecting the pressure effect (assumptions 5 and 6) eliminates sonic effects from the solution but considerably simplifies the calculations.⁴⁰ Therefore, the solution of the above equations should not be expected to duplicate the experimentally-observed acoustic oscillations.

For certain transients, further simplification may be obtained when the amount of fluid does not change too rapidly in any increment of the loop. Therefore the time derivative of density in Eq. (6.1) may be neglected so that

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial z} = 0, \quad (6.4)$$

or

$$G = G(t) \text{ only.} \quad (6.5)$$

Equation (6.5) implies that since the mass flux is constant around the loop at a given time, any disturbance tends to accelerate the entire fluid system; i.e., local acceleration is neglected. This type of behavior is similar to that observed experimentally, except for a short time after the heat-transfer improvement. Therefore, the simplified model should be expected to represent the average mass velocity reasonably well.

Using Eq. (6.5), Eq. (6.2) may be integrated around the loop to obtain:

$$\frac{L}{g_c} \frac{dG}{dt} = - \frac{1}{A} \int_0^L \tau_w dz - \frac{1}{g_c} \int_0^L \rho g dz. \quad (6.6)$$

When multiplied on both sides by A , Eq. (6.6) is a form of Newton's second law of motion, equating the loop's inertia force to the algebraic sum of the friction and gravitation forces.

The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (6.6) is proportional to the total friction-pressure drop around the loop. Daily and Deemer⁶⁷ found that steady-state friction factors were applicable to the transient flow of a fluid; i.e., the total pressure drop across a horizontal section equalled the algebraic sum of the acceleration head and the instantaneous frictional pressure drop, except during periods of high initial impulse. Friction factors measured or extrapolated from steady-state experiments are now commonly used in transient analyses. The resistance term may be evaluated from a summation of calculated pressure drops across individual loop elements. If conventional friction factors⁶⁶ are used to calculate the friction pressure drop,

$$\frac{1}{A} \int_0^L \tau_w dz = \sum \frac{2fLvG^2}{g_c D}, \quad (6.7)$$

where L is the equivalent element length, accounting for bends, fittings, valves, etc. Using the Blasius formula⁶⁶ for f ,

$$f = 0.0791(N_{RE})^{-0.25},$$

results in

$$\frac{1}{A} \int_0^L \tau_w dz = \sum \frac{0.158Lv\mu^{0.25}G^{1.75}}{g_c D^{1.25}} \quad (6.8)$$

$$\doteq 4.5\bar{v}G^{1.75} \quad (6.9)$$

for the present tests.

The friction-pressure drop may also be evaluated from steady-state experimental measurements by using Eq. (6.6), noting that the left-hand side vanishes for steady-state conditions. For steady-state conditions only,

$$F \equiv \frac{1}{A} \int_0^L \tau_w dz = - \frac{1}{g_c} \int \rho g dz. \quad (6.10)$$

Fig. 20. Empirical Friction Pressure-drop Correlation

Equation (6.9) suggests the form of the test-data correlation which is shown in Fig. 20,

$$F \equiv \frac{1}{A} \int \tau_w dz = \frac{8.96G^{1.59}}{\bar{\rho}}, \quad (6.11)$$

and which is valid for temperatures up to the pseudocritical temperature. This type of correlation eliminates uncertainties about the effects of variable properties, geometry, roughness, etc., and is particularly convenient for the numerical calculations. It agrees closely with the results of Alstad *et al.*,⁶⁸ for water in a natural-circulation loop and with Eq. (6.9). The difference between the mass-flow exponents in Eqs. (6.9) and (6.11) is partially due to the viscosity effect on the friction factor. However, experimental

pressure-drop correlations do not always agree exactly with the Blasius friction factor. The results of Reference 60 for R-11 (trichloromonofluoromethane) indicate that the friction factor, f , varies inversely with the 0.32 power, rather than the 0.25 power, of the Reynolds number, which would account for nearly one-half of the variation in the mass-flow exponent.

To account for the thermal response of the walls, an energy balance is made on an incremental element with the following simplifying assumptions:

- 1) At each axial position, a single mean temperature is sufficient to represent the wall temperature.
- 2) Axial heat conduction is neglected.
- 3) The heat loss to the atmosphere through the insulation is considered negligible.
- 4) Variation of metal properties with temperature is neglected.
- 5) The heater walls are heated electrically and uniformly along the test section.

The resulting equation, which, when coupled to the fluid conservation equation, describes the wall-temperature behavior, is

$$(\rho c_p A)_w \frac{\partial T_w}{\partial t} = q_e' - \pi D H (T_w - T_b). \quad (6.12)$$

The rate at which heat is transferred to the fluid per unit fluid volume q''' , in accordance with Eq. (6.3), is given by

$$q''' = \frac{\pi D H (T_w - T_b)}{A}. \quad (6.13)$$

Equations (6.3), (6.5), (6.6), and (6.12), may now be solved numerically with the aid of Eq. (6.11), provided that a proper correlation of the heat-transfer coefficient, H , is available, and that the relationship between the fluid density, temperature, and enthalpy is known for the reference pressure level.

For the calculations reported, the relationship between the properties was obtained from the tables of Van Wie and Ebel.² The data in the pseudoliquid region were extrapolated from saturation values using the correlation of Lyderson, Greenkorn, and Hougen.⁶⁹ The data used are illustrated in Figs. 3 and 4 and listed in Appendix C (in accordance with the program nomenclature listed in Appendix D).

Several relationships between the heat-transfer coefficient and the other variables were tried. The most satisfactory method was to use the suggestion of Dickinson and Welch¹⁶ and assign to the coefficient a constant value that depended only upon the mass velocity. The heat-transfer coefficient was assumed to vary with the 0.8 power of the mass flow rate. At periodic time intervals, the ratio of the heat-transfer coefficient to the mass-flow term was given a step change sufficient to duplicate approximately the experimental wall-temperature behavior during the "boiling-like" occurrence. The factors that caused the "boiling-like" behavior to be initiated must be better understood before a more realistic model, which would depend upon the conditions in the heated boundary layer, can be developed. Nevertheless, the simple model is adequate to demonstrate the importance of the varying heat-transfer coefficient, which was observed experimentally, in triggering and maintaining the slow type of oscillation.

B. Numerical Calculational Procedure

The geometry of the idealized numerical model is shown schematically in Fig. 21. The system consists of the closed, rectangular flow path, constructed of constant-diameter tubing, and the fluid contained therein.

Fig. 21. Idealized Model of Flow Loop for Numerical Calculations

The natural circulation flow is maintained by the gravity head due to the difference in density in the hot and cold vertical legs of the loop. It is assumed that a perfect surge tank exists, to accommodate changes of fluid volume, such that the pressure level remains constant with time. Further, it is assumed that sufficient heat is removed in the cooler to result in a constant temperature in the cold leg between the cooler and the heater. It was observed experimentally that neither the pressure surges nor the temperature feedback through the system contributed significantly to the mechanism that maintains the slow oscillations. Consequently, both the pressure-surge and temperature-feedback effect are eliminated from the numerical model, which also con-

siderably reduces the calculation time. The electrical-energy input to the heater walls is allowed to vary only with time, generally remaining constant throughout the solution, except possibly for an initial step change. The fluid

exchanges heat with the tube walls around the loop, except in the cold leg, where thermal equilibrium is assumed. The heat-transfer coefficient is assumed to vary with the 0.8 power of the mass flow rate. At selected intervals, the ratio of the heat-transfer coefficient to the mass-flow term may be changed stepwise in the heater, so that the experimentally-observed wall-temperature behavior is approximately duplicated. This results in a time-varying rate of energy transfer to the fluid, even though the heater electrical power is constant.

The loop is considered to be arbitrarily divided into n segments along the axial length, and numbered consecutively with index j . A variable subscripted with j , $j + 1$, and $j + 1/2$, respectively, refers to the value of that variable at the beginning of, end of, or midpoint of the j th axial segment. A variable subscripted with $j + 1$ also refers to the conditions at the beginning of the $(j + 1)$ th axial segment, as well as at the end of the j th segment. Similarly, the time is considered to be arbitrarily divided into increments. A variable superscripted with i , $i + 1$, and $i + 1/2$, respectively, refers to the value of the variable at the beginning of, end of, or midpoint of the i th time interval.

C. Transient Calculations

The derivatives in Eqs. (6.3), (6.6), and (6.12) are replaced by finite-difference approximations. When evaluating any problem by numerical methods, but particularly for instability studies, care must be taken that a numerical instability does not introduce spurious variations in the solution. These numerical instability considerations impose certain restrictions on the selection of allowable time and space increments, which depend upon which, of the many available, differencing methods are employed. It has been found,⁷⁰ for second-order equations, that the solution is unconditionally stable; i.e., the solution does not depend upon the length of time step used, if the space derivatives are at least partially evaluated at the new time step. This method, known as implicit differencing, was used in the present analysis whenever possible.

The energy Eq. (6.3) may be approximated by

$$\rho_{j-1/2}^i \left[\frac{h_{j-1}^{i+1} - h_{j-1}^i + h_j^{i+1} - h_j^i}{2(\Delta t)^i} \right] + G^i \left[\frac{h_j^{i+1} - h_{j-1}^{i+1} + h_j^i - h_{j-1}^i}{2(\Delta z)_{j-1}} \right] = (q''')_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2}, \quad (6.14)$$

where $\rho_{j-1/2}^i$ is the density evaluated at

$$(1/2)(h_j^i + h_{j-1}^i)$$

and $(q^m)_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2}$ is defined by Eq. (6.24). This differencing scheme, which is numerically stable for any size time step, is essentially the "box method" of Reference 71. It permits evaluation of the value of enthalpy at axial position j and time $i + 1$ in terms of known values, if the value of enthalpy at axial position $j - 1$ and time $i + 1$ is known and all values are known at time i ,

$$h_j^{i+1} = h_{j-1}^i + \left(h_j^i - h_{j-1}^{i+1} \right) \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{(1 + \alpha)} + \frac{2(q^m)_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2}(\Delta t)^i}{\rho_{j-1/2}^i(1 + \alpha)}, \quad (6.15)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{G^i(\Delta t)^i}{\rho_{j-1/2}^i(\Delta z)_{j-1}}. \quad (6.16)$$

Analysis of the numerical results revealed an undesirable feature of this differencing method. When h_j^{i+1} was calculated by Eq. (6.15), an increase of h_{j-1}^{i+1} contributed negatively to h_j^{i+1} , and a decrease of h_{j-1}^{i+1} caused h_j^{i+1} to increase. This was particularly noticeable and undesirable in the riser, where utilization of Eq. (6.15) resulted in calculating that a step increase in power input resulted in a relatively hot mass of fluid flowing up the riser, which was preceded by a relatively cold mass. To avoid this situation, another difference approximation to the energy equation was employed, in which the enthalpy space derivative was evaluated at time $i + 1$, and the enthalpy time derivative was evaluated at position j ,

$$h_j^{i+1} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} h_j^i + \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} h_{j-1}^{i+1} + \frac{(q^m)_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2}(\Delta t)^i}{\rho_{j-1/2}^i(1 + \alpha)}, \quad (6.17)$$

where α is defined by Eq. (6.16).

The enthalpy distribution was calculated in the heater by Eq. (6.15), and in the riser by Eq. (6.17), which eliminated the previously-mentioned "cold bank" effect. Since results of this method did not vary over 1% from utilizing Eq. (6.17) in both sections, the choice is somewhat arbitrary. Because of the experimentally-determined boundary condition that the heater inlet temperature did not vary significantly during the slow oscillations, the enthalpy distribution around the loop at time $i + 1$ can be determined from Eq. (6.15) or (6.17), starting from the heater inlet. This eliminates one of the undesirable features of the implicit differencing method, which ordinarily requires the solution of n simultaneous equations by one of the shortcut methods that have been developed.

When the enthalpy distribution around the loop is known at time $i + 1$, from Eq. (6.15) or (6.17), the density distribution can be obtained from the tabulated property relationships. This permits Eq. (6.6) to be solved numerically for the average mass flow rate at time $i + 1$,

$$G^{i+1} = G^i + \frac{g_c(\Delta t)^i}{L} [-F^i - (\Delta P)^i], \quad (6.18)$$

where, from Eq. (6.11),

$$F^i = \frac{8.96(G^i)^{1.59}}{\bar{\rho}^i}, \quad (6.19)$$

$$\bar{\rho}^i = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_{j+1/2}^i (\Delta z)_j, \quad (6.20)$$

and

$$(\Delta P)^i = \sum_{\text{hot leg}} \rho_{j+1/2}^i (\Delta z)_j - \sum_{\text{cold leg}} \rho_{j+1/2}^i (\Delta z)_j. \quad (6.21)$$

A simple means to find allowable time steps for Eq. (6.18) has not been found. However, the use of this differencing method has not resulted in numerical instability for reasonably-large time steps. The procedure used to check for stability was to compare solutions made with various time steps for convergence. If the solutions did not depend appreciably upon the time step used, they were assumed to be numerically stable.

Equation (6.12) is approximated by

$$(T_w)_{j+1/2}^{i+1} = \frac{(\Delta t)^i (q'_e)_{j+1/2}^{i+1/2}}{[(\rho c_p A)_w]_{j+1/2}} + \beta (T_b)_{j+1/2}^i + (1 - \beta) (T_w)_{j+1/2}^i, \quad (6.22)$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{\pi H_{j+1/2}^{i+1/2} D(\Delta t)}{[(\rho c_p A)_w]_{j+1/2}}. \quad (6.23)$$

For numerical stability, it is necessary that $\beta < 1$, which only required that the time increments be less than about 3 sec in the present case. The heat-transfer rate to the fluid is calculated by

$$(q''')_{j+1/2}^{i+1} = \frac{\pi H_{j+1/2}^{i+1/2} D [(T_w)_{j+1/2}^{i+1} - (T_b)_{j+1/2}^i]}{A} \quad (6.24)$$

for use in Eq. (6.15) or (6.17).

D. Steady-state Calculations

Besides a given inlet enthalpy and electrical heating power history, the transient calculations require an initial estimate of the mass flow rate G^1 and enthalpy distribution h_j^1 , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The choice of these initial values is arbitrary and depends upon the physical nature of the problem being considered. Perhaps the most obvious, although impractical, choice would be to start with zero flow, zero power, and thermal equilibrium around the loop and study the resulting transient behavior as the power is slowly increased. The procedure followed was to assume that the loop was initially operating under steady-state conditions at a given power and inlet enthalpy. The steady-state flow rate and enthalpy distribution were calculated by an iterative procedure described below and used as initial values in the transient calculations.

The steady-state values could also have been obtained, but at the expense of computer time, from any initially-assumed values by executing the transient calculations until the initial transients were dissipated. If the heating-power and heat-transfer coefficients were not changed, the loop would then continue to operate stably.

For steady-state operation, all time derivatives in the conservation equations vanish. Integrating Eq. (6.3) across the heater, with the aid of Eqs. (6.12) and (6.13), results in

$$G(\Delta h)_h = q''' L_h = \frac{q_e}{A},$$

or

$$(\Delta h)_h = \frac{q_e}{AG}, \quad (6.25)$$

giving the fluid enthalpy rise across the heater for a given power and flow rate. For space-invariant electrical heating, the enthalpy increase is linear with axial distance, z , in the heater permitting the enthalpy distribution to be calculated. The enthalpy in the channels between the heater and cooler is constant for steady-state operation, and the fluid enthalpy decreases linearly in the cooler by the amount given by Eq. (6.25).

The steady-state iteration consists of assuming a value for the mass flow rate G ; calculating the enthalpy distribution, by the procedure described

in the preceding paragraph; evaluating the density distribution and the property relationships; and then calculating an improved value for G , from Eqs. (6.10) and (6.11). The iteration proceeds until the improved value for G does not vary from the previously-calculated value of G by more than a prescribed amount (usually 0.5%). Only two to six iterations are required for reasonable initial values.

The wall temperatures do not affect the steady-state flow iterations, since for equilibrium conditions, the heat-transfer rate to the fluid in the heater equals the electrical power to the heater walls, as can be deduced from Eqs. (6.12) and (6.13). After the steady-state flow rate and the enthalpy distribution have been determined, the bulk-temperature distribution can be determined from the enthalpy distribution and the property relationships. The initial wall-temperature distribution can then be calculated from Eq. (6.12):

$$(T_w)_{j+1/2}^1 = \frac{(q_e')_{j+1/2}^1}{\pi D H_{j+1/2}^1} + (T_b)_{j+1/2}^1 \quad (6.26)$$

The numerical solution consists of determining an initial steady-state condition by the iteration method just described, and then evaluating the loop response to a step change in electrical power input or in the heat-transfer coefficient. The problem was programmed for solution on an IBM-3600 digital computer, using the program listed in Reference 28 as a guide for the programming techniques. The complete program is listed in Appendix C.

E. Results of Numerical Calculations

The general type of mathematical model described above has been used, with fair success, to calculate transient behavior in parallel boiling channels⁴⁰ when the time rate of change in channel vapor content was not too large. It has also been used with good success by Alstad *et al.*,⁶⁸ in calculating the transient behavior of a single-phase, natural-circulation loop.

Harden²⁸ used essentially the same mathematical model, but with different differencing techniques, and neglected the heat capacity of the heater walls, which resulted in a constant heat-transfer rate to the fluid when the electric power was constant. His solution exhibited sustained flow oscillations of small amplitude below the pseudocritical temperature at constant power input.

Since these results were contrary to those observed in the present tests and to what one would logically expect from the mathematical model

(i.e., the flow oscillations should quickly damp out due to natural dissipative forces following a disturbance in heat input to the fluid), Harden's solution was carefully examined. It was found that the solution depended upon the time step employed, and that the calculated enthalpy distribution was extremely erratic; i.e., following a step increase in heat input, the enthalpy declined substantially at certain nodes, even in the heater. This indicated that the sustained oscillations resulted from a numerical instability, and were not representative of the physical system. With the same initial conditions, geometry, property relationships, and frictional pressure-drop correlation, but utilizing the implicit differencing technique shown in Eq. (6.15), the solution converged smoothly to a steady-state value following a disturbance. This example illustrates the caution that must be exercised when utilizing numerical methods in instability studies to ensure that the results are valid.

The calculated effect of increasing the electrical power stepwise from 4 to 8 kW is shown in Fig. 22. The flow rate approached equilibrium

Fig. 22. Calculated Response Following Doubling of Electrical Heating Power

after only a few damped oscillations about the final equilibrium position, as did the wall temperature and the outlet bulk temperature. Similar calculations were made for a range of inlet bulk temperatures up to the pseudocritical temperature. There was no tendency for sustained oscillations to develop at these conditions. The variation of the flow rates following the step increase in electrical power is shown in Figs. 23 and 24 for inlet temperatures ranging from 200 to 300°F. The damped oscillation period compared quite closely with those observed experimentally at similar inlet temperatures. The period decreased with increasing inlet temperatures, as was observed experimentally, and as predicted by the method described in Appendix B. As the pseudocritical temperature was approached, the mass flow rate decreased with increased inlet temperatures. At higher temperatures, the low, undamped, natural frequency (Appendix B) caused the system to be-

come first critical and then overdamped ($T_1 = 296.2$ and 299.5). In all of these respects, the agreement between the calculated results and the observed experimental behavior was good.

Fig. 23

Calculated Flow Response Following Doubling of Electrical Heating Power for Inlet Temperatures of 200.2 to 274.4°F

Fig. 24

Calculated Flow Response Following Doubling of Electrical Heating Power for Inlet Temperatures of 287.7 to 299.5°F

The results of the same type of calculation as described above, but for operation with the upper horizontal cooler, is illustrated in Fig. 25.

Fig. 25. Calculated Response Following Doubling of Electrical Heating Power; Upper Horizontal Cooler

the imposed forcing function. These calculated results should be compared with the experimental results shown in Fig. 15, which are at a similar inlet temperature, and with Fig. 13, which are at a lower inlet temperature, but which illustrate the heat-transfer improvements in phase with the flow oscillations, and the rapid decay of the oscillations when the heat-transfer improvements terminated. The reasonably-good agreement substantiates the hypothesis that the heat-transfer improvement was a dominant factor in triggering and maintaining the slow oscillations.

At higher bulk temperatures up to the pseudocritical temperature, the effect of the heat-transfer improvement on the flow was more

The higher mass flow rate introduced sufficient additional damping so that the oscillatory behavior was much less extreme than for similar operation with the vertical cooler (Fig. 22). Also, the horizontal cooler caused a longer oscillation period, as is predicted by the method of Appendix B. These results furnish an explanation for the relatively-low flow amplitudes but relatively-large pressure surges illustrated in Fig. 19.

To simulate the experimentally-observed "boiling-like" behavior, the ratio of the heat-transfer coefficient to the mass flow term ($H/G^{0.8}$) was given a step increase, sufficient to duplicate approximately the experimentally-observed wall temperatures. After a given time, the ratio was changed stepwise back to its initial value. The results of increasing the ratio by 60% for 2 sec is shown in Fig. 26. The "boiling-like" behavior was repeated four times in phase with the flow oscillations. A periodic and sustained oscillation developed, in response to

Fig. 26. Calculated Behavior of Slow Oscillations; Triggered and Maintained by Changes of Heat-transfer Coefficient

pronounced, while the outlet bulk temperature was affected less. Figure 27 illustrates this behavior. The frequency increase with temperature is also evident, when compared with Fig. 26.

Fig. 27. Calculated Behavior of Slow Oscillations;
Higher Inlet Temperatures

At lower temperatures, the heat-transfer improvement caused a relatively large increase in outlet bulk temperature, while the flow rate varied less, as shown in Fig. 28. This figure should be compared with

Fig. 28. Calculated Behavior of Slow Oscillations;
Lower Inlet Temperatures

Fig. 9. Although the temperature level was less in Fig. 9, and the "boiling-like" behavior was of a more sustained nature, the similarity is apparent.

The simulation of the "boiling-like" behavior by the step changes could be modified to make the changes less abrupt, particularly during the "boiling" termination, to match the behavior of the experimental-wall temperature more closely. However, the model used is sufficient to demonstrate the importance of the wall-temperature variation in triggering and maintaining the slow oscillations. Including the effect of the pressure and mass flow variation with time and distance, which would involve considerably more effort, could also result in a better match of the data. The agreement between the calculated and experimental results was judged to be sufficiently close so as to not warrant such an effort in the present investigation.

CHAPTER VII

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Two types of oscillatory behavior were observed during the tests. Pressure and flow oscillations occurred with bulk temperatures below the pseudocritical temperature and with heater-wall temperatures exceeding the pseudocritical temperature. Hence, during the oscillatory behavior, the fluid in the heated boundary layer was at least partly in the pseudovapor state, and the bulk of the fluid was in the pseudoliquid state. The fluid temperature equalled the pseudocritical temperature at some point in the heated boundary layer during the oscillations.

The basic cause of both types of oscillatory behavior appears to have originated in the heated boundary layer. An analytical solution to this type of problem is beset with many of the difficulties that have prevented a satisfactory solution of the analogous, subcritical, boiling-instability problem. For one thing, the nebulous behavior of the viscosity and thermal conductivity at the pseudocritical temperature must be considered. Also, it is highly likely that nonequilibrium conditions are involved, similar to the "superheat" required to initiate nucleate boiling. This lack of equilibrium may have contributed to the suddenly-decreased wall temperatures, which is referred to in this report as a "boiling-like" phenomenon. Despite the rather complex nature of the cause of the oscillations, the oscillations themselves are shown to be special examples of commonly-encountered behavior.

This first type of oscillation was of an acoustic nature, exhibiting harmonic pressure and flow oscillations in the range of 5 to 30 cps. The acoustic oscillations are believed to have been initiated by a local disturbance, which resulted in pressure waves being propagated at sonic speed away from the disturbance. In the constant-diameter design employed during the present tests, the pressure wave traversed the closed loop, returning to the point of disturbance diminished by the external acoustic damping. If the disturbance had originated in a small-diameter heated section, such as has been employed in other tests reported in the literature, the pressure wave would have been reflected at the ends of the small-diameter section, returning to the point of disturbance in a relatively shorter time. For the oscillation to have been maintained, a mechanism must have existed such that the acoustic pressure wave was reinforced upon passing a given point in the system. In addition, the acoustic damping could not have been so large as to have dissipated the pressure wave before it returned to this given point. The introduction of acoustic damping would provide a means for eliminating this type of oscillation.

A mechanism that would cause the acoustic pressure wave to be reinforced is postulated. Since the fluid in the heated boundary layer was in a

pseudovapor state, a pressure wave passing the heated surface would have tended to compress the boundary layer, improve the thermal conductivity, and cause an increased heat-transfer rate from the wall to the fluid. A rarefaction wave passing the heated surface would have caused the boundary layer to expand and the thermal conductivity to decrease and resulted in a decreased heat-transfer rate to the fluid. This pressure-dependent heat-transfer rate would have caused a resonant acoustic oscillation to have been maintained.

When the outlet bulk temperature was slightly below the pseudo-critical temperature, a different type of oscillation was observed. This type of oscillation was characterized by a frequency two orders of magnitude slower than the sonic oscillations. The frequency of the acoustic oscillations decreased with temperature, while the frequency of the slow type increased with temperature. Occasionally, both types of oscillations occurred simultaneously, which further verified that they were of a distinct nature. Instead of acoustic pressure waves traversing the loop, the slow type of oscillation may be described by considering the fluid in the loop as a solid body performing a mechanical vibration about the equilibrium position.

The data indicate that this type of oscillation was initiated and sustained by a sudden improvement of the heat-transfer coefficient, which is attributed to the occurrence of a "boiling-like" phenomenon in the supercritical fluid. The heat-transfer coefficient improvement resulted in a sudden drop of the wall temperature, less energy stored in the heater wall, and an increased heat-transfer rate to the fluid from the wall, even though the electrical heating power remained constant. An approximate numerical solution, which closely matched the experimentally-observed results during the slow oscillations, verified the importance of the heat-transfer improvement in triggering and maintaining the oscillations. Varying the heat-transfer coefficient to duplicate approximately the observed behavior of the wall temperature resulted in sustained flow oscillations, whose amplitude and frequency closely matched the experimental results.

The assumptions that were made to simplify the numerical solution prevented this model from duplicating the experimentally-observed acoustic oscillations. It is estimated that a more general model, theoretically capable of predicting the acoustic oscillations as well as not requiring correlations of the empirical friction factor or the heat-transfer coefficient, would have increased the computer time by at least four orders of magnitude. Such a solution would be no better than the property data available and would have to account for the nonequilibrium effects.

Both the acoustic and slow oscillations also occur during subcritical boiling-heat transfer. Generally, the acoustic type has been considered of

secondary interest, but a large amount of attention has been focused on the slow type due to its importance in nuclear reactor applications. Despite the large effort, the boiling instability is still not well-understood, perhaps because of the lack of a satisfactory physical explanation of the phenomenon. The subcritical boiling instability occurs only when the subcooling of the fluid entering the test section is in a definite range. The boiling instability does not occur if the inlet fluid is saturated or only slightly subcooled, which implies that the mechanism by which nucleate boiling is initiated may be an important contributing factor to the boiling instability. The results of the present supercritical studies contribute an insight into the physical nature of the instabilities, which should be applicable for both subcritical and supercritical systems.

APPENDIX A

Calculating Pressure Difference between Two Pressure Taps
due to Their Absolute Pressure-phase Variation
during Acoustic Oscillations

Consider a closed loop in which a resonant acoustic oscillation is maintained, such that a traveling pressure wave is traversing the loop at the speed of sound in one direction only. This is the type of behavior observed experimentally during the tests. The following analysis is presented to evaluate the pressure difference between two pressure taps, such as those on a venturi, which is caused by the phase variation of the absolute pressure at these locations.

The pressure distribution due to the traveling pressure wave of amplitude P , frequency f , and wavelength λ , may be expressed as

$$p(x,t) = P \sin 2\pi(ft - x/\lambda), \quad (A.1)$$

where the origin of x is chosen to satisfy the initial condition. The pressure difference between the pressure taps, whose axial positions are x_1 and x_2 is,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_2,t) - p(x_1,t) &= P[\sin 2\pi(ft - x_2/\lambda) - \sin 2\pi(ft - x_1/\lambda)] \\ &= 2P \sin[\pi(x_1 - x_2)/\lambda] \cos\{\pi[2ft - (x_1 + x_2)/\lambda]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (A.2)$$

Thus, the pressure difference due to the phase variation also exhibits a harmonic oscillation with the same frequency as that of the absolute pressure, and with an amplitude of

$$2P \sin[\pi(x_1 - x_2)/\lambda].$$

When the distance, $x_2 - x_1$, between the taps is much less than the wave length, the ratio of the differential pressure to the absolute pressure amplitude is approximately

$$\Delta p/P = 2\pi(x_2 - x_1)/\lambda. \quad (A.3)$$

If appropriate experimental values are used, this ratio for the venturi is calculated to be

$$\frac{(\Delta p)_{\text{vent}}}{P} = \frac{2\pi(0.208)}{49.1} = 0.027. \quad (A.4)$$

This low value demonstrates that the relatively-severe venturi differential-pressure oscillations were partially, but not entirely, due to the pressure-phase effect. Experimentally-determined values of this ratio exceeded the value calculated above by up to a factor of 4 for the present tests, and by an order of magnitude in Reference 28.

APPENDIX B

Undamped Natural Frequency of a Closed Heat-transfer Loop

It has been noted that the frequency of oscillation during many reported instances of boiling instability was comparable to the undamped natural frequency of the system considered as a vibrating solid body. The comparison was made for systems with free surfaces and flow paths, which could be considered analogous to a U-tube manometer. The purpose of the

following analysis is to evaluate the undamped natural frequency of a closed flow loop with no free surfaces, vibrating as a solid body about an equilibrium position.

Fig. 29. Idealized Model of Heat-transfer Loop

Consider the system shown in Fig. 29, in which the heater and cooler are represented as point heat sources, and the connecting tubing is filled with a fixed amount of fluid with density ρ_1 and ρ_2 . The loop approaches an equilibrium condition such that the density head $(\rho_2 - \rho_1)Z$ balances the steady-state frictional head F_s . If the system is disturbed from this initial equilibrium position by an amount, z , the fluid may perform a damped oscillation about the equilibrium condition or may approach the equilibrium condition asymptotically, depending upon the loop parameters.

Applying Newton's second law to the idealized system results in the equation,

$$\bar{\rho} \frac{L}{g_c} \ddot{z} + F(t) + \frac{g}{g_c} (\rho_1 - \rho_2)(Z - 2z) = 0, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

which may also be derived from Eq. (6.6) by letting $G(t) = G_s + \bar{\rho} \dot{z}$. The frictional pressure drop F may be considered as the sum of a steady-state component and a transient component; i.e.,

$$F(t) = F_s + F'. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Since

$$F_s + \frac{g}{g_c} (\rho_1 - \rho_2) Z = 0,$$

Eq. (B.1) reduces to

$$\frac{\rho L}{g_c} \ddot{z} + F' + 2 \frac{g}{g_c} (\rho_2 - \rho_1) z = 0. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Equation (B.3) is a second-order differential equation frequently encountered in mechanical or electrical vibration problems. The undamped natural frequency of such a system is

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2(\rho_2 - \rho_1)g}{\rho L} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The frequency of a physical system is less than the undamped natural frequency due to the effects of the system's damping. The frequency will also be affected by the enthalpy-transport and wall-temperature effects. Due to the nonlinear characteristics of the physical problem, these effects are best handled by methods such as those employed in Chapter VI. Nevertheless, Eq. (B.4) gives an order-of-magnitude estimate of the frequencies expected from this type of oscillation. The experimentally-determined frequencies during the slow oscillations were 50 to 70% of the value calculated by Eq. (B.4). The difference is believed to be due to the system damping.

The model may be used to estimate the effects of geometry on the frequency of the oscillations. For example, if the cooler were located in the upper horizontal section, rather than on a vertical leg, the coefficient of z in Eq. (B.3) would be reduced by $1/2$ and result in calculating an undamped natural frequency that was reduced by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$. The experimental results and values calculated by the method of Chapter VI tended to show such a decrease.

Fig. 30. Schematic Diagram of Minnesota Heat-transfer Loop

The loop schematic diagram shown in Fig. 30 represents the geometry of the University of Minnesota flow loop. The experimental results from this loop exhibited periods in the hundreds of seconds, which are at least an order of magnitude greater than the results from other loops (43, 68, 72). It can be shown, by an analysis similar to the above, that this effect is due to the geometry. The loop shown in Fig. 30 has a

horizontal cooler, and a heater with a large cross-sectional area A inclined at an angle θ of 22.5° with the horizontal, all of which contribute to a low frequency. The displacement z_1 in the part of the loop with area a is related to the displacement z_2 in the heater by

$$z_2 = \frac{a}{A} z_1. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Applying Newton's second law to the mass of fluid m_2 in the heater and the mass m_1 in the tubing of area a results in

$$\frac{m_2}{g_c} \ddot{z}_2 + F' + A \frac{g}{g_c} (\rho_2 - \rho_1)(\sin \theta) z_2 = -\frac{m_1}{g_c} \ddot{z}_1, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

which simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{g_c} \left(m_2 + m_1 \frac{A}{a} \right) \ddot{z}_2 + F' + A \frac{g}{g_c} (\rho_2 - \rho_1)(\sin \theta) z_2 = 0, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

for which the undamped natural frequency is

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{A(\rho_2 - \rho_1)g \sin \theta}{m_2 + m_1 \frac{A}{a}}}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The calculated, undamped, natural frequency for the loop, at the conditions described by Alstad et al.⁶⁸ is about 45% of the experimentally determined value, which is consistent with the results observed for the present tests. Consequently, the geometry factors considered in this analysis can account for the relatively large periods characteristic of this apparatus.

In their numerical analysis of this loop, Garlid et al.⁷² neglected the inclination of the heater element. Their calculated frequencies were larger than the observed frequencies by nearly a factor of two, which was attributed to the small number of subdivisions in their mixing-cell model. However, Eq. (B.8) shows that neglecting the inclination would result in a larger frequency by a factor of $(\sin \theta)^{-1/2} = 1.64$, which accounts for a large proportion of the reported deviation.

APPENDIX C

Digital Computer Program*

```

PROGRAM HTTRLP
C  TRANSIENT HEAT TRANSFER LOOP
  DIMENSION RHO(38),ENT(38),TEMP(38),HTTRCO(38),TFLUID(4,51),
  1FILMCO(4,51),TWALL(2,51),SPA(4),SIZE(4),ITEST(4),DEL(4),
  2DELZ(4),NSPA(4),HB(4,51),H(4,51),HBAR(4,51),HBBAR(4,51),HNU(4,51),
  3RHOBAR(1000),GBAR(1000),P(1000),F(1000),HOUT(1000),TOUT(1000),
  4GMAX(30),GMAXT(30),GMIN(30),GMINT(30),RBAR(4,51),DELP(1000),
  5WALLT(1000),TIME(1000),T(20)
  COMMON RHO,ENT,TEMP,HTTRCO
  CALL TABLE
  1 FORMAT(4I6,1P4E12.5)
  2 FORMAT(6E12.5,E8.4)
  3 FORMAT(2E12.5,I6)
  4 FORMAT(F10.3,1P7E15.4)
  5 FORMAT(3E12.5,I6,E12.5)
  6 FORMAT(I6,8E14.5)
10 READ INPUT TAPE 7,1,IPROB,IPRES,NTEST1,NTEST2,QBYA,HIN,GBAR(1),
  1EPS1
  IF(EOF,60)105,11
105 STOP
  11 II=1
  K=1
  IF(NTEST1)12,16,12
  12 HBLAST=0.
  READ INPUT TAPE 7,2,BR1,BR2, BR3, BR4,DM,DN,V
  READ INPUT TAPE 7,2,AFLOW,DIA,RCWL
  DO15I=1,4
  READ INPUT TAPE 7,3,SPA(I),SIZE(I),ITEST(I)
  DELZ(I)=SIZE(I)/SPA(I)
  NSPA(I)=SPA(I)
  HB(I,1)=HBLAST
  L=NSPA(I)
  DO 14 J=1,L
  HB(I,J+1)=HB(I,J)+DELZ(I)
  14 HBBAR(I,J)=(HB(I,J)+HB(I,J+1))/2.0
  15 HBLAST=HB(I,L+1)
  16 ITER=1
  IF(NTEST2)18,18,17
  17 READ INPUT TAPE 7,2,QCHNG,DELT,TMAX,HTEST,HRATIO
  READ INPUT TAPE 7,5,EL,TCHNG,DELTNU,ITSUP
  READ INPUT TAPE 7,2,(T(I),I=1,7)
  18 H(4,1)=HIN
  L=NSPA(4)
  DO 19 J=1,L
  H(4,J+1)=HIN
  19 HBAR(4,J)=HIN
195 DO 50 I=1,3
  IF(ITEST(I))40,30,20
  20 DEL(I)=QBYA/SPA(I)/GBAR(1)
  H(1,1)=HIN
  L=NSPA(I)
  DO 25 J=1,L
  H(I,J+1)=H(I,J)+DEL(I)
  25 HBAR(I,J)=(H(I,J)+H(I,J+1))/2.0
  HLAST=H(I,L+1)

```

*See Appendix D for nomenclature applicable to this program.

```

      GO TO 50
30  H(I,1)=HLAST
    L=NSPA(I)
    DO 35 J=1,L
      H(I,J+1)=HLAST
35  HBAR(I,J)=HLAST
    GO TO 50
40  DEL(I)=(HLAST-HIN)/SPA(I)
    H(I,1)=HLAST
    L=NSPA(I)
    DO 45 J=1,L
      H(I,J+1)=H(I,J)-DEL(I)
45  HBAR(I,J)=(H(I,J)+H(I,J+1))/2.0
50  CONTINUE
52  NN=4
    GO TO 55
53  NN=3
55  DO 100 I=1,NN
    L=NSPA(I)
60  DO 65 J=1,L
    CALL INTER (HBAR(I,J),RBAR(I,J),TFLUID(I,J),FILMCO(I,J))
63  IF(RBAR(I,J))90,65,65
65  CONTINUE
    GO TO 100
90  WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,92,IPROB,I,J,HBAR(I,J)
92  FORMAT(17H1      IN PROBLEM 16,3H H(12,1H,12,4H) = 1PE12.5,37H WHIC
1H EXCEEDS THE VALUE OF THE TABLE)
    GO TO (150,340),II
100 CONTINUE
101 RHOBAR(K) =0.
    DELP(K)=0.
    DO 135 I=1,4
    L=NSPA(I)
    PSUM=0.
    RHOSUM=0.
    DO 130 J=1,L
    RHOSUM=RBAR(I,J)+RHOSUM
    IF(HBBAR(I,J)-BR1)115,120,120
115 PSUM=PSUM-RBAR(I,J)
    GO TO 130
120 IF (HBBAR(I,J)-BR2)130,121,121
121 IF (HBBAR(I,J)-BR3)125,122,122
122 IF (HBBAR(I,J)-BR4)130,115,115
125 PSUM=PSUM+RBAR(I,J)
130 CONTINUE
    RHOBAR(K)=RHOSUM*DELZ(I)+RHOBAR(K)
135 DELP(K)=PSUM*DELZ(I)+DELP(K)
    RHOBAR(K)=RHOBAR(K)/EL
    GO TO (139,235),II
139 GBARNU=DN*(RHOBAR(K)*DELP(K))*DM
    IF(ABSF(GBARNU-GBAR(K))-EPS1*GBAR(K))152,152,140
140 GBAR(K)=(GBARNU+GBAR(K))/2.
    ITER=ITER+1
    IF(ITER-26)195,145,145
145 ITER=-25
150 NTEST2=0
152 EM=RHOBAR(K)*V
    GBAR(1)=GBARNU
    CONST=QBYA*AFLOW/3.14159/DIA/SIZE(1)
    L=NSPA(1)
    DO 153 J=1,L
153 TWALL(1,J)=CONST/FILMCO(1,J)/(GBAR(K))*0.8+TFLUID(1,J)

```

```

      L=NSPA(2)
      DO 154 J=1,L
154  TWALL (2,J) = TFLUID(2,J)
156  WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,160,IPROB,IPRES,QBYA,QCHNG,DELT,ITER,GBAR(1),
      1DELP(1),RHOBAR(1),EM,HLAST,HIN
160  FORMAT(29HITRANSIENT HEAT TRANSFER LOOP59X8HPROBLEM I6//7X      11H
      1PRESSURE = I6,8X6HQ/A = E12.5,8X10HQCHANGE = E12.5,8X10HDELTA T =
      2F6.3//9X9HITERATION8X4HGBAR11X7HDELTA P9X6HRHOBAR13X1HM13X5HHOUT
      313X5HHIN //I14,E20.5,5E16.5//)
161  WRITE OUTPUT TAPE6, 162
162  FORMAT(6H0      J5X9HHBAR(1,J)3X11HRHOBAR(1,J)4X10HTWALL(1,J)3X
      11HTFLUID(1,J)//)
      L=NSPA(1)
      DO 165 J=1,L
165  WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,6,J,HBAR(1,J),RBAR(1,J),TWALL(1,J),
      1TFLUID(1,J)
180  IF(NTTEST2)200,10,200
200  K=2
      KK=2
      HOUT(1)=HLAST
      IGMX=1
      GMAX(IGMX)=GBAR(1)
      TIME(2)=DELT
      GSIGN=1.
210  QBYA=QBYA+QCHNG
211  HNU(1,1)=HIN
      IF(TIME(K)-T(1))2110,2113,2113
2110 IF(TIME(K)-T(2))2111,2114,2114
2111 IF(TIME(K)-T(3))2112,2115,2115
2112 IF(TIME(K)-T(7))2117,2117,2118
2113 IF(TIME(K)-T(4))2117,2117,2118
2114 IF(TIME(K)-T(5))2117,2117,2118
2115 IF(TIME(K)-T(6))2117,2117,2118
2117 HINCR=HRATIO
      GO TO 2119
2118 HINCR=1.0
2119 CONTINUE
      DO 231 I=1,2
      IF(ITEST(I))212,215,212
212  QOVERA=QBYA
      GO TO 220
215  QOVERA=0.
      HINCR =1.0
220  CONST =DELT*QOVERA*AFLOW/RCAWL/SIZE(I)
      BETA=3.14159*DIA*DELT/RCAWL*HINCR
      L=NSPA(I)
      DO 221 J=1,L
221  TWALL(I,J)=CONST+BETA*FILMCO(I,J)*(GBAR(K-1))*0.8*(TFLUID(I,J)
      1-TWALL(I,J))+TWALL(I,J)
      L=NSPA(I)+1
      DO 229 J=2,L
      ALPHA=GBAR(K-1)*DELT/RBAR(I,J-1)/DELZ(I)
      QBYV=3.14159*DIA/AFLOW*FILMCO(I,J-1)*(GBAR(K-1))*0.8*(TWALL(I,J-1)
      1-TFLUID(I,J-1))*HINCR
2211 IF(ITEST(I))222,223,222
222  HNU(I,J)=H(I,J-1)+(H(I,J)-HNU(I,J-1))*(1.-ALPHA)/(1.+ALPHA)+2.
      1*QBYV*DELT/RBAR(I,J-1)/(1.+ALPHA)
      GO TO 229
223  HNU(I,J)=(H(I,J)+ALPHA*HNU(I,J-1)+QBYV*DELT/RBAR(I,J-1))/(1.+
      1ALPHA)
229  CONTINUE
      IF(I-2)230,231,231

```

```

230 L=NSPA(I)
    HNU(I+1,1)=HNU(I,L+1)
231 CONTINUE
    DO 233 I=1,2
        L=NSPA(I)+1
        DO 232 J=1,L
232 H(I,J)=HNU(I,J)
233 CONTINUE
    L=NSPA(2)
    DEL(3)=(H(2,L+1)-HIN)/SPA(3)
    H(3,1)=H(2,L+1)
    L=NSPA(3)
    DO 2335 J=1,L
2335 H(3,J+1)=H(3,J)-DEL(3)
        DO 239 I=1,3
            L=NSPA(I)
            DO 238 J=1,L
238 HBAR(I,J)=(H(I,J)+H(I,J+1))/2.0
239 CONTINUE
    IF(HTEST)236,237,236
236 IF(K-2)2360,2360,2362
2360 WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,2361
2361 FORMAT(35HOHEATER WALL AND FLUID TEMPERATURES//
1
4H0 K6X3HJ=18X1H28X1H38X1H4
28X1H58X1H68X1H78X1H88X1H97X2H107X2H117X2H12//)
2362 WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,2363,K,(TWALL(1,J),J=1,12),(TFLUID(1,J),J=1,
112)
2363 FORMAT(I5,12F9.1/5X12F9.1/)
237 II=2
    GO TO 53
235 P(K)=TFLUID(2,18)
    N=NSPA(1)+1
    HOUT(K)=H(1,N)
    WALLT(K)=TWALL(1,N-1)
    TOUT(K)=TFLUID(2,1)
    F(K-1)=(GBAR(K-1)/DN)**(1./DM)/RHOBAR(K-1)
    GBAR(K)=GBAR(K-1)+(32.2*DELT/EL)*(DELP(K)-F(K-1))
250 IF(GSIGN)265,255,255
255 IF(GBAR(K)-GBAR(K-1))260,300,300
260 GMAX(IGMAX)=GBAR(K-1)
    GMAXT(IGMAX)=TIME(K)-DELT
    GSIGN=-1.
    GO TO 300
265 IF(GBAR(K-1)-GBAR(K))270,300,300
270 GSIGN=1.
    GMIN(IGMAX)=GBAR(K-1)
    GMIN(IGMAX)=TIME(K)-DELT
272 IGMAX=IGMAX+1
    GO TO 300
282 LL=K-1
277 WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,278
278 FORMAT(35HO TRANSIENT ANALYSIS RESULTS//6X4HTIME7X4HGBAR8X
17HDELTA P9X6HRHOBAR8X12HTFLUID(2,18)6X5HH OUT11X5HT OUT9X5HTWALL/)
DO 279 L = KK,LL,ITSUP
279 WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,4,TIME(L),GBAR(L),DELP(L),RHOBAR(L),P(L),
1HOUT(L),TOUT(L),WALLT(L)
    WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,4,TIME(K),GBAR(K),DELP(K),RHOBAR(K),P(K),
1HOUT(K),TOUT(K),WALLT(K)
    KK=K+1
    GO TO (304,400),JJ
400 WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,401
401 FORMAT(23HO TIME14X4HGMAX15X4HTIME14X4HGMIN//)

```

```
      WRITE OUTPUT TAPE 6,402,(GMAXT(J),GMAX(J),GMINT(J),GMIN(J),J=1,  
XIGMAX)  
402  FORMAT(OPF23.4,1PE21.6,OPF16.4,1PE21.6)  
      GO TO 10  
300  IF(TMAX-TIME(K))3000,3000,301  
3000 JJ=2  
      GO TO 282  
301  IF(TCHNG)305,305,302  
302  IF(TIME(K)-TCHNG)305,303,303  
303  TCHNG=0.  
      JJ=1  
      GO TO 282  
304  DELT=DELTNU  
305  K=K+1  
      TIME(K)=TIME(K-1)+DELT  
      GO TO 211  
340  JJ=2  
      GO TO 282  
      END
```

SUBROUTINETABLE

DIMENSIONENT(38),RHO(38),TEMP(38),HTTRCO(38)

COMMONENT,RHO,TEMP,HTTRCO

ENT(1)=56.0

ENT(2)=58.0

ENT(3)=60.0

ENT(4)=62.0

ENT(5)=64.0

ENT(6)=66.0

ENT(7)=68.0

ENT(8)=70.0

ENT(9)=72.0

ENT(10)=74.0

ENT(11)=76.0

ENT(12)=78.0

ENT(13)=80.0

ENT(14)=82.0

ENT(15)=84.0

ENT(16)=86.0

ENT(17)=88.0

ENT(18)=90.0

ENT(19)=92.0

ENT(20)=94.0

ENT(21)=96.0

ENT(22)=98.0

ENT(23)=100.0

ENT(24)=102.0

ENT(25)=104.0

ENT(26)=106.0

ENT(27)=108.0

ENT(28)=110.0

ENT(29)=112.0

ENT(30)=114.0

ENT(31)=116.0

ENT(32)=118.0

ENT(33)=120.0

ENT(34)=122.0

ENT(35)=124.0

ENT(36)=126.0

ENT(37)=128.0

ENT(38)=130.0

RHO(1)=78.10

RHO(2)=77.05

RHO(3)=75.85

RHO(4)=74.74

RHO(5)=73.58

RHO(6)=72.35

RHO(7)=70.92

RHO(8)=69.54

RHO(9)=68.05

RHO(10)=66.43

RHO(11)=64.80

RHO(12)=63.05

RHO(13)=61.30

RHO(14)=59.40

RHO(15)=57.44

RHO(16)=55.25

RHO(17)=52.65

RHO(18)=49.80

RHO(19)=46.50

RHO(20)=42.50

RHO(21)=37.80

RHO(22)=33.90

RHO(23)=30.55

RHO(24)=27.75

RHO(25)=25.25

RHO(26)=23.38

RHO(27)=21.64

RHO(28)=20.22

RHO(29)=19.00

RHO(30)=18.00

RHO(31)=17.13

RHO(32)=16.36

RHO(33)=15.64

RHO(34)=15.10

RHO(35)=14.54

RHO(36)=14.10

RHO(37)=13.65

RHO(38)=13.29

TEMP(1)=193.2

TEMP(2)=200.2

TEMP(3)=207.5

TEMP(4)=214.6

TEMP(5)=221.8

TEMP(6)=228.8

TEMP(7)=235.8

TEMP(8)=242.1

TEMP(9)=248.8

TEMP(10)=255.3

TEMP(11)=261.9

TEMP(12)=268.2

TEMP(13)=274.4

TEMP(14)=280.3

TEMP(15)=285.4

TEMP(16)=290.0

TEMP(17)=293.8

TEMP(18)=296.2

TEMP(19)=298.0

TEMP(20)=299.0

TEMP(21)=299.9

TEMP(22)=300.4

TEMP(23)=301.4

TEMP(24)=302.8

TEMP(25)=304.9

TEMP(26)=307.5

TEMP(27)=311.2

TEMP(28)=316.0

TEMP(29)=321.3

TEMP(30)=327.1

TEMP(31)=333.8

TEMP(32)=340.0

TEMP(33)=346.8

TEMP(34)=354.0

TEMP(35)=361.2

TEMP(36)=368.9

TEMP(37)=376.8

TEMP(38)=384.9

HTTRCO(1)=0.0013

HTTRCO(2)=0.0013

HTTRCO(3)=0.0013

HTTRCO(4)=0.0013

HTTRCO(5)=0.0013

HTTRCO(6)=0.0013

HTTRCO(7)=0.0013

HTTRCO(8)=0.0013

HTTRCO(9)=0.0013

HTTRCO(10)=0.0013

HTTRCO(11)=0.0013

HTTRCO(12)=0.0013

HTTRCO(13)=0.0013

HTTRCO(14)=0.0013

HTTRCO(15)=0.0013

HTTRCO(16)=0.0013

HTTRCO(17)=0.0013

HTTRCO(18)=0.0013

HTTRCO(19)=0.0013

HTTRCO(20)=0.0013

HTTRCO(21)=0.0013

HTTRCO(22)=0.0013

HTTRCO(23)=0.0013

HTTRCO(24)=0.0013

HTTRCO(25)=0.0013

HTTRCO(26)=0.0013

HTTRCO(27)=0.0013

HTTRCO(28)=0.0013

HTTRCO(29)=0.0013

HTTRCO(30)=0.0013

HTTRCO(31)=0.0013

HTTRCO(32)=0.0013

HTTRCO(33)=0.0013

HTTRCO(34)=0.0013

HTTRCO(35)=0.0013

HTTRCO(36)=0.0013

HTTRCO(37)=0.0013

HTTRCO(38)=0.0013

RETURN

END


```
SUBROUTINE INTER(HH,R,T,FC)
  DIMENSION ENT(38),RHO(38),TEMP(38),HTTRCO(38)
  COMMON ENT,RHO,TEMP,HTTRCO
  L=1
  IF(HH-ENT(1))1115,1130,1105
1105 DO1110LL=2,38
  L=LL
  IF(HH-ENT(LL))1150,1130,1110
1110 CONTINUE
1115 R=-1
  GOTO1160
1130 R=RHO(L)
  T=TEMP(L)
  FC=HTTRCO(L)
1150 RATIO=(ENT(L)-HH)/(ENT(L)-ENT(L-1))
  R=RHO(L)-RATIO*(RHO(L)-RHO(L-1))
  T=TEMP(L)-RATIO*(TEMP(L)-TEMP(L-1))
  FC=(HTTRCO(L)-RATIO*(HTTRCO(L)-HTTRCO(L-1)))
1160 RETURN
  END
  SCOPE
```

APPENDIX D
Program Nomenclature

<u>Input Variable Name</u>		<u>Example Input Data</u>
IPROB	Problem number	11
IPRES	Pressure	500 psia
NTEST1	Test to determine if loop has been divided into space increments	1
NTEST2	Test to determine whether to perform transient calculations	1
QBYA	Electrical power/cross-sectional flow area	1600.0 Btu/sec-ft ²
HIN	Heater inlet enthalpy	73.0 Btu/lb _m
GBAR(1)	Initial estimate of mass flow rate	87.0 lb _m /sec-ft ²
EPS1	Steady-state mass-flow-rate convergence criterion	0.005
BR1	Axial distance from heater inlet to upper corner above heater	15.8333 ft
BR2	Axial distance from heater inlet to upper corner above cooler	22.8333 ft
BR3	Axial distance from heater inlet to lower corner below cooler	40.79166 ft
BR4	Axial distance from heater inlet to lower corner below heater	47.875 ft
DM	Constant derived from Eq. (6.11)	0.629
DN	Constant derived from Eq. (6.11)	0.252
V	Volume of loop	0.236 ft ³
AFLOW	Cross-sectional flow area	0.00471 ft ²
DIA	Diameter	0.0775 ft
RCAWL	$(\rho c_p A)_{\text{wall}}$	0.042433 Btu/°F ft
SPA(1)	Number of space increments in heater	12.0
SIZE(1)	Length of heater	3.0 ft

<u>Input Variable Name</u>		<u>Example Input Data</u>
ITEST(1)	Identifies heater	1
SPA(2)	Number of space increments in riser	19.0
SIZE(2)	Length of riser	22.1667 ft
ITEST(2)	Identifies riser	0
SPA(3)	Number of space increments in cooler	12.0
SIZE(3)	Length of cooler	5.0 ft
ITEST(3)	Identifies cooler	-1
SPA(4)	Number of space increments in downcomer	28.0
SIZE(4)	Length of downcomer	19.8333 ft
ITEST(4)	Identifies downcomer	0
QCHNG	Change of QBYA	0.0 Btu/sec ft ²
DELT	Time increment	0.1 sec
TMAX	Total problem time	80.0 sec
HTEST	Test to determine whether to print out supplementary data	0.0
HRATIO	Ratio by which $H/G^{0.8}$ is increased to simulate "boiling-like" behavior	1.60
EL	Loop length	50.0 ft
TCHNG	Tests whether time increment is to be changed	0.0 sec
DELTNU	New value of time increment	0.0 sec
ITSUP	Specifies number of time increments between printed output data	1
T(1)	The program causes $H/G^{0.8}$ to increase	47.5 sec
T(2)	by a factor of HRATIO from 0 to T(7),	31.3 sec
T(3)	T(3) to T(6), T(2) to T(5), and T(1)	15.1 sec
T(4)	to T(4) sec to simulate four cycles	49.5 sec
T(5)	of the "boiling-like" events. Setting	33.3 sec
T(6)	these values equal to 0.0 bypasses	17.1 sec
T(7)	this feature of the program.	2.0 sec

Output Variable Name		Unit
PROBLEM	IPROB	
PRESSURE	IPRES	psia
Q/A	QBYA	Btu/sec-ft ²
QCHANGE	QCHNG	Btu/sec-ft ²
DELTA T	DELT	sec
ITERATION	Number of steady-state iterations	
GBAR	Mass flow rate per unit area	lb _m /sec-ft ²
DELTA P	Density head	lb _f /ft ²
RHO BAR	Average density of fluid in loop	lb _m /ft ³
M	Mass of fluid in loop	lb _m
HOUT	Heater outlet enthalpy	Btu/lb _m
HIN	Heater inlet enthalpy	Btu/lb _m
HBAR(1,J)	Average enthalpy of jth heater increment	Btu/lb _m
RHO BAR(1,J)	Average density of jth heater increment	lb _m /ft ³
TWALL(1,J)	Wall temperature of jth heater increment	°F
TFLUID(1,J)	Bulk temperature of jth heater increment	°F
TIME	Time	sec
TFLUID(2,18)	Bulk temperature of 18th increment in riser	°F
TOUT	Heater outlet bulk temperature	°F
TWALL	Wall temperature at heater exit	°F
GMAX	Maximum value of GBAR	lb _m /sec-ft ²
GMIN	Minimum value of GBAR	lb _m /sec-ft ²

Selected Variable Names Used Internally in the Program

ENT	Tabulated values of enthalpy
FILMCO	$H/G^{0.8}$
H	Enthalpy (h) of fluid at end of space increment. (This is only place where H does not refer to heat-transfer coefficient.)
HBAR	Enthalpy of fluid at midpoint of space increment

HNU	Enthalpy of fluid at end of time increment
HB	Axial distance to end of space increment
HBBAR	Axial distance to midpoint of space increment
HTTRCO	Tabulated values of $H/G^{0.8}$
RHO	Tabulated values of density
RBAR	Average value of density in space increment
TEMP	Tabulated values of temperature
TFLUID	Bulk temperature of fluid
TWALL	Wall temperature

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful for the inspiration and guidance furnished by his major advisor, Dr. Jerald D. Parker, who gave freely of his time and knowledge in directing the research. Thanks are also due to the other members of the advisory committee, Dr. James H. Boggs, Dr. Roy B. Deal, Jr., and Dr. Donald R. Haworth for their assistance. The contributions of the various professors and professionals who have advanced the author's professional development are warmly acknowledged, as are the fellowships granted by the National Science Foundation and by Jersey Production Research Company, which supported the author in his graduate study.

The research was carried out with the financial support of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission and Associated Midwest Universities at the Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois. It is a pleasure to acknowledge the financial support and the assistance of the Argonne technical and professional staff, particularly: Dr. Michael Petrick, for technical suggestions and encouragements; Leonard M. Indykiewicz for assistance in building and operating the loop; and the entire D-11 Heat Engineering Section, including fellow AMU students, for their generous support.

REFERENCES

1. Goldmann, K., Heat Transfer to Supercritical Water at 5000 psi Flowing at High Mass Flow Rates Through Round Tubes, Proceedings of the 1961 International Heat Transfer Conference, Part III, 561-578 (Aug 1961).
2. Van Wie, N. H., and R. A. Ebel, Some Thermodynamic Properties of Freon-114, Report No. K-1430, Vol. I and II, available from the Office of Technical Services, U.S. Department of Commerce (1959).
3. Anderson, N. S., and L. P. Delsasso, The Propagation of Sound in Carbon Dioxide Near the Critical Point, Acoust. Soc. Am. 23, No. 4, 423-429 (July 1951).
4. Stiel, L. I., and G. Thodos, The Prediction of the Transport Properties of Pure Gaseous and Liquid Substances, Progress in International Research on Thermodynamic and Transport Properties, Academic Press, New York, New York, 352-365 (1962).
5. Simon, H. A., and E. R. G. Eckert, Laminar Free Convection in Carbon Dioxide Near its Critical Point, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 6, 681-690 (1963).
6. Sengers, J. V., and A. Michels, The Thermal Conductivity of Carbon Dioxide in the Critical Region, Progress in International Research on Thermodynamic and Transport Properties, Academic Press, New York, New York, 434-440 (1962).
7. Schmidt, E., E. Eckert, and U. Grigull, Heat Transfer by Liquids Near the Critical State, Air Material Command, AAF Translation No. 527, Wright Field, Dayton, Ohio (1939).
8. Holman, J. P., and J. H. Boggs, Heat Transfer to Freon-12 Near the Critical State in a Natural-circulation Loop, Journal of Heat Transfer, Trans. ASME, Series C, 82, 221-226 (Aug 1960).
9. Van Putte, D. A., and R. J. Grosh, Heat Transfer to Water in the Near-critical Region, Technical Report No. 4, Purdue University, ANL Subcontract 31-109-38-704 (Aug 1960).
10. Chalfant, A. I., Heat Transfer and Fluid Friction Experiments for the Supercritical Water Reactor, PWAC-109 (June 1, 1954).
11. Goldmann, K., Special Heat Transfer Phenomenon for Supercritical Fluids, NDA-2-31 (Nov 1956).
12. Goldmann, K., Boiling Songs, Nuclear Development Associates, Inc., Report NDA 10-68 (May 14, 1953).
13. Firstenberg, H., Boiling Songs and Associated Mechanical Vibrations, Nuclear Development Associates, Inc., Report NDA-2131-12 (June 1960).
14. Griffith, J. D., and R. H. Sabersky, Convection in a Fluid at Supercritical Pressures, ARS Journal 30(3), 289-291 (March 1960).

15. Graham, R. W., R. C. Hendricks, and R. C. Ehlers, An Experimental Study of the Pool Heating of Liquid Hydrogen in the Subcritical and Supercritical Pressure Regimes Over a Range of Accelerations, NASA TMX-52039 (Aug 1964).
16. Dickinson, N. L., and C. P. Welch, Heat Transfer to Supercritical Water, Trans. ASME 80, 746-752 (April 1958).
17. Doughty, D. L., and R. M. Drake, Free Convection Heat Transfer from a Horizontal Right Cylinder to Freon-12 near the Critical State, Trans. ASME 78, 1843-1850 (1956).
18. Bonilla, Charles F., and Leon A. Sigel, High-intensity Natural-convection Heat Transfer near the Critical Point, Chemical Engineering Progress Symposium Series No. 32, 57, 87-95 (1960).
19. McCarthy, J. R., and H. Wolf, The Heat Transfer Characteristics of Gaseous Hydrogen and Helium, Rocketdyne Research Report RR-60-12 (Dec 1960).
20. Thurston, R. S., Pressure Oscillations Induced by Forced Convection Heat Transfer to Two-phase and Supercritical Hydrogen, Los Alamos Report LAMS-3070 (Feb 1964).
21. Hines, W. S., and H. Wolf, Pressure Oscillations Associated with Heat Transfer to Hydrocarbon Fluids at Supercritical Pressures and Temperatures, ARS Journal 32, 361-366 (March 1962).
22. Guevara, F. A., B. B. McInteer, and R. M. Potter, Temperature Flow Stability Experiments, LAMS-2934 (July 1963).
23. Powell, W. B., Heat Transfer to Fluids in the Region of the Critical Temperature, Jet Propulsion 27, 776-783 (July 1957).
24. Koppel, L. B., and J. M. Smith, Turbulent Heat Transfer in the Critical Region, Proceedings of the 1961 International Heat Transfer Conference, Part III, 585-590 (Aug 1961).
25. Wood, R. D., and J. M. Smith, Heat Transfer in the Critical Region--Temperature and Velocity Profiles in Turbulent Flow, AIChE J. 10, No. 2, 180-186 (March 1964).
26. Bringer, R. P., and J. M. Smith, Heat Transfer in the Critical Region, AIChE J. 3, 49-55 (1957).
27. Petukhov, B. S., E. A. Krasnoschekov, and V. S. Protopopov, An Investigation of Heat Transfer to Fluids Flowing in Pipes under Supercritical Conditions, Proceedings of the 1961 International Heat Transfer Conference, Part III, 569-578 (Aug 1961).

28. Harden, D. G., Transient Behavior of a Natural-circulation Loop Operating near the Thermodynamic Critical Point, ANL-6710 (May 1963).
29. Gouse, S. W., Jr., An Index to the Two-phase Gas-liquid Flow Literature, Part I, MIT Engineering Projects Laboratory Report DSR-8734-1 (May 1963).
30. Gouse, S. W., Jr., and C. D. Andrysiak, Flow Oscillations in a Closed Loop with Transparent Parallel Vertical Heated Channels, MIT Engineering Projects Laboratory Report 8973-2, Mechanical Engineering Department (June 1963).
31. Anderson, R. P., and P. A. Lottes, Boiling Stability, Progress in Nuclear Energy, Series IV, 4, Pergamon Press, London, England, Ch. 1 (1961).
32. Beckjord, E. S., Hydrodynamic Stability in Reactors, Nuclear Safety 4, 1-10 (Sept 1962).
33. Ledinegg, M., Instability of Flow During Natural and Forced Circulation, Die Wärme 61, 891-898 (1938).
34. Chilton, H. A., A Theoretical Study of Stability in Water Flow through Heated Passages, J. of Nucl. Energy 5, 273-284 (1957).
35. Pulling, D. J., and J. G. Collier, Instabilities in Two-phase Flow--Preliminary Experiments, AERE-M1105 (July 1963).
36. Quandt, E. R., Analysis and Measurements of Flow Oscillations, Chemical Engineering Progress Symposium Series, No. 32, 57, 111-126 (1961).
37. Anderson, R. P., L. T. Bryant, J. C. Carter, and J. F. Marchaterre, Transient Analysis of Two-phase Natural Circulation Systems, ANL-6653 (Dec 1962).
38. Becker, K. M., S. Jahnberg, I. Haga, P. T. Hanson, and R. P. Mathisen, Hydrodynamic Instability and Dynamic Burnout in Natural Circulation Two-phase Flow, An Experimental and Theoretical Study, AE-156 (Sept 1964).
39. Nahavandi, A. N., A Digital Computer Analysis of Loss of Coolant Accident for a Multicircuit Core Nuclear Power Plant, Nucl. Sci. Eng. 14, 272-286 (1962).
40. Meyer, J. E., Hydrodynamic Models for the Treatment of Reactor Thermal Transients, Nucl. Sci. Eng. 10, 269-277 (1961).
41. Meyer, J. E., and R. R. Rose, Application of a Momentum Integral Model to the Study of Parallel Channel Boiling Flow Oscillations, J. Heat Transfer, Trans. ASME 85, 1-9 (Feb 1963).
42. Wallis, G. B., and J. H. Heasley, Oscillation in Two-phase Flow Systems, J. Heat Transfer, Trans. ASME, Series C, 83, 363-369 (Aug 1961).

43. Wissler, E. H., et al., Oscillatory Behavior of a Two-phase Natural-circulation Loop, AIChE J. 2, 157-162 (June 1956).
44. Ulrich, A. J., Results of Recent Analysis of Borax-II Transient Experiments, ANL-5532 (1956).
45. Gouse, S. W., Jr., A Survey of the Velocity of Sound in Two-phase Mixtures, ASME Publication 64 WA/FE-35 (1964).
46. Fleck, J. A., Jr., The Influence of Pressure on Boiling Water Reactor Dynamics Behavior at Atmospheric Pressure, Nucl. Sci. Eng. 9, 271-280 (1961).
47. Christensen, H., Acoustical Oscillation in Steam Systems, Nukleonik 5, No. 7, 279-285 (1963).
48. Hoglund, B. M., The Effect of Small Additions of Methanol on Film Boiling Heat Transfer in Water, Nuclear Technology Laboratory, Report 2, Stanford, California, p. 16 (March 1958).
49. Viskanta, R., Natural Frequency of Oscillation of Armadilla, ANL internal memorandum.
50. Levy, S., and E. S. Beckjord, Hydraulic Instability in a Natural Circulation Loop with Net Steam Generation at 1000 psi, ASME Publication 60-HT-27 (1960).
51. Blumbough, A. L., and E. R. Quandt, Analysis and Measurement of Flow Oscillations, WAPD-AD-TH-538 (Nov 1960).
52. Kinetic Studies of Heterogeneous Water Reactors, Research Laboratory, Ramo-Wooldridge Division, Thomson-Ramo-Wooldridge, Inc., Annual Summary Report, 1959, RWD-RL-167 (Feb 1960).
53. Lindahl, E. J., Pulsation and its Effect on Flowmeters, Trans. ASME 68, 883-894 (Nov 1946).
54. Grey, J., and F. F. Liu, Methods of Flow Measurement, ARS J., 133-140 (May-June 1953).
55. Shafer, M. R., Performance Characteristics of Turbine Flowmeters, Trans. ASME 84, 471-485 (Dec 1962).
56. Grey, J., Transient Response of the Turbine Flowmeter, Jet Propulsion (Feb 1956).
57. Green, S. J., and T. W. Hunt, Accuracy and Response of Thermocouples for Surface and Fluid Temperature Measurements, Temperature, Its Measurement and Control, Vol. 3, Part 2, Reinhold Publishing Co., 695-722 (1962).
58. Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, Chemical Rubber Publishing Co., Cleveland, Ohio (1955).
59. Clark, J. A., Discussion in Trans. ASME 80, 1402-1403 (1958).

60. Hatch, M. R., and R. B. Jacobs, Prediction of Pressure Drop in Two-phase Single-component Fluid Flow, AIChE J. 8, 19-25 (March 1962).
61. Sondhauss, Pogg. Ann. 109, p 1, 426 (1860).
62. Lord Rayleigh, The Theory of Sound, Vol. II, 2nd ed., MacMillan, London, England, p. 226 ff (1940).
63. McManus, H. N., Jr., and E. E. Soehngen, Flow Separation and Acoustic Effects, J. Heat Transfer, Trans. ASME, Series C, 82, 166-169 (Aug 1960).
64. Merlini, C., private communication.
65. Schlichting, H., Boundary Layer Theory, McGraw Hill, New York, New York (1955).
66. Bird, R. B., W. E. Stewart, and E. N. Lightfoot, Transport Phenomena, Wiley, New York, New York (1960).
67. Daily, J. W., and K. C. Deemer, The Unsteady-flow Water Tunnel at M.I.T., Trans. ASME 76, 87 (1954).
68. Alstad, C. D., H. S. Isbin, N. R. Amundson, and J. P. Silvers, The Transient Behavior of a Single-phase Natural Circulation Water Loop System, ANL-5409 (March 1956).
69. Lydersen, A. T., R. A. Greenkorn, and O. A. Hougen, Generalized Thermodynamic Properties of Pure Fluids, Univ. Wisconsin Expt. Sta. Report 4, Madison, Wisconsin (Oct 1955).
70. Richtmyer, R. D., Difference Methods for Initial-value Problems, Interscience, New York, New York (1957).
71. Birkhoff, G., and T. F. Kines, CHIC Program for Thermal Transients, WAPD-TM-245 (Feb 1962).
72. Garlid, K., N. R. Amundson, and H. S. Isbin, A Theoretical Study of the Transient Operation and Stability of Two-phase Natural-circulation Loops, ANL-6381 (1961).