MASTER

Comparison of Approximate Methods for
Multiple Scattering in High Energy Collisions *

W. Tobocean

and

M. Pauli

Physics Department
Case Western Reserve University
Cleveland, Ohio 44106

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

* This work was supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission and the National Science Foundation.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Abstract

We compare the efficacy of several approximate methods for dealing with multiple scattering processes by applying these methods to a simple, soluble model. The model consists of the scattering of a particle by a pair of like particles with motion of all particles constrained to one dimension. Two cases are considered: a) the target particles have a fixed separation and b) the target particles are in a deuteron-like bound state with each other. The interaction of the incident particle with each target particle is the same and is chosen to be a zero range potential. The potential binding the two target particles in case b is equal to this same zero range interaction. The methods compared are the Born approximation for the T-matrix, the impulse approximation for the T-matrix, the WKB approximation, the Glauber approximation, second order multiple scattering theory for the T-matrix, Born Approximation for the K-matrix, impulse approximation for the K-matrix, and second order multiple scattering theory for the K-matrix. For the fixed separation case the K-matrix formalisms work much better than the T-matrix formalisms including Glauber theory. Second order multiple scattering theory is superior to Glauber theory for fixed separation. For case b the aforementioned approximate methods are used in conjunction with the adiabatic approximation. Comparison of the calculated transmission probabilities with the exact transmission probability shows the Glauber theory doing much better than any of the other approximate methods. The success of the Glauber theory results from its suppression of a second order term in the multiple scattering expansion which is inadequately damped by the adiabatic approximation.

I. Introduction

The Glauber theory⁽¹⁾ for the scattering of a high energy particle by a many body target has proved to be very successful in predicting high energy scattering cross sections. In order to gain some added insight into the reasons for the success of the Glauber theory we have applied it to a simple, soluble one dimensional scattering model. For comparison we have applied other approximate scattering theories to the same model.

The scattering model consists of a particle constrained to move along a straight line on which are located two identical scattering centers separated by a distance b . The interaction of the incident particle with each scattering center (or target particle) is given by a zero range potential. The probabilities for transmission and reflection are analogous to the differential cross sections for forward and backward scattering in three dimensions. Two cases are considered: 1) the target particle separation b is assumed to be fixed, and 2) the target particle separation b is assumed to vary in time in accordance with an exponential form factor. Case 2 corresponds to the two target particles being in a bound state created by a zero range potential interaction between them.

Approximate expressions for the transmission and reflection probabilities are constructed by means of taking the Born approximation, the impulse approximation, and the second order multiple scattering approximation for either the T-matrix or the K-matrix. In addition, the WKB approximation and the Glauber approximation for the T-matrix are used.

For the case where the target particle separation is held fixed we find that all the K-matrix methods give results superior to all the T-matrix methods. Also the second order multiple scattering approximations

do better than the others. The Glauber theory does rather poorly. For this case multiple scatterings involving one or more backward scatterings play a non-negligible part. The K-matrix methods seem to be very successful in handling this kind of an effect.

For the case where the two target particles are in a deuteron-like bound state the aforementioned approximate methods are inserted into an adiabatic approximation treatment of the problem. The approximate transmission probabilities are compared to the exact result for this one dimensional three body problem. The Glauber theory proves to be superior to any of the other approximate methods. The reason for this result appears to be the Glauber theory neglect of a second order term in the multiple scattering approximation which is insufficiently damped in the adiabatic approximation treatment.

In Section II we present the model and present a version of formal scattering theory appropriate to the peculiar geometry of the model. The derivation of the formalism is contained in Appendix A. The scattering by single and double zero range potentials is described in Section III, and the Born approximation is introduced. Section IV is devoted to the WKB and Glauber approximations. Then in Section V we present the Watson multiple scattering expansion which is derived in Appendix B. From this we get the impulse approximation in first order and the "second order multiple scattering approximation" by retaining the first and second order terms. In Section VI we show how the previous results must be modified to account for a time varying target particle separation. The results of numerical calculations are presented in Section VII, and Section VIII is devoted to some concluding remarks.

II One Dimensional Scattering Theory

Suppose we have a particle constrained to move along a straight line. This particle can move freely except for its interaction with a finite range scatterer. The Schrödinger equation for this system is

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + w(x) + k^2 \right) \psi(x) = 0 \quad (1a)$$

$$w(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| > c. \quad (1b)$$

We require the asymptotic behavior of the wave function to be appropriate to a scattering process.

$$\psi = e^{ikx} + f_- e^{-ikx} \quad x < -c \quad (2a)$$

$$= e^{ikx} + f_+ e^{ikx} \quad x > c \quad (2b)$$

Then the conservation of flux imposes the following requirement on the scattering amplitudes.

$$|1 + f_+|^2 + |f_-|^2 = 1 \quad (3)$$

This model has been used by E. Kujawski⁽²⁾ to test Glauber theory.

The task of scattering theory is to provide expressions for the scattering amplitudes $f_{\pm}$ in terms of the scattering potential $w(x)$ and the energy k^2 . These expressions are derived in Appendix A. Their structure is somewhat different from what we find in the three dimensional case. We derive two relations for $f_{\pm}$ — a T-matrix expression and a K-matrix expression.

The T-matrix expression is

$$f_{\pm} = \frac{i}{2k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{ikx} T(x,y) e^{\mp iky} \quad (4a)$$

$$T(x,y) = w(x)\delta(x-y) - w(x)G(x,y)^{(+)}w(y) \quad (4b)$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + w(x) + k^2\right)G(x,y)^{(+)} = \delta(x-y) \quad (4c)$$

Here $T(x,y)$ is the T-matrix and $G(x,y)^{(+)}$ is the "outgoing wave" or "retarded" Green's function.

The relationship between the scattering amplitudes and the K-matrix can be presented in the form of a pair of linear algebraic equations.

$$f_{+}(1 - iK_{ss}) + f_{-}(-1 - K_{cs}) = K_{cs} + iK_{ss} \quad (5a)$$

$$f_{+}(1 + K_{cs}) + f_{-}(1 - K_{cc}) = K_{cs} + iK_{cc} \quad (5b)$$

$$K_{sc} = \frac{1}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{\sin kx}{\cos kx} K(x,y) \frac{\cos ky}{\sin ky} \quad (5c)$$

$$K(x,y) = w(x)\delta(x-y) - w(x)G(x,y)^{(0)}w(y) \quad (5d)$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + w(x) + k^2\right)G(x,y)^{(0)} = \delta(x-y) \quad (5e)$$

Here $K(x,y)$ is the K-matrix and $G(x,y)^{(0)}$ is the "standing wave" or "principle value" Green's function.

$G^{(+)}$ and $G^{(0)}$ are solutions of the same differential equation but display different asymptotic behaviors. For the outgoing wave Green's function we require

$$G(x,y)^{(+)} \sim e^{ikx} \text{ for } x > y, c \quad (6a)$$

and

$$G(x,y)^{(+)} \sim e^{-ikx} \text{ for } x < y, -c. \quad (6b)$$

For the standing wave Green's function we require

$$G(x,y)^{(0)} \sim \cos kx \text{ for } x > y, c \quad (7a)$$

and

$$G(x,y)^{(0)} \sim \sin kx \text{ for } x < y, -c. \quad (7b)$$

The Green's functions are symmetric.

$$G(x,y) = G(y,x) \quad (8)$$

III Scattering By Single and Double Zero Range Potentials. Exact Amplitudes and Born Approximation Amplitudes.

The Born approximation consists in neglecting terms that are higher than first order in powers of the interaction potential. Thus $K(x,y)^B = T(x,y)^B = w(x) \delta(x - y)$ is the Born approximation K-matrix and T-matrix.

Now let us consider the simple case where w is a zero range interaction; that is,

$$w_1(x) = -v\delta(x - a) . \quad (9a)$$

In this case it is easy to construct the solutions of the Schrödinger equation, Eq. (1), and show that the scattering amplitudes are

$$f_+^{(1)} = \Lambda , f_-^{(1)} = \Lambda e^{i2ka} \quad (9b)$$

$$\Lambda = -i\gamma/(1 + i\gamma) , \gamma = v/2k . \quad (9c)$$

Now if we use the Born approximation for the T-matrix we find

$$f_+^{1TB} = -i\gamma , f_-^{1TB} = -i\gamma e^{i2ka} \quad (10)$$

Whereas, if we use the Born approximation for the K-matrix we find

$$f_+^{1KB} = \frac{\Lambda}{1 - \Lambda \sin 2ka} , f_-^{1KB} = \frac{\Lambda e^{i2ka}}{1 - i \Lambda \sin 2ka} \quad (11)$$

Note that for $a = 0$ the Born approximation for the K-matrix matches the exact result.

Next let us address ourselves to the case of scattering by two identical zero range potentials. We take the scattering potential to be

$$w_2(x) = -v \delta(x - \frac{b}{2}) - v \delta(x + \frac{b}{2}) \quad (12a)$$

By constructing the solution to the Schrödinger equation and examining its asymptotic form one can find the following expressions for the scattering amplitudes.

$$f_+^{(2)} = (2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^2 B^2)/(1 - \Lambda^2 B^2) \quad (12b)$$

$$f_-^{(2)} = (\Lambda B^{-1} + \Lambda B + 2\Lambda^2 B)/(1 - \Lambda^2 B^2) \quad (12c)$$

$$B = \exp(ikb) \quad (12d)$$

The various terms in these expressions can be interpreted on the basis of a very simple multiple scattering representation of the scattering process. The factor Λ is contributed by each scattering while the factor B is a phase factor contributed by each additional length of path b travelled by the scattered particle. In the numerator of the transmission amplitude $f_+^{(2)}$ we can identify four terms corresponding to the four elementary single and double scattering processes contributing to transmission. Similarly, in the numerator of the expression for the reflection amplitude $f_-^{(2)}$ there are four terms that can be identified with four distinct single and double scattering process leading to reflection. The denominators $(1 - \Lambda^2 B^2)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^2 B^2)^n$ produce a sequence of multiple

scattering contributions from each of the four single and double scattering terms. In Figs. (1) and (2) are displayed diagrammatic representations of all the terms of the multiple scattering expansion up through third order.

When we make the Born approximation for the T-matrix in the double zero range potential case we find

$$f_{+}^{2TB} = -2i\gamma, \quad f_{-}^{2TB} = -2i\gamma \cos kb. \quad (13)$$

The Born approximation for the K-matrix yields the following amplitudes.

$$f_{+}^{2KB} = \frac{2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^2 \cos 2kb}{1 - \Lambda^2 \cos 2kb} \quad (14a)$$

$$f_{-}^{2KB} = \frac{2\Lambda \cos kb + 2\Lambda^2(\cos kb - \sin^2 kb)}{1 - \Lambda^2 \cos 2kb} \quad (14b)$$

IV The WKB Approximation and Glauber Theory

The T-matrix may be regarded as the product of the interaction potential $w(x)$ with the wave matrix $\Omega(x,y)$.

$$T(x,y) = w(x) \Omega(x,y) \quad (15a)$$

$$\Omega(x,y) = \delta(x-y) - G(x,y)^{(+)} w(y) \quad (15b)$$

The wave matrix has the property that when it operates on the incident wave e^{ikx} the result is the corresponding exact solution of the Schrödinger equation, Eq. (1).

$$\psi(x) = \int dy \Omega(x,y) e^{iky} \quad (16)$$

The wave matrix concept is thus useful for generating an expression for the T-matrix from an approximate wave function.

The high energy limit ($k^2 \gg w(x)$) of the WKB approximation⁽³⁾ for the wave function of our particle moving in one dimension is

$$\psi^W = e^{ikx} \exp \frac{i}{2k} \int_{-\infty}^x dy w(y) \quad (17a)$$

Thus the wave matrix in the WKB approximation is just

$$\Omega(x,y)^W = \delta(x-y) \exp \frac{i}{2k} \int_{-\infty}^x dz w(z) , \quad (17b)$$

and the T-matrix is

$$T(x,y)^W = w(x) \delta(x-y) \exp \frac{i}{2k} \int_{-\infty}^x dz w(z) \quad (17c)$$

When this expression is used in Eq. (4) to calculate the transition amplitudes for the single zero range potential case, Eq. (9), we find

$$f_+^{1TW} = (e^{-i\gamma} - 1) \quad (18a)$$

$$f_-^{1TW} = e^{i2ka}(e^{-i\gamma} - 1) \quad (18b)$$

Ordinarily, one would not expect the WKB approximation to work very well for a singular potential, but the result shown in Eq. (18) doesn't look too bad. At any rate, in the high energy limit it agrees with the Born approximation.

Now let us repeat the WKB calculation for the double zero range potential case, Eq. (12). The result is found to be

$$f_+^{2TW} = 2\Gamma + \Gamma^2 \quad (19a)$$

$$f_-^{2TW} = 2\Gamma \cos kb + \Gamma^2 e^{ikb} \quad (19b)$$

$$\Gamma = e^{-i\gamma} - 1 \quad (19c)$$

We note that the quantity Γ is just the WKB approximation for the interaction with one of the scattering potentials. The exact expression for the interaction with a single scattering center is just the quantity Λ given by Eq. (9c). The Glauber theory results from replacing the WKB single interaction expression by the exact single interaction expression in the WKB expression for the transition amplitude for the complex system. Thus Γ is replaced by Λ in Eq. (19).

$$f_{+}^{2TG} = 2A + A^2 \quad (20a)$$

$$f_{-}^{2TG} = AB^{-1} + AB + A^2B \quad (20b)$$

Comparison of the Glauber theory, Eq. (20), with the exact result, Eq. 12, reveals that Glauber theory contains the two first order terms of the multiple scattering series and one of the two second order terms.

V The Second Order Multiple Scattering Approximation and the Impulse Approximation

The multiple scattering expansion for the double zero range potential case is derived in Appendix B. There it is shown that the T-matrix and K-matrix have the form

$$X(x,y) = \left\{ \delta(x - \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y - \frac{b}{2}) \beta_1 + \delta(x + \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y + \frac{b}{2}) \beta_2 \right. \quad (21a)$$

$$\left. - \delta(x + \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y - \frac{b}{2}) \beta_2 \beta_1 - \delta(x - \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y + \frac{b}{2}) \beta_1 \beta_2 \right\} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\beta_1^2 \beta_2)^n$$

$$g = G_0(\frac{b}{2}, -\frac{b}{2}) \quad (21b)$$

$$\beta_1 = -v[1 - vG_0(\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2})]^{-1} \quad (21c)$$

$$\beta_2 = -v[1 - vG_0(-\frac{b}{2}, -\frac{b}{2})]^{-1} \quad (21d)$$

X is the T-matrix if $G_0 = G_0^{(+)}$ where

$$G_0(x,x')^{(+)} = \frac{e^{ikx_{>}} e^{-ikx_{<}}}{2ik} \quad (22)$$

and X is the K-matrix if $G_0 = G_0^{(o)}$ where

$$G_0(x,x')^{(o)} = \frac{\cos kx_{>} \sin kx_{<}}{-k} \quad (23)$$

Truncation of the multiple scattering expansion to include only terms first order in β , the single scattering terms, constitutes the impulse approximation. The transition amplitudes resulting from the impulse approximation to the T-matrix are

$$f_+^{2\pi} = 2A ; f_-^{2\pi} = AB^{-1} + AB. \quad (24)$$

V The Second Order Multiple Scattering Approximation and the Impulse Approximation

The multiple scattering expansion for the double zero range potential case is derived in Appendix B. There it is shown that the T-matrix and K-matrix have the form

$$X(x,y) = \left\{ \delta(x - \frac{b}{2})\delta(y - \frac{b}{2})\beta_1 + \delta(x + \frac{b}{2})\delta(y + \frac{b}{2})\beta_2 \right. \quad (21a)$$

$$\left. - \delta(x + \frac{b}{2})\delta(y - \frac{b}{2})\beta_2\beta_1 - \delta(x - \frac{b}{2})\delta(y + \frac{b}{2})\beta_1\beta_2 \right\} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (g^2\beta_1\beta_2)^n$$

$$g = G_0(\frac{b}{2}, -\frac{b}{2}) \quad (21b)$$

$$\beta_1 = -v[1 - vG_0(\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2})]^{-1} \quad (21c)$$

$$\beta_2 = -v[1 - vG_0(-\frac{b}{2}, -\frac{b}{2})]^{-1} \quad (21d)$$

X is the T-matrix if $G_0 = G_0^{(+)}$ where

$$G_0(x,x')^{(+)} = \frac{e^{ikx_>} e^{-ikx_<}}{2ik} \quad (22)$$

and X is the K-matrix if $G_0 = G_0^{(o)}$ where

$$G_0(x,x')^{(o)} = \frac{\cos kx_> \sin kx_<}{-k} \quad (23)$$

Truncation of the multiple scattering expansion to include only terms first order in β , the single scattering terms, constitutes the impulse approximation. The transition amplitudes resulting from the impulse approximation to the T-matrix are

$$f_+^{2\pi} = 2A \quad ; \quad f_-^{2\pi} = AB^{-1} + AB. \quad (24)$$

The transition amplitudes resulting from the impulse approximation to the K-matrix are

$$f_{+}^{2KI} = \frac{2\Lambda + 2\Lambda^2 \cos^2 kb}{1 - \Lambda^2 \cos^2 kb} \quad (25a)$$

$$f_{-}^{2KI} = \frac{2\Lambda \cos kb + 2\Lambda^2 \cos kb}{1 - \Lambda^2 \cos^2 kb} \quad (25b)$$

If both single scattering and double scattering terms are retained in the multiple scattering expansion, the result is the second order multiple scattering approximation. The transition amplitudes that result from the second order multiple scattering approximation to the T-matrix are

$$f_{+}^{2TM} = 2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^2 B^2 \quad (26a)$$

$$f_{-}^{2TM} = 2\Lambda \cos kb + 2\Lambda^2 B \quad (26b)$$

If the same approximation is made for the K-matrix, we find the transition amplitudes to be

$$f_{+}^{2KM} = \frac{2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^2 - Q}{1 - \Lambda^2 B^2 - \Lambda^2 \sin^2 kb + Q} \quad (27a)$$

$$f_{-}^{2KM} = \frac{2\Lambda \cos kb + 2\Lambda^2 B - Q}{1 - \Lambda^2 B^2 - \Lambda^2 \sin^2 kb + Q} \quad (27b)$$

$$Q = \frac{2\Lambda^4 \sin^4 kb}{(1 + \Lambda)^2 + \Lambda^2 \sin^2 kb} \quad (27c)$$

There is a third type of second order multiple scattering approximation that is sometimes used. This type of approximation results from using an approximate form for $G_0^{(+)}$ in the second order multiple scattering approximation for the T-matrix. The unperturbed Green's function $G_0^{(+)}$ defined in Eq.(22) may be written

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_0(x, x') &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{e^{iq(x' - x)}}{k^2 - q^2 + i\epsilon} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq e^{iq(x' - x)} \left\{ \frac{k^2 - q^2}{(k^2 - q^2)^2 + \epsilon^2} - \frac{i\epsilon}{(k^2 - q^2)^2 + \epsilon^2} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq e^{iq(x' - x)} \left\{ \frac{P}{k^2 - q^2} - i\pi \delta(k^2 - q^2) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq e^{iq(x' - x)} \frac{P}{k^2 - q^2} + \frac{\cos k(x - x')}{2ik} \quad (28)
 \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ is a positive infinitesimal and P denotes principle value. The second term on the right side is called the "on the energy shell part of the Green's function" which we denote by

$$G_0^E(x, x')^{(+)} = \frac{\cos k(x - x')}{2ik} \quad (29)$$

If $G_0^{(+)}$ is replaced by $G_0^E(+)$ in the second order multiple scattering approximation for the T-matrix, the transition amplitudes are found

to be

$$f_{+}^{2TE} = 2\Lambda + 2\Lambda^2 \cos^2 kb \quad (30a)$$

$$f_{-}^{2TE} = 2\Lambda \cos kb + 2\Lambda^2 \cos kb. \quad (30b)$$

For the cases we subjected to numerical evaluation we found that $|f_{+}^{2TE} + 1|^2$ was very nearly equal in value to $|f_{+}^{2TM} + 1|^2$.

VI Application to the Symmetric One Dimensional Three Body Problem

Consider a system consisting of three identical, distinguishable particles constrained to move along a straight line. Suppose the particles interact pairwise via a zero range potential. Then the Schrödinger equation for the system is

$$\left\{ E + \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_3^2} + A(\delta(r_1 - r_2) + \delta(r_2 - r_3) + \delta(r_3 - r_1)) \right) \right\} \psi(r_1, r_2, r_3) = 0 \quad (31)$$

Now introduce a new set of coordinates

$$x = \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[r_1 - \frac{1}{2}(r_2 + r_3) \right] \quad (32a)$$

$$y = \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (r_2 - r_3) \quad (32b)$$

$$z = \left(\frac{1}{3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (r_1 + r_2 + r_3) \quad (32c)$$

Then Eq. (31) becomes

$$\left\{ K^2 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + a(\delta(y) + \frac{2}{3^{\frac{1}{2}}} \delta(x - \frac{y}{3^{\frac{1}{2}}}) + \frac{2}{3^{\frac{1}{2}}} \delta(x + \frac{y}{3^{\frac{1}{2}}})) \right\} \psi(x, y, z) = 0 \quad (33a)$$

$$K^2 = 2ME/\hbar^2 \quad (33b)$$

$$a = 2MA/\hbar^2 \quad (33c)$$

Henceforth we will ignore the center of mass coordinate z .

Suppose particle 1 is incident on a bound state of particles 2 and 3. Then the wave function for the incident beam is

$$\psi_0(x,y) = e^{ikx} \frac{a}{2} e^{-\frac{a}{2}|y|} \quad (34a)$$

$$k^2 = K^2 + \frac{a^2}{4} \quad (34b)$$

This problem has been solved exactly by J.B. McGuire⁽⁴⁾ who finds that the transmission probability is $|1 + f_+|^2$ where

$$f_+ = 4\Lambda/(2 - \Lambda) \quad (35a)$$

$$\Lambda = -i\gamma/(i + i\gamma) \quad (35b)$$

$$\gamma = v/2k = a/k3^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (35c)$$

He finds there is no reflection and no break-up. Those particles which are not transmitted participate in a knock-out process in which the incident particle exchanges roles with one of the bound particles.

We will make an analysis of this problem based on the adiabatic approximation. The adiabatic approximation prescribes that one first solves the problem as if the separation of the two bound particles were fixed. Thus we must solve the Schrödinger equation

$$\{k^2 + \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + v(\delta(x - \frac{b}{2}) + \delta(x + \frac{b}{2}))\}\psi(x) = 0 \quad (36a)$$

$$v = 2a/3^{1/2} \quad (36b)$$

$$b = 2y/3^{1/2} \quad (36c)$$

Then, according to the adiabatic approximation, one averages the T-matrix or K-matrix over the various possible values of the separation b using the square of the bound state wave function as a weighting factor.

$$X^{(s)}(x,y) = \frac{3v}{4} \int_0^\infty db e^{-\frac{3v}{4}b} X^{(2)}(b,x,y) \quad (37a)$$

$$X = T \text{ or } K \quad (37b)$$

It might be said that this is the scattering from a pair of fixed particles whose separation varies in time in accordance with an exponential weight factor.

We have carried out the "smearing procedure" indicated by Eq.(37) on the T-matrices and K-matrices provided by the various methods described in the previous sections of this article for the double zero

range potential case. The resulting transition amplitudes are identified by a superscript S replacing the superscript 2. The transition amplitudes that result from applying the adiabatic approximation to the approximate T-matrices for the double zero range potential problem are presented in Table I. The adiabatic approximation for the K-matrix is presented in Table II.

range potential case. The resulting transition amplitudes are identified by a superscript S replacing the superscript 2. The transition amplitudes that result from applying the adiabatic approximation to the approximate T-matrices for the double zero range potential problem are presented in Table I. The adiabatic approximation for the K-matrix is presented in Table II.

VII Numerical Comparison

Although most of the quantities we have discussed can be presented in simple algebraic form, it is still difficult to assess how well the approximate transition probabilities conform to the exact values. Therefore we have evaluated these quantities numerically for a particular case. We have chosen the strength v of the zero range potential to be such that two particles of mass 1 Amu interacting via such a potential would have a bound state at -2.2 MeV. The masses of the particles have all been set equal to 1 Amu.

The transmission probability for the case of the two zero range scattering centers in one dimension having a separation of $b = 1.128 F$ is plotted in Fig. (3). All the approximate transmission probabilities converge to the correct value at sufficiently large values of the energy. Below 100 MeV the Born approximation for the T-matrix is extremely bad. The impulse approximation for the T-matrix and the WKB approximation are poor at low energies but become very good above 50 MeV. The Glauber theory is fair below 100 MeV. The Born approximation for the K-matrix, the impulse approximation for the K-matrix, and the second order multiple scattering approximation for the T-matrix are fair below 50 MeV and excellent above that energy. The second order multiple scattering approximation for the K-matrix is the only approximation that works well at all energies for this case.

It appears that for treating the scattering of a particle from two fixed scattering centers the K-matrix methods work better than the T-matrix methods. Among the various approximations used, the second order

multiple scattering approximation seems to work the best.

In Fig. (4) we plot the transmission probabilities calculated for a particle scattered in one dimension from two zero range potentials whose separation varies in time with an exponential form factor. The parameters are such as to make this correspond to the analysis on the basis of the adiabatic approximation of a particle by two others in a bound state. The three particles are distinguishable, have mass of 1 Amu each and interact with each other via a zero range potential of such strength as to create a bound pair at -2.2 MeV. It is remarkable that the Glauber approximation gives an extremely good result for the transmission probability at all energies. The Born approximation for the T-matrix, the impulse approximation for the K-matrix, and the WKB approximation are poor. All the other approximate methods give fair results.

VIII Discussion

We find that the Glauber approximation works relatively poorly for the one dimensional scattering from two fixed scattering centers. On the other hand, the Glauber theory works outstandingly well for the related one dimensional scattering of a particle by a bound state of two others. Inspection of the transition amplitudes presented in Table (I) shows this to be a consequence of the fact that the variation of the separation of the two scattering centers causes the phase factor $B^{2n} = \exp(i2nkb)$ to be replaced by $E_{2n} = 3\gamma(3\gamma + i2n)/(9\gamma^2 + 4n^2)$. The phase factor B^2 is introduced for each backward scattering that is included. E_{2n} is a small factor that decreases in value as n or the incident energy increases. Thus the process of averaging over a variable distance between scatterers leads to a destructive interference that damps the contributions of backward scattering processes neglected by Glauber theory. This still does not explain why the Glauber theory does better than the second order multiple scattering approximation. A similar result has been found by H. Fanchiotti and T. A. Osborn⁽⁵⁾ who considered the scattering in three dimensions of a particle from two fixed overlapping Yukawa Potentials.

The remarkable efficacy of Glauber theory has been discussed by T. A. Osborn⁽⁹⁾, D. R. Harrington⁽¹⁰⁾, and by N. M. Queen.⁽¹¹⁾ They suggest that the second order term neglected in Glauber theory in fact cancels a number of higher order terms in the multiple scattering approximation. However, our results do not appear to be consistent with the idea that Glauber theory in some way incorporates many higher order terms of the multiple scattering expansion. For the fixed scatterer case we find that the multiple scattering expansion for the exact transmission amplitude

is given by Eq. (12b) to be

$$f_+^{(2)} = 2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^2 B^2 + 2\Lambda^3 B^2 + O(\Lambda^4). \quad (38)$$

The Glauber approximation to this is given by Eq. (20a),

$$f_+^{2TG} = 2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 \quad (39)$$

The Glauber approximation is seen to be in error in the second order of the expansion. In our numerical tests we have found the Glauber theory to give a rather poor result for the fixed scatterer case. So the Glauber theory does not provide a very good representation of the multiple scattering series.

On the other hand, when we use the Glauber theory for the fixed scatterer case in conjunction with the adiabatic approximation to provide the transmission amplitude for a particle scattering from a bound state of two like particles we find from Table I that

$$f_+^{STG} = \langle f_+^{2TG} \rangle = 2\Lambda + \Lambda^2. \quad (40)$$

The exact amplitude for this process is displayed in Eq. (35a).

$$f_+ = 2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^3 + O(\Lambda^4) \quad (41)$$

The Glauber theory in this situation is correct up to third order in Λ .

If we use the exact amplitude for the fixed scatterer case in conjunction with the adiabatic approximation to provide the transmission amplitude for a particle scattering from a bound state of two like

particles we find from Table I

$$r_+^S = \langle r_+^{(2)} \rangle = 2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^2 E_2 + 2\Lambda^3 E_2 + O(\Lambda^4). \quad (42)$$

This expression differs from the exact result in second order while the Glauber theory differs from the exact result only in third order.

Application of the adiabatic approximation reduces the single backward scattering contribution to the transmission amplitude (see Fig. (1)) from ΛB^2 to ΛE_2 . From Eq. (41) we see that this contribution should be eliminated entirely. Clearly, the adiabatic approximation is inadequate in this respect: all the single backscattering contributions should interfere destructively completely while the adiabatic approximation gives only partial destructive interference. By using the Glauber approximation in addition to the adiabatic approximation we make a second error which appears completely fortuitous in this context: the inadequately damped single backscattering term is neglected.

Thus in our example it appears that the success of Glauber theory does not result from the inclusion of higher order multiple scattering terms, but rather from the neglect of a second order multiple scattering term which is inadequately damped by the adiabatic approximation.

IX Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to P.B. Kantor and C. M. Shakin for helpful discussions of the subject matter of this paper.

Appendix A

Formal Scattering Theory in One Dimension

Consider a particle free to move along a straight line. Suppose there is some finite range scattering potential $w(x)$ located near the origin. The Schrödinger equation for this system is

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + w(x) + k^2 \right) \psi(x) = 0 \quad (\text{A1a})$$

$$w(x) = 0 \quad |x| > c \quad (\text{A1b})$$

Let the asymptotic behavior of ψ be appropriate to a scattering process.

$$\psi(x) = e^{ikx} + f_- e^{-ikx} \quad x < -c \quad (\text{A2a})$$

$$= e^{ikx} + f_+ e^{ikx} \quad x > c \quad (\text{A2b})$$

We seek the relationship between the transition amplitudes f_+ and f_- and the scattering potential w and the energy k^2 . The procedure we follow will be that of the extended R-matrix theory ⁽⁶⁾.

Let $\{\phi_n(x), n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a complete, orthonormal set of functions on the interval $-\bar{c} \leq x \leq \bar{c}$, where $\bar{c} > c$.

$$\int_{-\bar{c}}^{\bar{c}} dx \phi_n(x) \phi_m(x) = \delta_{nm} \quad (\text{A3a})$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n(x) \phi_n(y) = \delta(x - y) \quad (\text{A3b})$$

On that interval $\psi(x)$ may be represented by an expansion in terms of the ϕ_n 's.

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \phi_n(x) \quad (A4)$$

Using Eqs. (A1) and A4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\bar{c}}^{\bar{c}} dx \left(\psi \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \phi_n - \phi_n \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi \right) = \\ & = \int_{-\bar{c}}^{\bar{c}} dx \left(\psi (k^2 + w(x) + \frac{d^2}{dx^2}) \phi_n \right) \\ & = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \int_{-\bar{c}}^{\bar{c}} dx \phi_m (k^2 + w(x) + \frac{d^2}{dx^2}) \phi_n \\ & = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m [k^2 + w + D^2]_{mn} \\ & = \left[\psi \frac{d}{dx} \phi_n - \phi_n \frac{d}{dx} \psi \right]_{-\bar{c}}^{\bar{c}} \end{aligned} \quad (A5)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \phi_m(x) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_m(x) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\psi \frac{d}{dx} \phi_n - \phi_n \frac{d}{dx} \psi \right]_{-\bar{c}}^{\bar{c}} [(k^2 + w + D^2)^{-1}]_{nm} \end{aligned} \quad (A6a)$$

where

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [k^2 + w + D^2]_{\ell m} [(k^2 + w + D^2)^{-1}]_{mn} = \delta_{\ell n} . \quad (a6b)$$

This expression may be rewritten to read

$$\psi(x) = [\psi(y) \frac{d}{dy} G(y,x) - G(y,x) \frac{d}{dy} \psi(y)]_{y=-\bar{c}}^{y=\bar{c}} \quad (A7a)$$

where

$$G(y,x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n(y) [(k^2 + w + D^2)^{-1}]_{nm} \phi_m(x), \quad (A7b)$$

and is valid for $|x| < \bar{c}$.

We choose the ϕ_n 's to satisfy homogeneous boundary conditions at $\pm\bar{c}$. Then the Green's function $G(y,x)$ will be symmetric. By means of the Green's function we have established a relationship between the asymptotic behavior of ψ and the dynamics of the system in the interaction region.

Now we set $x = \pm c$ and combine Eqs. (A2) and (A6). The result is two linear equations for f_+ and f_- .

$$f_+ Z_{++} + f_- Z_{-+} = z_+ \quad (A8a)$$

$$f_+ Z_{+-} + f_- Z_{--} = z_- \quad (A8b)$$

$$Z_{++} = e^{ikc} - e^{ik\bar{c}} \left(\frac{d}{d\bar{c}} - ik \right) G(-\bar{c}, c) \quad (A8c)$$

$$Z_{-+} = e^{ik\bar{c}} \left(\frac{d}{d\bar{c}} - ik \right) G(-\bar{c}, c) \quad (A8d)$$

$$Z_{--} = e^{ikc} - e^{ik\bar{c}} \left(\frac{d}{d\bar{c}} - ik \right) G(-\bar{c}, -c) \quad (A8e)$$

$$Z_{+-} = -e^{ik\bar{c}} \left(\frac{d}{d\bar{c}} - ik \right) G(\bar{c}, -c) \quad (A8f)$$

$$z_+ = -z_{++} + e^{-ik\bar{c}} \left(\frac{d}{d\bar{c}} + ik \right) G(-\bar{c}, c) \quad (A8g)$$

$$z_- = -z_{+-} = e^{ikc} + e^{-ik\bar{c}} \left(\frac{d}{d\bar{c}} + ik \right) G(-\bar{c}, -c) \quad (A8h)$$

Eq. (A8) is equivalent to the Peierls-Wigner form of R-matrix theory⁽⁷⁾.

For our purposes it proves convenient to modify these expressions somewhat.

Define the unperturbed Green's function to be

$$G_o(y, x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n [(k^2 + D^2)^{-1}]_{nm} \phi_m(x) \quad (A9)$$

Then one can easily establish the relationship

$$G(y, x) = G_o(y, x) - \int dz G_o(y, z) w(z) G(z, x) \quad (A10a)$$

which in operator notation reads

$$G = G_o - G_o w G \quad (A10b)$$

Another relation which can be derived is

$$G = G_o - G w G_o \quad (A10c)$$

Combining Eqs. (A10b) and (A10c) gives

$$G = G_o - G_o X G_o \quad (A11a)$$

$$X(x, y) = w(x) \delta(x - y) - w(x) G(x, y) w(y) \quad (A11b)$$

We will substitute Eq. (A11) into Eq. (A8) and find an expression for $f_{\pm}$ in terms of X rather than G . The relationship given in Eq. (A8) relates $f_{\pm}$ to the values and derivatives of $G(x,y)$ for x and y being in the asymptotic region. By expressing the $f_{\pm}$'s in terms of X instead of directly in terms G , we end up being required to calculate an average of G over the interaction region rather than the value and derivative of G at c and $\bar{c}$.

To carry out our program we need an explicit, simple representation of G_0 . Actually, G and G_0 depend on the boundary conditions satisfied by the ϕ_n 's at $x = \pm \bar{c}$, and these boundary conditions may be chosen arbitrarily. We will consider only two of the infinity of possibilities. One choice corresponds to what are called "outgoing wave" or "causal" boundary conditions:

$$G_0(x, x') \equiv G_0(x, x')^{(+)} = \frac{e^{ikx_{>}} e^{-ikx_{<}}}{2ik} \quad (A12a)$$

$$X(x, x') \equiv X(x, x')^{(+)} = T(x, x') \quad (A12b)$$

For this choice we will call $X^{(+)} \equiv T$ the T -matrix. The other choice corresponds to what is called "standing wave" or "principle value" boundary conditions:

$$G_0(x, x') \equiv G_0(x, x')^{(o)} = \frac{\cos kx_{>} \sin kx_{<}}{-k} \quad (A13a)$$

$$X(x, x') \equiv X(x, x')^{(o)} \equiv K(x, x') \quad (A13b)$$

For this choice we will call $X^{(o)} \equiv K$ the K -matrix.

Substitution of Eq. (A11) into Eq. (A8) using the definitions of Eq. (A12) gives

$$f_{\pm} = \frac{i}{2k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{ikx} T(x,y) e^{\mp iky} \quad (A14a)$$

$$T(x,y) = w(x)\delta(x-y) - w(x)G(x,y)^{(+)}w(y) \quad (A14b)$$

$$G(x,y)^{(+)} = G_0(x,y)^{(+)} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz G_0(x,z)^{(+)}w(z)G(z,y)^{(+)} \quad (A14c)$$

On the other hand, if the definitions of Eq. (A13) are used in Eqs. (A8) and (A11) we find

$$f_{+}(1 - iK_{ss}) + f_{-}(1 - iK_{cs}) = K_{cs} + iK_{ss} \quad (A15a)$$

$$f_{+}(1 + K_{cs}) + f_{-}(1 - iK_{cc}) = -K_{cs} + iK_{cc} \quad (A15b)$$

$$K_{ss} = \frac{1}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{\sin kx}{\cos kx} K(x,y) \frac{\sin ky}{\cos ky} \quad (A15c)$$

$$K(x,y) = w(x)\delta(x-y) - w(x)G(x,y)^{(o)}w(y) \quad (A16c)$$

$$G(x,y)^{(o)} = G_0(x,y)^{(o)} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz G_0(x,z)^{(o)}w(z)G(z,y)^{(o)} \quad (A17c)$$

Appendix B

The Multiple Scattering Expansion

To derive the multiple scattering expansion we will employ an operator notation. Let us represent Eqs. (4b) and (5d) by

$$X(x,y) = w(x,y) - \int dx' \int dy' w(x,y') G(y',x') w(x',y) \quad (\text{B1a})$$

where X is either the T -matrix or the K -matrix depending on whether G is $G^{(+)}$ or $G^{(o)}$. The quantity $w(x,y)$ is just $w(x)\delta(x-y)$. Our operator notation will consist in writing an equation like Eq. (B1a) in the form

$$X = w - wGw \quad (\text{B1b})$$

From the definition of G , Eqs. (4c) and (5c), we can write

$$G(x,y) = [\delta(x-y) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + w(x,y) + k^2 \delta(x-y)]^{-1} \quad (\text{B2a})$$

or

$$G = [D^2 + w + k^2]^{-1} \quad (\text{B2b})$$

Now define the unperturbed Green's function.

$$G_o = [D^2 + k^2]^{-1} \quad (\text{B3})$$

Note that

$$G_o^{-1} = G^{-1} - w \quad (B4a)$$

so that by operating on both sides of Eq. (B4a) with G_o and G we find

$$G = G_o - G_o w G \quad (B4b)$$

Substituting Eq. (B4b) into Eq. (B1b) gives

$$X = w - w G_o X \quad (B5)$$

$$= (1 + w G_o)^{-1} w$$

For our two potential case we can write

$$w(x,y) = w_1(x,y) + w_2(x,y) \quad (B6)$$

$$= -v\delta(x - \frac{b}{2})\delta(x - y) - v\delta(x + \frac{b}{2})\delta(x - y)$$

We make a corresponding decomposition of the X-matrix.

$$X = X_1 + X_2 \quad (B7a)$$

$$X_j = w_j - w_j G_o (X_1 + X_2) \quad (B7b)$$

Eq. (B7b) may be rearranged in the following manner.

$$(1 + w_j G_o) X_j = w_j - w_j G_o (X - X_j) \quad (B8)$$

Or

$$X_j = x_j - x_j G_o (X - X_j) \quad (B9a)$$

$$x_j = (1 + w_j G_o)^{-1} w_j = w_j - w_j G_o x_j \quad (B9b)$$

The quantity x_j may be recognized as the X-matrix for the case where all the terms on the right side of Eq. (B6) vanish except w_j . Thus x_j is the exact solution of the one potential problem. The Watson multiple scattering formalism⁽⁸⁾ for our two potential problem may be summarized now as follows.

$$X = X_1 + X_2 \quad (B10a)$$

$$X_1 = x_1 - x_1 G_o X_2 \quad (B10b)$$

$$X_2 = x_2 - x_2 G_o X_1 \quad (B10c)$$

Successive iteration yields the multiple scattering expansion.

$$\begin{aligned} X = & x_1 + x_2 - x_1 G_o x_2 - x_2 G_o x_1 + x_1 G_o x_2 G_o x_1 + \\ & + x_2 G_o x_1 G_o x_2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (B11)$$

The zero range interaction we use may be written in the form

$$w_j(x,y) = -v\delta(x - a_j)\delta(y - a_j) \quad (B12)$$

From this form it is easy to verify that the solution to Eq. (B9b) is

$$x_j(x,y) = \delta(x - a_j)\beta_j\delta(y - a_j) \quad (B13a)$$

$$\beta_j = -v/(1 - vG_o(a_j, a_j)) \quad (B13b)$$

Substitution of Eq. (B13) into Eq. (B11) allows us to sum the multiple scattering expansion.

$$\begin{aligned} X(x,y) = & \delta(x - \frac{b}{2})\delta(y - \frac{b}{2})\beta_1(1 - g\beta_2g\beta_1)^{-1} \\ & + \delta(x + \frac{b}{2})\delta(y + \frac{b}{2})\beta_2(1 - g\beta_1g\beta_2)^{-1} \\ & - \delta(x + \frac{b}{2})\delta(y - \frac{b}{2})\beta_2g\beta_1(1 - g\beta_2g\beta_1)^{-1} \\ & - \delta(x - \frac{b}{2})\delta(y + \frac{b}{2})\beta_1g\beta_2(1 - g\beta_1g\beta_2)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (B14a)$$

$$g = G_o(\frac{b}{2}, -\frac{b}{2}) = G_o(-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}) \quad (B14b)$$

$$\beta_1 = -v(1 - vG_o(\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}))^{-1} \quad (B14c)$$

$$\beta_2 = -v(1 - vG_o(-\frac{b}{2}, -\frac{b}{2}))^{-1} \quad (B14d)$$

To evaluate these expressions explicitly we need G_0 . For the $X = T$ case we have

$$G_0(x, x')^{(+)} = \frac{e^{ikx} e^{-ikx'}}{2ik} \quad (B15a)$$

while for the $X = K$ case we have

$$G_0(x, x')^{(0)} = \frac{\cos kx \sin kx'}{-k} \quad (B15b)$$

Substituting these expressions into Eq. (15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} T(x, y) = & \left[\delta(x - \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y - \frac{b}{2}) + \delta(x + \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y + \frac{b}{2}) \right] 2ik\Lambda (1 - B^2 \Lambda^2)^{-1} \\ & - \left[\delta(x + \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y - \frac{b}{2}) + \delta(x - \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y + \frac{b}{2}) \right] 2ik\Lambda^2 B (1 - B^2 \Lambda^2)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (B16a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, y) = & \left[\frac{\delta(x - \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y - \frac{b}{2})}{1 + \gamma \sin kb} + \frac{\delta(x + \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y + \frac{b}{2})}{1 - \gamma \sin kb} \right] \frac{(-2k\gamma)(1 - 2\gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)}{(1 - \gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)} \\ & - \left[\delta(x + \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y - \frac{b}{2}) + \delta(x - \frac{b}{2}) \delta(y + \frac{b}{2}) \right] \frac{(2k\gamma^2 \sin kb)(1 - 2\gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)}{(1 - \gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)} \end{aligned} \quad (B16b)$$

$$\gamma = v/2k \quad (\text{B16c})$$

$$\Lambda = -i\gamma/(1 + i\gamma) \quad (\text{B16d})$$

$$B = e^{ikb} \quad (\text{B16e})$$

Substitution of Eq. (B16a) into Eq. (4a) and the substitution of Eq. (B16b) into Eqs. (5a), (5b), and (5c) both produce the exact result of Eq. (12).

References

- (1) R. J. Glauber, "Lectures in Theoretical Physics, "Vol. 1, p. 313 (W. E. Brittin and L. G. Dunham, Eds.), Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1959
- (2) E. Kujawski, "Additivity of Phase Shifts for Scattering in One Dimension", to appear in the American Journal of Physics
- (3) E. C. Kemble, "The Fundamental Principles of Quantum Mechanics", Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1958
- (4) J. B. McGuire, J. Math. Phys. 5 (1964) 622
- (5) H. Fanchiotti and T. A. Osborn, "Multiple Scattering in Glauber Theory", preprint, Dept. of Theo. Phys., Oxford
- (6) L. Garside and W. Tobocman, Phys. Rev. 173 (1968) 1047
- (7) A.M. Lane and R. G. Thomas, Rev. Mod. Phys. 30 (1958) 257
- (8) M. L. Goldberger and K. M. Watson, "Collision Theory", John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1965
- (9) T. A. Osborn, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 58 (1970) 417
- (10) D. R. Harrington, Phys. Rev. 184 (1969) 1745
- (11) N. M. Queen, Nuc. Phys. 55 (1964) 177

Table I

Transition amplitudes resulting from averaging the T-matrix over a variation in the separation in the double zero range potential with an exponential weighing factor.

Born approximation

$$f_{+}^{STB} = -2i\gamma$$

$$f_{-}^{STB} = -2i\gamma C_1$$

Impulse approximation

$$f_{+}^{STI} = 2\Lambda$$

$$f_{-}^{STI} = 2\Lambda C_1$$

W.K.B. approximation

$$f_{+}^{STW} = 2\Gamma + \Gamma^2$$

$$f_{-}^{STW} = 2\Gamma C_1 + \Gamma^2 E_1$$

Glauber approximation

$$f_{+}^{STG} = 2\Lambda + \Lambda^2$$

$$f_{-}^{STG} = 2\Lambda C_1 + \Lambda^2 E_1$$

second order multiple scattering approximation with G_0 on the energy shell

$$f_{+}^{STE} = 2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^2 C_2$$

$$f_{-}^{STE} = 2\Lambda C_1 + 2\Lambda^2 C_1$$

second order multiple scattering approximation

$$f_{-}^{\text{STM}} = 2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 + \Lambda^2 E_2$$

$$f_{-}^{\text{STM}} = 2\Lambda C_1 + 2\Lambda^2 E_1$$

exact T-matrix

$$f_{+}^S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{ (2\Lambda + \Lambda^2) \Lambda^{2n} E_{2n} + \Lambda^{2n+2} E_{2n+2} \}$$

$$f_{-}^S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{ \Lambda^{2n+1} E_{2n-1} + (\Lambda + 2\Lambda^2) \Lambda^{2n} E_{2n+1} \}$$

$$\Lambda = -i\gamma(1 + i\gamma)^{-1}$$

$$\gamma = v/2k = a/k3^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$E_n = C_n + iS_n = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + n^2} + \frac{i\alpha n}{\alpha^2 + n^2}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{3}{2}\gamma$$

Table II

Elements of the K-matrix resulting from averaging the K-matrix over a variation in the separation in the double zero range potential with an exponential weighting factor.

Born approximation

$$X_{CC}^{SB} = -2\gamma(1 + C_1)$$

$$X_{SS}^{SB} = -2\gamma(1 - C_1)$$

$$X_{CS}^{SB} = 0$$

impulse approximation

$$S_{CC}^{SI} = -2\gamma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \gamma^{2n} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ m \end{bmatrix} (C_{2n-2m} + \frac{1}{2} C_{2n-2m+1} + \frac{1}{2} C_{2n-2m-1})$$

$$X_{SS}^{SI} = -2\gamma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \gamma^{2n} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ m \end{bmatrix} (C_{2n-2m} - \frac{1}{2} C_{2n-2m+1} - \frac{1}{2} C_{2n-2m-1})$$

$$X_{CS}^{SI} = 2\gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \gamma^{2n} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ m \end{bmatrix} C_{2n-2m}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = 2^{-2n} (2 - \delta_{nm}) (-1)^{m+n} (2n)! / (m!(2n-m)!)$$

second order multiple scattering approximation

$$\begin{aligned} X_{CC}^{SM} = & -2\gamma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \gamma^{2n} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ m \end{bmatrix} (C_{2n-2m} + \frac{1}{2} C_{2n-2m+1} + \frac{1}{2} C_{2n-2m-1}) \\ & - 2\gamma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \gamma^{2n+1} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ m \end{bmatrix} (S_{2n-2m+1} + \frac{1}{2} S_{2n-2m+2} + \frac{1}{2} S_{2n-2m}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\chi_{SS}^{SM} = -2\gamma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \gamma^{2n} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ m \end{bmatrix} (c_{2n-m}^{-\frac{1}{2}} c_{2n-2m+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} c_{2n-2m-1})$$

$$+ 2\gamma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \gamma^{2n+1} \left\langle \begin{matrix} 2n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\rangle (s_{2n-2m+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} s_{2n+2m+2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} s_{2n-2m})$$

$$\chi_{CS}^{SM} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \gamma^{2n} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ m \end{bmatrix} c_{2n-m}$$

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} 2n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\rangle = 2^{-2n} (-1)^{m+n} (2n+1)! / (m! (2n+1-m)!)$$

$$c_n + i s_n = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + n^2} + \frac{i \alpha n}{\alpha^2 + n^2}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{3}{2} \gamma$$

Table III

Elements of the K-matrix for the double zero range potential scattering problem

Born approximation:

$$X_{CC}^{2B} = -2\gamma(1 + \cos kb)$$

$$X_{SS}^{2B} = -2\gamma(1 - \cos kb)/(1 - \gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)$$

$$X_{CS}^{2I} = 2\gamma^2 \sin kb/(1 - \gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)$$

impulse approximation

$$X_{CC}^{2I} = -2\gamma(1 + \cos kb)/(1 - \gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)$$

$$X_{SS}^{2I} = -2\gamma(1 - \cos kb)/(1 - \gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)$$

$$X_{CS}^{2I} = 2\gamma^2 \sin kb/(1 - \gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)$$

second order multiple scattering approximation

$$X_{CC}^{2M} = -2\gamma(1 + \cos kb)/(1 - \gamma \sin kb)$$

$$X_{SS}^{2M} = -2\gamma(1 - \cos kb)/(1 + \gamma \sin kb)$$

$$X_{CS}^{2M} = 2\gamma^2 \sin kb/(1 - \gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)$$

exact

$$X_{CC}^{(2)} = -\frac{2\gamma(1 + \cos kb)(1 - \gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)}{(1 - \gamma \sin kb)(1 - 2\gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)}$$

$$X_{SS}^{(2)} = -2\gamma \frac{(1 - \cos kb)(1 - \gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)}{(1 + \gamma \sin kb)(1 - 2\gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)}$$

$$X_{CS}^{(2)} = 2\gamma^2 \sin kb/(1 - 2\gamma^2 \sin^2 kb)$$

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Diagrams depicting terms in the multiple scattering series for transmission processes. This is for a particle in one dimension scattering from two fixed scattering centers. All processes up to through third order are included.

Fig. 2 Diagrams depicting terms in the multiple scattering series for reflection processes. This is for a particle in one dimension scattering from two fixed scattering centers. All processes up through third order are included.

Fig. 3 Transmission probability for a particle in one dimension scattering from a pair of identical zero range potentials as a function of the kinetic energy in the lab. frame. The strength of each zero range potential is such as to bind a particle of mass 0.5 Amu with a binding energy of -2.2 MeV. The incident particle has a mass of 1 Amu. The approximate calculations used the Glauber theory, the W.K.B. approximation, the Born approximation for the K-matrix and for the T-matrix, and the second order multiple scattering approximation for the K-matrix and the T-matrix respectively.

Fig. 4 Transmission probability for a particle in one dimension scattering from two others in a bound state. The three particles are distinguishable, have mass of 1 Amu. each, and interact with each other via identical zero range potentials of strength such as to bind a pair of the particles by -2.2 MeV. The approximate cross sections employ the adiabatic approximation. The approximate calculations used the Glauber theory, the W.K.B. approximation, the Born approximation for the K-matrix and for the K-matrix and for the T-matrix, the impulse approximation for the K-matrix and the T-matrix, and the second order multiple scattering approximation for the K-matrix and the T-matrix respectively.

Fig. 1

Λ

Λ

Λ^2

$\Lambda^2 B^2$

$\Lambda (\Lambda^2 B^2)$

$\Lambda (\Lambda^2 B^2)$

Fig. 2

ΛB^{-1}

ΛB

$\Lambda^2 B$

$\Lambda^2 B$

$\Lambda B^{-1}(\Lambda^2 B^2)$

$\Lambda B(\Lambda^2 B^2)$

Fig. 3a

Fig. 3b

Fig. 4a

Erratum

We state on page 26 that f_+^S (Eq. (42)) differs from f_+ (Eq. (41)) in second order in Λ . Actually, since

$$E_2 = \frac{-3\Lambda}{4 + \Lambda}$$

Eq. (42) can be made to read

$$f_+^S = 2\Lambda + \Lambda^2 - \frac{3}{4}\Lambda^3 + O(\Lambda^4)$$

Thus both Glauber theory and the adiabatic approximation differ from the exact result only in third order. The fact remains that Glauber theory gives a result that is closer to the exact result.

We are grateful to L. L. Foldy for bringing this point to our attention.