CONF-720932--1

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LIVERMORE

STUDIES CONCERNING NUCLEAR COEXISTENCE IN ¹¹⁵In

R. A. Meyer and G. L. Struble

July 5, 1972

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON NUCLEAR MOMENTS AND NUCLEAR STRUCTURE
September 4-8, 1972
Osaka, Japan

RECEIVED BY TIC AUG 28 1972

MASTER
DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

STUDIES CONCERNING NUCLEAR COEXISTENCE IN ^{115}In *
 R. A. Meyer and G. L. Struble, University of California,
 Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550

Recently Haas and Shirley¹ measured the static quadrupole moment of the 829 keV state ^{115}In and obtained 2.67b implying the deformation parameter $\delta = 0.20$. This fact and the existence of the multiplet of positive parity levels shown in the figure strongly suggests the existence of a deformed intrinsic state co-existing with the lower energy levels described as single holes in a spherical ^{116}Sn core. If such a deformed intrinsic state in fact exists, then the $B(E2)$ for the 35 keV transition between the $1/2$ positive state at 864 keV and the $3/2$ positive band head should be 104 spu. Bäcklin *et al.*² have measured the internal conversion electrons for this transition in the decay of ^{115}Cd and using the lifetime for this state measured by Graffe *et al.*³ found a value of 53 spu.

We have measured the gamma rays from ^{115}Cd which was produced in the core of the Livermore pool type reactor by neutron capture upon isotopically enriched ^{114}Cd . After performing chemistry the sources were counted during their first half-life with a Compton suppression spectrometer and later with several Ge(Li) detectors. Precise energies and intensities have been determined (see Table I) which yield three new levels.

Since the 336 keV transition has been determined to be pure $M4$, we have used this transition to normalize the electron intensities of Ref. 2) to our gamma intensities by using theoretical conversion coefficients. The $B(E2)$'s for the 35 keV transition were extracted by this method and are presented in Table II. They are clearly inconsistent with one another. Since the electron data are poorly resolved we have summed the L transition intensity to obtain a value of $B(E2) = 39 \pm 5$ spu.

Table I - Partial List of Transitions and Intensities for ^{115}Cd decay

E_j (ΔE_j) (in keV)	I_j (ΔI_j) (10,000 decays)	Assignment (From/To)
35.514(3)	42.1 (3)	864/828
231.443(10)	74 (1)	828/597
336.301(10)	4969 (22)*	336/G.S.
492.351(10)	803 (9)	828/336
527.901(15)	2745 (18)	864/336
705.180(250)	0.008(2)	1041/336
856.245(25)	0.22 (1)	1192/336
951.187(59)	0.028(3)	1267/336

*Transient equilibrium value.

Table II

Conversion coefficient used	$B(E2)$ sp.u.
α_{L_1}	0 ± 10 40
α_{L_2}	63 ± 20
α_{L_3}	59 ± 11
α_M	95 ± 50
Total L	39 ± 5

Using the same technique we also calculated the $B(M2)$ for the 231, 492, and 527 keV transitions and obtained 0.08, 0.13, and 0.17 sp.u. respectively. This result, if true, would be very significant since $B(M2)$'s are normally retarded by several orders of magnitude. However, it is possible that the electron data was taken before the 336 keV transition reached transient equilibrium. Therefore we have also calculated the $B(E2)$ by normalizing to the 231, 492, and 527 keV transition assuming that they are pure $E1$. These assumptions give $B(E2) = 24 \pm 12$ sp.u. Therefore by taking Bäcklin's electron intensities, we find that $12 \text{ sp.u.} < B(E2) < 43 \text{ sp.u.}$

It is tempting to describe the band on the 828 keV level as arising from the $1/2^- [431]$ Nilsson orbital, the more so because one of the $9/2^+$ states ~ 1500 keV might then be attributed to the $9/2^- [404]$ orbital. Nevertheless the inconsistency of the static and transition quadrupole moments and the fact that the spin $7/2^-$ member of this "band" is strongly populated in the $^{114}\text{Cd}(\text{He}, d)^{115}\text{In}$ reaction⁴ argue against such a simple interpretation. If the large quadrupole moment of the 829 keV state arises from a second minimum in the potential surface, then it must be sufficiently shallow so that the strong coupling model fails.

*This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1. H. Haas and D. A. Shirley, UCRL-20426 (1970) 208.
2. A. Bäcklin, B. Fogelberg, and G. G. Malmeskog, Nucl. Phys. A96 (1967) 539.
3. G. Graeffe, C. W. Tang, C. D. Coryell, and G. E. Gordon, Phys. Rev. 149 (1966) 884.
4. M. Comjeaud, S. Barar, and E. Thurière, Contrib. Internat. Conf. Prop. Nucl. States, Montreal, University Montreal Press p. 264 (1969).