UNCLASSIFIED

Y-1413

Metals, Ceramics &
Materials

325
5-2-63
AEC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT REPORT

MASTER

THE DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE OF LEVITATION MELTING COILS

W. J. Hulsey

UNION CARBIDE NUCLEAR COMPANY
DIVISION OF UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION

Operating

- OAK RIDGE GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT • OAK RIDGE Y-12 PLANT
- OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY • PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT

for the Atomic Energy Commission

Under U. S. Government Contract W7405 eng 26

**UNION
CARBIDE**

UNCLASSIFIED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Date Issued: April 26, 1963

Report Number Y-1413

Metals, Ceramics and Materials
TID-4500 (19th Edition)

UNION CARBIDE NUCLEAR COMPANY
Division of Union Carbide Corporation

Y-12 PLANT

Contract W-7405-eng-26
With the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission

THE DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE OF LEVITATION MELTING COILS

W. J. Hulsey

This report is based on a study by the author as
partial fulfillment of requirements for the degree
of Master of Science in Metallurgical Engineering
from the University of Tennessee.

Oak Ridge, Tennessee
March 5, 1963

Report Number Y-1413

Metals, Ceramics and Materials
TID-4500 (19th Edition)

Distribution:

Bailey, E. W.
Ballenger, H. F. (2)
Bernander, N. K.
Bunshah, R. F. (LRL)
Burditt, R. B.
Burkhart, L. E.
Cadden, J. L.
Comenetz, G. (Westinghouse R & D-Pittsburgh)
Cowen, D. D. (ORNL)
Evans, G. W.
Evans, P. A.
Fortenbery, M. J.
Friar, D. H.
Fultz, C. R.
Googin, J. M.
Gritzner, V. B.
Hackett, D. W.
Hamrin, C. E.

In addition, this report is distributed in accordance with the category, Metals, Ceramics and Materials as given in the "USAEC Standard Distribution Lists for Unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports", TID-4500 (19th Edition), February 1, 1963.

Harwell, W. L. (ORGDP) (5)
Hemperly, V. C.
Hemphill, L. F.
Henry, J. J.
Huber, A. P. (ORGDP)
Hulsey, W. J. (25)
Jackson, V. C.
Keller, C. A. (AEC-ORO) (4)
Kite, H. T.
Kobisk, E. H. (ORNL)
Lambert, F. J.
Lawson, C. G. (ORNL)
Lee, W. W., Jr.
Lewis, F. O. (ORGDP)
Little, J. C.
Lockhart, G. B.
Ludwig, R. L.
McHargue, C. J. (ORNL)
McLendon, J. D.
Mitchel, G. W.
Murray, J. P. (ORGDP)
Parker, G. I. (LEPEL)

Patterson, F. H.
Patton, F. S.
Phillips, L. R.
Picklesimer, M. L. (ORNL)
Pridgeon, J. W.
Rader, D. H.
Reece, J. S.
Roesch, C. J.
Schwenn, M. F.
Stansbury, E. E. (UT)
Stoner, H. H.
Tilson, F. V.
Uffelman, F. C.
Waters, J. L.
Whitson, W. K., Jr.
Wilkinson, P. E.
Winkel, R. A. (Paducah)
Wright, C. C.
Zurcher, E.
Y-12 Central Files (20)
Y-12 Central Files (Y-12RC)

ABSTRACT

Insufficient design information has limited the usefulness of the levitation melting process. This study was undertaken to evaluate the parameters involved in the performance of levitation coils with particular emphasis on the heating effect.

The field strength along the axes of various coils was measured using a small search coil, the voltage induced on this search coil being proportional to the field strength. The search coil was calibrated with a straight solenoid coil in which the field strength was calculated.

By considering each turn of a levitation coil as a short solenoid whose length was the tubing diameter, the field strength contribution from each turn was calculated. These values were summed along the axis to calculate the coil field. To aid these calculations, tables of field strength as a function of axial distance were prepared for various radii turns of different sizes of tubing.

The rate of increase of field strength along the coil axis per ampere of coil current was defined as the coil gradient. A parametric equation was derived relating the power input to a levitated sample to the coil gradient, sample size and resistivity, and frequency. The validity of this equation was checked by measuring the power input to levitated samples of various metals. The samples were coated with a temperature sensitive paint and heated at a constant power input. The power was calculated using the heat capacity and time to reach temperature.

The contribution from a given turn to the total coil gradient was calculated as a function of its location. This data was graphed with axial distance as

abscissa and turn radius as ordinate showing positions which give the same values of gradient contribution. The positions which contribute most to the coil gradient could be used to design coils of high gradient and, consequently, good heating efficiency.

Other results of the study include a possible method for measuring the surface tension of molten metals and a method for choosing the minimum frequency for efficient heating of a levitated sample.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION	1
Advantages of Levitation Melting	1
Other Uses	2
Need for Design Information	2
Purpose of This Study.	2
II. THEORY OF LEVITATION MELTING	4
Electromagnetic Field in Levitation Coil	4
Induced Eddy Currents in Part	4
Reaction Between Eddy Currents and Field.	7
Role of Surface Tension.	9
III. EQUIVALENT RESISTANCE EQUATION	11
Definition	11
Derivation of Equation	11
Analogous Equation in Literature	15
IV. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION	16
Measurement of Coil Current	16
Measurement of Field	16
Gradient Dependence	20
Frequency and Resistivity Dependence	20

CHAPTER	PAGE
V. APPLICATION OF EQUIVALENT RESISTANCE EQUATION	24
Evaluation of Shape Factors.	24
Frequency Efficiency Coefficient	26
Choice of Frequency.	26
VI. CALCULATION OF FIELD	30
Equation	30
Superposition Principle	30
VII. CALCULATION OF GRADIENT	39
Field Derivative	39
Effect of Neglecting Tubing Size	39
Use of Isograd Figure	42
Average Contribution	45
VIII. OTHER FACTORS IN COIL DESIGN	49
Tubing Size	49
Number of Turns	49
Physical Restrictions	49
Liquid Support	50
IX. DISCUSSION	52
Accuracy of Method	52
Liquid Support	52
Large Quantities	53

CHAPTER	PAGE
Other Coil Designs	53
Other Applications	53
REFERENCES	54

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
I. Calculated Values of Field Strength on Axis of Single Turns of $\frac{1}{8}$ -Inch Tubing	31
II. Calculated Values of Field Strength on Axis of Single Turns of $\frac{3}{16}$ -Inch Tubing	32
III. Calculated Values of Field Strength on Axis of Single Turns of $\frac{1}{4}$ -Inch Tubing	33
IV. Values of Factor $\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} (\cos \theta - \cos^3 \theta)$ for Use in Constructing Figure 18	43

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1.	Flux Lines in Levitation Coil	5
2.	Field Strength Along Axis of Levitation Coil	6
3.	Shape Assumed by Levitated Molten Metal	8
4.	Segment of Surface of Levitated Sample Representing Current- Carrying Loop	13
5.	Field Strength Along the Axis of 3-Inch Standard Solenoid	18
6.	Field Strength and Shape of Coils 61-64	19
7.	Power Input to 1-Inch Diameter $\times \frac{1}{2}$ -Inch-Long Samples of 6061 Aluminum in Various Type Coils	21
8.	Evaluation of the Shape Factors s_1 and s_2 for 1-Inch Diameter by $\frac{1}{2}$ -Inch Samples	23
9.	Evaluation of Shape Factors for 2:1 Diameter to Length Ratio . . .	25
10.	Variation of the Efficiency Coefficient	27
11.	Minimum Frequency for Efficient Heating of a Charge With 2:1 Diameter to Length Ratio	29
12.	Field Strength Along Axes of Various Diameter Turns of $\frac{1}{4}$ -Inch Tubing	34
13.	Field Strength Along the Axes of $\frac{3}{4}$ -Inch Diameter Turns of Various Sizes of Tubing	35

FIGURE	PAGE
14. Template Placed Over Table of Field Strength to Expedite Calculation of Coil Field	36
15. Field Strength Along Axis of Coil 64 and Measured Coil Shape . .	38
16. Axial Gradient for Various Diameter Turns of $\frac{1}{4}$ -Inch Diameter Tubing	40
17. Gradient Contribution from $\frac{3}{4}$ -Inch Diameter Turns of Different Size Tubing	41
18. Gradient Contribution as a Function of Turn Position	44
19. Average Gradient Contribution Over an Interval $\frac{1}{2}$ -Inch Above and Below the Null Point for Various Turn Radii of $\frac{1}{4}$ -Inch Tubing. .	47
20. Position of Turns Giving Selected Values of Average Gradient $\frac{1}{2}$ - Inch Above and Below Null Point	48

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

I ADVANTAGES OF LEVITATION MELTING

Levitation melting has many advantages over more conventional laboratory melting techniques, particularly for reactive metals. Metals such as beryllium, titanium, and zirconium react with the most stable refractories, resulting in a loss of purity when crucibles are used for melting. Crucible melting of many less reactive metals is often undesirable when it is necessary to maintain ultra high purity. Arc melting in a cold copper crucible decreases this problem, although some copper contamination generally accompanies this technique. Melting in a cold crucible has the further disadvantage of temperature gradients in the melt producing segregation in alloys.

Levitation melting is not only useful in overcoming these difficulties but is often a more convenient technique even when satisfactory crucibles are available. The rapid melting cycle--often less than one minute--permits a large number of alloys to be prepared economically for many alloy investigations. After the sample melts, it is conveniently cast into the desired shape without special tilting or bottom pouring devices. Also, the absence of refractories in the coil system reduces the contamination of high-vacuum melting systems.

II OTHER USES

Levitation has applications other than alloy preparation . These uses include sintering, study of gas-metal reactions, and vapor plating (as evaporator source or substrate support). Measurements of the molten metal shape may also yield surface tension data (see Page 9).

III NEED FOR DESIGN INFORMATION

Although several investigators¹⁻¹³(Pages S10 and 273) have used levitation melting successfully, they were limited to a few coil designs which were developed empirically with very little reference to electromagnetic field theory. This limitation has imposed serious restrictions on the quantity and kinds of metals which could be melted by this technique. Okress, Wroughton, Comenetz, Brace, and Kelly⁷ performed an excellent analysis of the levitation forces on spherical shapes but they did not study the associated heating effects nor did they provide a direct approach to the problem of coil design. At present there is a need for simplified design information for use by the metallurgist who has only a casual knowledge of electromagnetic theory.

IV PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY

The purpose of this study was to provide a means for evaluating the various parameters involved in the design and performance of levitation coils. Particular

attention was paid to the heating effects during levitation, with only cursory treatment of the liquid support. The most important result of this investigation was the development of a simple expression for the power input to the levitated sample which involves a single coil parameter; viz., the rate of increase of the field strength along the coil axis. Methods for designing the coil were then developed using this parameter.

CHAPTER II

THEORY OF LEVITATION MELTING

I ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD IN LEVITATION COIL

The flux lines of two coaxial coils carrying currents with 180-degree phase difference would appear somewhat as in Figure 1. At some point along the axis between the two coils the fields from each coil are equal and opposite such that they exactly counteract each other, producing a null point in the field. This null point is indicated in the figure as NP. The field strength increases in all directions from this point. For example, Figure 2 shows the field strength along the axis per ampere in the coil as a function of axial distance. The convention is used that the root-mean-square value of the field produced by the lower set of turns is positive and the opposite field of the upper turns negative. Of course, the field is alternating at high frequencies and will be a sine function of this value.

II INDUCED EDDY CURRENTS IN PART

If a conductor is placed in an alternating magnetic field, a voltage is induced around it having a magnitude equal to the time rate of change of the magnetic flux. This voltage produces a current which flows in a direction to produce an opposing flux. These are induced eddy currents which are responsible for the heating effect.

FIGURE 1

FLUX LINES IN LEVITATION COIL

FIGURE 2

FIELD STRENGTH ALONG AXIS OF LEVITATION COIL

III REACTION BETWEEN EDDY CURRENTS AND FIELD

A force is exerted on a current-carrying conductor in a magnetic field in such a direction as to increase the energy stored in the magnetic field. Since the induced currents cause an opposing flux and consequently decrease the energy of the field, a force is exerted on the loop tending to move it out of the strong field. Since the weakest field in the levitation coil is at the null point, the current loops are all forced toward this point. The flux produced by the loop is a function of its area, so there arises a force which tends to "squeeze" the conductor even in a uniform magnetic field.

Another method of visualizing the origin of the levitating forces is to consider the interaction of small current elements with the surrounding magnetic field. When a current element is located perpendicular to a magnetic field, a force arises in a mutually perpendicular direction given by the familiar left-hand rule. The current loops around the levitated sample will react with the field in such a way as to produce forces normal to the flux lines. These forces will tend to compress the sample and move it toward the null point. These forces cause the molten sample to assume a shape similar to that shown in Figure 3. The shape will not conform exactly to the shape of the inducing field due to the distortion of the field by the eddy currents in the sample and because of the forces of gravity and surface tension which are also acting on the sample.

FIGURE 3

SHAPE ASSUMED BY LEVITATED MOLTEN METAL

IV ROLE OF SURFACE TENSION

It has been suggested^{3,4,5,7,11,13}(Page 273) that the surface tension and density of liquid metals determine their tendency to drip from the levitated state. If it is assumed that the levitating forces on the bottom tip of the melt in the immediate vicinity of the coil axis are negligible, a relationship involving the surface tension and density can be derived.

Neglecting any electromagnetic or hydrodynamic forces on a very small column of liquid along the coil axis, the static equilibrium pressures can be equated. If the levitated sample has a density D_M and height h , gravity will cause a pressure hD_M at the bottom tip. The total pressure at the bottom tip would be the gravitational forces and the pressure exerted at the top of the column by the curvature of that surface. The pressure exerted by a curved surface of radius R and surface tension γ is given by

$$P = \frac{2\gamma}{R} \quad (1)$$

For equilibrium the surface tension pressure of the bottom tip curvature must just balance the downward pressure; thus

$$\frac{2\gamma}{r_B} = \frac{2\gamma}{r_T} + hD_M \quad (2)$$

where R_B and R_T are the radii of curvature of the bottom and top of the melt at the axis. This reduces to

$$\gamma = \frac{hD_M}{2} \left(\frac{r_T r_B}{r_T - r_B} \right) \quad (3)$$

If the assumptions made are justified, this might provide a technique for measuring the surface tension of liquid metals.

CHAPTER III

EQUIVALENT RESISTANCE EQUATION

I DEFINITION

The concept of equivalent resistance provides a convenient means of expressing the heating of a workpiece in an induction coil. This imaginary resistance is defined as the ratio of the power developed in the work to the square of the coil current. An equation was derived relating this ratio to the shape of the charge, its electrical resistivity, a single coil parameter, and the frequency.

II DERIVATION OF EQUATION

The rate of increase of the field intensity per ampere of coil current with respect to the distance from the null point can be defined as the specific gradient G , so that

$$G \equiv \frac{\partial (H/I_L)}{\partial x} . \quad (4)$$

Assuming G is a constant over the distance encountered by the levitated work, the flux density at a distance x from the null point would be given by

$$B = \mu I_L G x . \quad (5)$$

Multiplying by the cross-sectional area of the work at this value of x , the total flux is

$$\phi = \pi r_w^2 B = \mu l_L G x \pi r_w^2 \quad . \quad (6)$$

The voltage induced on this element is, by Faraday's law,

$$E = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \omega \mu l_L G x \pi r_w^2 \quad . \quad (7)$$

The frequency term results from the derivative of the flux which is proportional to $\sin \omega t$.

The assumption is made that the workpiece can be treated as a single, series R-L circuit having some effective voltage drop which is proportional to the current, gradient, and frequency as in Equation (7). The proportionality constant depends on the actual shape of the charge since it involves an average value of $x r_w^2$. Denoting this factor as a_1 ,

$$E_w = a_1 l_L G f \quad . \quad (8)$$

The effective depth of penetration, δ , of eddy current in a conductor is given by

$$\delta = 3.16 \sqrt{\rho / f} \quad . \quad (9)$$

For an element of the work of thickness Δx and effective radius r_w , as in Figure 4, we have a resistance

$$R = \frac{\rho 2 \pi r_w}{3.16 \sqrt{\rho / f} \Delta x} \quad . \quad (10)$$

Combining the constants and average value of $r_w / \Delta x$ into a factor a_2 , also depending on the shape of the work, the effective resistance of the simplified R-L circuit becomes

FIGURE 4

SEGMENT OF SURFACE OF LEVITATED SAMPLE REPRESENTING
CURRENT-CARRYING LOOP

$$R_w = a_2 \sqrt{\rho f} \quad . \quad (11)$$

Further, assuming the effective inductance of the work is a function of the shape only, the inductive reactance is

$$X_w = 2\pi f L_w = a_3 f \quad . \quad (12)$$

The effective current in this R-L circuit is

$$I_w = \frac{E_w}{\sqrt{R_w^2 + X_w^2}} \quad , \quad (13)$$

which upon substitution gives

$$I_w = \frac{a_1 I_L G f}{\sqrt{a_2^2 \rho f + a_3^2 f^2}} \quad . \quad (14)$$

The power developed would be

$$P_w = I_w^2 R_w \quad , \quad (15)$$

or

$$P_w = \frac{a_1^2 I_L^2 G^2 f^2}{a_2^2 \rho f + a_3^2 f^2} \cdot a_2 \sqrt{\rho f} \quad , \quad (16)$$

or

$$P_w = \frac{I_L^2 G^2 \sqrt{\rho f} \cdot f a_1^2 / a_2}{\rho + f a_3^2 / a_2^2} \quad . \quad (17)$$

Defining two shape factors as

$$S_1 \equiv a_1^2 / a_2 \quad ; \quad S_2 \equiv a_3^2 / a_2^2 \quad , \quad (18)$$

Equation (17) becomes

$$P_w = I_L^2 \frac{S_1}{S_2} G^2 \sqrt{\rho f} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho/f S_2 + 1} \quad (19)$$

The equivalent resistance is defined as

$$R_{EQ} \equiv \frac{P_w}{I_L^2} \quad (20)$$

so

$$R_{EQ} = \frac{S_1}{S_2} G^2 \sqrt{\rho f} K \quad (21)$$

where

$$K \equiv \frac{1}{\rho/f S_2 + 1} \quad (22)$$

The factor K approaches unity as the frequency and shape factor s_2 increase or as the resistivity decreases.

III ANALOGOUS EQUATION IN LITERATURE

Tudbury¹⁴ presented an equation for the equivalent resistance of a cylindrical workpiece in a straight solenoid which can be written as

$$R_{EQ} = F \sqrt{\rho f} K_R \quad (23)$$

where F is a function of the coil and workpiece geometry and K_R is a factor which approaches unity as the frequency and work diameter increase or as the resistivity decreases. The similarity of the frequency and resistivity dependence in Equations (21) and (23) is evident.

CHAPTER IV

EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

The validity of Equation (21) was checked by measuring the power input to samples of stainless steel, lead, aluminum, and copper in a coil of known gradient, coil current, and frequency.

I MEASUREMENT OF COIL CURRENT

The inductor current in a resonant parallel L-C tank circuit with a low series resistance is given by

$$I_L = E_0 \omega_0 C, \quad (24)$$

where E_0 is the voltage across the circuit, ω_0 is the resonant frequency, and C is the capacitance. A tank circuit ammeter was made for a 10-kilocycle (kc) motor generator system by fixing a probe coil between the bus bars leading to the levitation coil, the voltage induced on this probe being proportional to the current flowing in the bus. By measuring this voltage and the voltage across the tank circuit, the ammeter was calibrated with the use of Equation (24), given the resonant frequency and parallel capacitance. The calibration factors determined with several different levitation coils were found to agree within 10 percent.

II MEASUREMENT OF FIELD

The field strength along the axis of the levitation coils was measured by measuring the voltage induced on a small (1/8-inch O.D. x 1/8-inch long) probe coil

wrapped on the end of a small quartz tube. This was placed inside a larger tube which acted as a guide to align the probe. This probe coil was calibrated by measuring the induced voltages when placed inside a straight solenoid where the field strength was calculated.

The field strength per ampere on the axis of a solenoid is given by¹⁶

$$H/I_L = \frac{N}{2d} \left[\frac{d/2 + x}{\sqrt{r^2 + (d/2 + x)^2}} + \frac{d/2 - x}{\sqrt{r^2 + (d/2 - x)^2}} \right], \quad (25)$$

where N is the number of turns, d is the length, r is the inside radius, and x the axial distance from the center of the coil. A one-inch diameter by $3\frac{1}{16}$ -inch-long solenoid was constructed with ten turns of $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch copper tubing. The field strength along the axis of this coil, measured with the probe coil, is plotted in Figure 5 along with the calculated values. The calibration factor was obtained from the peak value at the center of the solenoid.

Figure 6 shows the measured field strength along the axes of several typical levitation coils used in this experiment. The slopes of these curves are defined as the gradients of the coils. Notice how this slope remains reasonably constant for a considerable distance from the null point. This behavior is a fortunate consequence of the arrangement of typical levitation coils. If the upper and lower turns were separated more, this condition would no longer exist and some average value of gradient would have to be used in Equation (21). The largest samples which could be placed in these coils were within the linear field region such that the constant gradient assumption was justified.

FIGURE 5

FIELD STRENGTH ALONG THE AXIS OF 3-INCH STANDARD SOLENOID

FIGURE 6

FIELD STRENGTH AND SHAPE OF COILS 61-64

III GRADIENT DEPENDENCE

Equation (21) contains only one coil parameter, G^2 . To test this hypothesis, the power input to identical samples of an aluminum alloy was determined for the four different levitation coils in Figure 6. The power was determined by measuring the time required to begin melting of the levitated sample for various coil currents. This time divided into the total enthalpy change gave the average power input. From the definition of R_{EQ} and Equation (21), the power input should be proportional to $I_c^2 G^2$ for constant shape, resistivity, and frequency. Figure 7 shows the experimental data. The ordinate intercept gives the average power loss from the samples. The increased values of P_w at low values of $I_c^2 G^2$ result from the samples floating in positions appreciably below the null point, a condition not covered by Equation (21). This phenomenon has been reported in the literature¹⁻⁴ where the temperature of the levitated sample could be raised by lowering the coil current. With the coils tested in this experiment it was noted that a considerable sacrifice in stability was realized in using this increased coupling. Coil 61 was of the type most often reported in the literature and had more room for the sample to assume this low position. The low gradient of this coil caused a low efficiency even when the low-position heating was utilized.

IV FREQUENCY AND RESISTIVITY DEPENDENCE

Equation (21) can be rearranged to the form,

$$\rho/f = S_1 \frac{\sqrt{\rho f}}{R_{EQ}/G^2} - S_2 \quad , \quad (26)$$

FIGURE 7

POWER INPUT TO 1-INCH DIAMETER X $\frac{1}{2}$ -INCH-LONG SAMPLES OF 6061 ALUMINUM
IN VARIOUS TYPE COILS

which predicts a straight line should be obtained when ρ/f is plotted against $\frac{\sqrt{\rho f}}{R_{EQ}/G^2}$ for samples of the same shape factors. Figure 8 shows the results of experiments in Coil 64 with 1-inch-diameter by 1/2-inch-long samples of four different materials tested at 10 kc and one at 520 kc.

The samples were coated with a temperature sensitive paint which melts at 204° C. By measuring the time required to reach this temperature and by knowing the specific heat, the average power input was calculated. It was necessary to aid the supporting of the heavier metals by spot welding or peening 0.020-inch wires into the surface in such a manner as to hold the sample in the position it would assume at higher coil currents. This allowed lower power inputs to the sample for more accurate measurements.

The fact that this data fits the straight line demonstrates the fact that R_{EQ} is indeed proportional to the ratio s_1/s_2 and the resistivity and frequency dependence is as given by Equation (21).

FIGURE 8

EVALUATION OF THE SHAPE FACTORS s_1 AND s_2 FOR 1-INCH
DIAMETER BY $\frac{1}{2}$ -INCH SAMPLES

CHAPTER V

APPLICATION OF EQUIVALENT RESISTANCE EQUATION

I EVALUATION OF SHAPE FACTORS

Before Equation (21) can be used to calculate the power input to a levitated sample, the shape factors s_1 and s_2 must be determined. Figure 8 provides an evaluation of the shape factors since the ρ/t intercept is s_2 , the slope is s_1 , and the inverse of the abscissa intercept is s_1/s_2 . To ascertain the variation of these shape factors with size, determinations were made as above using 1100F aluminum and 304L stainless steel samples with 2:1 diameter to length ratios with diameter variations from 1/2 to 1 1/2 inches. As seen in Figure 9, both s_1/s_2 and s_2 are proportional to the fourth power of the diameter as

$$s_2 = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} D^4, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\frac{s_1}{s_2} = 2.0 \times 10^{-7} D^4. \quad (28)$$

This correlation, while sufficiently accurate for design applications, is not suitable for evaluation of the nature of the original factors a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 . It should be noted that the equivalent resistance equation was derived on the basis of the assumption of current loops around the sample with no axial flow of current. In general, there will surely be a voltage gradient down the solid charge so that some

FIGURE 9

EVALUATION OF SHAPE FACTORS FOR 2:1 DIAMETER TO LENGTH RATIO

axial current flow must be present. This effect is evidently either very small or, probably, accounted for in the shape factors. This would make it very difficult to calculate the shape factors from the original sample geometry. Cursory attempts to explain the D^4 dependence of the shape factors from this basis were unsuccessful. Although the diameter to length ratio studied is a convenient one, evaluation of other shapes is in order for design information.

II FREQUENCY EFFICIENCY COEFFICIENT

The factor κ might be termed an efficiency factor. The ratio of power input to the sample to the power developed in the coil is the ratio of the equivalent resistance to the coil resistance. This ratio is one measure of the heating efficiency. Since the high frequency coil resistance is proportional to the square root of the frequency, the only remaining frequency dependence of the resistance ratio is found in κ . Thus, for any given frequency, size, and resistivity, κ represents the fraction of the maximum possible efficiency which could be realized by increasing the frequency. For example, if $\frac{fS_2}{\rho}$ were equal to 0.5, κ would have a value of $1/3$ so the efficiency could be doubled by raising the frequency by a factor of four such that $\frac{fS_2}{\rho}$ would equal 2.0 and κ equal $2/3$.

III CHOICE OF FREQUENCY

As seen in Figure 10, the factor κ increases rapidly up to a value of 0.75 and very slowly above this value. Thus, an increase in frequency when κ is below

FIGURE 10

VARIATION OF THE EFFICIENCY COEFFICIENT

this value results in considerable increase in efficiency while little gain is realized when it is higher than 0.75. Figure 11 shows the frequency required for a κ value of 0.75 for various resistivities and sizes for samples of 2:1 diameter to length ratio.

FIGURE 11

MINIMUM FREQUENCY FOR EFFICIENT HEATING OF A CHARGE
WITH 2:1 DIAMETER TO LENGTH RATIO

CHAPTER VI

CALCULATION OF FIELD

I EQUATION

By considering each turn of a levitation coil as a single turn solenoid of length d , Equation (25) was used to calculate the contribution of each turn to the total field strength along the axis of the coil. Tables I, II, and III were computed from this equation for three sizes of tubing. Figure 12 shows the field contributions from 1/4-inch tubing. Figure 13 shows the variation due to different tubing sizes.

II SUPERPOSITION PRINCIPLE

By the principle of superposition the total field intensity at any point on the axis of a coil can be calculated by summing the contributions from the various turns taken from the table at the appropriate values of r and x . By convention the upper turns will make a negative contribution. This summation can be expedited by constructing a table of the form shown in Figure 14. Windows are cut in a paper representing the turn positions so that when this template is placed on the table the values appearing in the windows represent the field contributions at a distance from the center of the coil as indicated by the index mark. The double windows represent a turn whose radius falls between the two values of r in the table. For these values the mean of the two which appear is used. Note that as the index point moves

TABLE I
CALCULATED VALUES OF FIELD STRENGTH ON AXIS OF SINGLE TURNS OF $\frac{1}{8}$ -INCH TUBING
(AND $\times$ ARE $\frac{1}{16}$ -INCH UNITS)

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	3 578	2 530	1 940	1 569	1 315	1 131	992	883	796	724	664	614	570	532	499
1	2 828	2 219	1 789	1 486	1 265	1 099	970	868	784	716	658	608	566	529	496
2	1 539	1 564	1 430	1 274	1 131	1 010	908	823	751	690	638	593	553	518	488
3	749	981	1 040	1 013	954	886	819	757	701	651	607	568	533	502	474
4	386	602	723	770	772	749	716	678	639	603	568	536	507	480	456
5	217	378	500	574	610	619	611	594	572	548	524	500	477	455	434
6	132	247	349	427	476	503	514	513	505	492	477	460	444	427	410
7	086	168	250	319	372	407	428	439	441	437	430	420	409	397	384
8	059	118	182	242	291	329	356	373	382	385	385	380	374	366	358
9	042	086	136	186	230	267	295	316	330	338	342	342	340	336	331
10	031	064	104	145	183	217	245	267	284	295	303	307	308	307	305
11	023	049	081	115	148	178	205	227	244	258	268	274	278	280	280
12	018	039	064	092	120	147	172	193	211	225	236	245	251	254	256
13	014	031	051	075	099	123	145	165	182	197	209	218	225	230	234
14	011	025	042	061	082	103	123	141	158	172	184	194	202	209	213
15	009	020	034	051	069	087	105	122	137	151	163	173	182	189	194
16	008	017	029	043	058	074	090	105	120	133	144	155	163	171	177
17	006	014	024	036	049	063	078	091	105	117	128	138	147	155	161
18	005	012	021	031	042	055	067	080	092	103	114	124	133	140	147
19	005	010	018	026	037	047	059	070	081	092	102	111	120	127	134
20	004	009	015	023	032	041	051	062	072	082	091	100	108	115	122
21	003	008	013	020	028	036	045	055	064	073	082	090	098	105	111
22	003	007	011	017	024	032	040	048	057	065	073	081	089	096	102
23	003	006	010	015	022	028	036	043	051	059	066	073	080	087	093
24	002	005	009	014	019	025	032	039	046	053	060	067	073	080	085
25	002	005	008	012	017	022	028	035	041	048	054	061	067	073	078
26	002	004	007	011	015	020	025	031	037	043	049	055	061	067	072
27	002	004	006	010	014	018	023	028	034	039					
28	001	003	006	009	012	016	021	026	030	036					
29	001	003	005	008	011	015	019	023	028	032					
30	001	003	005	007	010	013	017	021	025	030					
31	001	002	004	006	009	012	016	019	023	027					
32	001	002	004	006	008	011	014	018	021	025					
33	001	002	003	005	008	010	013	016	020	023					
34	001	002	003	005	007	009	012	015	018	021					
35	001	002	003	005	006	009	011	014	017	020					
36	001	002	003	004	006	008	010	013	015	018					
37	001	001	002	004	005	007	009	012	014	017					
38	001	001	002	004	005	007	009	011	013	016					
39	001	001	002	003	005	006	008	010	012	015					
40	000	001	002	003	004	006	008	009	011	014					

TABLE II
CALCULATED VALUES OF FIELD STRENGTH ON AXIS OF SINGLE TURNS OF $\frac{3}{16}$ -INCH TUBING
(AND ARE $\frac{1}{16}$ -INCH UNITS)

x	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
0	2 385	1 873	1 533	1 294	1 117	983	877	791	721	662	611	568	531	498	469
1	2 146	1 744	1 458	1 247	1 087	962	862	780	712	655	606	564	527	495	466
2	1 586	1 425	1 264	1 122	1 003	903	819	748	687	636	591	552	517	487	459
3	1 026	1 057	1 018	953	883	816	754	699	649	606	567	532	501	473	448
4	634	743	781	776	751	715	677	638	602	567	535	506	480	455	433
5	397	515	584	616	622	613	595	572	548	523	499	476	454	434	415
6	257	360	435	482	507	516	515	506	493	477	460	443	426	410	394
7	174	256	325	377	411	431	440	442	438	430	420	409	397	384	372
8	122	187	246	295	332	358	375	383	386	385	381	374	367	358	348
9	088	139	189	233	269	297	318	331	339	343	343	341	337	331	325
10	066	106	147	186	219	247	269	285	297	304	308	309	308	305	302
11	050	082	116	149	180	206	228	246	259	268	275	279	280	280	279
12	039	065	093	122	149	173	194	212	226	237	245	251	255	257	257
13	031	052	075	100	124	146	166	183	197	209	219	226	231	234	236
14	025	042	062	083	104	124	142	158	173	185	195	203	209	214	217
15	020	035	051	069	088	105	122	138	152	164	174	182	189	195	199
16	017	029	043	058	074	090	106	120	133	145	155	164	171	177	182
17	014	024	036	050	064	078	092	105	117	129	139	147	155	162	167
18	012	021	031	043	055	068	080	092	104	114	124	133	140	147	153
19	010	018	027	037	048	059	070	081	092	102	111	120	127	134	140
20	009	015	023	032	042	052	062	072	082	091	100	108	116	122	128
21	008	013	020	028	036	045	055	064	073	082	090	098	105	112	118
22	007	012	018	024	032	040	049	057	065	074	081	089	096	102	108
23	006	010	015	022	028	036	043	051	059	066	074	081	087	093	099
24	005	009	014	019	025	032	039	046	053	060	067	073	080	086	091
25	005	008	012	017	023	028	035	041	048	054	061	067	073	079	084
26	004	007	011	015	020	026	031	037	043	049	055	061	067	072	077
27	004	006	010	014	018	023	028	034	039	045	050	056	061	066	071
28	003	006	009	012	016	021	026	031	036	041	046	051	056	061	066
29	003	005	008	011	015	019	023	028	033	037	042	047	052	057	061
30	003	005	007	010	013	017	021	025	030	034	039	043	048	052	057
31	002	004	006	009	012	016	019	023	027	031	036	040	044	048	052
32	002	004	006	008	011	014	018	021	025	029	033	037	041	045	049
33	002	003	005	008	010	013	016	020	023	027	030	034	038	042	045
34	002	003	005	007	009	012	015	018	021	025	028	032	035	039	042
35	002	003	005	006	009	011	014	017	020	023	026	029	033	036	039
36						010	013	015	018	021	024	027	030	034	037
37						009	012	014	017	020	022	025	028	031	034
38						009	011	013	016	018	021	024	026	029	032
39						008	010	012	015	017	020	022	025	027	030
40						008	009	011	014	016	018	021	023	026	028
41						007	009	011	013	015	017	019	022	024	026
42						007	008	010	012	014	016	018	020	023	025
43						006	008	009	011	013	015	017	019	021	023
44						006	007	009	010	012	014	016	018	020	022
45						005	007	008	010	011	013	015	017	019	021
46						005	006	008	009	011	012	014	016	018	020
47						005	006	007	009	010	012	013	015	017	019
48						004	006	007	008	010	011	013	014	016	018

TABLE III
CALCULATED VALUES OF FIELD STRENGTH ON AXIS OF SINGLE TURNS OF $\frac{1}{4}$ -INCH TUBING
(r AND x ARE $\frac{1}{16}$ -INCH UNITS)

x	r									
	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22
0	1.789	1.265	.970	.784	.658	.566	.496	.442	.398	.362
1	1.685	1.223	.950	.774	.651	.562	.493	.440	.397	.361
2	1.414	1.109	.894	.743	.632	.549	.485	.434	.392	.358
3	1.077	.952	.812	.695	.603	.530	.472	.424	.385	.352
4	.770	.782	.715	.637	.566	.505	.454	.412	.376	.345
5	.536	.624	.615	.572	.523	.475	.433	.396	.364	.336
6	.375	.491	.520	.507	.477	.443	.409	.378	.351	.326
7	.266	.384	.435	.444	.431	.409	.384	.359	.336	.314
8	.193	.301	.362	.385	.386	.375	.358	.339	.320	.301
9	.143	.237	.300	.333	.344	.341	.331	.318	.303	.288
10	.109	.189	.250	.287	.305	.309	.306	.297	.286	.274
11	.084	.152	.208	.247	.270	.279	.281	.277	.269	.260
12	.066	.123	.175	.213	.238	.252	.257	.257	.252	.246
13	.053	.101	.147	.184	.210	.226	.235	.237	.236	.232
14	.043	.084	.125	.160	.186	.204	.214	.219	.220	.219
15	.035	.070	.106	.139	.164	.183	.195	.202	.205	.205
16	.029	.059	.091	.121	.146	.164	.178	.186	.191	.193
17	.025	.050	.079	.106	.129	.148	.162	.172	.177	.181
18	.021	.043	.068	.093	.115	.133	.148	.158	.165	.169
19	.018	.037	.059	.082	.103	.120	.134	.145	.153	.158
20	.015	.032	.052	.072	.092	.109	.123	.134	.142	.148
21	.013	.028	.046	.064	.082	.098	.112	.123	.132	.138
22	.012	.025	.040	.057	.074	.089	.102	.113	.122	.129
23	.010	.022	.036	.051	.067	.081	.094	.105	.113	.120
24	.009	.019	.032	.046	.060	.074	.086	.096	.105	.113
25	.008	.017	.029	.041	.054	.067	.079	.089	.098	.105
26	.007	.015	.026	.037	.049	.061	.072	.082	.091	.098
27	.006	.014	.023	.034	.045	.056	.067	.076	.085	.092
28	.006	.012	.021	.031	.041	.051	.061	.071	.079	.086
29	.005	.011	.019	.028	.038	.047	.057	.065	.073	.081
30	.005	.010	.017	.025	.034	.043	.052	.061	.069	.075
31	.004	.009	.016	.023	.032	.040	.048	.057	.064	.071
32	.004	.008	.014	.021	.029	.037	.045	.053	.060	.066
33						.034	.042	.049	.056	.062
34						.032	.039	.046	.052	.058
35						.029	.036	.043	.049	.055
36						.027	.034	.040	.046	.052
37						.025	.031	.037	.043	.049
38						.024	.029	.035	.041	.046
39						.022	.027	.033	.038	.043
40						.021	.026	.031	.036	.041
41						.019	.024	.029	.034	.039
42						.018	.023	.027	.032	.036
43						.017	.021	.026	.030	.034
44						.016	.020	.024	.028	.033
45						.015	.019	.023	.027	.031
46						.014	.018	.022	.025	.029
47						.013	.017	.020	.024	.028
48						.013	.016	.019	.023	.026

FIGURE 12

FIELD STRENGTH ALONG AXES OF VARIOUS DIAMETER TURNS
OF $\frac{1}{4}$ -INCH TUBING

FIGURE 13

FIELD STRENGTH ALONG THE AXES OF $\frac{3}{4}$ -INCH-DIAMETER TURNS OF VARIOUS SIZES OF TUBING

	Turn Radius ($\frac{1}{16}$ " Units)									
	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22
28	6	12	21	31	41	51	61	71	79	86
27	6	14	23	34	45	56	67	76	85	92
26	7	15	26	37	49	61	72	82	91	93
25								89	98	105
24								96	105	113
23	10	22						105	113	120
22								113	122	129
21								123	132	138
20								134	142	148
19								145	153	158
18								158	165	169
17	25	50		106		148		172	177	181
16								186	191	193
15								202	205	205
14								219	220	219
13								237	236	232
12								257	252	246
11				247		279		277	269	260
10								297	286	274
9								318	303	288
8								339	320	301
7								359	336	314
6								378	351	326
5								396	364	336
4								412	376	345
3								424	385	352
2								434	392	358
1								440	397	361
0								442	398	362
1				774		562		440	397	361
2								434	392	358
3								424	385	352
4								412	376	345
5								396	364	336
6								378	351	326
7	266	384		444		409		359	336	314
8								339	320	301
9								318	303	288
10								297	286	274
11								277	269	260
12								257	252	246
13	53	101						237	236	232
14								219	220	219
15								202	205	205
16	29	59	91	121	146	164	178	186	191	193
17	25	50	79	106	129	148	162	172	177	181
18	21	43	68	93	115	133	148	158	165	169

FIGURE 14

TEMPLATE PLACED OVER TABLE OF FIELD STRENGTH TO EXPEDITE
CALCULATION OF COIL FIELD

upward the field below the center of the coil is being determined. In the example shown, the calculated field intensity $5/16$ inch below the coil center is 1.76. The coil used here is Coil 64 shown in Figure 15. The calculated values of the field strength for this coil are also shown along with the values measured with the probe coil as discussed earlier.

FIGURE 15

FIELD STRENGTH ALONG AXIS OF COIL 64 AND MEASURED COIL SHAPE

CHAPTER VII

CALCULATION OF GRADIENT

I FIELD DERIVATIVE

By differentiating Equation (22), an equation was obtained for the gradient of the field produced by a given turn:

$$G = -\frac{1}{2d} \left[\frac{r^2}{\left(r^2 + (d/2 + x)^2\right)^{3/2}} - \frac{r^2}{\left(r^2 + (d/2 - x)^2\right)^{3/2}} \right] \quad (29)$$

Figure 16 is an evaluation of this equation for 1/4-inch tubing. Figure 17 shows a comparison of the values obtained from three different sizes of tubing.

Since the coil field is the sum of the fields of the separate turns, the coil gradient is the sum of the gradients. The field above and below a given turn is symmetrical and positive for bottom turns. Thus, the gradient is positive above a bottom turn and negative below it. The gradient for a top turn will be negative above the turn and positive below it.

II EFFECT OF NEGLECTING TUBING SIZE

Equation (22) reduces to the form

$$H/I_L = \frac{r^2}{2 \left(r^2 + x^2\right)^{3/2}} \quad (30)$$

FIGURE 16

AXIAL GRADIENT FOR VARIOUS DIAMETER TURNS OF $\frac{1}{4}$ -INCH-DIAMETER
TUBING

FIGURE 17
GRADIENT CONTRIBUTION FROM $\frac{3}{4}$ -INCH DIAMETER TURNS OF
DIFFERENT SIZE TUBING

when the effect of the tubing diameter is neglected.¹⁵

Differentiating we obtain,

$$G = \frac{\partial H/L}{\partial x} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{xr^2}{(r^2 + x^2)^{5/2}} \quad (31)$$

In polar coordinates, where $r = v \sin \theta$, $x = v \cos \theta$ and $(x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} = v$, we have (neglecting sign)

$$G = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\cos \theta \sin^2 \theta}{v^2} \quad (32)$$

or

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta} \quad (33)$$

Table IV gives the last factor for various values of θ . This gives a convenient method of generating curves representing positions which yield the same value of gradient. Figure 18 shows these "isograds" in both polar and rectangular coordinates. Also shown are values of r and x obtained from Figure 16 for two values of G . These indicate that the effect of the tubing size is negligible in this range.

III USE OF ISOGRAD FIGURE

This figure can be used to determine the value of the specific gradient of a coil at any point along its axis. The contribution of a given turn of radius r at an axial distance x from the point is interpolated from the isograd figure. If

TABLE IV
VALUES OF FACTOR $\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} (\cos \theta - \cos^3 \theta)$ FOR USE IN
CONSTRUCTING FIGURE 18

θ (Degrees)	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} (\cos \theta - \cos^3 \theta)$ $\frac{1}{16}$ " Units
0	0.00
5	1 70
10	3 56
15	4.83
20	6 50
25	7 88
30	9.12
35	10 06
40	11.03
45	11.65
50	12.04
55	12 16
60	12.00
65	11.55
70	10 77
75	9 63
80	8.04
85	5.76
90	0.00

FIGURE 18

GRADIENT CONTRIBUTION AS A FUNCTION OF TURN POSITION

a top (reverse wound) turn lies above the point, its contribution is positive; but it detracts from the gradient for all points above the turn.

If it is desired to determine the gradient at several point along the coil axis, a clear plastic overlay can be marked with points representing the relative turns positions using the same scale for r and x as in Figure 18. The origin of the figure is made to coincide with any point on the overlay axis. The gradient at this point is the sum of the values interpolated at each turn position. A lower turn makes a positive contribution when falling on the right side of the figure and negative when on the left.

An average value of 5.5 was obtained for Coil 64 by this procedure which compares with the measured value of 4.9.

IV AVERAGE CONTRIBUTION

Figure 18 shows the positions in which turns will add most to the gradient at a given point along the axis of the levitation coil. However, for the purpose of design it is more desirable to consider the average gradient contribution over the distance occupied by the charge. For example, a turn placed in such a position as to give a maximum contribution at the null point might be above the level of the bottom of the charge so that it decreases the gradient at this point. The average gradient of the field strength function over a distance $x \pm M$ is given by:

$$\bar{G} \equiv \frac{H/I_L (x - M) - H/I_L (x + M)}{2M} , \quad (34)$$

where $H/I_L(x - M)$ is the value of Equation (29) at $x - M$. The axial length occupied by the charge would be $2M$. Figure 19 shows the values of $\bar{G}$ for 1/4-inch tubing with $2M$ equal to one inch. From this figure we may, by noting the values of r and x which give the same value of $\bar{G}$, construct Figure 20. This may be used to determine the turn positions which add the greatest amount to the over-all coil gradient. In the case of most coil designs where the gradient is constant over the length $2M$, Figure 20 and Figure 18 would give the same value for the gradient; but as pointed out before, Figure 20 is better suited for designing. A value of 5.5 for the average gradient of Coil 64 was also calculated by this method.

FIGURE 19

AVERAGE GRADIENT CONTRIBUTION OVER AN INTERVAL $\frac{1}{2}$ -INCH
 ABOVE AND BELOW THE NULL POINT FOR VARIOUS TURN
 RADII OF $\frac{1}{4}$ -INCH TUBING

FIGURE 20

POSITION OF TURNS GIVING SELECTED VALUES OF AVERAGE GRADIENT $\frac{1}{2}$ -INCH
ABOVE AND BELOW NULL POINT

CHAPTER VIII

OTHER FACTORS IN COIL DESIGN

I TUBING SIZE

The diameter of the tubing used in the coil should be kept small to increase the number of turns which can be used in the high gradient positions. This is especially important when a multi-layer design is used or when power losses in the bus system are appreciable. The minimum size which can be used will depend on the amount of power which must be dissipated in the cooling water.

II NUMBER OF TURNS

Since the optimum heating efficiency is realized when the ratio of the square of the coil gradient to the coil resistance is largest, the choice of adding an additional turn to the coil design depends on its effect on the ratio of G^2 to the total tubing length. Usually, after several turns have been used in the design, this ratio does not change enough to be of any importance. For the size coils used in this study, five or six turns each in the top and bottom sections were found to be the optimum.

III PHYSICAL RESTRICTIONS

The location of the turns are limited by three physical restrictions. First, allowance must be made for inserting the charge. This opening can be at the top,

bottom, or sides of the coil. Although often more convenient, openings at the top or bottom will generally limit the use of turns in positions which add greatly to the coil gradient and thus reduce the heating efficiency. The bottom opening also decreases the liquid support. As seen in Figures 18 and 20, considerable opening can be left between the top and bottom sections without decreasing the axial gradient. This side loading can be used when maximum heating is necessary.

The other two restrictions deal with the coil fabrication. The coil must be made so that the leads can be brought out without interfering with the coil operation. The reversing connection from the top to bottom section should be short to minimize the coil resistance. Making this connection from the bottom turn of the top section to the top turn of the bottom section reduces this length and also reduces the problem of electrical discharge between the coil sections.

The second fabrication problem is due to the difficulty of bending tubing to small radii without flattening the tube and restricting water flow. By filling the tubing with dry sand before bending, 1/4-inch copper tubing can be bent to 1/4-inch internal radius. If it is necessary to have a smaller radius, a split washer can be brazed to the inside of the turn.

IV LIQUID SUPPORT

Since the levitating force is proportional to the field gradient and field strength, small diameter turns located just below the bottom tip of the melt will add to its support. In some cases, as with very light, high surface tension metals, the

tip support can be so great as to interfere with the pour of the molten metal. If the tip is stable at very low coil currents, the bulk of the liquid may fall before pouring begins at the tip, thus fouling the coil. This can be overcome by either opening up the bottom of the coil or placing a small "robber" coil under the levitation coil. This additional coil can be designed so its circuit can be closed, allowing it to act as a transformer secondary carrying currents induced by the levitation field. The field thus produced will be in such a direction as to counter the field near the bottom tip of the melt causing it to pour more easily.

The liquid metal may sometimes tend to overflow the sides of the coil between the upper and lower sections of the coil. This is especially true in the side-loading design. It then becomes necessary to reduce this spacing or add additional large diameter turns in this region.

CHAPTER IX

DISCUSSION

I ACCURACY OF METHOD

The accuracy of the power input equation and the field and gradient calculations is of the order of ten per cent. This is also the order of the error involved in measuring the actual dimensions of the coil. The turns will neither lie in a simple plane nor be of constant radius so that considerable estimation is involved in fixing the coil dimensions. The accuracy of this analysis is quite sufficient for the intended purpose of providing an understanding of the parameters involved in the design and performance of levitation melting coils at least with respect to the heating phenomena.

II LIQUID SUPPORT

Since the levitating force is equal to the product of the current in the charge and the spatial flux gradient, the observed linear axial gradient could probably be incorporated in a more thorough analysis of the liquid support. It may be necessary also to consider the radial gradients of the field in such an analysis. The equivalent resistance equation for the molten metal would probably contain shape factors that would depend essentially on the volume of the molten mass so long as the same general coil configuration is used since equal volumes of various molten metals will assume similar shapes.

III LARGE QUANTITIES

A thorough study of the levitation of molten metals would undoubtedly result in the ability to melt quite large quantities of the lighter metals. The process has been generally used for quantities of around five to twenty-five grams, but some cursory work with aluminum and beryllium has indicated that as much as several pounds of these metals could be levitated while molten.

IV OTHER COIL DESIGNS

The coil systems considered in this study are not the only types which might be used for levitation melting.⁴⁻⁶ The only basic requirement for stable levitation is a high frequency field whose gradients are of such magnitude and direction as to counter the forces of gravity. At present, the coaxial, two-section coils used here appear to be the most desirable for their ease of fabrication and generally satisfactory operation.

V OTHER APPLICATIONS

The field calculation techniques presented here might be useful for other induction-heating calculations. For example, in the zone-refining process when it is desirable to maintain a narrow zone, reverse turns can be placed above and below the main coil to cause the field to decrease rapidly on either side of the zone. Figure 18 shows where the turns should be placed to be most effective, and Tables I, II, and III can be used to calculate the resulting field.

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

- ¹Jenkins, A. E., C & EN, 38, August 21, 1961.
- ²Harris, B., and A. E. Jenkins, J. Sci. Instr., 36, (1959), p 238.
- ³Comenetz, G., and J. W. Salatka, J. Electrochemical Soc., 105, (1958), p 673.
- ⁴Fogel', A. A., Izvest, Akad. Nauk SSSR. OTN; Metall. i Toplivo, 2, (1958), p 24.
- ⁵Wroughton, D. M., E. C. Okress, P. H. Brace, G. Comenetz, and J. C. R. Kelly, J. Electrochemical Soc., 99, (1952), p 205.
- ⁶Ageev, N. V., A. A. Fogel', T. A. Sidorova, and V. A. Trapeznikov, Russian J. App. Chem. in English Translation, 33, (1960), p 330.
- ⁷Okress, E. C., D. M. Wroughton, G. Comenetz, P. H. Brace, and J. C. R. Kelley, J. App. Physics, 23, (1952), p 545.
- ⁸Slater, W. J., J. W. Barton, and R. Taggart, Sci. & Industry, 7, (1960), p 89.
- ⁹Scheibe, W., Metall, 7, (1953), p 751.
- ¹⁰Blade, J. C., J. W. H. Clare, and H. J. Lamb, J. Inst. of Metals, 88, (1959-1960), p 365.
- ¹¹Weisberg, L. R., Rev. Sci. Instr., 30, (1959), p 135.
- ¹²Begley, R. T., G. Comenetz, P. A. Flinn, and J. W. Salatka, Rev. Sci. Instr., 30, (1959), p 38.
- ¹³Polonis, D. H., R. G. Butters, and J. G. Parr, Research, 7, (1954).
- ¹⁴Tudbury, C. A., Basics of Induction Heating, 1, John F. Rider Publisher, Inc., 1960, p 52.
- ¹⁵Eshbach, O. W., Handbook of Engineering Fundamentals, Second edition, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1957, p 9-24.

SYMBOLS AND NOMENCLATURE

Symbol	Meaning	Units
a_1, a_2, a_3	Factors depending on shape of workpiece	---
B	Flux density	webers/inch ²
C	Capacitance	farads
d	Solenoid length (tubing size)	inches
D	Workpiece diameter	inches
D_M	Molten metal density	pounds/inch ³
E	Electric potential	volts
E_0	Voltage across tank circuit	volts
E_w	Voltage induced on workpiece	volts
f	Frequency	cycles/second
G	Specific axial field gradient	ampere-turns/inch/ampere/ inch
$\bar{G}$	Average axial gradient	ampere-turns/inch/ampere/ inch
h	Levitated liquid metal height	inches
H	Field strength	ampere-turns/inch
I_L	Coil current	amperes
I_w	Eddy current in workpiece	amperes
K	Frequency efficiency coefficient	---
L_w	Effective inductance of workpiece	henrys

Symbol	Meaning	Units
M	Fixed axial length (half of workpiece length)	inches
N	Number of coil turns	---
P_s	Pressure due to surface tension	pounds/inch ²
P_w	Power input to workpiece	watts
R	Resistance	ohms
R_{EQ}	Equivalent (or reflected) resistance	ohms
R_w	Effective resistance of workpiece	ohms
r_s	Radius of curvature of liquid metal surface	inches
r_b	Radius of curvature at bottom of levitated liquid	inches
r_t	Radius of curvature at top of levitated liquid	inches
r_w	Radius of workpiece	inches
r	Radius of coil turn	inches
S_1, S_2	Factors depending on shape of workpiece	---
t	Time	seconds
v	Radius vector for polar notation	inches
x	Axial distance	inches
Δx	Finite increment of axial distance	inches
X_w	Effective inductive reactance of workpiece	ohms
γ	Surface tension	pounds/inch
δ	Reference depth	micro-inches
θ	Angular displacement for polar notation	degrees

Symbol		Units
μ	Permeability	---
ρ	Resistivity	microhm-inches
ϕ	Total flux	webers
ω	Angular frequency	radians/second
ω_0	Resonant angular frequency	radians/second