MASTER

C00-2200-3

23,842

NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF WHISTLER
WAVE PROPAGATION

Technical Report

J. Denavit

Northwestern University

Evanston, Illinois

September 30, 1973

Prepared for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Under Contract No. AT(11-1)-2200

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Reg

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF WHISTLER WAVE PROPAGATION

by J. Denavit

Technological Institute, Northwestern University

Evanston, Illinois 60201

ABSTRACT

A particle code was written using weighted particles and a quiet start technique to simulate the nonlinear propagation of whistler waves parallel to an external magnetic field. The algorithm is derived from the Darwin Lagrangian by a variational method and two versions of the code are considered, using linear and quadratic base functions. Numerical effect due to the discrete initial loading of the particles in parallel velocities, perpendicular velocity phase angles and positions are analysed; and the performance of the linear and quadratic versions of the code are compared.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper presents a particle simulation code primarily designed to simulate the nonlinear propagation of whistler waves parallel to an external magnetic field $\underline{B}_0$ in an electron plasma with a stationary positive neutralizing background. This code has recently been applied to numerical studies related to the theory of triggered Very-Low-Frequency (VLF) radio emissions from the Magnetosphere.⁽¹⁾ In particular it has been used to simulate the "antenna effect" in the propagation of whistler wavepackets and to investigate a plasma instability due to phase correlation of the electron perpendicular velocities.⁽²⁾ These applications will be published elsewhere and the present paper is devoted to a description of the algorithm and a study of numerical effects due to the discrete nature of the particle and field representations.

The whistler mode corresponds to plasma waves propagating in the ~~direction of an externally applied magnetic field~~ $\underline{B}_0$ with circularly polarized wave fields. In the problems considered in this paper propagation parallel to $\underline{B}_0$ will be assumed. The wave vector potential $\underline{A}$ in this case is perpendicular to $\underline{B}_0$ and at any given time the tips of the local $\underline{A}$ vectors form a helix as shown in Fig. 1a. The dispersion relation for the whistler mode is

$$1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2 c^2} \int \left[\frac{\omega - k v_x}{\omega - k v_x - \omega_c} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k^2 v_\perp^2}{(\omega - k v_x - \omega_c)^2} \right] f(v_x, v_\perp) d\tilde{v} = 0 \quad (1)$$

Here $\underline{v}_x$ and $\underline{v}_\perp$ are the electron velocities respectively parallel and perpendicular to $\underline{B}_0$, ω is the frequency, k is the wavenumber, $\omega_c = e B_0 / mc$ is the electron cyclotron frequency, $\omega_p = (4 \pi e^2 n_0 / m)^{1/2}$ is the plasma frequency, n_0 is the electron density, $-e$ and m are the electron charge and mass and c is the speed of light.⁽³⁾ This dispersion relation shows that the

whistler frequency ω is smaller than the cyclotron frequency, Fig. 1b, and that a resonance exists for electrons having a parallel velocity near $v_R = (\omega - \omega_c)/k$, Fig. 1c. Resonant electrons travel in opposite direction from the wave and as they gyrate with angular velocity ω_c , their perpendicular velocity remains in phase with the local wave vector potential, causing them to interact strongly with the wave. This results in the cyclotron damping or amplification of the wave. In the case of a large-amplitude wave, resonant electrons can become trapped in the sense that the phase angle ζ of their perpendicular velocity relative to the local vector potential oscillates at the trapping frequency $\omega_T = k(e A_{\perp}/mc)^{1/2}$. (4)

Note that the integral in Eq. (1) can be integrated with respect to $v_{\perp}$ to give a dispersion relation which depends only on the moments $\eta_1(v_x) = \int v_{\perp} f dv_{\perp}$ and $\eta_2(v_x) = \int v_{\perp}^3 f dv_{\perp}$ of f with respect to $v_{\perp}$. Thus the linear behavior of the plasma is not altered by assuming a monoenergetic electron distribution in the perpendicular direction of the form

$$f(v_x, v_{\perp}) = f_0(v_x) \delta(v_{\perp} - v_{\perp 0}),$$

provided that the moments $\eta_1(v_x)$ and $\eta_2(v_x)$ are unchanged. This simplification allows the study of a number of problems with particles distributed around a single circle in the v_y, v_z plane, and limits the need for a full distribution of particles to the study of effects due to energy spread in the perpendicular direction.

The present code is one-dimensional with spatial variation along the external magnetic field only (x direction) and periodic boundary conditions with periodicity length L . Only the motions of electrons are considered and the ions are replaced by a uniform positive charge distribution. The phase space is four-dimensional with position x and three velocities v_x, v_y and v_z . In order to study low-amplitude phenomena, a quiet start

method is used with a regular initial loading of the simulation particles in phase space. For this purpose, the x, v_x plane is covered with a grid with mesh sizes Δx and Δv_x , Fig. 2a, and a set of weighted simulation particles is loaded at each grid point (x, v_x) . Each set consists of particles with perpendicular velocities distributed at regular angular intervals $\Delta\theta$ around concentric circles in the v_y, v_z plane as shown in Fig. 2b. The charge over mass ratio is $-e/m$ for all simulation particles, but the mass (and charge) of the simulation particles are chosen proportional to the local value of the initial distribution function in phase space. This regular loading of weighted particles avoids the undesirable initial fluctuations present in the more conventional random loading techniques, but it is responsible for numerical effects which excite non-physical oscillations. The simulation of low-amplitude phenomena over a reasonable time therefore requires an understanding of these anomalous excitations and the selection of the proper algorithm and numerical parameters to minimize their effect.

The algorithm used to compute the self fields and to advance the particles is described in Section 2. Two versions of the algorithm are considered using linear and quadratic interpolations of the potentials. The numerical effects due to discrete loading of the particles are considered in the next three Sections. The first effect, to be considered in Section 3, is the whistler-mode beaming instability resulting from the interaction of discrete sets of beams with a finite velocity separation Δv_x . The second effect is due to the discrete distribution in the angular phase θ of the perpendicular velocities of the particles, which causes an excitation of electrostatic modes. This effect is discussed in Section 4. A third type of non-physical perturbations results from the finite grid spacing Δx . The discrete representation of potentials yields whistler-mode aliases.⁽⁵⁾ In addition, the regular loading of particles at grid points causes an excitation

of oscillations with frequencies $\omega_0 + 2\pi\sigma\Delta v_x/\Delta x$ where ω_0 is the main wave frequency and σ is an integer. This effect is considered in Section 5. The three types of numerical effects have been observed in numerical simulations and the results of a comparative study of these effects, using the linear and quadratic versions of the code, are included.

2. ALGORITHM

a) Finite-difference equations

Since whistler waves propagate at low frequency, in the range 200-30,000 Hz for magnetospheric whistlers for example, it is possible to neglect radiation in the self-field computations and the algorithm is derived from the Darwin Lagrangian, (6,7)

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_0^L \frac{E_x^2 - B^2}{8\pi} dx - \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \left[\tilde{\Phi}(x_i) - \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(x_i)}{c} \right] - \int_0^L \rho_0 \tilde{\Phi}(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i v_i^2. \quad (2)$$

Here $\tilde{\Phi}(x)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(x)$ are the scalar and vector potentials, ρ_0 is the uniform ion charge density, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ is the magnetic field, q_i , m_i , x_i and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i$ denote the charge, mass, position and velocity of the N simulation particles. Note that in the first term only the Coulomb field $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_x = -\nabla \tilde{\Phi}$ is included in the electric field energy. This is a distinctive feature of the Darwin Lagrangian, which results in the absence of retardation terms in the resulting field equations. The resulting model retains induced electric and magnetic fields, as well as the Coulomb field, but no radiation.

The finite-difference equations for the fields are obtained by the method of R. H. Lewis⁽⁸⁾ by expanding the potentials in the form

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j(t) \varphi(x-j\Delta x) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\tilde{A}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j(t) \varphi(x-j\Delta x) \quad (4)$$

where the base function $\varphi(x)$ determines the interpolation used to define values of $\tilde{\Phi}$ and $\tilde{A}$ between grid points $j\Delta x$. Both linear and quadratic base functions, defined in Fig. 3, are considered. Substituting these expansions into the Lagrangian and observing that the vector potential is perpendicular to the direction of propagation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^L \left\{ \left[\sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j \varphi'_j \right]^2 - \left[\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_{yj} \varphi'_j \right]^2 - \left[\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_{zj} \varphi'_j \right]^2 \right\} dx \\ & - \int_0^L \rho_0 \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j \varphi_j dx + \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \sum_{j=1}^J \left(-\alpha_j + \frac{1}{c} \dot{y}_j \beta_{yj} + \frac{1}{c} \dot{z}_j \beta_{zj} \right) \varphi_j \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (\dot{x}_i^2 + \dot{y}_i^2 + \dot{z}_i^2) , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the dot denotes time differentiation, $\varphi_j = \varphi(x-j\Delta x)$ and $\varphi'_j = d\varphi(x-j\Delta x)/dx$.

The equations for the particle dynamics are obtained by writing the Euler-Lagrange equations corresponding to the generalized coordinates x_i , y_i , z_i with $i = 1, \dots, N$ and adding the Lorentz force due to the external field $\tilde{B}_0$ which is not included in the Lagrangian. These operations yield

$$\frac{dv_{xi}}{dt} = \frac{q_i}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^J \left(-\alpha_j + \frac{1}{c} v_{yi} \beta_{yj} + \frac{1}{c} v_{zi} \beta_{zj} \right) \varphi'_j(x_i - j\Delta x) , \quad (6)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[m_i v_{xi} + \frac{q_i}{c} \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \varphi(x_i - j\Delta x) \right] = \frac{q_i}{c} (\tilde{v}_{xi} \times \tilde{B}_0) \quad (7)$$

where the bracket in the left member of Eq. (7) is recognized as the perpendicular canonical momentum of particle i . Particular forms taken by these equations in the case of linear and quadratic base functions are given in Appendix A.

The field equations are obtained by writing the Euler-Lagrange equations for the generalized coordinates α_j , β_{jy} and β_{jz} with $j = 1, \dots, J$,

$$\sum_{j'=1}^J \alpha_{j'} \int_0^L \varphi_{j'}' \varphi_j' dx = 4\pi \rho_0 \int_0^L \varphi_j dx + 4\pi \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \varphi(x_i - j\Delta x) \quad (8)$$

and

$$\sum_{j'=1}^J \beta_{j'} \int_0^L \varphi_{j'}' \varphi_j' dx = \frac{4\pi}{c} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i v_{\perp i} \varphi(x_i - j\Delta x) \quad (9)$$

The first of these equations is recognized as Poisson's equation and the second as Ampère's law written in terms of the vector potential. The integral $\int \varphi_j' \varphi_j' dx$ is the appropriate form of the second derivative operator corresponding to the base function $\varphi(x)$ and the base function also defines the charge sharing scheme to compute the charge and current densities in the right members of Eqs. (8) and (9). The particular forms taken by the derivative operator and charge sharing scheme for linear and quadratic base functions are given in Appendix A.

b) Time step

The particles and fields are advanced in time following a method based on perpendicular canonical momenta which has been used in previous codes of this type.⁽⁹⁾ The particle positions x_i and perpendicular velocities $v_{\perp i}$, as well as the potentials α_j and β_j , are computed at times $n\Delta t$ and the parallel velocities v_{xi} are computed at half time steps $(n - 1/2)\Delta t$ as shown in Fig. 4. It will be convenient to denote vectors perpendicular to the direction of propagation in complex number form with their y component

represented by the real part and their z component represented by the imaginary part. With this notation Eq. (7) takes the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(v_{\perp i} + \frac{q_i}{m_i c} A_{\perp i} \right) = i \omega_c v_{\perp i} \quad (10)$$

where

$$A_{\perp i} = \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \varphi(x_i - j \Delta x) \quad (10a)$$

and we observe that the ratio $q_i/m_i = -e/m$ is the same for all simulation particles. To second order in Δt , Eq. (10) yields

$$(1 - i \delta_c) v_{\perp i}^n + \frac{q_i}{m_i c} A_{\perp i}^n = (1 + i \delta_c) v_{\perp i}^{n-1} + \frac{q_i}{m_i c} A_{\perp i}^{n-1} \quad (11)$$

where $\delta_c = \omega_c \Delta t / 2$ and the superscripts denote time values. Let

$$h_i^n = (1 - i \delta_c) v_{\perp i}^n + \frac{q_i}{m_i c} A_{\perp i}^n$$

denote a modified form of the perpendicular canonical momentum of particle i at time $n \Delta t$, then Eq. (11) yields

$$h_i^n = \frac{q_i}{m_i c} \frac{2i \delta_c}{1 + i \delta_c} A_{\perp i}^n + \frac{1 - i \delta_c}{1 + i \delta_c} h_i^{n-1} \quad (12)$$

and

$$v_{\perp i}^n = \frac{1}{1 + i \delta_c} \left(h_i^{n-1} - \frac{q_i}{m_i c} A_{\perp i}^n \right) \quad (12a)$$

Let us assume that the computation has proceeded to the point where all quantities are known up to and including time $(n-1) \Delta t$. A leap frog step applied to Eq. (6) yields $v_{x i}^{n-1/2}$ and x_i^n . The charge density may then be computed at time $n \Delta t$ and Eq. (8) yields the scalar potentials α_j^n as in electrostatic computations.⁽¹⁰⁾ Since the computation of either h_i^n or $v_{\perp i}^n$ from Eqs. (12) or (12a) requires knowledge of $A_{\perp i}^n$, the vector potentials β_j^n at the new time $n \Delta t$ must be computed first. This is achieved by

substituting Eq. (12a) into Eq. (9) to eliminate v_{1i}^n and rearranging terms to obtain an equation for β_j^n in terms of known quantities,

$$\sum_{j'=1}^J \left[\int_0^L \varphi'_{j'} \varphi'_j dx + \frac{4\pi q_i}{m_i c^2} \frac{1}{1+i\delta_c} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \varphi(x_i^n - j\Delta x) \varphi(x_i^n - j'\Delta x) \right] \beta_j, \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{4\pi}{c} \frac{1}{1+i\delta_c} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i h_i^{n-1} \varphi(x_i^n - j\Delta x).$$

In the case of the linear basic function, the operator $\int_0^L \varphi'_{j'} \varphi'_j dx$ takes the standard form

$$\int_0^L \varphi'(x-j'\Delta x) \varphi'(x-j\Delta x) dx = \begin{cases} 2/\Delta x & \text{for } j'-j = 0, \\ -1/\Delta x & \text{for } |j'-j| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } |j'-j| > 1, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and the second term in bracket in the left member of Eq. (13) is non-zero only for $|j'-j| \leq 1$. In this case the left member of Eq. (13) therefore reduces to three terms,

$$A_j \beta_{j-1} + B_j \beta_j + C_j \beta_{j+1} = D_j \quad (13a)$$

where the quantities A_j , B_j , C_j and D_j , which depend on known charge and momentum distributions, are defined in Appendix A. Writing Eq. (13a) with $j = 1, \dots, J$ and applying periodic boundary conditions yields a system of equations having a tridiagonal coefficient matrix with non-zero off-diagonal corner elements,

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 \beta_1 + C_1 \beta_2 &+ A_1 \beta_J = D_1, \\ A_2 \beta_1 + B_2 \beta_2 + C_2 \beta_3 &= D_2, \\ A_3 \beta_2 + B_3 \beta_3 + C_3 \beta_4 &= D_3, \\ &\vdots \\ C_J \beta_1 + A_J \beta_{J-1} + B_J \beta_J &= D_J. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of the quadratic base function a similar system of equation, having a coefficient matrix with five non-zero diagonals and three non-zero elements in each corner is obtained. A direct elimination procedure to solve such systems, developed by Gibbons⁽¹¹⁾, is used in the present code.

c) Energy conservation

As a consequence of the Lagrangian formulation, the present algorithm for advancing particles conserves energy independently of the grid spacing Δx . The expression for the total energy is provided by the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{\text{tot}} = & \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{j,j'=1}^J \alpha_j \alpha_{j'} \int_0^L \varphi'_j \varphi'_{j'} dx \\
 & + \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{j,j'=1}^J (\beta_{yj} \beta_{yj'} + \beta_{zj} \beta_{zj'}) \int_0^L \varphi'_j \varphi'_{j'} dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (v_{xi}^2 + v_{li}^2)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{15}$$

The first term in the right member of Eq. (15) is the electrostatic energy, U_E , the second term is the magnetic energy U_M and the third term is the kinetic energy U_K of the system.

d) Implementation

Two versions of the code, using linear and quadratic base functions have been written for use on the CDC 7600. These codes use disk storage for particle data (5 words per particle) and runs with up to $5 \cdot 10^5$ particles have been made for the simulation of wavepacket propagation. The computing times are approximately $18\mu s$ per particle-time step for the linear base function and $26\mu s$ per particle-time step for the quadratic base function.

3. EFFECT OF DISCRETE LOADING OF PARALLEL VELOCITIES

The first effect considered is the whistler-mode equivalent of the beaming instability encountered in electrostatic problems.^(10,12) It is

due to the discrete loading of particles with parallel velocities separated by a finite interval Δv_x . This causes the distribution function to take the form of a sum of δ functions,

$$f(v_x, v_\perp) = \delta(v_\perp - v_{\perp 0}) \sum_{\sigma=-K}^K f_o(v_x) \delta(v_x - \sigma \Delta v_x)$$

and the dispersion relation, Eq. (1), becomes

$$1 + \left(\frac{kc}{\omega_p} \right)^2 + \frac{\omega_c \Delta v_x}{k} \sum_{\sigma=-K}^K \frac{f_o(\sigma \Delta v_x)}{z - \sigma \Delta v_x} + \frac{v_{\perp 0}^2 \Delta v_x}{2} \sum_{\sigma=-K}^K \frac{f_o(\sigma \Delta v_x)}{(z - \sigma \Delta v_x)^2} = 0 \quad (16)$$

where $z = (\omega - \omega_c)/k$. Here the electron distribution has been assumed to be monoenergetic in the perpendicular direction. Each beam gives two roots of the dispersion relation, which are either real or complex conjugate pairs. The complex conjugate roots yield an unstable behavior of the corresponding beam. Since the number of beams is finite it is possible to determine these roots by solving Eq. (16) numerically and the linear behavior of any individual beam may be computed exactly. The result of such a computation is given later in this Section. However, the maximum growth rate may be found analytically by following the method of Dawson.⁽¹²⁾ Identities are used to replace the sums over σ in Eq. (16) by integrals over v_x , as in the original dispersion relation, plus singular terms which account for the poles at $z_\sigma = \sigma \Delta v_x$. This analysis yields results similar to those of electrostatic case.⁽¹⁰⁾ For $\Delta v_x \rightarrow 0$ and a Maxwellian distribution function $f_o = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} (v/v_{th})^2\right] / (\sqrt{2\pi} v_{th})$ the complex frequencies $\omega_\sigma = \alpha_\sigma + i\beta_\sigma$ are given by

$$\alpha_{\sigma} = \omega_c + \sigma k \Delta v_x + \frac{k \Delta v_x}{2\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\zeta_{\sigma} \text{Im } Z(\zeta_{\sigma})}{1 + k^2 c^2 / \omega_p^2 + \text{Re } Z(\zeta_{\sigma})} \right) \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\sigma} = \frac{k \Delta v_x}{2\pi} \left\{ \ln \frac{2\pi^2 v_{\perp o}^2}{\sqrt{2\pi} v_{th} \Delta v_x} - \zeta_{\sigma}^2 \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\left(1 + \frac{k^2 c^2}{\omega_p^2} - \frac{v_{\perp o}^2}{2v_{th}^2} - \left[\frac{\omega_c}{\sqrt{2} k v_{th}} + \frac{v_{\perp o}^2 \zeta_{\sigma}}{2v_{th}^2} \right] \text{Re } Z(\zeta_{\sigma}) \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \left(\left[\frac{\omega_c}{\sqrt{2} k v_{th}} + \frac{v_{\perp o}^2 \zeta_{\sigma}}{2v_{th}^2} \right] \text{Im } Z(\zeta_{\sigma}) \right)^2 \right] \right\} \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_{\sigma} = \sigma \Delta v_x / (\sqrt{2} v_{th})$ and $Z(\zeta)$ is the plasma dispersion function. (13)

These results show that the natural frequencies α_{σ} correspond approximately to the Doppler frequencies of the beams, shifted by the cyclotron frequency, while the growth rates β_{σ} are approximately proportional to the product $k \Delta v_x$. The most unstable mode is obtained for $\zeta_{\sigma} = 0$ which corresponds to the cyclotron resonant beam ($\alpha_{\sigma} = \omega_c$). For example, with $v_{th} = c/3$, $v_{\perp o} = \sqrt{2} v_{th}$ (i.e., $T_{\perp} = T_{\parallel}$), $kc/\omega_p = 1/\sqrt{3}$ and $\omega_c = \omega_p/2$, the maximum growth rate is $\beta_{\max} = 0.041 \omega_p$ for $\Delta v_x = c/5$ (i.e., 11 beams in the interval $-c, +c$) and $\beta_{\max} = 0.027 \omega_p$ for $\Delta v_x = c/10$ (21 beams).

A test was carried out to observe in some detail the occurrence of the beaming instability with the above parameters. The particles in this test were loaded uniformly in x with $\Delta x = L/64$ and $\Delta v_x = c/5$ (11 beams). In the perpendicular direction the distribution was monoenergetic and uniform in phase angles with $\Delta \theta = 2\pi/64$. This choice of Δx and $\Delta \theta$ reduces as much as possible other numerical effects described in Sections 4 and 5. An initial perturbation is applied to the central set of beams, which gives to this set of beams a small-amplitude circularly polarized perpendicular velocity,

$$\tilde{v}_\sigma(x, t=0) = \begin{cases} \tilde{v}_\perp^0 (\hat{y} \cos kx + \hat{z} \sin kx) & \text{for } \sigma = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } \sigma \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

with $\tilde{v}_\perp^0 = v_{\perp 0}/40$.

The central-beam perturbation as a function of time for this test is given in Fig. 5. The solid line shows the result of the numerical solution of the dispersion relation mentioned earlier, the circles give the results of the simulation code and the broken line shows the maximum growth rate ($\beta_{\max} = 0.041 \omega_p$) obtained analytically. The results of this test may be interpreted as follows. The current due to the central beam perturbation produces circularly polarized fields which drive the off-center beams of the plasma, causing them to acquire circularly polarized velocity perturbations and causing the perturbation of the central beam to decrease initially. The perturbations of the off-center beams grow at the exponential rates β_σ but are at first phase mixed since they advance with different velocities. However, as the recurrence time $\tau_R = 2\pi/k\Delta v_x = 54 \omega_p^{-1}$ is approached these perturbations come back into phase producing strong fields which drive the central beam perturbation to a large value as shown in Fig. 5 near $t = 54 \omega_p^{-1}$. The magnetic energy drops initially from $U_M = 0.7 \cdot 10^{-4} U_{\text{tot}}$ at $t = 0$ to $U_M = 0.7 \cdot 10^{-6} U_{\text{tot}}$ at $t = 43 \omega_p^{-1}$, then regrows as t approaches τ_R to reach a maximum $U_M = 2.2 \cdot 10^{-3} U_{\text{tot}}$ at $t = 58 \omega_p^{-1}$. Note that the simulation results in Fig. 5 level off for $t > 80 \omega_p^{-1}$. At this time the velocity perturbation in the x direction has reached a value $\delta v_x \approx (kc/\omega_c)(v_\perp/c)^2(\delta v_\perp/v_\perp)c \approx 0.1 c$ equal to half the beam separation. This causes the beams to merge and linear theory is no longer expected to hold.

4. EFFECT OF DISCRETE LOADING OF PERPENDICULAR VELOCITY PHASES

The effect of initializing the particles with perpendicular velocities having discrete phase angles, separated by a finite interval $\Delta\theta$ is considered

in this Section. This effect has no equivalent in purely electrostatic simulations. The electron distribution is again assumed to be monoenergetic in the perpendicular direction, with perpendicular velocities uniformly distributed at M values $\theta_\mu = 2\pi\mu/M$ of the phase angle, with $\mu = 1, \dots, M$, and may be considered as M separate beams. Each beam is perturbed by the whistler wave and has a charge density perturbation $\rho^\mu(x)$. If these density perturbations were defined on a continuous variable x , they would be shifted with respect to one another in the x direction so that $\rho^\mu(x) = \tilde{\rho}^0 \sin\left(kx - \frac{2\pi\mu}{M}\right)$, where k is the whistler wavenumber. In this case the perturbations would cancel each other and the total charge density would be uniform. In the simulation code, however, the charge density is computed only at discrete grid point in the x direction and this results in an incomplete cancellation of the charge densities which results in the excitation of electrostatic modes.

This effect may be understood in terms of the simple example illustrated in Fig. 6, involving two beams $\mu = 1$ and $\mu = 2$ and four particles in each beam each with a charge $-en_0 L/8$ initially located at four grid points $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. After some time has elapsed the particles of the first beam, $\mu = 1$, have acquired sinusoidal displacements in the x direction due to the $\underline{v}_\perp \times \underline{B}_w$ force. Particles at $j = 2$ and 4 undergo the maximum displacement ξ_0 and particles at $j = 1$ and 3 have zero displacements. The charge sharing scheme, using the linear base function, then yields charge densities at the grid points given by the vector

$$\rho_j^{\mu=1} = \frac{-en_0}{2} \{1, 1-\epsilon, 1+2\epsilon, 1-\epsilon\}.$$

where $\epsilon = \xi_0/\Delta x$. The particles of the second beam, $\mu = 2$, acquire displacements which are 180° out of phase from those of the first beam and the charge densities for this beam are given by

$$\rho_j^{\mu=2} = \frac{-en_0}{2} \{1+2\epsilon, 1-\epsilon, 1, 1-\epsilon\} .$$

The total charge density at each grid point, obtained by taking the sum of these vectors is then

$$\rho_j = -en_0 \{1+\epsilon, 1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon, 1-\epsilon\} ,$$

in which a second harmonic with amplitude $\bar{\rho}_2 = -en_0 \xi_0 / \Delta x$ is superimposed over the uniform charge. Since only the uniform charge is neutralized by the ion background, second harmonic electrostatic modes are excited in the plasma.

In the general case the position of a particle is given by

$$x^{j\sigma\mu} = j\Delta x + \sigma \Delta v_x t + \xi^{j\sigma\mu} \quad (19)$$

where the displacement $\xi^{j\sigma\mu}$ due to the interaction with the whistler wave is of the form

$$\xi^{j\sigma\mu} = \tilde{\xi}^\sigma \sin \left[2\pi \left(\frac{\mu}{M} - \frac{j}{J} \right) \right] . \quad (19a)$$

Here the superscripts j , σ and μ define respectively the initial position, parallel velocity and phase angle of the particle and J is the number of grid points per whistler wavelength. This expression is introduced into the charge density term of Eq. (8) to give

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{j'} &= \frac{1}{\Delta x} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \varphi(x_i - j' \Delta x) , \\ &= -\frac{en_R}{M} \sum_{j,\mu} \varphi \left[\xi^{j\sigma_R\mu} - (j-j') \Delta x \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here n_R denotes the resonant particle density and $\sigma_R \approx v_R / \Delta v_x$. Since resonant particles undergo large displacements they are the major contributors to the present effect and non-resonant particles have been neglected

in Eq. (20). Substituting Eq. (19a) into Eq. (20) and taking a discrete Fourier transform with respect to j' yields, as shown in Appendix B,

$$\bar{\rho}_n = \begin{cases} -en_R \sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} J_{\bar{\varphi}_{-n+pJ}} J_{rM}(z_p) e^{-2\pi i (n-pJ) v_R t / L} & \text{for } n = r_0 M + q_0 J \\ 0 & \text{for } n \neq r_0 M + q_0 J \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Here p, q, q_0, r, r_0 must be integers, $z_p = 2\pi(n-pJ)\xi_R/L$ where ξ_R is the maximum displacement of resonant electrons, J_v denotes the Bessel function of the first kind of integer order and $\bar{\varphi}_m$ is the Fourier transform of the base function defined in Appendix B.

Non-zero harmonics occur with order $n = r_0 M + q_0 J$ where r_0 and q_0 are arbitrary integers. For example, with $J = 16$ and $M = 12$, electrostatic modes corresponding to the 4-th and 8-th harmonic are driven. With $J = M$ no electrostatic mode harmonics are driven. The magnitude of $\bar{\rho}_n$ may be estimated as

$$\bar{\rho}_n \approx en_R \left[(\omega_T t)^2 / 2 \chi_{r_0 M} \right]^{b+1} J_{r_0 M}(\chi_{r_0 M}) \quad (22)$$

where ω_T is the trapping frequency, $\chi_{r_0 M}$ is the value of z for which $J_{r_0 M}(z)$ reaches its first maximum, $b = 1$ for the linear base function and $b = 2$ for the quadratic base function. This estimate, which is valid for $\omega_T t \lesssim \pi$ is derived in Appendix B.

Numerical simulations of cyclotron damping with linear and quadratic base functions have been carried out to illustrate this effect. In these runs a whistler wave with $kc/\omega_p = 1/\sqrt{3}$ was initialized in a Maxwellian plasma with $v_{th} = c/3$, $v_{\perp 0}/\sqrt{2} v_{th}$ (monoenergetic in the perpendicular direction) and $\omega_c = \omega_p/2$. The initial magnetic energy is $U_M = 4.9 \cdot 10^{-5} U_{tot}$ and the

initial trapping frequency is $\omega_T = 4.5 \cdot 10^{-2} \omega_p$. From $t = 0$ to $t = 50 \omega_p^{-1}$ the magnetic energy is observed to decay at the rate ($2\gamma = 0.035 \omega_p$) predicted by linear theory as shown in Fig. 9. For $J = M = 16$ the electrostatic energy remains negligible. However, for $J = 16, M = 12$ the electrostatic energy increases as shown in Fig. 7. This energy resides entirely in modes $n = 4$ and 8 . Assuming a resonant particle density $n_R = 0.05 n_0$, estimates of the ratio of electrostatic energy at $t = 50 \omega_p^{-1}$ to total energy, obtained from Eq. (23), are $U_E/U_{\text{tot}} \approx 10^{-7}$ for the linear base function and $U_E/U_{\text{tot}} \approx 0.4 \cdot 10^{-8}$ for the quadratic base function. These estimates agree qualitatively with the values observed in Fig. 7.

5. EFFECT OF DISCRETE SPATIAL LOADING

The effect of regular loading of the particles at the grid points in the x direction is considered now. Assume that a whistler wave with mode number n propagates through the plasma. As particles travel along this wave, their perpendicular velocities acquire perturbations with a spatial dependence of the form

$$\tilde{v}_\perp = \tilde{v}_\perp^0 e^{\frac{2\pi i n x}{L}},$$

where the real part represents the y component of the velocity perturbation and the imaginary part represents its z component. Suppose that at some time t the particles of a given beam σ fall exactly at the grid points as shown in Fig. 8a. The transverse current density at grid point j (for the linear base function) is due only to the particle at this point. This current density is

$$J_{\perp j}(t) = -en_0 \tilde{v}_\perp^0 e^{\frac{2\pi i n j}{J}}.$$

At a later time $t + \tau_\sigma/2$, where $\tau_\sigma = \Delta x / \sigma \Delta v_x$ the particles will have drifted so that they are located midway between grid points as shown in Fig. 8b. The current density at grid point j is now the sum of contributions from two particles,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\perp j}(t + \tau_\sigma/2) &= - \frac{en_o \tilde{v}_{\perp o}}{2} \left(e^{-\frac{\pi i n \Delta x}{L}} + e^{\frac{\pi i n \Delta x}{L}} \right) e^{\frac{2 \pi i n j}{J}} \\ &= - en_o \tilde{v}_{\perp o} e^{\frac{2 \pi i n j}{J}} \cos \frac{\pi n}{J}, \end{aligned}$$

and is smaller than the current at time t . Thus as particles drift across the grid points the magnitude of the current fluctuates and these fluctuations drive new waves with the same mode number n as the original wave but with frequencies $\omega_\sigma = 2\pi/\tau_\sigma$.

When all the beams (i.e., all values of σ) are considered there results a phase mixing so that the vector potential $\tilde{\beta}_n^g$ due to this effect remains small, except at times which are multiples of the "beat time" $\tau_B = \Delta x / \Delta v_x$. At these times the contributions of all the beams are in phase and large deviations occur.

This effect may be analyzed by a perturbation method presented in Appendix C. Consider the simulation of a whistler wave with mode number n , amplitude $\tilde{\beta}_n^w$, frequency ω_o and damping constant γ_o . The vector potential deviation due to discrete spatial loading is given by

$$\frac{\tilde{\beta}_n^g}{\tilde{\beta}_n^w} = \frac{\omega_p^2}{k_c^2} \frac{\omega_o}{\omega_c} k_{\perp o}^2 \left(\frac{n}{J} \right)^b \sum_{\sigma} A_{\sigma} \cos(\omega_{\sigma} t + b\pi/2), \quad (24)$$

where $\omega_{\sigma} = 2\pi\sigma\Delta v_x / \Delta x$,

$$A_{\sigma} = \frac{n_{\sigma} \left[(1 + i\Omega_{\sigma} t) e^{-i\Omega_{\sigma} t} - e^{-\gamma_o t} \right] e^{i\omega_o t}}{\Omega_{\sigma}^2},$$

$$\Omega_{\sigma} = \omega_o - \omega_c - k \sigma \Delta v_x,$$

$b = 1$ for the linear base function and $b = 2$ for the quadratic base function.

This effect is evident in the behavior of the magnetic energy for the cyclotron damping simulation shown in Fig. 9, which corresponds to the run described at the end of Section 4. In this case $\Delta v_x / c = 1/20$ from which $\tau_B = 13.6 \omega_p^{-1}$ and Eq. (23) gives

$$\frac{\Delta U_{Mn}}{U_{Mn}} = 2 \frac{|\bar{\beta}_n^g|}{|\tilde{\beta}_n^w|} \approx 0.16 \quad (\text{linear base function})$$

$$\approx 0.01 \quad (\text{quadratic base function})$$

The deviations from cyclotron damping evident in Fig. 9 agree both in magnitude and frequency of occurrence with the above results, and are smaller, by at least an order of magnitude, for the quadratic base function than for the linear base function.

These results, and those of the last section, show that the quadratic base function is significantly superior to the linear base function for the simulation of low-amplitude waves. In the case of large-amplitude waves the numerical effects discussed in this paper become less important because the initial discrete loading of the particles in phase space is rapidly smeared by their motions in the wave. Finally, it may be observed that significant improvements may often be achieved by a judicious staggering of the particles, which in effect reduces the discrete parameters Δv_x , $\Delta \theta$ and Δx . Thus, for example, in the case of a distribution function having a finite energy spread in the perpendicular direction, the phase angles θ_{μ} should be staggered around adjacent concentric circles in the v_y, v_z plane.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported jointly by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission under contract AT(11-1)-2200 and the Office of Naval Research under contract N00014-67-A-0356-0026. Acknowledgment is made to the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is sponsored by the National Science Foundation, for computer time used in this research.

REFERENCES

1. R. N. Sudan and J. Denavit, Physics Today, awaiting publication
2. J. Denavit and R. N. Sudan, to be published
3. R. N. Sudan, Physics Fluids, 6, 57 (1963)
4. R. N. Sudan and E. Ott, J. Geophys. Res. 76, 4463 (1971)
5. A. B. Langdon, J. Computational Phys. 6, 247 (1970)
6. J. D. Jackson, "Classical Electrodynamics," pp. 409-411, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1962
7. A. N. Kaufman and P. S. Rostler, Physics Fluids, 14, 446 (1971)
8. H. R. Lewis, "Methods of Computational Physics," vol. 9, p. 307, Academic Press, New York, 1970
9. I. Haber, C. E. Wagner, J. P. Boris and J. M. Dawson, "Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Numerical Simulation of Plasmas," Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C. (1970)
10. J. Denavit, J. Computational Phys., 9, 75 (1972)
11. W. P. Gibbons, to be published
12. J. M. Dawson, Phys. Rev., 118, 381 (1960)
13. B. D. Fried and S. D. Conte, "The Plasma Dispersion Function," Academic Press, New York, 1961
14. P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, "Methods of Theoretical Physics," p. 1322, McGraw-Hill, New York (1953)

APPENDIX A

FINITE DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

The finite-difference equations are written in normalized form with time measured in units of ω_p^{-1} , position in units of the system's length L and the potentials in units of $(m/e)L^2\omega_p^2$. The system's length L is related to the "magnetic length" c/ω_p by the dimensionless ratio $k_M = L\omega_p/c$.

1. Linear Base Function

Let J denote the closest grid point to the left of x_i and let $p_i = (x_i - j\Delta x)/\Delta x$, $0 \leq p_i < 1$. Eqs. (6), (8), (10a) and (13) take respectively the form

$$\frac{dv_{xi}}{dt} = -J \left[\alpha_j - \alpha_{j+1} + k_M v_{yi} (\beta_{yj+1} - \beta_{yj}) + k_M v_{zi} (\beta_{zj+1} - \beta_{zj}) \right]$$

$$\alpha_{j-1} - 2\alpha_j + \alpha_{j+1} = -(\rho_0 + \rho_j)/J^2$$

$$A_{1i} = (1-p_i)\beta_j + p_i\beta_{j+1}$$

$$A_j\beta_{j-1} + B_j\beta_j + C_j\beta_{j+1} = D_j$$

where

$$A_j = 1 - \Gamma \rho'_{j-1}$$

$$C_j = 1 - \Gamma \rho'_j$$

$$B_j = -2 - \Gamma \rho''_j$$

$$D_j = -\Gamma \sigma_j$$

and $\Gamma = k_M^2/J^2(1+i\delta_c)$. Each particle i , with $j \leq x_i/\Delta x < j+1$, contributes the following increments to the arrays ρ_j , ρ'_j , ρ''_j and σ_j ,

$$\Delta\rho_j = -J(1-p_i)Z_i, \quad \Delta\rho_{j+1} = -Jp_iZ_i,$$

$$\Delta\rho'_j = -Jp_i(1-p_i)Z_i, \quad \Delta\rho''_j = -J(1-p_i)^2Z_i, \quad \Delta\rho''_{j+1} = -Jp_i^2Z_i$$

$$\Delta\sigma_j = -J(1-p_i)h_iZ_i, \quad \Delta\sigma_{j+1} = -Jp_ih_iZ_i,$$

where Z_i represents the particle weight with the normalization $\sum_{i=1}^N Z_i = 1$.

2. Quadratic Base Function

The second derivative operator takes the form

$$\int_0^L \varphi'(x-j\Delta x) \varphi'(x-j'\Delta x) dx = \begin{cases} 1/\Delta x & \text{for } j'-j = 0 \\ -1/3\Delta x & \text{for } |j'-j| = 1 \\ -1/6\Delta x & \text{for } |j'-j| = 2 \\ 0 & \text{for } |j'-j| > 2 \end{cases}$$

Let j denote the grid point closest to x_i and let $p_i = (x_i - j\Delta x)/\Delta x$,
 $-1/2 \leq p_i < 1/2$. Eqs. (6), (8), (10a) and (13) become respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv_{xi}}{dt} = & -J \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} - p_i \right) \alpha_{j-1} + 2p_i \alpha_j - \left(\frac{1}{2} + p_i \right) \alpha_{j+1} \right. \\ & + k_{Myi}^v \left[-\left(\frac{1}{2} - p_i \right) \beta_{yj-1} - 2p_i \beta_{yj} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + p_i \right) \beta_{yj+1} \right] \\ & \left. + k_{Mzi}^v \left[-\left(\frac{1}{2} - p_i \right) \beta_{zj-1} - 2p_i \beta_{zj} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + p_i \right) \beta_{zj+1} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_{j-2} + 2\alpha_{j-1} - 6\alpha_j + 2\alpha_{j+1} + \alpha_{j+2} = -6(\rho_o + \rho_j)/J^2$$

$$A_{1i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - p_i \right)^2 \beta_{j-1} + \left(\frac{3}{4} - p_i^2 \right) \beta_j + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + p_i \right)^2 \beta_{j+1}$$

$$A_j \beta_{j-2} + B_j \beta_{j-1} + C_j \beta_j + D_j \beta_{j+1} + E_j \beta_{j+2} = F_j$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_j &= -\frac{1}{6} \Gamma \rho_{j-2}''', & D_j &= -\frac{1}{3} + \Gamma \rho_j'' \\ B_j &= -\frac{1}{3} + \Gamma \rho_{j-1}'', & E_j &= -\frac{1}{6} + \Gamma \rho_j''' \\ C_j &= 1 + \Gamma \rho_j', & F_j &= -\Gamma \sigma_j \end{aligned}$$

Each particle i , with $j - 1/2 \leq x_i/\Delta x < j + 1/2$, contributes the following increments to the arrays ρ_j , ρ_j' , ρ_j'' , ρ_j''' and σ_j ,

$$\Delta p_{j-1} = -\frac{J}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - p_i\right)^2 z_i, \quad \Delta \sigma_{j-1} = -\frac{J}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - p_i\right)^2 h_i z_i,$$

$$\Delta p_j = -J \left(\frac{3}{4} - p_i^2\right) z_i, \quad \Delta \sigma_j = -J \left(\frac{3}{4} - p_i^2\right) h_i z_i,$$

$$\Delta p_{j+1} = -\frac{J}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + p_i\right)^2 z_i, \quad \Delta \sigma_{j+1} = -\frac{J}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + p_i\right)^2 h_i z_i;$$

$$\Delta p'_{j-1} = -\frac{J}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2} - p_i\right)^4 z_i, \quad \Delta p''_j = -\frac{J}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + p_i\right)^2 \left(\frac{3}{4} - p_i^2\right) z_i,$$

$$\Delta p'_j = -J \left(\frac{3}{4} - p_i^2\right)^2 z_i, \quad \Delta p''_{j-1} = -\frac{J}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - p_i\right)^2 \left(\frac{3}{4} - p_i^2\right) z_i,$$

$$\Delta p'_{j+1} = -\frac{J}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2} + p_i\right)^4 z_i, \quad \Delta p'''_{j-1} = -\frac{J}{4} \left(\frac{1}{4} - p_i\right)^2 z_i.$$

APPENDIX B

ELECTROSTATIC MODES AMPLITUDES

Taking the discrete Fourier transform of Eq. (20) yields :

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\rho}_n &= \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j'} \rho_{j'} e^{-2\pi i n j'/J} \\ &= -\frac{en_R}{JM} \sum_{j, j', \mu} \varphi(x^{j\sigma_R\mu} - j'\Delta x) e^{-2\pi i n j'/J} \quad (B1)\end{aligned}$$

where the sums, and all other sums in this Appendix, are to be taken over positive and negative integers. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\varphi}_n &= \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \varphi(x) e^{-2\pi i n x/L} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{J} \left[\frac{\sin(\pi n/J)}{\pi n/J} \right]^{b+1}\end{aligned}$$

denote the Fourier transform of the base function, where $b = 1$ for the linear base function and $b = 2$ for the quadratic base function, then

$$\varphi(x^{j\sigma_R\mu} - j'\Delta x) = \sum_{n'} \bar{\varphi}_{n'} e^{2\pi i n' (x^{j\sigma_R\mu} - j'\Delta x)/L}$$

Substituting this expression and Eq. (19) into Eq. (B1) and carrying out the sum over j' yields

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\rho}_n &= -\frac{en_R}{M} \sum_{p, j, \mu} \bar{\varphi}_{-n+pJ} e^{-2\pi i (n-pJ)v_R t/L} \\ &\quad e^{-2\pi i n j/J} \exp\left\{i z_p \sin\left[2\pi \sin\left(\frac{\mu}{M} - \frac{j}{J}\right)\right]\right\}, \quad (B2)\end{aligned}$$

where $z_p = 2\pi(n-pJ)\tilde{\xi}_R/L$, and $\tilde{\xi}_R$ is the maximum displacement of resonant electrons. The last exponential factor in Eq. (B2) is now expanded using the identity

$$\exp\{i z \sin \psi\} \equiv \sum_v J_v(z) e^{ik\psi}$$

where $J_v(z)$ denotes the Bessel function of the first kind of integer order. (14)

After substituting this identity into Eq. (B2), the sum over μ may be carried out to give

$$\bar{\rho}_n = -en_R \sum_{p,r,j} \bar{\varphi}_{-n+pJ} e^{-2\pi i(n-pJ)v_R t/L} J_{rM}(z_p) e^{-2\pi i(n+rM)j/J}$$

The sum over j vanishes, except for $n+rM = qJ$, where q is an integer.

Thus $\bar{\rho}_n \neq 0$ only for $n = r_0 M + q_0 J$ where r_0 and q_0 are integers and Eq. (21) follows.

An estimate of $\bar{\rho}_n$ may be obtained by retaining only the largest term in the sums over p and q in Eq. (21). This term corresponds to $r = r_0$, i.e., to the lowest-order Bessel function, and to a value of z_p corresponding to the first maximum, $\chi_{r_0 M}$, of the Bessel function $J_{r_0 M}$. The maximum displacement of resonant electrons is given by $\tilde{\xi}_R \approx L(\omega_T t)^2/4\pi$ for $\omega_T t \lesssim \pi$ where ω_T is the trapping frequency. Thus the largest term in the sum over p corresponds to $-n+pJ \approx 2\chi_{r_0 M}/(\omega_T t)^2$. Retaining only these values of r and $-n+pJ$ in Eq. (21) yields Eq. (22).

APPENDIX C

DERIVATION OF EQ. (24)

Consider a particle initially loaded at $j\Delta x$, with parallel velocity $\sigma\Delta v_x$ and perpendicular velocity phase angle $\mu\Delta\theta$. A monoenergetic velocity distribution in the perpendicular direction is assumed with perpendicular velocity $v_{\perp 0}$. The position and velocities of this particle at time t are given by

$$x^{j\sigma\mu} = j\Delta x + \sigma\Delta v_x t + \xi^{j\sigma\mu} \quad , \quad (C1)$$

$$v_x^{j\sigma\mu} = \sigma\Delta v_x + \dot{\xi}^{j\sigma\mu} \quad , \quad (C2)$$

$$v_{\perp}^{j\sigma\mu} = v_{\perp 0} e^{i(\mu\Delta\theta + \omega_c t)} + \eta^{j\sigma\mu} \quad . \quad (C3)$$

where $\xi^{j\sigma\mu}(t)$ denotes the displacement of the particle due to interaction with the whistler wave and $\eta^{j\sigma\mu}(t)$ denotes its perpendicular velocity perturbation. Complex notations are used to denote $v_{\perp}^{j\sigma\mu}$ and $\eta^{j\sigma\mu}$ with the real and imaginary parts along the y and z directions respectively.

The parallel component of the Lorentz force yields

$$\ddot{\xi}^{j\sigma\mu} = - \frac{ev_{\perp 0}}{mc} \left[\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \cos(\mu\Delta\theta + \omega_c t) + \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \sin(\mu\Delta\theta + \omega_c t) \right]_{x=j\Delta x + \sigma\Delta v_x t} \quad (C4)$$

where $A(x,t)$ is defined in Eq. (4). Introducing the Fourier transform $\bar{\phi}_n$ of the base function defined in Appendix B yields

$$\left. \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \right|_{j\Delta x + \sigma\Delta v_x t} = \frac{2\pi i}{L} \sum_n n J \bar{\phi}_n \bar{\beta}_n e^{2\pi i n j/J} e^{2\pi i n \sigma\Delta v_x t/L}$$

where

$$\bar{\beta}_n = \frac{1}{J} \sum_j \beta_j e^{-2\pi i n j/J}$$

is the discrete Fourier transform of β_j . Substituting this expression into Eq.(C4) and taking the Laplace transform defined by

$$\hat{g}(\omega) = \int_0^{\infty} g(t) e^{i\omega t} dt$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\xi}^{j\sigma\mu} = \frac{ev_0}{mc} \frac{\pi}{L\omega^2} \sum_n J_n \bar{\varphi}_n e^{2\pi i n j/J} e^{i\nu\mu\Delta\theta} \left[i \tilde{\beta}_{yn}(\omega + \omega_{n\sigma} + \nu\omega_c) \right. \\ \left. + \nu \tilde{\beta}_{zn}(\omega + \omega_{n\sigma} + \nu\omega_c) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (C5)$$

where $\omega_{n\sigma} = 2\pi n\sigma\Delta v_x/L$.

The perpendicular canonical momentum equation yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(v_{\perp}^{j\sigma\mu} - \frac{e}{mc} A \right) = i\omega_c v_{\perp}^{j\sigma\mu}.$$

and after transformations this equation gives

$$\hat{\eta}^{j\sigma\mu} = \frac{e}{mc} \frac{\omega}{\omega + \omega_c} \sum_n J_n \bar{\varphi}_n e^{2\pi i n j/J} \hat{\beta}_n(\omega + \omega_{n\sigma}) \quad (C6)$$

The perpendicular current density at grid point j' is given by

$$J_{\perp j'} = -\frac{en_0}{M} \sum_{j,\sigma,\mu} n_{\sigma} v_{\perp}^{j\sigma\mu} \varphi(x^{j\sigma\mu} - j'\Delta x)$$

where $n_{\sigma} = f_0(\sigma\Delta v_x)\Delta v_x$ is the relative particle density of beam σ . This expression is manipulated by taking the Fourier transform with respect to j' , substituting Eqs.(C1) and (C3), linearizing with respect to the small quantities $\xi^{j\sigma\mu}$ and $\eta^{j\sigma\mu}$ and taking the Laplace transform with respect to t . These operations yield

$$\hat{J}_n(\omega) = -\frac{en_0}{M} \sum_{j,\sigma,\mu,p} n_\sigma \bar{\varphi}_{-n+pJ} \left[\hat{\eta}^{j\sigma\mu}(\omega + \omega_{(-n+pJ)\sigma}) + \frac{2\pi i(-n+pJ)}{L} v_{10} e^{i\mu\Delta\theta} \hat{\xi}^{j\sigma\mu}(\omega + \omega_{(-n+pJ)\sigma} + \omega_c) \right].$$

Substituting Eqs. (C5) and (C6) and carrying out the sums over j and μ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}_n(\omega) = & \frac{\omega_p^2}{4\pi c} \sum_{\sigma,p,q} n_\sigma J^2 \bar{\varphi}_{-n+pJ} \bar{\varphi}_{n+qJ} \left[\frac{\omega + \omega_{(-n+pJ)\sigma}}{\omega + \omega_{(-n+pJ)\sigma} - i\omega_c} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4\pi^2(-n+pJ)(n+qJ)}{L^2} \frac{v_{10}^2}{2} \frac{1}{(\omega + \omega_{(-n+pJ)\sigma} + \omega_c)^2} \right] \hat{\beta}_n(\omega + \omega_{(p+q)J\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (C7)$$

The term corresponding to $p = q = 0$ in Eq. (C7) is the current density associated with the whistler wave. The other terms are perturbations due to the discrete nature of the field representation and of the initial particle loading. Let the sum of these terms be denoted as $\hat{J}_n^g$. The deviation in the vector potential due to discrete spatial effects, denoted as $\hat{\beta}_n^g$, is obtained by evaluating the response of the plasma to the perturbation current $\hat{J}_n^g$,

$$\hat{\beta}_n^g = \frac{4\pi}{k^2 c} \hat{J}_n^g(\omega) / D(k, \omega) \quad (C8)$$

where $k = 2\pi n/L$ and the dielectric function $D(k, \omega)$ may be written as

$$D(k, \omega) = 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2 c^2} \frac{\omega}{\omega + \omega_c}.$$

Consider now the simulation of a whistler wave with a vector potential of the form $A(x, t) = \tilde{\beta}_n^w \exp[-i(kx - \omega_0 t)]$ for which $\hat{\beta}_n = i\tilde{\beta}_n^w / (\omega + \omega_0)$ for $n = -kL/2\pi$ and $\hat{\beta}_n = 0$ for $n \neq -kL/2\pi$. The dispersion function becomes $D = \omega_c(\omega + \omega_0) / [\omega_0(\omega + \omega_c)]$. Substituting the proper terms from Eq. (C7) into

Eq. (C8) and retaining only the second term in bracket in Eq. (C7) which is dominant yields

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_n^g}{\hat{\beta}_n^w} = + \frac{4\pi^2}{L^2} \frac{\omega_p^2}{k_c^2} \frac{v_{10}^2}{2} \frac{\omega_o(\omega + \omega_c)}{\omega_c(\omega + \omega_o)} \sum_{\substack{p \neq 0 \text{ or} \\ q \neq 0}} \frac{\bar{\psi}_{-n+pJ} \bar{\psi}_{n+qJ} n_\sigma}{(\omega + \omega_{(-n+pJ)\sigma} + \omega_c)^2 (\omega + \omega_{(p+q)J\sigma} + \omega_o)} \quad (C9)$$

where $\bar{\psi}_n = J n \bar{\phi}_n$. Taking the inverse Laplace transform of Eq. (C9) gives discrete effect contributions from the single pole at $\omega = -\omega_o - \omega_{(p+q)\sigma}$ and the double-pole at $\omega = -\omega_c - \omega_{(-n+pJ)\sigma}$. Considering only the largest terms in the sum which correspond to $q = 0$ and $p = \pm 1$ yields Eq. (24).

CAPTIONS FOR FIGURES

- Figure 1: (a) Geometric definition of a whistler wave showing the external magnetic field $\underline{B}_0$, the wave vector potential $\underline{A}$ and a typical electron perpendicular velocity $\underline{v}_\perp$. (b) Dispersion curve. (c) Parallel velocity distribution function showing the phase velocity ω/k and the resonant velocity v_R .
- Figure 2: Grid structure defining the discrete loading of particles in phase space.
- Figure 3: Linear and quadratic base functions.
- Figure 4: Time step.
- Figure 5: Test problem showing the effect of discrete loading of particles in parallel velocity.
- Figure 6: Example illustrating the effect of discrete loading of particles in perpendicular velocity phase angles.
- Figure 7: Excitation of electrostatic modes due to discrete loading of particles in perpendicular velocity phase angles.
- Figure 8: Example illustrating the effect of discrete spatial loading of the particles.
- Figure 9: Deviation in magnetic energy from cyclotron damping due to discrete spatial loading of the particle.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 1

(a)

(b)

Figure 2

Linear

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{|x|}{\Delta x} & \text{for } \frac{|x|}{\Delta x} < 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } \frac{|x|}{\Delta x} > 1 \end{cases}$$

Quadratic

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4} - \left(\frac{x}{\Delta x}\right)^2 & \text{for } \frac{|x|}{\Delta x} < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{3}{2} - \frac{|x|}{\Delta x}\right]^2 & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < \frac{|x|}{\Delta x} < \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & \text{for } \frac{|x|}{\Delta x} > \frac{3}{2} \end{cases}$$

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

t

(a)

$t + \frac{\Delta x}{2\sigma\Delta V_x}$

(b)

Figure 8

(a) Linear

(b) Quadratic

Figure 9