NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Thermal Relaxation of a Two Dimensional

Plasma in a dc Magnetic Field

Part II: Numerical Simulation

by

Jang-Yu Hsu
University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa 52242, U. S. A.

Glenn Joyce
University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa 52242, U. S. A.

and

David Montgomery*
Hunter College of the City University of New York
695 Park Avenue, N. Y., N. Y. 10021, U. S. A.

January 1974

*On leave from the University of Iowa

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

ABSTRACT

The thermal relaxation process for a spatially uniform two dimensional plasma in a uniform dc magnetic field is simulated numerically. Thermal relaxation times are defined in terms of the time necessary for the numerically computed Boltzmann H-function to decrease through a given part of the distance to its minimum value.

Dependence of relaxation time on two parameters is studied: number of particles per Debye square $n_0 \lambda_D^2$ and ratio of gyrofrequency to plasma frequency Ω/ω_p . When Ω^2/ω_p^2 becomes $\gg [\ln(L/2\pi\lambda_D)]^{1/2}$ where L is the linear dimension of the system, it is found that the relaxation time varies to a good approximation as $(n_0 \lambda_D^2)^{1/2}$ and Ω/ω_p .

I. INTRODUCTION

In a companion paper (Part I), predictions were derived for the rate of thermal relaxation of a two dimensional plasma model in a dc magnetic field. The principal prediction which is numerically testable is that so long as Ω/ω_p , the ratio of gyrofrequency to plasma frequency, satisfies the inequality $(\Omega/\omega_p)^2 \gg (\ln(L/2\pi\lambda_D))^{-1/2}$, where λ_D is the Debye length and L is the linear dimension of the system, then the relaxation time should be proportional to Ω/ω_p and to $(n_0\lambda_D^2)^{1/2}$, where n_0 is the number density of the charged rods.

It will be seen below that both of these predictions appear to be well fulfilled. In Section 2, the method of simulation is briefly described. In Section 3, the results are described and compared with theory.

2. Method of Simulation

The dynamical evolution of the plasma was simulated by following the trajectories of a large number of negatively charged rods immersed in a positive neutralizing background. Standard particle-in-cell techniques with area weighting were employed (Morse 1970). The electric field was determined through Poisson's equation by fast Fourier transforms on a 32×32 or 64×64 grid system. Periodic boundary conditions were used throughout. The number of rods ranged from 4,000 to 16,000.

Periodically a histogram representing the spatially averaged distribution function was calculated. The value of the Boltzmann H function was determined by summing the values of $2\pi v_{1i} f(v_{1i}) \cdot \ln f(v_{1i}) \Delta v_{1i}$ obtained from the histogram. The optimum value of Δv_{1i} was found empirically, and for a range of Δv_{1i} (simply labeled ΔV in the figures) neither too small nor too large, $H(t)$ was found not to depend upon Δv_{1i} (see Figure 1).

3. Results

Figure 1 shows a typical plot of $H(t)$ vs t for zero magnetic field, for the initial distribution: $f(y) = 0$ for $|y| > 2$, $f(y) = (4\pi)^{-1}$ for $|y| < 2$. Times are always expressed in units of the reciprocal plasma frequency ω_p^{-1} , and velocities are in units of the thermal velocity V_{th} in the final expected Maxwellian $f(y) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi V_{th}^2)^{-1} \exp(-y^2/2V_{th}^2)$. This makes the natural unit of length $V_{th}/\omega_p \equiv \lambda_D$, the Debye length. The particles are loaded randomly in position space and in a spiral fashion in velocity. ΔT is the size of the time step. ΔV is the dimension of a cell size in velocity space used in computing the sum which approximates $\int dy f \ln f$. $\alpha \equiv \lambda_D/m_s$ is the ratio of Debye length to the cell size m_s used in the particle-in-cell computations. Roughly speaking, larger values of α mean that the short range part of the potential is represented more accurately. This accuracy is desirable in situations in which short-range interactions become dominant in the relaxation process, as is the case when Ω/ω_p becomes $\gg 1$.

It is seen from Fig. 1, that while there is a substantial change in the relaxation rate as α^{-1} decreases from 1.2732 to 0.6366, there is no appreciable change upon going to $\alpha^{-1} = 0.3183$. It may be safely assumed, then, that the interactions with impact parameters $\geq 0.5\lambda_D$ are primarily responsible for the decrease of $H(t)$. Figure 1 should also inspire confidence that in the range in which the computations are performed, the decrease of $H(t)$ is independent of ΔT .

and ΔV , for all practical purposes. The results shown in Fig. 1 are in good agreement with Montgomery and Nielson (1970).

Figure 2 shows that for finite magnetic field, increasing α continues to increase the speed of thermal relaxation. This reflects the fact that in a magnetic field, the thermal relaxation is determined by the close encounters (over-lapping gyroradii). Since particle-in-cell computations necessarily "soften" the coulomb repulsion at distances $\leq$ a cell size, a decrease in cell size is equivalent to a "hardening" of the coulomb repulsion at short distances, and thus results in an increased relaxation rate. It is this dependence upon the (inaccurately represented) short range part of the potential that has, for example, precluded our achieving better than a factor of two in absolute agreement with numerical calculations of energy exchange times for "test" particles and the analytical expressions for them which can be derived from the kinetic equation.

Figure 3 shows one of our two main results, the variation of the relaxation time with Ω/ω_p . The relaxation time is defined by setting $H = -2.70$ (its initial value is -2.531 and its $t = \infty$ value is -2.837). The $\Omega = 0$ result is close to the value obtained previously (Montgomery and Nielson, 1970). Above about $\Omega/\omega_p \approx 4$, we may assert that $\Omega^2/\omega_p^2 \gg [\ln(L/2\pi\lambda_D)]^{-1/2}$ (our system is 16 units of λ_D in linear dimension), so that the linear prediction of Part I is appropriate, and is seen to be well fulfilled, being accurately fit by $d\tau_{REL}/d\Omega = 1.5$ for the lower curve and $d\tau_{REL}/d\Omega = 2.9$ for the upper curve.

Note that the coefficients stand in about the same ratios as $\sqrt{n_o \lambda_D^2}$.

Between $\Omega/\omega_p = 0$ and $\Omega/\omega_p = 4$, we have no satisfactory theory.

Figure 4 shows the relaxation time τ_{REL} vs the square root of the number of particles per Debye square for two different magnetic field strengths. Both sets of data points are seen to be well fit by straight lines.

4. Discussion

The predictions that the thermal relaxation times of a two dimensional magnetized plasma model vary proportionally to Ω/ω_p and $(n_o \lambda_D^2)^{1/2}$ have been verified by simulation methods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported at the University of Iowa by USAEC Grant AT(11-1) 2059 and NASA Grant NGL-16-001-043. Part of the numerical computations were done on the computing system of the City University of New York.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 H-value as a function of time varying ΔT (time step), ΔV (velocity interval), and α . The magnetic field is zero.

SYMBOL	ΔT	ΔV	α^{-1}
X	0.25	1/55	1.2732
O	0.50	1/44	1.2732
●	0.50	1/55	1.2732
+	0.25	1/55	0.6366
□	0.50	1/33	0.6366
	0.50	1/44	0.6366
▲	0.25	1/55	0.3183
△	1.0	1/55	0.3183
■	0.50	1/44	0.3183

Figure 2 H-value as a function of time.

$$\Omega/\omega_p = 0.5.$$

Figure 3 Relaxation time vs magnetic field strength for constant $n_o \lambda_D^2$.

Figure 4 Relaxation time vs $(n_o \lambda_D^2)^{1/2}$ for constant magnetic field strength.

REFERENCES

Montgomery, D. and Nielson, C. W. 1970 Phys. Fluids 13, 1405.

Morse, R. L. 1970 Methods of Computational Physics Vol. 9, Ed. by
B. Alder, et. al., Academic Press, New York, p. 213-239.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4