An Investigation of ^{67}Zn States
By the $^{66}\text{Zn}(d,p)$ and $^{65}\text{Cu}(^3\text{He},p)$ Reactions*

H. A. Ismail,[†] W. H. Moore,[‡] J. N. Hallock, and H. A. Enge

Department of Physics and Laboratory for Nuclear Science**

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

NOTICE
This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

The $^{66}\text{Zn}(d,p)^{67}\text{Zn}$ and $^{65}\text{Cu}(^3\text{He},p)^{67}\text{Zn}$ reactions have been studied at bombarding energies of 7.5 MeV and 13.0 MeV, respectively. Below $E_x = 3.78$ MeV, 44 levels were observed in the (d,p) reaction while 25 levels below $E_x = 5.21$ were observed in the $(^3\text{He},p)$ reaction.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS (i) $^{66}\text{Zn}(d,p)$, (d,d) , $E_d = 7.5$ MeV; measured $\sigma(E_p, \theta)$, Q. ^{67}Zn deduced levels, J, I_π , π . Enriched target. (ii) $^{65}\text{Cu}(^3\text{He},p)$, $(^3\text{He}, ^3\text{He})$, $E = 13.0$ MeV; measured $\sigma(E_p, \theta)$, Q. ^{67}Zn deduced levels, L. Enriched target.

*Part of this work is from a thesis submitted by one of the authors (H.A.I.) to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in Partial Fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

[†]Present address: Department of Physics, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

[‡]Present address: Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540.

**This work has been supported in part through the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Contract AT(11-1)-3069.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

1. INTRODUCTION

The level structure of ^{67}Zn has been previously investigated with (p,d) reactions,¹ (d,t) reactions,² ($^3\text{He},\alpha$) reactions,³ beta decay of ^{67}Ga (reference 4), and Coulomb excitation.^{5,6} The ^{66}Zn (d,p) ^{67}Zn reaction which we have studied has also been investigated by others^{2,7,8} but with relatively poor resolution. We have identified 44 levels in ^{67}Zn below $E_x = 3.78$ MeV, 15 of which are reported for the first time.

The two-nucleon stripping reaction, $^{65}\text{Cu}(^3\text{He},p)^{67}\text{Zn}$, was done to excite those states where the correlation of the valence particles is quite different from that in states reached by single-particle transfer and hence, are weakly excited in the (d,p) reaction. Twenty-five levels below $E_x = 5.21$ MeV were identified in ^{67}Zn , ten of which are reported for the first time, although five of these levels were seen in our (d,p) work.

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

The MIT-ONR Van de Graaff accelerator was used to obtain the deuteron (D_2^+) and $^3\text{He}^{++}$ beams. The reaction products were momentum analyzed using the MIT multiple-gap spectrograph.⁹ In addition to the reaction studies, deuteron and ^3He elastic-scattering spectra were recorded in order to determine the optical model parameters for the incident channels and to determine the effective target thicknesses by normalizing the elastic-scattering cross sections to the Rutherford cross sections. The latter measurements were done with 6-MeV D_2^+ and 5-MeV $^3\text{He}^+$ beams.

Isotopically enriched targets of ^{66}Zn and ^{65}Cu were prepared by evaporating the metals onto a Formvar backing. An isotopic mass analysis of the ^{66}Zn target material gave 1.08% ^{64}Zn , 98.55% ^{66}Zn ,

0.12% ^{67}Zn , 0.20% ^{68}Zn , and 0.05% ^{70}Zn . The ^{65}Cu target material contained 0.3% ^{63}Cu and 99.7% ^{65}Cu . (The enriched metals were supplied by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory.) The measured target thicknesses were $81 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ for the ^{66}Zn target and $28 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ for the ^{65}Cu target.

3. RESULTS

The Q-values for the ground state transitions were found to be 4820 ± 5 keV for the (d,p) reaction in agreement with the value of 4827 ± 10 keV given by Ehrenstein and Schiffer,⁷ and 8185 ± 40 keV for the (^3He ,p) reaction. The energies of the levels excited in ^{67}Zn are given in Table 1 and are compared with the measurements of other reactions. The excitation energies are arithmetic averages of values determined for a number of reaction angles and, for the (d,p) work, they are expected to be accurate to about ± 5 keV for the lowest levels increasing to ± 8 keV for the highest levels. In the (^3He ,p) reaction the uncertainty is about ± 20 keV for the levels.

The search routine of the optical model code ABACUS-II¹⁰ was used to obtain the optical parameters for the incident channel by searching for an overall least-squares fit to the experimental elastic-scattering cross sections. For $d + ^{66}\text{Zn}$ we obtained: $V = 118.3$ MeV, $r_o = 1.0$ F, $a = 0.812$ F, $W = 0.0$ MeV, $W' = 13.15$ MeV, $r_o' = 1.415$ F, $a' = 0.68$ F, and $r_{oc} = 1.3$ F. For $^3\text{He} + ^{65}\text{Cu}$ we obtained: $V = 167.82$ MeV, $r_o = 1.06^\circ$ F, $a = 1.4$ F, $W = 16.904$ MeV, $W' = 0.0$ MeV, $r_o' = 1.659$ F, $a' = 0.60$ F, and $r_{oc} = 1.4$ F. The proton parameters were taken from the survey by Perey.¹¹ DWBA calculations were performed using the zero-range code JULIE.^{12,13}

The $^{66}\text{Zn}(d,p)^{67}\text{Zn}$ reaction has been studied previously by Lin and Cohen² and by Ehrenstein and Schiffer.⁷ Except for the 2.402-MeV level, our l_n assignments agree with those of Ehrenstein and Schiffer, and with the exception of the 0.184-MeV level, we agree with the Lin and Cohen assignments. In the latter case, Lin and Cohen² tentatively assigned $l_n = 3$, but both the present work and that of Ehrenstein and Schiffer⁷ assigned $l_n = 1$ to the 0.184 MeV which did not exhibit much single-particle strength. Table II summarizes the (d,p) results.

The ground state of ^{67}Zn has $J^\pi = 5/2^-$, thus, in the $(^3\text{He},p)$ reaction, both the neutron and proton can go to the $1f_{5/2}$ state or be transferred to 2p-orbitals. No quantitative information concerning the precedence of one configuration over the other was found; however, at higher excitation energies the $(2p,2p)$ configuration appeared to give a somewhat better fit to the data. Table III summarizes the $(^3\text{He},p)$ results.

REFERENCES

1. L. C. McIntyre, Phys. Rev. 152, 1013 (1966).
2. E. K. Lin and B. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. 132, 2632 (1963).
3. D. D. Borlin, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Washington, 1967 (unpublished).
4. M. S. Freedman, F. T. Porter, and F. Wagner, Phys. Rev. 151, 886 (1966).
5. R. C. Ritter, P. H. Stelson, F. K. McGowan, and R. L. Robinson, Phys. Rev. 128, 2320 (1962).
6. D. G. Alkhazov, V. D. Vasil'ev, G. M. Gusinskii, I. K. Lemberg, and V. A. Nabichvrishvili, Izv. Akad. Navk. SSSR Ser. Fiz. 28, 1683 (1964); English translation in Bull. Acad. Sci. Phys. Ser. 28, 1575 (1964).
7. D. von Ehrenstein and J. P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. 164, 1374 (1967).
8. J. P. Schiffer, D. von Ehrenstein, and L. L. Lee, Jr., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 11, 100 (1966).
9. H. A. Enge and W. W. Buechner, Rev. Sci. Inst. 34, 155 (1963).
10. E. H. Auerbach, Brookhaven National Laboratory Report BNL-6562, 1962 (unpublished).
11. F. G. Perey, Phys. Rev. 131, 745 (1963).
12. R. H. Bassel, R. M. Drisko, and G. R. Satchler, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report ORNL-3240, 1963 (unpublished).
13. G. R. Satchler, Nucl. Phys. 55, 1 (1964).

Table I

Excitation energies in MeV for low-lying ^{67}Zn levels

Level No.	Present Work		$(d,p)^a$	$(^3\text{He},\alpha)^b$	$(p,d)^c$	β -decay ^d
	(d,p)	$(^3\text{He},p)$				
0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
1	0.091		0.093		0.09	0.093317
2	0.184		0.184		0.18	0.184592
3	0.388		0.390		0.40	0.3936
4	0.598		0.602		0.63	0.600
			0.74 ^e			
5	0.870	0.850	0.86 ^e	0.83	0.87	0.8879
6	0.979	0.995	0.978	1.00	1.00	
7	1.140	1.110	1.142	1.15	1.18	
8	1.363	1.345		1.37		
9	1.407					
10	1.442		1.444			
11	1.517					
12	1.539	1.550	1.542		1.59	
			1.642			
13	1.672	1.665	1.676	1.66		
14	1.790	1.787	1.782			
			1.808	1.8	1.71	
15	1.840		1.842			
16	1.870	1.896			1.88	
17	2.030					
18	2.100	2.090				
19	2.170	2.165	2.172		2.16	
			2.246			
20	2.271	2.280	2.273		2.31	
21	2.402		2.407			
22	2.422	2.430	2.430			
23	2.490					
24	2.557	2.550				
25	2.580					
26	2.600		2.609			
27	2.650		2.648			
28	2.732					

Table I continued

Level No.	Present Work		$(d,p)^2$	$(^3\text{He},\alpha)^b$	$(p,d)^c$	$\beta\text{-decay}^d$
	(d,p)	$(^3\text{He},p)$				
29	2.795	2.781	2.797			
30	2.842	2.835	2.849		2.85	
31	2.934	2.930				
32	2.990					
33	3.070	3.055				
34	3.095					
35	3.125					
36	3.160	3.180				
37	3.223		3.233			
38	3.287		3.295	3.285		
39	3.383		3.395			
40	3.465		3.480			
41	3.524					
			3.538			
			3.557			
42	3.598		3.607			
			3.651			
			3.670	3.68		
43	3.780	3.679	3.770			
		3.785				
			3.822			
			3.840	3.85	3.85	
			3.863			
			4.06 ^e			
		4.315	4.30 ^e			
		4.410				
		4.540				
		5.070				
		5.210				

a. Ref. 7

b. Ref. 3

c. Ref. 1

d. Ref. 4

e. Ref. 2

Table II

Summary of results from $^{66}\text{Zn}(d,p)^{67}\text{Zn}$ reaction

Level No.	E_x (MeV)	$(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{\text{max}}$ (mb/sr)	l_n	J^π
0	0.0	0.52	3	$5/2^-$
1	0.091	3.0	1	$1/2^-$
2	0.184	0.29	1	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$
3	0.388	2.7	1	$3/2^-$
4	0.598	1.1	4	$9/2^+$
6	0.979	2.1	2	$(5/2)^+$
7	1.140	1.3	1	$1/2^-$
10	1.442	0.33	1	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$
12	1.539	0.20	1	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$
13	1.672	2.6	0	$1/2^+$
20	2.271	0.76	2	$(5/2)^+$
21	2.402	0.66	1	$1/2^-, 3/2^-$
22	2.422	2.1	0	$1/2^+$
29	2.795	1.0	2	$(5/2)^+$
37	3.223	0.41	2	$(5/2)^+$
38	3.287	0.80	0	$1/2^+$

Table III
Summary of the $^{65}\text{Cu}(^3\text{He},p)^{67}\text{Zn}$ results

E_x (MeV)	L^a	σ_{max} ($\mu\text{b/sr}$)
1.896	2	5.0
2.090	0	9.7
2.165	0	2.6
2.550	2	5.0
3.055	2	12.0
3.180	2	9.0
3.679	0	1.9
4.315	2	32.0
5.070	2	20.0

a. $L = 0$ implies $J^\pi = 1/2^- + 5/2^-$

$L = 2$ implies $J^\pi = 1/2^- + 9/2^-$